Evolution of macrolide resistance in *Streptococcus pyogenes* over 14 years in an area of central Italy

Raffaela Olivieri,^{1,2}† Matteo Morandi,^{1,3}† Alessandra Zanchi,¹ Giacinta Tordini,¹ Gianni Pozzi,^{1,2} Andrea De Luca^{1,3} and Francesca Montagnani^{1,3}

¹Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Siena, Italy ²Bacteriology Unit, Siena University Hospital, Italy ³University Division of Infectious Diseases, Siena University Hospital, Italy

We evaluated temporal fluctuations in macrolide resistance rates, analysing genetic determinants of resistance and clonal evolution in a population of 2744 S. pyogenes isolates collected in the period 2000-2013. The total resistance rate to erythromycin of the isolates was 17.9 %. A maximum of erythromycin resistance emerged in 2000 (38.6 %), followed by a significant decrease to 5.2 % in 2012 (P<0.0001). Molecular analysis revealed the presence and co-presence of known genetic resistance determinants mefA, mefE, ermTR and ermB, in line with phenotypes. PFGE analysis identified genetically related groups in 2000 and 2007-2008, mainly the MLS and M phenotypes, respectively. The most prevalent emm types among a representative subset of resistant isolates were emm2, emm75 and emm77. All emm2 and 88.2 % of the strains harbouring the emm75 gene were only recorded in M-phenotype strains, whilst all emm77-positive strains had the inducible MLS phenotype. The analysed susceptible isolates showed several emm types partially shared with resistant ones. Our results suggest that changes in bacterial population clonality, rather than horizontal transfer of resistance determinants, plays a major epidemiological role in S. pyogenes. Continuous monitoring of microbiological epidemiology seems to be crucial for correct and effective management of streptococcal infections.

Correspondence Francesca Montagnani francesca.montagnani@unisi.it

Received 12 March 2015 Accepted 26 July 2015

INTRODUCTION

Streptococcus pyogenes is a major human Gram-positive pathogen that causes a wide range of invasive and noninvasive infections, suppurative complications and nonsuppurative sequelae, including acute rheumatic fever and acute glomerulonephritis (Bisno & Stevens, 2007). Its susceptibility to penicillin remains stable, despite many years of exposure; penicillin is therefore the universally recommended treatment for *S. pyogenes* infections. Erythromycin and related antibiotics are the main alternatives in patients with penicillin allergy (Shulman *et al.*, 2012). Despite their limited indication for anti-streptococcal therapy, macrolides have often been employed as empirical therapy for respiratory tract infections due to their broad spectrum and low toxicity, in addition to their favourable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters (Bisno *et al.*, 2002; Horn *et al.*, 1998). However, streptococcal resistance to macrolides and lincosamides emerged and spread worldwide until 2000 (Bingen *et al.*, 2000; Grivea *et al.*, 2006; Pérez-Trallero *et al.*, 2007). Interestingly, recent reports reveal a worldwide decrease in resistance (d'Humières *et al.*, 2012; Huang *et al.*, 2014; Montes *et al.*, 2014).

Macrolide resistance in *S. pyogenes* is caused by two main mechanisms: (i) an efflux system encoded by *mef*-class genes (M phenotype), and (ii) a target site modification encoded by *erm*-class genes (MLS phenotype). The M phenotype is associated with low-level resistance to 14- and 15- membered macrolides, whereas the MLS phenotype is associated with high-level resistance, which may be constitutive (cMLS) or inducible (iMLS) (Seppälä *et al.*, 1998; Sutcliffe *et al.*, 1996).

Understanding the epidemiology of *S. pyogenes* resistance could be useful for correct management of streptococcal infections through appropriate therapeutic approaches (Lamagni *et al.*, 2008), but data on macrolide resistance

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abbreviations: CLSI, Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute; UPGMA, unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean.

One supplementary figure is available with the online Supplementary Material.

rates in different European countries are still lacking. Here, we report an epidemiological and molecular study of macrolide susceptibility patterns of *S. pyogenes* isolates from an area in central Italy over a 14-year period. Our aim was to evaluate temporal fluctuations, genetic determinants and clonal evolution of macrolide resistance of *S. pyogenes* isolated in this period.

METHODS

Bacterial strains. Non-repetitive *S. pyogenes* strains (n=2744) were isolated from paediatric and adult patients at the Infectious Diseases Unit, Department of Medical Biotechnologies, University of Siena, Italy, from January 2000 to December 2013. They were recovered from respiratory, skin, conjuctival, genitourinary and blood sources. Isolates from sterile sites (e.g. blood) were considered invasive. All strains were community acquired, as they were isolated from outpatients or inpatients within 48 h of hospital admission. Bacterial isolation and identification were performed by standard procedures (Ruoff, 2003). Briefly, isolates were identified by colony morphology, β -haemolysis on sheep blood agar and commercial latex agglutination test (Streptococcal Grouping kit; Oxoid). Bacterial strains were preserved in Wilkins–Chalgren broth with 20 % glycerol at -80 °C until further analysis.

Antibiotic susceptibility tests. *In vitro* susceptibility to macrolides and lincosamides was tested in all 2744 isolates. Assays were performed and the results were interpreted according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) indications (CLSI, 2012). Susceptibility to 14- and 16-membered ring macrolides (erythromycin, spiramycin) and to lincosamides (clindamycin) was determined by the Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method on Mueller– Hinton agar with 5 % sheep blood plates (Oxoid/Thermo Scientific). Apart from the CLSI double disk indication, a triple-disk diffusion test was performed to discriminate M, cMLS and iMLS, so as to highlight any heterogeneity of inducible resistance, as described previously (Giovanetti *et al.*, 1999).

Genetic analysis. Erythromycin-resistant bacterial strains were clustered in four main representative sample groups according to resistance percentage fluctuations, including all erythromycin-resistant isolates. These were: (i) the year 2000 (n=76); (ii) 2002–2003 (n=124); (iii) 2007–2008 (n=57) and (iv) 2009 (n=8). The presence of *erm* and *mef* resistance determinants was evaluated by PCR in total clustered isolates (n=265). For technical reasons, it was not possible to obtain PFGE profiles for 54 erythromycin-resistant strains. A sample of 24 susceptible isolates also underwent the same PFGE and PCR analysis.

A previous study found that if two isolates belonged to the same PFGE cluster, they were likely to have the same *emm* sequence type (Carriço *et al.*, 2006). On this basis, we performed *emm* typing on a subset of 29 macrolide-resistant isolates (19 M phenotypes and 10 MLS phenotypes): one isolate representative of each distinct PFGE clone was selected in the case of more than one isolate, and when clones included isolates from different years, one isolate per year was also selected. Four resistant isolates grouped into two clones from 2003 were no longer available at the time of the *emm* typing procedures. For *emm* typing, the same sampling criteria were applied to obtain a representative subset of susceptible PFGE clones. We also added three susceptible isolates that were clonally related to macrolide-resistant ones (total n=14).

Genomic DNA extraction and PCR. Total genomic bacterial DNA employed as template for PCRs was obtained by boiling the bacterial

cells, as described in the CDC protocol (http://www.cdc.gov/streplab/ protocol-emm-type.html). The genomic DNA was immediately used as template for PCRs or stored at -20 °C for subsequent studies. In order to amplify the *erm* and *mef* genes, primer pairs were designed as described previously (Cresti *et al.*, 2002). PCR products were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining.

PFGE. Bacterial DNA was digested with *Cfr*91 (Fermentas), shown in previous studies to act on *Sma* I-resistant DNA (Bacciaglia *et al.*, 2007). Macrorestriction profile analysis was performed using dedicated software (Diversity Database, version 2.2.0; Bio-Rad) that generated unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) dendrograms, applying the band-based Dice similarity coefficient, with optimization and position tolerance settings of 1.0 and 1.5, respectively. The dendrograms revealed a PFGE cluster when a group of isolates had \geq 80 % similarity (Sneath & Sokal, 1973; van Belkum *et al.*, 2007). For epidemiological purposes, clonal relationships between isolates were defined according to Tenover criteria (Tenover *et al.*, 1995): groups of isolates that were (i) genetically undistinguishable when they had the same restriction profile, or (ii) closely genetically related when they differed by fewer than four restriction fragments, were considered clones.

emm typing. *emm* typing was carried out according to CDC guidelines (http://www.cdc.gov/streplab/protocol-emm-type.html). Enzymic extraction of streptococcal genomic DNA was replaced by bacterial boiling in distilled water (Tewodros & Kronvall, 2005) and PCR amplification of the *emm* gene was carried out by the CDC protocol and PCR product sequencing. Sequenced PCR products were then compared with the CDC database to retrieve the *emm* type.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using CDC EpiInfo (http://www.cdc.gov/epiinfo). Categorical variables were compared by a χ^2 test or, when appropriate, Fisher's exact test. A χ^2 test for trend was used to evaluate temporal variations. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Macrolide resistance rates

Out of 2744 strains, 2646 (96.5 %) were isolated from the respiratory tract, mostly from nasal and pharyngeal swabs; 94 (3.4 %) were isolated from skin, conjunctiva or the genitourinary tract; and four strains (0.1 %) were isolated from blood and therefore considered invasive. A total of 491 (17.9 %) erythromycin-resistant isolates were identified in the 14-year collection period. M and MLS phenotypes showed a similar distribution: 238 (8.7 %) and 253 (9.2 %) isolates, respectively.

The year-by-year temporal variations in erythromycin resistance and phenotype percentages are shown in Fig. 1. There was a significant decrease in erythromycin resistance from 38.6 % of the isolates in 2000 to 10.7 % in 2013 (P < 0.0001). The overall decreasing trend was also significant for the single phenotypes (P < 0.0001), but comparison of the years 2000 and 2013 highlighted a significant decrease in MLS isolates (30.4 vs 7.8 %, P < 0.0001) associated with a non-statistically significant decrease in M strains (8.1 vs 2.9 %, P=0.08). Year-by-year analysis of phenotype prevalence revealed significant differences in





Fig. 1. Year-by-year temporal trends (%) of total erythromycin resistance and of the different phenotypes identified in the 2744 isolates of *S. pyogenes*.

the percentages of MLS isolates in the first 3 years of the study: 2000 versus 2001 (30.4 vs 17.2 %, P < 0.0006) and 2001 versus 2002 (17.2 vs 5.9 %, P < 0.0001). By contrast, a significant increase in M phenotype prevalence was only noted between 2008 (12.3 %) and 2007 (6 %, P < 0.023), as well as 2009 (4.4 %, P < 0.009).

To overcome the possible bias of annual blip variations, statistical analysis was performed grouping chemosusceptibility data by year: MLS phenotype percentage trends were in full agreement with the erythromycin resistance trend (Table 1).

Macrolide resistance genotypes

Out of 265 isolates that underwent further genetic analysis, 125 showed an MLS phenotype and 140 an M phenotype. Of the latter, 139 carried the *mefA* gene and one the *mefE* gene. Of the 125 MLS strains, 67 were iMLS and 58 cMLS phenotypes. All iMLS strains were positive for the *ermTR* gene; of these, seven isolates also carried the *ermB* gene, and one was positive for *ermTR* and *mefA*. All cMLS isolates were positive for *ermB*, three of which also carried *ermTR*.

PFGE assays

Genetic relationships between isolates were evaluated by PFGE. All but six isolates were digested by *Cfr9*I; these six showed an M phenotype and were isolated in 2009. Surprisingly, these six isolates were efficiently digested by *Sma*I enzyme. Five isolates showed an identical pattern and the other differed in two bands.

Analysis of PFGE patterns revealed 18 clones among the Mphenotype isolates and 12 clones among the MLS-phenotype isolates. M-phenotype strains were more often genetically related than MLS strains (Figs. 2 and 3). Three major

		Erythro suscej	omycin ptible	Ery	ythromycin re	sistant	M phen	otype		MLS phenot	ype no. (%)	
Years	No. total isolates	No.	%	No.	%	P value*	No. (%)	P value*	cMLS	STWI	Total MLS	<i>P</i> value [*]
2000-2001	487	329	67.6	158	32.4		48 (9.9)		52 (10.7)	58 (11.9)	110 (22.6)	
2002-2004	786	629	80.0	157	20.0	< 0.0001	94 (12.0)	0.29	38 (4.8)	25 (3.2)	63 (8.0)	<0.000
2005-2007	625	527	84.3	98	15.7	0.73	54(8.6)	0.05	27 (4.3)	17 (2.7)	44 (7.0)	0.55
2008-2010	494	442	89.5	52	10.5	0.0003	35 (7.1)	0.40	12 (2.4)	5 (1)	17 (3.4)	0.01
2011-2013	352	326	92.6	26	7.4	0.84	7 (2.0)	0.001	17 (4.8)	2 (0.6)	19 (5.4)	0.22
Total	2744	2253	82.1	491	17.9		238 (8.7)		146(5.3)	107 (3.9)	253 (9.2)	



Fig. 2. Genetic relationships evaluated by PFGE in MLS phenotypes of *S. pyogenes*. Isolates in grey belong to clones subjected to *emm* typing. Clones marked with * were no longer available for *emm* typing analysis.

clones of M-phenotype isolates were observed in 2008, coinciding with the previously described peak; in one of these clones, seven isolates from 2008 were genetically indistinguishable from seven isolates of 2007 (Fig. 4).

Remarkable genetic heterogeneity was found among isolates of the years 2002–2003 (Fig. S1, available in the online Supplementary Material), whereas in 2000 and 2007–2008, clonal groups mainly consisted of MLS and M phenotypes, respectively (Figs. 4 and 5). In particular, some genetically related M strains persisted in the last two years of the study. None of the resistant clones from 2000, 2002 and 2003 persisted during the period 2007–2008.

Susceptible isolates were not usually related to macrolideresistant strains, except for one isolate recovered in 2000, which had an identical PFGE profile to an isolate with an MLS phenotype, and two susceptible isolates recovered in 2002 with a PFGE profile identical to M-phenotype isolates.

emm typing

Overall, nine emm types were detected among the resistant clones. The most frequent emm types were emm2, emm75, emm77, emm12 and emm4, which were all recovered from larger clones (clones D and T of M-phenotype strains and clone H of MLS-phenotype strains) or were more frequent among the isolates analysed. Four different additional emm types (emm1, emm11, emm42 and emm89) were also recovered sporadically. All but two isolates belonging to emm type 75 and all isolates of emm2 and emm4 showed an M phenotype, whereas all emm77 type isolates belonged to the iMLS phenotype subpopulation. By contrast, susceptible isolates showed several emm types, four of which were common to resistant strains (emm1, emm2, emm12 and emm75). The complete genotypic data of isolates that underwent emm typing are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 next to the isolate code.

DISCUSSION

Macrolide resistance has spread in all parts of the world, with wide geographical and temporal variations (Bingen *et al.*, 2000; Cresti *et al.*, 2002; d'Humières *et al.*, 2012; Grivea *et al.*, 2006; Huang *et al.*, 2014; Montes *et al.*, 2014; Pérez-Trallero *et al.*, 2007). The employment of macrolides as empiric anti-streptococcal therapy must therefore rely on basic resistance epidemiology data, which is lacking in European countries. In general, our findings revealed a lower rate of erythromycin resistance in *S. pyogenes* isolates than in previous Italian observations (Cornaglia *et al.*, 1998), with a significant decrease during our 14-year study period. The highest erythromycin resistance rate, detected in the year 2000, matches a previous observation in our area in the 1990s (Cresti *et al.*, 2002). It was, however, followed by a significant decrease.

Our current chemosusceptiblity data are consistent with recent observations in the USA (Villaseñor-Sierra et al., 2012), other European countries (d'Humières et al., 2012; Montes et al., 2014) and recently, Italy (Gherardi et al., 2015). A significant decrease in MLS isolates was observed, from over 30 % in 2000 to 8 % since 2007; moreover, only a few cMLS phenotype isolates were observed each year. Such a low prevalence of isolates with high-level resistance to all classes of macrolides, lincosamides and streptogramin B may be favourable for the empirical treatment of severe diseases, where clindamycin plays a major role (e.g. necrotizing fasciitis and streptococcal toxin shock syndrome) (Bisno & Stevens, 2007). In contrast, we did not observe major variations in the percentages of M-phenotype isolates; apart from sporadic peaks ('blips'), the M phenotype only seemed to contribute in a minor way to the overall erythromycin resistance trend in the study period.

The presence of known genetic resistance determinants was verified in all erythromycin-resistant isolates: ermTR was confirmed to be responsible for the iMLS phenotype (Varaldo et al., 2009); the coexistence of two erm alleles (ermB/ ermTR) was found in 5.6 % of all MLS isolates, although only one was phenotypically expressed, as reported previously in streptococcal and pneumococcal isolates (Cascone et al., 2002; de la Pedrosa et al., 2008; Giovanetti et al., 2012; Silva-Costa et al., 2008). In our study, certain emm types were specifically recovered among erythromycin-resistant isolates, and were associated with different phenotypes: emm75, emm2 and emm4 with an M phenotype and emm77 with an iMLS phenotype. These findings are consistent with previous Italian reports (Creti et al., 2005; Gherardi et al., 2015; Lorino et al., 2006; Zampaloni et al., 2003).

Genetic and environmental factors can contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance: horizontal transfer of resistance determinants and clonal expansion of resistant isolates often act in synergy; in this context, selective pressure caused by the use of different antibiotics can contribute to the phenomenon (Albrich *et al.*, 2004). Although our study lacks data on macrolide use during the study period, a previous report from our area (Montagnani *et al.*, 2009) revealed the absence of a significant correlation between local erythromycin resistance and overall consumption of macrolides but a significant correlation between resistance rates and a long-acting macrolide antibiotic (azithromycin). Hence, clonal analysis is a powerful tool for understanding epidemiological and temporal evolution of antibiotic resistance. In our data, PFGE analysis revealed substantial



Fig. 3. Genetic relationships evaluated by PFGE in M phenotypes of *S. pyogenes*. Isolates in grey belong to clones subjected to *emm* typing.







Fig. 5. Genetic relationships evaluated by PFGE in *S. pyogenes* strains studied in the year 2000. Isolates in grey belong to clones subjected to *emm* typing.

diffusion of genetically related MLS isolates in the first year of the study, when the prevalence of erythromycin resistance was highest; moreover, none of the genetically related resistant strains in 2000–2003 persisted in 2007–2008, when mainly genetically related M-phenotype isolates were found. Interestingly, a prevalence of M over MLS phenotypes was reported recently in European and non-European countries, with a similar decrease in erythromycin resistance rates (Huang *et al.*, 2014; Montes *et al.*, 2014).

Susceptible isolates revealed several *emm* types, partially shared with resistant ones.

According to our data, changes in bacterial population clonality, rather than horizontal transfer of resistance determinants, could play a major role in temporal variations in the prevalence of erythromycin resistance. A few successful clones seemed mainly associated with the spread of resistance in certain years, in line with similar epidemiological reports from other areas of Italy (Gherardi et al., 2015; Zampaloni et al., 2003). Data on macrolide consumption in our area during the study period is lacking, but, as antibiotic selective pressure may contribute to clonal expansion phenomena and long-acting macrolides can have a selective influence (Montagnani et al., 2009), our microbiological observations suggest that changes in specific antibiotic selection pressure at the community level could be the main driver of the observed reduction in macrolide resistance. This encourages the implementation of antibiotic stewardship programme aimed at containment of the worldwide spread of macrolide resistance.

Regarding a possible bias of our study, we clarify that complete microbiological characterization of isolates (e.g. by multilocus sequence typing) was beyond the scope of our research, which was mainly aimed at supporting empirical therapeutic management of streptococcal infections. The observed temporal and geographical variations in chemosusceptibility rates suggested that continuous monitoring of microbiological epidemiology is indeed pivotal for correct and effective clinical management of streptococcal infections.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr Fabio Arena, Dr Claudia Bianco and Dr Ghislaine Laure Nguisseu Chegoua for initial collaboration on microbiological analysis and Dr Francesco Santoro for support in *emm* typing procedures. The authors declare the following conflicts of interest. F. M. has a Research Relationship with Novartis Vaccine and received a speaker's honorarium from Novartis. A. D. L. was a paid consultant or a member of advisory boards for Gilead, ViiV Healthcare, Abbott Virology, Siemens Diagnostics and Janssen, and received a research grant and travel sponsorship from ViiV.

REFERENCES

Albrich, W. C., Monnet, D. L. & Harbarth, S. (2004). Antibiotic selection pressure and resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pyogenes. Emerg Infect Dis* 10, 514–517.

Bacciaglia, A., Brenciani, A., Varaldo, P. E. & Giovanetti, E. (2007). *Sma*I typeability and tetracycline susceptibility and resistance in *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolates with efflux-mediated erythromycin resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **51**, 3042–3043.

Bingen, E., Fitoussi, F., Doit, C., Cohen, R., Tanna, A., George, R., Loukil, C., Brahimi, N., Le Thomas, I. & Deforche, D. (2000). Resistance to macrolides in *Streptococcus pyogenes* in France in pediatric patients. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 44, 1453–1457.

Bisno, A. L. & Stevens, D. L. (2007). Streptococcus pyogenes. In Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, pp. 2593–2610. Edited by G. L. Mandell, J. E. Bennett & R. Dolin. Philadelphia, PA: Churchill Livingstone.

Bisno, A. L., Gerber, M. A., Gwaltney, J. M. Jr, Kaplan, E. L., Schwartz, R. H. & Infectious Diseases Society of America (2002). Practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of group A streptococcal pharyngitis. *Clin Infect Dis* **35**, 113–125.

Carriço, J. A., Silva-Costa, C., Melo-Cristino, J., Pinto, F. R., de Lencastre, H., Almeida, J. S. & Ramirez, M. (2006). Illustration of a common framework for relating multiple typing methods by application to macrolide-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes*. J Clin Microbiol **44**, 2524–2532.

Cascone, C., Santagati, M., Noviello, S., Iannelli, F., Esposito, S., Pozzi, G. & Stefani, S. (2002). Macrolide-resistance genes in clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Microb Drug Resist* 8, 129–132.

CLSI (2012). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testings; 22nd Informational Supplement M100-S22. Wayne, PA: Clinical, Laboratory Standards Institute.

Cornaglia, G., Ligozzi, M., Mazzariol, A., Masala, L., Lo Cascio, G., Orefici, G., Fontana, R. & Italian Surveillance Group for Antimicrobial Resistance (1998). Resistance of *Streptococcus pyogenes* to erythromycin and related antibiotics in Italy. *Clin Infect Dis* 27 (Suppl. 1), S87–S92.

Cresti, S., Lattanzi, M., Zanchi, A., Montagnani, F., Pollini, S., Cellesi, C. & Rossolini, G. M. (2002). Resistance determinants and clonal diversity in group A streptococci collected during a period of increasing macrolide resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **46**, 1816–1822.

Creti, R., Gherardi, G., Imperi, M., von Hunolstein, C., Baldassarri, L., Pataracchia, M., Alfarone, G., Cardona, F., Dicuonzo, G. & Orefici, G. (2005). Association of group A streptococcal *emm* types with virulence traits and macrolide-resistance genes is independent of the source of isolation. *J Med Microbiol* 54, 913–917.

d'Humières, C., Cohen, R., Levy, C., Bidet, P., Thollot, F., Wollner, A. & Bingen, E. (2012). Decline in macrolide-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolates from French children. *Int J Med Microbiol* 302, 300–303.

de la Pedrosa, E. G., Morosini, M. I., van der Linden, M., Ruiz-Garbajosa, P., Galán, J. C., Baquero, F., Reinert, R. R. & Cantón, R. (2008). Polyclonal population structure of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates in Spain carrying *mef* and *mef* plus *erm*(B). *Antimicrob* Agents Chemother 52, 1964–1969.

Gherardi, G., Petrelli, D., Di Luca, M. C., Pimentel de Araujo, F., Bernaschi, P., Repetto, A., Bellesi, J. & Vitali, L. A. (2015). [Epub ahead of print.] doi:10.1007/s10096-015-2414-x 26024763 Decline in macrolide resistance rates among Streptococcus pyogenes causing pharyngitis in children isolated in Italy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.*

Giovanetti, E., Montanari, M. P., Mingoia, M. & Varaldo, P. E. (1999). Phenotypes and genotypes of erythromycin-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains in Italy and heterogeneity of inducibly resistant strains. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **43**, 1935–1940.

Giovanetti, E., Brenciani, A., Tiberi, E., Bacciaglia, A. & Varaldo, P. E. (2012). ICESp2905, the *erm*(TR)-*tet*(O) element of *Streptococcus*

Downloaded from www.microbiologyresearch.org by

On: Tue, 28 May 2019 15:25:48

pyogenes, is formed by two independent integrative and conjugative elements. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **56**, 591–594.

Grivea, I. N., Al-Lahham, A., Katopodis, G. D., Syrogiannopoulos, G. A. & Reinert, R. R. (2006). Resistance to erythromycin and telithromycin in *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolates obtained between 1999 and 2002 from Greek children with tonsillopharyngitis: phenotypic and genotypic analysis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50, 256–261.

Horn, D. L., Zabriskie, J. B., Austrian, R., Cleary, P. P., Ferretti, J. J., Fischetti, V. A., Gotschlich, E., Kaplan, E. L., McCarty, M. & other authors (1998). Why have group A streptococci remained susceptible to penicillin? Report on a symposium. *Clin Infect Dis* 26, 1341–1345.

Huang, C. Y., Lai, J. F., Huang, I. W., Chen, P. C., Wang, H. Y., Shiau, Y. R., Cheng, Y. W., Hsieh, L. Y., Chang, S. C. & others authors (2014). Epidemiology and molecular characterization of macrolide-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* in Taiwan. *J Clin Microbiol* 52, 508–516.

Lamagni, T. L., Darenberg, J., Luca-Harari, B., Siljander, T., Efstratiou, A., Henriques-Normark, B., Vuopio-Varkila, J., Bouvet, A., Creti, R. & other authors (2008). Epidemiology of severe *Streptococcus pyogenes* disease in Europe. *J Clin Microbiol* 46, 2359–2367.

Lorino, G., Gherardi, G., Angeletti, S., De Cesaris, M., Graziano, N., Maringhini, S., Merlino, F., Di Bernardo, F. & Dicuonzo, G. (2006). Molecular characterisation and clonal analysis of group A streptococci causing pharyngitis among paediatric patients in Palermo, Italy. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **12**, 189–192.

Montagnani, F., Stolzuoli, L., Croci, L., Rizzuti, C., Arena, F., Zanchi, A. & Cellesi, C. (2009). Erythromycin resistance in *Streptococcus pyogenes* and macrolide consumption in a central Italian region. *Infection* **37**, 353–357.

Montes, M., Tamayo, E., Mojica, C., García-Arenzana, J. M., Esnal, O. & Pérez-Trallero, E. (2014). What causes decreased erythromycin resistance in *Streptococcus pyogenes*? Dynamics of four clones in a southern European region from 2005 to 2012. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 69, 1474–1482.

Pérez-Trallero, E., Montes, M., Orden, B., Tamayo, E., García-Arenzana, J. M. & Marimón, J. M. (2007). Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolates displaying the MLSB phenotype of macrolide resistance in Spain, 1999 to 2005. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **51**, 1228–1233.

Ruoff, K. (2003). Streptococcus. In Manual of Clinical Microbiology, pp. 405–422. Edited by P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, J. H. Jorgensen, M. A. Pfaller & R. H. Yolken. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology.

Seppälä, H., Skurnik, M., Soini, H., Roberts, M. C. & Huovinen, P. (1998). A novel erythromycin resistance methylase gene (*ermTR*)

in Streptococcus pyogenes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 42, 257–262.

Shulman, S. T., Bisno, A. L., Clegg, H. W., Gerber, M. A., Kaplan, E. L., Lee, G., Martin, J. M. & Van Beneden, C. (2012). Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and management of group A streptococcal pharyngitis: 2012 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 55, 1279–1282 [Erratum: Clin Infect Dis 58, 1496].

Silva-Costa, C., Pinto, F. R., Ramirez, M., Melo-Cristino, J. & Portuguese Surveillance Group for the Study of Respiratory Pathogens (2008). Decrease in macrolide resistance and clonal instability among *Streptococcus pyogenes* in Portugal. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 14, 1152–1159.

Sneath, P. H. A. & Sokal, R. R. (1973). *Numerical Taxonomy: the Principles and Practice of Numerical Classification.* New York: W.H. Freeman.

Sutcliffe, J., Tait-Kamradt, A. & Wondrack, L. (1996). *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* resistant to macrolides but sensitive to clindamycin: a common resistance pattern mediated by an efflux system. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **40**, 1817–1824.

Tenover, F. C., Arbeit, R. D., Goering, R. V., Mickelsen, P. A., Murray, B. E., Persing, D. H. & Swaminathan, B. (1995). Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* 33, 2233–2239.

Tewodros, W. & Kronvall, G. (2005). M protein gene (*emm* type) analysis of group A (-hemolytic streptococci from Ethiopia reveals unique patterns. *J Clin Microbiol* **43**, 4369–4376.

van Belkum, A., Tassios, P. T., Dijkshoorn, L., Haeggman, S., Cookson, B., Fry, N. K., Fussing, V., Green, J., Feil, E. & others authors (2007). Guidelines for the validation and application of typing methods for use in bacterial epidemiology. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 13 (Suppl. 3), 1–46.

Varaldo, P. E., Montanari, M. P. & Giovanetti, E. (2009). Genetic elements responsible for erythromycin resistance in streptococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **53**, 343–353.

Villaseñor-Sierra, A., Katahira, E., Jaramillo-Valdivia, A. N., Barajas-García, M. L., Bryant, A., Morfín-Otero, R., Márquez-Díaz, F., Tinoco, J. C., Sánchez-Corona, J. & Stevens, D. L. (2012). Phenotypes and genotypes of erythromycin-resistant *Streptococcus pyogenes* strains isolated from invasive and non-invasive infections from Mexico and the USA during 1999-2010. *Int J Infect Dis* 16, e178–e181.

Zampaloni, C., Cappelletti, P., Prenna, M., Vitali, L. A. & Ripa, S. (2003). *emm* gene distribution among erythromycin-resistant and - susceptible Italian isolates of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *J Clin Microbiol* 41, 1307–1310.