



(bi)*-Neutrosophic Soft Limit Points in Neutrosophic Soft Bitopological Space

Hayder Hussein Jaddoa Al-Mamoori¹

¹Babylon Education Directorate, Babylon, Iraq.

*Corresponding author email Email: haider9111973@gmail.com.

Received:	24/1/2022	Accepted:	11/4 /2022	Published:	30/6/2022
-----------	-----------	-----------	------------	------------	-----------

ABSTRACT

The major goal of the current research is to present the conception of “(bi)*-neutrosophic soft limit points” in “neutrosophic soft Bitopological” spaces. In addition, the research aims to give the essential theorems related to the topic with illustrative examples.

Keywords: Neutrosophic; soft; bitopological; space; interior; closure..

الخلاصة

الهدف الرئيسي من البحث الحالي هو تقديم مفهوم - * (bi) "نقاط الحد اللينة المتعادلة" في فضاءات "نيوتروسوفتيك لينة بيتوبولوجية". بالإضافة إلى ذلك ، يهدف البحث إلى إعطاء النظريات الأساسية المتعلقة بالموضوع بأمثلة توضيحية.

الكلمات المفتاحية: نيوتروسوفتيك. لين؛ بيتوبولوجي. الفضاء؛ الداخلية. إنهاء..

INTRODUCTION

In the actual universe, uncertainty manifests itself as ambiguous, which leads to complexity. Scientists in economics, sociology, medicine and many other disciplines struggle with the complexity of analyzing anonymous statistics on a regular [1, 2]. Traditional procedures often do not yield beneficial results since the unknown arising in these sectors could be many types. The probability hypothesis is an old and efficient method for dealing with uncertainty, but it could solely be used on random processes[3-5].

To handle uncertain situations, theories of evidence, intuitionistic fuzzy set (by Atanassov [6]), and fuzzy set (by Zadeh[7]) were introduced. However, as Molodtsov[8] points out, every one of the hypotheses has its number of challenges. The inadequacy of the theories' parametrization toolset is the root of all these complications.

Moludtsov[8] suggested a soft set of hypothesis as a novel mathematical instrument exempts role the parametrization inadequacy syndrome that plagues other hypotheses working with uncertainty. As a result, the hypothesis is highly practical and easy to use in the application. Molodtsov[8] efficiently utilized the soft set hypothesis in numerous domains, including the function's of smoothness, integration of Riemann, gaming evaluation, operations studies, probability, and Perron integration. The Soft set hypothesis and its implementations are already making significant development in a various of



domains. Shaber et al.[9] established “soft topological spaces” and proposed various soft set notions and separation axioms for these spaces.

Smarandache[10, 11] introduced the “neutrosophic set,” a statistical mechanism for handling with imprecise, uncertain, and inconsistent data. Bitopological space is identified on the “neutrosophic soft set structure”. Maeji et al.[12] established the conception of the “neutrosophic soft set” by combining conceptions of neutrosophic and soft set. This conception has been modified by[13, 14]. The principle of “neutrosophic soft topological space” was launched by[15, 16]. Al-Nafee et al.[17] projected the presumption of “neutrosophic soft bitopological spaces” and described the basic topological spaces concepts (for example, see, [19], [20-23], and [24-26]).

The current research presents the conception of“(bi)*-neutrosophic soft limit points” in “neutrosophic soft bitopological spaces” and gives the important theorems related to the topic with illustrative examples.

Preliminary

Fundamental theorems and conceptions of “neutrosophic soft set” theory and the conception of “neutrosophic soft bitopological spaces” are presented in this part.

[10, 11]

Assume X be global. A neutrosophic set “ θ on M ” could be described as:

$$\theta = \{ \langle \varepsilon, T_{\theta}(\varepsilon), I_{\theta}(\varepsilon), F_{\theta}(\varepsilon) \rangle : \varepsilon \in X \}$$

in which,

$$T_{\theta}(\varepsilon), I_{\theta}(\varepsilon), F_{\theta}(\varepsilon) : U \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ additionally, } 0 \leq T_{\theta}(\varepsilon) + I_{\theta}(\varepsilon) + F_{\theta}(\varepsilon) \leq 3$$

In this equation,

$$\text{Membership degree} = T_{\theta}(\varepsilon)$$

$$\text{indeterminacy degree} = I_{\theta}(\varepsilon)$$

$$\text{Non-membership degree} = F_{\theta}(\varepsilon)$$

From an intellectual standpoint, the neutrosophic set comprises “real standard” or “non-standard” subsets of “ $]-0,+1[$.” However, it is impossible to employ a neutrosophic set with a quantity from a “real standard” or a “non-standard” subset of “ $]-0,+1[$ ” in real-life implementations, particularly in engineering and scientific concerns. As a result, we take into account the neutrosophic set, that gets its value from the “[0, 1]” subset.[14]

Assume that X represents an “initial universe set”, B represents a “set of parameters”, and $P(X)$ represent the “set of all X ’s neutrosophic”. Later, a “neutrosophic soft set βB ” covering X is the set described by a “set-valued function β ” indicating a mapping between B to $P(X)$, in which β is termed as the “neutrosophic soft set βB ’s” approximate function. In another aspect, βB is a parameterized relative of certain components of the set $P(X)$, and it may thus be expressed as a “set of ordered pairs”.

$$\beta_B = \{ (v, \{ \varepsilon \wedge (H_{\beta}(v)(\varepsilon), G_{\beta}(v)(\varepsilon), J_{\beta}(v)(\varepsilon)) \rangle : \varepsilon \in X \}), v \in B \}$$

in which, $H_{\beta}(v)(\varepsilon), G_{\beta}(v)(\varepsilon), J_{\beta}(v)(\varepsilon) \in [0,1]$.



The above functions are illustrated as the “truth-membership”, “indeterminacy-membership”, and “falsity-membership function of $\beta(v)$ ”. Because the supremum of each H, G, J is 1, so the inequality is

$$0 \leq H_{\beta}(v) + G_{\beta}(v) + J_{\beta}(v) \leq 3 \text{ is apparent.}$$

Since now, the sets of every neutrosophic sets across X is indicated by $N3(X)$. [17]
Assume (X, B, \mathcal{T}_1) and (X, B, \mathcal{T}_2) are two “neutrosophic soft topological spaces” (N3-Top spaces, for short) defined on X . So, $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is termed a “neutrosophic soft bitopological space” or “N3-Bi-Top for short”.

Example 2.4

Let $X = \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$, $B = \{v\}$ and $\beta_B, \mu_B \in N3(X)$ such that
 $\beta_B = \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(0.6, 0.2, 0.5) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0.5, 0.4, 0.9) \rangle)\}$,
 $\mu_B = \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(0.6, 0.2, 0.4) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0.6, 0.4, 0.7) \rangle)\}$.
 Then, $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B\}$ is an N3-Top on X and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, \mu_B\}$ is an N3-Top on X .

Therefore, $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is an N3-Bi-Top space. [17]

A subset $\beta_B \in N3(X)$ of an N3-Bi-Top space $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is called star bineutrosophic soft open (N3-(bi)*-open, for short) in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ if and only if $[\beta_B] \sqsubseteq [(\beta_B) \wedge \mathcal{T}_2 \wedge (\mathcal{T}_1)] \wedge \mathcal{T}_2$ and their complement is an N3-(bi)*-closed set. The set of all N3-(bi)*-open [N3-(bi)*-closed] sets in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is denoted by $X^{(Bi)*-NSO}$ [$X^{(Bi)*-NSC}$] respectively.

Example 2.6 [8]

Let $X = \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3\}$, $B = \{v\}$ and $\beta_B, \mu_B \in N3(X)$ such that
 $\beta_B = \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0, 0, 1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0, 0, 1) \rangle)\}$,
 $\mu_B = \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0, 0, 1) \rangle)\}$.
 $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B\}$ is an N3-Top on X and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B, \mu_B\}$ is an N3-Top on X . Thus, $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is an N3-Bi-Top space.

Hence:

$$X^{(Bi)*-NSO} = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B, \mu_B, [\gamma_B]\}$$

$$X^{(Bi)*-NSC} = \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(0, 0, 1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(1, 1, 0) \rangle)\}, \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(0, 0, 1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0, 0, 1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(1, 1, 0) \rangle)\}, \{(v, \langle \varepsilon_1(0, 0, 1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0, 0, 1) \rangle)\}, \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B\}. [8]$$

If $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is an N3-(Bi)*-Top space and $\beta_B \in N3(X)$, so, the largest “N3-(bi)*-open set contained” in β_B is described as “(bi)*-neutrosophic soft interior” of β_B , ($[(\beta_B)]^{(0(bi)*)}$ for short). i.e.

$$[(\beta_B)]^{(0(bi)*)} = \sqcup \{(\omega_B) : \omega_B \text{ is a N3-(bi)*-open set, } \omega_B \sqsubseteq \beta_B\}. [17]$$

If $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is an N3-(Bi)*-Top space and $\beta_B \in N3(X)$, then the confluence of every “N3-(bi)*-closed sets containing β_B ” is indicated as a “(bi)*-neutrosophic soft closure of β_B ” ($\bar{[(\beta_B)]^{(bi)*}}$ for short). i.e.

$$\bar{[(\beta_B)]^{(bi)*}} = \sqcap \{(\omega_B) : \omega_B \text{ is an N3-(bi)*-closed set, } \beta_B \sqsubseteq \omega_B\}. [17]$$



If $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is a N_3 -(Bi)*-Top space and $\beta_B \in N_3(X)$. Then the (bi)*-neutrosophic soft exterior of β_B , (bi)*-ext(β_B) for short) is defined as, (bi)*-ext(β_B) = $\{ ((\beta_B)^c) \} \wedge (0(\text{bi})^*)$. [17]

If $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is a N_3 -(Bi)*-Top space and $\beta_B \in N_3(X)$. Then the (bi)*-neutrosophic soft boundary of β_B , ((bi)*-br(β_B) for short) is defined as, (bi)*-br(β_B) = $\overline{((\beta_B)^c)} \wedge (0(\text{bi})^*) \cap \overline{(\beta_B)} \wedge (0(\text{bi})^*)$. [18]

Assume “X” is an “initial universe set”, and “E” is a “set of parameters”. Then the “neutrosophic soft set.” $\{ \{ \varepsilon \} \wedge e \} _((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$ is described as a “neutrosophic soft point” for all $\varepsilon \in G, 0 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 1, e \in E$, and is described as:

$$\{ \{ \varepsilon \} \wedge e \} _((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) (e') (y) = \begin{cases} ((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) & \text{if } e = e' \text{ and } \varepsilon = y @ (0, 0, 1) \\ \emptyset & \text{if } e \neq e' \text{ and } \varepsilon \neq y \end{cases}$$

(bi)*-Neutrosophic Soft Limit Point Concepts

Let $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be an N_3 -Bi-Top space and $\beta_B \in N_3(X)$. A point $\{ \varepsilon \wedge e \} _((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$ is described as a “(bi)*-neutrosophic soft limit point of β_B ” iff all “ N_3 -(bi)*-open set” in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ containing $\{ \varepsilon \wedge e \} _((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$ having a minimum of one point of β_B distinct from $\{ \varepsilon \wedge e \} _((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$.

The set of each “(bi)*-neutrosophic soft limit points of β_B ” is described as the “(bi)*-neutrosophic soft” derivative set of β_B and is referred as (bi)*-Lim(β_B).

Example 3.2

Assume $X = \{ \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \}$, $B = \{ v \}$ and $\beta_B, \mu_B, R_B, S_B \in N_3(X)$, such that

$$\beta_B = \{ (v, \{ \langle \varepsilon_1(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \}) \},$$

$$R_B = \{ (v, \{ \langle \varepsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \}) \},$$

$$S_B = \{ (v, \{ \langle \varepsilon_1(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \}) \},$$

$$\mu_B = \{ (v, \{ \langle \varepsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(1,1,0) \rangle \}) \}.$$

$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{ \emptyset_B, \tilde{X}_B, \mu_B \}$ is an N_3 -Top on X , and

$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{ \emptyset_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B, \mu_B, S_B \}$ is an N_3 -Top on X .

Thus, $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is an N_3 -Bi-Top space.

Hence:

$$X \wedge (\text{Bi})^* \text{-NSO} = \{ \emptyset_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B, \mu_B, S_B \}.$$

Now:

If we take $S_B = \{ (v, \{ \langle \varepsilon_1(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \}) \}$. Then the neutrosophic soft point $\{ \{ \varepsilon_3 \} \wedge e \} _((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = \{ (v, \{ \langle \varepsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_2(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \varepsilon_3(1,1,0) \rangle \}) \}$ is the only (bi)*-neutrosophic soft limit point of S_B .

Theorem 3.3.

Let $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be an N_3 -Bi-Top space and $\beta_B, \mu_B \in N_3(X)$. Then

$$\beta_B \subseteq \mu_B \rightarrow (\text{bi})^* \text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \subseteq (\text{bi})^* \text{-Lim}(\mu_B).$$

**Proof:**

Let $[(\epsilon^e)]_{((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))} \in (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B)$. Then, every N_3 -(bi)*-open set in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ containing $[(\epsilon^e)]_{((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))}$ having a minimum of one point of β_B differs from $[(\epsilon^e)]_{((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))}$.

Since the $\beta_B \sqsubseteq \mu_B$, so every N_3 -(bi)*-open set in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ containing $[(\epsilon^e)]_{((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))}$ having a minimum of one point of μ_B , different from $[(\epsilon^e)]_{((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))}$. This implies that the $[(\epsilon^e)]_{((\alpha, \beta, \gamma))}$ pertains to $(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B)$. Hence $(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \sqsubseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B)$.

Remark 3.4.

In general, the reverse of a theory is not valid; consider the preceding example.

Example 3.5.

Assume $X = \{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$, $B = \{v\}$ and $\beta_B, R_B, S_B \in N_3(X)$, such that

$$\beta_B = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \})\},$$

$$R_B = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \})\},$$

$$S_B = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(0, 0, 1) \rangle \})\},$$

$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B\}$ is an N_3 -Top on X , and

$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, R_B, S_B\}$ is an N_3 -Top on X .

Thus, $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is an N_3 -Bi-Top space.

Hence: $X^{(Bi)^*\text{-NSO}} = \{\tilde{\emptyset}_B, \tilde{X}_B, \beta_B\}$.

Now:

If we take $\mu_B = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \})\}$, $D_B = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(0,0,1) \rangle \})\}$

Then,

$$(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B) = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(1, 1, 0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(1,1,0) \rangle \})\},$$

$$(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(D_B) = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(1,1,0) \rangle \})\}.$$

Hence:

$$(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \sqsubseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B), \text{ but } \beta_B \not\sqsubseteq \mu_B.$$

Theorem 3.6.

Let $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be an N_3 -Bi-Top space and $\beta_B, \mu_B \in N_3(X)$. Then

$$((bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \sqcup (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B)) \sqsubseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{B \sqcup \mu_B}].$$

Proof:

$$\beta_B \sqsubseteq [(\beta)_{B \sqcup \mu_B}] \rightarrow (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \sqsubseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{B \sqcup \mu_B}] \dots (1)$$

$$\mu_B \sqsubseteq [(\beta)_{B \sqcup \mu_B}] \rightarrow (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B) \sqsubseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{B \sqcup \mu_B}] \dots (2)$$

From (1), (2), we get

$$((bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \sqcup (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B)) \sqsubseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{B \sqcup \mu_B}].$$

Theorem 3.7.

Let $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be an N_3 -Bi-Top space and $\beta_B, \mu_B \in N_3(X)$. Then

$$(bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{B \cap \mu_B}] \sqsubseteq ((bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_B) \cap (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_B)).$$

**Proof:**

Let $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ belong to $(bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{_B} \cap \mu_{_B}]$. Then, every N_3 -(bi)*-open set in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ containing $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ has a minimum of one point of $[(\beta)_{_B} \cap \mu_{_B}]$ differs from $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$. And from the distributive property of intersection (\cap) , we get that every N_3 -(bi)*-open set in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ has $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ has at least one point of $\beta_{_B}$ different from $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ and every N_3 -(bi)*-open set in $(X, B, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ containing $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ has a minimum of one point of $\mu_{_B}$ different from $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$. This implies that, the $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ contains to $(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_{_B})$ and $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ contains to $(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_{_B})$. Hence $[\epsilon^{\wedge}e]_{((\alpha,\beta,\gamma))}$ belongs to $((bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_{_B}) \cap (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_{_B}))$.

Remark 3.8.

The equality of the previous theory is not valid in all cases, as illustrated in the below example.

Example 3.9.

Take the previous Example 3.5 and note that:

$(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_{_B}) \cap (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_{_B}) = \{(v, \{ \langle \epsilon_1(0,0,1) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_2(1,1,0) \rangle, \langle \epsilon_3(1,1,0) \rangle \})\}$, but $(bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{_B} \cap \mu_{_B}] = \emptyset_{_B}$. i.e. $(bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\beta_{_B}) \cap (bi)^*\text{-Lim}(\mu_{_B}) \not\subseteq (bi)^*\text{-Lim} [(\beta)_{_B} \cap \mu_{_B}]$.

Conclusions

The topological organization on “neutrosophic soft set” has been presented in this analysis. “Neutrosophic soft interior” and “neutrosophic soft closure” features are proposed. We’ve also described the foundation for “neutrosophic soft topological space”, subspace on “neutrosophic soft set”, and “separation axioms” with appropriate instances. In each example, numerous associated physical and structural aspects were studied. This concept will open up new possibilities for the “neutrosophic soft set” hypothesis and advancement.

Conflict of interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest.

References

1. Chinnadurai and P. SindhuM, A Novel Approach for Pairwise Separation Axioms on Bi-Soft Topology Using Neutrosophic Sets and An Output Validation in Real Life Application. Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2020. 35: p. 435-463.
2. Gündüz, A. and S. Bayramov, Neutrosophic soft continuity in neutrosophic soft topological spaces. Filomat, 2020. 34: p. 3495-3506.
3. Yolcu, A., E. Karatas, and T.Y. Ozturk, A New Approach to Neutrosophic Soft Mappings and Application in Decision Making. Neutrosophic Operational Research, 2021.
4. Demirtb_, N. and A.M. Demirta_. Neutrosophic Soft Filter Structures Concerning Soft Points. 2021.



5. Cakalli, H., A. Acikgoz, and F. Esenbel. An interpretation of G-continuity in neutrosophic soft topological spaces. 2021.
6. Atanassov, K.T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 1986. 20(1): p. 87-96.
7. Zadeh, L.A., Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 1965. 8(3): p. 338-353.
8. Molodtsov, D., Soft set theory—First results. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 1999. 37(4): p. 19-31.
9. Shabir, M. and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 2011. 61(7): p. 1786-1799.
10. Smarandache, F., A Unifying Field in Logics: Neutrosophic Logic, M. Perez, Editor. 1999, American Research Press. p. 1-141.
11. Smarandache, F., Neutrosophic set - a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy set. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2005. 24(3): p. 287-297.
12. Maji, P.K., Neutrosophic soft set. *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, 2013. 5(1): p. 157-168.
13. Karaaslan, F., Neutrosophic soft sets with applications in decision making. *International Journal of information science and Intelligent system*, 2015. 4(2): p. 1-20.
14. Deli, I. and S. Broumi, Neutrosophic soft matrices and NSM-decision making. *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems*, 2015. 28: p. 2233-2241.
15. Bera, T. and N.K. Mahapatra, Introduction to neutrosophic soft topological space. *OPSEARCH*, 2017. 54(4): p. 841-867.
16. Ozturk, T.Y., C. Gunduz Aras, and S. Bayramov, A New Approach to Operations on Neutrosophic Soft Sets and to Neutrosophic Soft Topological Spaces. 2019. Vol. 10.
17. Ahmed B. AL-Nafee , Said Broumi , Florentin Smarandache, Neutrosophic Soft Bitopological Spaces, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 14 , No. 1 , (2021) : 47-56 (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.140104>).
18. GÜNÜZ ARAS, Ç., T.Y. Öztürk, and S. Bayramov, Separation axioms on neutrosophic soft topological spaces. *TURKISH JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS*, 2019.
19. Ahmed B. AL-Nafee , Jamal K. Obeed , Huda E. Khalid, Continuity and Compactness on Neutrosophic Soft Bitopological Spaces, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 16 , No. 2 , pp. 62-71 , 2021 (Doi : <https://doi.org/10.54216/IJNS.160201>).
20. Ahmed B. AL-Nafee , Said Broumi , Luay A. Al-Swidi, n-Valued Refined Neutrosophic Crisp Sets, *International Journal of Neutrosophic Science*, Vol. 17 , No. 2 , (2021) : 87-95.
21. AL-Nafee, Ahmed B., Riad K. Al-Hamido, and Florentin Smarandache. "Separation Axioms in Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Spaces." *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems* 25 (2019): 25-32.
22. Al-Nafee, Ahmed B., Florentin Smarandache, and A. A. Salama. New Types of Neutrosophic Crisp Closed Sets. *Infinite Study*, 2020.
23. A. Al-nafee and L. Al-swidi , "Separation Axioms Using Soft Turing Point of a Soft Ideal in Soft Topological Space", *Journal of New Theory*, no. 25, pp. 29-37, Oct. 2018.
24. Q. H. Imran, R. K. Al-Hamido, A. H. M. Al-Obaidi, On New Types of Weakly Neutrosophic Crisp Continuity, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 38 (2020), 179–187.
25. Q. H. Imran, R. Dhavaseelan, A. H. M. Al-Obaidi, M. H. Page, On neutrosophic generalized alpha generalized continuity, *Neutrosophic Sets and Systems*, 35 (2020), 511–521.
26. A.H.M. Al-Obaidi, Q.H. Imran, On New Types of Weakly Neutrosophic Crisp Open Mappings. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 62 (2021), 2660-2666.