



Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences

http://www.jebas.org

ISSN No. 2320 - 8694

ASSESSMENT OF PROBIOTIC PROPERTIES AND SAFETY OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM SOUTH SULAWESI ETHNIC CHEESE

Nana Juniarti Natsir Djide^{1,*}, Nur Indah Yanti², Nurul Suci Pratiwi¹, Andi Ainun Nuzulia¹, Rangga Meidianto Asri³

¹Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hasanuddin University, Jl. PerintisKemerdekaan No.10, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90245, Indonesia ² Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hasanuddin University, Jl. PerintisKemerdekaan No.10, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90245, Indonesia ³Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Hasanuddin University, Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan No.10, Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, 90245, Indonesia

Received – December 30, 2020; Revision – March 26, 2021; Accepted – April 30, 2021 Available Online – September 08, 2021

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2021.9(Spl-2-ICOPMES_2020).S222.S227

KEYWORDS

Lactic acid bacteria

Probiotic properties

Safety

South Sulawesi

Dangke

ABSTRACT

Probiotic bacteria have been used widely as a functional food and health supplements. The functionality and safety of probiotics are the prerequisites given by WHO (World Health Organization) and FAO (Food Agricultural Organization) before utilizing probiotics. This study focuses on assessing probiotic properties and the safety of the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) isolated from dangke—traditional cheese of South Sulawesi. In the current study, the assessment of probiotic properties was carried by assessing its tolerance against low pH and bile salts. Safety assessments were divided into two assays viz., susceptibility testing and hemolytic activity. LAB from dangke demonstrated tolerance against low pH, bile salt and susceptibility against four types of antibiotics (ampicillin, cefotaxime, erythromycin, and tetracycline). Unfortunately, resistance towards gentamycin and an α -hemolytic activity was observed. This bacterium met the functional criteria from probiotics but failed to meet the safety criteria for probiotic safety.

* Corresponding author

E-mail: nanajuniarti@unhas.ac.id (Nana Juniarti Natsir Djide)

Peer review under responsibility of Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences.

Production and Hosting by Horizon Publisher India [HPI] (http://www.horizonpublisherindia.in/). All rights reserved. All the articles published by Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License Based on a work at www.jebas.org.



1 Introduction

Probiotic incorporation in food and health supplement remains a trend ever since its first commercialization in 1903. Some probiotics used in the market today mainly originated from fermented foods or the human body and animal microflora (Zommiti et al., 2020). To ensure its beneficial action and its safety in our body, probiotic candidates must fulfil some requirements. The minimum criteria for probiotic candidates were emphasized in the International Scientific Association of Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) 2018 discussions based on joint FAO/WHO 2002 guidelines: 'a *probiotic candidate must meet functionality and safety criteria, also be easy to use in technical terms'* (FAO/WHO 2002; ISAPP 2018; Zommiti et al., 2020).

After being consumed, probiotic will be exposed to low pH and bile salts in the gastrointestinal tract; it must maintain specific viability to provide health benefits when consumed (Markowiak & Śliżewska 2017; Stasiak-Różańska et al., 2021). Though probiotics are usually encapsulated to protect their sustainability, some probiotic products suggest the protective capsule be removed before consumed by toddlers, which may affect its survival and efficacy. Furthermore, the varying incapability of several commercial probiotic supplements against the extreme condition in the stomach was reported in many studies (Zommiti et al., 2020; Stasiak-Różańska et al., 2021). Regarding its safety, the origin of the strain, its hemolysis activity, and resistance pattern to antibiotics become the requirements of a probiotic candidate must meet during the selection (Markowiak & Śliżewska 2017; Zommiti et al., 2020).

ISAPP suggested a wide distribution of mechanisms of probiotic health benefits in large taxonomic groups. Moreover, it was frequently found at the species level (Hill et al., 2014). Though not all health benefit effects might be observed in a single strain, multiple mechanisms including the production of strain-specific bioactive compounds might be expected (Hill et al., 2014). Each strain might possess different characteristics; therefore, assessments of its probiotic properties and safety to ensure the quality, functionality, and safety of a new probiotic candidate for commercial products are needed.

Dangke, an ethnic cheese of South Sulawesi, Indonesia, has been studied as the source of some potential probiotic candidates (Nur, 2012; Burhan et al., 2017). This staple food is made from buffalo or cow milk added with papaya sap to coagulate the protein then ripened; the compounds produced during the ripening process support the growth of LAB (Surono, 2015; Burhan et al., 2017; Djide et al., 2020). The current study managed to isolate and identify bacteriocin-producing lactic acid culture—*Lactobacillus fermentum* strain NBRC 15885—from Dangke; however, its probiotic properties and safety are yet to be determined (Djide et

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org al., 2020). Hence, this study focused on assessing probiotic properties and the safety of the strain to ensure its functionality and safety as a new commercial probiotic candidate.

2 Materials and Methods

To carry out this study, *L. fermentum* strain NBRC 15885 isolated from dangke was cultured in de Man Rogosa & Sharpe (MRS) Agar (Merck[®]) 24 hours before the test.

2.1 Assessment of Probiotic Properties

2.1.1 Tolerance Against low pH

Tolerance against low pH was assessed following Sujaya et al. (2008) with some modification. LAB was cultured on MRS broth (Merck[®]) in aerobic condition at 37°C, for 48 hours. One ml of the media was transferred into 1.5 ml Eppendorf[®] tubes and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 3000 rpm. The filtrate was discarded, followed by adding a 500 μ l saline solution (Otsuka[®]) into the tube. A total of 50 μ l of the suspension was pipette into a new tube contained 1000 μ l MRS Broth (Merck[®]) at pH 2 followed by incubation for 2.5 hours at 37°C. After 2.5 hours, 100 μ l of cell suspension was pipette into a new tube followed by10-fold dilution using saline solution (Otsuka[®]). Fifty microliters of the dilution were pipetted into 5 ml broth MRS Broth (Merck[®]) (pH 6.5) then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The optical density of the media was measured at a wavelength of 660 nm using Spectronic-20 (Thermo-scientific[®]).

2.1.2 Tolerance Against Bile Salt (0.3%)

Tolerance against low pH was assessed by using the same method mentioned above. MRS broth supplemented 0.3% bile was used in this test.

2.2 Safety Assessment of the Strain

2.2.1 Hemolytic Activity

Hemolytic activity was assessed by inoculating LAB culture in Blood Agar (Merck[®]) added with 5% sheep blood followed by 24 hours incubation at 37°C. The change in media colour was observed (Singh et al. 2014).

2.2.2 Antimicrobial Resistance

MRS Agar media was spread (Merck[®]) with previously diluted LAB culture—adjusted to the standard McFarland 0.5 (equivalent to 1.5 x 10^8 cfu/ml). Antibiotic discs (ampicillin, erythromycin, tetracycline, and gentamicin (Oxoid[®]) were aseptically placed on the media. The plates were incubated 24 hours at 37°C. The diameter of the inhibitory zone was measured. CLSI M100-S22 (Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute 2012) was used as a reference to determine the susceptibility of test antibiotics.

3 Results

3.1 Assessment of Probiotic Properties

According to Sujaya et al. (2008), if LAB shows an OD660 > 0.01 after being grown at a low pH of 0.3% bile salt, the bacteria might survive in the gastrointestinal tract, and it can be able to withstand the extreme condition of the gastrointestinal tract. The OD660 value of dangke LAB after being grown in MRS Broth at pH 2 and MRS Broth added with 0.3% bile salts were shown in Table 1.

3.2 Safety Assessment of the Strain

Safety assessments of dangke LAB were divided into two assays viz., hemolytic activity and antimicrobial resistance. Hemolysis

activity was studied on blood agar media. Cultures of dangke LAB exhibit α -hemolysis activity characterized by forming a greenish zone with a clear outer area around the streak (Figure 1).

Assessment of antimicrobial resistance was carried out against the five antibiotics i.e. ampicillin, cefotaxime, erythromycin, gentamycin, and tetracycline. According to the CLSI standard (Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute 2012), there are three categories of resistance in assessment: >14 mm inhibition zone is considered resistant (R), inhibition zone diameter ranges from 15 - 19 mm is deemed to be intermediate (I), and a diameter < 20 mm is considered to be susceptible (S). The results showed LAB were sensitive to ampicillin, cefotaxime, erythromycin, tetracycline while showing resistance to gentamicin antibiotics (Table 2).

Table 1	The	OD660	value of	dangke LAB	grown in extreme GIT condition	
10010 1		02000	rane or	dangite bi ib	grown in entreme orr condition	

Condition	OD_{660}
pH 2	1.620 ± 0.053
Bile salts 0.3%	1.627 ± 0.012

R = resistant, I = intermediate, S = susceptible

Table 2 Antibiogram Profile of L. fermentum NBRC 15885

Antibiotic(µg)	Diameter of inhibition zone (mm)	Interpretation
Ampicillin (10)	41.33 <u>+</u> 1.61	S
Cefotaxime (30)	26.00 ± 1.80	S
Erythromycin (15)	29.56 <u>+</u> 3.96	S
Gentamycin (10)	7.78 ± 0.42	R
Tetracycline (30)	21.89 ± 1.83	S

R = resistant, I = intermediate, S = susceptible



Figure 1 Hemolytic Activity of L. fermentum NBRC 15885 Isolated from Dangke.

4 Discussion

4.1 Assessment of Probiotic Properties

WHO/FAO defines probiotics as living microbes that provide a health benefit when given in adequate amounts (FAO/WHO 2002). Based on these definitions, probiotics must maintain specific viability to benefit our health, despite being formulated into an encapsulated product (Papadimitriou et al., 2016; Zommiti et al., 2020). The gastrointestinal tract creates various challenges for probiotic cultures, including acidity (pH 2-4), digestive enzymes (Papadimitriou et al., 2016), and bile salts (Ruiz et al., 2013), thus, resistance against these conditions becomes an essential requirement in the screening of new probiotics where a minimum decrease in viability under these critical conditions (Papadimitriou et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). Furthermore, acid resistance is also an important technological aspect since the accumulation of acid products from fermentation may lead to cell death (Papadimitriou et al., 2016). The acidic conditions of G.I. not only damage the cell membrane and cell walls, but also affect membrane potential, protein denaturation, and damage jeans (Papadimitriou et al., 2016), while bile salt can cause cell death through damaged lipid packaging or cytoplasm acidification (Ruiz et al., 2013). LAB tolerance against low pH can occur through several mechanisms, including production of alkali compounds that neutralize pH, biofilm formation, protection or repair of cell macromolecules, or the presence of F₀F₁-ATPase proton pump system (Jin et al. 1998; Wang et al., 2018). The mechanism of resistance of BAL against bile salts can occur through hydrolysis of bile salts, alterations in the components of cell walls and membranes, and the active efflux of bile acid and its salts (Ruiz et al., 2013). Based on the results, L. fermentum NBRC 15885 bacteria meet the functional criteria of probiotics.

4.2 Safety Assessment of the Strain

Haemolysis is one of the virulence factors. Haemolysis is a phenomenon that indicates the release of haemoglobin from red blood cells, it can be classified into three types: alpha (α), beta (β), and gamma (γ , non-hemolysis) types. Among those three, γ hemolysis is considered safe. FAO/WHO requires testing of hemolysis activity for a probiotic strain if the same species shows potential for hemolysis (FAO/WHO 2002). Owusu-Kwarteng et al. (2015) reported α -hemolysis activity by *L. fermentum* strains of millet dough; therefore, hemolytic activity needs to be assessed. LAB culture of dangke shows α -hemolysis activity. The first phenomenon of α -hemolysis with true outer hemolysis was observed by Davis & Rogers in 1939, with hydrogen peroxide production as the possible mechanism. Several years later, another mechanism, such as the production of hemolysin or biosurfactants, was reported and eventually used to screen biosurfactant producing bacteria (Morán et al., 2002). The amphiphilic parts of surfactant molecules can disrupt cell membrane integrity through modification of lipid compounds and integral proteins, which leads to lysis of red blood cell membranes (Manaargadoo-Catin et al., 2016). Several strains of *Lactobacillus* spp. are also reported to produce biosurfactants and hydrogen peroxide (Sharma & Saharan, 2014; Sharma & Saharan, 2016; Cornea et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2021).

Another safety requirement of probiotic strains by FAO/WHO is the determination of antibiotic resistance patterns (FAO/WHO 2002). Based on the test result, LAB dangke shows resistance against aminoglycoside antibiotic gentamicin which has already been reported by previous researchers (Georgieva et al., 2015; Dec et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2019). The mechanism of LAB resistance against the aminoglycoside group was first reported in 1998 as a loss of aminoglycoside uptake mediated by cytochrome-mediated electoral transport (Charteris et al., 1998). Several years later, intrinsic gene aph(3')-IIIa, aac(6')-aph(2''), and ant(6) were reported as the gene responsible for aminoglycosides resistance in Lactobacillus (Wong et al., 2015). Recently, asp23 was reported to regulate the gentamicin resistance mechanism in *L. casei* (Zhang et al., 2018).

Although probiotics with resistant genes are not harmful, there is a potential to transfer the resistance gene from probiotics to indigenous microorganisms in the gut, or even opportunistic pathogens living in the gut that might cause severe infections (Zheng et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020). Besides, several cases of difficult-to-treat bacteremia or endocarditis caused by Lactobacillus species which are used in probiotic products have been reported (Ashraf & Shah, 2011; Zheng et al., 2017). On the other hand, this resistance can be helpful in combination with antibiotic therapy because probiotics must survive in the influence of these antibiotics (Imperial & Ibana, 2016). The study of 43 commercial probiotic strains used as a probiotic-antibiotic combination in Japan shows that the amount used clinically with antibiotics is quite limited (Hammad & Shimamoto, 2010). These findings turn the usage of probiotics in the health field into a double-edged sword. As reviewed by Tong (2021), though some probiotic strains show resistant activity towards antibiotics, but these activities are sometimes intrinsic and have restricted transference to other genera (Tong 2021).

Conclusion

In conclusion, *L. fermentum* strain NBRC 15885 isolated from dangke exhibits probiotic potential as it can tolerate bile salt and extreme acidity. However, it didn't meet the safety criteria of FAO/WHO. It is recommended to expand the study in various research fields to uncover more potentials of this strain.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

Djide et al.

Acknowledgment

This work was financed by Hasanuddin University, under the scheme of "Penelitian Dosen Pemula Unhas" Grant 2019.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this study.

References

Ashraf R, Shah NP (2011) Antibiotic Resistance of Probiotic Organisms and Safety of Probiotic Dairy Products. International Food Research Journal 18 (3): 837–53.

Burhan H, Priyambada SA, Taufik E, Arief II (2017) Potential of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Dangke and Indonesian Beef as Hypocholesterolaemic Agent. Media Peternakan 40 (2): 136–42. https://doi.org/10.5398/medpet.2017.40.2.136.

Charteris WP, Kelly PM, Morelli L, Collins JK (1998) Antibiotic Susceptibility of Potentially Probiotic *Lactobacillus* Species. Journal of Food Protection 61 (12): 1636–43.

Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (2012) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: Twenty-Second Informational Supplement. M100-S22 V ed. Wayne: Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute.

Cornea CP, Israel-Roming F, Sicuia OA, Voaideş C, Zamfir M, et al. (2016) Biosurfactant Production by Lactobacillus *Sps.* Strains Isolated from Romanian Traditional Fermented Food Products. Romanian Biotechnological Letters 21 (2): 11312–20.

Davis JG, Rogers HJ (1939) The Reactions of Streptococci and Lactobacilli in Blood Agar. The Journal of Hygiene 39 (4): 446–53. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022172400012080.

Dec M, Urban-Chmiel R, Stępień-Pyśniak D, Wernicki A (2017) Assessment of Antibiotic Susceptibility in Lactobacillus Isolates from Chickens. Gut Pathogens 9 (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-017-0203-z.

Djide NJN, Asri RM, Djide N (2020) Sourcing New Potential Bacteriocin-Producing Bacteria from Dangke, Ethnic Cheese of Enrekang, Indonesia. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 575:012035. IOP Publishing Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/575/1/012035.

Dong Y, Zhang F, Wang B, Gao J, Zhang J, Shao Y (2019) Laboratory Evolution Assays and Whole-Genome Sequencing for the Development and Safety Evaluation of Lactobacillus Plantarum with Stable Resistance to Gentamicin. Frontiers in Microbiology 10 (JUN): 1235. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01235. FAO/WHO (2002) Guidelines for the Evaluation of Probiotics in Food." Paris.

Georgieva R, Yocheva L, Tserovska L, Zhelezova G, Stefanova N, Atanasova A, Danguleva A, et al. (2015) Antimicrobial Activity and Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* Sps. Intended for Use as Starter and Probiotic Cultures. Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment 29 (1): 84–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2014.987450.

Hammad AM, Shimamoto T (2010) Towards a Compatible Probiotic-Antibiotic Combination Therapy: Assessment of Antimicrobial Resistance in the Japanese Probiotics. Journal of Applied Microbiology 109 (4): 1349–60. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-2672.2010.04762.x.

Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, Morelli L, et al. (2014) The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics Consensus Statement on the Scope and Appropriate Use of the Term Probiotic. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology 11 (8): 506–14. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66.

Imperial ICVJ, Ibana JA (2016) Addressing the Antibiotic Resistance Problem with Probiotics: Reducing the Risk of Its Double-Edged Sword Effect. Frontiers in Microbiology 7 (DEC): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01983.

ISAPP (2018) ISAPP Position Statement on Minimum Criteria for Harmonizing Global Regulatory Approaches for Probiotics in Foods and Supplements. Background and Aim. Available at https://4cau4jsaler1zglkq3wnmje1-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wpcontent/uploads/2018/10/summary-document-probiotics-criteria-ISAPP.pdf access on 29th April 2021.

Jin LZ, Ho YW, Abdullah N, Jalaludin S (1998) Acid and Bile Tolerance of Lactobacillus Isolated from Chicken Intestine. Letters in Applied Microbiology 27 (3): 183–85. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1472-765X.1998.00405.x.

Manaargadoo-Catin M, Ali-Cherif A, Pougnas JL, Perrin C (2016) Hemolysis by Surfactants - A Review. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science 228: 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2015.10.011.

Mann S, Park MS, Johnston TV, Ji GE, Hwang KT, Ku S (2021) Oral Probiotic Activities and Biosafety of *Lactobacillus gasseri* HHuMIN D. Microbial Cell Factories 20 (1): 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-021-01563-w.

Markowiak P, Śliżewska K (2017) Effects of Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics on Human Health. Nutrients 9 (9). https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9091021.

226

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

Morán AC, Martínez MA, Siñeriz F (2002) Quantification of Surfactin in Culture Supernatants by Hemolytic Activity. Biotechnology Letters 24 (3): 177–80.

Nur F (2012) Isolation of Lactic Acid Bacteria As a Potential Probiotic in Dangke, a Traditional Food From Buffalo Milk. 4531: 19–27.

Owusu-Kwarteng J, Tano-Debrah K, Akabanda F, Jespersen L (2015) Technological Properties and Probiotic Potential of Lactobacillus Fermentum Strains Isolated from West African Fermented Millet Dough Applied Microbiology. BMC Microbiology 15 (1): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0602-6.

Papadimitriou K, Alegría Á, Bron PA, De Angelis M, Gobbetti M, Kleerebezem M, Lemos JA (2016) Stress Physiology of Lactic Acid Bacteria. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 80 (3): 837–90. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00076-15.Address.

Ruiz L, Margolles A, Sánchez B (2013) Bile Resistance Mechanisms in Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium." Frontiers in Microbiology 4 (DEC): 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00396.

Sharma D, Saharan BS (2016) Functional Characterization of Biomedical Potential of Biosurfactant Produced by Lactobacillus Helveticus. Biotechnology Reports (Amsterdam, Netherlands) 11 (May): 27–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2016.05.001.

Sharma D, Saharan BS (2014) Simultaneous Production of Biosurfactants and Bacteriocins by Probiotic *Lactobacillus casei* MRTL3. International Journal of Microbiology 2014: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/698713.

Singh AK, Pandey A, Sharma M, Komudi, Singh A (2014) Probiotic Activities of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated From human breast milk. Journal of Biological Engineering Research and Review 1 (2): 7–12.

Stasiak-Różańska L, Berthold-Pluta A, Pluta AS, Dasiewicz K, Garbowska M (2021) Effect of Simulated Gastrointestinal Tract Conditions on Survivability of Probiotic Bacteria Present in Commercial Preparations. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 18 (3): 1–17. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph18031108. Sujaya, Made I, Suariani NLP, Widarini NP, Nocianitri KA, Nursini IN, Wayan N, Utami D (2008) Probiotic Potency of *Lactobacillus sps.* Isolated From Sumbawa Mare Milk. Jurnal Veteriner 9(1). http://ojs.unud.ac.id/index.php/jvet/article/view/3311.

Surono IS (2015) Traditional Indonesian Dairy Foods. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 24:26-30 https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.2015.24.s1.05.

Tong YD (2021) Antibiotic Resistance in Lactic Acid Bacteria. Journal of Probiotics & Health 9. https://doi.org/10.35248/2329-8901.21.9.234.

Wang C, Cui Y, Qu X (2018) Mechanisms and Improvement of Acid Resistance in Lactic Acid Bacteria. Archives of Microbiology 200 (2): 195–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-017-1446-2.

Wang Y, Jiang Y, Deng Y, Yi C, Wang Y, Ding M, Liu J, et al. (2020) Probiotic Supplements: Hope or Hype?" Frontiers in Microbiology 11 (February): 160. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00160.

Wong A, Ngu DYS, Dan LA, Ooi A, Lim RLH (2015) Detection of Antibiotic Resistance in Probiotics of Dietary Supplements. Nutrition Journal 14 (1): 12–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-015-0084-2.

Zhang W, Cao C, Zhang J, Kwok LY, Zhang H, Chen Y (2018) *Lactobacillus casei* Asp23 Gene Contributes to Gentamycin Resistance via Regulating Specific Membrane-Associated Proteins. Journal of Dairy Science 101 (3): 1915–20. https://doi.org/ 10.3168/jds.2017-13961.

Zheng M, Zhang R, Tian X, Zhou X, Pan X, Wong A (2017) Assessing the Risk of Probiotic Dietary Supplements in the Context of Antibiotic Resistance. Frontiers in Microbiology 8: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00908.

Zommiti M, Feuilloley MGJ, Connil N (2020) Update of Probiotics in Human World: A Nonstop Source of Benefactions till the End of Time. Microorganisms, https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms8121907.