Therapeutic Potential of Valproic Acid for Postviral Olfactory Dysfunction: A Single-Arm Pilot Study.

著者	OGAWA Takao, MATSUMOTO Koji, TOJIMA Ichiro, KIKUOKA Hirotaka, HIRA Daiki, SHIMIZU Takeshi
journal or	The Annals of otology, rhinology, and
, publication title	laryngology
page range	34894221111245
year	2022-07-13
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10422/00013381

doi: 10.1177/00034894221111245(https://doi.org/10.1177/00034894221111245)

ABSTRACT

2	Objectives: Although some patients with postviral olfactory dysfunction (PVOD)
3	recover spontaneously, many others are left with the degree of smell loss and
4	there are no established drugs for the treatment of patients with PVOD. Valproic
5	acid (VPA) has been widely used for the treatment of epilepsy. Its potential
6	neuroregenerative effects have been shown via animal studies. This is the first
7	study to treat PVOD patients with VPA. This open-label, single-arm, phase II
8	study was conducted to investigate the effects of VPA in patients with PVOD.
9	Methods: The patients received oral tablets of VPA 200 mg twice a day for 24
10	weeks. In total, 11 patients with PVOD were recruited. Oder scores of
11	recognition and detection threshold (measured with a T&T olfactometer), and
12	visual analog scale were examined during the treatment.
13	Results: All odor scores significantly improved over time. Although the mean
14	duration of olfactory dysfunction in this study was 11.5 months, both odor
15	recognition threshold and odor detection threshold scores significantly improved
16	4 weeks after treatment initiation compared to the pre-treatment threshold
17	scores. The olfactory recovery rates in patients treated with VPA were clearly
18	better than those we previously reported in PVOD patients who received

1	Toki-shakuyaku-san, the traditional treatment in Japan. The olfactory recovery
2	rates of patients with PVOD at 12 weeks and 24 weeks of VPA treatment were
3	both 77.8%, and the olfactory cure rates at 12 weeks and 24 weeks of VPA
4	treatment were 33.3% and 44.4%, respectively. No serious adverse events were
5	observed.
6	Conclusions: VPA seems to be a safe treatment option in patients with PVOD.
7	The effects of VPA treatment for PVOD patients should be studied with a
8	controlled study design in the future.
9	
10	Keywords: postviral; olfactory dysfunction; valproic acid; treatment; recovery

INTRODUCTION

3

Postviral olfactory dysfunction (PVOD) develops after an upper respiratory 4 infection, which is one of the major causes of olfactory dysfunction. Several 5 potential causative viruses including rhinovirus, coronavirus, influenza virus, 6 7 parainfluenza virus have been reported in PVOD patients^{1,2}. It was reported in a 8 recent multicenter study that 85.6% of patients with severe acute respiratory 9 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection develop olfactory dysfunction 10 and about a half of those patients develop persistent olfactory dysfunction³. 11 Because the SARS-CoV-2 infection remains a pandemic, the proportion of patients suffering from olfactory dysfunction may increase. The sense of smell is 12 13 important not only for perceiving the flavors of foods and beverages but also for 14 detecting olfactory cues that could be construed as environmental dangers, such 15 as a leaky cooking gas pipeline, toxic levels of ammonia or sulfur dioxide in the 16 air, or decaying organic matter in the backyard. Therefore, patients with olfactory 17 dysfunction have a markedly impaired quality of life.

Olfactory training is the recommended treatment for PVOD^{4,5}. The efficacy
 of olfactory training, which is safe and non-invasive, has been demonstrated

through randomized controlled trials in patients with PVOD⁶. However, there are 1 2 currently no established drugs proven efficacy in a randomized control trial for the treatment of PVOD^{4,5}. A systematic review revealed that oral and intranasal 3 4 steroids are the most frequent treatment strategies but need to be administered 5 with caution because of the potential risks of steroids. Toki-shakuyaku-san (Tsumura, Tokyo, Japan) and zinc sulfate have been traditionally used for the 6 treatment of PVOD in Japan. However, there is little evidence for the 7 8 effectiveness of these drugs.

9 The pathophysiology of PVOD is not fully understood. Histological analysis of the olfactory epithelium in patients with PVOD showed reduced numbers of 10 11 olfactory receptor cells and nerve bundles⁷, and the degree of degeneration of 12 the olfactory epithelium was correlated with the degree of olfactory dysfunction⁸. These results indicate that failure of regeneration of the olfactory epithelium after 13 14 viral injury could be one potential mechanism for olfactory dysfunction in patients 15 with PVOD. Therefore, treatment strategies for PVOD should focus on the regeneration of surviving olfactory epithelium neurons. 16

Valproic acid (VPA) has been widely used for the treatment of epilepsy.
Recent studies have demonstrated that VPA acts as a histone deacetylase

inhibitor. VPA promotes the differentiation of cultured neural stem cells and 1 neurite outgrowth⁹ and its potential neuroregenerative effects were reported in 2 animal models of spinal cord¹⁰ and optic nerve injury¹¹. Basal cells of the 3 4 olfactory epithelium include neural stem cells, which proliferate and differentiate into mature olfactory sensory neurons and serve to replace neurons lost during 5 injury¹². These results indicate that VPA could be useful in the treatment of 6 7 PVOD. We previously reported that oral VPA administration promotes the regeneration of olfactory sensory neurons in the damaged olfactory 8 9 neuroepithelium of mice¹³. In the present study, we investigated the effects of 10 VPA in patients with PVOD.

11

12

METHODS

13

This single-center, open-label, single-arm, phase II study was conducted from January 2016 to August 2017 on 11 patients with PVOD who were enrolled at Shiga University of Medical Science in Japan. The efficacy and safety of valproic acid in patients with PVOD were assessed. All participants gave written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine at Shiga University of Medical Science (Ethics number
27-67). The trial was performed according to the tenets of the Declaration of
Helsinki. All patients signed informed consent and the study was conducted
according to clinical practice guidelines. This study was registered at University
Hospital Medical Information Network (no. 000019966).

6

7 Patient eligibility

8

9 The inclusion criteria were the following: age from 20 to 65 years at the initiation of the study and diagnosis of PVOD. The exclusion criteria were the following: 10 11 premenopausal female patients; patients taking carbapenem, barbituric acid, 12 phenytoin, carbamazepine, ethosuximide, amitriptyline, clobazam, lamotrigine, benzodiazepine, warfarin, erythromycin, 13 salicylic acid, cimetidine, or 14 clonazepam; patients who had drug hypersensitivity to VPA; patients with severe 15 depression; patients who had attempted suicide; patients with liver dysfunction; patients with renal dysfunction; patients with urea cycle abnormality; patients 16 17 with a history of an encephalopathy or a coma due to an unknown cause;

patients having a family member with urea cycle abnormality; and patients with
 subjective olfactory loss before onset of PVOD.

3

4 Diagnosis

5

18

PVOD was diagnosed by a questionnaire and a clinical examination. 6 7 All the patients were examined by computed tomography (CT) of the sinus, and nasal endoscopy. The diagnostic criteria were as follows: (1) history of upper 8 9 respiratory infection before the olfactory loss; (2) sudden onset of olfactory loss; 10 and (3) no evidence of conductive olfactory loss, such as rhinosinusitis, nasal 11 polyps, mucosal edema of the olfactory fissure, deformation of the nasal septum, 12 or neoplastic lesions, on examination by nasal endoscopy and sinus CT scan. 13 Patients were excluded if they had a history of head trauma. 14 15 Olfactory assessment 16 17 Olfactory function was evaluated using a T&T olfactometer (Daiichi Yakuhin

7

Sangyo Inc., Tokyo, Japan), which is the standard test for measuring the

threshold score of odor detection and recognition in Japan⁴. The normal odor 1 recognition threshold score is 1.0 or less. Patients were diagnosed with anosmia 2 3 when the odor recognition threshold score was 5.6 or greater. According to the 4 criteria proposed by the Japan Rhinology Society, the degree of recovery is 5 classified into four groups based on the odor recognition threshold score after treatment: 1) 'cured,' when the odor score was restored to 2 or less; 2) 6 'improved,' when the score was decreased by ≥ 1 from the pre-treatment score; 7 8 3) 'no change,' when the score remained within 1 point of the pre-treatment score; and 4) 'worsened,' when the score was increased by ≥1 from the 9 pre-treatment score. An assessment of 'cured' or 'improved' was defined as 10 11 recovery. The visual analog scale (VAS) on a 0-100 mm scale (0 = anosmia, 12 100 = normosmia) was also used to assess subjective olfactory function. All tests were administered by otolaryngologists blinded to the patient's treatment. 13

14

15 Study design

16

Before enrollment, all patients underwent a questionnaire interview, regular
physical examination, olfactory assessments, blood test (complete blood count,

1 liver and renal function tests, creatine phosphokinase, amylase, ammonia), and 2 urinalysis. Each patient was instructed to take valproic acid sodium tablets (Depakene-R®; Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Tokyo, Japan), such that 200 mg of VPA 3 4 was administered twice a day (total daily dose, 400 mg) for 24 weeks. Follow-up visits were scheduled at 1, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 weeks after initiation of VPA 5 treatment. Olfactory assessment, blood test, and urinalysis similar to those 6 performed before treatment were conducted at each follow-up visit except at the 7 8 1-week visit. Plasma levels of VPA were also measured at each follow-up visit. 9 The VPA treatment was stopped if any abnormalities on blood test or urinalysis were found, if the olfactory dysfunction was fully resolved, if there were any 10 11 serious adverse effects attributable to VPA use, or if the patient refused to 12 continue treatment for any reason.

The primary endpoint was improvement from baseline in the odor recognition threshold score after treatment with VPA. The secondary endpoints were recovery rate, improvement from baseline in the odor detection threshold score, and the occurrence of adverse events after VPA treatment.

17

18

9

1 Statistical analysis

3	Statistical comparisons between pre- and post-treatment periods were
4	conducted by using univariate generalized estimating equations with adjustment
5	for repeated measurements. Values of P < 0.01 were considered to indicate
6	statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed with R version
7	3.3.1 ¹⁴ . Data are shown as the mean \pm standard deviation.
8	
9	RESULTS
10	
11	Patient characteristics
11 12	Patient characteristics
	Patient characteristics A total of 11 patients (10 female and 1 male) with PVOD were enrolled in the
12	
12 13	A total of 11 patients (10 female and 1 male) with PVOD were enrolled in the
12 13 14	A total of 11 patients (10 female and 1 male) with PVOD were enrolled in the study. The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Their age was 54.9 ± 8.0
12 13 14 15	A total of 11 patients (10 female and 1 male) with PVOD were enrolled in the study. The patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Their age was 54.9 ± 8.0 years. The duration of disease until the first visit was 11.5 ± 13.3 months. The

treated for PVOD with either intranasal steroids (n = 3), TSS (n = 2), or a 1 combination of TSS with zinc sulfate (n = 3). The majority of patients (8/11, 2 3 72.7%) had severe hyposmia or anosmia. Two patients (patient No. 10 and No. 4 11) were withdrawn from the study because of abnormal blood test results (explained in the section "Adverse events") during the treatment period; the 5 6 remaining 9 patients completed the treatment, and their data were included in the analysis. One patient (No. 3) stopped the VPA treatment at the 18-weeks 7 8 follow-up visit because the degree of recovery was assessed to be 'cured'; this 9 patient's data were included in the analysis.

10

11 Olfactory outcomes

12

Fig.1 shows odor scores for each patient at the different timepoints during VPA treatment. The odor recognition threshold scores (P <0.001, 95% confidence interval [CI] -0.13 to -0.05), odor detection threshold scores (P <0.001, 95% confidence interval [CI] -0.11 to -0.05), and the VAS scores (P <0.001, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.35 to 1.35) significantly improved over time. In addition, there was a significant improvement in the odor recognition threshold scores (P

1	<0.001, 95% CI -1.83 to -0.81) and the odor detection threshold scores (P
2	<0.001, 95% confidence interval [CI] -0.11 to -0.05) at 4 weeks after treatment
3	initiation compared to the pre-treatment threshold scores. The olfactory recovery
4	rates of patients with PVOD at 12 weeks and 24 weeks of VPA treatment were
5	both 77.8% (7/9). The olfactory cure rates at 12 weeks and 24 weeks of VPA
6	treatment were 33.3% (3/9) and 44.4% (4/9), respectively. Only 2 patients
7	(patient No. 5 and No. 6) did not reach the criteria for recovery after 24 weeks of
8	treatment with VPA. Patient No. 9 developed parosmia, and the odor recognition
9	threshold score worsened from the 18-weeks follow-up visit to the 24-weeks
10	follow-up visit.

11

12 Adverse events

During the period of VPA treatment, no drug-related serious adverse events were observed in the study participants. Mild daytime sleepiness was reported in patient (patient No. 3). Two patients (patient No. 10 and No. 11) were withdrawn from this study due to blood test abnormalities. In patient No. 10, mild elevation of liver enzymes (glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase [GOT] 57 IU/L,

1 glutamic pyruvic transaminase [GPT] 69 IU/L) was observed at the 4-weeks 2 follow-up visit, which returned to normal levels 4 weeks after stopping the VPA treatment. The plasma level of VPA was within a safe range (47.3 µg/mL) at the 3 4 4-weeks follow-up visit. The odor recognition threshold, odor detection threshold, 5 and VAS at the 4-weeks follow-up visit were 2.2, 0.6, and 42, respectively, and the degree of olfactory recovery was assessed as 'no change.' Patient No. 11 6 had a marked elevation of creatine phosphokinase (100081 IU/L), an elevation 7 of GOT (138 IU/L), GPT (67 IU/L), and lactate dehydrogenase (401 U/L), and 8 9 proteinuria at the 8-weeks follow-up visit. The patient was undergoing high-intensity strength training 1 week before the visit. Seeing the abnormal 10 11 values in blood tests, he was instructed to stop strength training. The blood test 12 results improved within 1 week after stopping the VPA treatment and strength training and returned to normal after 4 weeks. The plasma levels of VPA and 13 14 ammonia were within a safe range (33.9 µg/mL and 53 µg/dL, respectively) at 15 the 8-weeks follow-up visit. It was determined that these abnormalities were induced by strength training, and VPA treatment was identified to be negatively 16 17 associated with the elevation of these enzymes. The odor recognition threshold,

1	odor detection threshold, and VAS at the 8-weeks follow-up visit were 2.0, -0.2,
2	and 42, respectively, and the degree of olfactory recovery was 'cured.'
3	The plasma level of VPA increased to 46.0 \pm 10.1 µg/mL after 1 week of
4	VPA treatment. During the treatment period, the plasma level of VPA was stable
5	within the range of 29.2-69.7 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in each patient.
6	
7	DISCUSSION
8	
9	In the present study, we investigated the effects of VPA in patients with PVOD.
10	VPA treatment significantly improved the odor recognition threshold score over
11	time, resulting in high recovery rates. Furthermore, even though the mean of
12	duration of olfactory dysfunction in this study was 11.5 months, a significant
13	improvement in odor recognition and detection threshold scores was observed
14	within a short period of 4 weeks of VPA treatment. No drug-related serious
15	adverse events were observed. Although this was a single-arm study and had a
16	small sample size, the results suggest that VPA could be useful in PVOD
17	treatment. This is the first study to provide clinical evidence of the benefits of
18	VPA in patients with PVOD.

1	TSS and zinc sulfate have been traditionally used for the treatment of
2	PVOD in Japan ⁴ (Miwa. 2019). TSS is an herbal medicine originally used for
3	patients with fatigue, chronic anemia, and menopausal disorders. TSS was
4	reported to promote the neural regeneration of the olfactory epithelium after
5	methimazole-induced injury in mice ¹⁵ . It was also reported to be more effective in
6	the treatment of PVOD patients than intranasal steroids in case-control studies ¹⁶ .
7	Zinc is essential for cell proliferation and differentiation. Because olfactory
8	sensory cells are continuously regenerated, zinc is thought to be essential for
9	the maintenance of the olfactory function. We previously reported the results of
10	olfactory function testing in 82 PVOD patients (mean age, 56.4 \pm 14.0 years;
11	mean duration of disease until the first visit, 7.4 \pm 11.8 months) treated with TSS
12	and/or zinc sulfate, and the cumulative olfactory recovery and cure rates at 6
13	months after the first visit were 47.3% and 23.6%, respectively ¹⁵ . In the present
14	study treated with VPA, the olfactory recovery and cure rates at 6 months were
15	77.8% and 44.4%, respectively, which are higher than the rates reported in our
16	previous study with TSS and/or zinc sulfate. Although it is difficult to make a
17	direct comparison between our present and previous studies due to the
18	differences in the sample size and the enrolled patients, these results suggest

that VPA treatment is effective for PVOD patients and worthy of further
 investigation with controlled studies.

In previous studies with mean assessment intervals of 3¹⁸, 14¹⁹, and 37 3 4 months²⁰, spontaneous recovery of olfaction was observed in 6%, 32%, and 5 66% of patients with PVOD, respectively. Based on these results, Damm et al. discussed that the degree of spontaneous recovery in PVOD patients may 6 present a linear progression over time⁶. In our present study, despite the mean 7 8 duration of disease being 11.5 months, significant improvements were observed 9 in the odor recognition threshold and odor detection threshold at 4 weeks of VPA 10 treatment. Although this study did not have a control group, these results support 11 the therapeutic effects of VPA in PVOD patients.

In the present study, no recovery in the odor recognition threshold score was observed for 2 patients (patent No. 5 and No. 6). Patient No. 5 had olfactory loss for a long period of 33 months, and patient No. 6 had anosmia (both the odor recognition and detection threshold scores were 5.8). Previous studies revealed that residual olfactory function is an important prognostic factor for PVOD. It may be difficult to restore the olfactory function with VPA treatment

when the olfactory epithelium has lost the capacity to regenerate its neurons due
 to severe damage.

3 The optimal dosage of VPA in treatment of PVOD is not clearly defined. 4 The dose of VPA we used in this study was the lowest dose used for the 5 treatment of epilepsy in adults. In the clinical practice of epilepsy, although 6 controversial, the therapeutic plasma level of VPA ranges 50 to 100 µg/mL with a broad recommended dose range²¹, and serum levels greater than 100 µg/mL 7 can cause hematologic toxicity²². During the treatment period in our study, the 8 9 plasma level of VPA did not exceed 100 µg/mL, and no serious adverse events were observed in our study. However, the occurrence of adverse effects often 10 11 unrelated to the concentration of VPA²¹, and VPA is associated with several 12 potentially serious adverse effects, including liver toxicity, blood, or hepatic disorders, and pancreatitis²³. Therefore, careful observation of the overall 13 14 condition is required when patients with PVOD are being treated with VPA.

15 There are several limitations in our study. Firstly, this study lacked a control group because of its exploratory nature. In our single-arm study, the 16 17 beneficial effects in patients with PVOD remain unclear 18 due to the spontaneous recovery potential seen in patients with PVOD.

Furthermore, practice effects must be considered, which are observed 1 commonly in psychophysical testing. Due to the lack of a control group, we 2 cannot rule out the possibility that the present positive results were affected by 3 4 positive practice effects caused by the shorter measurement intervals of 5 olfactory testing. Secondly, the sample size in this study was small. Therefore, a randomized controlled trial or a comparative trial with a larger sample size is 6 necessary to assess the efficacy and safety of VPA treatment for patients with 7 8 PVOD. Thirdly, diagnosis of PVOD mainly depends on taking the history of 9 olfactory loss after upper respiratory infection from the patient, and it is difficult to prove directly by examinations whether the olfactory dysfunction is indeed 10 11 caused by viral infection. Therefore, it remains difficult to fully distinguish viral 12 from non-viral etiologies. In the present study, nasal endoscopy and CT were performed in all cases to exclude obstructive lesions such as sinusitis and 13 14 olfactory cleft disease, thereby increasing the reliability of the diagnosis that 15 sensorineural dysfunction was the cause of olfactory dysfunction.

A comprehensive medical evaluation should be performed to ensure that the patient can tolerate VPA treatment, and the medication should be administered with caution to patients at risk for liver disease. However, VPA

seems to be a safe treatment option in patients with PVOD. VPA treatment was
well tolerated, and severe adverse events were not observed. Effects of VPA
treatment in PVOD patients observed here are worthy of further investigation
with a controlled study design in the future.

- **FUNDING:** This work was not supported by any funding.
- **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS:** All authors report no conflict of interest.
- 5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank Prof.Hiromu Kutsumi, Dr. Hiroaki Morii and
- 6 Yumie Kawashima for discussion about this study.

2	
3	1. Suzuki M, Saito K, Min WP, et al. Identification of viruses in patients with
4	postviral olfactory dysfunction. Laryngoscope 2007; 117: 272-277.
5	
6	2. Wang JH, Kwon HJ, Jang YJ. Detection of parainfluenza virus 3 in turbinate
7	epithelial cells of postviral olfactory dysfunction patients. Laryngoscope 2007;
8	117: 1445–1449.
9	
10	3. Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, et al. Olfactory and gustatory
11	dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the
12	coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a multicenter European study. Eur Arch
13	Otorhinolaryngol 2021; 277: 2251-2216.
14	
15	4. Miwa T, Ikeda K, Ishibashi T, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the
16	management of olfactory dysfunction: secondary publication. Auris Nasus
17	Larynx 2019; 46: 653–662.
18	

REFERENCES

1	5. Hura N, Xie DX, Choby GW, et al. Treatment of post-viral olfactory
2	dysfunction: an evidence-based review with recommendations. Int Forum
3	Allergy Rhinol 2020; 10: 1065–1086.
4	
5	6. Damm M, Pikart LK, Reimann H, et al. Olfactory training is helpful in
6	postinfectious olfactory loss: a randomized, controlled, multicenter study.
7	Laryngoscope 2014; 124: 826-831.
8	
9	7. Yamagishi M, Fujiwara M, Nakamura H. Olfactory mucosal findings and
10	clinical course in patients with olfactory disorders following upper respiratory
11	viral infection. Rhinology 1994; 32: 113-118.
12	
13	8. Jafek BW, Murrow B, Michaels R, et al. Biopsies of human olfactory
14	epithelium. Chem Senses 2002; 27: 623-628.
15	
16	9. Yuan PX, Huang LD, Jiang YM, et al. The mood stabilizer valproic acid
17	activates mitogen-activated protein kinases and promotes neurite growth. J Biol
18	Chem 2001; 276: 31674–31683.

2	10. Cui SS, Yang CP, Bowen RC, et al. Valproic acid enhances axonal
3	regeneration and recovery of motor function after sciatic nerve axotomy in adult
4	rats. Brain Res 2003; 975: 229-236.
5	
6	11. Biermann J, Grieshaber P, Goebel U, et al. Valproic acid-mediated
7	neuroprotection and regeneration in injured retinal ganglion cells. Invest
8	Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010; 51: 526-534.
9	
10	12. Schwob JE. Neural regeneration and the peripheral olfactory system. Anat
11	Rec 2002; 269: 33-49.
12	
13	13. Ogawa T, Takezawa K, Shimizu S, et al. Valproic acid promotes neural
14	regeneration of olfactory epithelium in adult mice after methimazole-induced
15	damage. Am J Rhinol Allergy 2014; 28: e95-99.
16	
17	14. R Core Team. R: A language and Environnement for Statistical Computing.
18	Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2017.

1 Available at: https://www.r-project.org/index.html.

2	
3	15. Noda T, Shiga H, Yamada K, et al. Effects of Tokishakuyakusan on
4	Regeneration of Murine Olfactory Neurons In Vivo and In Vitro. Chem
5	Senses;2019; 44: 327-338.
6	
7	16. Miwa T. Sensorineural olfactory dysfunction. MB ENT 2010;110;30-35
8	(Japanese).
9	
10	17. Ogawa T, Nakamura K, Yamamoto S, et al. Recovery Over Time and
11	Prognostic Factors in Treated Patients with Post-Infectious Olfactory
12	Dysfunction: A Retrospective Study. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2020; 129:
13	977-982.
14	
15	18. Hummel T, Rissom K, Reden J, et al. Effects of olfactory training in patients
16	with olfactory loss. Laryngoscope 2009; 119: 496-499.

1	19. Reden J, Mueller A, Mueller C, et al. Recovery of olfactory function following
2	closed head injury or infections of the upper respiratory tract. Arch Otolaryngol
3	Head Neck Surg 2006; 132: 265-269.
4	
5	20. Duncan HJ, Seiden AM. Long-term follow-up of olfactory loss secondary to
6	head trauma and upper respiratory tract infection. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck
7	Surg 1995; 121: 1183-1187.
8	
9	21. Chadwick DW. Concentration-Effect Relationships of Valproic Acid. Clinical
10	Pharmacokinetics 1985; 10: 155–163.
11	
12	22. Acharya S, Bussel JB. Hematologic toxicity of sodium valproate. J Pediatr
13	Hematol Oncol 2000; 22: 62-65.
14	
15	23. Nanau RM, Neuman MG. Adverse drug reactions induced by valproic acid.
16	Clin Biochem 2013; 46: 1323-1338.
17	

2 FIGURE LEGEND

4	Table 1. Characteristics of the enrolled patients and treatment outcomes.
5	According to the criteria proposed by the Japan Rhinology Society, the degree
6	of recovery is classified based on the odor recognition threshold score after
7	treatment: 'cured,' when the odor score was restored to 2 or less; 'improved,'
8	when the score was decreased by ≥1 from the pre-treatment score; 'no change,'
9	when the score remained within 1 point of the pre-treatment score; and
10	'worsened,' when the score was increased by ≥1 from the pre-treatment score.
11	
12	Figure 1. Odor scores for each patient at different timepoints during
13	valproic acid (VPA) treatment. A) Odor recognition threshold scores. B)
14	Odor detection threshold scores. C) Visual analog scale (VAS).
15	Bold lines indicate the mean values. There was a significant improvement over
16	time in all olfactory assessments. In addition, there was a significant
17	improvement in the odor recognition threshold and odor detection threshold at 4
18	weeks of treatment with valproic acid compared to the pre-treatment threshold.

	Patient number											
Characteristics	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
Age, years	51	59	49	60	62	57	52	57	63	35	59	
Sex	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	Μ	F	
Duration of disease												
until the first visit,	9	2	6	15	33	3	13	2	2	2	40	
months												
Olfactory score												
Detection threshold												
Before treatment	2.6	3.4	2.2	1.4	1.8	5.8	3.8	2.6	2.4	0.4	1.8	
After treatment	-0.2	-0.2	1	0.2	0.6	3.2	0.6	0.2	1.2	-0.2	0.6	
Recognition threshold												
Before treatment	4.2	5.8	3.2	4	5.4	5.8	5.8	4.8	4.8	4.4	2.2	
After treatment	0.8	2.2	1	1.4	5.2	5.0	2.2	0.6	3.8	2.0	2.2	
VAS, mm												
Before treatment	17	1	39	22	40	0	14	30	8	15	25	
After treatment	54	22	80	28	39	5	32	70	10	42	42	
Week when treatment	24	24	10	24	24	24	24	24	04	0	4	
was stopped	24	24	18	24	24	24	24	24	24	8	4	
Patient outcome	Cured	Improved	Cured	Cured	No	No	Improved	Cured	Improved	Cured	No	
					change	change					change	





