Study and Comparison of Different Edge Detectors for Image Segmentation

By Pinaki Pratim Acharjya, Ritaban Das & Dibyendu Ghoshal

Bengal Institute of Technology and Management Santiniketan, West Bengal, India

Abstract - Edge detection is very important terminology in image processing and for computer vision. Edge detection is in the forefront of image processing for object detection, so it is crucial to have a good understanding of edge detection operators. In the present study, comparative analyses of different edge detection operators in image processing are presented. It has been observed from the present study that the performance of canny edge detection operator is much better then Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt, Zero crossing and LoG (Laplacian of Gaussian) in respect to the image appearance and object boundary localization. The software tool that has been used is MATLAB.

Keywords : Edge Detection, Digital Image Processing, Image segmentation.

GJCST-F Classification : I.4.6

STUDY AND COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT EDGE DETECTORS FOR IMAGE SEGMENTATION

Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:

Study and Comparison of Different Edge Detectors for Image Segmentation

Pinaki Pratim Acharjya [α], Ritaban Das [σ] & Dibyendu Ghoshal [ρ]

Abstract - Edge detection is very important terminology in image processing and for computer vision. Edge detection is in the forefront of image processing for object detection, so it is crucial to have a good understanding of edge detection operators. In the present study, comparative analyses of different edge detection operators in image processing are presented. It has been observed from the present study that the performance of canny edge detection operator is much better then Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt, Zero crossing and LoG (Laplacian of Gaussian) in respect to the image appearance and object boundary localization. The software tool that has been used is MATLAB.

Keywords : *Edge Detection, Digital Image Processing, Image segmentation.*

I. Introduction

Edge detection [1-2] is a fundamental problem of computer vision and image processing. It has been a major concerning issue in image segmentation [3-7] and for the researchers. The purpose of image segmentation is to partition an image into meaningful regions with respect to a particular application where edges in digital images are areas with strong intensity contrasts and a jump in intensity from one pixel to the next can create major variation in the picture quality and image segmentation. For computer vision and image processing systems to interpret an Image, they first must be able to detect the edges of each object in the image [8-11]. There are several edge detection operators available for image segmentation and object boundary extraction of digital images. Each operator is designed to be sensitive to certain types of edges. Among them Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt, LoG, and canny is major concerning operators. The geometry of the operator determines a characteristic direction in which it is most sensitive to edges.

The presence of noise is a problem for image segmentation. Images are very much prone to be affected by a verity of noise like Gaussian noise, Rayleigh noise, Impulse noise and Speckle noise. It has been found from the parent study that in presence of

noise the Canny edge detection [12-14] operator has yielded the best subjective segmented view of the test image in respective of appearance and object boundary localization then Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt, and LoG. The entropy which is a statistical measure of randomness that can be used to characterize the texture of the input image is studied along with peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR), mean square ratio (MSE) and execution times are also studied in this paper. The objective of the present study is to compare various edge detection operators and analyze their performance and also performances of such techniques is carried out for an image by using MATLAB software. In this literature the section 2 introduces comprehensive theoretical and mathematical background for edge detection and explains different computing approaches to edge detection. Section 3 presents the proposed approach. Section 4 provides the experimental results and discussion and section 5 contains a quick discussion about the conclusion.

II. Traditional Edge Detectors

a) Sobel

The sobel edge detector computes the gradient by using the discrete differences between rows and columns of a 3X3 neighborhood. The sobel operator is based on convolving the image with a small, separable, and integer valued filter.

b) Prewitt

Prewitt operator edge detection masks are the one of the oldest and best understood methods of detecting edges in images The Prewitt edge detector uses the following mask to approximate digitally the first derivatives G_x and G_y.

c) Roberts

In Robert edge detection, the vertical and horizontal edges bring out individually and then put together for resulting edge detection. The Roberts edge

Author α : *Dept. of CSE, Bengal Institute of Technology and Management Santiniketan, West Bengal, Pin – 731236, India.*
E-mail : ppacharjya@gmail.com
Author σ : *Dept. of CSE, Bengal Institute of Technology and Management Santiniketan, West Bengal, Pin – 731236, India.*
E-mail : ritaban.das@gmail.com
Author ρ : *Dept. of ECE, National Institute of Technology Agartala, Tripura, Pin – 799055 E-mail : tukumw@gmail.com*

detector uses the following masks to approximate digitally the first derivatives as differences between adjacent pixels.

Gx   Gy

### d) Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG)

This detector finds edges by looking for zero crossings after filtering $f(x, y)$ with a Laplacian of Gaussian filter. In this method, the Gaussian filtering is combined with Laplacian to break down the image where the intensity varies to detect the edges effectively. It finds the correct place of edges and testing wider area around the pixel.

e) Canny Edge Detector

Canny edge detection is a multistage algorithm to detect a wide range of edges in images. This detector finds edges by looking for local maxima of the gradient of $f(x, y)$. The gradient is calculated using the derivative of a Gaussian filter. The method uses two thresholds to detect strong and weak edges and includes the weak edges in the output only if they are connected to strong edges.

III.   Proposed Approach

The flowchart of the proposed approach is given below. In proposed approach at very beginning a colored image is chosen and inserted into the Mat Lab software for processing. The image is converted into gray scale in the immediate step. A gray scale image is mainly combination of two colors, black and white. It carries the intensity information where, black have the low or weakest intensity and white have the high or strongest intensity. In final step different edge detection operators are applied to detect the object boundaries and edges.

IV.   Experimental result

This section presents the relative performance of various edge detectors. Five edge detection operators have been chosen to carry out for edge detection and image segmentation. There are namely, Sobel, Prewitt, Roberts, LoG and Canny. The original image is shown in figure 1(a) and figure 2(a) and the segmented images have been shown in figure 1(b) to figure 1(f) and from figure 2(b) to figure 2(f) respectively. The entropy, PSNR, MSE and execution times of the segmented images have been calculated and are shown in Table 1. Peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) is the ratio between the maximum possible power of a signal and the power of corrupting noise that affects the fidelity of its representation. It is the logarithmic function of the peak value of the image and the mean square error. Its value must be high. It have been observed that that the Canny edge detector produces higher accuracy in detection of object edges with higher entropy, PSNR, MSE and execution time compared with Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt, Zero crossing and LOG. On the other hand Roberts edge detector has the minimum entropy with PSNR, MSE and execution time compared with others. The statistical analyses for all the edge detectors are shown in table 1.

Fig. 1 : (a) Trisha (original image), (b) Sobel, (c) Prewitt, (d) Roberts, (e) Laplacian of Gaussian, (f) canny


Fig. 2 : (a) Diya (original image), (b) Sobel, (c) Prewitt, (d) Roberts, (e) Laplacian of Gaussian, (f) canny

Table I : Statistical measurement

IMAGE	ENTROPY	PSNR	MSE	EXECUTION TIME
Trisha with Sobel	1.2820	11.4067	4.7034e+003	1.052911 seconds.
Trisha with Prewitt	1.2792	11.3928	4.7185e+003	0.878266 seconds.
Trisha with Roberts	1.2306	17.1396	1.2564e+003	0.831094 seconds.
Trisha with LoG	1.4354	11.2313	4.8973e+003	0.978503 seconds.
Trisha with Canny	1.5701	10.9043	5.2803e+003	1.014961 seconds.
Diya with Sobel	1.2722	9.9365	6.5983e+003	0.851769 seconds.
Diya with Prewitt	1.2707	9.9374	6.5969e+003	0.855519 seconds.
Diya with Roberts	1.2493	9.9212	6.6215e+003	0.818108 seconds.
Diya with LoG	1.4318	9.9596	6.5633e+003	0.856581 seconds.
Diya with Canny	1.5477	9.6982	6.9705e+003	1.040114 seconds.

V. Conclusion

Since edge detection is the initial step in object boundary extraction and object recognition, it is important to know the differences between different edge detection operators. In this paper an attempt is made to review the edge detection techniques which are based on discontinuity intensity levels. The relative performance of various edge detection techniques is carried out with two images by using MATLAB software. It have been observed that that the Canny edge detector produces higher accuracy in detection of object edges with higher entropy, PSNR, MSE and execution time compared with Sobel, Roberts, Prewitt, Zero crossing and LOG.

References Références Referencias

1. Jie Yanga ,Ran Yanga, Shigao Lib,S.Shoujing Yina, Qianqing Qina," A Novel Edge Detection Based Segmentation Algorithm for Polarimetric Sar Images", The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote sensing and Spatial Information ,Sciences. Vol. XXXVII, Part B7.Beijing 2008
2. E. Argyle. "Techniques for edge detection," Proc. IEEE, vol. 59, pp. 285-286, 1971.
3. Orlando, J, Tobias & Rui Seara (2002) "Image Segmentation by Histogram Thresholding Using Fuzzy Sets", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, Vol.11, No.12, 1457-1465.
4. Punam Thakare (2011) "A Study of Image Segmentation and Edge Detection Techniques", International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering, Vol 3, No.2, 899-904.
5. Rafael C. Gonzalez, Richard E. Woods & Steven L. Eddins (2004) Digital Image Processing Using MATLAB, Pearson Education Ptd. Ltd, Singapore.
6. Zhao Yu quian ,Gui Wei Hua ,Chen Zhen Cheng,Tang Jing tian,Li Ling Yun," Medical Images Edge detection Based on mathematical Morphology", Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE.
7. Yu, X, Bui, T.D. & et al. (1994) "Robust Estimation for Range Image Segmentation and Reconstruction", IEEE trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 16 (5), 530-538.
8. S.Lakshmi,Dr. V .Sankaranarayanan," A study of Edge Detection Techniques for Segmention Computing Approaches", IJCA special issue on " Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for imaging and Biomedical Applications"CASCT,20
9. J. Koplowitz "On the Edge Location Error for Local Maximum and Zero-Crossing Edge Detectors", IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol.16, pg-12, Dec.1994.
10. Lakshmi,S & V. Sankaranarayanan (2010) "A Study of edge detection techniques for segmentation computing approaches", Computer Aided Soft Computing Techniques for Imaging and Biomedical Applications, 35-41.
11. Marr, D & E. Hildreth (1980) "Theory of edge detection", Proc. Royal Society of London, B, 207, 187–217.
12. T.A. Mohmoud; S.Marshal, "Edge –Detected Guided Morphological Filter for Image sharpening, Hindawi Publishing orporation EURASIP Journal on image and video Processing volume 2008.
13. Canny, J. F (1986) "A computational approach to edge detection", IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 8, 679-714.
14. R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods. "Digital Image Processing". 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, 2002.