



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
Volume 11 Issue 10 Version 1.0 May 2011  
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal  
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)  
ISSN: 0975-4172 & Print ISSN: 0975-4350

# A Survey On Image Segmentation Using Decision Fusion Method

By M.Janani, Ms.D.Kavithadevi

*Abstract-* Neonatal brain MRI segmentation is challenging due to the poor image quality. Existing population atlases used for guiding segmentation are usually constructed by averaging all images in a population with no preference. However, such approaches diminish the important local inter-subject structural variability. Tissue segmentation of neonatal brain MR images remains challenging because of the insufficient image quality due to the properties of developing tissues. Among various brain tissue segmentation algorithms, atlas-based brain image segmentation can potentially achieve good segmentation results on neonatal brain images. Atlas-based segmentation approaches have been widely used for guiding brain tissue segmentation. Existing brain atlases are usually constructed by equally averaging pre-segmented images in a population. However, such approaches diminish local inter-subject structural variability and thus lead to lower segmentation guidance capability. To deal with this problem, we propose a multi-region-multi-reference framework for atlas-based neonatal brain segmentation.

*GJCST Classification: 1.4.6*



*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:*



# A Survey On Image Segmentation Using Decision Fusion Method

M.Janani<sup>α</sup>, Ms.D.Kavithadevi<sup>Ω</sup>

**Abstract-** Neonatal brain MRI segmentation is challenging due to the poor image quality. Existing population atlases used for guiding segmentation are usually constructed by averaging all images in a population with no preference. However, such approaches diminish the important local inter-subject structural variability. Tissue segmentation of neonatal brain MR images remains challenging because of the insufficient image quality due to the properties of developing tissues. Among various brain tissue segmentation algorithms, atlas-based brain image segmentation can potentially achieve good segmentation results on neonatal brain images. Atlas-based segmentation approaches have been widely used for guiding brain tissue segmentation. Existing brain atlases are usually constructed by equally averaging pre-segmented images in a population. However, such approaches diminish local inter-subject structural variability and thus lead to lower segmentation guidance capability. To deal with this problem, we propose a multi-region-multi-reference framework for atlas-based neonatal brain segmentation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In Neonatal Brain MRI Segmentation By Building Multi-Region-Multi-Reference Atlases, Brain tissue segmentation, which classifies brain tissues into meaningful structure such as gray matter (GM), white matter (WM), and then cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). The segmentation is performed in this structure is difficult in neonatal brain image due to low spatial resolution, insufficient tissue contrast, and ambiguous tissue intensity distribution.

Due to these problem difficulties image intensity is insufficient for effective neonatal brain MRI segmentation. The knowledge-based algorithm is seems to be effective. The atlas is build with multiple individual atlases with decision fusion strategies. The strategy implies that individual atlas-based segmentation fuse the segmentation into final result. **Prastawa** constructed an atlas by averaging 3 semi-automatic segmented neonatal image are alignment using affined transformation. **Weisenfeld** obtained an unbiased atlas by averaging the probability maps of 20 newborn subjects. Which are non-rigidly aligned with a simultaneous group-wise registration. a multi-region –

multi-reference approach, which estimates multiple atlases for different anatomical regions. Subject specific atlas is constructed for more effective neonatal segmentation.

There are two issues, taking brain as a single entity assign weight globally to all vowels so that a local shape patterns in the brain will be desired. The parcellation is performed to separate the brain into multiple sub regions. So that atlas can be build for each region separately. A cluster technique called affinity propagation is used to cluster the images.

In Neonatal Brain Image Segmentation In Longitudinal MRI Studies, It is difficult for the experts to visually distinguish between different neonatal brain tissues (nishida et al., 2006; song et al., 2005). The image acquired at late time point is easier than the image acquired at neonatal stage. Knowledge based algorithm has been developed to segment neonatal brain image. The atlas built on multiple images can appear from blurry to sharp depending on different setting of registration regularization in atlas construction process.

In atlas based image segmentation algorithms, the segmentation performance is affected by the registration procedure. The image acquired at late time brain image such as two-years old can achieve high accuracy using the existing segmentation method like fuzzy clustering. The proposed method is to use late-time point image in conjunction with its segmentation result as subject-specific tissue probabilistic atlas to guide tissue segmentation of neonatal image. The subject-specific atlas can be used within a joint-registration-segmentation.

In Construction of Multi-Region-Multi-Reference Atlases For Neonatal Brain MRI Segmentation, Atlas can be grouped into two categories 1) average –shape atlas method (prastawa et al., 2005; song et al., 2007; xue et al., 2007) 2) multi-classifier decision fusion methods, multi subjects in a population are selected as individual atlases to independently guide segmentation.

Single atlas may not sufficiently characterize shape variation in a population; the atlas-based segmentation approach has the drawbacks. The brain is taken as a single entity, different brain images regions have different anatomical pattern as region-wise comparison approach may be more appropriate. A single average shape atlas is generating from a population, it is better to construct multiple atlases. To

<sup>α</sup>About<sup>α</sup>- M.phil Scholar, P.S.G.R. Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore.

Email id: janumphil@gmail.com

<sup>Ω</sup>About<sup>Ω</sup>- Assistant Professor BSc Dept., P.S.G.R. Krishnammal College for Women, Coimbatore.

Email: kavisha58@gmail.com

overcome these 2 issues a method for each query image a subject specification is accommodated to the structural shapes of the query image. First the average-shape atlas of a population images is divided into multiple regions. Each sub- population is represented an exemplar and each its regions is represented by multiple exemplars. Collection of regional exemplar is called multi-region-multi-reference atlas. A query image, one best match exemplars is selected for each region and the selected exemplars for all regions are combined to form the final subject-specific atlas. A joint-registration-segmentation strategy is finally used to segment the query image. Experiment result indicates that, in significant segmentation accuracy improvement can be achieved.

## II. DECISION FUSION METHOD

Detecting edges in each image separately and then fusing the results is called decision fusion method.

### a) *Process of Decision Fusion*

In neonatal brain MRI segmentation by building multi-region-multi-reference atlases, To build the atlas as prior knowledge and to aid segmentation three strategies are commonly used 1) single individual atlas 2) average-shape atlas 3) multiple individual atlases with decision fusion. The category 3 implies that the individual-atlas-based segmentation multiple times with different atlas subject and then fuse the multiple image segmentation into a final result with majority voting rule. It is to be noted that computation cost is quite high due to multiple segmentation.

In neonatal brain image segmentation in longitudinal MRI studies, The decision fusion is widely used to combine multiple segmentation into final decision with compensation for errors in single segmentation (**Heckerman et al., 2006; Warfield et al., 2004**). Decision fusion technique could be used to achieve better neonatal segmentation. The concept of decision fusion is used to fuse the multiple image segmentation into a single segmentation, with the single segmentation the neonatal brain MRI can be segmented easily. The need of decision fusion is to fuse multiple image segmentation and to get the final result.

In Construction of multi-region-multi-reference atlases for neonatal brain MRI segmentation, Atlas construction methods can be roughly grouped into two categories 1) average-shape atlas methods 2) multi-classifiers decision fusion methods. In multi-classifier decision fusion methods, multiple subjects in a population are selected as individual atlases to independently guide segmentation. All segmentation results from different atlases can then fused by a majority-voting rule.

## III. METHODOLOGY

In Neonatal Brain MRI Segmentation By Building Multi-Region-Multi-Reference Atlases, The multi-region-multi-reference framework for neonatal segmentation is carried out using neonatal images of 10 neonatal subjects (6 males & 4 females) with age ranging from 26 to 60 days. For evaluation process 2 sagittal, 3 coronal, & 3 transverse slices of images are manually segmented by expert. The proposed method was compared with manual segmentation. The Dice ratio (DR) is used to measure tissue overlap rate for manual segmentation and automatic segmentation. The approach was evaluated with 2 other atlases. The first method (population A) was created 76 infants with ages ranging from 9 to 15 months. The second method (population B) uses the population atlas. To compare population A&B the joint registration-segmentation strategy is used to segment the brain images. It is to be said proposed method yield a good result. Decision fusion is used with multiple atlas because single atlas does not give a good result. Multiple atlases are carried out independently.

A multi-region-multi-reference framework for neonatal brain image segmentation is proposed in this paper. For representing the local shape variation, multiple atlases are selected. Experimental results demonstrate that our method yield the highest agreement with manual segmentation and brings out two population-atlas based segmentation methods.

In Neonatal Brain Image Segmentation in Longitudinal MRI Studies, MRI images of neonates were performed with more than 180 subjects. MRI scanning was performed using a 3T siemens scanner. In 10 subjects (4 females and 6 males) their neonatal images have been manually segmented. manual image segmentation was mainly focused on 2 sagittal slices, 3 coronal slices and 3 axial slices. Segmentation was based on intensity based clustering method and then manually edited with ITK-SNAP software (yushkevich et al., 2006). In 10 subjects with both one-year-old and two-year-old images. We use both of them to guide neonatal image segmentation separately. To measure the overlap rate between two segmentation we use dice ratio (DR). The decision fusion technique could be potentially used to achieve better neonatal segmentation performance, by combining the segmentation results from multiple subject-specific atlases.

A framework is presented by using subject-specific tissue probabilistic atlas. The experimental results demonstrate that subject-specific atlas has superior performance compared to the population-based atlases, and the proposed algorithm achieves comparable performance manual raters in neonatal brain image segmentation. The average total computation time is around 28 min for segmentation of a  $256 \times 256 \times 198$  image with  $1 \times 1 \times 1$  spatial resolution

on a pc with 2.5 GHZ Pentium 4 processor.3 min is used for segmentation of a late time point image for generating a subject-specific atlas,14 min is used for atlas-to subject registration ,and 11 min are used for atlas based neonatal image segmentation. It is to be concluded that proposed segmentation framework is able to achieve satisfactory segmentation results with reasonable computational time.

In Construction Of Multi-Region-Multi-Reference Atlases For Neonatal Brain MRI Segmentation, The proposed multi-region-multi-reference neonatal segmentation framework was applied to 10 subjects.10 image were manually segmented by expert rater using ITK-SNAP (yushkevich et al., 2006). Central brain region was not segmented due to extremely low tissue contrast. The proposed segmentation algorithm was compared with that of manual segmentation. The tissue overlap rate is compared with dice ratio (DR). The decision fusion is used to fuse the multiple image segmentation into single segmentation and the fused images are been used for manual segmentation and the result yields a good result. Our method yields the highest agreement with manual segmentation and outperforms the two average-shape atlas-based segmentation method .If the given population includes subject with a broad range of ages, the constructed multiple atlases in each region will learn all the shapes from different ages. It is to be concluded that multi-region-multi-reference atlas makes it adaptable to a large range of datasets. The methods such as brain parcellation, similarity measurement and image clustering can be future refined and optimized.

From this survey on image segmentation using decision fusion gives good result on neonatal images and brain tissues. This method can be further used to get the better performance for even very small images. The manual segmentation are done for 10 subjects in Neonatal brain image segmentation in longitudinal MRI studies, it is to be said that manual segmentation can be evaluated for more subjects when decision fusion technique is used. In Construction of Multi-Region-Multi-Reference Atlases for Neonatal Brain MRI Segmentation, large range of data set is adaptable for manual segmentation when decision fusion technique is used to fuse the multiple image segmentation into a single segmentation to bring a final decision. The neonatal brain image when done with manual segmentation gives good result but additionally when decision fusion technique is used it yield a better result. It is to be concluded that manual segmentation with decision fusion yields a good result. All these work can be proceeded to get better result.

## REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Aljabar, P., Heckemann, R.A., Hammers, A., Hajnal, J.V., Rueckert, D., 2009. Multi-atlasbased segmentation of brain images: atlas selection and its effect on accuracy. *NeuroImage* 46, 726–738.
2. Altaye, M., Holland, S.K., Wilke, M., Gaser, C., 2008. Infant brain probability templates for MRI segmentation and normalization. *NeuroImage* 43, 721–730.
3. Armstrong, E., Schleicher, A., Omran, H., Curtis, M., Zilles, K., 1995. The ontogeny of human gyrification. *Cereb. Cortex* 5, 56–63.
4. Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 2005. Unified segmentation. *NeuroImage* 26, 839–851.
5. Bazin, P.L., Pham, D.L., 2007. Topology-preserving tissue classification of magneticresonance brain images. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.* 26, 487–496.
6. Bezdek, J.C., Ehrlich, R., 1984. FCM: the fuzzy c-means clustering algorithm. *Comput. Geosci.* 10, 191–203.
7. Cocosco, C.A., Zijdenbos, A.P., Evans, A.C., 2003. A fully automatic and robust brain MRItissue classification method. *Med. Image Anal.* 7, 513–527.
8. Dice, L.R., 1945. Measures of the amount of ecologic association between species. *Ecology* 26, 297–302.
9. Dubois, J., Benders, M., Cachia, A., Lazeyras, F., Ha-Vinh Leuchter, R., Sizonenko, S.V., Borradori-Tolsa, C., Mangin, J.F., Huppi, P.S., 2008. Mapping the early cortical folding process in the preterm newborn brain. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 1444–1454.
10. Heckemann, R.A., Hajnal, J.V., Aljabar, P., Rueckert, D., Hammers, A., 2006. Automatic anatomical brain MRI segmentation combining label propagation and decision fusion. *NeuroImage* 33, 115–126.
11. Nishida, M., Makris, N., Kennedy, D.N., Vangel, M., Fischl, B., Krishnamoorthy, K.S., Caviness, V.S., Grant, P.E., 2006. Detailed semiautomated MRI based morphometry of the neonatal brain: preliminary results. *NeuroImage* 32, 1041–1049.
12. Pham, D.L., Xu, C., Prince, J.L., 2000. A survey of current methods in medical image segmentation. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 2, 315–337.
13. Prastawa, M., Gilmore, J.H., Lin, W., Gerig, G., 2005. Automatic segmentation of MR images of the developing newborn brain. *Med. Image Anal.* 9, 457–466.
14. Shen, D., Davatzikos, C., 2002. HAMMER: hierarchical attribute matching mechanism for

elastic registration. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 21, 1421–1439.

15. Warfield, S.K., Kaus, M., Jolesz, F.A., Kikinis, R., 2000. Adaptive, template moderated, spatially varying statistical classification. *Med. Image Anal.* 4, 43–55.
16. Warfield, S.K., Zou, K.H., Wells, W.M., 2004. Simultaneous truth and performance level estimation (STAPLE): an algorithm for the validation of image segmentation. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 23, 903–921.
17. Weisenfeld, N.I., Warfield, S.K., 2009. Automatic segmentation of newborn brain MRI. *NeuroImage* 47, 564–572.
18. Wang, F., Vemuri, B.C., Eisenschenk, S.J., 2006. Joint registration and segmentation of neuroanatomic structures from brain MRI. *Acad. Radiol.* 13, 1104–1111.
19. Xue, H., Srinivasan, L., Jiang, S., Rutherford, M., Edwards, A.D., Rueckert, D., Hajnal, J.V., 2007. Automatic segmentation and reconstruction of the cortex from neonatal MRI. *NeuroImage* 38, 461–477.
20. Yeo, B.T.T., Sabuncu, M.R., Desikan, R., Fischl, B., Golland, P., 2008. Effects of registration regularization and atlas sharpness on segmentation accuracy. *Med. Image Anal.* 603–615.
21. Sled, J.G., Zijdenbos, A.P., Evans, A.C., 1998. A nonparametric method for automatic correction of intensity nonuniformity in MRI data. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 17, 87–97.
22. Yushkevich, P.A., Piven, J., Hazlett, H.C., Smith, R.G., Ho, S., Gee, J.C., Gerig, G., 2006. User-guided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *NeuroImage* 31, 1116–1128.
23. Yezzi, A., Zollei, L., Kapur, T., 2003. A variational framework for integrating segmentation and registration through active contours. *Med. Image Anal.* 7, 171–185.