DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20202310

Original Research Article

Neuro-imaging in severe hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a study from North Indian tertiary health care institution

Rohit Dogra¹, Rama Thakur², Vijay Thakur³, Anita Pal⁴, Shaina Chamotra⁵*, Ankit Chaudhary⁶

¹Department of Health and Family Welfare, CH Jaisinghpur, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, KNH, IGMC Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India

³Department of Radiodiagnosis, IGMC Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India

⁴Department of Health and Family Welfare, Shimla Sanitarium and Hospital, Himachal Pradesh, India

⁵Department of Health and Family Welfare, Community Health Centre Sullah, Kangra, Himachal Pradesh, India ⁶Department of Health and Family Welfare, Office of Chief Medical Officer, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India

Received: 05 April 2020 Accepted: 29 April 2020

*Correspondence:

Dr. Shaina Chamotra, E-mail: chamotra47@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy comprising of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia are a major cause of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Neurological manifestations of pregnancy induced hypertension are the most common cause of maternal and foetal morbidity and mortality. Cranial neuro-imaging reveals focal regions of symmetric hemispheric oedema; with parietal and occipital regions getting most commonly affected.

Methods: The study was conducted among 65 antenatal women diagnosed with pre-eclampsia and eclampsia at gestational age >20 weeks in the department of obstetrics and gynecology, Kamla Nehru State Hospital for Mother and Child IGMC Shimla. Clinical signs and symptoms, neuroimaging findings were recorded for study purpose.

Results: About 17.6% of severe pre-eclampsia and 100% of eclampsia had findings observed on cranial MRI. Headache and visual complaints were most frequently recorded. PRES was the predominant neuroradiographic finding in present study and occipital lobe was commonly affected region. No significant difference was observed regarding blood pressure parameters between MRI positive and negative subjects.

Conclusions: Neuroimaging in antenatal with severe hypertensive disorders might aid in better understanding of the poorly explained phenomenon. In addition, this would be helpful in better management of the disorders along with their much-dreaded complications. Patients with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy should be subjected routinely to cranial imaging for the better perinatal outcomes.

Keywords: Antenatal women, Neuro-imaging, Pregnancy induced hypertension

INTRODUCTION

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy comprising of preeclampsia and eclampsia are a major cause of perinatal adverse outcomes both in terms of adverse maternal and foetal outcomes.¹ These are the most common medical complications of pregnancy, with a reported incidence ranging between 6% and 8%.² They are an important cause of severe morbidity and mortality among mothers and babies. In Africa and Asia, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, especially eclampsia, are associated with nearly one-tenth of all maternal deaths.³

Despite availability of intensive care unit and improved antenatal care still some women die of eclampsia. The most common cause of death is cerebral complications.⁴ Neurological manifestations of pregnancy induced hypertension vary from diffuse symptoms such as headache and confusion to focal signs such as paralysis and visual loss. Computerized tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have greatly enhanced our understanding of the correlation between neurological complaints and neuroanatomic pathological changes characteristic of preeclampsia (PE) and eclampsia (E).⁵ Cerebral microcirculation is the major target.⁶ Acute fluctuation of blood pressure leads to failure of cerebral autoregulation. In response to acute and severe hypertension, cerebrovascular overregulation leads to vasospasm resulting in ischemia, cytotoxic edema and eventually tissue infarction.

Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) refers to a clinical and radiological entity presented by headache, altered mental state such as confusion, lethargy, cortical visual disturbances and seizures with transient edematous changes of subcortical white matter on neuroimaging.⁷ Neuro-Imaging of brain typically demonstrates focal regions of symmetric hemispheric oedema, parietal and occipital lobes are commonly affected followed by the frontal lobes, inferior temporal occipital junction and the cerebellum.⁸ Eclampsia patients who are refractory to MgSO₄ and antihypertensive therapy have significant CNS pathology.⁴

Although several studies have been conducted to correlate the various clinical and laboratory parameters with abnormalities on neuro-imaging, yet only a few studies provide information on predictors of outcome in eclamptic encephalopathy.⁹ Keeping all the considerations in mind and dearth of such studies in the region, the present study was conducted with a purpose to determine the spectrum of neuro-Imaging findings in patients with severe preeclampsia and eclampsia.

METHODS

The study was conducted among the pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in the department of obstetrics and gynecology, Kamla Nehru State Hospital for Mother and child IGMC Shimla.

This was a descriptive observational study. One year from July 2016 to June 2017. As it was a time bound study, a total of 65 subjects (preeclampsia and eclampsia patients) were included in study.

Inclusion criteria

• Pregnant women diagnosed with pre-eclampsia and eclampsia at gestational age >20 weeks singleton pregnancy was included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

• Women with essential hypertension, liver disease, septicemia cortical vein thrombosis, tuberculoma,

neurological infections, epilepsy, encephalitis, brain abscess, neurological tumors and cerebral malaria were excluded.

A study proforma was designed to collect and record socio-demographic parameters, antenatal history, previous medical and reproductive history, clinical signs and symptoms, laboratory investigations, ultrasonic findings, neuroimaging findings, plan and mode of delivery and maternal/foetal outcomes were recorded on the proforma.

Standard case definitions were utilized to identify study participants. Patients with severe preeclampsia/eclampsia at 20 weeks or beyond were enrolled for this study. On admission detailed history was taken including warning signs and symptoms i.e. headache, blurring of vision, visual field scotomas, blindness, nausea/vomiting, pain epigastrium swelling feet. Time of onset of seizure, duration and type of seizure: tonic clonic movement, up rolling of eyeballs, frothing from mouth, deviation of head, post seizure confusion, number of seizures and any focal neurological deficit were also noted. These patients were managed in the emergency set up in labour room.

Sociodemographic variables were recorded on proforma, which was followed by general physical examination, obstetrical examination and biochemical analysis. Renal sonogram was done in subjects with chronic hypertension and decreased urinary output. All subjects enrolled for this study received antihypertensives and seizures prophylaxis with magnesium sulphate (Pritchards Regimen). Subjects at gestation <34 weeks received antenatal glucocorticoids for fetal pulmonary maturity. Pelvic and cervical assessment was done after stabilizing the patient and mode of delivery was decided accordingly. Caesarean section was done for the obstetric and medical indications only. Labour was monitored partographically. Second stage was cut short by prophylactic outlet forceps/ventouse. labour and neonatal parameters were recorded according to the proforma.

Subjects with eclampsia, refractory eclampsia and severe preeclampsia with neurological symptoms severe enough to prompt neuroimaging were subjected to cranial MR imaging on 1.5 tesla magnetic resonance imaging system in department of radiodiagnosis at IGMC Shimla within 4 days of presentation. The standard protocol comprised of T1 weighted images, T2 weighted images, FLAIR sequence along with diffusion weighted images. Vasogenic edema which is the characteristic finding in PRES, showed hypo-intense signal on T1WI, hyperintense signal on T2WI and FLAIR sequences and there was no diffusion restriction on diffusion weighted images, whereas cerebral infarcts had shown diffusion restriction. The nature and distribution of the cerebral lesions, if any was noted. The clinical findings, laboratory data of all the patients with or without radiological findings on cranial MR imaging was compared and correlated.

Ethical considerations

Prior permission was taken from Institute Ethical Committee. Personal identifiers were omitted in order to maintain confidentiality and anonymity. Potential harms and benefits were explained to the patient and guardian before taking consent. Patient was free to leave the study at any point of time and this didn't affect her clinical care. No financial expenditure was incurred by the patient for the sake of study.

Statistical analysis

Data were entered into Microsoft excel spreadsheet, cleaned and transferred to Epi Info version 7.2.2.6 software for analysis. Continuous variables were presented as mean scores \pm standard deviations while discrete variables as percentages and proportions of each. Pearson's Chi-squared was used to test the statistical significance of categorical data respectively. Mean of variables was compared using Independent t-test after checking normality of data. Two tailed P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant for all analysis.

RESULTS

The study recorded the findings of 65 preeclamptic/eclamptic antenatal women with gestational age more than 20 weeks and presenting with neurological symptoms. All 65 patients enrolled for the study were subjected to cranial MR Imaging. Table 1 shows the radiological findings of the subjects on Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). About 36.9% subjects had findings on MRI. Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) was the most common (27.7%) finding followed by PRES with vasospasm (3.1%). One patient had radiological diagnosis of maxillary sinusitis on MRI and it was also regarded as MRI negative for the data analysis as the MRI of the brain was normal in this patient. About 63% of participants had no abnormality detected on MRI.

Table 2 depicts that 61 subjects had headache as the premonitory symptoms. Of these 18 had evidence of neurological involvement on MRI and the affected hemisphere region was occipital lobe. In total 14 subjects each had presented with seizures and disorientation; and they had evidence of neurological involvement on cranial MRI. In both scenarios, the affected hemisphere region was parieto-occipital lobes. About 52 subjects complained of blurring of vision, out of these 16 had evidence of neurological involvement and the lesion was located in occipital lobe in all of them. The subjects presenting with symptoms of vertigo and dizziness didn't show neurological involvement on MRI. Furthermore, it was observed that out of 23 subjects having lesions on MRI, 21 (91.3%) subjects had bilateral hemispheric involvement and only two (8.7%) subjects had unilateral hemispheric involvement.

Table 1: Radiological findings on cranial magnetic resonance imaging.

Findings	Number (%)
Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome	18 (27.7%)
Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome with vasospasm	2 (3.1%)
Infarct	1 (1.5%)
Atrophic changes	1 (1.5%)
Vasospasm	1 (1.5%)
Maxillary sinusitis	1 (1.5%)
No abnormality detected	41 (63.1%)
Total	65 (100%)

Table 2: Relation of neurological symptoms with location of lesion on neuroimaging.

Neurologic symptom	Frequency	Location of lesions at MRI	Frequency
Headache	61	Occipital	18
Seizure	14	Occipital and parietal	14
Disorientation	14	Occipital and parietal	14
Blurring vision	52	Occipital	16
Vertigo	0	None	0
Dizziness	0	None	0

Table 3 highlights the relation of MRI findings with various maternal parameters. Except less than 20 years age group there was no statistically significant difference pertaining to neurological findings.

There was no statistically significant difference regarding MRI findings among different parity groups.

Furthermore, only in 34 to 36 weeks 6 days gestational age group the difference was found statistically significant, where 6 subjects had neuroimaging findings.

Among symptoms, seizure disorder and depression of consciousness were the presenting symptoms where difference was significant (p-value <0.001).

Out of 51 severe preeclampsia subjects, only 9 were MRI positive while among 14 subjects who presented with eclampsia all were MRI positive for neurological findings. The MRI positivity was statistically significant in those subjects who had systolic blood pressure (SBP) in the range of 140-159 mm, 160-190 mm and >200 mmHg. Similarly, The MRI positivity was statistically

significant in those subjects who had diastolic blood pressure in the range of 111-130 and > 130 mmHg. The MAP of \geq 105 mmHg was significantly associated with positive MRI findings. No significant difference was observed in presence or absence of neurological finding on MRI in relation to mean systolic, mean diastolic and mean arterial pressure (Table 4).

Table 3: Relation of MRI findings with maternal variables.

Parameter	Sub group	MRI positive (n=23) %	MRI negative (n=42) %	p-value
Maternal age	<20	3 (13.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0.016^{*}
	20-29	15 (65.2%)	35 (83.3%)	0.097
	30-39	3 (13.1%)	7 (16.6%)	0.69
	≥40	2 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0.052
	Mean±SD	26.39±7.372	24.48±3.486	0.17
Gravidity	Primigravida	11 (47.8%)	27 (64.3%)	0.19
	Multigravida	11 (47.8%)	15 (35.7%)	0.34
	Postpartum	1 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0.17
Gestational age	20-23 weeks 6d	1 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0.17
	24-27 weeks 6d	4 (17.4%)	2 (4.8%)	0.09
	28-33 weeks 6d	6 (26.1%)	10 (23.8%)	0.83
	34-36 weeks 6d	6 (26.1%)	22 (52.3%)	0.04^{*}
	≥37 weeks	5 (21.7%)	8 (19.1%)	0.79
Neurological symptoms	Headache	21 (91%)	40 (95%)	0.53
	Visual disorder	19 (83%)	33 (79%)	0.69
	Depression of consciousness	14 (60%)	0 (0.0%)	< 0.001*
	Seizure	14 (60%)	0 (0.0%)	< 0.001*

Table 4: Relation of neuro-imaging findings with blood pressure parameters.

Parameter	Sub-Group	MRI positive (n=23) %	MRI negative (n=42) %	p-value
SBP	<140	3 (13%)	2 (5%)	0.23
	140-159	5 (22%)	2 (5%)	0.03*
	160-199	12 (52%)	38 (90%)	< 0.001*
	>200	3 (13%)	0 (0.0%)	0.017^{*}
DBP	<90	1 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0.23
	90-110	15 (65.2%)	26 (61.9%)	0.03*
	111-130	2 (8.7%)	15 (35.7%)	< 0.001*
	>130	5 (21.3%)	1 (2.4%)	0.017^{*}
МАР	<105	2 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0.06
	105-125	11 (47.8%)	10 (23.8%)	0.05^{*}
	>125	1 (4.3%)	32 (76.2%)	0.01^{*}
Mean BP	Mean SBP	167.74±27.44	170.24±14.39	0.631
	Mean DBP	110.35±18.67	110.86±8.803	0.882
	MAP	129.48±21.02	130.65±8.94	0.754

DISCUSSION

The present study highlights the neuro-imaging findings in the antenatal cases suffering from hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. The mean age in the MRI positive subjects in the present study was 26.39±7.37 years which is comparable to that observed by Junewar V et al $(24.44\pm3.53 \text{ years})$.⁹ No significant difference in maternal age in relation to MRI findings was observed. About 34.3% subjects were MRI positive. Furthermore 47.8% MRI positive subjects were primigravidae, which was in contrary with the study conducted by Sharma G et al where this proportion was 70.8%.¹⁰

In the present study 78.5% patients with severe preeclampsia were subjected for MR imaging with 17.6% being MRI positive. This was comparable to the study conducted by Schwartz RB et al and Sharma G et al where <30%% subjects of severe preeclampsia were MR imaging positive.^{11,12} This could be due to the fact that the subjects with severe preeclampsia were terminated at priority in order to halt the endothelial dysfunction and further neuropathology. Timely termination of pregnancy and specialized care provided to such patients could be the possible explanation for the normal neuroimaging report in subjects with severe preeclampsia. A total of 14 eclamptic patients were subjected to neuroimaging, all having MRI findings. Similarly, in study by Sharma G et al, all 21 subjects with eclampsia had positive MRI findings.¹² These findings were consistent with diagnosis of PRES in majority of subjects in both the studies. The radiological findings in subjects with eclampsia in the present study had shown varying degree of hemorrhage, cerebral edema and vasculopathy. Schwartz RB et al also observed that the tonic clonic seizures were significantly more common in the group with radiographic abnormalities than in the group without such findings.¹¹

MRI finding positive subjects who presented with headache and visual disorders were located in occipital lobe in the present study, which was in accordance with Dhayia K et al.¹³ On neuroimaging, the majority of subjects had parietal and occipital lobe involvement, which was similar to findings of Schwartz RB et al, Demirtas O et al and Junewar V et al.^{9,11,14} Poor innervations of the posterior cerebral vasculature make the occipital lobe more prone for PRES. PRES was a predominant neuroradiographic finding in present study which corroborated with findings of Sharma G et al.¹⁰ Although PRES is a pathognomic neuroimaging finding in subjects with hypertensive encephalopathy, atypical findings and atypical distribution of brain lesion may be seen on neuroimaging.

In the present study, 93.8% subjects had headache and 34.5% subjects with headache had evidence of neurological involvement on MRI. Similarly in the study conducted by Demirtas O et al, 94.87% subjects had headache and nearly 50% of these had evidence of neurological involvement on cranial MRI.14 The headache is one of the warning neurological symptoms and the subjects with such symptoms were terminated at priority in order to halt the endothelial dysfunction and further neuropathology. In the present study fewer subjects (36.5%) with premonitory visual symptoms had radiological evidence of neurological involvement on cranial MRI imaging. Whereas MRI findings have been reported in 71.4% subjects presenting with visual symptoms in the study conducted by Demirtas O et al and 94.4% subjects in the study conducted by Junewar V et al.^{9,14} The difference could be due to the fact that the more number of subjects had cranial MRI in the present study. The blurring of vision is one of the warning neurological symptoms and the subjects with such

symptoms were terminated at priority in order to halt the endothelial dysfunction and further neuropathology.

Association has been observed between depression of consciousness, seizures and MRI findings in present study. This is well supported the findings of studies by Demirtas O et al and Junewar V et al respectively.^{9,14} It is proposed that direct endothelial dysfunction leading to an increase in the permeability of the blood-brain barrier may be the additional mechanism responsible for parenchymal fluid extravasation. Although the exact cause of the endothelial injury in patients with eclampsia is not known, circulating endothelial toxins or antibodies are thought to be responsible.

The mean SBP, DBP and MAP in the MRI positive subjects in the present study was comparable to the one observed by Junewar V et al.⁹ Clinical and radiological signs in patients with preeclampsia and eclampsia are believed to be related to the effect of increased systolic blood pressure on the auto regulation of the cerebral vasculature and is now believed to be the most commonly caused by vasogenic edema that arises from the escape of fluid from intravascular compartment into the interstitium because of breakthrough of auto regulation.¹⁵

CONCLUSION

The cause of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy has not yet been explained. The brain lesions detected on MR imaging might shed light on pathogenesis of the disease. The vasogenic edema most prominently at the posterior region of cerebral hemisphere was detected on MRI in all eclamptic women subjected to neuroimaging. The cranial MRI was found to be >90% sensitive for headache and visual disturbances and 100% specific for depression of consciousness and seizures. Cytotoxic edema and irreversible brain damage occur if etiological factor remains untreated. Blood pressure parameters, although elevated in all patients, are not significantly different in those with or without brain edema, although the acute fluctuation of blood pressure may disrupt the cerebral autoregulatory mechanism and explains the association of neuroimaging findings with acutely high blood pressure.

Apart from diagnosing the brain involvement, neuroimaging in antenatal with severe hypertensive disorders would also be helpful in ruling out cerebrovascular accidents. Since the neuroimaging findings were observed in all eclamptic and disoriented women subjected to neuroimaging, it is recommended that such patients should be subjected to neuroimaging.

Moreover, the patients with elevated BP parameters should also be subjected to neuroimaging in order to ensure timely intervention that may lower the morbidity and mortality among mothers with severe preeclampsia and eclampsia, which accounts for one tenth of all maternal deaths. Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Chamotra S, Pathania K, Verma SK, Chaudhary A. Predicting pregnancy outcomes from homocysteine level: an evidence from a North Indian study. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2020;9:1498-502.
- 2. Report of the National high blood pressure education program working group on high blood pressure in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2000;183:S1-22.
- 3. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations for prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2011.
- 4. Patil M. Role of neuroimaging in patients with atypical eclampsia. J of Obstet Gynecol India. 2012;62(5):526-30.
- Roybart M, Seidman DS, Serr DM, Mashiach S. Review. Neurological involvement in hypertensive disease of pregnancy. Obstet Gynaecol Surv. 1991;46(13):656-64.
- 6. Diwan AG, Agrawal PH, Panchanadikar TM, Yadav SV, Joshi P. Late post-partum eclampsia with reversible encephalopathy syndrome: an interesting case. Indian J of Medical Specialities 2012;3:1.
- 7. Chiou YH, Chen PH. Reversible posterior encephalopathy syndrome as the presentation of late post partam eclampsia: a case report. Acta Neurologica Taiwanica. 2007;16(3):158-62.
- 8. Bartynski WS. Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, part I: fundamental imaging and clinical features. Am J Neuroradiol. 2008;29:1036-42.

- Junewar V, Verma R, Sankhwar PL, Garg RK, Singh MK, Malhotra HS, et al. Neuroimaging features and predictors of outcome in eclamptic encephalopathy: a prospective observational study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2014;35:1728-346.
- Sharma G, Joshi G, Purohit CR, Divyashree. Cranial MR imaging in eclampsia and severe preeclampsia: a prospective study. J Evolut Med Dental Sci. 2014;3(13):3250-9.
- 11. Schwartz RB, Mulkern RV, Gudbjartsson H and Jolesz F. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging in hypertensive encephalopathy: clues to pathogenesis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 1998;19:859-62.
- Sharma G, Bhatt D, Yadav K, Agarwal S, Kochar S. Eclampsia preeclampsia; clinical and neurological correlates. IOSR J Dental Med Sci. 2016;15(5):87-91.
- 13. Dahiya K, Rathod M, Rohilla S, Dahiya P. Correlation between neuroimaging and clinical presentation in eclampsia. Int J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2016;3(6):375-84.
- Demirtas O, Gelal F, Vidinli BD, Demirtas LO, Uluc E, Baloglu A. Cranial MR Imaging with clinical correlation in preeclampsia and eclampsia. Diagn Interv Radiol. 2005;11:189-94.
- 15. Swain S, Ohha KN, Prakash A. Maternal and perinatal mortality due to eclampsia. Indian Pediatr. 1993;30(6):771-3.

Cite this article as: Dogra R, Thakur R, Thakur V, Pal A, Chamotra S, Chaudhary A. Neuro-imaging in severe hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a study from North Indian tertiary health care institution. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2020;9:2346-51.