

Henry Ford Health

## Henry Ford Health Scholarly Commons

---

Pathology and Laboratory Medicine Articles

Pathology and Laboratory Medicine

---

8-16-2022

### **The Need for Rapid Cytogenetics in the Era of Unique Therapies for Acute Myeloid Leukemia**

Anjanaa Vijayanarayanan

Brandon M. Shaw

Kathryn Gibbons

Kedar V. Inamdar

Philip Kuriakose

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/pathology\\_articles](https://scholarlycommons.henryford.com/pathology_articles)

---

---

**Authors**

Anjanaa Vijayanarayanan, Brandon M. Shaw, Kathryn Gibbons, Kedar V. Inamdar, Philip Kuriakose, and Madhu P. Menon

## The Need for Rapid Cytogenetics in the Era of Unique Therapies for Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Tracking no: ADV-2022-008000R1

Anjanaa Vijayanarayanan (University of California San Francisco Medical Center, United States) Brandon Shaw (Henry Ford Health System, United States) Kathryn Gibbons (Henry Ford Health System, United States) Kedar Inamdar (Henry Ford Hospital, United States) Philip Kuriakose (Henry Ford Hospital, United States) Madhu Menon (Department of Pathology, University of Utah School of Medicine and ARUP Laboratories, United States)

### Abstract:

**Conflict of interest:** No COI declared

**COI notes:**

**Preprint server:** No;

**Author contributions and disclosures:** AV, MM and KI performed the research and data analysis, KG and PK were involved with writing the paper and BS provided the cytogenetic data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Non-author contributions and disclosures:** Yes; 1) Tracy I. George, MD President and Chief Medical Officer ARUP Laboratories Professor of Pathology, University of Utah Health 500 Chipeta Way Salt Lake City, UT 801-583-2787 ext. 3556 Toll Free: 800-242-2787 tracy.george@aruplab.com 2) Daniel A. Arber, MD Donald West and Mary Elizabeth King Professor and Chair Department of Pathology University of Chicago 5841 South Maryland Avenue MC 3083 Rm S-329 Chicago, IL 60637 773-702 0647 darber@uchicago.edu or darber1@bsd.uchicago.edu 3) Robert Hasserjian, MD Professor of pathology Massachusetts General Hospital Pathology, Warren 244 55 Fruit St Boston MA 02114 USA rhasserjian@mgh.harvard.edu Drs. George, Hasserjian and Arber read and edited the manuscript.

**Agreement to Share Publication-Related Data and Data Sharing Statement:** N/A

**Clinical trial registration information (if any):**

## The Need for Rapid Cytogenetics in the Era of Unique Therapies for Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Anjanaa Vijayanarayanan<sup>1</sup>, Brandon M Shaw<sup>1</sup>, Kathryn Gibbons<sup>1</sup>, Kedar V Inamdar<sup>1</sup>, Philip  
Kuriakose<sup>2</sup> and Madhu P Menon<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Detroit, MI

<sup>2</sup>Henry Ford Hospital, Division of Hematology and Oncology, Detroit, MI

<sup>3</sup>ARUP Laboratories and Department of Pathology, University of Utah School of Medicine,  
Salt Lake City, UT

Corresponding Author:

Madhu Menon, MD. PhD

ARUP Laboratories

Department of Pathology

University of Utah School of Medicine

MS115-G04666

500 Chipeta Way

Salt Lake City, Utah 84108-1221

phone: (801) 583-2787, ext. 3491

toll free: (800) 242-2787, ext. 3491

fax: (801) 584-5124

e-mail: [madhu.menon@aruplab.com](mailto:madhu.menon@aruplab.com), [madhu.menon@path.utah.edu](mailto:madhu.menon@path.utah.edu)

**Running title:** Early diagnosis of AML-MRC

**Type of manuscript:** Research letter

**Key words:** Acute Myeloid with myelodysplasia related changes, AML-MRC,  
cytogenetics, Vyxeos, CPX-351, Hypomethylating agents, Azacytidine, Ventoclax, Glasdegib

Contact the corresponding author for data sharing: [madhu.menon@aruplab.com](mailto:madhu.menon@aruplab.com).

TO THE EDITOR:

Acute Myeloid Leukemia with Myelodysplasia Related Changes (AML-MRC) is a high-risk AML subtype with a reported frequency of 24-35% of all AMLs.<sup>1-3</sup> AMLs that develop after prior therapy (therapy-related myeloid neoplasms, t-AML), with recurrent genetic abnormalities and those with *NPM1* or biallelic *CEBPA* mutations, are excluded regardless of morphologic dysplasia.<sup>4,5</sup> AML-MRC diagnosis is straightforward when there is an antecedent history of Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or myelodysplastic/Myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN) or when dysplasia (> 50%) is present in at least 2 cell lineages. However, when these conditions are not met, the diagnosis depends on WHO defined MDS-associated cytogenetic abnormalities. In general, the complexity of modern hematopathology diagnoses requires the incorporation of cytogenetics/ fluorescence in-situ hybridization (FISH) and/or molecular findings in a timely fashion.<sup>6</sup>

AML-MRC, like t-AML, is associated with a poor prognosis<sup>2</sup> and lower response rates using conventional chemotherapy.<sup>7-9</sup> Based on better survival data, the FDA approved CPX-351 (Vyxeos; Jazz Pharmaceuticals), a fixed-dose liposomal formulation of daunorubicin and cytarabine for the treatment of AML-MRC and t-MRC.<sup>7</sup> Also, alternative treatments including lower intensity therapies (hypomethylating agents with or without venetoclax, or low-dose cytarabine plus either glasdegib or venetoclax) might be better options in older patients.<sup>2</sup> Early diagnosis of AML-MRC is crucial to make use of these newer therapies. While the history of MDS or MDS/MPN is usually available upfront, enough maturing non-blast hematopoietic cells for assessment of dysplasia might not be available. Regardless, for the latter, AML-MRC designation is contingent on excluding a *CEBPA* or *NPM1* mutation. Also, metaphase analysis and FISH results are generally not available at the time of initial diagnosis of AML; the turnaround time (TAT) of conventional chromosomal analysis ranges from 7-21 days.<sup>10</sup> Our aim was to identify the percentage of cases that qualified for a

diagnosis of AML-MRC solely based on MDS-associated cytogenetic abnormalities and thus, would have benefited from upfront CPX-351 induction chemotherapy or other alternative therapies.

We identified 64 AML-MRC cases with archived bone marrow samples (HFHS) over a period of 15 years. A previous history of MDS or MDS/MPN was present in 8% (5/64) of patients (Figure 1A). Only 30% patients (19/64) had more than 50% dysplasia in two or more lineages (Figures 1A, C-E). Remaining had either no dysplasia, dysplasia less than 50% and/or dysplasia in only one lineage or lacked sufficient differentiated cells to assess dysplasia. The most frequently reported dysplastic cell line was granulocytic (45%), followed by megakaryocytic (38%) and erythroid (16%). A striking 62% (40/64) of cases required MDS-associated cytogenetic abnormalities for AML-MRC diagnosis (Figure 1A). Of AML-MRC cases, 83% (53/64) had complex cytogenetics as defined by  $\geq 3$  unrelated abnormalities, 8% (5/64) had del(5q), 6% (4/64) had -7 or del(7q) and 3% (2/64) had other MDS associated abnormalities (Figure 1B). The study was approved by the Henry Ford Hospital Institutional Review Board and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Following these findings, a pilot (18 months) preliminary cytogenetics/metaphase read protocol was instituted for 24- or 48-hour cultures with the goal of early reporting of MDS-associated and recurrent AML cytogenetics. This initial pilot included 1096 cases; 62 AML cases and 17 AML-MRC cases (10/17 i.e, 59% requiring cytogenetics for AML-MRC diagnosis). The average TAT was 2.4 and 9.12 days for the preliminary and final cytogenetic reports respectively. Based on preliminary cytogenetics, 5 patients were treated with Azacytidine with or without Venetoclax and one patient was treated with Vidaza; rest of the patients either received standard chemotherapy or hospice. 97.3% of cases had the same final report as the preliminary findings. Importantly, none of the abnormal preliminary findings

had to be rectified on final reporting. Only 29 cases (2.6%) had a discrepancy between a normal preliminary karyotype versus an abnormal final karyotype; these were all non-AML cases. Based on this promising data, this process was incorporated into the routine workflow. All cases with a myeloid indication that require a preliminary report have a 24- and 48-hour traditional cytogenetics culture established. Cultures are manually harvested, cell suspensions are dropped on slides, and stained using automated instrumentation. Subsequently, the slides from the 24-hour cultures are scanned using an automated metaphase scanning system and distributed to technologists who perform a five-cell metaphase analysis, the results of which are given to the cytogeneticist. We follow the College of American Pathologists and American College of Medical Genetics guidelines to define a clone i.e., presence of at least two cells containing the same extra chromosome(s) or structural chromosome abnormality or by the presence of at least three cells that have lost the same chromosome. The cytogeneticist interprets the findings and sends the preliminary report via secure email to the clinical and hematopathology team. To facilitate this change, the cytogenetics laboratory began utilizing the automated metaphase scanning system three times per day to ensure that slides needed for a preliminary analysis could be prioritized. Automation of the slide preparation and staining allowed these cases to be more efficiently scanned and this reduced slide preparation time from 6 to 0.5 minutes/slide. Currently, every bone marrow sample that comes through the laboratory receives a preliminary report based on the earliest diagnostically relevant culture (24 to 48-hour cultures for myeloid and 72-hour cultures for B/T-cell stimulated cultures).

To conclude, 62% of our cases needed cytogenetic studies to render a diagnosis of AML-MRC. The MDS FISH panel employed by most institutions typically includes EGR1 (5/5q-), D7S486 (7/7q-), CEP8 (+8), and D20S108 (20q). In the absence of an AML-MRC diagnosis, patients are put on the generic AML induction chemotherapy (7+3) and cannot be typically switched to CPX-351 later because of toxicity issues.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, it is crucial to have a

preliminary cytogenetic result within 2-3 days of an AML diagnosis to be able to accurately diagnose and treat most AML-MRC patients in a timely fashion. We demonstrate that a feasible option for generating rapid karyotype data is a preliminary conventional cytogenetics read on 24- and 48-hour cultures. While there are alternative promising assays available for generating karyotypic data e.g. chromosomal micro or “next generation” cytogenetics, including the use of whole genome sequencing or optical genome mapping, these might be not be able to provide information within 2-3 days of morphologic diagnosis of AML.<sup>11-13</sup>

While most abnormalities would have been picked up by our FISH assay, 30% of cases had cytogenetic abnormalities which would have been missed on a routine MDS panel. In addition, FISH for AML and not MDS is typically ordered for AML; AML FISH panel varies between institutions and may or may not include probes relevant for AML-MRC diagnosis. An extended rapid FISH panel might serve the same purpose as preliminary conventional cytogenetics; however, it might come with additional logistical issues and cost. Considering that up to 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of AMLs are AML-MRCs, a timely diagnosis is crucial to ensure appropriate therapy for this relatively common AML subtype as well as for other AML subtypes. The recent incorporation of Gemtuzumab as frontline induction therapy for CD33+ AMLs, especially Core Binding Factor AMLs i.e., (t(8;21)(q22;q22) or inv(16) (p13q22)/t(16;16) also reinforces the need for rapid cytogenetics.<sup>14,15</sup> This can be achieved via a streamlined workflow in the cytogenetics laboratory and timely communication with the clinical and hematopathology teams. In conclusion, regardless of the technology, which might vary between institutions and between resource-rich and resource-poor countries, the recognition of a need to improve the karyotype turnaround time is of great importance.

#### AUTHORSHIP

AV, MM, KG and KI performed the research and data analysis, PK provided the clinical data and patient details and BS provided the cytogenetic raw data. AV, BS and MM wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.



Conflict of interest: Declaration or None declared

Research funding: Declaration or None declared

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Drs. Tracy George, Robert Hasserjian and Daniel Arber for their expert comments during the preparation of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES

1. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood*. 2016;127(20):2391-2405.
2. Arber DA, Erba HP. Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients With Acute Myeloid Leukemia With Myelodysplasia-Related Changes (AML-MRC). *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2020;154(6):731-741.

3. Diaz-Beya M, Rozman M, Pratcorona M, et al. The prognostic value of multilineage dysplasia in de novo acute myeloid leukemia patients with intermediate-risk cytogenetics is dependent on NPM1 mutational status. *Blood*. 2010;116(26):6147-6148.
4. Bacher U, Schnittger S, Maciejewski K, et al. Multilineage dysplasia does not influence prognosis in CEBPA-mutated AML, supporting the WHO proposal to classify these patients as a unique entity. *Blood*. 2012;119(20):4719-4722.
5. Rozman M, Navarro JT, Arenillas L, et al. Multilineage dysplasia is associated with a poorer prognosis in patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia with intermediate-risk cytogenetics and wild-type NPM1. *Ann Hematol*. 2014;93(10):1695-1703.
6. Ohgami RS, Arber DA. Challenges in Consolidated Reporting of Hematopoietic Neoplasms. *Surg Pathol Clin*. 2013;6(4):795-806.
7. Krauss AC, Gao X, Li L, et al. FDA Approval Summary: (Daunorubicin and Cytarabine) Liposome for Injection for the Treatment of Adults with High-Risk Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2019;25(9):2685-2690.
8. Granfeldt Ostgard LS, Medeiros BC, Sengelov H, et al. Epidemiology and Clinical Significance of Secondary and Therapy-Related Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A National Population-Based Cohort Study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015;33(31):3641-3649.
9. Hulegardh E, Nilsson C, Lazarevic V, et al. Characterization and prognostic features of secondary acute myeloid leukemia in a population-based setting: a report from the Swedish Acute Leukemia Registry. *Am J Hematol*. 2015;90(3):208-214.
10. Mikhail FM, Heerema NA, Rao KW, Burnside RD, Cherry AM, Cooley LD. Section E6.1-6.4 of the ACMG technical standards and guidelines: chromosome studies of neoplastic blood and bone marrow-acquired chromosomal abnormalities. *Genet Med*. 2016;18(6):635-642.
11. Duncavage EJ, Schroeder MC, O'Laughlin M, et al. Genome Sequencing as an Alternative to Cytogenetic Analysis in Myeloid Cancers. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(10):924-935.
12. Mantere T, Neveling K, Pebrel-Richard C, et al. Optical genome mapping enables constitutional chromosomal aberration detection. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2021;108(8):1409-1422.
13. Hasserjian RP. Whole Genome Sequencing Provides Efficient and Comprehensive Genetic Risk Stratification in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndrome. *The Hematologist*. 2021;18(4).
14. Borthakur G, Kantarjian H. Core binding factor acute myelogenous leukemia-2021 treatment algorithm. *Blood cancer journal*. 2021;11(6):1-5.
15. Jen EY, Ko C-W, Lee JE, et al. FDA approval: gemtuzumab ozogamicin for the treatment of adults with newly diagnosed CD33-positive acute myeloid leukemia. *Clinical cancer research*. 2018;24(14):3242-3246.

## FIGURE 1

Figure 1. A) Percentage of cases diagnosed based on morphology, history or MDS associated cytogenetics B) Percentage distribution of MDS associated cytogenetic abnormalities C) Pseudo-pelger huet cell, 1000x D) dysplastic monolobated megakaryocytes

and megakaryocytes with separated nuclear lobes, 1000x E) Dysplastic multinucleated erythroid cell, 1000x



