

Editorial: Smart dust: Micro and nano scale devices for highly-integrated localized and distributed smart systems for precision and personalized medicine

*Original*

Editorial: Smart dust: Micro and nano scale devices for highly-integrated localized and distributed smart systems for precision and personalized medicine / Motto Ros, Paolo; Demarchi, Danilo; Carrara, Sandro. - In: FRONTIERS IN NEUROBOTICS. - ISSN 1662-5218. - 16:(2022). [10.3389/fnbot.2022.1051124]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2972185 since: 2022-10-10T11:39:10Z

*Publisher:*

Frontiers

*Published*

DOI:10.3389/fnbot.2022.1051124

*Terms of use:*

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

# Editorial “Smart Dust: Micro and Nano Scale Devices for Highly-Integrated Localized and Distributed Smart Systems for Precision and Personalized Medicine”

Paolo Motto Ros<sup>1,\*</sup>, Danilo Demarchi<sup>1</sup> and Sandro Carrara<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy*

<sup>2</sup> *Integrated Circuits Laboratory, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Neuchâtel, Switzerland*

Correspondence\*:  
Paolo Motto Ros  
paolo.mottoros@polito.it

2 Wearable and implantable devices are nowadays a well established research field, with application and  
3 devices out of the medicine field too, possibly part of our daily routines. From a historic perspective,  
4 the paved way is clear: from an overall macro monitoring and diagnostic approach, where only the  
5 effects of underlying processes are captured as a whole or indirectly, to a micro one, where biological  
6 and chemical processes are individually locally monitored (and eventually controlled). To this end, new  
7 bioelectronic devices (biochips) are needed: even if the idea of shrinking down to dust-sized devices has  
8 been conceived more than 20 years ago, the first real device was demonstrated in the body of a mammalian  
9 six years ago only, and many challenges are still there. It is not only a matter of simply reducing size,  
10 performances, figures-of-merit of (some) orders of magnitude while relying on already well-established  
11 designs and solutions, but new co-design paradigms, architectures, electronic circuits, bio-CMOS interfaces,  
12 nanosensors, have to be investigated and developed. However, designing, developing, and fabricating  
13 Smart Dust devices are just the first steps for effectively enabling a precision and personalized medicine  
14 at the micro and nano scale. In these initial (from an application perspective) steps, major focus and  
15 challenges are at the architectural/system/integration level of sensor/stimulation interfaces and circuits,  
16 communication and networking approaches, energy provisioning and management strategies. Broadly  
17 speaking, the emphasis is mostly in maximizing the efficiency, effectiveness, and reliability of the designed  
18 solution into achieving the specific goal, with a “device-centered” perspective (and often in a short-term  
19 timescale) (Carrara, 2021; Motto Ros et al., 2017; Barbruni et al., 2020).

20 Next steps in the development of Smart Dust applications should extend such perspective and take into  
21 consideration the impact on, and the interaction with, the surrounding (in-vitro, ex-vivo, in-vivo) biological  
22 environment (broadly speaking) of the micro and nano scale devices. With the papers published in this  
23 research topic we want to report some steps towards this direction.

24 In the paper “*Magnetically Guided Catheters, Micro-and Nanorobots for Spinal Cord*  
25 *Stimulation*” (Torlakcik et al., 2021) opportunities and challenges of magnetic navigation systems for  
26 steering the tethered devices are investigated in the context of Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS). Starting  
27 from the current techniques in SCS lead placement and seeing magnetically controlled probes as precursors  
28 of untethered magnetic devices, the analysis is directed towards how small-scale devices could overcome  
29 complications and limitations, thus opening the way to improved (or new) standards of practice.

30 Magnetic control of micro-robots is the topic of the paper “*Study on Magnetic Control Systems of*  
31 *Micro-Robots*” (Shao et al., 2021) as well, but here the focus is on remote control systems of micro-robots  
32 in a 3D space with up to 8 degrees-of-freedom at a millimetric level. Pioneering works in this field are  
33 critically reviewed and categorized, in particular highlighting theoretical and practical pros and cons of  
34 stationary electromagnet, permanent magnet, and mobile electromagnet control systems. Finally, a new  
35 system is proposed and further challenges to be addressed in future works are outlined.

36 The paper “*Tracking the Migration of Injectable Microdevices in the Rodent Brain Using a 9.4 T Magnetic*  
37 *Resonance Imaging Scanner*” (Khalifa et al., 2021) then moves a step forward in understanding the long-  
38 term behavior of implanted micro-devices by assessing their spatial stability under chronic conditions.  
39 The devices were precisely injected in six different rodent brain regions. Their position was accurately  
40 verified (although with some limitations) and monitored for up to 17 weeks. Histological analysis was  
41 then carried out to investigate the immunoreactivity around the micro-devices to better understand the  
42 anchoring/migration process. Even if the scar formation could negatively impact on the interface between  
43 the micro-device and the surrounding neural system, results show that only minimal drift occurred, thereby  
44 effectively opening the way for Smart Dust applications locally operating in the long-term.

45 With the same perspective, the paper “*Integrated Micro-Devices for a Lab-in-Organoid Technology*  
46 *Platform: Current Status and Future Perspectives*” (Angotzi et al., 2022) aims at assessing the impact  
47 of an active silicon micro-device (fully working and targeting chronic recordings of neural activity) on  
48 the surrounding neural systems in a Lab-in-Organoid (LIO) context. Major challenges are power-related  
49 aspects; here concerns are focused on the biological effects of an inductive wireless power transfer as well.  
50 The fully-integrated CMOS design, fabrication, and characterization is discussed and then the impact of  
51 sinusoidal RF electromagnetic fields (2–6 GHz, used to power and communicate with the micro-device) is  
52 evaluated by means of immunofluorescence and MTT assay. Results show the feasibility and reliability of  
53 using specific time windows for wirelessly power and reading out data about the biological activity of the  
54 brain organoids, without (very likely) influencing the cellular viability; they overall confirm the feasibility  
55 of the proposed solution, both from an electronic engineering and a biological perspective, making it a  
56 significant step forward in the development of full Smart Dust applications.

## REFERENCES

- 57 Angotzi, G. N., Giantomasi, L., Ribeiro, J. F., Crepaldi, M., Vincenzi, M., Zito, D., et al. (2022). Integrated  
58 micro-devices for a lab-in-organoid technology platform: Current status and future perspectives. *Frontiers*  
59 *in Neuroscience* 16. doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.842265

- 60 Barbruni, G. L., Motto Ros, P., Demarchi, D., Carrara, S., and Ghezzi, D. (2020). Miniaturised wireless  
61 power transfer systems for neurostimulation: A review. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and*  
62 *Systems* 14, 1160–1178. doi:10.1109/TBCAS.2020.3038599
- 63 Carrara, S. (2021). Body dust: Well beyond wearable and implantable sensors. *IEEE Sensors Journal* 21,  
64 12398–12406. doi:10.1109/JSEN.2020.3029432
- 65 Khalifa, A., Weigand-Whittier, J., Farrar, C. T., and Cash, S. (2021). Tracking the migration of injectable  
66 microdevices in the rodent brain using a 9.4 T magnetic resonance imaging scanner. *Frontiers in*  
67 *Neuroscience* 15. doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.738589
- 68 Motto Ros, P., Miccoli, B., Sanginario, A., and Demarchi, D. (2017). Low-power architecture for  
69 integrated CMOS bio-sensing. In *2017 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS)*.  
70 1–4. doi:10.1109/BIOCAS.2017.8325218
- 71 Shao, Y., Fahmy, A., Li, M., Li, C., Zhao, W., and Sienz, J. (2021). Study on magnetic control systems of  
72 micro-robots. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 15. doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.736730
- 73 Torlakcik, H., Sarica, C., Bayer, P., Yamamoto, K., Iorio-Morin, C., Hodaie, M., et al. (2021). Magnetically  
74 guided catheters, micro-and nanorobots for spinal cord stimulation. *Frontiers in Neurobotics* 15.  
75 doi:10.3389/fnbot.2021.749024