University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

School of Medicine Publications and Presentations

School of Medicine

6-2022

Influence of the Human Lipidome on Epicardial Fat Volume in Mexican American Individuals

Ana C. Leandro

Laura F. Michael

Marcio Almeida

Mikko Kuokkanen

Kevin Huynh

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/som_pub

Authors

Ana C. Leandro, Laura F. Michael, Marcio Almeida, Mikko Kuokkanen, Kevin Huynh, Corey Giles, Thy Duong, Vincent P. Diego, Ravindranath Duggirala, Geoffrey D. Clarke, John Blangero, and Joanne E. Curran



Influence of the Human Lipidome on Epicardial Fat Volume in Mexican American Individuals

Ana Cristina Leandro¹, Laura F. Michael², Marcio Almeida¹, Mikko Kuokkanen¹, Kevin Huynh^{3,4}, Corey Giles^{3,4}, Thy Duong³, Vincent P. Diego¹, Ravindranath Duggirala¹, Geoffrey D. Clarke⁵, John Blangero¹, Peter J. Meikle^{3,4} and Joanne E. Curran^{1*}

¹ Department of Human Genetics and South Texas Diabetes and Obesity Institute, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley School of Medicine, Brownsville, TX, United States, ² Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, United States, ³ Metabolomics Laboratory, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ⁴ Baker Department of Cardiometabolic Health, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia, ⁵ Department of Radiology and Research Imaging Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Mary G. Sorci-Thomas, Medical College of Wisconsin, United States

Reviewed by:

Matthieu Ruiz, Université de Montréal, Canada Michael J. Thomas, Medical College of Wisconsin, United States

*Correspondence:

Joanne E. Curran joanne.curran@utrgv.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Lipids in Cardiovascular Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

> Received: 04 March 2022 Accepted: 05 May 2022 Published: 06 June 2022

Citation:

Leandro AC, Michael LF, Almeida M, Kuokkanen M, Huynh K, Giles C, Duong T, Diego VP, Duggirala R, Clarke GD, Blangero J, Meikle PJ and Curran JE (2022) Influence of the Human Lipidome on Epicardial Fat Volume in Mexican American Individuals. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:889985. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.889985 **Introduction:** Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality worldwide and is the leading cause of death in the US. Lipid dysregulation is a well-known precursor to metabolic diseases, including CVD. There is a growing body of literature that suggests MRI-derived epicardial fat volume, or epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) volume, is linked to the development of coronary artery disease. Interestingly, epicardial fat is also actively involved in lipid and energy homeostasis, with epicardial adipose tissue having a greater capacity for release and uptake of free fatty acids. However, there is a scarcity of knowledge on the influence of plasma lipids on EAT volume.

Aim: The focus of this study is on the identification of novel lipidomic species associated with CMRI-derived measures of epicardial fat in Mexican American individuals.

Methods: We performed lipidomic profiling on 200 Mexican American individuals. High-throughput mass spectrometry enabled rapid capture of precise lipidomic profiles, providing measures of 799 unique species from circulating plasma samples. Because of our extended pedigree design, we utilized a standard quantitative genetic linear mixed model analysis to determine whether lipids were correlated with EAT by formally testing for association between each lipid species and the CMRI epicardial fat phenotype.

Results: After correction for multiple testing using the FDR approach, we identified 135 lipid species showing significant association with epicardial fat. Of those, 131 lipid species were positively correlated with EAT, where increased circulating lipid levels were correlated with increased epicardial fat. Interestingly, the top 10 lipid species associated with an increased epicardial fat volume were from the deoxyceramide (Cer(m)) and triacylglycerol (TG) families. Deoxyceramides are atypical and neurotoxic sphingolipids. Triacylglycerols are an abundant lipid class and comprise the bulk of storage fat in tissues. Pathologically elevated TG and Cer(m) levels are related to CVD risk and, in our study, to EAT volume.

1

Conclusion: Our results indicate that specific lipid abnormalities such as enriched saturated triacylglycerols and the presence of toxic ceramides Cer(m) in plasma of our individuals could precede CVD with increased EAT volume.

Keywords: cardiovascular disease, lipidomic profiles, epicardial fat volume, Mexican Americans, CMRI

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality worldwide and remains the leading cause of death in the US (1, 2). CVD encompasses a broad range of disorders of the cardiovascular system, including coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, and peripheral artery disease. Underlying them all is atherosclerosis (ATS)-a complex disorder in which a host of different intrinsic and extrinsic processes and factors contribute to the development of lesions that eventually compromise normal vascular function (3). ATS is an inflammatory disease of the arteries associated with lipid and other metabolic alterations (4). Lipid dysregulation is a well-known precursor to metabolic diseases (MeD), including CVD. Risk factors for ATS and CVD, including age, sex, lipid levels, smoking and blood pressure, are incorporated in risk algorithms that are used to predict an individual's absolute risk for CVD in the general population (5). Although these risk factors are useful to predict disease risk in populations, their accuracy in predicting cardiovascular risk in individuals varies considerably across populations (6). Mexican Americans have a high prevalence of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (5, 7). The high risk for CVD in this ethnic group is partly explained by a high propensity to MeD (5).

Evidence from epidemiological and lipidomic studies has shown that specific lipoproteins and their constituent lipids are important factors in the development of metabolic related disorders (8-10), including CVD (11-13). The classical lipid traits (such as HDL-C, LDL-C, and triglycerides), most commonly examined in disease risk, are complex molecules comprised of multiple lipid and protein components (14). The lipidome is the total complement of these lipid species. Even though these lipid measures are influenced by both environmental and genetic factors, genetic variation is a significant determinant with traditional plasma measures having heritability of more than 50% (15, 16). Although CVD risk is heritable and there have been a number of successes localizing QTLs that influence disease risk, the genetic basis of this risk is still relatively unknown. Quantitative endophenotypes that are related to disease liability can offer more power for gene localization/identification than dichotomous disease status and thus serve as valuable phenotypes for disease gene identification. Such endophenotypes, whose alterations precede disease, may also be useful for early risk assessment. Measures of the human lipidome represents a wealth of phenotypes that may be better predictors of disease than the traditional clinical measures (17). The biologically simpler nature of these individual species suggests that they may reside closer to the causal action of genes, making them valuable endophenotypes (18). Lipidomic studies are helping to decipher the complex interactions between lipid metabolism and MeD, and identifying pathways representing potential therapeutic targets not seen with studies of traditional lipids (19).

Intermediate phenotypes such as those derived by CMRI and lipid profiling lie closer to the genomic level and the causal action of genes. Organ-specific adiposity has renewed growing interest in that and contributes to the pathophysiology of cardiometabolic diseases (20, 21). However, the molecular changes in adipose tissue that promote these disorders are not completely understood and, in particular, the specific role of cardiac adiposity. Pericardial adipose tissue is ectopic fat in the mediastinum, which is associated with poor metabolic and cardiac health, especially in with type II diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients (22, 23). Pericardial adipose tissue is comprised of two compartments. Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) is defined as the visceral fat deposited between the epicardium and the pericardium. Paracardial adipose tissue (PAT) is the fat deposited on the external surface of the pericardium within the mediastinum (24). Growing evidence indicates that epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) volume is positively associated with coronary artery disease (23). The increase of EAT has been associated with different cardiovascular risk factors (25) such as type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and obesity, in isolation or as part of the MeD (26-28). Growing evidence indicates that EAT volume is positively associated with coronary artery disease (29), but the mechanisms involved in this relationship remain largely unknown. EAT is the visceral fat deposit over the heart, located between the myocardium and the visceral pericardium (21, 30). EAT is more than a simple fat storage depot. Indeed, it is now widely recognized to be an extremely active endocrine organ, producing cytokines, adipokines, and chemokines that can be either protective or harmful depending on the local microenvironment (31, 32). These functions highlight the complex cellularity and crosstalk between EAT and neighboring structures (21). EAT metabolism is uniquely regulated due to the high basal rates of fatty acid uptake, insulin-induced lipogenesis, and high fatty acid breakdown (33, 34). EAT may act as a local energy store for cardiac muscle and has a protective role against elevated levels of free fatty acid (FFA) in the coronary circulation (35). Additionally, EAT could influence coronary atherogenesis and myocardial function because there is no fibrous fascial layer to impede the diffusion of FFA and adipokines between it and the underlying vessel wall as well as the myocardium (34, 36). EAT is also actively involved in lipid and energy homeostasis, with EAT having a greater capacity for release and uptake of FFA (36-38). FFA synthesis and rates of incorporation and breakdown are significantly higher in EAT than in other fat deposits. However, the amount and type of fatty acids stored could also play a role in the onset and development of atherosclerosis (39). These metabolites serve as direct signatures of biochemical activity, being, therefore, easier to correlate with quantitative plasma lipid phenotypes. In this context, lipidomics has become a powerful approach to mechanistically investigate how biochemistry relates to clinical phenotype (40, 41). We concentrate on EAT because it is a known adiposity-related risk factor for CVD, directly related to heart function, but has been comparatively less studied than more easily measured fat measures. However, there is a scarcity of information on the interaction of plasma lipids and CMRI EAT measures. Therefore, this study identifies plasma lipidomic species associated with CMRI-derived measures of epicardial fat, as a measure of CVD risk, in a cohort of Mexican American individuals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Participants

The SAFHS began in 1991 and was initially designed to investigate the genetics of CVD in Mexican Americans. The SAFHS enrolled large, extended Mexican Americans families residing in San Antonio, TX, and ascertainment occurred by way of the random selection of an adult Mexican American proband, without regard to disease. The enrollment procedures, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and phenotypic assessments of the study participants have been described in detail previously (7, 42). This is an ongoing investigation, which has had four phases of data collection over a 25-year period. The data and samples used in this study were collected during the first phase of data collection, occurring between 1992 and 1996. Blood samples were collected from participants on their visit day using standard procedures, and participants were fasted for 12 h. For plasma, blood was collected in a K2-EDTA vacutainer, and the plasma layer removed after centrifugation. Plasma was stored in 50 µL aliquots at -80° C and has not been thawed post-collection. In the current study, 200 individuals that have both lipidome profile measures and CMRI-derived epicardial fat data were included. Of these 200 SAFHS participants, 63% were female, and the sample was aged between 18 and 83 (46.85 \pm 16.23). Informed consent was obtained from all participants before collection of samples. The study conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki, and the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Texas Health Sciences Center at San Antonio and the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley approved this study.

Epicardial Fat Tissue Measurement by Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Cardiac imaging was performed on a 3.0 Tesla MR scanner (TIM Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a 6-channel anterior matrix torso coil combined with posterior spine-coil elements producing an aggregate of 12 data channels. For MRI of the abdominal aorta, an additional 6-channel anterior matrix receiver coil ensures full coverage. All subject assessment, blood collection, and imaging were performed at the Research Imaging Institute at UTHSCSA. Before each session, a standard quality control phantom was imaged. Cardiac morphology and function were determined after initial anatomical localizer images. High temporal resolution cine MR imaging with prospective gating was performed, using a balanced, steady-state free precession

sequence (TR/TE = 2.44/1.22 ms, 25-30 cardiac phases, matrix 224×288 , FOV $336 \times 430 \text{ mm}^2$, pixel resolution $1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2$). Two 3-slice, cine, long-axis data sets in a left anterior oblique and a four-chamber view were acquired. A stack of contiguous short-axis slices, extending from the apex of the LV through the atria, are then acquired with repetitive end expiration breathholds. Heart rate and EKG were monitored during the CMRI. Pericardial fat scans were obtained in diastole using a shortaxis, single-shot steady-state free precession (IR-SFFP) sequence with an inversion-recovery pre-pulse (TR/TE = 2.4/1.1 ms, slice = 8.5 mm, 1.3×1.3 mm pixel, flip angle = 50°) that covered the complete left ventricle by long-axis stacks in a single breathhold. The inversion time (TI) was adjusted between 180 and 280 ms to obtain optimal enhancement of the epicardial fat. The cvi42 image analysis package (Circle Cardiovascular Imaging Inc., Calgary, AB) was used for assessment of pericardial fat. Using long axis, 4-chamber images, thickness of pericardial fat was measured at the cardiac mid-ventricular level laterally. For analyses of pericardial fat, manual ROI contours were drawn to define the EAT area by the region included inside contours of the epicardium and pericardium. Summation of the pixels included in the contours was recorded and multiplied by the perpixel dimensions to obtain an area measurement. For epicardial fat volume determination, the area subtended by the manual tracings is determined in each slice and multiplied by the slice thickness and then summed to yield the fat volume. Visceral fat was not examined in this study, it is measured in the abdomen and our imaging protocol did not capture the abdominal region.

Lipidomic Profiling

Lipidomics was performed as described previously (43) with modifications. Analysis of plasma extracts was performed on an Agilent 1290 series HPLC system coupled to an Agilent 6495C triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. Liquid chromatography was performed on a dual column system with ZORBAX eclipse plus C18 columns (2.1 \times 100 \times 1.8 mm, Agilent) heated to 45°C. Mass spectrometry analysis was performed in both positive and negative ion mode with dynamic scheduled multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The running solvent consisted of solvent A: 50% H2O/30% acetonitrile/20% isopropanol (v/v/v) containing 10 mM ammonium formate and 5 µM medronic acid, and solvent B: 1% H2O/9% acetonitrile/90% isopropanol (v/v/v) containing 10 mM ammonium formate. Gradient conditions are presented in Supplementary Table S1, with alternating columns for sample analysis (Pump A) and equilibration (Pump B). The following mass spectrometer conditions were used: gas temperature 150°C, gas flow rate 17 L/min, nebulizer 20 psi, sheath gas temperature 200°C, capillary voltage 3,500 V and sheath gas flow 10 L/min. Isolation widths for Q1 and Q3 were set to "unit" resolution (0.7 amu). Plasma quality control (PQC) samples consisting of a pooled set of plasma samples taken from six healthy individuals and extracted alongside the study samples were incorporated into the analysis at 1 PQC per 20 plasma samples. NIST 1950 SRM sample (Sigma) was included as a reference plasma sample, at a rate of 1 per 40 samples. Relative quantification of lipid species was determined by comparison to the relevant internal standard. Lipid class total

Parameters	Average	Minimum	Maximum
Sex			
Male (n-%)	74 (37)	_	-
Female (n-%)	126 (63)	_	-
Age (years old)	46.85 ± 16.23	18	83
Male	45.18 ± 17.10	19	77
Female	47.83 ± 15.62	18	83
Education (years)	12.39 ± 2.94	1	22
Height (cm)	161.96 ± 9.54	127.00	186.50
Weight (Kg)	84.44 ± 18.76	39.92	163.29
BMI	32.158 ± 6.40	17.51	51.25
Waist (cm)	101.56 ± 14.82	63.00	149.00
Systolic BP-Avg (mmHg)	125.24 ± 16.82	96.00	191.67
Diastolic BP-Avg (mmHg)	76.24 ± 11.58	40.33	142.00
Diabetes mellitus (n-%)	43 (21.5%)	_	-
Hypertension (n-%)	73 (36.5%)	-	-

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the study population.

n, number of participants; %, percentage; cm, centimeters; Kg, Kilograms; mmHg, millimeters of mercury.

concentrations were calculated as the sum of individual lipid species concentrations, except in the case of two lipid classes, triacylglycerol (TG) and alkyldiacylglycerol, TG(O), where we measured both neutral loss (NL) and single ion monitoring (SIM) transitions. We used the more quantitatively accurate but less structurally resolved (SIM) species concentrations for summation purposes when examining lipid totals, while their (NL) counterparts were used for associations.

Statistical Analysis

Initially, we estimated epicardial fat volume content heritability using a quantitative genetic variance component decomposition approach as implemented on SOLAR (version 8.1.1) (44). We used a linear mixed model $\Omega = 2\varphi\sigma_G^2 + I\sigma_e^2$ where, \varOmega is the total phenotypic covariance matrix of a trait, ϕ is the matrix of kinship coefficients, I is the identity matrix, σ_G^2 is additive genetic variance, and σ_e^2 is residual environmental variance. All the models were adjusted for the following covariates: age, age², sex, age \times sex, and age² \times sex. We do not use medication as a covariate. This cohort is a traditionally medically underserved population and very few of them are taking lipid lowering or other relevant medications (we collect medications at all subject visits). When we correct for medications, the observed results are not qualitatively changed. Heritability was defined as the proportion of phenotypic variance explained by an additive genetic model. We tested the association of each lipid species and lipid classes with epicardial fat volume by means of LRT (Likelihood Ratio Test) comparing two models with and without the presence of a lipid class/species while allowing for residual non-independence due to underlying genetic factors which induce correlations between related individuals. Statistical significance of a given lipid association with epicardial fat was tested by constraining the regression coefficient to 0 and comparing the log-likelihoods of the constrained and unconstrained regression models to yield an LRT test that is distributed as χ^2 variate with one degree of freedom. The significant lipid classes and species were defined using a False Discovery Rate (FDR) smaller than 0.05 calculated using the empirical *p*-value distribution.

RESULTS

For this study, we had a cohort of 200 Mexican American individuals with lipidomic profiles and CMRI-derived epicardial fat volume measures. Baseline demographic and clinical status of these 200 participants is shown in **Table 1**. The mean age of the participants was 46.85 ± 16.23 years old, 74 (37%) were male, 73 (36.5%) had hypertension, and 43 (21.5%) had type 2 diabetes (T2D). Mean body mass index (BMI) was 32.158 ± 6.40 kg/m². There were no differences in BMI, prevalence of hypertension or T2D between men and women, however the prevalence of T2D in women was 2.5 times higher than in men.

EAT volume was evaluated with good reproducibility in our study. Average EAT volume was 7.95 \pm 3.81 cm³ in all individuals. EAT volume was not significantly different in hypertensive vs. normotensive subjects (8.85 \pm 3.73 cm³ vs. $7.45 \pm 3.74 \text{ cm}^3$, p = 0.6699); nor was it significantly different between subjects with and without T2D (9.32 \pm 4.0 cm³ vs. 7.6 \pm 3.65 cm³, p = 0.2812). In this study, the mean EAT volume was 7.95 ± 3.81 cm³, ranging from 0.94 to 19.88 cm³. The ageadjusted difference in EAT volume between males and females was trending toward significance (8.0 ± 3.74 cm³ vs. 7.92 ± 3.84 cm^3 , p = 0.0561). After analyzing the sample by increasing age, we observed a significant increase in EAT volume with increasing age, in both males and females ($p < 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$) (Figure 1). There was no evidence for any interactions of sex and age. Additionally, there was no significant evidence for a non-linear relationship with age.

Quantitative genetic analysis revealed that EAT is under substantial genetic control in this population. The estimated heritability was 0.86 \pm 0.16, 95% CI = (0.55, 1.0), $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the relative importance of additive genetic factors for this phenotype in humans.

To optimize the number of statistical tests being performed, we first conducted our analyses at the level of lipid class. We analyzed the association of 48 lipid classes listed in **Table 2** with epicardial fat volume. Using multivariable regression models performed in SOLAR and controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (45–47), we found that nine lipid classes were statistically associated with EAT volume (**Table 3**). The classes were triacylglycerol (TG), hydroxylated acylcarnitine (AC-OH), deoxyceramide (Cer(m)), alkyldiacylglycerol (TG(O)), ubiquinone, diacylglyceride (DG), dihydroceramide (dhCer), phosphatidylinositol (PI) and phosphatidylglycerol (PG), respectively by lowest to highest FDR < 0.05. It is worth noting that several of the classes associated with EAT volume were previously implicated in the pathogenesis of T2D, insulin resistance and consecutively in CVD risk.



We next examined the association of lipid species with EAT volume using SOLAR, analyzing 799 lipid species from the 48 classes. After appropriate adjustments described in methods, our plasma lipidome analyses showed that 135 lipid species belonging to 19 lipid classes were significantly associated with EAT volume with an FDR <0.05 as shown in **Figures 2**, **3**. The list of lipid species with their respective average concentration, concentration range and number of lipid species within the class with an FDR < 0.05 are shown in **Table 2**. The full list of lipid classes and species with *p*-value, beta coefficient of regression (SDU) and FDR analysis are shown in **Supplementary Tables S2, S3**, respectively.

After appropriate adjustment for the effective number of tests in our plasma lipidome analyses in SOLAR, the EAT volume was associated with the increase of 131 lipids and decrease of 4 individual lipid species. The strongest associations observed were with two deoxyceramide species Cer(m18:1/18:0) and Cer(m18:1/20:0) with beta coefficient of regression of 0.40 and 0.34 and $p = 1.63 \times 10^{-4}$ and $p = 4.44 \times 10^{-3}$, respectively. This association demonstrated, in our study, that a larger EAT volume was correlated with an increased plasma concentration of deoxyceramide. The 20 species of lipids with the most significant *p*-values are described in Table 3 and shown in Figure 3. Interestingly, these lipid species belong to only 3 classes of lipids: deoxyceramide, triacylglycerol and phosphatidylinositol. In addition, only species from an extra 6 classes of lipid showed significant associations (Table 3). These additional species belong to the classes: hydroxylated acylcarnitine, alkyldiacylglycerol, ubiquinone, diacylglycerol, dihydroceramide, and phosphatidylglycerol. Additionally, we observed substantial heterogeneity of effects within 10 lipid classes (cholesterol ester (CE), ceramide (Cer(d)), deoxyceramide (Cer(m)), dehydrodesmosterol ester (deDE), lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), phosphatidylinositol, sphingomyelin (SM) and lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI). Interestingly, one class (PC) showed both significant increasing (three species) and decreasing (one species) associations with EAT volume, suggesting the potential for dynamic feedback within class, most likely driven by the fatty acids.

The associations observed with the plasma lipidome measures were more significant than those observed for standard

clinical lipid markers. We examined the associations of the clinical lipid measures and EAT in this sample and found the associations to be p = 0.01 for total cholesterol, p= 0.008 for calculated LDL, p = 0.0008 for HDL and p= 4.32×10^{-6} for triglyceride levels. Triglyceride levels were the only clinical lipid measure nearing the level of significance observed in the lipidome measures, particularly for the triacylglycerol (TG) species. This is to be expected as the triglyceride measure is the sum composition of all triacylglycerol species measured in our analysis. In contrast the many other species of ceramide, deoxyceramide and phospholipid species containing polyunsaturated fatty acids are not strongly correlated with clinical lipid measures and so are providing independent information about EAT. For standardized phenotypes, p-values are directly related to observed effect sizes (e.g., residual correlation). Therefore, the improved pvalues directly relate to larger associations and hence greater biological significance.

DISCUSSION

Given that CVD is the leading cause of death in the US and much of the world, the identification of novel risk factors is essential to help alleviate the substantial health and economic burden. So, novel insights into biological mechanisms that predispose individuals to CVD hold the promise of a significant reduction in this considerable economic burden. It is well-known that a relationship exists between lipid variation and CVD, but the mechanisms are unclear. Modern genomic technologies can be exploited to rapidly identify genes involved in disease susceptibility. However, the costeffectiveness of such exploratory endeavors can be greatly augmented if genetic basis to a phenotype is strongly suspected. A recent article by Blanco-Gomez et al. (48) explains nicely the complex interplay between phenotypes and disease at the global level where most major diseases are considered complex phenotypes and are the consequence of intermediate phenotypes causally related to or involved in their pathogenesis. These intermediate phenotypes can go all the way down to the tissue, transcript, and ultimately to genomic level (48). Therefore, intermediate phenotypes such as those derived by CMRI, and lipid profiling as described in this study could TABLE 2 | Lipid classes assessed by targeted lipidomics: concentration (pmol/mL), range of concentration (maximum and minimum, pmol/mL) and associations with EAT volume.

Acc 780.16 277.75 1,897.03 28 4 Alenghlosphildykhalma (P) PC(P) 60.038.05 22.531.74 128,138.64 30 0 Alenghlosphildykhandami (P) PE(P) 60.038.05 22.268.11 72.825.35 53 0 Alejdiasylpsori DQ(P) 1.311.66 44.26 50.64.64 2 0 Alejdiasylpsori DQ(P) 1.311.66 44.26 50.64.64 2 0 Alejdiasylpsori DQ(P) 1.318.06 77.02.43 14 0 15 Bia ad BA 895.66 115.07 6.633.81 2 0 Carando CP(I) 1.525.0 0.06 17.30 1 0 Cheldento leater CE 3.481.161.11 1.801.143.14 60.03.72.0 1 0 Delaydochidesterie eller DE 1.833.08 7.091.31.2 11 8 Delaydochidesterie eller DG 3.737.32 7.10.80.27 2 0 <td< th=""><th>Lipid class name</th><th>Class abbreviation</th><th>Concentration</th><th>Minimum</th><th>Maximum</th><th>No. of species</th><th>No. of species*</th></td<>	Lipid class name	Class abbreviation	Concentration	Minimum	Maximum	No. of species	No. of species*
Averspherspherickpertain PCIP B0.28.06 25.91.79 128,130.89 30 0 Aleypforspherickpertain DG(C) 2.225.81 596.33 17.015.81 20 12 Aleypforspherickpertain DG(C) 1.224.94 558.03 17.015.81 20 12 Aleypforspherickpertain DG(C) 1.231.96 442.86 6.064.08 2 0 Aleypforspherickpertain PC(D) 1.331.96 442.86 6.064.08 2 0 Aleypforspherickpertain PC(D) 1.363.96 11.01.01.43.14 6.00.872.187 2 0 Ceramide: DF 5.95 0.96 17.30 1 8 Consider (effect GE 3.461.181.11 1.01.143.14 6.03.872.187 7.1 1 8 Delaydocholosited edeCer 3.051.87.75 7.10.90.87.44 2 0 0 Delaydocholosited deCer 3.754.1 1.94.91 7.76 1.00.91.44 0 Delaydocholosited	Acylcarnitine	AC	780.16	277.75	1,897.03	28	4
Akenychosphatikyterinalismin (*) PE(*) 82,048.10 22,048.00 157,89.83 6.3 0 Akythonolgo-phatikyterinalismin PE(*) 1,331,85 442.88 5,054,66 2 0 Akythonolgo-phatikyterinalismin PE(*) 3,358,84 1,10.14 7,142.94 15 0.001.9 125,444.02 34 0 Bile ucid BA 855.86 115.07 6,533.81 2 0 Commit Carvit (*) 18,232.87 5,2800 35.27.51 47 8 Commit Carvit (*) 18,232.88 115.07 6,533.81 2 2 Dehydrocholastanti estar DE 18,332.88 7,810.13 61,318.78 6 1 Dehydrocholastanti estar DE 18,328.88 7,780.76 62,27.82.54 2 2 Demydrocholastanti estar DE 37,53.76 71,80.39 1,73.36.76 1 30.01 1 Demydrocholastanti estar Corvi 37,53.76 71,80.39 1 0 <	Alkenylphosphatidylcholine (P)	PC(P)	60,236.05	25,391.79	128,139.69	30	0
Akydfacyspend TG(b) 2.28 h1 5.28 h3 17.016 h1 20 12 Akydphosephalidycholine PG(b) 71.020.49 36.800.19 125.404.02 34 0 Akydphosephalidycholine PG(b) 3.060.49 1.1510.44 7.742.28 14 0 Bie acd BA 8.56.8 11.610.44 7.742.28 14 0 Cenende-1phosphote CiP 5.56 0.66 17.30 1 0 Cenende-1phosphote CiP 5.56 0.66 7.73.0 47.2 2 2 Detydochonobasterio ester CiP 5.85 0.66 7.73.0 47.2 1 8 Detydocenomide CiP 5.85 7.73.70 6.73.27.2 4.2 2	Alkenylphosphatidylethanolamine (P)	PE(P)	63,098.10	22,049.00	157,529.53	53	0
Alsylphonophatolychonio DS(b) 1.311.98 44.288 5.054.68 2 0 Alsylphonophatolychendamine PC(0) 3.580.49 1.510.84 7.742.28 14 0 Ble acid BA 835.66 115.07 0.633.81 2 0 Caramidos 1-phonophate Carg 5.85 0.86 17.30 1 0 Chedestary easter CE 16.830.58 7.781.71 6.228.42 2 0 Devydorchedsterol ester DE 3.630.51 2.3.77 5.228.42 2 0 Devydorchedsterol ester DE 3.630.788 2.37.789.76 4.32.722.44 2.6 1.4 Devydoronintrike Cerminice 375.41 1.46.99 7.77.79 6.6 2.0 1.0 0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Alkyldiacylglycerol	TG(O)	2,225.81	526.33	17,015.81	20	12
Alkyfchopchathylcholine PC(D) 71 202.49 35,800.19 122.404.02 34 0 Ble and BA 3835.86 115.07 6,533.51 2 0 Ble and Caramida - 154.02 15.56 9.56 35.527.51 47 5 Caramida - 154.02 CIP 5.56 9.06 17.30 1 0 Chokestery leaster CIP 3.56 7.811.13 8.013.17.87 6.525.42 2 0 Dehydrochonosterol ester DE 3.480.1181.18 37.77 5.252.54.2 2 0 Dehydrochonosterol ester DE 3.480.1181.18 37.77.87.6 4.32.782.54 46 0 Dehydrochonosterol ester DE 4.057.87.76 7.77.78 6.22 4 0 Directoryborchonosterol ester DE 2.455.70 7.57.76 7.168.92 4 0 Directoryborchonosterol ester Grad mathyl-CE 2.455.70 7.57.77.6 7.168.92.9 1 0 Directoryborchonostesterol ester<	Alkylmonoacylglycerol	DG(O)	1,931.96	442.86	5,054.66	2	0
AlxychochalchianolaminePE(D)3,505-401.510.247.742.251.40Bie acidCar()B42835.86115.076.53.37.14.72.8CaramidoCar()15.225.28.1005.327.514.72.72.8Caramido-1-phosphateCIP5.950.9617.3010Cholestery (etc.)CIP5.950.9617.307.81.81.8Dahydochalceinero leaterGE380.132.3775.225.422.00DaoyateramidoCar(m)1.33.732.3657.71.82.784.622.6DaoyateramidoCar(m)1.37.833.265.077.17.82.784.62.6DimosoyateramidoHac/Car376.411.49.987.77.796.62.6DimosoyateramidoCOH24.635.707.57.37.677.17.89.80.091.70DimosoyateramidoCOH24.635.701.41.41.46.61.70Of 1 garoficatoriGM11.32.39.24.60.68.60Col 1 garoficatoriGM11.32.39.24.60.68.60Causatoryphosphatatkychnine (P)LPC(P)772.161.98.424.50.321.00Lycosokatoryphosphatatkychnine (P)LPC(P)7.21.61.98.424.80.321.00Lycosokatoryphosphatatkychnine (P)LPC(P)7.21.61.98.424.80.321.00Lycosokatoryphosphatatkychnine (P)LPC(P)7.21.61.	Alkylphosphatidylcholine	PC(O)	71,020.49	35,800.19	125,404.02	34	0
Bin acid DA 835.86 115.07 6.533.17 2 0 Ceramide-Tybosphete CP(q) 5.289.50 35.527.51 47 8 Cholestroj ester CE 3.491.161.11 1.801.143.14 6.008,71.730 1 27 Dehydrochestroj ester CE 3.491.161.11 1.801.143.14 6.008,71.87 6.2 2 0 Dehydrochestroj ester CE 3.60.13 23.77 5.25.42 2 0 Dehydrochestroj ester Cer 1.503.113 7.57.37.5 7.013.12 11 8 Dinkogkospramiche Cer 4.007.89 1.540.11 7.102.74 10 0 Dinkogkospramiche Han2Car 4.007.89 1.540.11 7.106.02 4 0 Dinkogkospramiche GO1 7.47.833.09 2.52.02.32 1.77.89 10 0 Cold gangliceide GM1 19.03 0.61 41.36 1 0 GO1 gangliceide GM3 3.121.22 1.383.29 5.283.32 7 2 Lysselkhychohosphatidychonine (PAr) 1.9	Alkylphosphatidylethanolamine	PE(O)	3,595.49	1,510.84	7,742.28	14	0
Caranide Caranide Series Series <thseries< th=""> <thseries< th=""> <thseries< td=""><td>Bile acid</td><td>BA</td><td>835.86</td><td>115.07</td><td>6,533.81</td><td>2</td><td>0</td></thseries<></thseries<></thseries<>	Bile acid	BA	835.86	115.07	6,533.81	2	0
Caramido-Iphosphate CIP 5.65 0.06 17.20 1 0 Cholestaryl ester DE 34.81,161,11 1,801,143,14 6,008,721,67 27 2 Derydrocholestarol ester DE 18.30,58 7,819,13 6,131,87,83 22,7 5,225,42 2 0 Deovydroandicate ester MoE 380,33 23,77 5,225,42 28 14 Deovydroandicate ester MoE 390,37,83 23,77,7 5,225,42 28 14 Direcyclyclostermide MoE 4,007,89 1,549,11 7,162,75 10 0 Direcyclyclostermide droft 37,541 149,99 777,79 6 0 Free cholesterol GOH 7,478,39,09 428,202,30 1,178,385,10 1 0 GMI ganglioside GM1 1,03 0,61 41,33 1 0 GMI ganglioside GM1 3,032 1,834,39 5,629,32 7 0 Lysealkerythonsphatitycholine (P)	Ceramide	Cer(d)	18,222.47	5,289.50	35,327.51	47	8
Cholestery ester CE 3,481,161,11 1,801,143,14 600,212,07 27 2 Dahydrocholesterol ester DE 18,330,58 7,819,13 61,318,78 6 11 Devoyoremicle ester GDE 380,13 28,77 5,225,42 2 0 Devoyoremicle Cer(m) 1,337,83 258,05 7,013,12 11 8 Diacydyored Desosylocramide Hex/Car 4,007,89 1,549,11 7,162,76 10 0 Dinydiocoaramide Hex/Car 4,007,89 1,549,11 7,162,76 10 0 Dinydiocoaramide GMCar 7,573,76 71,080,92 4 0 Coll danglioside GD1 7,738 68,245,45 17 0 GO1 ganglioside GM1 19,03 0,61 41,33 1 0 GM4 ganglioside GM1 19,03 0,61 41,33 1 0 GO1 ganglioside GM3 3,121,22 1,844,89 5,603,27 3	Ceramide-1-phosphate	C1P	5.95	0.96	17.30	1	0
Dehydrocholesterol ester DE 18,330.68 7,819.13 61,318,78 6 1 Dehydrocholesterol ester deDE 360.13 23.77 5,25.42 2.0 0 Deoxyoparanido Cer(m) 1,337.83 258.05 7,013.12 11 8 Diracyofucanido dirac 4,007.89 1,549.11 7,162.76 10 0 Diracyofucanido diract 375.41 144.99 777.79 6 2 Diracyofucanido diract 24.337.00 7,573.78 71.080.92 4 0 Free thay acid CFA 24.837.00 7,573.78 71.080.92 4 0 GM agnificade GM 74.783.90 428.02.02 1,176.806.10 1 0 GM agnificade GM 9.121 1.384.39 45.803.21 0 0 GM agnificade GM 3.0122 1.984.29 1.508.36 6 0 Lysoakkyophosphaticylcholne (P) LPC(P) 772.16 1.932.4	Cholesteryl ester	CE	3,481,161.11	1,801,143.14	6,008,721.67	27	2
Dehydrodemosterol ester deDE 36.03 23.77 5.225.42 2 0 Deoxydporamide Cer(m) 1.337.83 266.05 7.01.12 1.1 8 Dinkoydporamide Hex/Car 4.007.89 15.49.11 7.162.76 10 0 Dinkoydporamide dhCar 37.64.71 149.99 77.198.09.2 4 0 Dinkoydporamide dhCar 7.453.75 71.080.92 4 0 Free cholesterol COH 74.783.09 428.202.30 1.178.886.10 1 0 GD1 gengloside GM1 7.36 7.198.09.2 4 0 0 GM3 gengloside GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM3 gengloside GM3 3.121.22 1.384.39 5.629.32 7 0 Lycoakkerydboxphatidykhanime LPC(P) 77.16 198.42 1.508.55 4 0 Lycoakkerydboxphatidykhanime LPC(P) 3.094.26 1.333.28 4.890.32 <t< td=""><td>Dehydrocholesterol ester</td><td>DE</td><td>18,330.58</td><td>7,819.13</td><td>61,318.78</td><td>6</td><td>1</td></t<>	Dehydrocholesterol ester	DE	18,330.58	7,819.13	61,318.78	6	1
Decoyceramide Cer(m) 1.337.83 258.05 7.013.12 11 8 Decoyleorenide DG 113.181.88 37.769.76 42.022.44 2.66 1.4 Dehosoyleorenide dhcCer 375.41 149.99 777.79 6 2 Dinetryi-chelsetryi ester dimetryi-CE 2.468.70 7.573.78 71.09.92 4 00 Fee cholestoryi ester dimetryi-CE 2.468.70 7.573.78 71.79.09.92 4 00 Fee cholestoryi ester dimetryi-CE 2.468.70 7.573.78 71.09.92 4 00 GM3 ganglioside GD1 7.38 1.84 41.38 1 00 GM3 ganglioside GM3 3.121.22 1.384.39 5.629.32 7 0 Lysoakkey/phosphatidy/choline PCP 772.16 18.42 1.050.85 4 0 Lysoakkey/phosphatidy/choline PCP 772.16 18.42 1.050.85 14 0 Lysoakkey/phosphatidy/choline PCP <td< td=""><td>Dehydrodemosterol ester</td><td>deDE</td><td>360.13</td><td>23.77</td><td>5,225.42</td><td>2</td><td>0</td></td<>	Dehydrodemosterol ester	deDE	360.13	23.77	5,225.42	2	0
Diacyleyloerol DG 113,181.88 37,769.76 432,782.54 26 14 Dinexolgoeranide Hex2Cer 4,007.89 15,49.11 7,162.76 10 0 Dinethyl-cholestaryl ester imethyl-CE 24,835.70 7,573.76 71,180.92 4 0 Free cholesterol COH 7,473.99 442,020.30 1,174.965.10 1 0 Free taty add CDH 7,438.99 424,802.30 1,174.965.10 1 0 GD1 garglioside GD1 7,36 1,84 14.66 1 0 GM3 garglioside GM3 1,212.2 1,343.39 5,629.32 7 0 Hydroxyletd acylcarnitine A-OH 23.52 9.22 46.06 8 1 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylethinen(P) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1,503.36 6 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylethinen(LAF) LPC(P) 304.28 1,776.85 7 2 Lysoahophatidylethanolamine LPC (P) 30,92 14 0 0 2 0 Lysoahophat	Deoxyceramide	Cer(m)	1,337.83	258.05	7,013.12	11	8
Dhexosyloaramide Hex2Cer 4.007.89 1,549.11 7,162.76 10 0 Dirydrochasterylester dmCvr 375.41 149.99 777.79 6 2 Diredryd-Chelsterol COH 747,839.09 428,202.30 1,178,895.10 1 0 Free cholesterol COH 747,839.09 428,202.30 1,178,895.10 1 0 GM1 gangloside GD1 7,36 18.4 14.66 1 0 GM1 gangloside GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM3 gangloside ox/contine GC-OH 23.52 9.2 46.06 8 0 Lysoalkerylohosphatidylcholine (P) LPCP) 772.16 198.42 1,508.36 4 0 Lysoalkerylohosphatidylcholine (AF) LPO(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkerylohosphatidylcholine (AF) LPO(P) 310.479 102.04 745.05 1 0 Lysoalkerylohosphatidylcholine (AF) LPO(P)	Diacylglycerol	DG	113,181.88	37,769.76	432,782.54	26	14
Dhydroceramide dhCer 375.41 149.99 777.79 6 2 Dimethy-Cholestery lester dimethy-CE 24,635.70 7.573.76 7.193.0.92 4 0 Free cholestery lester GD1 7.478.30,00 428,20.30 1.178.885.10 10 GD1 applicatio GD1 7.36 1.84 14.66 1 0 GM3 gangloside GM3 3.121.22 1.384.39 5.629.32 7 0 Hydroxylated acylcamithe AC-OH 23.52 9.22 46.06 8 5 Lysoalkery/bhosphatidy/choline (P) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1.508.36 6 0 Lysoalkery/bhosphatidy/choline (LAF) LPC(P) 3.042.65 1.333.28 4.890.32 10 0 Lysoalkery/bhosphatidy/choline (LAF) LPC(P) 3.042.65 1.333.28 4.890.32 10 0 Lysoahosphatidy/bhonine (LAF) LPC(P) 3.042.65 1.333.28 4.890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidy/bhonine (LAF)	Dihexosylceramide	Hex2Cer	4,007.89	1,549.11	7,162.76	10	0
Dimethyl-cholesteryl ester dimethyl-CE 24,835.70 7,573.76 71,080.92 4 0 Free cholesterol COH 747,839.09 428,202.30 1,178,895.10 1 0 Free cholesterol FFA 395,032.85 205,376.16 683,429.45 17 0 GOH ganglioside GD1 7.36 1.84 14.66 1 0 GM3 ganglioside GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM3 ganglioside acylcamitine AC-OH 23.52 9.22 46.06 8 5 Lysoalkerylphosphatidylcholine (I/F) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1,508.36 6 0 Lysoalkerylphosphatidylcholine (I/F) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1,508.35 14 0 Lysoalkerylphosphatidylcholine (I/F) LPC(P) 772.16 1,332.82 4,890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (I/F) LPC(P) 219.759.05 1,32.32.8 14 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (I/F) LPC (Dihydroceramide	dhCer	375.41	149.99	777.79	6	2
Pree cholesterol COH 747,839.09 428,202.30 1,178,895.10 1 0 Free thy acid FFA 396,032.85 205,376.16 683,429.45 17 0 GD1 ganglioside GD1 7.36 1.84 14.66 1 0 GM4 ganglioside GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM4 ganglioside GM3 3,121.22 1,384.39 5,629.32 7 0 Lysoalkery/hosphathdy/choline (P) LPC(P) 72.16 198.42 1,500.36 6 0 Lysoalkery/hosphathdy/choline (LP LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkery/hosphathdy/choline (LP LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysophosphathdy/choline (LP) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 1 0 Lysophosphatidy/choline LPE 6,563.27 3124.24 12,965.85 1 0 Lysophosphatidy/choline LPE 2,929.83	Dimethyl-cholesteryl ester	dimethyl-CE	24,635.70	7,573.76	71,080.92	4	0
Free fatty acid FFA 395.032.85 205.376.16 683.429.45 17 0 GD1 ganglioside GD1 7.36 1.84 14.66 1 0 GM3 ganglioside GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM3 ganglioside GM3 3.121.22 1.384.39 5.529.32 7 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (P) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1.508.36 6 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (P) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkylphosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 14 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(P) 3004.26 1.332.8 4.890.52 10 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPC(P) 310.953.57 129.353.37 5 0 Methyl-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 37.950.13 10.953.57 13.155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1.737.177.86 10.48.44.89 2.398.255.68 30 4 4 4.54.84	Free cholesterol	СОН	747,839.09	428,202.30	1,178,895.10	1	0
GD1 7.36 1.84 14.66 1 0 GM1 ganglioside GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM3 ganglioside GM3 3.121.22 1,384.39 5.629.32 7 0 Hydroxylated acylcarnitine C-OH 25.52 9.22 46.06 8 5 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (IP) LPC(IP) 772.16 198.42 1,508.36 6 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (IAF) LPC(IP) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (IAF) LPC(IP) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(IP) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(IP) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC 219.759.08 11,382.17 37.0825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPE 6,563.27 3,124.24 9,778.85 7 2 Methyl-dehydrocholesteryl este	Free fatty acid	FFA	395,032.85	205,376.16	683,429.45	17	0
GM1 19.03 0.61 41.33 1 0 GM3 ganglioside GM3 3,121.22 1,384.39 5,629.92 7 0 Hydroxylated acylcantiline AC-OH 23.52 9.22 46.06 8 5 Lysoalkerylphosphatidylcholine (P) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkerylphosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(Q) 3.084.26 1.330.217 370.825.40 61 3 Lysoalherylphosphatidylcholine LPC(Q) 3.094.26 1.330.417 370.825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPC 219.759.08 115.804.17 370.825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPE 563.27 3.124.24 12.965.85 14 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPE 3.7950.13 10.953.57 129.335.37 5 0 Mothy-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 37.950.13 10.953.57 129.335.37 5 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies	GD1 ganglioside	GD1	7.36	1.84	14.66	1	0
GM3 ganglisside GM3 3,121.22 1,384.39 5,629.32 7 0 Hydroxylated acylcarnitine AC-OH 23.52 9.22 46.06 8 5 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylchenine (P) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1,508.36 6 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylchenine (LF) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalhkenylphosphatidylchenine (LF) LPC(O) 3,094.26 1,332.8 4,890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylchenine (LF) LPC(O) 3,094.26 1,332.8 4,890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylchenine (LF) LPC(O) 3,094.26 1,382.89 4,890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylensholamine LPC 2,197.59.08 115,804.17 370,825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylensholamine LP1 1,519.33 844.28 2,776.85 7 2 Methyl-dehydrocholesteryl ester methyl-DE 2,929.83 622.81 9,579.89 2 0 P	GM1 ganglioside	GM1	19.03	0.61	41.33	1	0
Hydroxylatel acylcarnitine AC-OH 23.52 9.22 46.06 8 5 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (P) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1,508.36 6 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (P) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPC 219.759.08 115.804.17 370.825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPC 2.929.83 62.81 9.759.89 2 0 Monohexosylceramide HexCer 3.212.20 911.79 6.392.99 14 0 Voldized lipids OxSpecies 6.579.85 2.681.95 13.155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylcholine <	GM3 ganglioside	GM3	3,121.22	1,384.39	5,629.32	7	0
Lysellenylphosphatidylcholine (P) LPC(P) 772.16 198.42 1,508.36 6 0 Lysellenylphosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysellenylphosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(O) 3.094.26 1.333.28 4.990.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(O) 3.094.26 1.333.28 4.990.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC 219.759.08 115.804.17 370.825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylcholine imine LPE 6.563.27 3.124.24 12.965.85 14 0 Lysophosphatidylcholinestim LPE 3.7950.13 10.953.57 129.335.37 5 0 Methyl-cherycerholesteryl ester methyl-CE 3.212.20 911.79 6.392.99 14 0 Oxidized fipids OxSpecies 6.579.85 2.681.95 13.155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1.737.177.86 1.048.144.69 2.398.255.66 90 <t< td=""><td>Hydroxylated acylcarnitine</td><td>AC-OH</td><td>23.52</td><td>9.22</td><td>46.06</td><td>8</td><td>5</td></t<>	Hydroxylated acylcarnitine	AC-OH	23.52	9.22	46.06	8	5
Lysoalker/lybosphatid/ylethanolamine (P) LPE(P) 310.79 102.04 745.05 4 0 Lysoalkylphosphatid/yletholine (LAF) LPC(O) 3,094.26 1,333.28 4,890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatid/yletholine LPC 219,759.08 115,804.17 370,825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatid/yletholine LPC 219,759.08 115,804.17 370,825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatid/yletholine LPE 6,563.27 3,124.24 12,965.85 14 0 Lysophosphatid/yletholine LPI 1,519.93 844.58 2,776.85 7 2 Methyl-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatid/ylethanolamine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatid/ylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 55,420.85 37 5 <td>Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (P)</td> <td>LPC(P)</td> <td>772.16</td> <td>198.42</td> <td>1,508.36</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td>	Lysoalkenylphosphatidylcholine (P)	LPC(P)	772.16	198.42	1,508.36	6	0
Lysoalkylphosphatidylcholine (LAF) LPC(0) 3,094.26 1,333.28 4,890.32 10 0 Lysophosphatidylcholine LPC 219,759.08 115,804.17 370,825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylethanolamine LPE 6,563.27 3,124.24 12,965.85 14 0 Lysophosphatidylinositol LPI 1,519.93 844.58 2,776.85 7 2 Methyl-colosteryl ester methyl-CE 37,950.13 109,935.57 129,335.37 5 0 Monohexosylceramide HexCer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylethanolamine PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 5,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylylocor	Lysoalkenylphosphatidylethanolamine (P)	LPE(P)	310.79	102.04	745.05	4	0
Lysophashidiylcholine LPC 219,759.08 115,804.17 370,825.40 61 3 Lysophosphatidylehanolamine LPE 6,563.27 3,124.24 12,965.85 14 0 Lysophosphatidylinositol LPI 1,519.93 844.58 2,776.85 7 2 Methyl-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 37,950.13 10,953.57 129,335.37 5 0 Monohexosylceramide HexCer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylcholine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylcholine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylcholine PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol	Lysoalkylphosphatidylcholine (LAF)	LPC(O)	3,094.26	1,333.28	4,890.32	10	0
Lysphotsphatidylethanolamine LPE 6,68.27 3,124.24 12,965.85 14 0 Lysophosphatidylinositol LPI 1,519.93 844.58 2,776.85 7 2 Methyl-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 37,950.13 10,953.57 129,335.37 5 0 Monohexosylceramide HexCer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,679.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylcholine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylinositol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylino	Lysophosphatidylcholine	LPC	219,759.08	115,804.17	370,825.40	61	3
Lysphotsphatidylinositol LPI 1,519.93 844.58 2,776.85 7 2 Methyl-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 37,950.13 10,953.57 129,335.37 5 0 Methyl-dehydrocholesteryl ester methyl-DE 2,929.83 622.81 9,579.89 2 0 Monohexosylceramide HexCer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidylcholine PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,382,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylinositol PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,394,20.85 37 5 Phosphatidylinositol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylinositol <t< td=""><td>Lysophosphatidylethanolamine</td><td>LPE</td><td>6,563.27</td><td>3,124.24</td><td>12,965.85</td><td>14</td><td>0</td></t<>	Lysophosphatidylethanolamine	LPE	6,563.27	3,124.24	12,965.85	14	0
Methyl-cholesteryl ester methyl-CE 37,950,13 10,953,57 129,335,37 5 0 Methyl-dehydrocholesteryl ester methyl-DE 2,929,83 622.81 9,579.89 2 0 Monohexosylceramide HexCer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidic acid PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylglocerol PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylglocerol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidylglocerol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Sphingomyelin SM	Lysophosphatidylinositol	LPI	1,519.93	844.58	2,776.85	7	2
Methyl-dehydrocholesteryl ester methyl-DE 2,929.83 622.81 9,579.89 2 0 Monohexxosylceramide HexCer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidic acid PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidyleholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylipcerol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidylipcerol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingomyelin SM	Methyl-cholesteryl ester	methyl-CE	37,950.13	10,953.57	129,335.37	5	0
Monohexosylceramide Hex.Cer 3,212.20 911.79 6,392.99 14 0 Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidic acid PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylipcorol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidylipcorol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 277 9 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Sphingosine PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate SIP 2,072.	Methyl-dehydrocholesteryl ester	methyl-DE	2,929.83	622.81	9,579.89	2	0
Oxidized lipids OxSpecies 6,579.85 2,681.95 13,155.84 6 1 Phosphatidic acid PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylgycerol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidylinositol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine 1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 55	Monohexosylceramide	HexCer	3,212.20	911.79	6,392.99	14	0
Phosphatidic acid PA 76.43 40.32 164.48 5 0 Phosphatidylcholine PC 1,737,177.86 1,048,144.69 2,398,255.66 90 4 Phosphatidylcholine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylgycerol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidylinositol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylserine PI 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylserine PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingonyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99,	Oxidized lipids	OxSpecies	6,579.85	2,681.95	13,155.84	6	1
ProsphatidylcholinePC1,737,177.861,048,144.692,398,255.66904PhosphatidylethanolaminePE40,484.4015,353.4895,420.85375PhosphatidylgycerolPG475.99134.611,170.8131PhosphatidylinositolPI215,820.3160,717.32370,648.86279Phosphatidylinositol monophosphatePIP1628.2685.241,380.7510PhosphatidylserinePS6,981.00354.8623,312.2270SphingonyelinSM342,792.34190,455.47557,621.10472SphingosineSph494.68214.471,108.7010Sphingosine-1-phosphateS1P2,072.791,120.774,252.1740SulfatideSHexCer565.90269.161,082.0760Triacylglycerol (NL)TG1,742,464.14450,098.997,784,888.837751UbiquinoneUbiquinone2,069.76508.354,924.1211	Phosphatidic acid	PA	76.43	40.32	164.48	5	0
Phosphatidylethanolamine PE 40,484.40 15,353.48 95,420.85 37 5 Phosphatidylglycerol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidylinositol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylserine PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 <td< td=""><td>Phosphatidylcholine</td><td>PC</td><td>1,737,177.86</td><td>1,048,144.69</td><td>2,398,255.66</td><td>90</td><td>4</td></td<>	Phosphatidylcholine	PC	1,737,177.86	1,048,144.69	2,398,255.66	90	4
Phosphatidylglycerol PG 475.99 134.61 1,170.81 3 1 Phosphatidyllinositol PI 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidyllinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidyllinositol monophosphate PIS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1 Triacylglycerol (NL)	Phosphatidylethanolamine	PE	40,484.40	15,353.48	95,420.85	37	5
Phosphatidylinositol Pl 215,820.31 60,717.32 370,648.86 27 9 Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylserine PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1	Phosphatidylglycerol	PG	475.99	134.61	1.170.81	3	1
Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate PIP1 628.26 85.24 1,380.75 1 0 Phosphatidylserine PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1	Phosphatidylinositol	PI	215,820.31	60,717.32	370,648.86	27	9
Phosphatidylserine PS 6,981.00 354.86 23,312.22 7 0 Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1	Phosphatidylinositol monophosphate	PIP1	628.26	85.24	1,380.75	1	0
Sphingomyelin SM 342,792.34 190,455.47 557,621.10 47 2 Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1	Phosphatidylserine	PS	6.981.00	354.86	23.312.22	7	0
Sphingosine Sph 494.68 214.47 1,108.70 1 0 Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1	Sphingomvelin	SM	342,792,34	190.455.47	557.621.10	47	2
Sphingosine-1-phosphate S1P 2,072.79 1,120.77 4,252.17 4 0 Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcernide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1	Sphingosine	Sph	494.68	214.47	1,108.70	1	0
Sulfatide SHexCer 565.90 269.16 1,082.07 6 0 Triacy/glycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1 Total Total	Sphingosine-1-phosphate	S1P	2.072.79	1.120.77	4.252.17	4	0
Triacylglycerol (NL) TG 1,742,464.14 450,098.99 7,784,888.83 77 51 Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1 Total Total	Sulfatide	SHexCer	565.90	269.16	1,082.07	6	0
Trihexosylcermide Hex3Cer 1,580.81 739.32 2,721.76 6 0 Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1 Total 799 135	Triacylglycerol (NL)	TG	1,742,464.14	450,098.99	7,784,888.83	77	51
Ubiquinone Ubiquinone 2,069.76 508.35 4,924.12 1 1 Total 799 135	Trihexosylcermide	Hex3Cer	1,580.81	739.32	2,721.76	6	0
Total 799 135	Ubiquinone	Ubiquinone	2.069.76	508.35	4,924.12	1	1
	Total		,			799	135

Numeric values are given as average and range. No., number; P, Plasmogen; LAF, lysoplatelet activating factor. *No. with p < 0.05 designates the number of lipids significantly associated with EAT volume measurement at the 0.05 level of false discovery rate (FDR) under adjustment for age, sex and their interactions.

TABLE 3 | Species and classes of lipid with the lowest false discovery rate *p*-values in our study.

Lipid classes				
Lipid classes name	Lipid abbreviation	<i>p</i> -value	Beta (SDU)	FDR
Triacylglycerol	TG	3.23E-04	0.3062	6.97E-03
Alkyldiacylglycerol	TG(O)	1.07E-04	0.2620	1.24E-03
Hydroxylated acylcarnitine	AC-OH	1.28E-03	0.2415	1.34E-02
Deoxyceramide	Cer(m)	2.48E-03	0.2698	2.07E-02
Ubiquinone	Ubiquinone	3.81E-03	0.2465	2.79E-02
Diacylglycerol	DG	4.09E-03	0.2481	2.85E-02
Dihydroceramide	dhCer	5.01E-03	0.2331	3.17E-02
Phosphatidylinositol	PI	6.33E-03	0.2349	3.59E-02
Phosphatidylglycerol	PG	8.38E-03	0.2232	4.39E-02

Lipid species

Lipid species name	Lipid class	<i>p</i> -value	Beta (SDU)	FDR
Cer(m18:1/18:0)	Cer(m)	1.84E-07	0.4000	1.63E-04
Cer(m18:1/20:0)	Cer(m)	2.23E-05	0.3463	4.44E-03
TG(50:1)[NL-16:0]	TG	2.20E-05	0.3450	4.44E-03
TG(50:1)[NL-18:1]	TG	3.37E-05	0.3405	4.44E-03
TG(50:2)[NL-18:2]	TG	3.18E-05	0.3393	4.44E-03
TG(49:1)[NL-17:1]	TG	2.89E-05	0.3366	4.44E-03
TG(51:2)[NL-17:1]	TG	5.23E-05	0.3354	5.17E-03
TG(54:2)[NL-18:0	TG	6.36E-05	0.3205	5.17E-03
TG(54:6)[NL-20:4]	TG	5.45E-05	0.3069	5.17E-03
TG(53:2)[NL-17:1]	TG	8.04E-05	0.3232	5.76E-03
PI(16:0/16:1)	PI	8.46E-05	0.2901	5.76E-03
TG(51:2)[NL-17:0]	TG	1.13E-04	0.3252	6.22E-03
TG(54:5)[NL-20:4]	TG	1.12E-04	0.2972	6.22E-03
PI(16:0/20:4)	PI	1.08E-04	0.2935	6.22E-03
TG(50:2)[NL-16:1]	TG	1.54E-04	0.3199	6.66E-03
TG(50:3)[NL-16:1]	TG	1.65E-04	0.3182	6.66E-03
TG(52:1)[NL-18:1]	TG	1.64E-04	0.3117	6.66E-03
TG(48:1)[NL-16:1]	TG	1.84E-04	0.3057	6.66E-03
TG(52:2)[NL-18:2]	TG	1.88E-04	0.3028	6.66E-03
TG(50:6)[NL-20:4]	TG	1.40E-04	0.2840	6.66E-03

SDU, standard deviation units.

lie closer to the genomic level and ultimately the causal action of genes. So, the identification of novel lipid-related endophenotypes that are genetically correlated with CVD offers the potential to discover biomarkers which will quickly lead us to the identification of novel CVD treatments and ultimately preventatives.

The most common risk factors for CVD are deleterious lipid concentrations, obesity, hypertension, and smoking. Additionally, significant health disparities exist in CVD in some minority groups, in both health outcomes and quality of care. Hispanic populations have a significantly worse CVD risk profile, however the prevalence of some CVDs, such as coronary heart disease, are lower than in other ethnic groups, a phenomenon known as the Hispanic Paradox (49). Hispanic individuals face significant socioeconomic challenges, and they tend to have low rates of disease awareness and health insurance and are less likely to seek treatment. A recent American Heart Association statement on the health burden of CVD in Hispanics acknowledges insufficient understanding of Hispanic heart health and supports the need for more Hispanic CVD research (49, 50). The work of our group in Mexican American individuals, has demonstrated a role for these lipid species and the measurement of CMRI-derived phenotypes in CVD disease risk. Our results provide information that lipid measures (which are significantly associated with common complex diseases) and the use of the extended pedigrees of Mexican Americans, along with our novel lipidomic approach and CMRI-derived measures are extremely powerful and cost-effective tools for the identification of the relationship between lipid metabolism and CVD risk.



Heritability of coronary heart disease is estimated to be up to 60% and comes from a variety of family and twin studies (51). CMRI phenotypes, including measures of left ventricle (LV) mass, volume and function, demonstrate high heritability (LV mass heritability ranges from 15 to 84%) (52). In our study, the heritability of epicardial fat volume was 86.29% (*p*-value = $1.8 \times 10^{-6} \pm 0.1617$). This is a validation that EAT volume is significantly genetically regulated and demonstrates



the power for identification of intermediate phenotypes in CVD prevention. This study focused on cardiac imaging and lipidomic profile with the ultimate aim of identifying possible lipid phenotypes influencing CVD risk. Focusing on these intermediate phenotypes may direct us more rapidly to CVD risk gene identification.

The fundamental mechanisms potentially responsible for cardiovascular events in overweight individuals remains uncertain. Thus, it is important to ask the question whether increases in epicardial fat, as a component of obesity, may provide one of the links between obesity, coronary atherosclerosis and consequently CVD. Fat in the heart resides in three distinct depots: epicardial, pericardial and intracellular fat. Epicardial fat is located on the surface of the heart especially around the epicardial coronary vessels. It can extend into the heart and intersperse within myocardial muscle fibers (35). There is a significant direct relationship between the amount of epicardial fat and general body adiposity (visceral adiposity) as demonstrated in clinical imaging studies by Rabkin (27, 35). Several lines of evidence support a role for epicardial fat in the pathogenesis of coronary artery disease: first, due to the close anatomic relationship between epicardial fat and coronary arteries (35, 53); second, due to the positive correlation between the amount of epicardial fat and the presence of coronary atherosclerosis (54, 55) and third, due to the ability of adipose tissue to secrete hormones and cytokines that modulate coronary artery atherothrombosis (31). Thus, epicardial fat may be an important factor responsible for cardiovascular disease in obesity (25, 33).

Genetic and epidemiological studies have greatly improved our understanding of pathophysiology underlying the complex of CVDs and have identified several risk factors. Amongst the well-recognized predisposing factors, lipid metabolism plays a central role in the development of CVDs (56, 57). Since the landmark publications from the Framingham study (58), plasma lipids have been recognized as important predictors of future CVD events (59). To assess these events, plasma lipids are routinely monitored by profiling total cholesterol, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) (referred as "traditional lipids"). Efforts to understand the role of lipids in CVD pathophysiology had largely focused on these traditional lipids, and to some extent on free fatty acids and lipoproteins. However, human plasma consists of thousands of functionally and chemically diverse molecular lipid species (41, 60). Nevertheless, tremendous advancements in the field of lipidomics has facilitated these efforts to unravel the metabolic dysregulation in complex lipidrelated disorders, particularly in CVDs and for the identification of predictive biomarkers beyond traditional lipids (61, 62). Lipids are of central importance for the bioenergetic metabolism of the heart and in the healthy heart, fatty acids (FAs) account for 60-90% of ATP production while glucose provides the remainder (63, 64). When damaged, the heart shifts away from lipids toward a greater reliance on glycolysis, ketone body oxidation, amino acids, and lactate as sources of energy (63, 65). This shift in cardiac metabolism and identification of the metabolic pathways involved remains a challenge and consequently so too does the translation of these metabolic findings to the clinical setting for improved or novel diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers (17). That interdependence of metabolites results in a disease signature which can be used to more precisely identify or predict disease states, which represents the closest link to the phenotype. The biologically simpler nature of these individual lipid species suggest that they may reside closer to the causal action of genes, making them valuable endophenotypes (18). Even though changes in the levels of numerous metabolites have been shown to occur in the failing heart (BCAA, lactate, ketones), specific lipid metabolites appear to consistently change in metabolomic profiles of CVD patients, namely sphingolipids, phospholipids, glycolipids, cholesterol esters, fatty acids and acylcarnitines (63).

Epidemiological and lipidomic studies has shown that specific lipoproteins and their constituent lipids are important factors in the development of metabolic related disorders (9, 10, 66) and CVD specifically (67–69). Glycerolipids are a large

group of lipids accounting for a good proportion of total lipids in plasma. Triacylglycerol (TG) is the most abundant lipid class and comprises the bulk of storage fat in tissues. Monoacylglycerol (MG) and diacylglycerol (DG) represent intermediates in the biosynthesis and hydrolysis of TG and function as second messengers in signal transduction processes (70, 71). It has previously been shown that the breakdown and synthesis of triglycerides by DG and MG have a causal effect on CVD risk (13). Sphingolipids are wide range of complex lipids and constitute several hundreds of different species, including ceramides, which function as a precursor for complex sphingolipids (72, 73). Sphingolipids and their precursors, may be involved in the pathogenesis of CVD through multiple pathways including inflammation (74), atherosclerosis (75), and apoptosis (76). Kulkarni et al. have demonstrated a role for diacylglycerols, with four species (each containing palmitic acid) showing significant genetic correlations with hypertension (9). Additionally, Mamtani et al. showed consistent association of ceramides (Cer(d)) with waist circumference where levels were significantly higher in diabetics compared to non-diabetics ($p = 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$) (10). Alshehry et al. showed that monohexosylceramide (HexCer), dihexosylceramide (Hex2Cer) and lysoalkylphosphatidylcholine (LPC(O)) were associated with cardiovascular events and HexCer and Hex2Cer with cardiovascular death (68). Alshehry et al. found that five species of lipids containing polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), including phospatidylcholine (PC,) alkenylphosphatidylcholine (PC(P)) and triacylglycerol were inversely associated with CVD risk. In our results, we show that two of these lipids (PC and TG) are associated with epicardial fat volume.

It is now well-established that ceramide metabolism is altered in the context of type 2 diabetes (T2D) and obesity which are both linked to CVD (77, 78). In fact, higher plasma ceramide levels have been associated with visceral obesity, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and T2D, which are also predictors of CVDs (79-84). There is an interplay between the level of ceramides in the plasma/tissues and disease development. Hepatocellular ceramides stimulate fatty acid uptake (85) and decrease glucose uptake (85, 86), which enables hepatocytes to favor fatty acids over glucose as a preferred energy source and leads to NAFLD (85). In our study, eight of the 47 ceramide lipids were significantly associated with EAT volume. The most significant species within the Cer(d) class with both FDR value of 6.97x 10⁻³ was Cer(d18:1/18:0) and Cer(d19:1/18:0) (*p*-value 3.31 \times 10⁻⁴). Several studies have found these species to be associated with CVD (82-84) and that ratios of long chain ceramide species Cer(d18:1/16:0) and Cer(d18:1/18:0) were more strongly correlated with negative cardiovascular outcomes than ratios of very long chains of ceramide species Cer(d18:1/24:0) (84). The Cer(d) species associated with EAT volume in our cohort were only long chain ceramide species. Laaksonen et al. (84) found that the ratios of Cer(d18:1/24:0)/Cer(d18:1/16:0) and Cer(d18:1/24:0)/Cer(d18:1/16:0) negatively correlate with coronary heart disease and mortality, and Anroedh et al. found Cer(d18:1/20:0) to be associated with CVD event and death (87). This last lipid species was positively associated with EAT volume in our cohort with (SDU 0.3463; FDR 4.44 \times 10⁻³; *p*-value 2.23 \times 10⁻⁵). Another more recent study found that serum sphingolipids are markers of coronary artery disease, independent of cholesterol (88), further solidifying the strong correlation between these species and negative cardiac outcomes. These dual (positive or negative) ceramide actions may be due to manipulations of the *de novo* ceramide synthesis pathway suggesting that certain ceramide species are deleterious, whereas others are beneficial (77, 89-91). Those containing the C16 or C18 acyl chain (77, 89, 90) and a double bond (i.e., ceramides, not dihydroceramides) (92) in the sphingolipid backbone are particularly harmful. Thus, plasma ceramide levels can be used as clinical biomarkers to predict risk of cardiovascular death. Another lipid class that we found to be significantly associated with EAT volume was dihydroceramide (dhCer). This lipid is the precursor of ceramide in the *de novo* synthesis pathway. Ceramides and their derivates are involved in many, if not all, essential cellular process such cell growth, cell adhesion and migration, senescence, apoptosis, inflammation, immune system and angiogenesis (73, 93). Ceramide synthesis and accumulation are influenced by multiple factors, which include excessive supply of substrates, systemic inflammation, oxidative stress and the microbiome (94). Therefore, the many roles played by ceramides and derivates in cardiometabolic health and diseases are associated with this connection between excessive supply of lipids and inflammation (95). The ceramide family of species has been reported to have a strong correlation with CVD risk in the literature. We found that 2 of 6 measured dhCer species (dhCer(d18:0/24:1) and dhCer(d18:0/22:0)) were significantly associated with EAT volume. Other authors have reported the existence of a strong correlation between CVD and increased dihydroceramide levels. Elevated levels of dihydroceramides have been found in atherosclerotic plaques (75). The role this increase in dihydroceramides plays in plaque stability is still debatable, since the extracellular addition of dihydroceramides to human aortic smooth muscle cells did not cause apoptosis, whereas addition of ceramides did (75). One atypical sphingolipid (deoxyceramide -Cer(m)) was also associated with EAT in our study, with the two most significant FDR results. These ceramides are neurotoxic sphingolipids which are formed by the enzyme serine palmitoyltransferase (SPT). Pathologically elevated Cer(m) levels were found in patients with MetS or T2D (96-99). The mechanisms which underlie this increased Cer(m) formation in metabolic disorders is not understood. Low but detectable Cer(m) levels are generally found in plasma - also of healthy individuals. However, patients with MetS or T2D have significantly elevated plasma Cer(m) levels (98, 99). These observations may reflect an underlying interaction between the severity or control of T2D in this population and cardiovascular risk.

The glycerophospholipids, also known as phospholipids, are the major structural component of cell membranes and are involved in various biological processes including inflammation (72). It has been shown that there is a marked difference in the lipidome of adipose tissues depending on their epicardial or subcutaneous origin (SAT) (21). Barchuk et al. found a specific enrichment in sphingolipids, phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and phosphatidylethanolamine plasmalogens (PE(P)) in EAT compared with SAT. They

observed that EAT was rich in PC and in PE. Our results corroborate this finding, identifying a total of 127 different species of PC and PE, accounting for almost 16% of total lipid profile. From those, a total of nine lipids (4 PC and 5 PE) were associated with EAT in our cohort. Barchuk et al. (21) also observed a specific lipidomic signature of EAT in coronary artery disease (CAD) patients, identifying 97 lipid species that discriminated patients with and without CAD. They also observed that patients with CAD exhibited more ceramides, diacylglycerides, monoacylglycerides, and less unsaturated triacylglycerols in their EAT. This group also found that the EAT lipidome was independent to that of SAT, possibly indicating a specific high metabolic activity, or a beige associated phenotype. Although we did not evaluate cardiovascular disease between the individuals with high EAT in our cohort, the lipid profile associated with EAT was basically the same as found by Barchuk et al., where the majority of the lipids associated with EAT were from sphingolipids (ceramides, dihydroceramides, 1-deoxysphingolipds, sphingomyelins) and glycerolipids (DG and TG) (21). Our results suggest that saturated fatty acids (FAs) on the TG species show strong association with EAT. The majority of the FAs on the top 28 TG species significantly associated with EAT were saturated. Stegemann et al. in a populationbased study, identified a specific cluster of triacylglycerol species with saturated and monounsaturated acyl chains as most consistently associated with CVD (100). The association between saturated/monounsaturated fatty acids and cardiovascular risk was also evident in or study. Recently, Tomasova et al., found a higher content of phosphatidylcholine and decreased level of phosphatidylethanolamine (18:0/20:4) in EAT compared with SAT (101). Although our study didn't measure SAT volume for comparison with EAT, we did find high numbers of PCs and PEs associated with EAT volume, where high concentrations of these lipids was observed in individuals with high epicardial volume. These observations may reflect an underlying interaction between the severity or control of T2D and CVD risk.

These associations suggest that there are multiple factors that influence lipid homeostasis and presumably CVD risk. The effects of these lipid species on epicardial fat volume, after adjustment for established risk factors, likely reflects both environmental and genetic factors not currently considered in CVD risk.

LIMITATIONS

Some limitations of the present study need to be considered. First, our findings should be seen as indicative and need confirmation by replication in independent cohorts. All study participants were Mexican Americans, so, it is not possible to generalize these results to other ethnic groups. Future studies need to investigate the similarities and differences of lipid associations and CVD risk in different ethnic populations. Second is the limitation of all lipidomic studies where the coverage is incomplete. In this study, we used a targeted approach that has enabled us to measure 799 lipid species from 48 different lipid classes, providing a broad, but still incomplete, coverage of the lipidome. Third, the high variance associated with lipidomic measurements can reduce the strength of observed associations. Lastly, all inferences in this study are based on cross-sectional data. The associations therefore do not automatically imply a causal role of plasma lipid species in the pathogenesis of CVD. The main goal of the study was to query the existence of potential correlations in EAT volume and specific lipid species for prediction of CVD risk.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, using a novel integrative approach by combining plasma lipidomics and CMR imaging of epicardial fat volume, we have shown that specific lipid abnormalities correlate with cardiovascular disease risk. Our findings should be considered as preliminary and the possible role of these triacylglycerols and/or ceramides in the development or prevention of CVD warrant further investigations. On a general level, our study also provides a framework for linking plasma lipidomic markers not only with clinical endpoints, but also with the more subtle intermediate phenotypes, as derived from medical imaging of potential pathophysiological relevance.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by both the Institutional Review Board at University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio and the Institutional Review Board at University of Texas Rio Grande Valley. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LM, JB, and JC contributed to the conception and design of the study. AL organized the study data, performed descriptive statistics, generated tables and figures, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. RD was responsible for the recruitment of the SAFHS participants in the study. GC performed the cardiac MRI acquisition and calculation of EAT volume. KH, CG, and TD performed lipidomic profiling and quantification of lipid species

REFERENCES

- Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, Chamberlain AM, Chang AR, Cheng S, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2018 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (2018) 137:e67–492. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000558
- 2. Olvera Lopez E, Ballard BD, Jan A. *Cardiovascular Disease*. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls (2022).
- 3. Barquera S, Pedroza-Tobias A, Medina C, Hernandez-Barrera L, Bibbins-Domingo K, Lozano R, et al. Global overview of the epidemiology of

and classes. PM was responsible for overseeing the lipidomic profiling, interpretation of the data, and wrote sections of the manuscript. JB directs the SAFHS cohort and was responsible for overseeing the statistical analysis and wrote sections of the manuscript. MA, MK, and VD were responsible for the statistical analyses in SOLAR and for generating some of the figures. JC directed the study and wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants P01 HL045522 (SAFHS data collection), R01 HL140681 (lipid profiling), R37 MH059490 (analytical methods and software used), R01 EB015611 (analytical methods and software used), Eli Lilly and Company (cardiac imaging) and a grant from the Valley Baptist Legacy Foundation for Project THRIVE (biorepository). This work was conducted in part in facilities constructed under the support of NIH grant C06 RR020547. PM was supported by a L3 Investigator grant from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (2009965). KH was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia investigator grant (1197190). The funders were not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank and acknowledge the participants of the San Antonio Family Heart Study for their continued involvement in our research programs. We thank Katherine Truax, Marcelo Leandro, and Johnathon Waggoner from the Department of Human Genetics and South Texas Diabetes and Obesity Institute, UTRGV for laboratory assistance and Scott Mc Ahren from Eli Lilly for assistance with study quality control.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm. 2022.889985/full#supplementary-material

atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Arch Med Res. (2015) 46:328–38. doi: 10.1016/j.arcmed.2015.06.006

- Berger JS, Jordan CO, Lloyd-Jones D, Blumenthal RS. Screening for cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic patients. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2010) 55:1169–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.09.066
- 5. Reynolds K, He J. Epidemiology of the metabolic syndrome. *Am J Med Sci.* (2005) 330:273–9. doi: 10.1097/0000441-200512000-00004
- Forouhi NG, Sattar N, CVD. risk factors and ethnicity-a homogeneous relationship? *Atheroscler Suppl.* (2006) 7:11–9. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosissup.2006.01.003

- Mitchell BD, Kammerer CM, Blangero J, Mahaney MC, Rainwater DL, Dyke B, et al. Genetic and environmental contributions to cardiovascular risk factors in Mexican Americans. The San Antonio Family Heart Study. *Circulation*. (1996) 94:2159–70. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.94.9.2159
- Kulkarni H, Meikle PJ, Mamtani M, Weir JM, Almeida M, Diego V, et al. Plasma lipidome is independently associated with variability in metabolic syndrome in Mexican American families. J Lipid Res. (2014) 55:939–46. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M044065
- Kulkarni H, Meikle PJ, Mamtani M, Weir JM, Barlow CK, Jowett JB, et al. Plasma lipidomic profile signature of hypertension in Mexican American families: specific role of diacylglycerols. *Hypertension*. (2013) 62:621–6. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.01396
- Mamtani M, Meikle PJ, Kulkarni H, Weir JM, Barlow CK, Jowett JB, et al. Plasma dihydroceramide species associate with waist circumference in Mexican American families. *Obesity*. (2014) 22:950–6. doi: 10.1002/oby.20598
- Jorgensen AB, Frikke-Schmidt R, West AS, Grande P, Nordestgaard BG, Tybjaerg-Hansen A. Genetically elevated non-fasting triglycerides and calculated remnant cholesterol as causal risk factors for myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J.* (2013) 34:1826–33. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs431
- Varbo A, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Jorgensen AB, Frikke-Schmidt R, Nordestgaard BG. Remnant cholesterol as a causal risk factor for ischemic heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2013) 61:427–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.08.1026
- Do R, Willer CJ, Schmidt EM, Sengupta S, Gao C, Peloso GM, et al. Common variants associated with plasma triglycerides and risk for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet*. (2013) 45:1345–52. doi: 10.1038/ng.2795
- Sniderman AD, Couture P, Martin SS, DeGraaf J, Lawler PR, Cromwell WC, et al. Hypertriglyceridemia and cardiovascular risk: a cautionary note about metabolic confounding. J Lipid Res. (2018) 59:1266–75. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R082271
- Weissglas-Volkov D, Pajukanta P. Genetic causes of high and low serum HDL-cholesterol. J Lipid Res. (2010) 51:2032–57. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R004739
- Bosse Y, Perusse L, Vohl MC. Genetics of LDL particle heterogeneity: from genetic epidemiology to DNA-based variations. *J Lipid Res.* (2004) 45:1008– 26. doi: 10.1194/jlr.R400002-JLR200
- Wong G, Barlow CK, Weir JM, Jowett JB, Magliano DJ, Zimmet P, et al. Inclusion of plasma lipid species improves classification of individuals at risk of type 2 diabetes. *PLoS ONE*. (2013) 8:e76577. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076577
- Curran JE, Meikle PJ, Blangero J. New approaches for the discovery of lipidrelated genes. *Clin Lipidol.* (2011) 6:495–500. doi: 10.2217/clp.11.45
- Meikle PJ, Summers SA. Sphingolipids and phospholipids in insulin resistance and related metabolic disorders. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2017) 13:79– 91. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2016.169
- Despres JP. Body fat distribution and risk of cardiovascular disease: an update. *Circulation*. (2012) 126:1301–13. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.067264
- 21. Barchuk M, Dutour A, Ancel P, Svilar L, Miksztowicz V, Lopez G, et al. Untargeted lipidomics reveals a specific enrichment in plasmalogens in epicardial adipose tissue and a specific signature in coronary artery disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2020) 40:986–1000. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.120.313955
- 22. Iacobellis G, Ribaudo MC, Assael F, Vecci E, Tiberti C, Zappaterreno A, et al. Echocardiographic epicardial adipose tissue is related to anthropometric and clinical parameters of metabolic syndrome: a new indicator of cardiovascular risk. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2003) 88:5163–8. doi: 10.1210/jc.2003-030698
- Colom C, Vilades D, Perez-Cuellar M, Leta R, Rivas-Urbina A, Carreras G, et al. Associations between epicardial adipose tissue, subclinical atherosclerosis and high-density lipoprotein composition in type 1 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2018) 17:156. doi: 10.1186/s12933-018-0794-9
- 24. Sacks HS, Fain JN. Human epicardial adipose tissue: a review. *Am Heart J.* (2007) 153:907–17. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2007.03.019
- Iacobellis G, Leonetti F. Epicardial adipose tissue and insulin resistance in obese subjects. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2005) 90:6300–2. doi: 10.1210/jc.2005-1087
- 26. Wang CP, Hsu HL, Hung WC Yu TH, Chen YH, Chiu CA, et al. Increased epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) volume in type 2 diabetes

mellitus and association with metabolic syndrome and severity of coronary atherosclerosis. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf).* (2009) 70:876–82. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2008.03411.x

- 27. Rabkin SW. The relationship between epicardial fat and indices of obesity and the metabolic syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord.* (2014) 12:31–42. doi: 10.1089/met.2013.0107
- Gruzdeva O, Borodkina D, Uchasova E, Dyleva Y, Barbarash O. Localization of fat depots and cardiovascular risk. *Lipids Health Dis.* (2018) 17:218. doi: 10.1186/s12944-018-0856-8
- 29. Kim SH, Chung JH, Kwon BJ, Song SW, Choi WS. The associations of epicardial adipose tissue with coronary artery disease and coronary atherosclerosis. *Int Heart J.* (2014) 55:197–203. doi: 10.1536/ihj.13-303
- Gaborit B, Sengenes C, Ancel P, Jacquier A, Dutour A. Role of epicardial adipose tissue in health and disease: a matter of fat? *Compr Physiol.* (2017) 7:1051–82. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c160034
- Mazurek T, Zhang L, Zalewski A, Mannion JD, Diehl JT, Arafat H, et al. Human epicardial adipose tissue is a source of inflammatory mediators. *Circulation.* (2003) 108:2460–6. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000099542.57313.C5
- Iacobellis G. Local and systemic effects of the multifaceted epicardial adipose tissue depot. Nat Rev Endocrinol. (2015) 11:363–71. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2015.58
- 33. Fitzgibbons TP, Czech MP. Epicardial and perivascular adipose tissues and their influence on cardiovascular disease: basic mechanisms and clinical associations. J Am Heart Assoc. (2014) 3:e000582. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000582
- Marchington JM, Mattacks CA, Pond CM. Adipose tissue in the mammalian heart and pericardium: structure, foetal development and biochemical properties. *Comp Biochem Physiol B.* (1989) 94:225–32. doi: 10.1016/0305-0491(89)90337-4
- Rabkin SW. Epicardial fat: properties, function and relationship to obesity. Obes Rev. (2007) 8:253–61. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-789X.2006.00293.x
- Wisneski JA, Gertz EW, Neese RA, Mayr M. Myocardial metabolism of free fatty acids. Studies with 14C-labeled substrates in humans. J Clin Invest. (1987) 79:359–66. doi: 10.1172/JCI112820
- Friedman JM. Obesity in the new millennium. Nature. (2000) 404:632–4. doi: 10.1038/35007504
- Shulman GI. Cellular mechanisms of insulin resistance. J Clin Invest. (2000) 106:171–6. doi: 10.1172/JCI10583
- Pezeshkian M, Noori M, Najjarpour-Jabbari H, Abolfathi A, Darabi M, Darabi M, et al. Fatty acid composition of epicardial and subcutaneous human adipose tissue. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord.* (2009) 7:125–31. doi: 10.1089/met.2008.0056
- Quehenberger O, Armando AM, Brown AH, Milne SB, Myers DS, Merrill AH, et al. Lipidomics reveals a remarkable diversity of lipids in human plasma. *J Lipid Res.* (2010) 51:3299–305. doi: 10.1194/jlr. M009449
- Quehenberger O, Dennis EA. The human plasma lipidome. N Engl J Med. (2011) 365:1812–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1104901
- 42. Olvera RL, Bearden CE, Velligan DI, Almasy L, Carless MA, Curran JE, et al. Common genetic influences on depression, alcohol, and substance use disorders in Mexican-American families. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet.* (2011) 156B:561–8. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b. 31196
- Huynh K, Barlow CK, Jayawardana KS, Weir JM, Mellett NA, Cinel M, et al. High-throughput plasma lipidomics: detailed mapping of the associations with cardiometabolic risk factors. *Cell Chem Biol.* (2019) 26:71–84 e4. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2018.10.008
- Almasy L, Blangero J. Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees. Am J Hum Genet. (1998) 62:1198–211. doi: 10.1086/301844
- Feser WJ, Fingerlin TE, Strand MJ, Glueck DH. Calculating average power for the Benjamini-Hochberg Procedure. J Stat Theory Appl. (2009) 8:325–52.
- Ferreira JA. The Benjamini-Hochberg method in the case of discrete test statistics. Int J Biostat. (2007) 3:11. doi: 10.2202/1557-4679. 1065
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B.* (1995) 57:289–300. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

- Blanco-Gomez A, Castillo-Lluva S, Del Mar Saez-Freire M, Hontecillas-Prieto L, Mao JH, Castellanos-Martin A, et al. Missing heritability of complex diseases: enlightenment by genetic variants from intermediate phenotypes. *Bioessays*. (2016) 38:664–73. doi: 10.1002/bies.201600084
- Balfour PC. Jr., Ruiz JM, Talavera GA, Allison MA, Rodriguez CJ. Cardiovascular Disease in Hispanics/Latinos in the United States. J Lat Psychol. (2016) 4:98–113. doi: 10.1037/lat0000056
- Rodriguez CJ, Allison M, Daviglus ML, Isasi CR, Keller C, Leira EC, et al. Status of cardiovascular disease and stroke in Hispanics/Latinos in the United States: a science advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (2014) 130:593–625. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000071
- Wain LV. Rare variants and cardiovascular disease. *Brief Funct Genomics*. (2014) 13:384–91. doi: 10.1093/bfgp/elu010
- Jin Y, Kuznetsova T, Bochud M, Richart T, Thijs L, Cusi D, et al. Heritability of left ventricular structure and function in Caucasian families. *Eur J Echocardiogr.* (2011) 12:326–32. doi: 10.1093/ejechocard/jer019
- Keegan J, Gatehouse PD, Yang GZ, Firmin DN. Spiral phase velocity mapping of left and right coronary artery blood flow: correction for through-plane motion using selective fat-only excitation. J Magn Reson Imaging. (2004) 20:953–60. doi: 10.1002/jmri.20208
- 54. Ward MR, Jeremias A, Hibi K, Herity NA, Lo ST, Filardo SD, et al. The influence of plaque orientation (pericardial or myocardial) on coronary arterial remodeling. *Atherosclerosis.* (2001) 154:179–83. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9150(00)00459-7
- 55. Prati F, Arbustini E, Labellarte A, Sommariva L, Pawlowski T, Manzoli A, et al. Eccentric atherosclerotic plaques with positive remodelling have a pericardial distribution: a permissive role of epicardial fat? A three-dimensional intravascular ultrasound study of left anterior descending artery lesions. *Eur Heart J.* (2003) 24:329–36. doi: 10.1016/S0195-668X(02)00426-8
- O'Donnell MJ, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, Chin SL, Rao-Melacini P, et al. Risk factors for ischaemic and intracerebral haemorrhagic stroke in 22 countries (the INTERSTROKE study): a case-control study. *Lancet*. (2010) 376:112–23. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60834-3
- 57. Yusuf S, Joseph P, Rangarajan S, Islam S, Mente A, Hystad P, et al. Modifiable risk factors, cardiovascular disease, and mortality in 155 722 individuals from 21 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries (PURE): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:795–808. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32008-2
- Kannel WB, Dawber TR, Friedman GD, Glennon WE, McNamara PM. Risk Factors in Coronary Heart Disease. An Evaluation of Several Serum Lipids as Predictors of Coronary Heart Disease; the Framingham Study. *Ann Intern Med.* (1964) 61:888–99. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-61-5-888
- 59. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, Buroker AB, Goldberger ZD, Hahn EJ, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* (2019) 140:e596–646. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000678
- Dennis EA. Lipidomics joins the omics evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2009) 106:2089–90. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812636106
- Shevchenko A, Simons K. Lipidomics: coming to grips with lipid diversity. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2010) 11:593–8. doi: 10.1038/nrm2934
- Tabassum R, Ramo JT, Ripatti P, Koskela JT, Kurki M, Karjalainen J, et al. Genetic architecture of human plasma lipidome and its link to cardiovascular disease. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:4329. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11954-8
- McGranaghan P, Kirwan JA, Garcia-Rivera MA, Pieske B, Edelmann F, Blaschke F, et al. Lipid metabolite biomarkers in cardiovascular disease: discovery and biomechanism translation from human studies. *Metabolites*. (2021) 11:621. doi: 10.3390/metabo11090621
- Neubauer S. The failing heart-an engine out of fuel. N Engl J Med. (2007) 356:1140–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra063052
- Karwi QG, Uddin GM, Ho KL, Lopaschuk GD. Loss of metabolic flexibility in the failing heart. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2018) 5:68. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2018.00068
- Kulkarni H, Meikle PJ, Mamtani M, Weir JM, Barlow CK, Jowett JB, et al. Variability in associations of phosphatidylcholine molecular species with metabolic syndrome in Mexican-American families. *Lipids*. (2013) 48:497– 503. doi: 10.1007/s11745-013-3781-7

- Bellis C, Kulkarni H, Mamtani M, Kent JW. Jr., Wong G, Weir JM, et al. Human plasma lipidome is pleiotropically associated with cardiovascular risk factors and death. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet.* (2014) 7:854– 63. doi: 10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.114.000600
- Alshehry ZH, Mundra PA, Barlow CK, Mellett NA, Wong G, McConville MJ, et al. Plasma lipidomic profiles improve on traditional risk factors for the prediction of cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Circulation*. (2016) 134:1637–50. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.023233
- Cadby G, Melton PE, McCarthy NS, Giles C, Mellett NA, Huynh K, et al. Heritability of 596 lipid species and genetic correlation with cardiovascular traits in the Busselton Family Heart Study. *J Lipid Res.* (2020) 61:537–45. doi: 10.1194/jlr.RA119000594
- Coleman RA, Lee DP. Enzymes of triacylglycerol synthesis and their regulation. Prog Lipid Res. (2004) 43:134–76. doi: 10.1016/S0163-7827(03)00051-1
- Prentki M, Madiraju SR. Glycerolipid metabolism and signaling in health and disease. *Endocr Rev.* (2008) 29:647–76. doi: 10.1210/er.2008-0007
- Stephenson DJ, Hoeferlin LA, Chalfant CE. Lipidomics in translational research and the clinical significance of lipid-based biomarkers. *Transl Res.* (2017) 189:13–29. doi: 10.1016/j.trsl.2017.06.006
- Hannun YA, Obeid LM. Sphingolipids and their metabolism in physiology and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2018) 19:175–91. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.107
- Maceyka M, Spiegel S. Sphingolipid metabolites in inflammatory disease. Nature. (2014) 510:58–67. doi: 10.1038/nature13475
- Edsfeldt A, Duner P, Stahlman M, Mollet IG, Asciutto G, Grufman H, et al. Sphingolipids contribute to human atherosclerotic plaque inflammation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2016) 36:1132–40. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.116.305675
- Tirodkar TS, Voelkel-Johnson C. Sphingolipids in apoptosis. *Exp Oncol.* (2012) 34:231–42.
- Turpin SM, Nicholls HT, Willmes DM, Mourier A, Brodesser S, Wunderlich CM, et al. Obesity-induced CerS6-dependent C16:0 ceramide production promotes weight gain and glucose intolerance. *Cell Metab.* (2014) 20:678–86. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.08.002
- Kuzmenko DI, Klimentyeva TK. Role of ceramide in apoptosis and development of insulin resistance. *Biochemistry*. (2016) 81:913–27. doi: 10.1134/S0006297916090017
- Raichur S, Brunner B, Bielohuby M, Hansen G, Pfenninger A, Wang B, et al. The role of C16:0 ceramide in the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes: CerS6 inhibition as a novel therapeutic approach. *Mol Metab.* (2019) 21:36–50. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2018.12.008
- Boini KM, Xia M, Koka S, Gehr TW Li PL. Sphingolipids in obesity and related complications. *Front Biosci.* (2017) 22:96–116. doi: 10.2741/4474
- Kasumov T, Li L, Li M, Gulshan K, Kirwan JP, Liu X, et al. Ceramide as a mediator of non-alcoholic Fatty liver disease and associated atherosclerosis. *PLoS ONE.* (2015) 10:e0126910. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.01 26910
- Havulinna AS, Sysi-Aho M, Hilvo M, Kauhanen D, Hurme R, Ekroos K, et al. Circulating ceramides predict cardiovascular outcomes in the populationbased FINRISK 2002 cohort. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2016) 36:2424– 30. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.116.307497
- Hilvo M, Vasile VC, Donato LJ, Hurme R, Laaksonen R. Ceramides and ceramide scores: clinical applications for cardiometabolic risk stratification. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11:570628. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.570628
- Laaksonen R, Ekroos K, Sysi-Aho M, Hilvo M, Vihervaara T, Kauhanen D, et al. Plasma ceramides predict cardiovascular death in patients with stable coronary artery disease and acute coronary syndromes beyond LDL-cholesterol. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37:1967–76. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw148
- Poss AM, Summers SA. Too much of a good thing? An evolutionary theory to explain the role of ceramides in NAFLD. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11:505. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00505
- Chavez JA, Knotts TA, Wang LP Li G, Dobrowsky RT, Florant GL, et al. A role for ceramide, but not diacylglycerol, in the antagonism of insulin signal transduction by saturated fatty acids. J Biol Chem. (2003) 278:10297–303. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M212307200
- 87. Anroedh S, Hilvo M, Akkerhuis KM, Kauhanen D, Koistinen K, Oemrawsingh R, et al. Plasma concentrations of molecular lipid species

predict long-term clinical outcome in coronary artery disease patients. *J Lipid Res.* (2018) 59:1729–37. doi: 10.1194/jlr.P081281

- Poss AM, Maschek JA, Cox JE, Hauner BJ, Hopkins PN, Hunt SC, et al. Machine learning reveals serum sphingolipids as cholesterol-independent biomarkers of coronary artery disease. J Clin Invest. (2020) 130:1363–76. doi: 10.1172/JCI131838
- Hla T, Kolesnick R. C16:0-ceramide signals insulin resistance. *Cell Metab.* (2014) 20:703–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.10.017
- Turpin-Nolan SM, Hammerschmidt P, Chen W, Jais A, Timper K, Awazawa M, et al. CerS1-derived C18:0 ceramide in skeletal muscle promotes obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Cell Rep.* (2019) 26:1–10 e7. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.12.031
- Raichur S, Wang ST, Chan PW Li Y, Ching J, Chaurasia B, et al. CerS2 haploinsufficiency inhibits beta-oxidation and confers susceptibility to dietinduced steatohepatitis and insulin resistance. *Cell Metab.* (2014) 20:687–95. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.09.015
- Chaurasia B, Tippetts TS, Mayoral Monibas R, Liu J, Li Y, Wang L, et al. Targeting a ceramide double bond improves insulin resistance and hepatic steatosis. *Science*. (2019) 365:386–92. doi: 10.1126/science.aav3722
- Tan-Chen S, Guitton J, Bourron O, Le Stunff H, Hajduch E. Sphingolipid metabolism and signaling in skeletal muscle: from physiology to physiopathology. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11:491. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00491
- Green CD, Maceyka M, Cowart LA, Spiegel S. Sphingolipids in metabolic disease: the good, the bad, and the unknown. *Cell Metab.* (2021) 33:1293–306. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2021.06.006
- Chaurasia B, Talbot CL, Summers SA. Adipocyte ceramides-the nexus of inflammation and metabolic disease. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:576347. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.576347
- 96. Fridman V, Zarini S, Sillau S, Harrison K, Bergman BC, Feldman EL, et al. Altered plasma serine and 1-deoxydihydroceramide profiles are associated with diabetic neuropathy in type 2 diabetes and obesity. J Diabetes Complications. (2021) 35:107852. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2021. 107852
- Mwinyi J, Bostrom A, Fehrer I, Othman A, Waeber G, Marti-Soler H, et al. Plasma 1-deoxysphingolipids are early predictors of incident type 2 diabetes mellitus. *PLoS ONE*. (2017) 12:e0175776. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0175776

- Othman A, Rutti MF, Ernst D, Saely CH, Rein P, Drexel H, et al. Plasma deoxysphingolipids: a novel class of biomarkers for the metabolic syndrome? *Diabetologia*. (2012) 55:421–31. doi: 10.1007/s00125-011-2384-1
- Othman A, Saely CH, Muendlein A, Vonbank A, Drexel H, von Eckardstein A, et al. Plasma 1-deoxysphingolipids are predictive biomarkers for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care.* (2015) 3:e000073. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2014-000073
- 100. Stegemann C, Pechlaner R, Willeit P, Langley SR, Mangino M, Mayr U, et al. Lipidomics profiling and risk of cardiovascular disease in the prospective population-based Bruneck study. *Circulation*. (2014) 129:1821– 31. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002500
- 101. Tomasova P, Cermakova M, Pelantova H, Vecka M, Kratochvilova H, Lips M, et al. Minor lipids profiling in subcutaneous and epicardial fat tissue using LC/MS with an optimized preanalytical phase. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. (2019) 1113:50–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.03.006

Conflict of Interest: LM was employed by Eli Lilly and Company.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Leandro, Michael, Almeida, Kuokkanen, Huynh, Giles, Duong, Diego, Duggirala, Clarke, Blangero, Meikle and Curran. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.