QUT Digital Repository: http://eprints.qut.edu.au/27316



Furneaux, Craig and Brown, Kerry. *Policy entrepreneurs and policy networks : a new approach.* In : Fourth AGSE International Entrepreneurship Research Exchange, February 6-9 2007, Brisbane, Australia.

The Participants of the CRC for Construction Innovation have delegated authority to the CEO of the CRC to give Participants permission to publish material created by the CRC for Construction Innovation. This delegation is contained in Clause 30 of the Agreement for the Establishment and Operation of the Cooperative Research Centre for Construction Innovation. The CEO of the CRC for Construction Innovation gives permission to the Queensland University of Technology to publish the papers/publications provided in the collection in QUT ePrints provided that the publications are published in full. Icon.Net Pty Ltd retains copyright to the publications. Any other usage is prohibited without the express permission of the CEO of the CRC. The CRC warrants that Icon.Net Pty Ltd holds copyright to all papers/reports/publications produced by the CRC for Construction Innovation.

Fourth AGSE International Entrepreneurship Research Exchange February 6-9 2007 Brisbane Australia

Abstract

Policy entrepreneurs and policy networks: A new approach

Craig Furneaux and Professor Kerry Brown School of Management, Queensland University of Technology

Principal Topic

In recent years governments have become increasingly reliant on networks of informants to provide policy advice. While interest in these sets of relationships amongst policy actors, commonly termed "policy networks" (Considine 1994:104), has risen dramatically over recent years (Börzel 1998), much work still needs to be done theoretically and methodologically in order to demonstrate their utility and significance to policy processes (Considine 1994:127; Milward and Provan 1998: 389; Weible and Sabatier 2005). Policy entrepreneurs are those individual actors in policy networks who are "willing to invest resources of various kinds in hopes of a future return in the form of the policies they favour" (Kingdon, 1984: 151). Hazlehurst (2001) has argued that policy networks are an important and little understood element of Australian political processes, and could enable efficient problem definition and solving if they could be understood.

There are two main approaches to policy networks: quantitative and qualitative. Quantitative approaches to policy networks examine relationships between policy entrepreneurs, most often utilising social network analysis (eg. Milward and Provan (1998). Numerous researchers have criticised quantitative social network approaches to policy network analysis as this approach has failed to demonstrate that networks and network structure affect the outcomes of a policy process (Börzel 1998; Daugiberg & Marsh 1998; Milward & Provan 1998: 266; Peterson 2003). Qualitative approaches, on the other hand, tend to focus on the content of interaction between policy entrepreneurs (who are termed policy actors), most often collecting data via interviews and documents (eg. R.A.W. Rhodes 1999). Qualitative approaches to policy networks have been criticized as they lack a coherent undergirding empirically based theory and fail to demonstrate that a network actually exists (Börzel 1998; Peterson 2003; Weible and Sabatier 2005). Thus qualitative approaches to policy network can show influence by individual actors on policy process, but have failed to demonstrate actual networks; while quantitative approaches have demonstrated the existence of a policy network, but have not been able to demonstrate the influence of these networks on policy outcomes (Peterson 2003). Mixed methods studies have been suggested as a way forward for policy network studies that can demonstrate both the existence of a policy network and the influence of this network on policy (Bogason and Toonen 1998; Börzel 1998; Dowding 2001; Thompson & Pforr 2005).

Methodology/Key Propositions

Key research questions that need to be answered in the area of policy networks are:

o How do networks of policy entrepreneurs influence policy?

The overarching method to answer this question will be an exploratory case study. Case studies provide for in-depth analysis of a particular issue or technology as it impacts an organisation or industry, and can provide strong recommendations for improvements in theory, technology or policy (Yin 2003a). A case study is "a method for learning about a complex instance, based on a comprehensive understanding of that instance obtained by extensive descriptions and analysis of that instance taken as a whole and in its context" (U.S. General Accounting Office 1990, cited in

Fourth AGSE International Entrepreneurship Research Exchange February 6-9 2007 Brisbane Australia

Abstract

Mertens 2005:237). Case studies in the area of policy have been called for as a way of advancing public policy practice (Osborne & Brown 2005).

Yin (2003b) argues that case studies can include multiple sources of evidence, as this enables triangulation of data sources. By utilising both qualitative and quantitative elements to the research process, a 'conversation' is envisaged "one method enables the other to be more effective, and, together both methods provide a fuller understanding of the research problem" (Hesse-Biber & Leavy 2006: 317). If Trow (1957, cited in Bryman 1984, p. 76) is correct in asserting that "the problem under investigation properly dictates the methods of investigation" then a mixed methods design would be appropriate for this case study. This is due to the fact that the paper is seeking to ascertain both network functioning as well as impact on the policy process and both qualitative and quantitative methods are necessary:

- o Quantitative methods (document analysis and social network analysis)
- O Qualitative methods (interviews, observations, document analysis, and reflective journal)

The multi-method design of this paper will enable triangulation of data and method in order to answer the research question (Yin 2003b).

Results and Implications

This paper proposes a new methodology for the analysis of policy networks that utilizes both qualitative and quantitative methods. As a case study, it has been able to demonstrate the utility of a mixed methods design for the study of policy networks. Additionally, it has been able to demonstrate the existence of the network and the impact of this network on policy.

Contact

Craig Furneaux, School of Management, Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434 Brisbane Queensland 4001, Australia. (T) 07 3864 1890, (F) 07 3864 9151, Email: c.furneaux@qut.edu.au.

References

Bogason, P. and Toonen, T.A.J. (1998) "Introduction: Networks in Public Administration" Public Administration Vol 78, pp. 205 – 227.

Borzel, TA (1998) "Organizing Babylon - On the Different Conceptions of Policy Networks" Public Administration, Vol. 76, pp. 253 – 273.

Bryman, A. 1984. The Debate about Quantitative and Qualitative Research: A Question of Method or Epistemology? The British Journal of Sociology Vol. 35(1), p. 75 – 92.

Considine, M (1994) Public Policy: A critical approach. South Melbourne: Macmillan Education Australia.

Daugbjerg, C. and Marsh, D. (1998)"Examining policy outcomes: integrating the policy network approach with macro-level and micro-level analysis" in Marsh, D. Ed. (1998) Comparing policy networks. Buckingham: Open University Press, pp. 52-71.

Dowding, K (2001) "There must be an end to confusion: Policy networks, intellectual fatigue, and the need for political science methods and courses in British Universities" Political Studies, 49(1) pp. 89-105

Hazlehurst, D. (2001) "Networks and policy making: From theory to practice in Australian social policy" Discussion Paper 83, Canberra: Graduate Program in Public Policy, Australian National University.

Hesse-Biber, S.N. & Leavey, P. (2006) The Practice of Qualitative Research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Kingdon, J.W. (1984) Agendas' Alternatives and Public Policies. Boston, MA: Little & Brown.

Mertens, D.M. (2005) research and Evaluation in Education and Psychology: Integrating diversity with Quantitative, Qualitative and mixed methods. 2nd Edition. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Milward, H.B. and Provan, K.G. (1998) "Measuring network structure" Public Administration, 76, pp. 387 – 407.

Osbourne, S.P. and Brown, K.A. (2005) Managing change and innovation in public service organizations. New York: Routledge.

Peterson, J. (2003) "Policy Networks" Political Science Series 90, Vienna: Institute for Advanced Studies. http://www.ihs.ac.at/publications/pol/pw_90.pdf

Rhodes, R.A.W. (1999) Control and Power in Central-Local Government Relations. 2nd edition. Aldershot: Ashgate.

Thompson, G. and Pforr, C. (2005) Policy Networks and Good Governance – A Discussion. Working Paper 2005 – 1. Perth, WA: Curtin University of Technology

Weible, C.M. and Sabatier, P.A. (2005) "Comparing policy networks: Marine park areas in California" Policy Studies Journal, 33(2), pp. 181 - 201. Yin, R.K. (2003a) Applications of Case study research. 2nd edition. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Yin, RK (2003b) Case Study Research: Design and Methods. 3rd Ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage.