Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation 00 (2007) 1-10 **IOS Press**

Comparison of blood viscosity using a torsional oscillation viscometer and a rheometer

Valter Travagli^{a,*}, Iacopo Zanardi^a, Letizia Boschi^b, Alessandro Gabbrielli^a, Vita A.M. Mastronuzzi^b, Roberto Cappelli^b and Sandro Forconi^b

^a Dipartimento Farmaco Chimico Tecnologico, Università degli Studi di Siena, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Medicina Interna, Cardiovascolare e Geriatrica, Università degli Studi di Siena,

Italy

Abstract. The absence of a simple and clinically practical method to determine whole blood viscosity can partly justify why the medical community has been slow in realizing the significance of whole blood viscosity. For this reason, the availability of a technique able to evaluate blood viscosity in a rapid and direct manner is welcome. To evaluate the feasibility in hemorheolog-ical laboratory of a new torsional oscillation viscometer, it was compared with a conventional cone-plate system. The viscosity comparison has been related to hematocrit value both on whole blood and suspended blood in a saline solution. The results showed a good repeatability and reproducibility of the new equipment, with a best-fitting data of the hematocrit 0-100% range characterized by coefficient of determinations, $r^2 > 0.95$. Furthermore, a comparison of whole blood viscosity as measured by the two instruments was done on blood samples collected from hospitalized patients. Reasonable agreement for the viscos-ity values was found between the two methods with linear determination coefficients between the two measurement methods comprised between $r^2 = 0.7329$ and 0.9263, depending on shear stress phase and the corresponding shear rate.

Keywords: Blood viscosity, hematocrit, torsional-oscillation viscometer, rheometer

1. Introduction

The role of hemorheology in cardiovascular disease has been considered in the past by several authors, underlining the presence of blood hyperviscosity in acute and chronic disorders [1–4]. An alteration of blood viscosity, due to increase either of hematocrit (polycytemic hyperviscosity) or fibrinogen concen-tration (plasmatic hyperviscosity) or red blood cell rigidity (sclerocytemic hyperviscosity), is commonly considered a condition of high risk for acute or chronic brain ischemia [5-8].

In patients with spontaneous echo contrast (SEC) and atrial fibrillation (AF), we observed alterations of hemorheologic assessment with an increase of whole blood viscosity and fibrinogen that seems to be caused by an increase of red cells aggregability favoured by fibrinogen [9]. Same studies showed that modifications in endothelial function caused by physical stress are associated with a worsening in hemorheological parameters mainly in patients affected by ischaemic vascular diseases: major vascular alterations have been found in patients with very high levels of plasma markers endothelial dysfunction

^cCorresponding author: Valter Travagli, Dipartimento Farmaco Chimico Tecnologico, Università degli Studi di Siena, Via Aldo Moro, 2, 53100 Siena, Italy. Tel.: +39 0577 234317; Fax +39 0577 234333; E-mail: travagli@unisi.it

V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity

[10,11]. Viscosity levels, resulting from blood tests, depend on hematic properties (hematocrit, fibrino-gen, erythrocyte deformability and erythrocyte aggregation) and on the blood test used [12,13]. Despite these aspects, medical community has been slow in realizing the significance of whole blood viscosity [14]. It can be partly attributed to the lack of easy and clinically practical methods of blood viscosity measurements. In most clinical studies, mainly two types of viscometer have been available for these purposes: rotational viscometers and capillary tube viscometer [15,16]. Recently, some studies have been carried out by viscometers, which are based on different measurement techniques: oscillating resonator [17,18] and torsional-balanced oscillation [19,20]. In particular, the purpose of our study is to evaluate the use and feasibility of the latter in a hemorhe-ologic laboratory. For this reason, we compared this type of viscometer with a conventional rheometric cone-plate system, both studying the influence of hematocrit on viscosity changes and evaluating whole blood viscosity of blood samples collected from hospitalized patients. We wish the results of our study to be relevant to the field measurement protocols necessary for accurate use of these instruments, as well as the theoretical and clinical aspects of hemorheology. 2. Materials and methods 2.1. Torsional-oscillation viscometer Viscomate VM-10AL (CBC Europe Ltd.). It is a torsional-oscillation viscometer characterized by constant shear stress systems driven by a piezoelectric ceramic source (Fig. 1). This instrument measures viscosity by sensing a change in oscillation amplitude of a liquid-immersed detector, based on constant input voltage. An original phase locked loop circuit maintains instrument resonant frequency of 1 kHz; the detector oscillation amplitude with no resistance is 1 µm. Angular acceleration of the detector is Torsion bar Actuator Piezo Sensor Piezo Inertia Mass Fig. 1. Torsional oscillation viscometer - Viscomate VM-10AL (CBC Europe Ltd.).

PC connection through a RS-232 port.

V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity

measured and reported as dynamic viscosity with a declared range of 0.400–1000 mPa s and a precision equal to $\pm 5\%$. The probe dimension was 9 mm with respect to the diameter.

All the determinations were conducted in polystyrene Technicon[®] sample cups (Kartell, nominal ca-pacity 2 ml). In the case of stirring, PTFE micro magnetic stirring bars $(3 \times 3 \text{ mm})$ were adopted. A sample volume of 1.5 ml was used to determine blood viscosities. Temperature control was accurately monitored during the experiments $(37.0 \pm 0.2^{\circ}C)$ by means of the sample cups into a water bath jacket (incubation time: 5 min). Viscosity values were recorded for six minutes (data collection every 5 s) by

2.2. Rheometer

AR500 Rheometer (TA Instruments, Inc.). Cone-plate geometry (acrylic material, diameter 60 mm; cone angle 4°). The rheometer was previously calibrated with the viscosity standards. Viscosities values according to two steps, first the ascending shear stress phase (upward curve) and second the descending shear stress phase (downward curve) were obtained by TA Advantage software. In detail, after an initial equilibration phase, a linear continuous ramp with a starting shear stress of 0.02 Pa and an ending shear stress of 0.2 Pa was adopted for the upward step with a number of shear rate sample points equal to 90 for a duration of 1 min 30 s. At this point, the downward step was performed with the same modality (ending shear stress = 0.02 Pa; shear rate sample points = 90; duration = $1 \min 30$ s).

4 ml of blood were used to determine blood viscosity. Temperature control was accurately monitored during the experiments $(37.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ}C)$ by a Peltier plate. No prevention loss of water due to evapora-tion was adopted. To exclude differences in personal style of using the instrument, measurements were always conducted by the same operator.

2.3. Blood collection

As far as blood viscosity determination as a function of hematocrit (Hct), blood samples of 150 ml were taken in the morning from two healthy, non-smoker, male blood donors, actually the authors (V.T., I.Z.). These samples were physiological and similar in terms of RBC count, fibrinogen level and other common hematological parameters. As far as instrument comparison, blood samples from informed hospitalized patients (n = 70; age > 60 y) were used. In all cases, blood using a 20 or 21 G needle with limited occlusion of the arm by the tourniquet was drawn. The blood was added to the EDTA anticoagulant (final concentration: 1.35 mg/ml), collection tubes with anticoagulant were gently inverted as soon after collection as possible to prevent clotting.

2.4. Sample preparations

To analyse the influence of hematocrit (Hct) on whole blood viscosity (η_{whole}), blood was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature. Stock suspensions with a Hct of 0% and 100% were prepared by either adding or removing a calculated volume of plasma. To analyse the influence of fibrino-gen and other non-cellular components on whole blood viscosity (η_{washed}) [21], blood was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes at room temperature to sediment red blood cells (RBCs), which were collected by discarding the supernatant. RBCs were then washed with saline solution four times to remove sub-stances attached to the cell surface such as proteins, protein conjugate, polynucleotides, cell fragments, and small molecules. Then, RBCs were resuspended in saline solution to achieve a cell concentration range within 0–100%.

V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity

Hct determination and cellular concentration were obtained for each sample. Samples were not fully oxygenated when the Hct value was determined. З З 2.5. Mathematical fitting and statistical analysis In the case of VM-10AL, the formula used to describe the dependence of viscosity on Hct and cell suspension is indicated in Eq. (1) $\eta = \eta_{\rm ref} \cdot e^{\mathbf{A} \cdot x},$ (1)where η_{ref} represents either the plasma or the saline solution viscosity (37°C), as reported in the literature [22,23], A is a coefficient [24] and x represents the relative Hct values, expressed as percent.

In the case of AR500, Eq. (2) was adopted $\eta = \eta_{ref} \cdot e^{A \cdot x} + B,$

where B coefficient was also enclosed for taking into account shear rate and cellular volume fraction effects. For completeness' sake, B represents a simplified but useful correction factor, based on the overall theoretical consideration [25] overwhelming the aim of the present application study. Calculation was performed until we obtain the best fitting of the experimental points (as evaluated by coefficient of determination, r^2). Instat 3.0 and Prism 4.0, GraphPAD Software Inc., San Diego, CA were used. As far as instrument comparison is concerned, whole blood viscosity values of hospitalized patients (n = 70) were determined and linear determination coefficients were evaluated, according to Eq. (3).

$$\eta_{\text{VM-10AL}} = m \cdot \eta_{\text{AR500}} + q. \tag{3}$$

3. Results

The results of the blood viscosity measurements *vs*. Het as obtained by VM-10AL are shown in Fig. 2. To evaluate the influence, among other factors, of proteins and fibrinogen with respect to the viscosity,





(2)



44

45

46



In Figs 2–4, the lines are the best fitting curves obtained by using Eq. (2). The obtained coefficients of the best fitting parameters are reported in Tables 2 and 3 for whole blood and RBCs resuspended, respectively. For these analysis the value of plasma viscosity, $\eta_{ref} = 1.6$, and the value of saline solution viscosity, $\eta_{ref} = 0.7$, were adopted [22,23].

Hct	range	AR500								
	C	Upward curve (s ⁻¹)								
		8	10	15	18	20	25	30	35	40
Who	ole blood	0–74	0–69	0–59	0–54	0-54	0-47	0-40	0-40	0-27
RBC	Cs resuspended	0–57	0–57	0–57	0–57	0–57	0–57	0–57	0–50	0-43
		Downward curve (s^{-1})								
		40	35	30	25	20	0	18	15	10
Who	ole blood	0–27	0–40	0–40	10–54	14-	-54	14–59	20–59	27-59
RBC	Cs resuspended	0-43	0-50	0-57	13-57	31-	-57	31–57	31-57	43-57
Coe: resp	fficients for describi	ng the depen g to Eq. (2)	dence of wh	T ole blood v	Table 2 iscosity (η_w	_{hole}), as ol	btained by	v both VM-10	OAL and AR	.500, with
Coe resp	fficients for describi bect to Hct, accordin VM-10AL	ng the depen g to Eq. (2)	dence of wh	T ole blood v	Table 2 iscosity (η_{w}	hole), as ol AR500	btained by	v both VM-10	OAL and AR	2500, with
Coe resp	fficients for describi tect to Hct, accordin VM-10AL	ng the depen g to Eq. (2)	dence of wh	ן ole blood v	Table 2 iscosity (η_w Upw:	hole), as ol AR500 ard curve (btained by (s^{-1})	v both VM-10	OAL and AR	.500, with
Coe resp	fficients for describi bect to Hct, accordin VM-10AL	ng the depen g to Eq. (2)	dence of wh	T ole blood v 15	Table 2 iscosity (η _w Upw 18	hole), as ol AR500 ard curve (20	btained by $\frac{(s^{-1})}{25}$	2 both VM-10	OAL and AR	40
Coe resp	fficients for describitect to Hct, according VM-10AL	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) 	dence of wh	1 ole blood v 15 0.030	Fable 2 iscosity (η _w Upw: 18 0.029	hole), as ol AR500 ard curve (20 0.029	btained by $\frac{(s^{-1})}{25}$ 0.028	2 both VM-10 30 0.026	0AL and AR 35 0.024	40 0.025
Coe resp A B	fficients for describitect to Hct, according VM-10AL	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) ${8}$ 0.034 3.47	10 0.033 2.78	15 0.030 2.18	Upw: 18 0.029 1.96	AR500 ard curve (20 0.029 1.83	btained by (s^{-1}) 25 0.028 1.64	2 both VM-10 30 0.026 1.46	0AL and AR 35 0.024 1.44	40 0.025 1.33
Coe resp \overline{A} B r^2	fficients for describi ect to Hct, accordin VM-10AL 0.028 (0.026)* - 0.9561 (0.9724)*	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) 8 0.034 3.47 0.9851	10 0.033 2.78 0.9858	T ole blood v 15 0.030 2.18 0.9832	Fable 2 iscosity (η _w Upw 18 0.029 1.96 0.9836	hole), as of AR500 ard curve (20 0.029 1.83 0.9864	btained by (s^{-1}) 25 0.028 1.64 0.9829	2 both VM-10 30 0.026 1.46 9 0.9747	DAL and AR 35 0.024 1.44 0.9799	40 0.025 1.33 0.9646
$\frac{\text{Coe}}{\text{resp}}$	fficients for describi ect to Hct, accordin, VM-10AL 0.028 (0.026)* - 0.9561 (0.9724)*	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) 8 0.034 3.47 0.9851	10 0.033 2.78 0.9858	T ole blood v 15 0.030 2.18 0.9832	Fable 2 iscosity (η _w Upw 18 0.029 1.96 0.9836 Down	AR500 ard curve (20 0.029 1.83 0.9864 ward curve	btained by (s^{-1}) 25 0.028 1.64 0.9829 $e(s^{-1})$	30 0.026 1.46 9 0.9747	0AL and AR 35 0.024 1.44 0.9799	40 0.025 1.33 0.9646
$\frac{\text{Coe}}{\text{resp}}$	fficients for describi ect to Hct, accordin VM-10AL 0.028 (0.026)* - 0.9561 (0.9724)*	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) 8 0.034 3.47 0.9851 40	10 0.033 2.78 0.9858 35	15 0.030 2.18 0.9832 30	Lable 2 iscosity (ηw Upw: 18 0.029 1.96 0.9836 Down 2:	hole), as of AR500 ard curve (20 0.029 1.83 0.9864 ward curve	btained by (s^{-1}) 25 0.028 1.64 0.9829 $e (s^{-1})$ 20	2 both VM-10 30 0.026 1.46 0.9747 18	0AL and AR 35 0.024 1.44 0.9799 15	40 0.025 1.33 0.9646
$\frac{\text{Coe:}}{\text{resp}}$	efficients for describing volume to Hct, according VM-10AL 0.028 (0.026)* - 0.9561 (0.9724)* 0.028 (0.026)*	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) 8 0.034 3.47 0.9851 40 0.031	10 0.033 2.78 0.9858 35 0.031	15 0.030 2.18 0.9832 30 0.03	Table 2 iscosity (η_w Upwa 18 0.029 1.96 0.9836 Down 2: 1 0.0	AR500 ard curve (20 0.029 1.83 0.9864 ward curve 5 32	btained by (s^{-1}) 25 0.028 1.64 0.9829 $e (s^{-1})$ 20 0.033	2 both VM-10 30 0.026 1.46 9 0.9747 18 0.034	0AL and AR 35 0.024 1.44 0.9799 15 0.034	40 0.025 1.33 0.9646 10 0.034
$ \frac{\text{Coe}}{\text{resp}} $ $ \frac{\overline{\text{A}}}{R} $ $ \frac{\overline{\text{A}}}{R} $ $ \frac{\overline{\text{B}}}{R} $	fficients for describinet to Hct, according VM-10AL 0.028 (0.026)* - 0.9561 (0.9724)* 0.028 (0.026)* -	ng the depen g to Eq. (2) 8 0.034 3.47 0.9851 40 0.031 -0.16	10 0.033 2.78 0.9858 35 0.031 -0.27	15 0.030 2.18 0.9832 30 0.03 -0.41	Upw 18 0.029 1.96 0.9836 Down 2: 1 0.0 -0.5	AR500 AR500 ard curve (20 0.029 1.83 0.9864 ward curve 5 32 (5 -(5 -(btained by (s^{-1}) 25 0.028 1.64 0.9829 $e(s^{-1})$ 20 0.033 0.82	30 0.026 1.46 9 0.9747 18 0.034 -0.88	DAL and AR 35 0.024 1.44 0.9799 15 0.034 -0.91	40 0.025 1.33 0.9646 10 0.034 -0.51

V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity

Values inside the parentheses are referred to the use of the experimental viscosity values instead of η_{ref} , as obtained by VM-10AL. We indicated such viscosity values as η_0 (i.e. the viscosity of the supernatant after centrifugation of the whole blood and the viscosity of the supernatant saline after centrifugation of the last washed blood). In detail, for whole blood and RBCs resuspended, $\eta_0 = 1.92$ and $\eta_0 = 1.18$ were adopted, respectively.

The comparison between the Hct-viscosity trends, as obtained by the two instruments, shows that the responses are satisfactorily similar. This aspect leads us to deepen the application of VM-10AL viscometer in an *ex vivo* study, where whole blood viscosity values of hospitalized patients were determined by means of the two instruments. Figures 5 and 6 describe the linear correlation between viscometer and rheometer measurements at two different shear rates (upward step, 20 s^{-1} ; downward step, 25 s^{-1} , respectively).

In Table 4, results from linear fits of data to Eq. (3) for viscosity measurements as obtained by the two different techniques were reported. Furthermore, looking at the data on upward–downward steps, we also see that the two instruments demonstrated similar trends with each other, with the best value of r^2 equal to 0.9263 for the downward step, shear rate 10 s⁻¹.

V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity

	VM-10AL					AR500				
		Upward curve (s^{-1})								
		8	10	15	18	20	25	30	35	40
4	$0.028 (0.028)^{*}$	0.044	0.040	0.038	0.039	0.037	0.036	0.033	0.032	0.030
3	_	3.72	3.40	2.64	2.24	2.21	1.98	2.017	1.870	1.855
r^2	0.9902 (0.9911)*	0.8994	0.9180	0.9560	0.9379	0.9756	0.9793	0.9597	0.9449	0.9600
					Downw	ard curve	(s ⁻¹)			
		40	35	30	25	2	20	18	15	10
1	$0.028 (0.028)^*$	0.036	0.037	0.038	0.040	0.	041 (0.041	0.043	0.045
В	_	0.24	0.06	-0.14	-0.50	-0.	34 —	1.02	-1.36	-2.13
r^2	0.9902 (0.9911)*	0.9690	0.9735	0.9902	0.993	1 0.	9903 ().9880	0.9846	0.955
		ssity measurement VM10-AL [mPa·]		Ç.*				/		
			· · · · · ·	· · · · · ·	 5 6		1 ' I ' 8 9			
		Ū	· · · · · · Vi	iscosity meas	urement AR5	500 [mPa·s]			

Fig. 5. Correlation between the viscosity data by VM-10AL and AR500 (upward step: 20 s^{-1}). The best-fit line was calculated using ordinary least squares regression, and the resulting line is shown in the graph.

³⁴₃₅ **4. Discussion**

Differences between the two instruments in terms of blood viscosity determination vs. Hct may be attributable to several factors, first of all the mechanical solicitation in the case of AR500 rheometer. Assuming a disentanglement process would lead to a dependence of the viscosity due to adopted exper-imental protocol. Such a phenomenon is well evidenced at the level of the downward step, where the reversible RBC aggregation phenomenon disappears, leading to a broadening of the shear rate values and ultimately to a reduction of the Hct range within the selected shear rate limits [20]. The convergence to a Newtonian behaviour at high shear rates indicates the predominance of the oriented, deformed and disaggregated RBCs in the direction of the flow. At this situation, RBC roleaux includes not only the ac-tual volume of the individual globule itself, but also the volume of plasma or saline immobilised within the closed aggregate [26].

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

	.]				
			•	_ ■	
			• 5 [°] /	•/	
	- ⁷ -				
	-0 10	_		•	
		· •			
	Jent	• *			
	÷ ₀ 1				
	0 1	2 3 4	5 6 7	8 9	
		Viscosity measurem	ent AR500 [mPa·s]		
Fig. 6. Correlat	ion between the viscosity data b	y VM-10AL and A	R500 (downward ste	$cp: 25 s^{-1}$). The best-fit lin	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	ion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line	R500 (downward ste is shown in the grap	ep: 25 s ^{-1}). The best-fit lin h.	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	ion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line	R500 (downward ste is shown in the grap	ep: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h.	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord:	ion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4	R500 (downward ste is shown in the grap	ep: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h.	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	ion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coe	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determi	R500 (downward ste is shown in the grap nation for viscosity 1	ep: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit linh. h. measurements	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	ion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coe	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determi Upward cu	R500 (downward ste is shown in the grap nation for viscosity i urve	ep: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. measurements	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coordinate $$ Shear rate (s ⁻¹)	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determi Upward cu m	R500 (downward ste is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q	r^{25} s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h.	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coordinate $\overline{\frac{\text{Shear rate } (s^{-1})}{8}}$	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determi Upward cu m 0.479	R500 (downward step is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q 0.298	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. <u>measurements</u> r^2 0.7329	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coe Shear rate (s ⁻¹) 8 10	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determi Upward cu <u>m</u> 0.479 0.596	R500 (downward ste is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q 0.298 -0.377	p: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. <u>measurements</u> r^2 0.7329 0.8374	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coe Shear rate (s ⁻¹) 8 10 15	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determi Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823	R500 (downward ste is shown in the graph nation for viscosity in irve $\frac{q}{0.298}$ -0.377 -1.043	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. $\frac{measurements}{\hline \hline \\ 0.7329} \\ 0.8374 \\ 0.8247$	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coordinate the second sec	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determine Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873	R500 (downward ste is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q 0.298 -0.377 -1.043 -0.979	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. $\frac{measurements}{\hline \hline \\ 0.7329} \\ 0.8374 \\ 0.8247 \\ 0.8571 \\ \hline \end{bmatrix}$	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and coordinate (s ⁻¹) 8 10 15 18 20	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determine Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve $\frac{q}{0.298}$ -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. $\frac{r^2}{0.7329}$ 0.8374 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, and Fit parameters and coordinate $\frac{1}{8}$ 10 15 18 20 25	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determin Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1,118	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r $\frac{q}{0.298}$ -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. <u>measurements</u> r^2 0.7329 0.8374 0.8247 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, and Fit parameters and coordinate $\frac{1}{8}$ 10 15 18 20 25	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determin Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1.118 Downward o	R500 (downward step is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q 0.298 -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715 curve	p: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. <u>measurements</u> r^2 0.7329 0.8374 0.8247 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, an Fit parameters and con Shear rate (s^{-1}) 8 10 15 18 20 25 Shear rate (s^{-1})	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determine Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1.118 Downward of m	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q 0.298 -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715 curve q	p: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. <u>measurements</u> r^2 0.7329 0.8374 0.8247 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619 r^2	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, and Fit parameters and coordinate (s ⁻¹) 8 10 15 18 20 25 Shear rate (s ⁻¹) 25	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determine Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1.118 Downward of m 0.873	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve $\frac{q}{0.298}$ -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715 curve $\frac{q}{1.094}$	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. $\frac{r^2}{0.7329}$ 0.8374 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619 $\frac{r^2}{0.8904}$	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, and Fit parameters and coordinate (s ⁻¹) 8 10 15 18 20 25 Shear rate (s ⁻¹) 25 20	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determin Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1.118 Downward of m 0.873 0.819	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r q 0.298 -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715 curve q 1.094 0.920	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. measurements r^2 0.7329 0.8374 0.8247 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619 r^2 0.8904 0.8865	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, and Fit parameters and coordinate $\frac{\text{Shear rate (s}^{-1})}{8}$ 10 15 18 20 25 Shear rate (s}^{-1}) 25 20 18	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determin Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1.118 Downward of m 0.873 0.819 0.811	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r $\frac{q}{0.298}$ -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715 curve $\frac{q}{1.094}$ 0.920 1.116	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. measurements r^2 0.7329 0.8374 0.8247 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619 r^2 0.8904 0.8865 0.8910	e was calcu-
Fig. 6. Correlat lated using ord	tion between the viscosity data b inary least squares regression, and Fit parameters and coordinate $\frac{\text{Shear rate (s}^{-1})}{8}$ 10 15 18 20 25 Shear rate (s}^{-1}) 25 20 18 15	y VM-10AL and A d the resulting line Table 4 efficients of determin Upward cu m 0.479 0.596 0.823 0.873 0.996 1.118 Downward of m 0.873 0.819 0.811 0.755	R500 (downward ster is shown in the graph nation for viscosity r rve q 0.298 -0.377 -1.043 -0.979 -1.533 -1.715 curve q 1.094 0.920 1.116 1.338	pp: 25 s ⁻¹). The best-fit lin h. measurements r^2 0.7329 0.8374 0.8247 0.8247 0.8571 0.8793 0.8619 r^2 0.8904 0.8865 0.8910 0.8795	e was calcu-

As far as clinical sites are concerned, it is important that values obtained by new methods to be close to the target values. Although methods based on different operative principles produced different values, our comparison of *ex vivo* blood viscosity values shows that reasonably good agreement exists between the two techniques, resulting in linear coefficients of determination better than 0.73 (see Table 4). In a similar manner, the variability of the *q* terms may suggest that part of the variations associated with the two types of measurements could be related to the rheological behaviour under different shear-stress conditions for what AR500 determinations are concerned.

In conclusion, this paper reports comparison measurements of the viscosity of blood samples using
 two different techniques, with the aim to determine whether we were able to obtain comparable viscosity
 values. The viscometer based on piezoelectric torsional oscillation technology appears to be a suitable
 clinical measurement method able to overlay the limitations of the existing techniques, like complex-

9 V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity 1 ity and skilful operator necessity. In terms of standardization for hemorheological measurement, the 1 2 2 accuracy criterion based on appropriate reference methods is under development. З 3 4 4 Acknowledgement 5 5 6 6 CBC Europe Ltd. is gratefully acknowledged for providing the VM-10AL viscometer. 7 7 8 8 9 9 References 10 10 [1] R.S. Rosenson, Viscosity and ischemic heart disease, J. Vasc. Med. Biol. 4 (1993), 206–212. 11 11 G.D. Lowe, A.J. Lee, A. Rumley, J.F. Price and F.G. Fowkes, Blood viscosity and risk of cardiovascular events: the 12 12 Edinburgh Artery Study, Br. J. Haematol. 96 (1997), 168-173. 13 [3] Y. Goldin, T. Tulshinski, Y. Arbel, O. Rogowski, R.B. Ami, J. Serov, P. Halperin, I. Shapira and S. Berliner, Rheological 13 consequences of acute infections: the rheodifference between viral and bacterial infections, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 36 14 14 (2007), 111-119.15 15 [4] A. Marcinkowska-Gapinska and P. Kowal, Blood fluidity and thermography in patients with diabetes mellitus and coronary 16 artery disease in comparison to healthy subjects, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 35 (2006), 473-479. 16 [5] B.M. Coull, N. Beamer, P. de Garmo, G. Sexton, F. Nordt, R. Knox and G.V.F. Seaman, Chronic blood hyperviscosity in 17 17 subjects with acute stroke, transient ischemic attack, and risk factors for stroke, *Stroke* 22 (1991), 162–168. 18 18 N. Bolokadze, I. Lobjanidze, N. Momtselidze, R. Shakarishvili and G. Mchedlishvili, Comparison of erythrocyte aggre-19 19 gability changes during ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 35 (2006), 265-267. [7] N. Momtselidze, M. Mantskava and G. Mchedlishvili, Hemorheological disorders during ischemic brain infarcts in pa-20 20 tients with and without diabetes mellitus, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 35 (2006), 261-264. 21 21 [8] I. Velcheva, N. Antonova, V. Dimitrova, N. Dimitrov and I. Ivanov, Plasma lipids and blood viscosity in patients with 22 22 cerebrovascular disease, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 35 (2006), 155-157. [9] S. Forconi, V. Turchetti, R. Cappelli, M. Guerrini and M. Bicchi, Haemorheological disturbances and possibility of their 23 23 correction in cerebrovascular diseases, J. Mal. Vasc. 24 (1999), 110-116. 24 24 [10] V. Turchetti, M.A. Bellini, D. Ricci, A. Lapi, G. Donati, L. Boschi, L. Trabalzini, M. Guerrini and S. Forconi, Sponta-25 25 neous echo-contrast as in vivo indicator of rheological imbalance in dilatative cardiomyopaty, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 26 26 25 (2001), 119–125. [11] V. Turchetti, L. Boschi, G. Donati, G. Borgogni, D. Coppola, S. Dragoni, M.A. Bellini, S. Sicuro, V.M. Mastronuzzi and 27 27 S. Forconi, Endothelium and hemorheology, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 30 (2004), 289–295. 28 28 [12] S. Forconi, From hyperviscosity to endothelial dysfunction: a return trip?, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 30 (2004), 155–165. 29 29 [13] E. Cecchi, R. Marcucci, D. Poli, E. Antonucci, R. Abbate, G.F. Gensini, D. Prisco and L. Mannini, Hyperviscosity as a possible risk factor for cerebral ischemic complications in atrial fibrillation patients, Am. J. Cardiol. 97 (2006), 1745–1748. 30 30 [14] J.A. Dormandy, Clinical significance of blood viscosity, Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl. 47 (1970), 211–228. 31 31 [15] S. Wang, A.H. Boss, K.R. Kensey and R.S. Rosenson, Variations of whole blood viscosity using Rheolog[™] – a new 32 32 scanning capillary viscometer, Clin. Chim. Acta 332 (2003), 79-82. 33 33 [16] B. Holdt, J.K. Lehmann and P. Schuff-Werner, Comparative evaluation of two newly developed devices for capillary viscometry, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 33 (2005), 379-387. 34 34 [17] K. Häusler, W.H. Reinhart, P. Schaller, J. Dual, J. Goodbread and M. Sayir, A newly designed oscillating viscometer for 35 35 blood viscosity measurements, Biorheology 33 (1996), 397-404. 36 36 [18] M. Mark, K. Häusler, J. Dual and W.H. Reinhart, Oscillating viscometer – Evaluation of a new bedside test, Biorheology 43 (2006), 133-146. 37 37 [19] M. Hitosugi, M. Niwa and A. Takatsu, Changes in blood viscosity by Heparin and Argatroban, Thromb. Res. 104 (2001), 38 38 371-374. 39 39 [20] V. Travagli, I. Zanardi, L. Boschi, V. Turchetti and S. Forconi, Evaluation of a torsional-vibrating technique for the 40 hemorheological characterization, Clin. Hemorheol. Micro. 35 (2006), 283-289. 40 [21] S. Chien, S. Usami, H.M. Taylor, J.L. Lundberg and M.I. Gregersen, Effects of hematocrit and plasma proteins on human 41 41 blood rheology at low shear rates, J. Appl. Phys. 21 (1966), 81-87. 42 42 [22] A.V. Wolf, Aqueous Solutions and Body Fluids. Their Concentrative Properties and Conversion Tables, Hoeber Medical

[22] A. V. Wolf, Aqueous Solutions and Body Fullas. Their Concentrative Properties and Conversion Tables, Hoeber Medical
 d3 Division, Harper & Row publisher, NY, 1966.
 d3

[23] M.A. Haidekker, A.G. Tsai, T. Brady, H.Y. Stevens, J.A. Frangos, E. Theodorakis and M. Intaglietta, A novel approach to blood plasma viscosity measurement using fluorescent molecular rotors, *Am. J. Phys. Heart Circ. Phys.* 282 (2002), H1609–H1614.
 46

V. Travagli et al. / Comparison of blood viscosity

1 2	[24] S.S. Hillman, P.C. Withers, M.S. Hedrick and P.B. Kimmel, The effects of erythrocythemia on blood viscosity, maximal systemic oxygen transport capacity and maximal rates of oxygen consumption in an amphibian, <i>J. Comput. Phys. B</i> 155	1 2
3 4	 (1985), 577–581. [25] M. Anand and K.R. Rajagopal, A shear-thinning viscoelastic fluid model for describing the flow of blood, <i>Int. J. Cardiovas</i>. 	3 4
5	[26] A.V. Cardoso and A.O. Camargos, Geometrical aspects during formation of compact aggregates of red blood cells, <i>Mat.</i>	5
6	<i>Res.</i> 5 (2002), 263–268.	6
7		7
8		8
9		9
10		10
11		11
12		12
13		13
14		14
15		15
16		16
17		17
18		18
19		19
20		20
21		21
22		22
23		23
24 25		24 25
26		26
27		27
28		28
29		29
30		30
31		31
32		32
33		33
34		34
35		35
36		36
37		37
38		38
39		39
40		40
41 12		41 42
42 42		42 10
44		43 44
45		45
46		46