European Journal of Education Studies

ISSN: 2501 - 1111 ISSN-L: 2501 - 1111 Available on-line at: <u>www.oapub.org/edu</u>

DOI: 10.46827/ejes.v9i9.4471

Volume 9 | Issue 9 | 2022

RESOURCES USED BY TEACHERS TO TEACH MATH, PHYSICS, CHEMISTRY AND BIOLOGY AT SECONDARY SCHOOL ONLINE

Viviana Carolina Llanos^{1,2i}, Maria Rita Otero^{1,2}, Maria Paz Gazzola^{1,2}, Paola Otero¹, Estefania Laplace³ ¹NIECYT, Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina ²CONICET, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas Argentina ³Facultad de Ingeniería Olavarría, Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Abstract:

This research was meant to find which resources were used by teachers to teach Math, Physics, Chemistry and Biology online during the pandemic, trying to identify the main problems related to the adapting of the available resources, how they were -or not-adapted and the new ones. Besides, student's feedback was considered, for example, if there were online classes, how tasks were sent and how they were checked and evaluated. The notion of the resource proposed by Adler (2012) was adopted by generating a survey to collect data. The survey has 21 items in total, 20 of them with closed answers, 2 of them with a Likert scale and an open question presented in an online format for all the teachers in every province in Argentina. The survey is a tool to analyse the appropriation and use of the resources by teachers of secondary school when teaching online.

Keywords: resources, online teaching, COVID-19 pandemic

1. Introduction and Framework

The term resource used in this paper is taken from Adler's theory (Adler, 1998, 202). In the educational field as well as common sense, this term refers only to the physical resources, such as textbooks and other materials to learn from. In a broader sense, the

ⁱ Correspondence: email <u>vcarolinallanos@gmail.com</u>; <u>vcllanos@niecyt.exa.unicen.edu.ar</u>

Copyright © The Author(s). All Rights Reserved.

resources can be physical items or not, for example, language and knowledge. Adler (1998, 2010) thinks of resources as nouns and verbs, trying to focus on their uses and transformations of them in the actual teaching, questioning their general meaning. So, resource is a noun, a verb, an object and an action for the actual professional teaching. Everything (material or symbolic) that gives meaning, supports and projects the teacher's work is a resource. There are human resources (teachers, students, people from the institution), cultural resources, such as language and time, and materials (chalk, board, books, calculators, educational software, web pages, etc.). This also includes exchanges with colleagues and student productions. When they have to teach, teachers look for resources, select and modify them, take them to the classroom and share them with their colleagues (Gueudet, Pepin & Trouche, 2013; Gueudet, Lebaud, Otero & Parra, 2021; Gazzola & Otero, 2022, Rabardel, 1995).

The national educational systems and the school as an institution arise with the creation of modern states in the nineteenth century, together with what has been known as modernity. In its nearly 200-year history, online school education was not part of its operation, even with the dizzying and revolutionary development of information and communication technologies. It is important not to lose sight of the institutional and professional impact of halting the closure of schools due to the pandemic. Thus, from one day to the next and for a very long period in Argentina, teachers go from teaching with chalk and the board in fixed and synchronous classrooms to doing so "virtually classroom", depending exclusively on their physics and symbolic resources, that is, their own strength to face a teaching situation affected by countless variables. While our definition of resource is broad, here and to begin to understand how did teachers teach with the available resources? we restrict ourselves mainly to the modifications that they made to the usual actions, in certain disciplines. While our definition of a resource is broad, here and to begin to understand How did the teachers teach with the available resources? we restrict ourselves mainly to the modifications they made to the habitual actions, in certain disciplines.

Among others, the following questions are formulated: What was the source of the resources? Did they adapt what they already had or did they generate new resources? What changes did they make? How were they selected? How did you evaluate its effectiveness and usefulness? What kind of resources? In what format were they delivered to the students? Did the teachers take into account the repercussions of the proposed resources in the family environment? How did they manage resources such as homework, exercises, experiments, etc.? These questions guide the design of the survey that we designed to collect this information.

2. Methods

The survey contains attributive variables about teachers, questions related to the resources, the adaptations made to them and their uses. Closed, Likert type questions and one open question are proposed (López-Roldán & Fachelli, 2015).

Closed questions have predetermined answer possibilities and are of different types: dichotomous for cases where it is important to identify the affirmation or negation of an affirmation, categorized for answers where various possibilities are offered whose nuances are fixed and it is appropriate to select one or more options; and Likert-type questions, or scale questions where a gradation is established in the response of acceptance or rejection between very high, high, medium, low or not at all (Ibid.). In the case of the open question, the teacher surveyed must freely and concisely write his opinion regarding online teaching versus face-to-face teaching.

The construction of the instrument consisted of the design of a preliminary version of the survey, the realization of a pilot test whose results were discarded, the evaluation of the items by expert evaluators in the research area, the redesign of the instrument and finally the implementation of the final version. The representativeness of the survey is based on the use of the strata used in the official surveys that include professors from all the provinces of Argentina in the disciplines involved. The survey is administered in digital format (<u>https://forms.gle/V3XE1T4fApvYqTk8</u>) allowing access to all places and ensuring anonymity.

3. The Survey Design

The survey contains 21 items, is anonymous and has as its main objective to know the explicit opinion of teachers on the development of online teaching and the resources used. The items are distributed in four parts corresponding to: the attributive variables (questions 1 to 7), the resources (questions 8 to 17), the accreditation and correction of tasks (questions 18 to 20) and 21 is the open question.

Table 1 presents the items corresponding to the attributive variables of the survey, the type of question, the possible answers, the type of variable and the type of response that will make up the analysis.

Attributive variables			
Question	Possible answers	Type of question, variable to conform and type of answer	
1. Age	\circ 20 to 30 years old	Closed question, ordinal qualitative variable from an	
	\circ 31 to 40 years old	interval segmentation (age range).	
	\circ 41 to 50 years old	Only option answer.	
	• More than 50 years old		
2. Province	 Buenos Aires 	Closed question, which forms the qualitative	
where	 Ciudad Autónoma de 	<i>jurisdiction</i> variable. The possible answers are the 23	
you work as	Buenos Aires	provinces and the Autonomous City of Buenos Aires	
a teacher	o Catamarca	(CABA).	
	o Chaco	Only option answer.	
	o Chubut		
	o Córdoba		
	• Corrientes		
	 Entre Ríos 		

	Table	1: Survey	attribute	variables
--	-------	-----------	-----------	-----------

	○ Formosa	
	\circ La Pampa	
	• La Rioia	
	 Mendoza 	
	 Misiones 	
	\circ Neuquén	
	\circ Río Negro	
	\circ Salta	
	\circ San Juan	
	\circ San Luis	
	• Santa Cruz	
	 Santa Fe 	
	 Santiago del Estero 	
	 Tierra del Fuego, 	
	Antártida e Islas del	
	Atlántico Sur	
	o Tucumán	
3. Teaching	• Between 0 and 10	Closed question, ordinal qualitative variable from an
career	years.	interval segmentation (<i>career range</i>).
	• Between 11 and 20	Only option answer
	years.	
	• Between 21 and 30	
	years.	
	• More than 30 years.	
4. Hours	• Between 0 and 20	Closed question, ordinal qualitative variable from an
dedicated	hours.	interval segmentation (<i>dedication</i>).
to teaching	• Between 20 and 40	Only option answer.
	hours.	
	• More than 40 hours.	
5. Number of	o 1	Closed question, ordinal qualitative number of
institutions	o 2	<i>institutions</i> variable.
where	o 3	Only option answer.
he works as a	o 4	
professor	• More than 4	
6. Type of	o Public	Closed question, nominal qualitative <i>type of</i>
Institution	• Private	<i>institution</i> variable.
	• Public of management	Multiple-choice answer.
	private	
7. Discipline	• Mathematics	Closed question, nominal qualitative <i>discipline</i>
	o Physic	variable (Only select the one with the highest
	• Chemistry	workload)
	 Biology 	

Questions 1 to 7 define the set of qualities and characteristics of the surveyed population. This group of questions is important since the age of the professor linked to his experience as a teacher, the number of hours dedicated to teaching, the number of institutions in which he works and the type of institution, that affect the use and selection of the resources, as well as the possibilities and availability of access to them. The same way,

knowing the province in which the teacher works allows a better interpretation of the resources.

Table 2 presents the questions linked to the resources. The type of question, the possible answers, the type of variable and also the type of answers that will make up the analysis are summarized as follows.

Resources		
Question	Possible answers	Type of question, variable to conform
Question	i ossible alisweis	and type of answer
8. Equipment available	• Internet connection.	Closed question, nominal qualitative
for classes	• Computer.	<i>equipment</i> variable.
	• Cell phone.	Multiple-choice answer.
	 WhatsApp chat. 	
	• Platforms with a paid	
	license (Zoom, Google	
	Workspace, others).	
	 Digital board. 	
	o Board.	
9. Did you use any	o Yes	Closed question, nominal qualitative
technical support and/or	o No	<i>technical support</i> variable.
any technical tutoring for		Multiple-choice answer.
online format?		
10. What were the	• Internet connection.	Closed question, nominal qualitative
different possibilities	• Computer	student technical support variable.
students had to contact	 Cell phone 	Multiple-choice answer.
the professors?	• Material in photocopier	
11. Where did you get the	 Web pages 	Statement with 5 degrees of agreement
resources to teach?	 Teacher blogs 	on a Likert scale: very high, high,
	 YouTube videos 	medium, low or not at all. Form a
	 Textbooks 	nominal qualitative <i>source</i> variable.
	 Digital textbooks 	
	 Educational platforms 	
	 Teachers' productions 	
12. Which are the	 Educational platforms 	Statement with 5 degrees of agreement
resources you mainly	(Google Classroom or	on a Likert scale: very high, high,
used for teaching online?	similar ones).	medium, low or not at all. Form a
	• Video calls (WhatsApp,	nominal qualitative resource used to teach
	Zoom, Meet or others).	variable.
	 YouTube videos. 	
	 Personal videos. 	
	• Simulation softwares.	
	 Spreadsheets. 	
	o Digital books.	
	• Teacher notes.	
	 Assignments. 	

13. Which criteria did	0	Choosing resources from	Closed question, nominal qualitative
you use when choosing		the internet, already	student technical criteria to choosing
the different resources?		digitalized.	resources variable.
	0	Digitalizing resources	Only-option answer.
		used for face-to-face	
		classes for the online	
		teaching.	
	0	Creating new resources	
		better adapted for online	
		classes.	
14. Which are the	0	The resources are the	Close question. Conforming the nominal
changes needed in the		same, only digitalized.	qualitative variable: <i>resource</i>
resources to use them	0	The resources are the	transformations.
online?		same adding explanation	Only-option answer.
		to them.	5 1
	0	The resources were	
		completely replaced by	
		more appropriate ones.	
15. How did you	0	Teacher only sent tasks.	Close question. Conforming the nominal
organized your online	0	Teacher sent tasks and	qualitative variable: <i>class organization</i> .
classes?		checked students'	Only-option answer.
		answers.	
	0	Teacher gave the online	
	Ũ	class, sent tasks and	
		students answered	
	0	Teacher gave the online	
	Ũ	class, sent tasks and	
		checked students'	
		answers.	
16. How did you do	0	They were replaced by	Close question. Conforming the nominal
experiments in online		YouTube videos.	gualitative variable: <i>experimental classes</i> .
teaching?	0	The teacher recorded	Only-option answer.
		him/herself and showed	5 1
		the experiment.	
	0	The teacher used	
		simulating software for	
		the different experiences.	
	0	The students performed	
		the different experiments	
		with elements available at	
		home.	
	0	No experiments were	
		done.	
17. Up to what extent are	0	A lot	Close question. Conforming the nominal
the resources used online	0	Some	qualitative variable: permanence of
part of your face-to-face	0	None.	resources.
teaching?			Only-option answer.

Questions 8 to 17 mainly collect information on material resources, be they technological or those traditionally and naively described as school resources (board, books, etc.) that

teachers used, created, adapted or simply put into operation in online teaching. Questions 8 to 10 allow us to survey what technological resources teachers and students had for online teaching and also if they received technical-pedagogical advice. Questions 11 to 13 allow information to be collected on the source of the resources and among those available, which ones were used and to what extent. Questions 14 and 15 refer to the transformations carried out in the resources for online teaching and student feedback. Question 16 refers to whether experiments were carried out, with what resources and how. Question 17 inquiries about the continuity or rupture between online and face-toface teaching.

Table 3 presents the items linked to accreditation in online teaching. The type of question, the possible answers, the type of variable and also the type of answers that will make up the analysis are summarized as follows.

Corrections and accreditation			
Question	Possible answers		Type of question, variable to conform and type of answer
18 How many of the	~	A 11	Close question Conforming the
18. How many of the	0	All.	Close question. Comorning me
suggested tasks were	0	Only the most important ones.	nominal qualitative variable:
checked by you?	0	None.	correction.
			Only-option answer.
19. How did you send	0	You sent corrections to each	Close question. Conforming the
corrections to students?		student.	nominal qualitative variable: <i>feedback</i>
	0	You sent corrections to the	corrections.
		group so that each student	Only-option answer.
		would check.	
	0	You suggested a class to solve	
		activities together.	
	0	There was no checking.	
20. As regards grading,	0	Assessing is the same face-to-	Close question. Conforming the
which activities do you		face or online.	nominal qualitative variable:
consider to be most	0	Assessing changes drastically	assessing.
appropriate for online		but it is still necessary.	Only-option answer.
teaching?	0	Assessing cannot be done.	

Table 3: Questions related to corrections and forms of accreditation

The purpose of these questions is to find out about the resources used to evaluate and accredit, the activities that were corrected, and the way to establish the feedback of the corrections if any. It is interesting to know if the teachers "corrected", if it was possible to take the evaluation in some way, or if it was not possible directly or if it was decided not to evaluate.

The last question corresponds to an open opinion question: **21. What is your opinion about online teaching compared to face-to-face teaching?** and teachers are expected to express their feelings, their main problems, the benefits, or what they consider important regarding online teaching. The only condition is to express your opinion in a maximum of 200 words.

4. Final Reflections

For this paper, we propose a survey designed to collect the opinion of teachers in secondary school about the resources used for teaching online as a result of the pandemic. The notion of resource adopted is advantageous since considers as such any item -be it physical or not- to support and aid teachers' activities, so the resources are questioned in terms of how they facilitate teaching actions. Considering the development of online teaching in the circumstances mentioned above was affected by different variables, it is important to make visible and focus on the resources that in general are transparent both for pedagogy as well as the political instance, in fact, the decisions taken show that the resources were not considered. Teachers used them, as was proposed in this paper, reflecting the characteristics and the quality of teaching developed in practice. This survey could be very helpful to evidence the resources used by teachers and the difficulties that they had to face without preparation nor technical-pedagogical assistance required. Moreover, it is obvious that the analysis and development of the resources to teach, and the awareness of teaching as an instrumented activity in constant evolution should be part of the initial and ongoing teaching training.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

About the Author(s)

Viviana Carolina Llanos, PhD in Science Education. Associate Professor of the National University of the Center of the Province of Buenos Aires (UNICEN). Researcher of the National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET). E-mail: vcllanos@niecyt.exa.unicen.edu.ar.

Maria Rita Otero, PhD in Science Education. Professor of the National University of the Center of the Province of Buenos Aires (UNICEN). Researcher of the National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET). E-mail: <u>rotero@niecyt.exa.unicen.edu.ar</u>.

María Paz Gazzola, PhD in Science Education. Associate Professor of the National
University of the Center of the Province of Buenos Aires (UNICEN). National Scientific
and
Technical Research Council (CONICET). E-mail:
mpgazzola@niecyt.exa.unicen.edu.ar.

Paola Otero, Biochemistry. Regular position in National University of the Center of the Province of Buenos Aires (UNICEN). E-mail: <u>potero@fch.unicen.edu.ar</u>.

Estefania Laplace, Math teacher. Regular position in National University of the Center of the Province of Buenos Aires (UNICEN). E-mail: <u>elaplace@fio.unicen.edu.ar</u>.

References

- Adler, J. (1998). Resources as a verb: recontextualising resources in and for school mathematics practice. Olivier, A. and Newstead, K. (eds.). Proceedings of the 22nd Conference of the International Group of the Psychology of Mathematics Education, Vol. 1, Stellenbosch, South Africa, University of Stellenbosch, pp. 1-18.
- Adler, J. (2000). Conceptualising resources as a theme for teacher education. Journal of
MathematicsTeacherEducation,3:205-224.https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009903206236.
- Adler, J. (2012). Knowlege resources in and for school mathematics teaching. From Gueudet, G., Pepin, B. & Trouche, L. (eds.). n/a ed. Text to 'Lived' Resources: Mathematics Curriculum Materials and Teacher Development. Mathematics Teacher Education. Netherlands: Springer, Vol. 7 n/a. p. 3-22.
- Gazzola, M. P. y Otero, M. R. (2022). Instrumentalización de problemas escolares de los profesores de matemática en servicio. PNA, 16(4): 281-307.
- Gueudet G. y Trouche, L. (2008). Du travail documentaire des enseignants : genèses, collectifs, communautés. Le cas des mathématiques. Education et Didactique, 2(3): 7-33.
- Gueudet, G.; Lebaud, M-P.; Otero, M. R.; Parra, V. (2018). Mise en œuvre d'un PER et ressources pour les professeurs: une étude de cas en Première S. Recherches en didactiques des mathématiques, 38(3): 275-314.
- López-Roldán, P.; Fachelli, S. (2015). La Encuesta. En P. López-Roldán y S. Fachelli, Metodología de la Investigación Social Cuantitativa. Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès): Dipòsit Digital de Documents, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Capítulo II.3. 1ª edición. Pp. 1-33.
- Rabardel, P. (1995). Les hommes et les technologies: approche cognitive des instruments contemporains. Paris, Francia: Armand Colin.

Creative Commons licensing terms

Author(s) will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Education Studies shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflicts of interest, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated into the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).