






Known and Potential Invertebrate Vectors of Raspberry Viruses

Jiunn Luh Tan ^{1,2,*}, Nina Trandem ³, Jana Fránová ⁴, Zhibo Hamborg ³, Dag-Ragnar Blystad ³
and Rostislav Zemek ²

¹ Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

² Biology Centre CAS, Institute of Entomology, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; rosta@entu.cas.cz

³ Division of Biotechnology and Plant Health, Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research (NIBIO), 1433 Ås, Norway; nina.trandem@nibio.no (N.T.); zhibo.hamborg@nibio.no (Z.H.); dag-ragnar.blystad@nibio.no (D.-R.B.)

⁴ Biology Centre CAS, Institute of Plant Molecular Biology, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic; jana@umbr.cas.cz

* Correspondence: jiunluh@gmail.com

Abstract: The estimated global production of raspberry from year 2016 to 2020 averaged 846,515 tons. The most common cultivated *Rubus* spp. is European red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L. subsp. *idaeus*). Often cultivated for its high nutritional value, the red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*) is susceptible to multiple viruses that lead to yield loss. These viruses are transmitted through different mechanisms, of which one is invertebrate vectors. Aphids and nematodes are known to be vectors of specific raspberry viruses. However, there are still other potential raspberry virus vectors that are not well-studied. This review aimed to provide an overview of studies related to this topic. All the known invertebrates feeding on raspberry were summarized. Eight species of aphids and seven species of plant-parasitic nematodes were the only proven raspberry virus vectors. In addition, the eriophyid mite, *Phyllocoptes gracilis*, has been suggested as the natural vector of raspberry leaf blotch virus based on the current available evidence. Interactions between vector and non-vector herbivore may promote the spread of raspberry viruses. As a conclusion, there are still multiple aspects of this topic that require further studies to get a better understanding of the interactions among the viral pathogens, invertebrate vectors, and non-vectors in the raspberry agroecosystem. Eventually, this will assist in development of better pest management strategies.

Keywords: *Rubus idaeus*; aphids; mites; nematodes; arthropod pests; soft fruit; integrated pest management; virus control; virus-vector interactions; virus transmission



Citation: Tan, J.L.; Trandem, N.; Fránová, J.; Hamborg, Z.; Blystad, D.-R.; Zemek, R. Known and Potential Invertebrate Vectors of Raspberry Viruses. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 571. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v14030571>

Academic Editors: Beata Hasiów-Jaroszewska and Matthaios M. Mathioudakis

Received: 28 January 2022

Accepted: 7 March 2022

Published: 10 March 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Insects are the largest group of invertebrates that act as plant virus vectors. In addition, mites and nematodes are also common plant virus vectors [1]. There are four known modes of viral transmission by arthropod vectors (insects and mites): (1) non-persistent, (2) semi-persistent, (3) circulative persistent, and (4) propagative persistent. The non-persistent viruses are acquired from an infected host within a minute and inoculation into a healthy plant takes a few seconds or minutes. However, the retention of non-persistent viruses is limited to the arthropod's stylet and is bound to last only a few minutes to hours, or to next molt. Likewise, the semi-persistent viruses require slightly longer acquisition time (minutes to several hours), but inoculation only takes a few seconds to minutes. The semi-persistent viruses have a longer retention period (up to days) than non-persistent ones because the virus also accumulates itself in the arthropod's anterior gut instead of only the stylet. There are two types of persistent viruses, namely circulative and propagative. Both of them require much longer acquisition and inoculation time, which range from minutes to hours, and a latent period of up to weeks. The virus has a very long retention period, ranging from days to potentially the entire life span of the vector. The difference between circulative

and propagative is that propagative viruses are capable of replicating in the vector while circulative cannot. Certain propagative viruses are even transmitted to the offspring from parents. The persistent viruses are highly vector specific as they need to traverse multiple barriers within the vector [2–5].

Nematodes are known to transmit soil-borne viruses from four genera, namely *Nepovirus* (family *Secoviridae*), *Tobravirus* (family *Virgaviridae*), *Cheravirus* (family *Secoviridae*), and *Sadwavirus* (family *Secoviridae*) [6–9]. Andret-Link and Fuchs [10] categorized the nematode mode of viral transmission as semi-persistent, but there are some differences from the arthropod's semi-persistent mechanism. The nematodes require a minimum period (ranging from few minutes to hours) to acquire viruses from infected plants and they are able to retain them for months or years. Furthermore, virus particles are retained on the esophageal surface of the nematode during ingestion of plant cell contents and if it is not bound, the virus particles will be digested in the intestine and excreted [11]. However, the virus particles will be lost after molting, and are not passed on to, or retained in eggs [9]. This is because the virus is not associated with the nematode's body tissue but is trapped or bound to the esophagus surface, which is shed or altered during molting [12].

The interaction between plant virus and its vector often exhibits a certain degree of specificity [10]. This is also the case of raspberry viruses. Several invertebrate pests, such as aphids and nematodes, have been proven as the vector of specific raspberry viruses. However, there are still other potential raspberry virus vectors that are not well-studied. Therefore, this review aims to provide an overview of current available studies related to this topic.

2. Raspberry

Rubus (family Rosaceae) is the genus that includes blackberry (*Rubus fruticosus* L.), raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.), and their hybrids. According to FAOSTAT [13], the global raspberry production has been increasing since 2015, and the five-year (2016–2020) average of the total global production was estimated at 846,515 tons. Raspberry can be consumed fresh or processed [14]. The increasing demand can be, at least partly, attributed to the numerous nutritional contents of these berries, such as essential minerals and vitamins. Furthermore, the raspberry also contains high levels of antioxidants, which potentially result in numerous health benefits [15]. Three species are the most commercially important, namely, the European red raspberry (*R. idaeus* L. subsp. *idaeus*), the North American red raspberry (*R. idaeus* subsp. *strigosus* (Michx.)) and the black raspberry (*Rubus occidentalis* L.) [16]. Among these, the European red raspberry is the most commonly cultivated [17]. Red raspberry is a soft fruit species of temperate shrub, which has woody shoots and a perennial root system. In general, there are two types of raspberry cultivars, namely biennial-fruiting and annual-fruiting [18]. The biennial-fruiting cultivars (also known as floricanes) take two years to complete their life cycle, which involves vegetative growth, flower initiation and fruit development, and induction and breaking of bud dormancy. On the other hand, the annual-fruiting cultivars (also known as primocanes) complete the cycle of vegetative growth, flowering, and fruiting within a single growing season [19]. In this cultivar type, flowering begins July and August, where the canes are still actively elongating and as a result flower development are initiated in late summer and early autumn. Thus, fruiting can occur in the first year of development, completing the cycle within a year. On the other hand, in reality, the annual-fruiting cultivars may be delayed and produce half the fruit in the first year and the rest of the fruit in the second year, while certain biennial fruiting cultivars may also flower earlier, thus producing a small amount of fruit during the first year [20]. This kind of cultivars is sometimes considered as the third type, exhibiting traits intermediate between the two general cultivar types and originating from either biennial- or annual-fruiting cultivars [21]. Selecting good cultivars is important as it will affect the yield and quality of the fruits. Garcia, et al. [22] highlighted that the external appearance and texture of raspberries, such as size, firmness, freshness, and damage resistance of fruits, can be critical quality features for the market value. Some raspberry cultivars, such as

Glen Ample, Tulameen, Autumn Bliss, Autumn Britten, Polka, and Himbotop, are widely grown due to their plant growth performance and good fruit quality [23]. Furthermore, new innovative methods, particularly the use of plastic tunnels, have been introduced to increase the yield of raspberry by providing a more favorable growing environment. This means less wind, more diffuse light conditions and protection against rain and frost, which result in a longer harvest period [24–26]. Although plastic tunnels increase the yield, they have also brought notable changes in the pest complex. Two-spotted spider mites and whiteflies are more frequently found in tunnel-grown raspberries, although aphids, leafhoppers, and thrips are also common. In field-grown raspberries, other pests such as beetles, moths, and hemipteran bugs are more abundant [24,26]. Therefore, knowledge about the abundance of pests in different growing environments is vital in implementing effective pest management strategies.

3. Known Plant Viruses Infecting Raspberry

Raspberry plants are affected by various plant viruses from several families and genera. Currently, 22 viruses are known to infect raspberry (Table 1). They can be transmitted via several modes. Typically, a plant virus is transmitted from an infected plant to a healthy plant, but rarely through the direct contact between these plants. The transmission happens through a causing agent or event, such as invertebrates, mechanical injury, and propagation. The plant virus transmission can be categorized into two modes of transmission: (1) vertical transmission and (2) horizontal transmission. Vertical transmission happens when the virus is inherited by the progeny from an infected parent plant through seeds or pollens, and vegetative propagation. Whereas, horizontal transmission happens between individuals of the same generation through fungi, invertebrate vectors, and mechanical or sap inoculation [5,27].

Table 1. The known raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*) viruses and their mode of transmission (MoT).

Virus Name	Family	Genus	MoT ¹	References
Apple mosaic virus (ApMV)	<i>Bromoviridae</i>	<i>Ilarvirus</i>	P, S	[28]
Arabis mosaic virus (ArMV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Nepovirus</i>	S, N	[29,30]
Blackberry virus Y (BVY)	<i>Potyviridae</i>	<i>Brambyvirus</i>	U	[30]
Black raspberry necrosis virus (BRNV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Sadwavirus</i>	A	[31–33]
Cherry leaf roll virus (CLRV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Nepovirus</i>	P, S, N	[31,34]
Cherry rasp leaf virus (CRLV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Cheravirus</i>	N	[35,36]
Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV)	<i>Bromoviridae</i>	<i>Cucumovirus</i>	A, S	[29,37,38]
Raspberry bushy dwarf virus (RBDV)	unassigned	<i>Idaeovirus</i>	P, S	[29,31]
Raspberry latent virus (RpLV)	unassigned	unassigned	A	[39,40]
Raspberry leaf blotch virus (RLBV)	<i>Fimoviridae</i>	<i>Emaravirus</i>	M	[41,42]
Raspberry leaf curl virus (RpLCV)	unassigned	unassigned	A	[30,43]
Raspberry leaf mottle virus (RLMV)	<i>Closteroviridae</i>	<i>Closterovirus</i>	A	[31,40]
Raspberry ringspot virus (RpRSV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Nepovirus</i>	P, S, N	[30,44,45]
Raspberry vein chlorosis virus (RVCV)	<i>Rhabdoviridae</i>	<i>Cytorhabdovirus</i>	A	[31,46]
Rubus yellow net virus (RYNV)	<i>Caulimoviridae</i>	<i>Badnavirus</i>	A	[47,48]
Sowbane mosaic virus (SoMV)	<i>Solemoviridae</i>	<i>Sobemovirus</i>	P, S	[30,49,50]
Strawberry latent ringspot virus (SLRSV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Stralariivirus</i>	N	[30,51,52]
Strawberry necrotic shock virus (SNSV)	<i>Bromoviridae</i>	<i>Ilarvirus</i>	P, S	[36,53]
Tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Nepovirus</i>	S, N	[54,55]
Tobacco streak virus (TSV)	<i>Bromoviridae</i>	<i>Ilarvirus</i>	P, S	[53,56,57]
Tomato black ring virus (TBRV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Nepovirus</i>	P, S, N	[30,44,58]
Tomato ringspot virus (ToRSV)	<i>Secoviridae</i>	<i>Nepovirus</i>	P, S, N	[29,30,59]

¹ Mode of Transmission, P: Pollen, S: Seed, N: Nematode, A: Aphid, M: Mites, U: Unknown.

4. Known and Potential Invertebrate Vectors of Raspberry Viruses

The most commonly known plant virus vectors are insects of the orders Hemiptera (aphids, whiteflies, leafhoppers, treehoppers, and plant bugs) and Thysanoptera (thrips), and mites of the families Eriophyidae, Tenuipalpidae, and Tetranychidae [4,60]. Plant-

parasitic nematodes that are known to transmit plant viruses belong to the order of Dorylaimida and are limited to the families of Longidoridae and Trichodoridae [11]. Few species of these groups have been shown to transmit viruses in raspberry (Table 2), but several include virus vectors in blackberry and is thus likely to harbor potential vectors in raspberry as well.

Table 2. Known invertebrate vectors of raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*) viruses.

Vector Group	Family	Species	References
Aphids	Aphididae	<i>Amphorophora idaei</i> (Börner)	[30,31,46,61–66]
		<i>Amphorophora rubi</i> (Kaltenbach)	
		<i>Amphorophora agathonica</i> Hottes	
		<i>Aphis idaei</i> van der Goot	
		<i>Aphis rubicola</i> Oestlund	
		<i>Macrosiphum euphorbiae</i> (Thomas)	
		<i>Macrosiphum fragariae</i> (syn. <i>Sitobion fragariae</i>) (Walker)	
		<i>Myzus ornatus</i> Laing	
Mites	Eriophyidae	<i>Phyllocoptes gracilis</i> (Nalepa) ¹	[41,67]
Nematodes	Longidoridae	<i>Longidorus attenuatus</i> Hooper	[44,54,59,63,68]
		<i>Longidorus elongatus</i> (de Man) Thorne & Swanger	
		<i>Longidorus macrosoma</i> Hooper	
		<i>Xiphinema americanum</i> Cobb	
		<i>Xiphinema bakeri</i> Williams	
		<i>Xiphinema diversicaudatum</i> (Micoletzky) Thorne	
		<i>Xiphinema vuittenezi</i> Luc, Lima, Weischer & Flegg	

¹ There is strong evidence of *P. gracilis* being the natural vector of raspberry leaf blotch virus (RLBV) [41,67], but further studies on the transmission mechanism are needed to confirm it.

4.1. Aphids

Aphids are well-known vectors of various plant viruses. There are approximately 300 species of aphids identified as vectors. They are such efficient virus vectors because they can transmit virus in all modes of transmission, including, non-persistent (stylet-borne), semi-persistent (foregut-borne), persistent circulative, and persistent propagative [69]. However, most species of aphids transmit virus through the stylet-borne non-persistent mechanism [3].

The European large raspberry aphid, *Amphorophora* (*Am.*) *idaei* (Börner), is the most economically important aphid pest in commercially grown red raspberry in Northern Europe and the United Kingdom (U.K.) [70]. This is because it is effective in transmitting the viruses in the raspberry mosaic disease (RMD) complex: black raspberry necrosis virus (BRNV), raspberry leaf mottle virus (RLMV) [raspberry leaf spot virus (RLSV)], and rubus yellow net virus (RYNV) [61,64]. RLMV and RLSV were previously considered as two separate viruses because of their differences in symptoms but due to their genetic similarities, they are now considered as isolates of the same virus [71]. The transmission of these viruses is likely to be semi-persistent [70]. Furthermore, the findings of McMenemy, et al. [72] suggested that BRNV and RLMV can make infected raspberry plants more attractive to aphids and prolong the aphid development time on infected plants to increase chances of virus acquisition, but the attraction is short-lived. Another aphid, *Amphorophora* (*Am.*) *rubi* (Kaltenbach), which is widely distributed in Europe and New Zealand [61], is also capable of transmitting RLMV and their isolate (RLSV) [31]. It is often found on blackberry and rarely on raspberry [61,73], therefore, it cannot be excluded as a raspberry virus vector. *Amphorophora idaei* and *Am. rubi* are often difficult to distinguish morphologically [73], and the host plant is often used to ease the identification process [74]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a reliable barcoding method for better determination of these species.

The American species of large raspberry aphid, *Amphorophora* (*Am.*) *agathonica* Hottes, is closely related to *Am. idaei*, and the prevalent aphid vector for raspberry viruses in North America. It is capable of transmitting BRNV, RLMV, RYNV, and raspberry latent virus

(RpLV) [65]. Unlike the former three viruses, RpLV is transmitted in a persistent propagative manner, but the efficiency of transmission is low. In addition, a co-infection of RLMV and RpLV is commonly found in the field [39]. This co-infection has neither synergistic nor antagonistic interaction between the two viruses, and *Am. agathonica* does not show any positive preference towards co-infected plants [75]. However, the raspberry plant exhibits a higher yield loss when it is co-infected with RLMV and RpLV [76]. Therefore, effective control of *Am. agathonica* is vital in reducing raspberry yield loss. Both *Am. idaei* and *Am. agathonica* have evolved new resistance-breaking biotypes under the selection pressure exerted by aphid-resistant raspberry cultivars. This poses a threat to commercial raspberry production [77]. However, the mechanism of aphids' resistance against the raspberry aphid-resistance gene is still poorly understood, and thus, more in-depth studies are encouraged to overcome the threat of new resistant biotypes and maintain the effectiveness of raspberry aphid management [65].

Another economically important raspberry virus aphid-vector is the small raspberry aphid, *Aphis* (*Ap.*) *idaei* van der Goot, which occurs in the U.K., Europe, Canada, and New Zealand [78]. It is known only to transmit raspberry vein chlorosis virus (RVCV) [46]. RVCV is a persistent propagative virus, which makes the vector potentially infectious throughout its life [30]. Densely packed colonies of *Ap. idaei* are typically found on the tips of young canes and on the leaf petioles during spring, whereas scattered, small individuals are commonly found on the lower surface of the leaves throughout summer. The densely packed *Ap. idaei* colonies are more efficient in virus transmission than dispersed individuals [79]. Two non-EU raspberry viruses that are transmitted by an arthropod vector are RpLV and raspberry leaf curl virus (RpLCV) [29,36,59]. The small raspberry aphid, *Aphis* (*Ap.*) *rubicola* Oestlund, which is reported only in North America, is believed to be the only known vector of RpLCV [30,36]. Thus far, the RpLCV is only present in North America [80]. *Aphis rubicola* is reported to be an inefficient vector for RpLCV, because even under optimum conditions with an increasing population, the number of infected plants remains low [81]. However, there is a lack of recent studies on the status of both *Ap. idaei* and *Ap. rubicola* as pests and vectors in raspberry.

The potato aphid, *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* (Thomas), is occasionally seen infesting raspberry plants, but it causes minor damage to the crop [61]. It is usually found during spring and early summer on the leaf petioles and fruiting laterals of raspberry, but will eventually migrate to other crops when the population grows larger later in the summer [74]. On the other hand, another study reported that individuals of *M. euphorbiae* were found regularly along with *Am. idaei* on the raspberry grown under plastic tunnel until the end of first harvest. However, no population increase or significant damage was observed during the study when parasitoids *Aphidius* sp. Nees and *Praon volucre* (Haliday) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) were released to suppress the aphid populations [82]. Apart from *M. euphorbiae*, the blackberry-cereal aphid, *Macrosiphum fragariae* (Walker), which is also known as *Sitobion fragariae*, may be present on raspberry plants during autumn (around October). They colonize and lay eggs, but the eggs laid on raspberry are unable to mature in spring and thus, infestation usually fails to develop [61,74]. However, these two species of aphids and the ornate aphid, *Myzus ornatus* Laing, were found capable of transmitting several viruses of the RMD complex in Europe and North America [66]. Unfortunately, there are no recent studies on these species on raspberry and their role as vectors of raspberry viruses. The green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer), which was previously unknown as a pest of raspberry, was suspected as the vector of sowbane mosaic virus—rubus strain (SoMV-R). However, this has not yet been proven [30].

4.2. Whiteflies

Whiteflies are also important plant virus vectors, transmitting many plant viruses of economic importance. They are usually present in regions with warm climate and in greenhouses [83]. Only a few of the 1500 species are capable in transmitting viruses, though, the two most prominent being the tobacco whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius)

complex, and the greenhouse whitefly *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood). Other known virus vectors are castor bean whitefly, *Trialeurodes ricini* (Misra), banded-winged whitefly, *Trialeurodes abutiloneus* (Haldeman), *Bemisia afer* (Priesner & Hosny), and spiraling whitefly, *Aleurodicus dispersus* Russell [84]. Whiteflies are able to transmit viruses in three modes: non-persistent, semi-persistent, and persistent circulative [69,84,85].

Although whiteflies do feed on raspberry, to date, there is no published evidence of whiteflies acting as vector of any raspberry virus. However, this does not exclude them from being a potential raspberry virus vector. This is because whiteflies vector two significant blackberry viruses, namely blackberry yellow vein-associated virus (BYVaV) and beet pseudo-yellows virus (BPYV) [30,36]. Both of these viruses are from genus *Crinivirus* (*Closteroviridae*) and thus transmitted in a semi-persistent manner [86,87]. Both *T. vaporariorum* and *T. abutiloneus* can be involved in transmitting BYVaV [88], but only the greenhouse whitefly has shown to be involved in the transmission of BPYV [89]. The BYVaV is so far common in the United States and infecting only blackberry plants [86,88]. Unlike the BYVaV, the BPYV is present in several continents across the globe, namely, Asia, Europe, North America, and Oceania [90]. Whiteflies are usually present when raspberry is planted in plastic tunnels [24]. For instance, in Michigan, *B. tabaci* and *T. abutiloneus* were found infesting raspberry, which was planted in a plastic tunnel [26]. Also, *T. vaporariorum* is an increasingly important pest for both indoor and outdoor raspberry crops [91], and black raspberry has been reported as the host of *A. dispersus* in coastal Kenya [92]. There is a definite risk that these whitefly species may transmit any virus in a non-persistent manner when moving between infected and healthy raspberry plants. Based on the CABI [80] database, *T. abutiloneus* is present in North America only, *A. dispersus* is widely distributed in Asia, Oceania, Africa, and America, and present in Spain and Portugal in Europe. *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* and *B. tabaci* are present in almost all countries across the globe.

4.3. Leafhoppers

Approximately 50 species of leafhoppers from 25 genera in the family of Cicadellidae have been discovered as vectors of different plant viruses. They transmit viruses in either semi-persistent, persistent circulative, or persistent propagative manner [69]. Generally, most viruses transmitted by leafhoppers are infecting cereal crops, such as maize and rice [4]. In blackberry, leafhoppers are suspected vectors of blackberry virus S (BLVS) because they are known to transmit most marafiviruses. However, this is not determined yet [30]. The most commonly found leafhopper in raspberry seems to be the rose leafhopper, *Edwardsiana rosae* (Linnaeus). It is known to only cause light damage due to feeding on the plants but not as a virus vector [61]. Likewise, several species of *Empoasca*, such as *E. flavescens* Fabricius [93], *E. decedens* Paoli [94], and *E. fabae* (Harris) [39], can infest raspberry, but none of them have been reported as virus vectors. The same applies to *Typhlocyba pomeria* McAtee, which was found in raspberry cultivated under plastic tunnel in North America [26].

Leafhoppers are, however, associated with a phytoplasma disease in raspberry, called rubus stunt. It affects multiple *Rubus* spp., including blackberry, black raspberry, loganberry, and dewberry. The abovementioned leafhoppers found on raspberry are not known as vectors of rubus stunt phytoplasma. Thus far, the only known vector associated with this disease is the rubus leafhopper, *Macropsis fuscula* (Zetterstedt) [95]. However, even with a low population of *M. fuscula* on raspberry and blackberry, the rubus stunt disease can still be wide spread [96]. Besides the phytoplasma disease, *M. fuscula* along with the potato leafhopper, *E. fabae*, was suspected as the vector for RpLV, but this has later been proven false [39]. Despite being reported as a common leafhopper on raspberry in the Netherlands [74], it has been noted from Germany and Italy that *M. fuscula* and other *Macropsis* spp. are quite rare on raspberry and blackberry [96,97].

4.4. Thrips

Thrips are well-known as vectors for tospoviruses. The tospoviruses are transmitted in a persistent propagative manner, where the viruses must be acquired during first and early second larvae instars, otherwise it is unable to be transmitted [98,99]. However, thrips may have a preference for feeding and reproducing on tospovirus-infected plants, and thus increasing the chances of larvae acquiring the virus [100]. More in-depth studies are needed to improve understanding of these virus-vector interactions. Besides tospoviruses, thrips are known as vectors of plant viruses in the genera of *Ilarvirus*, *Carmovirus*, *Sobemovirus*, and *Machlomovirus*. The first three of these are pollen-borne, and the thrips physically carry the infected pollen to another plant, while infection happens via feeding wounds [5,101]. To date, only five thrips genera are known to transmit viruses, namely *Thrips*, *Frankliniella*, *Scirtothrips*, *Microcephalothrips*, and *Ceratothripoides* [101]. More thrips vectors may yet be discovered.

At least five species of thrips have been reported in raspberry (Table 3). *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) and *Thrips tabaci* Lindeman are widely distributed globally, whereas the three others have a more limited distribution [80]. *Thrips frici* (Uzel) is present in southern Europe, New Zealand, southern Australia, and some countries in America [102]. *Thrips imaginis* Bagnall is reported only in Oceania [103], and *Thrips fuscipennis* Haliday is present in Europe and North America [80,104]. Among these five species, *F. occidentalis* and *T. tabaci* are well known as vectors of plant viruses. In contrast, *T. frici* is unable to transmit tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) and impatiens necrotic spot virus (INSV), excluding it as vector for these tospoviruses [105]. *Thrips imaginis* and *T. fuscipennis* are also not proven as vectors of tospoviruses [106–108]. However, *T. imaginis* is involved in transmitting pollen-borne viruses such as prunus necrotic ringspot virus (PNRSV) in stone fruit [109]. There is yet no report of tospovirus infecting red raspberry, but INSV has been found infecting blackberry. *Frankliniella occidentalis* shows high efficiency in transmitting the INSV under experimental conditions, but more studies are needed to obtain more evidence on the role of *F. occidentalis* in the spread of this virus [30]. Tobacco streak virus *Rubus* strain (TSV-R) is another virus that infects blackberry, and also black raspberry. TSV-R (*Ilarvirus*) is recognized as a pollen or seed-borne virus, but thrips, such as *F. occidentalis* and *T. tabaci*, are known vectors for some other strains of TSV [110]. It is suspected that these thrips may be involved in transmission of TSV-R by transporting the virus-carrying pollen to another plant, aiding the virus infestation. No conclusion can be made until more evidence is found. Likewise, the feeding of these thrips on red raspberry may also be involved in direct or indirect transmission of viruses, which have yet to be discovered. In addition, there are probably more thrips species feeding on raspberry than the ones listed in Table 3, for example, *Thrips major* Uzel, *Thrips flavus* Schrank, and *Frankliniella intonsa* (Trybom) have been found on other cultivated *Rubus* spp. [111,112]. Therefore, more effort in the identification of thrips on raspberry is encouraged.

4.5. Mites

Mite virus vectors typically belong to the families of Eriophyidae, Tetranychidae, and Tenuipalpidae. These mites are known to associate with viruses in the genera *Caulimovirus*, *Crinivirus*, *Luteovirus*, *Geminiviridae*, *Reovirus*, *Tospovirus*, and *Tenuivirus*, but some tetranychid and eriophyid mites are also known as vectors for emaraviruses, rymoviruses, allexiviruses, trichoviruses, poacevirus, Timbo virus (TIMV), and a nepovirus [60]. Mites from all the above-mentioned families have been recorded infesting raspberry (Tables 2 and 3). The two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, the European red mite, *Panonychus ulmi* (Koch) (Acari: Tetranychidae) and the raspberry leaf and bud mite, *Phyllocoptes gracilis* (Nalepa) (Acari: Eriophyidae) are the most common mite species infesting raspberry [61,113]. *Tetranychus urticae* was the only mite found in a recent study carried out in raspberry grown under plastic tunnels in North America [26], even though the other two abovementioned species are also present in the continent [61]. However, in another recent survey, in Serbia, five spider mite species were found infesting raspberry: *Amphite-*

tranychus viennensis (Zacher), *Eotetranychus rubiphilus* (Reck), *Neotetranychus rubi* Trägårdh, *Tetranychus turkestanii* Ugarov and Nikolski, and *T. urticae* [114]. The varying species of mites found in different locations is most probably due to the species distribution. For instance, *A. viennensis* is only found in Asia and Europe, while *T. urticae* is more widely distributed across different continents [80]. Local surveys of mites are important to obtain an overview of the species present.

Although several species of mites are feeding on raspberry, most of them are only known to cause physical damage. To date, *P. gracilis*, is the only mite associated with a raspberry virus, specifically to raspberry leaf blotch virus (RLBV) (genus *Emaravirus*) [41]. The mite was first suspected to associate with this virus based on the feeding symptoms, such as irregular yellow blotches on leaves, curling of leaves, and distortion of leaf margins, which commonly appear as symptoms of viral infection [115]. These symptoms were earlier known as raspberry leaf blotch disorder (RLBD). RLBV was named in the studies by McGavin, Mitchell, Cock, Wright, and MacFarlane [67], where they also found strong evidence of *P. gracilis* as the vector of this virus. Similar evidence was found by Dong, Lemmetty, Latvala, Samuilova, and Valkonen [41], where *P. gracilis* was present in all the plants exhibiting RLBD symptoms and RLBV RNA was detected in the mites. These mites also demonstrated the ability to transmit the virus suggesting it to be a natural vector, but further studies on the transmission mechanism are recommended. On top of virus transmission, the physical damage of high *P. gracilis* populations also lead to high yield loss. For instance, they may feed on developing raspberries causing premature ripening of some drupelets and irregular shaped fruits which are hardly marketable [116]. While no other mites on raspberry are reported as a virus vector currently, they do possess the capability of transmitting viruses. Therefore, more studies of the mite involvement in viral transmission should be carried out.

4.6. Plant-Parasitic Nematodes

In general, the process of virus transmission by nematodes is divided into six phases, which begin with (1) ingestion of virus particles from an infected plant, (2) acquisition, (3) adsorption, (4) retention, (5) release of virus from retention site in the nematode, and lastly (6) transfer and establishment, where the virus particles are transferred to healthy plant cells and replication of these viruses occur which lead to a successful infection [5]. The plant-parasitic nematodes from the order of Dorylaimida and Triplonchida are proven to transmit plant viruses, mostly tobnaviruses and nepoviruses [11]. Thus far, only 14 out of approximately 75 species in the genera of *Trichodorus* and *Paratrachodorus* from the order of Triplochida, and a few out of 350 described species in the genera of *Xiphinema*, *Longidorus*, and *Paralongidorus* from the order of Dorylaimida have been proven as plant virus vectors [9]. Plant-parasitic nematodes from the order of Triplochida have not been found on raspberry plants. However, from the order of Dorylaimida, eight species in the genera of *Longidorus* (needle nematodes) and *Xiphinema* (dagger nematodes), have been reported as pests of raspberry. These nematodes are ectoparasitic, feeding on the outside of the root. Six species of longidorid-nematodes, namely *Longidorus attenuatus* Hooper, *L. elongatus* (de Man) Thorne and Swanger, *Xiphinema americanum* Cobb, *X. diversicaudatum* (Micoletzky) Thorne, *X. pachtaicum* (Tulaganov) Kirjanova, and *X. vuittenezi* Luc, Lima, Weischer and Flegg have been reported from more than one continent, all of them being present in Europe [44,80,117]. *Longidorus macrosoma* Hooper has only been reported from Europe and *X. bakeri* (Williams) from America only [80,118].

The plant viruses associated with longidorid-nematodes are mainly belonging to genus *Nepovirus*. Besides this, one species from the genus *Cheravirus* (cherry rasp leaf virus [CRLV]) and another unassigned species in the family of *Secoviridae* (strawberry latent ringspot virus [SLRSV]) have also been reported [9,33]. The SLRSV was recently proposed to a new genus "*Stralarivirus*" within the family of *Secoviridae* [51]. Raspberry is infected by eight nematode-transmitted viruses and all these viruses are from the *Secoviridae* family (Table 1). Among these viruses, six of them are from genus *Nepovirus*, namely, arabis mosaic

virus (ArMV), cherry leafroll virus (CLRV), raspberry ringspot virus (RpRSV), tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV), tomato black ring virus (TBRV), and tomato ringspot virus (ToRSV). The remaining two other viruses are CRLV and SLRSV [30,33,54]. All the longidorid-nematodes found on raspberry, except *X. pachtaicum*, are associated with at least one of the abovementioned viruses. *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* is known as the vector of both ArMV and SLRSV [119,120]. The mixed infection of ArMV and SLRSV will lead to raspberry yellow dwarf disease [30]. Besides *X. diversicaudatum*, ArMV may also be transmitted by *X. bakeri* [68], but the evidence is insufficient [121]. *Xiphinema americanum* is associated with several viruses infecting *Rubus*. It is known as a vector of ToRSV, CLRV, and CRLV in raspberry [36,59,122] and vector of tobacco ringspot virus (TRSV) in blackberry [123,124]. Among the three raspberry viruses transmitted by *X. americanum*, ToRSV and CLRV are economically important in raspberry cultivation, while the economic impact of CRLV is more uncertain [30,125]. ToRSV infected plants display weakened vigor, decrease in fruit yield and quality, and in the long run, the plant will be stunted and eventually die [59,126]. CLRV infected plants will also be stunted with distorted leaf development and in addition, the leaves of fruiting canes may exhibit chlorotic mottle and ringspot [31]. This will eventually lead to a significant decline in fruit productivity. *Xiphinema vuittenezi* is the only species found transmitting TRSV in raspberry in Slovakia [54]. Lastly, the TBRV and RpRSV were transmitted by three *Longidorus* sp. nematode, where both viruses are transmitted by *L. elongatus*, whereas *L. attenuatus* is only known to transmit TBRV and *L. macrosoma* only transmit RpRSV [44,45,120]. Since both TBRV and RpRSV are vectored by *L. elongatus*, a mixed infection of these viruses is often observed and usually results in raspberry leaf curl disease [127]. Despite the number of nematode vectors reported, their role is still understudied due to their cryptic habitat and small size posing a challenge in detecting and verifying transmission. Undoubtedly, many nematode vectors of viruses are yet to be identified.

4.7. The Interaction between Virus Vectors and Other Herbivores

Besides the groups with known or potential virus vectors discussed above, a lot of other arthropods are feeding on raspberry, including beetles (Coleoptera), moths (Lepidoptera), flies (Diptera), and sawflies and gall wasps (Hymenoptera), in addition to several groups of true bugs (Hemiptera) (Table 3). All these could indirectly influence the spread of viruses through competitive interactions with virus vectors. In general, competitors could be expected to reduce the vector fitness (i.e., lower fecundity and higher mortality) and hence reducing the spread of viruses. In addition, this interaction could also decrease the feeding time, thus, reducing the chances of vectors acquiring or introducing plant viruses [128]. However, competitive interaction can also enhance the spread of viruses. To avoid competition, vectors may disperse to other individual plants to achieve higher fitness. A vector that has acquired virus from an infected plant may then land on a healthy plant, thus leading to new infection [129]. For instance, interactions between the pea leaf weevil beetle, *Sitona lineatus* (Linnaeus), and the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Harris), on pea plants have been found to promote the spread of pea enation mosaic virus (PEMV) [130]. This is mainly due to the presence of *S. lineatus* increasing the reproduction of *A. pisum* causing crowding and thus encouraging individuals of *A. pisum* to migrate to other pea plants, indirectly promoting the spread of PEMV. In addition, *S. lineatus* promotes the spread of PEMV by displacing *A. pisum* to the areas on individual plants more susceptible to virus infection [130]. In another study, *T. urticae*, a non-vector in this case, was found aiding the spread of tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) by suppressing two flavonoids in tomato plants which deter *B. tabaci* (the vector of TYLCV). This encourages more *B. tabaci* to feed on tomato plants and increase the TYLCV transmission [131]. Similar scenarios may be happening between non-vector herbivores and virus vectors on raspberry. Other interactions are also possible. For example, the feeding of weevil larvae (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) on raspberry roots has been shown to boost the population of the large raspberry aphid, *Am. idaei* [132]. Plant-parasitic nematodes can also affect the fitness of shoot-feeding

insect pests. For example, higher fecundity of green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) is observed in potato crops pre-infected with a plant-parasitic nematode, *Globodera pallida* (Stone) [133]. This will promote the spread of potato plant viruses, such as potato virus Y (PVY), where *M. persicae* is the most important vector [134]. This means that even below-ground herbivores may affect the virus spread above-ground. The role of prevalent non-vectors should not be neglected when developing viral pathogen management strategies.

Table 3. Potential vector and non-vector invertebrate herbivores feeding on raspberry plants (*Rubus idaeus*).

Herbivore Group	Family	Species	References
Aphids	Aphididae	<i>Acyrtosiphon malvae</i> (Mosley)	[61,62,135]
		<i>Amphorophora amurensis</i> (Mordvilko)	
		<i>Amphorophora sensoriata</i> Mason	
		<i>Aphis gossypii</i> Glover	
		<i>Aphis ruborum</i> (Börner & Schilder)	
		<i>Kaltenbachiella pallida</i> (Haliday)	
		<i>Macrosiphum funestum</i> (Macchiati)	
		<i>Matsumuraja hirakurensis</i> Sorin	
		<i>Matsumuraja rubi</i> (Matsumura)	
		<i>Matsumuraja rubifoliae</i> Takahashi	
		<i>Matsumuraja taisetsusana</i> Miyazaki	
		<i>Pemphigus rubiradicis</i> Theobald	
Whiteflies	Aleyrodidae	<i>Aleurodicus dispersus</i> Russell	[26,91,92,136]
		<i>Aleyroides lonicerae</i> Walker	
		<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> (Gennadius)	
		<i>Trialeurodes abutiloneus</i> (Haldeman)	
		<i>Trialeurodes vaporariorum</i> (Westwood)	
Leafhoppers	Cicadellidae	<i>Edwardsiana rosae</i> (Linnaeus)	[26,61,74,137–139]
		<i>Edwardsiana sociabilis</i> (Ossiannilsson)	
		<i>Empoasca</i> spp. Walsh	
		<i>Evacanthus interruptus</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Macropsis fuscula</i> (Zetterstedt)	
		<i>Platymetopius undatus</i> (De Geer)	
		<i>Typhlocyba pomaria</i> McAtee	
<i>Ribautiana tenerrima</i> (Herrich-Schaffer)			
Treehopper	Membracidae	<i>Centrotus cornutus</i> (Linnaeus)	[26,61–63,113,135,140]
Spittlebug	Aphrophoridae	<i>Philaenus spumarius</i> (Linnaeus)	[26,91,92]
Capsid bugs	Miridae	<i>Closterotomus fulvomaculatus</i> (De Geer)	[26,61,141,142]
		<i>Lopidea dakota</i> Knight	
		<i>Lygocoris pabulinus</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Lygus lineolaris</i> (Palisot de Beauvois)	
		<i>Lygus rugulipennis</i> Poppius	
		<i>Plagiognathus arbustorum</i> (Fabricius)	
Shield bugs	Pentatomidae	<i>Cuspicona simplex</i> Walker	[61,143]
		<i>Dolycoris baccarum</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Nezara viridula</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Palomena prasina</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Pentatoma rufipes</i> (Linnaeus)	
	<i>Plautia affinis</i> Dallas		
	Pyrrhocoridae	<i>Dindymus versicolor</i> (Herrich-Schaeffer)	[143]
	Coreidae	<i>Amblypelta nitida</i> Stål	[143]
Scale Insects	Coccidae	<i>Aulacaspis rosae</i> (Bouché)	[61,144]
		<i>Parthenolecanium corni</i> (Bouché)	
Cicada	Cicadidae	<i>Cicadetta montana</i> (Scopoli)	[113]
Tree Crickets	Gryllidae	<i>Oecanthus nigricornis</i> (Walker)	[63,113]
		<i>Oecanthus pellucens</i> (Scopoli)	

Table 3. Cont.

Herbivore Group	Family	Species	References
Thrips	Thripidae	<i>Frankliniella occidentalis</i> (Pergande)	[26,143,145,146]
		<i>Tenothrips frici</i> (Uzel)	
		<i>Thrips imaginis</i> Bagnall	
		<i>Thrips fuscipennis</i> Haliday	
		<i>Thrips tabaci</i> Lindeman	
	Attelabidae	<i>Neocoenorrhinus germanicus</i> (Herbst)	[61]
	Buprestidae	<i>Agrilus cuprescens</i> (Ménétriés) (syn.: <i>A. aurichalceus</i> Redtenbacher) <i>Agrilus ruficollis</i> (Fabricius) <i>Coraebus rubi</i> (Linnaeus)	[61,63,113,140]
Byturidae	<i>Byturus rubi</i> Barber <i>Byturus tomentosus</i> (De Geer) <i>Byturus unicolor</i> Say	[26,61,63,113,147]	
Cantharidae	<i>Cantharis obscura</i> Linnaeus	[61]	
Cerambycidae	<i>Oberea bimaculata</i> (Olivier)	[63]	
Beetles	Chrysomelidae	<i>Batophila aerate</i> (Marshall)	[61]
		<i>Batophila rubi</i> (Paykull)	
		<i>Galerucella sagittariae</i> (Gyllenhal)	
	Curculionidae	<i>Anthonomus rubi</i> (Herbst)	[61,63,113,148,149]
		<i>Barypeithes araneiformis</i> (Schrank)	
		<i>Barypeithes pellucidus</i> (Boheman)	
		<i>Mitoplithus caliginosus</i> (syn.: <i>Plinthus caliginosus</i>) (Fabricius)	
		<i>Otiorhynchus armadillo</i> (Rossi)	
		<i>Otiorhynchus clavipes</i> (Bonsdorff)	
		<i>Otiorhynchus globus</i> Boheman	
		<i>Otiorhynchus ovatus</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Otiorhynchus rugosostriatus</i> (Goeze)	
		<i>Otiorhynchus singularis</i> (Linnaeus)	
<i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i> (Fabricius)			
<i>Peritelus noxius</i> Boheman			
<i>Sciaphilus asperatus</i> (Bonsdorff)			
Elateridae	<i>Agriotes lineatus</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Agriotes obscurus</i> (Linnaeus)	[61]	
Scarabaeidae	<i>Cetonia aurata</i> (Linnaeus)	[61,63,113,140]	
	<i>Cotinis nitida</i> (Linnaeus)		
	<i>Macroductylus subspinosus</i> (Fabricius)		
	<i>Melolontha melolontha</i> (Linnaeus)		
	<i>Popillia japonica</i> Newman <i>Tropinota hirta</i> (Poda) <i>Amphimallon solstitialis</i> (Linnaeus)		
Tenebrionidae	<i>Lagria hirta</i> (Linnaeus)	[61]	
Cossidae	<i>Zeuzera pyrina</i> (Linnaeus)	[113]	
Moths	Erebidae	<i>Arctia caja</i> (Linnaeus)	[61,113,150]
		<i>Euproctis similis</i> (Fuessly)	
		<i>Lymantria dispar</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Orgyia antiqua</i> (Linnaeus)	
		<i>Sphrageidus similis</i> (syn.: <i>Euproctis similis</i>) (Fuessly) <i>Spilosoma lutea</i> (Hufnagel)	
Geometridae	<i>Dysstroma truncata</i> (syn.: <i>Chloroclysta truncata</i>) (Hufnagel)	[61,151,152]	
	<i>Operophtera bruceata</i> (Hulst)		
	<i>Operophtera brumata</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Operophtera occidentalis</i> (Hulst) ¹		
Hepialidae	<i>Hepialus humuli</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Hepialus lupulinus</i> (Linnaeus)	[61]	

Table 3. Cont.

Herbivore Group	Family	Species	References
	Incurvariidae	<i>Lampronia rubiella</i> (syn.: <i>Incurvaria rubiella</i>) (Bjerkander)	[61,63,113]
	Lasiocampidae	<i>Macrothylacia rubi</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Malacosoma neustria</i> (Linnaeus)	[61,153]
	Nepticulidae	<i>Stigmella aurella</i> (Fabricius) <i>Stigmella fragariella</i> (Heinemann)	[61,150]
	Noctuidae	<i>Acronicta psi</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Ceramica pisi</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Graphiphora augur</i> (Fabricius) <i>Hydraecia micacea</i> (Esper) <i>Lacanobia oleracea</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Melanchra persicariae</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Naenia typica</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Orthosia gothica</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Orthosia gracilis</i> (Denis & Schiffermüller) <i>Orthosia incerta</i> (Hufnagel) <i>Papaipema nebris</i> (Guenée) <i>Peridroma saucia</i> (Hübner) <i>Xestia c-nigrum</i> (Linnaeus)	[61,63,113,150]
	Notodontidae	<i>Phalera bucephala</i> (Linnaeus)	[61]
	Oecophoridae	<i>Carcina quercana</i> (Fabricius)	[61]
	Saturniidae	<i>Saturnia pavonia</i> (Linnaeus)	[61,113]
	Schreckensteiniidae	<i>Schreckensteinia festaliella</i> (Hübner)	[61]
	Sesiidae	<i>Pennisetia hylaeiformis</i> (Laspeyres) <i>Pennisetia bohemica</i> Králíček & Povolný <i>Pennisetia marginata</i> (Harris) <i>Synanthedon bibionipennis</i> (Boisduval)	[61,63,113,154,155]
	Thyatiridae	<i>Thyatira batis</i> (Linnaeus)	[61]
	Tischeriidae	<i>Tischeria marginata</i> (syn.: <i>Coptotriche marginata</i>) Haworth	[61]
	Tortricidae	<i>Acleris laterana</i> (Fabricius) <i>Acleris variegana</i> (Denis & Schiffermüller) <i>Adoxophyes orana</i> (Fischer von Röslerstamm) <i>Archips podana</i> (Scopoli) <i>Archips rosana</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Argyrotaenia citrana</i> (Fernald) <i>Cacoecimorpha pronubana</i> (Hübner) <i>Celypha lacunana</i> (Denis & Schiffermüller) <i>Choristoneura rosaceana</i> (Harris) <i>Clepsis spectrana</i> (Treitschke) <i>Cnephasia asseclana</i> (Denis & Schiffermüller) <i>Cnephasia longana</i> (Haworth) <i>Ditula angustiorana</i> (Haworth) <i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> (Walker) <i>Lozotaenia forsterana</i> (Fabricius) <i>Pandemis cerasana</i> (Hübner) <i>Pandemis heparana</i> (Denis & Schiffermüller) <i>Ptycholoma lecheana</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Notocelia uddmanniana</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Spilonota ocellana</i> (Denis & Schiffermüller)	[61,63,113,140,150,156–158]
Flies	Agromyzidae	<i>Agromyza potentillae</i> (Kaltenbach)	[61]
	Anthomyiidae	<i>Pegomya rubivora</i> (Coquillett)	[61,63,113]
	Cecidomyiidae	<i>Dasineura plicatrix</i> (Loew) <i>Resseliella theobaldi</i> (syn.: <i>Thomasiina theobaldi</i>) (Barnes) <i>Lasioptera rubi</i> (Schrank)	[61,63,113,140,156,159,160]
	Drosophilidae	<i>Drosophila suzukii</i> (Matsumura)	[26,161]
	Tipulidae	<i>Nephrotoma appendiculata</i> (Pierre)	[61]

Table 3. Cont.

Herbivore Group	Family	Species	References
Sawflies	Tenthredinidae	<i>Allantus cinctus</i> (Linnaeus) <i>Cladius difformis</i> (Panzer) <i>Empria tridens</i> (Konow) <i>Metallus pumilus</i> (Klug) <i>Monophadnoides geniculatus</i> (Hartig) <i>Priophorus morio</i> (Lepeletier)	[61,63]
	Cephalidae	<i>Hartigia cressoni</i> (Kirby)	[162]
Gall wasp	Cynipidae	<i>Diastrophus rubi</i> (Bouché)	[61]
Mites	Tetranychidae	<i>Amphitetranychus viennensis</i> (Zacher) <i>Eotetranychus carpini borealis</i> (Ewing) <i>Eotetranychus frosti</i> (McGregor) <i>Eotetranychus rubiphilus</i> (Reck) <i>Neotetranychus rubi</i> Trägårdh <i>Neotetranychus rubicola</i> Bagdasarian <i>Panonychus ulmi</i> (Koch) <i>Tetranychus mcdanielli</i> McGregor <i>Tetranychus schoenei</i> McGregor <i>Tetranychus turkestanii</i> Ugarov & Nikolski <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> Koch	[26,61,63,113,114,156,163–166]
	Tenuipalpidae	<i>Cenopalpus spinosus</i> (Donnadieu) <i>Pentamerismus erythreus</i> (Ewing)	[164,167]
	Eriophyidae	<i>Acalitus essigi</i> (Hassan) <i>Acalitus orthomera</i> (Keifer) <i>Aceria silvicola</i> (Canestrini) <i>Epitrimerus gibbosus</i> (Nalepa)	[113,168]
	Anguinidae	<i>Ditylenchus dipsaci</i> (Kühn)	[117]
Nematodes (Order: Tylenchida)	Belonolaimidae	<i>Tylenchorhynchus elegans</i> Siddiqi <i>Tylenchorhynchus cylindricus</i> Cobb <i>Tylenchorhynchus claytoni</i> Steiner	[117]
	Criconeematidae	<i>Xenocriconemella macrodora</i> (Taylor)	[117]
	Heteroderidae	<i>Meloidogyne arenaria</i> (Neal) <i>Meloidogyne hapla</i> Chitwood <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> (Kofoid & White) <i>Meloidogyne javanica</i> (Treub)	[117,169]
	Hoplolaimidae	<i>Helicotylenchus digonicus</i> Perry <i>Helicotylenchus dihystrera</i> (Cobb)	[117]
	Pratylenchidae	<i>Pratylenchus crenatus</i> Loof <i>Pratylenchus penetrans</i> (Cobb) <i>Pratylenchus scribneri</i> Steiner <i>Pratylenchus thornei</i> Sher & Allen <i>Pratylenchus vovlasi</i> sp. Nov. <i>Pratylenchus vulnus</i> Allen & Jensen	[63,117,118,169,170]
	Nematode (Order: Dorylaimida)	Longidoridae	<i>Xiphinema pachtaicum</i> (Tulaganov) Kirjanova

¹ *Operophtera occidentalis* (Hulst) is treated as a subspecies of *O. bruceata* (Hulst) by Troubridge and Fitzpatrick [171].

5. Pest Management for Better Control of Raspberry Viruses

Aphids, mites, and nematodes are the only groups proven to be involved in raspberry virus transmission. In the effort to suppress the spread of raspberry viruses, the management of these vectors plays a vital role. Their small body size and cryptic lifestyle means that low abundances may be difficult to spot before virus symptoms appear. Also, to prevent virus transmission is more demanding than managing the vectors as ordinary pests, and there is a risk that failed control efforts can increase the virus transmission instead of reducing it [172]. Using plant material free of both viruses and vectors, and having detailed knowledge of the agroecosystem, is necessary for successful vector management.

5.1. Aphids

Aphid management in raspberry can be categorized into four: (1) breeding of aphid resistant cultivars, (2) chemical control, (3) biological control, and (4) other methods. Great effort has been made to overcome the threat of *Am. idaei* and *Am. agathonica* as vectors by developing aphid resistant raspberry cultivars [173]. However, subjected to this selection pressure, *Am. idaei* and *Am. agathonica* populations have evolved into biotypes that can overcome such plant resistance (better known as resistance-breaking biotypes) [77,174]. Cultivars resistant to *Ap. idaei* or *Ap. rubicola* have not been developed. Chemical control of raspberry aphids with insecticides, such as organophosphates, carbamate, neonicotinoids, pyrethroids, and butenolides, have been recommended to prevent spread of viruses in raspberry [74,175]. However, due to health and environmental hazards, many of these conventional insecticides are heavily restricted in Europe [176–178], and the EU aims to halve the pesticide use by 2030. There are still aphidicides available, but they often lack the knockdown or systemic effect necessary to eliminate aphids quickly [70], or are not allowed during flowering in order to protect pollinators [74,176]. Fewer available pesticides means a higher risk of breeding insecticide-resistant aphid populations [179]. To sum up, the role of chemical control in aphid management is likely to decrease. This may not affect the virus management as much as expected, as it has long been known that effective chemical control of the large raspberry aphid does not necessarily lead to a significant reduction in the viruses it transmits [180].

In terms of biological control, aphids in raspberry have many natural enemies, such as parasitoids, ladybeetles, lacewings, and entomopathogenic microbes [70,82,181]. A combination strategy using aphid-resistant cultivars and commercially available aphid parasitoids (*Aphidius ervi* Haliday) seems promising, although aphid-parasitoid interactions are affected by the resistance [182]. Commercially available microbials, like *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.-Criv.) Vuill, *Burkholderia* spp. and *Chromobacterium subtsugae* Martin et al., are recommended against *Am. agathonica* in the US [175]. In the UK, *Lecanicillium longisporum* (Petch) Zare and W.Gams, *Isaria fumosorosea* (Wize) Brown and Smith, and *Metarhizium brunneum* Petch have been found effective in managing *Am. idaei* populations in potted raspberry grown under glasshouse conditions [183]. Other methods for aphid control include various types of nets [184] and traps [185], physically acting insecticides [186], semiochemical repellents [187], and barrier plants [188]. These are little used in raspberry, and not all are well suited, but exclusion nets and repellents seem of particular interest if the main goal is to avoid virus transmission. There is a need to know more about the combined effect of new and old control measures in raspberry pest management, both on virus transmission and pest abundances in general. Control of weeds and fungi may also significantly impact vector management, for example by fungicides reducing the effect of entomopathogenic fungi.

5.2. Mites

Phyllocoptes gracilis is the only mite associated with a raspberry virus. In the 1990s, this mite was effectively managed using broad spectrum insecticides, such as systemic organophosphates and endosulfan or acaricides, such as bromopropylate. Most of these pesticides have later been banned due to environmental and health impact, but some newer acaricides, like spirodiclofen and fenpyroximate also have effect [189]. In addition, it is possible to target the overwintering females with late autumn sprays with sulfur or vegetable oil [190,191]. Biological control using predatory mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae), such as *Amblyseius andersoni* (Chant), *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten, and *Phytoseius macropilis* (Banks), can provide a good suppression of *P. gracilis* population [74,192]. Unfortunately, these predatory mites are unable to provide sufficient control of *P. gracilis* population under all raspberry growth conditions. Some of these phytoseiid species are classified as food-generalists, where they also feed on pollen, fungi, and plant exudates [193]. For example, *T. pyri* has been found feeding on apple leaves and fruits as well as apple powdery mildew, even in the presence of pollen and prey [194,195]. This could potentially facilitate

the transmission of plant viruses either directly or via virus-infected fungi [196]. On the other hand, having a flexible diet allows these mites to persist in the absence of a specific prey and hence, possibly providing sustainable control once this prey occurs [193,194]. Further studies on plant feeding behavior of predatory mites should be carried out to better understand their role as predators of *P. gracilis*. Entomopathogenic fungi, such as *B. bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschn.) Sorokin, have been assessed as potential biological control agents to provide additional control of this mite. The preliminary results of this assessment seem promising, but more studies have to be carried out to confirm the efficacy [197]. Effective management of *P. gracilis* populations will result in less RLBV in raspberry plantations.

5.3. Nematodes

In general, the control measures of nematodes can be divided into: (1) chemical approaches, (2) cultural approaches, (3) host plant resistance, and (4) biological control. In chemical approaches, fumigants, such as dichloropropane-dichloropropene, methylbromide, and dazomet, and non-fumigants, such as oxime-carbamate, organophosphate, and methylcarbamates, were recommended. This has a negative environment and health impact, therefore, many of these pesticides were banned or are in the process of being phased out in the European Union (e.g., Regulations (EC) 2037/2000 and (EC) 1107/2009) [198]. Crop rotation and flooding of field if permitted can be used as cultural control methods. Another option is to cultivate nematode-resistant or related virus resistant host plant cultivars to overcome nematode damages. However, this may lead to the development of resistance-breaking nematodes or viruses [12,199]. In the EU, commercially produced plant extract, such as garlic extract, is also recommended and proven effective against plant-parasitic nematodes including *Longidorus* spp. and *Xiphinema* spp., which are vectors of raspberry viruses [200]. The biological control agents against plant-parasitic nematodes comprise nematophagous fungi, nematophagous bacteria, nematophagous mites, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, and predatory nematodes [201]. *Mononchoides fortidens* (Schuurmans-Stekhoven), *Mononchoides longicaudatus* Khera, and *Mononchoides gaugleri* Siddiqi, Bilgrami, and Tabassum are examples of predatory nematodes that prey on several plant-parasitic nematodes including *Longidorus* sp. and *X. americanum* [202]. Edible mushroom, *Pleurotus* spp., is found to produce toxin effective against several genera of plant-parasitic nematode and toxin from *Pleurotus citrinopileatus* Singer is more effective than other species [203]. These biological control agents can either provide direct or indirect protection to the plant roots. Unfortunately, there are very few studies on the interaction between plant-parasitic nematodes and their respective natural enemies in raspberry crop.

6. Conclusions

Twenty-two viruses have been reported to infect raspberry. Among the invertebrate herbivores found on raspberry, the aphids (*Am. idaei*, *Am. rubi*, *Am. agathonica*, *Ap. idaei*, *Ap. rubicola*, *M. euphorbiae*, *M. fragariae*, and *Myzus ornatus*), and plant-parasitic nematodes (*L. attenuatus*, *L. elongatus*, *L. macrosoma*, *X. americanum*, *X. diversicaudatum*, *X. vuittenezi*, and *X. bakeri*) are the only proven vectors of raspberry viruses based on the current available literature. The eriophyid mite (*P. gracilis*) is suggested as the natural vector of raspberry leaf blotch virus (RLBV), but further studies on the transmission mechanism is required. Even though most of the invertebrate herbivores have not been reported as virus vectors, their potential involvement in the spread of raspberry viruses should not be overlooked. Also, the interaction between these pests and their respective natural enemies, such as predators, parasitoids, and entomopathogens, should be studied to develop integrated pest management strategies in raspberry plantation that can suppress the spread of viral pathogens. These strategies should also include the use of cultivars with a high degree of resistance to viruses and/or their vectors, and growing techniques that inhibit locally important vectors. As a conclusion, there are still multiple aspects in this topic which require

further studies, so to have a better understanding on the complex interactions among the host plant, viral pathogens, invertebrate vectors, and non-vectors in the raspberry agroecosystem. Eventually, this will assist in development of better strategies to minimize losses caused by raspberry pests and pathogens.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.Z.; Writing—original draft preparation, J.L.T.; Writing—review and editing, J.L.T., R.Z., N.T., J.F., Z.H. and D.-R.B.; Project administration, J.F. and D.-R.B.; Funding acquisition, J.F. and D.-R.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the NOBERRYVIRUSCZ project, entitled “Healthy berries in a changing climate: development of new biotechnological procedures for virus diagnostics, vector studies, elimination, and safe preservation of strawberry and raspberry” (Technology Agency of the Czech Republic, EEA KAPPA program, project No.: TO01000295). Additional support was also obtained from the Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO: 60077344), NIBIO governmental funding (project No.: 52264) and NIBIO funding for open access publication (project No.: 10492).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the Biology Centre CAS, Czech Republic, and the Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research, Norway, for providing the necessary resources during the literature survey. The authors also like to thank Stuart MacFarlane for providing information related to *P. gracilis* as a vector of RLBV.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Dietzgen, R.G.; Mann, K.S.; Johnson, K.N. Plant virus-Insect vector interactions: Current and potential future research directions. *Viruses* **2016**, *8*, 303. [CrossRef]
- Koch, K.G.; Jones, T.-K.L.; Badillo-Vargas, I.E. Anthropod vectors of plant viruses. In *Applied Plant Virology*; Awasthi, L.P., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 349–379.
- Butter, N.S. *Insect Vectors and Plant Pathogens*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2018.
- Sarwar, M. Insects as transport devices of plant viruses. In *Applied Plant Virology*; Awasthi, L.P., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 381–402.
- Singh, S.; Awasthi, L.P.; Jangre, A. Transmission of plant viruses in fields through various vectors. In *Applied Plant Virology*; Awasthi, L.P., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 313–334.
- Adams, M.J.; Antoniw, J.F.; Kreuze, J. *Virgaviridae*: A new family of rod-shaped plant viruses. *Arch. Virol.* **2009**, *154*, 1967–1972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sanfaçon, H.; Wellink, J.; Gall, O.L.; Karasev, A.; Vlugt, R.v.d.; Wetzel, T. Secoviridae: A proposed family of plant viruses within the order *Picornavirales* that combines the families *Sequiviridae* and *Comoviridae*, the unassigned genera *Cheravirus* and *Sadwavirus*, and the proposed genus *Torradovirus*. *Arch. Virol.* **2009**, *154*, 899–907. [CrossRef]
- Thompson, J.R.; Dasgupta, I.; Fuchs, M.; Iwanami, T.; Karasev, A.V.; Petrzik, K.; Sanfaçon, H.; Tzanetakis, I.; Vlugt, R.v.d.; Wetzel, T.; et al. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: *Secoviridae*. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2017**, *98*, 529–531. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Roberts, A.G. Plant viruses: Soil-borne. In *eLS*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2014.
- Andret-Link, P.; Fuchs, M. Transmission specificity of plant viruses by vectors. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2005**, *87*, 153–165.
- Singh, S.; Awasthi, L.P.; Jangre, A.; Nirmalkar, V.K. Transmission of plant viruses through soil-inhabiting nematode vectors. In *Applied Plant Virology*; Awasthi, L.P., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 292–300.
- MacFarlane, S.A.; Robinson, D.J. Transmission of plant viruses by nematodes. In *SGM Symposium 63: Microbe-Vector Interactions in Vector-Borne Diseases*; Gillespie, S.H., Smith, G.L., Osbourn, A., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2004; pp. 263–285.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. FAOSTAT—Crops and Livestock Products. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL> (accessed on 25 January 2022).
- Padmanabhan, P.; Correa-Betanzo, J.; Paliyath, G. Berries and related fruits. In *Encyclopedia of Food and Health*; Caballero, B., Finglas, P.M., Toldrá, F., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2016; pp. 364–371.
- Raudone, L.; Bobinaite, R.; Janulis, V.; Viskelis, P.; Trumbeckaite, S. Effects of raspberry fruit extracts and ellagic acid on respiratory burst in murine macrophages. *Food Funct.* **2014**, *5*, 1167–1174. [CrossRef]

16. Bobinaite, R.; Viskelis, P.; Venskutonis, P.R. Chemical composition of raspberry (*Rubus* spp.) cultivars. In *Nutritional Composition of Fruit Cultivars*; Simmonds, M.S.J., Preedy, V.R., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2016; pp. 713–731.
17. Albuquerque, T.G.; Silva, M.A.; Oliveira, M.B.P.P.; Costa, H.S. Analysis, identification, and quantification of anthocyanins in fruit juices. In *Fruit Juices*; Rajauria, G., Tiwari, B.K., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2018; pp. 693–737.
18. Heide, O.M.; Sønsteby, A. Physiology of flowering and dormancy regulation in annual- and biennial-fruiting red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.)—A review. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *85*, 433–442. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Sønsteby, A.; Heide, O.M. Environmental control of growth and flowering of *Rubus idaeus* L. cv. Glen Ample. *Sci. Hortic.* **2008**, *117*, 249–256. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Carew, J.G.; Gillespie, T.; White, J.; Wainwright, H.; Brennan, R.; Battey, N.H. The control of the annual growth cycle in raspberry. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2000**, *75*, 495–503. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Sønsteby, A.; Heide, O.M. Earliness and fruit yield and quality of annual-fruiting red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.): Effects of temperature and genotype. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* **2010**, *85*, 341–349. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Garcia, A.V.; Perez, S.E.M.; Butsko, M.; Moya, M.S.P.; Sanahuja, A.B. Authentication of “Adelita” raspberry cultivar based on physical properties, antioxidant activity and volatile profile. *Antioxidants* **2020**, *9*, 593. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Knight, V.H. *Rubus* breeding worldwide and the raspberry breeding programme at Horticultural Research International. East Malling. *Jugosl. Voćarstvo* **2004**, *38*, 23–38.
24. Demchak, K. Small fruit production in high tunnels. *HortTechnology* **2009**, *19*, 44–49. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Hanson, E.; Weihe, M.V.; Schilder, A.C.; Chanon, A.M.; Scheerens, J.C. High tunnel and open field production of florican- and primocane-fruiting raspberry cultivars. *HortTechnology* **2011**, *21*, 412–418. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Leach, H.; Isaacs, R. Seasonal occurrence of key arthropod pests and beneficial insects in Michigan high tunnel and field grown raspberries. *Environ. Entomol.* **2018**, *47*, 567–574. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Lefeuvre, P.; Martin, D.P.; Elena, S.F.; Shepherd, D.N.; Roumagnac, P.; Varsani, A. Evolution and ecology of plant viruses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2019**, *17*, 632–644. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Baumann, G.; Casper, R.; Converse, R.H. Apple Mosaic Virus in *Rubus*. In *Virus Diseases of Small Fruits*; Converse, R.H., Ed.; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC, USA, 1987; pp. 246–248.
29. Medina, C.; Matus, J.T.; Zúñiga, M.; San-Martín, C.; Arce-Johnson, P. Occurrence and distribution of viruses in commercial plantings of *Rubus*, *Ribes* and *Vaccinium* species in Chile. *Cienc. E Investig. Agrar.* **2006**, *33*, 23–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Martin, R.R.; MacFarlane, S.; Sabanadzovic, S.; Quito, D.; Poudel, B.; Tzanetakis, I.E. Viruses and virus diseases of *Rubus*. *Plant Dis.* **2013**, *97*, 168–182. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Jones, A.T.; Wood, G.A. The virus status of raspberries (*Rubus idaeus* L.) in New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.* **1979**, *22*, 173–182. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Jevremović, D.; Leposavić, A.; Paunović, S.A. Molecular and biological characterization of *Black Raspberry Necrosis Virus* on red raspberry in Serbia. In Proceedings of the AgriConf 2019: 30th Scientific-Experts Conference of Agriculture and Food Industry, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 26–27 September 2020; pp. 82–87.
33. Sanfaçon, H.; Iwanami, T.; Karasev, A.V.; van der Vlugt, R.; Wellink, J.; Wetzels, T.; Yoshikawa, N. Family—Secoviridae. In *Virus Taxonomy, Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*; King, A.M.Q., Adams, M.J., Carstens, E.B., Lefkowitz, E.J., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2012; pp. 881–899.
34. Jones, A.T.; McElroy, F.D.; Brown, D.J.F. Tests for transmission of cherry leaf roll virus using *Longidorus*, *Paralongidorus* and *Xiphinema* nematodes. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **1981**, *99*, 143–150. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Jones, A.T.; Mayo, M.A.; Henderson, S.J. Biological and biochemical properties of an isolate of cherry rasp leaf virus from red raspberry. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **1985**, *106*, 101–110. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Bragard, C.; Dehnen-Schmutz, K.; Gonthier, P.; Jacques, M.-A.; Miret, J.A.J.; Justesen, A.F.; MacLeod, A.; Magnusson, C.S.; Milonas, P.; Navas-Cortes, J.A.; et al. Pest categorisation of non-EU viruses of *Rubus* L. *EFSA J.* **2020**, *18*, e05853. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Li, N.; Yu, C.; Yin, Y.; Gao, S.; Wang, F.; Jiao, C.; Yao, M. Pepper crop improvement against cucumber mosaic virus (CMV): A review. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 598798. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
38. Arogundade, O.; Balogun, O.S.; Kumar, P.L. Seed transmissibility of Cucumber mosaic virus in Capsicum species. *Int. J. Veg. Sci.* **2019**, *25*, 146–153. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Quito-Avila, D.F.; Lightle, D.; Lee, J.; Martin, R.R. Transmission biology of raspberry latent virus, the first aphid-borne reovirus. *Phytopathology* **2012**, *102*, 547–553. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. Lightle, D.M.; Quito-Avila, D.; Martin, R.R.; Lee, J.C. Seasonal phenology of *Amphorophora agathonica* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and spread of viruses in red raspberry in Washington. *Environ. Entomol.* **2014**, *43*, 467–473. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
41. Dong, L.; Lemmetty, A.; Latvala, S.; Samuilova, O.; Valkonen, J.P.T. Occurrence and genetic diversity of *Raspberry leaf blotch virus* (RLBV) infecting cultivated and wild *Rubus* species in Finland. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2015**, *168*, 122–132. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Zindović, J.; Marn, M.V.; Plesko, I.M. First report of Raspberry leaf blotch virus in red raspberry in Montenegro. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2015**, *92*, 398. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Converse, R.H. Diseases caused by viruses and viruslike agents. In *Compendium of Raspberry and Blackberry Diseases and Insects*; Ellis, M.A., Converse, R.H., Williams, R.N., Williamson, B., Eds.; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, USA, 1991; pp. 42–58.
44. Xu, Y.-M.; Zhao, Z.-Q. *Longidoridae and Trichodoridae (Nematoda: Dorylaimida and Triplonchida)*; Landcare Research: Lincoln, New Zealand, 2019.

45. Esnard, J.; Zuckerman, B.M. Small Fruits. In *Plant and Nematode Interactions*; Barker, K.R., Pederson, G.A., Windham, G.L., Bartels, J.M., Eds.; The American Society of Agronomy: Madison, WI, USA, 1998; Volume 36, pp. 685–725.
46. McGavin, W.J.; Cock, P.J.A.; MacFarlane, S.A. Partial sequence and RT-PCR diagnostic test for the plant rhabdovirus Raspberry vein chlorosis virus. *Plant Pathol.* **2011**, *60*, 462–467. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Diaz-Lara, A.; Mosier, N.J.; Stevens, K.; Keller, K.E.; Martin, R.R. Evidence of *Rubus yellow net virus* integration into the red raspberry genome. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **2020**, *160*, 329–334. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Kalischuk, M.L.; Kawchuk, L.M.; Leggett, F. First report of *Rubus yellow net virus* on *Rubus idaeus* in Alberta, Canada. *Plant Dis.* **2008**, *92*, 974. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. McGavin, W.J.; MacFarlane, S.A. Rubus chlorotic mottle virus, a new sobemovirus infecting raspberry and bramble. *Virus Res.* **2009**, *139*, 10–13. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Truve, E.; Fargette, D. Sobemovirus. In *Virus Taxonomy: Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*; King, A.M.Q., Adams, M.J., Carstens, E.B., Lefkowitz, E.J., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2012; pp. 1185–1189.
51. Dullemans, A.M.; Botermans, M.; Kock, M.J.D.d.; Krom, C.E.d.; Lee, T.A.J.v.d.; Roenhorst, J.W.; Stulemeijer, I.J.E.; Verbeek, M.; Westenberg, M.; Vlugt, R.A.A.v.d. Creation of a new genus in the family *Secoviridae* substantiated by sequence variation of newly identified strawberry latent ringspot virus isolates. *Arch. Virol.* **2020**, *165*, 21–31. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Brown, D.J.F. The transmission of two strains of *Strawberry latent ringspot virus* by populations of *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* (Nematode: Dorylaimoidea). *Nematol. Mediterr.* **1985**, *13*, 217–223.
53. Tzanetakis, I.E.; Mackey, I.C.; Martin, R.R. Strawberry necrotic shock virus is a distinct virus and not a strain of *Tobacco streak virus*. *Arch. Virol.* **2004**, *149*, 2001–2011. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Šubíková, V.; Kollerová, E.; Slováková, L. Occurrence of nepoviruses in small fruits and fruit trees in Slovakia. *Plant Prot. Sci.* **2002**, *38*, 367–369. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organisation. PM 7/2 (2) Tobacco ringspot virus. *Bull. OEPP/EPPO Bull.* **2017**, *47*, 135–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Martin, R.R. Raspberry viruses in Oregon, Washington and British Columbia. *Acta Hortic.* **1999**, *505*, 259–262. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Eastwell, K.C. Iarvirus. In *Encyclopedia of Virology*, 3rd ed.; Mahy, B.W.J., Van Regenmortel, M.H.V., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2008; pp. 46–56.
58. Zarzyńska-Nowak, A.; Hasiów-Jaroszewska, B.; Budzyńska, D.; Trzmiel, K. Genetic variability of Polish tomato black ring virus isolates and their satellite RNAs. *Plant Pathol.* **2020**, *69*, 1034–1041. [[CrossRef](#)]
59. Pinkerton, J.N.; Kraus, J.; Martin, R.R.; Schreiner, R.P. Epidemiology of *Xiphinema americanum* and Tomato ringspot virus on red raspberry, *Rubus idaeus*. *Plant Dis.* **2008**, *92*, 364–371. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
60. Sarwar, M. Mite (Acari Acarina) vectors involved in transmission of plant viruses. In *Applied Plant Virology*; Awasthi, L.P., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2020; pp. 257–273.
61. Alford, D.V. *Pests of Fruit Crops: A Color Handbook*, 2nd ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2014.
62. Baker, E.; Dransfield, R.D.; Brightwell, R. Aphids on Berries (*Rubus*). Available online: https://influentialpoints.com/Gallery/Aphids_on_berries_Rubus.htm (accessed on 28 June 2021).
63. Martin, R.R.; Ellis, M.A.; Williamson, B.; Williams, R.N. *Compendium of Raspberry and Blackberry Diseases and Pests*; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, USA, 2017.
64. Converse, R.H.; Stace-Smith, R.; Jones, A.T. Aphid-borne disease: Raspberry mosaic. In *Virus Disease of Small Fruits*; Converse, R.H., Ed.; Faculty Publication in the Biological Sciences: Lincoln, NE, USA, 1987; pp. 168–174.
65. Lightle, D.M.; Doseff, M.; Backus, E.A.; Lee, J.C. Location of the mechanism of resistance to *Amphorophora agathonica* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in red raspberry. *Plant Resist.* **2012**, *105*, 1465–1470. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
66. Converse, R.H. Aphid-transmitted diseases: Raspberry mosaic disease complex. In *Compendium of Raspberry and Blackberry Diseases and Insects*; Ellis, M.A., Converse, R.H., Williams, R.N., Williamson, B., Eds.; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, USA, 1991; pp. 43–45.
67. McGavin, W.J.; Mitchell, C.; Cock, P.J.A.; Wright, K.M.; MacFarlane, S.A. Raspberry leaf blotch virus, a putative new member of the genus *Emaravirus*, encodes a novel genomic RNA. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2012**, *93*, 430–437. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
68. Iwaki, M.; Komuro, Y. Viruses isolated from Narcissus (*Narcissus* spp.) in Japan V. Arabis mosaic virus. *Jpn. J. Phytopathol.* **1974**, *40*, 344–353. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Bhat, A.I.; Rao, G.P. *Characterization of Plant Viruses: Methods and Protocols*; Humana Press: New York, NY, USA, 2020.
70. McMenemy, L.S.; Mitchell, C.; Johnson, S.N. Biology of the European large raspberry aphid (*Amphorophora idaei*): Its role in virus transmission and resistance breakdown in red raspberry. *Agric. For. Entomol.* **2009**, *11*, 61–71. [[CrossRef](#)]
71. McGavin, M.J.; MacFarlane, S.A. Sequence similarities between Raspberry leaf mottle virus, Raspberry leaf spot virus and the closterovirus Raspberry mottle virus. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2010**, *156*, 439–448. [[CrossRef](#)]
72. McMenemy, L.S.; Hartley, S.E.; MacFarlane, S.A.; Karley, A.J.; Shepherd, T.; Johnson, S.N. Raspberry viruses manipulate the behaviour of their insect vectors. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **2012**, *144*, 56–68. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Blackman, R.L.; Eastop, V.F.; Hills, M. Morphological and cytological separation of *Amphorophora* Buckton (Homoptera: Aphididae) feeding on European raspberry and blackberry (*Rubus* spp.). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **1977**, *67*, 285–296. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Gordon, S.C.; Woodford, J.A.T.; Birch, A.N.E. Arthropod pests of *Rubus* in Europe: Pest status, current and future control strategies. *J. Hortic. Sci.* **1997**, *76*, 831–862. [[CrossRef](#)]

75. Lightle, D.; Lee, J. Raspberry viruses affect the behavior and performance of *Amphorophora agathonica* in single and mixed infections. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **2014**, *151*, 57–64. [[CrossRef](#)]
76. Quito-Avila, D.F.; Lightle, D.; Martin, R.R. Effect of *Raspberry bushy dwarf virus*, *Raspberry leaf mottle virus*, and *Raspberry latent virus* on plant growth and fruit crumbliness in ‘Meeker’ red raspberry. *Plant Dis.* **2014**, *98*, 176–183. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Dossett, M.; Kempler, C. Biotypic diversity and resistance to the raspberry aphid *Amphorophora agathonica* in Pacific Northwestern North America. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* **2012**, *137*, 445–451. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. MacFarlane, S.A.; McGavin, W.J. Sequencing studies for the identification and characterization of new and old *Rubus* viruses. In Proceedings of the The 21st International Conference on Virus and Other Graft Transmissible Diseases of Fruit Crops, Neustadt, Germany, 5–10 July 2010; pp. 39–40.
79. Stace-Smith, R. Studies on *Rubus* virus disease in British Columbia: VII. Raspberry vein chlorosis. *Can. J. Bot.* **1961**, *39*, 559–565. [[CrossRef](#)]
80. CABI. Invasive Species Compendium. Available online: <https://www.cabi.org/isc/> (accessed on 23 July 2021).
81. Bolton, A.T. Spread of Raspberry leaf curl virus. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* **1970**, *50*, 667–671. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Dassonville, N.; Thiellemans, T.; Gosset, V. FresaProtect and BerryProtect: Mixes of parasitoids to control all common aphid species on protected soft fruit crops. Product development and case studies from three years of experience. *Asp. Appl. Biol.* **2013**, *119*, 79–87.
83. Jones, D.R. Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2003**, *109*, 195–219. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Fiallo-Olive, E.; Pan, L.-L.; Liu, S.-S.; Navas-Castillo, J. Transmission of begomoviruses and other whitefly-borne viruses: Dependence on the vector species. *Phytopathology* **2020**, *110*, 10–17. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
85. Soumia, P.S.; Pandi, G.G.P.; Krishna, R.; Ansari, W.A.; Jaiswal, D.K.; Verma, J.P.; Singh, M. Whitefly-transmitted plant viruses and their management. In *Emerging Trends in Plant Pathology*; Singh, K.P., Jahagirdar, S., Sarma, B.K., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2021; pp. 175–196.
86. Susaimuthu, J.; Gergerich, R.C.; Bray, M.M.; Clay, K.A.; Clark, J.R.; Tzanetakis, I.E.; Martin, R.R. Incidence and ecology of Blackberry yellow vein associated virus. *Plant Dis.* **2007**, *91*, 809–813. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Tzanetakis, I.E.; Martin, R.R.; Wintermantel, W.M. Epidemiology of criniviruses: An emerging problem in world agriculture. *Front. Microbiol.* **2013**, *4*, 119. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Poudel, B.; Wintermantel, W.M.; Cortez, A.A.; Ho, T.; Khadgi, A.; Tzanetakis, I.E. Epidemiology of *Blackberry yellow vein associated virus*. *Plant Dis.* **2013**, *97*, 1352–1357. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Tzanetakis, I.E.; Wintermantel, W.M.; Cortez, A.A.; Barnes, J.E.; Barrett, S.M.; Bolda, M.P.; Martin, R.R. Epidemiology of Strawberry pallidosis-associated virus and occurrence of pallidosis disease in North America. *Plant Dis.* **2006**, *90*, 1343–1346. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Abrahamian, P.E.; Abou-Jawdah, Y. Whitefly-transmitted criniviruses of cucurbits: Current status and future prospects. *Virus Dis.* **2014**, *25*, 26–38. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Bi, J.L.; Toscano, N.C.; Ballmer, G.R. Greenhouse and field evaluation of six novel insecticides against the greenhouse whitefly *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* on strawberries. *Crop Prot.* **2002**, *21*, 49–55. [[CrossRef](#)]
92. Mware, B.; Olubayo, F.; Narla, R.; Songa, J.; Amata, R.; Kyamanywa, S.; Ateka, E.M. First record of spiraling whitefly in coastal Kenya: Emergence, host range, distribution and association with Cassava brown streak virus disease. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **2010**, *12*, 411–415.
93. Yin, X.-G.; Agyenim-Boateng, K.G.; Lu, J.-N.; Shi, Y.-Z. Review of leafhopper (*Empoasca flavescens*): A major pest in castor (*Ricinus communis*). *J. Genet. Genom. Sci.* **2018**, *3*, 009. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Coutinho, J.; Amado, C.; Barateiro, A.; Quartau, J.; Rebelo, T. First record of the leafhopper *Asymmetrasca decedens* (Homoptera: Cixiellidae) in mainland Portugal. *Rev. Cienc. Agrar.* **2015**, *38*, 213–219.
95. Linck, H.; Reineke, A. *Rubus* stunt: A review of an important phytoplasma disease in *Rubus* spp. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.* **2019**, *126*, 393–399. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Vindimian, M.E.; Grassi, A.; Ciccotti, A.; Pollini, C.P.; Terlizzi, F. Epidemiological studies on *Rubus* stunt (RS) in blackberry orchards located near Trento (Italy). *Acta Hort.* **2004**, *656*, 177–180. [[CrossRef](#)]
97. Linck, H.; Reineke, A. Preliminary survey on putative insect vectors for *Rubus* stunt phytoplasmas. *J. Appl. Entomol.* **2019**, *143*, 328–332. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Rotenberg, D.; Jacobson, A.L.; Schneeweis, D.J.; Whitfield, A.E. Thrips transmission of tospoviruses. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **2015**, *15*, 80–89. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Moritz, G.; Kumm, S.; Mound, L. Tospovirus transmission depends on thrips ontogeny. *Virus Res.* **2004**, *100*, 143–149. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. Maris, P.C.; Joosten, N.N.; Goldbach, R.W.; Peters, D. *Tomato spotted wilt virus* infection improves host suitability for its vector *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Virology* **2004**, *94*, 706–711. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
101. Jones, D.R. Plant viruses transmitted by thrips. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2005**, *113*, 119–157. [[CrossRef](#)]
102. Mound, L.; Hoddle, M.; Hastings, A. Thysanoptera Californica: Tenothrips Frici. Available online: https://keys.lucidcentral.org/keys/v3/thrips_of_california_2019/the_key/key/california_thysanoptera_2019/Media/Html/entities/tenothrips_frici.htm (accessed on 4 August 2021).
103. Mound, L.A.; Masumoto, M. The genus *Thrips* (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in Australia, New Caledonia and New Zealand. *Zootaxa* **2005**, *1020*, 1–64. [[CrossRef](#)]

104. Nakahara, S. The genus *Thrips* Linnaeus (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) of the New World. *U. S. Dep. Agric. Tech. Bull.* **1994**, *1822*, 1–183.
105. Ghotbi, T.; Baniamari, V. Identification and determination of transmission ability of thrips species as vectors of two tospovirus, tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) and impatiens necrotic spot virus (INSV) on ornamental plants in Iran. In Proceedings of the Integrated Control in Protected Crops, Mediterranean Climate, Murcia, Spain, 14–18 May 2006; p. 297.
106. Day, M.F.; Irzykiewicz, H. Physiological studies on thrips in relation to transmission of tomato spotted wilt virus. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.* **1954**, *7*, 274–281. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Hoddle, M.S.; Mound, L.A.; Paris, D. Thrips of California: Thrips Imaginis. Available online: https://keys.lucidcentral.org/keys/v3/thrips_of_california/identify-thrips/key/california-thysanoptera-2012/Media/Html/browse_species/Thrips_imaginis.htm#:~:text=imarginis%20has%20not%20been%20found,setae%20on%20the%20abdominal%20sternites (accessed on 20 January 2022).
108. Riley, D.G.; Joseph, S.V.; Srinivasan, R.; Diffie, S. Thrips vectors of tospoviruses. *J. Integr. Pest Manag.* **2011**, *2*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Milne, J.R.; Walter, G.H. The coincidence of thrips and dispersed pollen in PNRSV-infected stonefruit orchards—A precondition for thrips-mediated transmission via infected pollen. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **2003**, *142*, 291–298. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Converse, R.H. Tobacco Streak. In *Compendium of Raspberry and Blackberry Diseases and Insects*; Ellis, M.A., Converse, R.H., Williams, R.N., Williamson, B., Eds.; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, USA, 1991; pp. 54–55.
111. Morison, G.D. A review of British glasshouse Thysanoptera. *Trans. Entomol. Soc. Lond.* **1957**, *109*, 467–520. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Lim, J.-R.; Choi, S.-U.; Kim, J.-H.; Lee, K.-K.; Cheong, S.-S.; Ryu, J.; Hwang, C.-Y. Occurrence of insect pests in *Rubus coreanus* Miquel. *Korean J. Appl. Entomol.* **2010**, *49*, 97–103. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Totic, I. Raspberry breeding and protection against disease and pests. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.* **2014**, *20*, 391–404.
114. Maric, I.; Marcic, D.; Petanovic, R.; Auger, P. Biodiversity of spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae) in Serbia: A review, new records and key to all known species. *Acarologia* **2017**, *58*, 3–14. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Gordon, S.C.; Taylor, C.E. Biology of the raspberry leaf and bud mite (*Phyllocoptes (Eriophyes) gracilis* Nal.) Eriophyidae in Scotland. *J. Hort. Sci.* **1976**, *51*, 501–508. [[CrossRef](#)]
116. Gordon, S.C. Dryberry mite. In *Compendium of Raspberry and Blackberry Diseases and Insects*; Ellis, M.A., Converse, R.H., Williams, R.N., Williamson, B., Eds.; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, USA, 1991; pp. 70–71.
117. Mohamedova, M.; Samaliev, H. Phytonematodes associated with red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) in Bulgaria. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* **2018**, *6*, 123–127.
118. Walters, T.W.; Pinkerton, J.N.; Riga, E.; Zasada, I.A.; Particka, M.; Yoshida, H.A.; Ishida, C. Managing plant-parasitic nematodes in established red raspberry fields. *HortTechnology* **2009**, *19*, 762–768. [[CrossRef](#)]
119. Taylor, C.E.; Thomas, P.R.; Converse, R.H. An outbreak of Arabis Mosaic Virus and *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* (Micoletzky) in Scotland. *Plant Pathol.* **1966**, *15*, 170–174. [[CrossRef](#)]
120. Brown, D.J.F.; MacFarlane, S.A.; Furlanetto, C.; Oliveira, C.M.G. Transmissão de vírus por nematóides parasitos de plantas. In *Revisão Anual de Patologia de Plantas*; Luz, W.C., Ed.; Sociedade Brasileira de Fitopatologia: Brasília, Brazil, 2004; Volume 12, pp. 201–242.
121. Trudgill, D.L.; Brown, D.J.F.; McNamara, D.G. Methods and criteria for assessing the transmission of plant viruses by longidorid nematodes. *Rev. Nématol.* **1983**, *6*, 133–141.
122. Brown, D.J.F.; Halbrendt, M.; Jones, A.T.; Taylor, C.E.; Lamberti, F. An appraisal of some aspects of the ecology of nematode vectors of plant viruses. *Nematol. Mediterr.* **1994**, *22*, 253–263.
123. Sanny, A. Response of blackberry cultivars to nematode transmission of *Tobacco ringspot virus*. *Inq. Univ. Ark. Undergrad. Res. J.* **2003**, *4*, 106–109.
124. Fuchs, M.; Abawi, G.S.; Marsella-Herrick, P.; Cox, R.; Cox, K.D.; Carroll, J.E.; Martin, R.R. Occurrence of *Tomato ringspot virus* and *Tobacco ringspot virus* in highbush blueberry in New York state. *J. Plant Pathol.* **2010**, *92*, 451–459.
125. Jones, A.T. Cherry Rasp Leaf Virus in *Rubus*. In *Virus Disease of Small Fruits*; Converse, R.H., Ed.; United States Department of Agriculture: Corvallis, OR, USA, 1987; pp. 241–243.
126. Converse, R.H. Nematode-Transmitted Diseases. In *Compendium of Raspberry and Blackberry Diseases and Insects*; Ellis, M.A., Converse, R.H., Williams, R.N., Williamson, B., Eds.; APS Press: St. Paul, MN, USA, 1991; pp. 47–50.
127. EFSA Panel on Plant Health. Scientific opinion on the risk to plant health posed by *Arabis mosaic virus*, *Raspberry ringspot virus*, *Strawberry latent ringspot virus* and *Tomato black ring virus* to the EU territory with the identification and evaluation of risk reduction options. *ESFA J.* **2013**, *11*, 3377. [[CrossRef](#)]
128. Crowder, D.W.; Li, J.; Borer, E.T.; Finke, D.L.; Sharon, R.; Pattemore, D.E.; Medlock, J. Species interactions affect the spread of vector-borne plant pathogens independent of transmission mode. *Ecology* **2019**, *100*, e02782. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
129. Reitz, S.R.; Trumble, J.T. Competitive displacement among insects and arachnids. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **2002**, *47*, 435–465. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
130. Chisholm, P.J.; Eigenbrode, S.D.; Clark, R.E.; Basu, S.; Crowder, D.W. Plant-mediated interactions between a vector and a non-vector herbivore promote the spread of a plant virus. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **2019**, *286*, 20191383. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
131. Su, Q.; Yang, F.; Yao, Q.; Peng, Z.; Tong, H.; Wang, S.; Xie, W.; Wu, Q.; Zhang, Y. A non-vector herbivore indirectly increases the transmission of a vector-borne virus by reducing plant chemical defences. *Funct. Ecol.* **2020**, *34*, 1091–1101. [[CrossRef](#)]

132. McKenzie, S.W.; Vanbergen, A.J.; Hails, R.S.; Jones, T.H.; Johnson, S.N. Reciprocal feeding facilitation between above- and below-ground herbivores. *Biol. Lett.* **2013**, *9*, 309–313. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
133. Hoysted, G.A.; Lilley, C.J.; Field, K.J.; Dickinson, M.; Hartley, S.E.; Urwin, P.E. A plant-feeding nematode indirectly increases the fitness of an aphid. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1897. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
134. Kotzampigikis, A.; Hristova, D.; Tasheva-Terzieva, E. Virus-vector relationship between potato virus Y—PVY and *Myzus persicae* Sulzer. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.* **2009**, *15*, 557–565.
135. Holman, J. The plants and their aphids. In *Host Plant Catalog of Aphids: Palaearctic Region*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2009; pp. 675–1140.
136. Malumphy, C.; Ostrauskas, H.; Pye, D. New data on whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) of Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania, including the first records of rhododendron whitefly *Massilieuroides chittendeni* (Laing). *Zool. Ecol.* **2013**, *23*, 1–4. [CrossRef]
137. Somerfield, K.G. Insects of economic significance recently recorded in New Zealand. *N. Z. J. Agric. Res.* **1977**, *20*, 421–428. [CrossRef]
138. Ossiannilsson, F. *The Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Part 2: The Families Cicadidae, Cercopidae, Membracidae, and Cicadellidae (excl. Deltocephalinae)*; Brill: Leiden, The Netherlands, 1981; Volume 7.
139. Ossiannilsson, F. *The Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark. Part 3: The Family Cicadellidae: Deltocephalinae, Catalogue, Literature and Index*; Brill: Leiden, The Netherlands, 1983; Volume 7.
140. Hrcic, S.; Radonjic, S. A survey of raspberry pests in Montenegro. *Acta Hort.* **2011**, *946*, 243–246. [CrossRef]
141. Blommers, L.H.M.; Vaal, F.W.N.M.; Helsen, H.H.M. Life history, seasonal adaptations and monitoring of common green capsid *Lygocoris pabulinus* (L.) (Hem., Miridae). *J. Appl. Entomol.* **1997**, *121*, 389–398. [CrossRef]
142. Wheeler, A.G. Blackberry and Raspberry. In *Biology of the Plant Bugs (Hemiptera: Miridae): Pests, Predators, Opportunists*; Comstock Publishing Associates: Ithaca, NY, USA, 2001; p. 249.
143. Coombs, M.; Khan, S.A. Population levels and natural enemies of *Plautia affinis* Dallas (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on raspberry, *Rubus idaeus* L., in south-eastern Queensland. *Aust. J. Entomol.* **1998**, *37*, 125–129. [CrossRef]
144. Masten Milek, T.; Simala, M.; Novak, A. Species of genus *Aulacaspis* Cockerell, 1836 (Hemiptera: Coccoidae: Diaspididae) in Croatia, with emphasis on *Aulacaspis yasumatsui* Takagi, 1977. *Entomol. Croat.* **2008**, *12*, 55–64.
145. Mateus, C. Os tripes na cultura de framboesa (Thrips in raspberry culture). In Proceedings of the V Colóquio Nacional da Produção de Pequenos Frutos, Oeiras, Portugal, 14–15 October 2016; pp. 177–182.
146. van Frankenhuyzen, A. *Schadelijke en Nuttige Insekten en Mijten in Aardbei en Houtig Kleinfruit*; Nederlandse Fruittelers Organisatie: Zoetermeer, The Netherlands, 1996.
147. Malloch, G.; Fenton, B.; Goodrich, M.A. Phylogeny of raspberry beetles and other Byturidae (Coleoptera). *Insect Mol. Biol.* **2001**, *10*, 281–291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
148. Clark, K.E.; Hartley, S.E.; Brennan, R.M.; MacKenzie, K.; Johnson, S.N. Oviposition and feeding behaviour by the vine weevil *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* on red raspberry: Effects of cultivars and plant nutritional status. *Agric. For. Entomol.* **2011**, *14*, 157–163. [CrossRef]
149. Gordon, S.C.; Woodford, J.A.T.; Grassi, A.; Zini, M.; Tuovinen, T.; Lindqvist, I.; McNicol, J.W. Monitoring and importance of wingless weevils (*Otiorhynchus* spp.) in European red raspberry production. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.-Integr. Plant Prot. Orchard.-Soft Fruits* **2003**, *26*, 55–60.
150. Allen, J.; Pope, T.; Bennison, J.; ADAS; Birch, N.; Gordon, S. Midge, Mite and Caterpillar Pests of Cane Fruit Crops. Available online: <https://projectblue.blob.core.windows.net/media/Default/Horticulture/Publications/Midge,%20mite%20and%20caterpillar%20pests%20of%20cane%20fruit%20crops.pdf> (accessed on 9 December 2021).
151. Fitzpatrick, S.M.; Troubridge, J.T.; Peterson, B. Distribution of European winter moth, *Operophtera brumata* (L.), Bruce spanworm, *O. bruceata* (Hulst), in the lower Fraser Valley, British Columbia. *J. Entomol. Soc. Br. Columbia* **1991**, *88*, 39–45.
152. Kúti, Z.; Hirka, A.; Hufnagel, L.; Ladányi, M. A population dynamical model of *Operophtera brumata*, L. extended by climatic factors. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **2011**, *9*, 433–447. [CrossRef]
153. Velcheva, N.V. Externaly-feeding lepidopteran complex on untreated apple trees—Species composition, domination and occurrence. *Plant Sci.* **2011**, *48*, 475–483.
154. Leska, W. Studies of the biology of the raspberry crown borer (clearwing)—*Bembecia hylaeiformis* Lasp. (Lepidoptera, Aegeriidae, syn. Sessidae). *Pol. Pismo Entomol.* **1970**, *40*, 841–855.
155. Johnson, D.T.; Kim, S.-H.S. Biology, Identification and Management of Raspberry Crown Borer. Available online: <https://www.uaex.edu/publications/PDF/FSA-7082.pdf> (accessed on 25 June 2021).
156. Tartanus, M.; Malusa, E.; Labanowska, B.H.; Labanowski, G. Survey of pests and beneficial fauna in organic small fruits plantations. In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Organic Fruit Growing, Hohenheim, Germany, 19–21 February 2018; pp. 221–224.
157. Dang, P.T.; Duncan, R.W.; Fitzpatrick, S. Occurrence of two palaeartic species of *Clepsis* Guenee, *C. spectrana* Treitschke and *C. consimilana* (Hubner) (Tortricidae), in British Columbia, Canada. *J. Lepid. Soc.* **1996**, *50*, 321–328.
158. Li, S.Y.; Fitzpatrick, S.M. Monitoring obliquebanded leafroller (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) larvae and adults of raspberries. *Environ. Entomol.* **1997**, *26*, 170–177. [CrossRef]

159. Vetek, G.; Thuroczy, C.; Penzes, B. Interrelationship between the raspberry cane midge, *Resseliella theobaldi* (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) and its parasitoid, *Aprostocetus epicharmus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* **2006**, *96*, 367–372. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
160. Yegorenkova, E.; Yefremova, Z. Notes on *Lasioptera rubi* (Schrank) (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) and its larval parasitoids (Hymenoptera) on raspberries in Russia. *Entomol. Fenn.* **2016**, *27*, 15–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
161. Schoneberg, T.; Lewis, M.T.; Burrack, H.J.; Grieshop, M.; Isaacs, R.; Rendon, D.; Rogers, M.; Rothwell, N.; Sial, A.A.; Walton, V.M.; et al. Cultural control of *Drosophilla suzukii* in small fruit—current and pending tactics in the U.S. *Insects* **2021**, *12*, 172. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
162. Bolda, M.P.; Bettiga, L.J. Agriculture: Caneberries Pest Management Guidelines: Raspberry Horntail. Available online: <https://www2.ipm.ucanr.edu/agriculture/caneberries/Raspberry-Horntail/> (accessed on 18 January 2022).
163. Cagle, L.R. Biology of a red spider mite, *Panonychus* sp., on raspberry in Virginia. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **1962**, *55*, 373–378. [[CrossRef](#)]
164. Tjosvold, S.A.; Karlik, J.F. Insects and other animals/Mites. In *Encyclopedia of Rose Science*; Roberts, A.V., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2003; pp. 431–437.
165. Seeman, O.D.; Beard, J.J. Identification of exotic pest and Australian native and naturalised species of *Tetranychus* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Zootaxa* **2011**, *2961*, 1–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
166. Bounfour, M.; Tanigoshi, L.K. Effect of temperature on development and demographic parameters of *Tetranychus urticae* and *Eotetranychus carpini borealis* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* **2001**, *94*, 400–404. [[CrossRef](#)]
167. Castro, E.B.; Mesa, N.C.; Feres, R.J.F.; Moraes, G.J.d.; Ochoa, R.; Beard, J.J.; Demite, P.R. Tenuipalpidae Database. Available online: <http://www.tenuipalpidae.ibilce.unesp.br> (accessed on 11 November 2021).
168. Shi, A. Eriophyoid mites of blackberries and raspberries (*Rubus* spp.). *IOBC/WPRS Bull.-Integr. Plant Prot. Orchard.-Soft Fruits* **2000**, *23*, 63–65.
169. Mokri, F.; Laasli, S.-E.; Iraqui, D.; Wifaya, A.; Mimuoni, A.; Erginbas-Orakci, G.; Imren, M.; Dababat, A.A. Distribution and occurrence of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*) in Souss-Massa region of Morocco: Relationship with soil-physico-chemical factors. *Russ. J. Nematol.* **2019**, *27*, 107–121. [[CrossRef](#)]
170. Troccoli, A.; Fanelli, E.; Castillo, P.; Liébanas, G.; Cotroneo, A.; Luca, F.D. *Pratylenchus voolasi* sp. Nov. (Nematode: Pratylenchidae) on raspberries in North Italy with a morphometrical and molecular characterization. *Plants* **2021**, *10*, 1068. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
171. Troubridge, J.T.; Fitzpatrick, S.M. A revision of the North American *Operophtera* (Lepidoptera: Geometridae). *Can. Entomol.* **1993**, *125*, 379–397. [[CrossRef](#)]
172. Wilson, C.R. *Applied Plant Virology*; CABi: Wallingford, UK, 2014.
173. Sargent, D.J.; Fernandez-Fernandez, F.; Rys, A.; Knight, V.H.; Simpson, D.W.; Tobutt, K.R. Mapping of *A1* conferring resistance to the aphid *Amphorophora idaei* and *dw* (dwarfing habit) in red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) using AFLP and microsatellite markers. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2007**, *7*, 15. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
174. Birch, A.N.E.; Jones, A.T.; Fenton, B.; Malloch, G.; Geoghegan, I.; Gordon, S.C.; Hillier, J.; Begg, G. Resistance-breaking raspberry aphid biotypes: Constraints to sustainable control through plant breeding. *Acta Hort.* **2002**, *585*, 315–317. [[CrossRef](#)]
175. Bouska, C.; Edmunds, B. Blackberry and raspberry pests. In *2021 PNW Insect Management Handbook*; Kaur, N., Ed.; Oregon State University Extension Service: Portland, OR, USA, 2021; pp. 9–22.
176. Isaacs, R.; Birch, A.N.E.; Martin, R.R. IPM Case Studies: Berry Crops. In *Aphids as Crop Pests*, 2nd ed.; van Emden, H.F., Harrington, R., Eds.; CAB International: Wallingford, UK, 2017; pp. 620–631.
177. Hillocks, R.J. Farming with fewer pesticides: EU pesticide review and resulting challenges for UK agriculture. *Crop Prot.* **2012**, *31*, 85–93. [[CrossRef](#)]
178. Union, E. Directive 2009/128/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 Establishing a Framework for Community Action to Achieve the Sustainable Use of Pesticides. Available online: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:309:0071:0086:en:PDF> (accessed on 22 November 2021).
179. Foster, S.P.; Devine, G.; Devonshire, A.L. Insecticide Resistance. In *Aphids as Crop Pests*; van Emden, H.F., Harrington, R., Eds.; CAB International: Wallingford, UK, 2017; pp. 426–447.
180. Jones, A.T. Virus diseases of *Ribes* and *Rubus* in Europe and approaches to their control. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.-Integr. Plant Prot. Fruit Crops Subgr. Soft Fruits* **2004**, *27*, 1–8.
181. Lightle, D.; Lee, J. Large Raspberry Aphid, *Amphorophora agathonica*. Available online: <https://catalog.extension.oregonstate.edu/sites/catalog/files/project/pdf/pnw648.pdf> (accessed on 27 September 2021).
182. Mitchell, C.; Johnson, S.N.; Gordon, S.C.; Birch, A.N.E.; Hubbard, S.F. Combining plant resistance and a natural enemy to control *Amphorophora idaei*. *BioControl* **2010**, *55*, 321–327. [[CrossRef](#)]
183. Elmekabaty, M.R.; Hussain, M.A.; Ansari, M.A. Evaluation of commercial and non-commercial strains of entomopathogenic fungi against large raspberry aphid *Amphorophora idaei*. *BioControl* **2019**, *65*, 91–99. [[CrossRef](#)]
184. Amorós-Jiménez, R.; Plaza, M.; Montserrat, M.; Marcos-García, M.Á.; Fereres, A. Effect of UV-absorbing nets on the performance of the aphid predator *Sphaerophoria rueppellii* (Diptera: Syrphidae). *Insects* **2020**, *11*, 166. [[CrossRef](#)]
185. Behrens, N.S.; Zhu, J.; Coats, J.R. Pan trapping soybean aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) using attractants. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **2012**, *105*, 890–895. [[CrossRef](#)]

186. George, D.R.; Banfield-Zanin, J.A.; Collier, R.; Cross, J.; Birch, A.N.E.; Gwynn, R.; O'Neill, T. Identification of novel pesticides for use against glasshouse invertebrate pests in UK tomatoes and peppers. *Insects* **2015**, *6*, 464–477. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
187. Hardie, J.; Isaacs, R.; Pickett, J.A.; Wadhams, L.J.; Woodcock, C.M. Methyl salicylate and (-)-(1R,5S)-myrtenal area plant-derived repellents for black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae* Scop. (Homoptera: Aphididae). *J. Chem. Ecol.* **1994**, *20*, 2847–2855. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
188. Hooks, C.R.R.; Fereres, A. Protecting crops from non-persistently aphid-transmitted viruses: A review on the use of barrier plants as a management tool. *Virus Res.* **2006**, *120*, 1–16. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
189. Milenković, S.N.; Marčić, D. Raspberry leaf and bud mite (*Phyllocoptes gracilis*) in Serbia: The pest status and control options. *Acta Hort.* **2012**, *946*, 253–256. [[CrossRef](#)]
190. Linder, C.; Baroffio, C.; Mittaz, C. Post harvest control of the eriophyoid mite *Phyllocoptes gracilis* on raspberries. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.-Integr. Plant Prot. Fruit Crops Subgr. Soft Fruits* **2008**, *39*, 85–87.
191. Trandem, N.; Vereide, R.; Bøthun, M. Late autumn treatment with sulphur or rapeseed oil as part of a management strategy for the raspberry leaf and bud mite *Phyllocoptes gracilis* in 'Glen Ample'. *IOBC/WPRS Bull.-Integr. Plant Prot. Fruit Crops Subgr. Soft Fruits* **2011**, *70*, 113–119.
192. Irving, R.; Bennison, J.; Umpelby, R. *Biocontrol in Soft Fruit*; Horticultural Development Company: Warwickshire, UK, 2012.
193. Tixier, M.-S. Predatory mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) in agro-ecosystems and conservation biological control: A review and explorative approach for forecasting plant-predatory mite interactions and mite dispersal. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2018**, *6*, 192. [[CrossRef](#)]
194. Sengonca, C.; Khan, I.A.; Blaeser, P. The predatory mite *Typhlodromus pyri* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) causes feeding scars on leaves and fruits of apple. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **2004**, *33*, 45–53. [[CrossRef](#)]
195. Zemek, R. The effect of powdery mildew on the number of prey consumed by *Typhlodromus pyri* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.* **2005**, *129*, 211–216. [[CrossRef](#)]
196. Andika, I.B.; Wei, S.; Cao, C.; Salaipeh, L.; Kondo, H.; Sun, L. Phytopathogenic fungus hosts a plant virus: A naturally occurring cross-kingdom viral infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2017**, *114*, 12267–12272. [[CrossRef](#)]
197. Minguely, C.; Norgrove, L.; Burren, A.; Christ, B. Biological control of the raspberry eriophyoid mite *Phyllocoptes gracilis* using entomopathogenic fungi. *Horticulturae* **2021**, *7*, 54. [[CrossRef](#)]
198. López-Aranda, J.M.; Domínguez, P.; Miranda, L.; Santos, B.d.l.; Talavera, M.; Daugovish, O.; Soria, C.; Chamorro, M.; Medina, J.J. Fumigant use for strawberry production in Europe: The current landscape and solutions. *Int. J. Fruit Sci.* **2016**, *16*, 1–15. [[CrossRef](#)]
199. Bernard, G.C.; Egnin, M.; Bonsi, C. The impact of plant-parasitic nematodes on agriculture and methods of control. In *Nematology: Concepts, Diagnosis and Control*; Shah, M.M., Mahamood, M., Eds.; IntechOpen Limited: London, UK, 2017.
200. Sasanelli, N.; Konrat, A.; Migunova, V.; Toderas, I.; Iurcu-Straistaru, E.; Rusu, S.; Bivol, A.; Andoni, C.; Veronico, P. Review on control methods against plant parasitic nematodes applied in southern member states (C zone) of the European Union. *Agriculture* **2021**, *11*, 602. [[CrossRef](#)]
201. Abd-Elgawad, M. Biological control agents of plant-parasitic nematodes. *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2016**, *26*, 423–429.
202. Kanwar, R.S.; Patil, J.A.; Yadav, S. Prospects of using predatory nematodes in biological control for plant parasitic nematodes—Review. *Biol. Control* **2021**, *160*, 104668. [[CrossRef](#)]
203. Khan, A.; Saifullah; Iqbal, M.; Hussain, S. Organic control of phytonematodes with *Pleurotus* species. *Pak. J. Nematol.* **2014**, *32*, 155–161.