Dartmouth College
Dartmouth Digital Commons

Dartmouth Scholarship

Faculty Work

2-16-2022

Antibody attributes that predict the neutralization and effector function of polyclonal responses to SARS-CoV-2

Harini Natarajan Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

Shiwei Xu Dartmouth College

Andrew R. Crowley Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

Savannah E. Butler Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth

Joshua A. Weiner Thayer School of Engineering at Dartmouth

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa

Dartmouth Digital Commons Citation

Natarajan, Harini; Xu, Shiwei; Crowley, Andrew R.; Butler, Savannah E.; Weiner, Joshua A.; Bloch, Evan M.; Littlefield, Kirsten; Benner, Sarah E.; Shrestha, Ruchee; Ajayi, Olivia; Wieland-Alter, Wendy; Sullivan, David; Shoham, Shmuel; Quinn, Thomas C.; Casadevall, Arturo; Pekosz, Andrew; Redd, Andrew D.; Tobian, Aaron A.R.; Connor, Ruth I.; Wright, Peter F.; and Ackerman, Margaret E., "Antibody attributes that predict the neutralization and effector function of polyclonal responses to SARS-CoV-2" (2022). *Dartmouth Scholarship*. 4277.

https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa/4277

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Work at Dartmouth Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Dartmouth Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Dartmouth Digital Commons. For more information, please contact dartmouthdigitalcommons@groups.dartmouth.edu.

Authors

Harini Natarajan, Shiwei Xu, Andrew R. Crowley, Savannah E. Butler, Joshua A. Weiner, Evan M. Bloch, Kirsten Littlefield, Sarah E. Benner, Ruchee Shrestha, Olivia Ajayi, Wendy Wieland-Alter, David Sullivan, Shmuel Shoham, Thomas C. Quinn, Arturo Casadevall, Andrew Pekosz, Andrew D. Redd, Aaron A.R. Tobian, Ruth I. Connor, Peter F. Wright, and Margaret E. Ackerman

This article is available at Dartmouth Digital Commons: https://digitalcommons.dartmouth.edu/facoa/4277

RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Antibody attributes that predict the neutralization and effector function of polyclonal responses to SARS-CoV-2

Harini Natarajan¹⁺, Shiwei Xu²⁺, Andrew R. Crowley¹, Savannah E. Butler¹, Joshua A. Weiner³, Evan M. Bloch⁴, Kirsten Littlefield⁵, Sarah E. Benner⁴, Ruchee Shrestha⁴, Olivia Ajayi⁴, Wendy Wieland-Alter⁶, David Sullivan^{5,7}, Shmuel Shoham⁷, Thomas C. Quinn^{7,8}, Arturo Casadevall^{5,7}, Andrew Pekosz⁵, Andrew D. Redd^{7,8}, Aaron A. R. Tobian⁴, Ruth I. Connor⁶, Peter F. Wright⁶ and Margaret E. Ackerman^{1,2,3*}

Abstract

Background: While antibodies can provide significant protection from SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease sequelae, the specific attributes of the humoral response that contribute to immunity are incompletely defined.

Methods: We employ machine learning to relate characteristics of the polyclonal antibody response raised by natural infection to diverse antibody effector functions and neutralization potency with the goal of generating both accurate predictions of each activity based on antibody response profiles as well as insights into antibody mechanisms of action.

Results: To this end, antibody-mediated phagocytosis, cytotoxicity, complement deposition, and neutralization were accurately predicted from biophysical antibody profiles in both discovery and validation cohorts. These models identified SARS-CoV-2-specific IgM as a key predictor of neutralization activity whose mechanistic relevance was supported experimentally by depletion.

Conclusions: Validated models of how different aspects of the humoral response relate to antiviral antibody activities suggest desirable attributes to recapitulate by vaccination or other antibody-based interventions.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, IgG, IgM, IgA, Neutralization, Effector function

Background

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has resulted in over 300 million cases, 5.5 million deaths, and unprecedented social, economic, and educational impact despite interventions that have included quarantines, shutdowns, social distancing, and masking requirements. However, the pandemic has also led to international collaborations

¹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

working toward understanding the disease and developing novel therapeutics and vaccines. To date, these efforts have resulted in several novel therapies and several vaccines approved for widespread deployment under emergency use authorization [1].

The success of these vaccines is thought to result in no small part to the potent antiviral activities of the antibodies they induce. While reinfections have been documented [2, 3], seropositivity and levels of neutralizing antibody are associated with highly reduced rates of re-infection [4–6], and passive transfer of plasma from convalescent donors has shown therapeutic efficacy in some studies [7–15] but not others [16–20]. Collectively,



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/lublicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: margaret.e.ackerman@dartmouth.edu

[†]Harini Natarajan and Shiwei Xu contributed equally

convalescent plasma studies suggest that the variables that contribute to passive antibody efficacy in polyclonal preparations are not completely understood. Additionally, built on strong preclinical data showing the ability of antibodies to prevent infection [5], monoclonal antibody therapies have been developed, including combination products [21, 22]. Each of the three vaccines currently under emergency use in the United States induces neutralizing antibodies, often to levels exceeding those detected following natural infection [23–25].

However, whether elicited by vaccination or infection, antibody responses between individuals are highly variable [26-29], both in titer and in composition. This variability suggests that monoclonal antibody and convalescent plasma therapy, as well as vaccine design, can be improved by determining the factors that contribute to a functionally protective antibody response. Beyond neutralization, which has been established as a correlate of protection in diverse studies [22, 30-32], evidence has accrued supporting both protective and pathogenic roles of antibody effector functions in infection resistance and disease severity. These functions include activities mediated by both soluble factors and diverse innate immune effector cell types. For example, initiation of the complement cascade can result in direct viral or infected cell lysis [33], or modification of other activities including neutralization [33, 34]. Similarly, antibodies can induce phagocytosis, drive release of cytotoxic factors such as perforin and granzyme B, or secretion of inflammatory mediators such as a cytokines and reactive oxygen species [34, 35]. In studies of SARS-CoV-2, extra-neutralizing functions have been shown to play an important role in antiviral activity of antibodies [36–42]. The importance of these functions has been defined in vivo in animal models using both using Fc engineering to modulate binding of the Fc domain to Fcy Receptors (FcyR), and through depletion of effector cells. In contrast, in correlative studies some extra-neutralizing functions have also been linked to disease severity [43, 44]. These findings suggest the importance of understanding the role of both neutralization and extra-neutralizing functions in antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Given these observations, better understanding of the relationship between the magnitude and character of the humoral immune response and diverse antibody activities may offer key insights to further the development of successful therapeutics and vaccines for SARS-CoV-2.

Results

Characterization of antibody responses following SARS-CoV-2 infection

Antibody functions, including neutralization assessed by either an authentic virus assay or a luciferase-based pseudovirus assay, antibody-dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis (ADCP) mediated by monocytes, deposition of the complement cascade component C3b (ADCD), and FcyRIIIa ligation as a proxy for NK cell mediated antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) induced by antibodies in response to recombinant antigen were previously reported [28] for a set of convalescent samples collected from a discovery cohort of 126 eligible convalescent plasma donors from the Baltimore/Washington D.C. area (Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, JHMI) [29] and serum samples from 15 naïve controls and a validation cohort of 20 convalescent subjects from New Hampshire (Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, DHMC) [45] (Additional file 1: Table S1). Biophysical antibody features were defined by a customized multiplexed Fc array assay that characterizes both variable fragment (Fv) and Fc domain attributes across a panel of SARS-CoV-2 antigens, consisting of: nucleocapsid (N) protein, stabilized (S-2P) [46] and unstabilized trimeric spike protein, spike subdomains including S1 and S2, the receptor binding domain (RBD), and the fusion peptide (FP) from SARS-CoV-2; in addition, the panel included diverse pathogenic, zoonotic, and endemic coronavirus spike proteins and subdomains. Influenza hemagglutinin (HA) and herpes simplex virus glycoprotein E (gE) were evaluated as controls. The Fc domain characteristics evaluated for each antigen specificity included antibody isotype, subclass, and propensity to bind Fc receptors (FcRs) (Additional file 1: Table S2).

To understand how the different facets of the Ab response relate to one another, hierarchical clustering was performed on the biophysical antibody profiles of convalescent plasma donors (JHMI) and compared to the serum profiles of SARS-CoV-2 naïve subjects. Extensive variability in the SARS-CoV-2-specific Ab response magnitude and character was noted (Fig. 1A). High levels of IgG were observed in many individuals, particularly those who had been hospitalized, while a small number of convalescent donors appeared not to seroconvert despite documented infection via nucleic acid amplification. Similarly, there was considerable variability in the IgA and IgM responses in SARS-CoV-2-convalescent subjects. IgG2, IgG4, and IgD responses were less commonly observed. Distinctions in antibody responses between subjects were apparent among antigen specificities. For example, perhaps due its high homology with endemic CoV, FP responses were isotype switched consistent with an amnestic response, whereas IgM responses to S were reliably observed.

A weighted network plot depicting Pearson's correlation coefficients between Fc array features and functional measurements was created to elucidate correlative relationships more directly between aspects of humoral



responses (Fig. 1B). As was apparent in the heatmap (Fig. 1A), features were often more strongly grouped by Fc domain characteristics than antigen-specificity. Nodes representing antibody effector functions clustered more tightly with RBD-, S1-, S- and N-specific Fc γ R-binding levels, IgG3, and total IgG responses than with IgG1 responses or those directed at S2 or FP. Though most closely linked to IgG-associated features, neutralization potency appeared as a hub that connected to IgA and IgM responses. Based on both hierarchical clustering and correlation analysis (Fig. 1B), the ability of antigenspecific antibodies to interact with diverse Fc γ R was well correlated to multiple antibody effector functions.

Multivariate modelling methods to predict functional responses

With the dual goals of better understanding the humoral response features that may drive complex antibody functions and enabling robust predictions from surrogate measures, we applied supervised machine learning methods to this (JHMI) dataset, while using the DHMC cohort as validation to determine whether the models could predict activity in a generalized manner. A regularized generalized linear modeling approach trained to utilize Fc Array features to predict each antibody function with minimal mean squared error was selected based on prior success in identifying interpretable factors that contribute to functional activity while avoiding overfitting [47]. Five-fold cross-validation was employed to evaluate generalizability within the JHMI cohort, and comparison to models trained on permuted functional data established model robustness (Fig. 2A). The cross-validated models trained on diverse data subsets showed similar accuracy (measured by mean squared error) when applied to held out subjects as when used to predict effector function and neutralization activity that was observed in the validation cohort (DHMC). Model quality was also evaluated in terms of the degree of correlation between predicted and observed activity for a representative cross-validation replicate, allowing for better visualization of model performance (Fig. 2B).

The model consistently selected a subset of features for each function (Fig. 3A). The features that appeared with high frequency in repeated modeling were likely to have relatively high coefficients, and inversely, biophysical features with relatively small coefficients were prone to be influenced by the selected sample subset and to be removed by chance across the replicates. Collectively, the frequently contributing features were exclusively related to spike recognition and were primarily driven by IgG and Fc γ R-binding antibodies.



(DHMC) data sets for each functional assay across cross-validation replicates. Dotted line indicates median performance on permuted data in the setting of repeated cross-validation. **B**. Correlation between predicted and observed responses in the discovery (JHMI, blue) and validation (DHMC, green) cohorts. Pearson correlation (Rp) and mean squared error (MSE) are reported in inset. Dotted line indicates x=y



To evaluate the magnitudes of feature contributions, a representative model for each function demonstrating the identity and relative coefficients of the contributing features is presented (Fig. 3B). Again, despite their sparseness compared to the control antigen, endemic CoV, and other epidemic CoV features, these models relied almost exclusively on antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike. Consistent with the experimental

approach evaluating functions elicited specifically against RBD, ADCC and ADCP models depended principally on antibodies specific to RBD or more broadly to S1. In contrast, the lead feature for virus neutralization was recognition of stabilized spike (S-2P). Similarly, complement deposition against whole spike was best predicted by a single feature related to spike trimer recognition. Responses to the S2 domain were not observed to contribute to functional predictions. Intriguingly, IgA responses against other CoV were observed to make inverse contributions to ADCC predictions. While these contributions were of small magnitude, this result suggests the possibility that cross-reactive, potentially S2-specific IgAs may inhibit the activity of S-reactive IgGs, as has been observed in the context of the HIV envelope glycoprotein [48].

Beyond specificity, distinct antibody Fc characteristics contributed to model predictions. The most frequent Fc characteristic of features contributing to the final model of neutralization potency was the magnitude of IgG response, consistent with neutralization being FcR-independent. In contrast, the most frequent Fc characteristics in modeling ADCC and ADCP were FcyRIII- and FcyRIIbinding responses, respectively—the receptors most relevant to each function. Further, despite comprising a relatively small fraction of circulating IgG, but consistent with its enhanced ability to drive effector functions [49, 50], IgG3 antibodies specific to RBD made a substantial contribution to models of both ADCP and ADCC activity, suggesting the potential importance of this subclass.

Intriguingly, S1-specific IgM contributed to models of neutralization potency. IgM is typically associated with initial exposures [51], suggesting the possibility that this feature represents de novo rather than recalled crossreactive lineages that may exhibit superior neutralization activity across diverse isotypes, as has been observed in the context of influenza responses [52, 53]. Alternatively, the contribution of IgM to neutralization models may be directly mechanistic. Because the induction kinetics and persistence of differing Ig isotypes and neutralizing antibody activity are known to differ, we began to investigate the potential biological relevance of IgM responses to neutralization by evaluating how IgM, IgG, and neutralization activity varied in association with time since infection (Fig. 4). Whereas the magnitude of IgG responses to RBD did not show a statistically significant association with time in this cross-sectional analysis (Fig. 4B), both RBD-specific IgM and neutralization activity were inversely correlated with time since infection (Fig. 4A, C), suggesting that elevated IgM levels may contribute to elevated neutralization activity, particularly at timepoints early in the course of infection.

Overall, while functions were predicted with differing degrees of accuracy, each generalized well to the independent validation cohort and relied upon features with established biological relevance.

Experimental validation of predictive models of antibody function

Given the somewhat surprising appearance of an IgM feature in predictions of neutralization activity, we sought to evaluate the mechanistic relevance of this isotype in particular. In a select group of individuals with both high IgM and neutralization levels (n = 11), IgM was depleted from serum to determine whether the loss of CoV-2-specific IgM resulted in a reduction in neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Both total (not shown) and RBD-specific IgM was depleted (97-fold) (Fig. 5A). Minimal effects on total (not shown) and RBD-specific IgG (2.0-fold) and IgA (2.3-fold) levels were observed in the IgM-depleted samples. Following IgM depletion, samples showed 1.6- to 73-fold decreases in neutralization titer (Fig. 5B). Though the magnitude of changes in Ig levels and neutralization before and after depletion varied per donor, only IgM and not IgG or IgA levels showed a statistically significant correlation with neutralization titer in these individuals (Fig. 5C). This result demonstrates that mechanistically relevant

Page 6 of 11

features can be discovered from unbiased data analysis and modeling processes.

Discussion

SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies can drive varied antiviral functions beyond neutralization [36, 45, 54]. These responses have been less well characterized, but accumulating evidence suggests their importance to protection from infection and disease. Both ADCC and phagocytosis have been reported to contribute to antibodymediated antiviral activity against other coronaviruses [55-57]. Collectively, these functions have been suggested to play an important role in defense against SARS-CoV-2; they have been implicated in in vivo protection in diverse studies, including passive transfer studies that have demonstrated that effector functions play a role in the antiviral activity of monoclonal antibodies and correlates of protection analysis carried out on vaccine candidates [36-42]. Fc engineering approaches that both knocked out or enhanced antibody effector functions and studies of the depletion of effector cells in the context of diverse antibodies have provided convincing evidence of the mechanistic relevance of these observations.

In this work, antibody functions measured in two cohorts of convalescent subjects were modeled using biophysical antibody profiles comprised of tandem attributes representing Fv- specificity and Fc characteristics. Multivariate linear regression identified distinct biophysical features that predicted antibody functions such as ADCC, ADCP, ADCD, and neutralization, showing the unique dependencies of each activity on different aspects of humoral responses. Although responses toward both endemic and pathogenic CoV were considered, models were almost exclusively reliant on SARS-CoV-2-specific responses in predicting functional activity. These predictions were robust and generalizable, performing similarly well in training and testing data subsets across cross-validation runs as in an independent validation cohort. The consistency between antibody features contributing to each modeled function and expected biological relevance suggests that modeling approaches such as that employed here can identify mechanisms of antibody activity that might apply across diverse vaccine regimens and plasma and monoclonal antibody therapy. Agreement across vaccine regimens and between preclinical models and clinical studies have been observed previously [58–60].

Spike-specific FcyR-binding antibodies made frequent contributions to models of effector functions, with FcyRIIa contributing strongly to phagocytosis and FcyRIIIa contributing strongly to NK cell activity. Among subclasses, IgG3 made an outsized contribution, consistent with prior studies in the context of other infections [61-63], and monoclonal antibody subclass-switching studies [49, 50]. In contrast, virus-specific IgM contributed to predictions of neutralization activity. The relationship between IgM responses, neutralization potency, and time seen here is consistent with prior reports [64]. SARS-CoV-2 specific IgM has attracted interest because of its association with lower risk of death from COVID-19 [26]. Consistent with our experimental results, another study in which IgM was selectively depleted also observed resulting reduction in neutralization activity, but additionally confirmed the activity of the isolated IgM fraction [65, 66]. Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2 specific IgM administered intranasally has been shown to be effective in treating novel SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern, including the alpha, beta, and gamma variants in a mouse model [67]. The finding that so much of the neutralizing activity of convalescent plasma against SARS-CoV-2 resides in the IgM fraction raises concern about that gamma globulin preparations may lose much of their antiviral activity as this isotype is removed. Similarly, the faster clearance profile of IgM as compared to IgG may hold implications for both frequency of dosing and timing of plasma donation.

While features contributing to functional predictions have both prior support from other studies and experimental validation within this cohort, other feature sets are likely to provide similar performance. Given high feature dimensionality and relatively fewer subjects, regularization was used to increase the quality of prediction. This approach simplified the resulting models, resulting in improved interpretability of the selected variables at the cost of eliminating features that are highly correlated to selected variables in the established model. Collectively, this modeling choice can result in a tradeoff between model simplification and obscuring potential biological mechanisms. Other limitations include the use of surrogate functional assays that bear advantages in terms of throughput and reproducibility but pose limitations in terms of their biological relevance. As further functional assays reliant on free virions and infected cells are developed, it will be of interest to compare and contrast both the degree of correlation with these convenient proxy assays as well as to model those activities in pursuit of insights into unique subpopulations of antibodies that may be responsible for their induction, or to define general characteristics of a response that is highly polyfunctional.

Insights into the features of functionally potent antibodies raised in the context of vaccination and across viral variants of concern are of additional interest. While good predictive performance might be anticipated given the consistency of these models with expectations based on basic antibody biology, it is possible that the overall profiles of antibodies induced by vaccination, or by different vaccines, could be sufficiently substantial as to disrupt correlative relationships that are not mechanistically rooted. To this end, while robust relationships between virus-specific IgM and neutralization have been reported in several studies of vaccine recipients [68–71], the direct ability of these models to predict the activity of vaccineinduced responses remains to be determined.

Conclusions

As viral variants continue to emerge, rapid binding profiling may be an important complement to functional breadth assessments. Insights into how Fc characteristics of cross-reactive responses relate to diverse functions may provide accelerated insights into population-level susceptibility and support prioritization among candidate vaccine regimens. Numerous randomized clinical trials of convalescent plasma for COVID-19 are in the process of completion and it is likely that plasma remnants will be available for retrospective detailed serological analysis and correlation with clinical outcome [16]. This multivariate analysis provides a blueprint for carrying out such investigation, which could provide information on the antibody functions that contribute to clinical efficacy. The discovery of antibody functions associated with passive antibody efficacy could allow optimization of serological characteristics of mAbs, plasma and gamma globulin products for prevention and therapy of COVID-19.

Methods

Human subjects

The discovery cohort comprised 126 adult eligible convalescent plasma donors diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection by nucleic acid amplification in the Baltimore, MD and Washington DC area (Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, JHMI cohort) and has been previously described [29]. The validation cohort comprised 20 SARS-CoV-2 convalescent individuals from the Hanover, New Hampshire area (Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center, DHMC cohort) [45]. This cohort was selected on the basis of availability and collection at a similar timepoint in the pandemic, offering rigor by virtue of somewhat differing demographics between New Hampshire and Maryland (greater disease severity and age in the DHMC cohort), differing sample collection (serum versus plasma), and differing handling and testing (neutralization assays used) processes. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 was confirmed in all convalescent subjects by nasopharyngeal swab PCR. Plasma (JHMI) or serum (DHMC) was collected from each donor approximately one month after symptom onset or first positive PCR test in the case of mild or asymptomatic disease. Samples from 15 naïve subjects collected from the New Hampshire area early in the pandemic served as negative controls. Seronegative status among these presumed naïve subjects was subsequently confirmed by clinical laboratory assays. Additional file 1: Table S1 provides basic clinical and demographic information for each cohort.

Human subject research was approved by both the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine's Institutional Review Board and the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects. All participants provided written informed consent.

Antibody features and functions

The magnitude, Fv specificity, and Fc domain characteristics of antibody responses to diverse coronavirus and control antigens were profiled by multiplexed Fc Array assay [22], as previously described [28, 45, 72]. Additional file 1: Table S2 reports the complete list of antigen specificities and Fc domain characteristics that were assayed. Fc Array data reported in median fluorescent intensity (MFI) was log transformed prior to analysis.

Antibody functions were assayed as previously described [28, 45]. Briefly, neutralization of authentic virus [29, 73, 74] was determined for samples from the JHMI cohort, whereas a pseudovirus neutralization assay [75] was employed for evaluation of the DHMC

cohort. Phagocytic activity was defined as the level of uptake of antigen-conjugated beads by THP-1 monocytes (ADCP) [76, 77] or primary neutrophils (ADNP) [78]. ADCC activity was modeled using a reporter cell line that expresses luciferase in response to FcγRIIIa ligation [79]. Antibody-dependent complement deposition was assessed by measuring C3b levels on antigen-conjugated beads following incubation in complement serum [80]. For each assay, SARS-CoV-2 naïve samples were employed as negative controls, and data was collected in replicate.

IgM depletion.

IgM was depleted from serum as described previously [65]. Briefly, 200 μ L of NHS HP SpinTrap resin (Cytiva) was equilibrated and used to immobilize anti-human IgM (μ -chain specific, Sigma 10759) at 850 μ g/mL for 30 min with end-over-end mixing at room temperature. The resin was washed, quenched with 50 mM Tris HCl, 1 M NaCl pH 8.0 and 0.1 M sodium acetate 0.5 M NaCl pH 4, and incubated with serum diluted 1:5 in DMEM and incubated overnight at 4 °C with end-over-end mixing. Flow-through was subsequently collected by centrifugation. IgG, IgA, and IgM levels of each selected sample were evaluated with and without IgM depletion by multiplex assay as described above [28, 45, 81]. Neutralization was measured by pseudovirus reporter assay as described above [75].

Data analysis and visualization

Basic analysis and visualization were performed using GraphPad Prism. Heatmaps, correlation plots, and other graphs were generated in R (supported by R packages pheatmap [82], igraph [83], and ggplot2 [84]). Fc Array features were filtered by elimination of features for which the samples exhibited signal within 10 standard deviations (SD) of the technical blank. Log transformed SARS-CoV-2-related Fc Array features and selected functions were scaled and centered by their standard deviation from the mean (z-score) per cohort and visualized following hierarchical clustering according to Manhattan distance. A weighted correlation network of pairs of SARS-CoV-2-related features and selected functions for which Pearson's correlation coefficient > 0.5 was graphed.

Multivariate linear regression was employed to predict antibody functions based on biophysical features with the R package "Glmnet" [85], as previously described [58–60]. Regularization by L1-penalization (LASSO) was applied to eliminate variables that were less relevant to the outcome by imposing a penalty on the absolute value of the feature coefficient in order to reduce overfitting and reinforce performance generalizibility86. Functional measurements of ADCP, neutralization, and S1-specific ADCD were log₁₀ transformed to reduce the prediction error of the models based on the assumption that better fitting models were more likely to rely on biologically relevant features. The lambda parameter (λ) was tuned using five-fold cross-validation to minimize mean squared error (MSE). A process of 200-times repeated modeling was used to investigate the potential of the different combinations of the biophysical features for modeling. Established with the JHMI cohort, a final model was selected based on the median MSE obtained among the repeated run in the JHMI cohort. The selected features and their coefficients were reported at a value of λ at which median model performance fell one standard error above the minimum to optimize the generalizability and provide more regularization to the model. In the permutation test procedure, the penalized multivariate regression was performed against randomized functional outcomes in the JHMI cohort in a 200-time repeated fashion. The correlation network was conducted with the biophysical features that were repeatedly selected within the repeated modeling process.

Abbreviations

ACDP: Antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis; ADCC: Antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity; ADCD: Antibody-dependent complement deposition; COVID-19: Coronivirus 2019 disease; DHMC: Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center; Fc: Fragment crystallizable; FcyR: Fc gamma receptor; FCR: Fc receptor; FP: Fusion peptide; Fv: Fragment variable; gE: Herpes simplex virus glycoprotein E; HA: Influenza hemagglutinin; IgA: Immunoglobulin A; IgG: Immunoglobulin G; IgM: Immunoglobulin M; JHMI: Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions; MERS: Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome; MSE: Mean squared error; N: Nucleocapsid; RBD: Receptor binding domain; S-2P: Stabilized spike; SARS-CoV-2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2; SD: Standard deviation.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12865-022-00480-w.

Additional file 1: Table S1. The expression of 1879 IRGs in GSE98793 dataset.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the participants who generously agreed to contribute to this study, as well as the full study team.

Authors' contributions

Contributed samples—EMB, RS, OA, AART, DS, SS, PFW. Collected experimental data—HN, ARC, SEB, KL SEB, RS, OA, WW-A, AP, RIC. Performed data analysis—HN, SX, RIC. Drafted the manuscript—HN, SX. Reviewed and edited the manuscript—all authors. Supervised research—MEA, PFW, ADR, AART, AC, AP. Conceived of work—MEA. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported in part by the Division of Intramural Research, NIAID, NIH.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed are available at: https://github.com/Acker manLab/Butler_et_al_COVID_2020. https://github.com/AckermanLab/Natar ajan_et_al_COVID_2021

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Human subject research was approved by both the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine's Institutional Review Board and the Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects according to HHS Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects and ethical principles developed by the World Medical Association. All participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author details

¹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA. ²Program in Quantitative Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA. ³Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, 14 Engineering Drive, Hanover, NH 03755, USA. ⁴Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁵W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁶Department of Pediatrics, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center, Lebanon, NH, USA. ⁷Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁸Division of Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA.

Received: 24 November 2021 Accepted: 7 February 2022 Published online: 16 February 2022

References

- COVID-19 Vaccines, https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-andresponse/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/covid-19-vaccines#euavaccines (2021).
- Iwasaki A. What reinfections mean for COVID-19. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021;21:3–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30783-0.
- Tillett RL, et al. Genomic evidence for reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: a case study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021;21:52–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30764-7.
- Lumley SF, et al. Antibody status and incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in health care workers. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:533–40. https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa2034545.
- Harvey RA, et al. Association of SARS-CoV-2 seropositive antibody test with risk of future infection. JAMA Intern Med. 2021;181:672–9. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.0366.
- Letizia AG, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity and subsequent infection risk in healthy young adults: a prospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-2600(21)00158-2.
- Benner SE, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody avidity responses in COVID-19 patients and convalescent plasma donors. J Infect Dis. 2020;222:1974–84. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa581.
- Tobian AAR, Shaz BH. Earlier the better: convalescent plasma. Blood. 2020;136:652–4. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007638.
- Duan K, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-19 patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117:9490–6. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.2004168117.
- Shen C, et al. Treatment of 5 critically III patients with COVID-19 with convalescent plasma. JAMA. 2020;323:1582–9. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2020.4783.
- Libster R, et al. Early high-titer plasma therapy to prevent severe Covid-19 in older adults. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:610–8. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa2033700.

- O'Donnell MR, et al. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of convalescent plasma in adults with severe COVID-19. J Clin Invest. 2021. https:// doi.org/10.1172/JCI150646.
- Joyner MJ, et al. Convalescent plasma antibody levels and the risk of death from Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:1015–27. https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa2031893.
- Klassen SA, et al. Convalescent plasma therapy for COVID-19: a graphical mosaic of the worldwide evidence. Front Med (Lausanne). 2021;8:684151. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.684151.
- Sullivan DJ, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Early Outpatient COVID-19 treatment with high-titer convalescent plasma. medRxiv, 2021. https:// doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.10.21267485 (2021).
- Cohn CS, et al. COVID-19 convalescent plasma: Interim recommendations from the AABB. Transfusion. 2021;61:1313–23. https://doi.org/10.1111/trf. 16328.
- Group, R. C. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised controlled, open-label, platform trial. Lancet. 2021;397:2049–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21) 00897-7.
- Simonovich VA, et al. A randomized trial of convalescent plasma in Covid-19 severe pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:619–29. https://doi.org/10. 1056/NEJMoa2031304.
- Agarwal A, et al. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial). BMJ. 2020;371: m3939. https://doi.org/10. 1136/bmj.m3939.
- Begin P, et al. Convalescent plasma for hospitalized patients with COVID-19: an open-label, randomized controlled trial. Nat Med. 2021;27:2012–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01488-2.
- Hung IF-N, et al. Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. The Lancet. 2020;395:1695–704. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)31042-4.
- Klingler J, et al. Role of IgM and IgA antibodies in the neutralization of SARS-CoV-2. J Infect Dis. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa784.
- Wang Z, et al. mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. Nature. 2021;592:616–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03324-6.
- Widge AT, et al. Durability of responses after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 vaccination. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:80–2. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc 2032195.
- Edara VV, et al. Infection and vaccine-induced neutralizing-antibody responses to the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 variants. N Engl J Med. 2021. https:// doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2107799.
- Atyeo C, et al. Distinct early serological signatures track with SARS-CoV-2 survival. Immunity. 2020;53:524-532 e524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. immuni.2020.07.020.
- Guan WJ, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1708–20. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMo a2002032.
- Natarajan H, et al. Markers of polyfunctional SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in convalescent plasma. mBio. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00765-21
- Klein S, et al. Sex, age, and hospitalization drive antibody responses in a COVID-19 convalescent plasma donor population. medRxiv 2020. https:// doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.26.20139063
- 30. Tang J, et al. Antibody affinity maturation and plasma IgA associate with clinical outcome in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Nat Commun. 2021;12:1221. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21463-2.
- Sterlin D, et al. IgA dominates the early neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. Sci Transl Med. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed. abd2223.
- Liu L, et al. Potent neutralizing antibodies against multiple epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 spike. Nature. 2020;584:450–6. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-020-2571-7.
- Toapanta FR, Ross TM. Complement-mediated activation of the adaptive immune responses: role of C3d in linking the innate and adaptive immunity. Immunol Res. 2006;36:197–210. https://doi.org/10.1385/IR:36:1:197.
- 34. Ward ES, Ghetie V. The effector functions of immunoglobulins: implications for therapy. Ther Immunol. 1995;2:77–94.
- 35. Clark MR. IgG effector mechanisms. Chem Immunol. 1997;65:88-110.

- Atyeo C, et al. Dissecting strategies to tune the therapeutic potential of SARS-CoV-2-specific monoclonal antibody CR3022. JCI Insight. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.143129.
- Wec AZ, et al. Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by human monoclonal antibodies. Science. 2020;369:731–6. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.abc7424.
- Tortorici MA, et al. Ultrapotent human antibodies protect against SARS-CoV-2 challenge via multiple mechanisms. Science. 2020;370:950–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe3354.
- Suryadevara N, et al. Neutralizing and protective human monoclonal antibodies recognizing the N-terminal domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. bioRxiv. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.19.427324
- Ullah I, et al. Live imaging of SARS-CoV-2 infection in mice reveals neutralizing antibodies require Fc function for optimal efficacy. bioRxiv 2021. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.22.436337
- Alter G, et al. Collaboration between the Fab and Fc contribute to maximal protection against SARS-CoV-2 following NVX-CoV2373 subunit vaccine with Matrix-M vaccination. Res Sq. 2021. https://doi.org/10. 21203/rs.3.rs-200342/v1.
- Winkler ES, et al. Human neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 require intact Fc effector functions for optimal therapeutic protection. 2021. Cell. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.026.
- Adeniji OS, et al. COVID-19 severity is associated with differential antibody Fc-mediated innate immune functions. mBio 2021. https://doi. org/10.1128/mBio.00281-21
- Larsen MD, et al. Afucosylated IgG characterizes enveloped viral responses and correlates with COVID-19 severity. Science. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc8378.
- Butler SE, et al. Distinct features and functions of systemic and mucosal humoral immunity among SARS-CoV-2 convalescent individuals. Front Immunol. 2020;11: 618685. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020. 618685.
- Wrapp D, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science. 2020;367:1260–3. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.abb2507.
- Alter G, et al. High-resolution definition of humoral immune response correlates of effective immunity against HIV. Mol Syst Biol. 2018;14: e7881. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20177881.
- Tomaras GD, et al. Vaccine-induced plasma IgA specific for the C1 region of the HIV-1 envelope blocks binding and effector function of IgG. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:9019–24. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.1301456110.
- Chu TH, et al. Hinge length contributes to the phagocytic activity of HIV-specific IgG1 and IgG3 antibodies. PLoS Pathog. 2020;16:e1008083. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008083.
- Richardson SI, et al. IgG3 enhances neutralization potency and Fc effector function of an HIV V2-specific broadly neutralizing antibody. PLoS Pathog. 2019;15:e1008064. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat. 1008064.
- Heyman BS, Marc J. Structure, function, and production of immunoglobulin M (lgM). Encyclopedia Immunobiol. 2016;2:1–14. https://doi.org/10. 1016/B978-0-12-374279-7.05001-3.
- Fierz W, Walz B. Antibody dependent enhancement due to original antigenic sin and the development of SARS. Front Immunol. 2020;11:1120. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01120.
- Henry C, Palm AE, Krammer F, Wilson PC. From original antigenic sin to the universal influenza virus vaccine. Trends Immunol. 2018;39:70–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2017.08.003.
- Tauzin A, et al. A single dose of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BNT162b2 elicits Fc-mediated antibody effector functions and T cell responses. Cell Host Microbe. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2021.06.001.
- Holmes MJ, Callow KA, Childs RA, Tyrrell DA. Antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity against coronavirus 229E-infected cells. Br J Exp Pathol. 1986;67:581–6.
- Cepica A, Derbyshire JB. Antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity and spontaneous cell-mediated cytotoxicity against cells infected with porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus. Can J Comp Med. 1983;47:298–303.
- Yilla M, et al. SARS-coronavirus replication in human peripheral monocytes/macrophages. Virus Res. 2005;107:93–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. virusres.2004.09.004.

- Bradley T, et al. Pentavalent HIV-1 vaccine protects against simian-human immunodeficiency virus challenge. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15711. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15711.
- 59. Pittala S, et al. Antibody Fab-Fc properties outperform titer in predictive models of SIV vaccine-induced protection. Mol Syst Biol. 2019;15: e8747. https://doi.org/10.15252/msb.20188747.
- Ackerman ME, et al. Route of immunization defines multiple mechanisms of vaccine-mediated protection against SIV. Nat Med. 2018;24:1590–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0161-0.
- 61. Neidich SD, et al. Antibody Fc effector functions and IgG3 associate with decreased HIV-1 risk. J Clin Invest. 2019;129:4838–49. https://doi.org/10. 1172/JCl126391.
- Yates NL, et al. Vaccine-induced Env V1–V2 IgG3 correlates with lower HIV-1 infection risk and declines soon after vaccination. Sci Transl Med. 2014;6:228–39. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3007730.
- Chu TH, Patz EF Jr, Ackerman ME. Coming together at the hinges: therapeutic prospects of IgG3. MAbs. 2021;13:1882028. https://doi.org/10. 1080/19420862.2021.1882028.
- 64. Harrington WE, et al. Rapid decline of neutralizing antibodies is associated with decay of IgM in adults recovered from mild COVID-19. Cell Rep Med. 2021;2: 100253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100253.
- Gasser R, et al. Major role of IgM in the neutralizing activity of convalescent plasma against SARS-CoV-2. Cell Rep. 2021;34: 108790. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108790.
- Klingler J, et al. Role of immunoglobulin M and A antibodies in the neutralization of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. J Infect Dis. 2021;223:957–70. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa784.
- Ku Z, et al. Nasal delivery of an IgM offers broad protection from SARS-CoV-2 variants. Nature. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41586-021-03673-2.
- Kitagawa Y, et al. Evaluation of the correlation between the access SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG II antibody tests with the SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus neutralization test. J Med Virol. 2022;94:335–41. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jmv.27338.
- Muller M, et al. Single-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations with either BNT162b2 or AZD1222 induce disparate Th1 responses and IgA production. BMC Med. 2022;20:29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-022-02240-4.
- Shern C, Kieng S, Liang YL, Helen ML, Aw T-C. Early Pfizer Covid-19 vaccine elicited spike antibody (total, IgG, IgM) responses compared to chemiluminescent neutralizing antibodies. Ann Microbiol Res. 2021. https://doi.org/10.36959/958/581.
- Zhang J, et al. Differential antibody response to inactivated COVID-19 vaccines in healthy subjects. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11: 791660. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.791660.
- Morgenlander WR, et al. Antibody responses to endemic coronaviruses modulate COVID-19 convalescent plasma functionality. J Clin Invest. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI146927.
- Schaecher SR, et al. An immunosuppressed Syrian golden hamster model for SARS-CoV infection. Virology. 2008;380:312–21. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.virol.2008.07.026.
- Patel EU, et al. Comparative performance of five commercially available serologic assays to detect antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and identify individuals with high neutralizing titers. J Clin Microbiol. 2021. https://doi. org/10.1128/JCM.02257-20.
- Letko M, Marzi A, Munster V. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. Nat Microbiol. 2020;5:562–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0688-y.
- Ackerman ME, et al. A robust, high-throughput assay to determine the phagocytic activity of clinical antibody samples. J Immunol Methods. 2011;366:8–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2010.12.016.
- McAndrew EG, et al. Determining the phagocytic activity of clinical antibody samples. J Vis Exp. 2011. https://doi.org/10.3791/3588.
- Karsten CB, et al. A versatile high-throughput assay to characterize antibody-mediated neutrophil phagocytosis. J Immunol Methods. 2019;471:46–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2019.05.006.
- Gomez-Roman VR, et al. A simplified method for the rapid fluorometric assessment of antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity. J Immunol Methods. 2006;308:53–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2005.09.018.
- Fischinger S, et al. A high-throughput, bead-based, antigen-specific assay to assess the ability of antibodies to induce complement activation. J

Immunol Methods. 2019;473: 112630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2019. 07.002.

- Brown EP, et al. Multiplexed Fc array for evaluation of antigen-specific antibody effector profiles. J Immunol Methods. 2017;443:33–44. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2017.01.010.
- 82. pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps v. R package version 1.0.12 (2019)
- 83. Csardi G, N. T. The igraph software package for complex network
- research. InterJournal Complex Systems, 1695 (2006). 84. Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. (Springer-Verlag,
- 2016).
- 85. Friedman JHT, Tibshirani R. Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. J Stat Software. 2010;33:1–22.
- Tibshirani R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection Via the Lasso. J Roy Stat Soc. 2011;73:273–82.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

