IJBCP International Journal of Basic & Clinical Pharmacology

doi: 10.5455/2319-2003.ijbcp20130112

Research Article

Evaluation of prescribing patterns of teaching and non teaching hospitals by undergraduate medical students in Pune, India

Anjali Pillay, Yogendra Keche*, Radha Yegnanarayan, Vaibhav Patil, Gargi Patil, Renuka Dangare

Department of Pharmacology, Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and Hospital, Narhe Ambegaon, Pune-411041, India

Received: 21 November 2012 Revised: 12 December 2012 Accepted: 13 December 2012

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Yogendra Keche, Email: drynkeche@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: This study was carried out in various hospitals to analyze the use of rational fixed dose combinations (FDCs) in Pune.

Methods: 279 prescriptions were evaluated in this study. Information about age, sex, names of the all the drugs prescribed by doctor/ physician, diagnosis for the use of prescriptions and adverse effects were noted in the audit form from the prescriptions of the patients. Rationality of fixed dose combination is evaluated according to WHO Model List of Essential Drugs, 17th updated version, 2011.

Results: 56.98 % doctor's prescriptions in this study were containing of fixed dose combinations and out of this 10.69 % prescriptions were including two or more FDCs in their prescriptions. Only 13.20% FDCs were in accordance with WHO Model List of Essential Drugs. FDCs from antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products, vitamins, minerals, antianaemic preparations, drugs for acid related disorders, antibacterials for systemic use and cough and cold preparations were used more by private non teaching hospitals as compared to SKNMC & GH teaching hospital. 64.61 % prescriptions of private hospitals and 34.08 % prescriptions of teaching hospital were containing more than one drug.

Conclusions: This study has shown that about every alternate prescription contains FDC. More than 80 % of prescribed FDCs are not in accordance with Essential Drugs List. Vitamins, minerals, antianaemic preparation FDCs should be prescribed judiciously as they are not free from ADRs. More number of drugs (poly-pharmacy) and FDCs were prescribed by non teaching private hospitals.

Keywords: FDCs, prescription analysis, rational use of drugs

INTRODUCTION

A fixed dose combination (FDC) refers to the combination of two or more drugs in a single formulation. Irrational drug prescribing of FDCs is common clinical practice. Important reasons for irrational prescribing are poor knowledge about the drugs and unethical drug promotion by pharmaceutical companies.¹

There is tremendous increase in pharmaceutical products in the market for protecting, restoring and maintaining health which also leads to increased cost of healthcare. In developing countries like India, there are limited economic resources and lack of organised drug policies; these again increase burden of costs of drugs to the patients. For optimal use of drugs, WHO in 1977 published 1st List of Essential Drugs. Optimal use of drugs means use of therapeutically proven effective, safe, suitable drugs and satisfying the health needs of population.²

More than 80% of prescribed FDCs are not according to WHO Model Essential Drug List.³ WHO Model List of Essential Drugs 17th edition (March 2011) includes only 24 FDCs.⁴ Unnecessary drug and money of patient is being wasted and patient is being exposed to ADRs of additional drug in FDCs.

Kshirsagar et al² in 1998 observed that around 55% prescribed drugs by practitioners in Pune were in the form of FDCs and 64 % prescribed FDCs were found to be being used unnecessarily. Shewade et al.¹ observed that teaching hospital physicians were prescribing more rational prescriptions.

Rational drugs policy or an essential drugs list will be useless unless accompanied by intensive efforts to improve the education and updating of doctors and pharmacists and to reduce the commercial pressures on doctors to prescribe unnecessary drugs. There are various revisions in WHO model essential drug list and newer drug combinations introduced. There is need for carry out prescriptions monitoring in hospitals as well as of private practitioners. Therefore, this study was planned to study the rational use of FDCs by analyzing the prescriptions issued by doctors in Pune.

Aims and objectives

- 1. To find out most commonly used FDCs in Pune.
- 2. To find out groups of drugs from which FDCs are prescribed.
- 3. To find the FDCs to which patients report ADRs.
- 4. To compare the prescription patterns between Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and General Hospital (SKNMC & GH) teaching hospital and other private non teaching hospitals.

METHODS

Study site

The data for this study was collected by II MBBS students of Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and Hospital, Narhe Ambegaon, Pune, Maharashtra, India by: 1) visiting various OPDs counters of Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College like surgery, medicine, ENT, dermatology, orthopedics, gynecology and collecting data from prescriptions of patients (teaching hospital). 2) prescriptions of private hospitals at medical shops or from private hospitals in Pune from Narhe, Dhayari, Bhavani Peth, Kothrud and Pimpri Chinchwad areas of Pune City (non teaching hospital). Permission from the private hospitals administration was taken for collecting the data by the students.

Study design and sampling

It was a cross sectional observational study. The data was collected over 9 months by II MBBS students.

Study tools

Total prescriptions were 279, out of which 132 were collected from teaching hospital and 147 were collected from non teaching private hospitals. Data was collected in semi-structured questionnaire format prepared by Department of Pharmacology, SKNMC & GH. Information about the drugs prescribed by the doctors,

ingredients in drug combination, duration of therapy, frequency of drug administration, diagnosis for the drug use, ADRs to FDCs reported by patients was collected from prescriptions. Literature data⁵, time course of appearance of adverse effects and period of drug administration was used for assigning the ADR to particular FDCs. The information obtained by the students has been audited for rationality of FDCs with the help of WHO Essential Drug list, 17th edition (March 2011).⁴

Statistical analysis

As there is absence of substantial data for prescription audit, we had taken approximately 300 prescriptions as sample size, out of which, for 279 prescriptions, we had complete information. Hence we carried out analysis of 279 prescriptions. Data was expressed as number or percentages of FDCs or drugs prescribed by doctors. Proportion of different FDCs or drugs prescribed by doctors was found out and comparison was done between the different drug groups. Chi-square test was used for comparison of prescriptions of SKNMC & GH and non-teaching private hospitals.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics are comparable in both the groups (Table 1). 24.63 % of FDCs in SKNMC teaching hospital and 15.55 % of FDCs in non teaching private hospitals were in accordance with the WHO recommended list of FDCs. Significantly less number of FDCs and more rational FDCs had been prescribed by SKNMC & GH teaching hospital (Table 2).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of the study population.

Demographic character	No. (%) of prescriptions			
	SKN teaching hospital	Private nonteaching hospitals		
Total prescriptions Sex : Male Female	132 62 (46.97) 70 (53.03)	147 86 (58.50) 61 (41.50)		
Age-wise distribution of prescriptions 0-15 yrs 16-30 yrs 31-45 yrs 46-60 yrs 61-75 yrs >75 yrs	17 (12.88) 56 (42.42) 23 (17.42) 18 (13.64) 12 (9.09) 6 (4.55)	12 (8.16) 60 (40.82) 42 (28.57) 23 (15.65) 8 (5.44) 2 (1.36)		

Table 2: Comparison of prescriptions of teaching and non teaching hospitals.

Parameters	SKNMC & GH teaching hospital – No. (%)	Private non teaching hospitals – No. (%)	p value
Total Prescription	132	147	
FDCs prescribed	69 (52.27)	90 (61.22)	0.25
2 or more FDCs per prescription	6 (4.55)	11 (7.48)	0.63
Rational FDCs	28 (40.58)	22 (24.44)	0.03
FDCs included in WHO essential drug list	17 (24.64)	14 (15.56)	0.15
No. (%) of drugs/ prescription One drug/ prescription 2 drugs / prescription >2 drugs / prescription	87 (65.90) 18 (13.64) 27 (20.46)	52 (35.37) 22 (14.97) 73 (49.66)	0.00003 0.99 0.00002

FDCs from antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products, vitamins, minerals, antianaemic preparations, drugs for acid related disorders, antibacterials for systemic use and cough and cold preparations were used more by private non teaching hospitals as compared to SKNMC teaching hospital. FDCs from antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products, vitamins, drugs for acid related disorders are widely prescribed irrational FDCs not having any rational basis (Table 3).

Adverse effects were reported by patients who were coming for refilling of prescriptions. Analgesics FDCs

caused more number of ADRs amongst all prescribed FDCs (Table 4). No serious ADRs were reported in this study.

Table 5 shows different FDCs with Anatomical Therapeutic Classification (ATC) class prescribed by physicians in Pune. Ibuprofen + paracetamol, pantoprazole + domperidone, calcium + vitamin D3, ciprofloxacin + tinidazole are notable examples of commonly prescribed FDCs in Pune.

Table 3: Different drug groups of FDCs as WHO ATC class prescribed by teaching and non teaching hospitals.

Drug Groups of FDCs		No. (%) FDC prescribed		No. (%) Rational FDCs prescribed	
		SKN teaching hospital	Private non teaching hospitals	SKN teaching hospital	Private non teaching hospitals
1	A 02-Drugs for acid related disorder	4 (5.80)	11 (12.22)	0	0
2	A 03- Drugs for functional gastrointestinal disorder	4 (5.80)	4 (4.44)	0	0
3	A 07- Antidiarrheals, intestinal anti- inflammatory /antiinfective agents	1(1.45)	1(1.11)	1(1.45)	1 (1.11)
4	A 10- Drugs used in diabetes	2 (2.89)	1 (1.11)	0	0
5	A 11- Vitamins	4 (5.80)	10 (12.22)	0	0

6	A 12- Mineral supplements	16 (23.19)	10 (12.22)	16 (23.19)	10 (11.11)
7	B 01- Antithrombotic agents	0	1 (1.11)	0	0
8	B 03- Antianaemic preparations	7 (10.14)	6 (6.67)	7 (10.14)	6 (6.67)
9	D 01- Antifungals for dermatological use	0	1 (1.11)	0	0
10	D 02- Emollients and protectives	0	1 (1.11)	0	0
11	D 07- Corticosteroids and dermatological preparations	0	1(1.11)	0	0
12	D 10- Anti-acne preparations	4 (5.80)	0	0	0
13	J 01- Antibacterials for systemic use	5 (7.25)	9 (10)	4 (5.80)	4 (4.44)
14	J 04- Antimycobacterials	0	1 (1.11)	0	0
15	M 01- Antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products	5(7.25)	18 (20)	0	0
16	M 03- Muscle relaxants	0	1(1.11)	0	0
17	N 04- Anti-Parkinson's drugs	0	1(1.11)	0	1(1.11)
18	N 05- Psycholeptics	1(1.45)	1(1.11)	0	0
19	R 01- Nasal preparations	1(1.45)	1(1.11)	0	0
20	R 03- Drugs for obstructive airway disease	8 (11.59)	6 (6.67)	0	0
21	R 05- Cough and cold preparations	7 (10.14)	5 (5.56)	0	0
		69 (100)	90 (100)	28 (40.58)	22 (24.44)
WH	O ATC – World Health Organization Ana	tomical Therapeutic	Chemical Classifica	tion	

Table 4: Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) reported by patients and found to be associated with FDCs.

Groups of drug	Name of FDCs causing ADRs	Adverse effects	No. of patients reported
M01- anti- inflammatory and antirheumatic products	Ibuprofen + Paracetamol Diclofenac + Paracetamol Aceclofenac + Paracetamol Nimesulide + Paracetamol	Hyperacidity, loss of appetite, dizziness, nausea, vomiting, sour taste	8
J01 - Antibacterials for systemic use	Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid Piperacillin + Tazobactam	Diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, hypoglycemia	3
A02- drugs for acid related disorder	Pantoprazole + Domperidone Aluminium hydroxide + Magnesium trisilicate liquid + Dimethicon	Loss of appetite, giddiness, headache, muscle cramps, sedation, headache, loose stools Nausea	4

A11-Vitamins A12-mineral supplements B03- antianaemia preparations	Vitamin B complex Multivitamin and minerals Calcium + Vitamin D Iron + Folic acid	Headache, constipation, epigastic pain, nausea, vomiting, metallic taste	4
N05- psycholeptics R05- cough and cold preparations	Chlordiazepoxide + Clidinium bromide Cough syrup Cetirizine + Pseudoephedrine	Dry mouth, visual disturbance, constipation Drowsiness dizziness	1 1 1
FDCs- Fixed dose	combinations		

Table 5: Different FDCs prescribed by physicians in Pune with WHO ATC class and code.

Sr. No.	Name of FDCs prescribed by doctors	ATC code of drug	DDD	Private non teaching hospitals	SKN teaching hospital
	A 02-Drugs for a	cid related dis	sorder		
1	Pantoprazole + Domperidone	A02BX		9	3
2	Alum. Hydrox. + Mag.Trisil. + Dimethicon	A02AD02		2	1
	A 03- Drugs for function	al gastrointes	tinal disorder		
3	Mefenamic acid + Dicyclomine	A03DB04		4	4
	A 07- Antidiarrheals, intestinal an	ti-inflammato	ory/antiinfective	agents	
4	Diphenoxylate+ Atropine	A07DA02	15 mg (ref to Diphenoxylate)	1	1
	A 10- Drugs	used in diabet	es		
5	Glimepiride + Metformin	A10BD02		1	1
6	Pioglitazone + Glimepiride	A10BD06		0	1
	A 11- `	Vitamins			
7	Vitamin B Complex	A11EA		4	2
8	Minerals + vitamins	A11JB		6	2
	A 12- Miner	al supplemen	ts		
9	Oral Rehydration Salt solution (ORS)	A12C		5	8
10	calcium + VitD3	A12AX		5	8
	B 01- Antithr	ombotic agen	ıts		
11	Clopidogrel + Aspirin	B01AC30		1	0
	B 03- Antianae	mic preparat	ions		
12	Iron + folic acid	B03AD03		6	7
	D 01- Antifungals f	or dermatolo	gical use		
13	Clobetasol + Neomycin + Miconazole	D01AC20		1	0
	D 02- Emollien	ts and protect	tives		
14	Aloe vera + Calamine cream	D02B		1	0
	D 07- Corticosteroids and	dermatologic	al preparations		
15	Mometasone furoate + Salicylic acid	D07XC03		1	0
	D 10- Anti-ac	ne preparatio	ons		

6	Adapalene + Clindamycin	D10AD53		0	4
	J 01- Antibacteria	als for system	ic use		
17	Amoxycillin + Clavulanic acid	J01CR02	1 gm (ref amoxicillin)	1	2
18	Ceftriaxone + Sulbactam	J01CG02		1	1
19	Cefoperazone + Sulbactam	J01CG02		1	0
20	Piperacillin + Tazobactam	J01CG02		1	1
21	Ciprofloxacin + Tinidazole	J01R		2	0
22	Ofloxacin + Ornidazole	J01R		3	1
	J 04- Antim	ycobacterials	S		
23	Rifampicin + Isoniazid	J04AM02		1	0
	M 01- Antiinflammatory a	and antirheur	natic products		
24	Mefenamic acid + Paracetamol	M01BX		2	0
25	Aceclofenac + Paracetamol	M01BX		2	1
26	Diclofenac + Paracetamol	M01BX		4	2
27	Nimesulide + Paracetamol	M01BX		0	1
28	Ibuprofen + Paracetamol	M01AE51		10	1
	M 03- Mus	cle relaxants			
29	Diclofenac + Paracetamol + Chlorzoxazone	M03BB53		1	0
	N 04- Anti-Pa	rkinson's dru	ıgs		
30	Levodopa + Carbidopa	N04BA02	0.6 gm	1	0
	N 05- Psy	choleptics			
31	Chlordiazepoxide+ Clidinium bromide + Dicyclomine	N05BA		0	1
32	Chlordiazepoxide+ Clidinium bromide	N05BA		1	0
	R 01- Nasal	preparations	i		
33	Cetirizine + Pseudoephedrine	R01B		1	1
	R 03- Drugs for obst	ructive airwa	y disease		
34	Salmeterol + Fluticasone propionate	R03AK		2	1
35	Salbutamol + Budesonide	R03AK		1	0
36	Cough syrup - Terbutaline+ Bromhexine +Guaiphenesin+ Menthol	R03DA		3	7
	R 05- Cough and	cold prepara	ntions		
37	Cough syrup- Diphenhydramine +Ammo. chloride+Sod. citrate + Menthol	R05FA02		4	7
38	Codeine+ Paracetamol + Chlorpheniramine	R05X		1	0
				90	69

DISCUSSION

There is no difference in demographic characteristics in both the groups. Study included mainly the prescriptions for the patients in the age group of 16-60 years.

FDCs from antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products, vitamins, minerals, antianaemic preparations, drugs for acid related disorders, antibacterials for systemic use and cough and cold preparations prescribed by the both groups. antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products

FDCs were prescribed significantly more by private practitioners in Pune. There is little evidence that any analgesic-antiinflammatory combination is better than its individual components alone. None of the analgesic-antiinflammatory combinations has place in the WHO Model List of Essential Drugs.

Cough syrups were prescribed significantly more by teaching hospital. Rational FDCs of GIT drugs, antibiotics and mineral supplements were prescribed more by teaching hospital as compared to non teaching private hospitals.

It is very difficult to correlate ADRs with FDCs prescription particularly when multiple drugs are prescribed in prescriptions. We had used literature data⁵, time course of appearance of adverse effects and period of drug administration for assigning ADR to particular FDCs. Out of 15 antibiotic FDCs prescribed by both the groups, 9 antibiotic FDCs were found be associated with development of ADRs. Antibiotic FDCs are made to achieve extended spectrum of action, if prescribed indiscriminately, definitely leads to adverse effects and resistance. Analgesic fixed dose combinations accounts for 55% ADRs.

Vitamins/minerals are not also free from ADRs as in this study, in 4 patients ADRs found to be associated with the use of vitamins and mineral FDCs. Significantly less number of rational mineral and vitamins FDCs were prescribed by private practitioner in Pune. Vitamins and minerals FDCs many times add only bulk to the prescriptions, also these preparations are inexpensive and in overdoses may be harmful. WHO Model List for Essential Drugs includes ascorbic acid, calcium, ferrous salts, folic acid, nicotinamide, pyridoxine, retinol, riboflavin, and thiamine among vitamins and minerals, as single drug formulation. The only fixed dose combination listed in WHO Essential Medicine List in vitamin/mineral category is of ferrous salt and folic acid. 4

Polypharmacy and FDC prescriptions were less in SKNMC & GH teaching hospital prescriptions as compared to non teaching private hospitals prescriptions. Kshirsagar et al² in their study in Pune observed higher average number drugs per prescription as well as increased frequency of prescriptions of FDCs. Ansari et al⁷ in Allahabad District observed overprescription tendency more in private sector hospitals. Shewade et al¹ in their study carried out in Pondicherry observed more average number of drugs per prescription, more FDCs prescription and less number of FDCs compliant WHO Essential Drug List in prescriptions of nonteaching private hospitals as compared to Government teaching hospital. Our observations are also in line with these studies.

CIMS⁸ and DRUG TODAY⁹ indices of marketed drugs have listed various irrational FDC preparations in the class of antiinflammatory and antirheumatic products,

vitamins, minerals, antianaemic preparations, drugs for acid related disorders and cough and cold preparations available in the Indian market. Kshirsagar et al² in their study, evaluated prescription formulations listed in CIMS and observed that 50 irrational prescriptions of tonics and 64 % FDCs were deemed unnecessary.

Pharmaceutical companies are producing more number of irrational FDCs as listed in indices of marketed drugs and using various tactics for prescriptions of these FDCs. CIMS lists more than 100 irrational drug combinations which are not approved in any other developed country but are being marketed in India. FDA can regulate this by not giving permission for manufacturing of new FDCs unless it is proved better than older drugs/ FDCs.

Rational use of medicine is one of the essential elements to be achieved to improve quality of health and medical care for the patients and the community. Prescription evaluation studies by students as well as researchers, frequent workshops on rational use of drugs for private practitioners will be useful for improving rational prescribing of drugs.^{2,10,11} Training in pharmacotherapeutics to fresh graduates may also help in improving rational prescription of drugs^{2,10} as specially in the medical curriculum in India, medical students get training of pharmacotherapeutics in II MBBS phase. Selection of P drugs, rational drug use, use of rational drugs combinations should be included in the student's curriculum during their clinical training.¹⁰

CONCLUSIONS

More than 80% of prescribed FDCs are not in accordance with WHO Model List for Essential Drugs. As antiinflammatory and antirheumatic FDCs are liable for added ADRs, they should not be prescribed casually. Most of the anti-inflammatory and antirheumatic FDCs used are additive rather than synergistic. Vitamins/mineral supplement, antianaemia FDCs should be prescribed judiciously as they are not free from ADRs and also add to the increased budget for drugs. Polypharmacy is practiced more when more number of FDCs are prescribed. FDCs are prescribed in less number in teaching hospital as compared to non teaching private hospitals, probably because of stocking of drugs in hospital pharmacy as per Essential Medicine List. Critical reappraisal is required to weed out irrational FDCs from the market. Training of practitioners, repeated workshops rational prescribing, re-training pharmacotherapeutics may helpful in improving rational prescribing of drugs.

Funding: No funding sources Competing interests: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Smt. Kashibai Navale Medical College and Hospital, Narhe Ambegaon, Pune, India

REFERENCES

- 1. Shewade DG, Pradhan SC. Auditing of prescriptions in a government teaching hospital and four retail medical stores in Pondicherry. Indian J Pharmacol 1998;30:408-10.
- Kshirsagar MJ, Langade D, Patil S, Patki PS. Prescribing patterns among medical practitioners in Pune, India. Bull World Health Organ 1998;76:271-5.
- 3. Kastury N, Singh S, Ansari KU. An audit of prescription for rational use of fixed dose drug combinations. Indian J Pharmacol 1999;31:367-9.
- 4. WHO Model list of Essential drugs 2011, 17th edition (March 2011). Available at http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/essential medicines/en/. Accessed 12 September 2012.
- 5. Tripathi KD. Essentials of Medical Pharmacology, 6th edition, Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (P) Ltd, New Delhi, 2008.

- Beares WT. Analgesic combinations. In: Lasagna, editor. Combination drugs: Their uses and regulation. New York, Stration International Medical Book Corporation, 1975:52-72.
- Ansari KU, Singh S, Pandey RC. Evaluation of doctors for rational drug therapy. Indian J Pharmacol 1998;30:43-46.
- 8. Current index of medical specialities (CIMS), July-Oct 2011 (update 3). Bangalore, India: CMP Medica India; 2011.
- 9. DRUG TODAY, July-Sept 2011 (volume 1 & 2) Delhi, Lorina Publication (India) Inc., 2011.
- Gautam CS, Aditya S. Irrational drug combinations: Need to sensitize undergraduates. Indian J Pharmacol 2006;38:169-70.
- 11. Rahman Z, Nazneen R, Begum M. Evaluation of prescribing pattern of the private practitioners by the undergraduate medical students. Bangladesh J Pharmacol 2009;4:73-5.

doi: 10.5455/2319-2003.ijbcp20130112 **Cite this article as:** Pillay A, Keche Y, Yegnanarayan R, Patil V, Patil G, Dangare R. Evaluation of prescribing patterns of teaching and non teaching hospitals by undergraduate medical students in Pune, India. Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol 2013;2:61-8.