

UNIVERSITY OF BRESCIA DICATA, Department of Civil Engineering, Architecture, Land and Environment Via Branze, 43. I-25123 Brescia (ITALY)





brought to you by

MULTI MODEL APPROACH IN FLOOD FORECASTING SYSTEMS AND FLOOD HAZARD MAP PREPARATION AT THE LOCAL AND REGIONAL SCALE

Giovanna GROSSI

Universita' di Brescia, DICATA, Brescia, Italy (giovanna.grossi@unibs.it, +39 030 3711294)

ABSTRACT


As stated by the new EU flood directive "Member States should base" their assessments, maps and plans on appropriate 'best practice' and 'best available technologies' " From an hydrological point of view this could suggest the use of the 'best model' both in the simulation of prefixed flood scenarios and in flood forecasting systems. Actually plenty of hydrological models were created and applied for this purpose in the past, especially in the last decades, but the definition of an 'optimal model' is still an unresolved problem. The multi model approach, considering a weighted combination of hydrological models to define the most probable response of the basin, might be a suitable solution. The application of this approach and its advantages were investigated in this work, focusing on the mountain areas of Northern Apennines (Italy), contributing to the Po river. To this aim, the Taro river watershed was selected as a case study. The drained area is about 2.000 km2 wide at the junction with the Po river. In the last decade the basin has been monitored through a dense hydro-meteorological network. Distributed, semi-distributed and lumped hydrological models were used to simulate or hind-cast (using real time meteorological forecasts) the flood events that occurred during the monitoring period and their performance was measured.

Taro Watershed


The Taro river watershed located in the Apennine area in Northern Italy (Parma, Regione Emilia Romagna) was selected as a case study. The drained area is about 2000 km2 wide at the junction with the Po river. In the last decade the basin has been monitored through a dense hydrometeorological network, providing semi-hourly precipitation, air temperature, water level and discharge in several monitoring sites spread both in the mountain area and in the flood plain.

RESULTS

Two operational forecasts obtained with the DIMOSOP models and the CLEPS (on the left) and ISACMOL (on the right) predictions for the Taro River during the MAP D-Phase experiment.




MULTI MODEL APPROACH





The figure below shows the 28 rain gauges spread over the catchment and the hydrometric station at Pontetaro. Continuous rainfall and discharge observations at 30' time step were available in real time during MAP D- Phase.




Area: TARO_AT_PONTETARO	Day(s) of interest: today & tomorrow 💙	Model products: Model Product 1 💙 go	Feedback form for meteorological forecasters 🔽 👩
Show the alert thresholds used for this area		Nowcasting products: Nowcast Product 1 💙 go	
Show impactarea		Monitoring products: Monitor Product 1 💟 👩	

A real time flood forecasting system for the target areas 1, 2, 3 and 4 was implemented. The DIMOSOP (DIstributed hydrological MOdel for the Special Observing Period) hydrological model was used to predict flood hydrographs from Numerical Weather Predictions provided by ISACMOL (a deterministic non hydrostatic model) and CLEPS (an ensemble of 16 predictions).

By the end of October 2007 it was possible to compare in real-time the results of up to 7 meteo-hydrological forecasting chains (3 Ensemble+ 4 Deterministic) for the Toce watershed at the Candoglia outlet. The operational chains are listed in fig. 3, showing the operational forecast produced by each chain on two 48 hours time windows: 31st October and 1st November the first, 22nd and 23rd November the second. Different colours referred to different alert levels: no alert (green), alert for an event with a return period of at least 60 days (yellow), of at least 180 days (orange) or of at least 10 years (red).

		Thursday, November 22																					Frid	lay, I	Nove	mber	- 23											
																			NOW																			
	01	02	03	C4 0)= 0	60	* D8	: D 9	10	11	12 1	з 1	4.5	i 16	5 17	13	19	20 <i>2</i>	21 Z	Z 23	3 00	0.	22	03	04	05 0	6 C	7 08	8 09	10	ıι	12	13	14 .	12 1	e 1.	7 .7	8
PREVAH based on CLEPS IT: 22.11.2007 00 OC UTC	100 10	00 10	0 100) 100	100	10C	100	100 10)0 · 0)) 100	100	100	100	10C	100	100 11)0 ·0:	100	100	100	100	100 1	00 1	20 10	0 10	0 100	100	100	88	81 3	76 ?	30 E	x0 6(33	60	٤1	81	8:
	01	02	03	C4 0)= 0	6 0	• D8	: D9	10		12 1	3 1	4.5	16	5 17	13	19	20 2	21 Z	Z 23	: 00	0.	D2	03	04	05 0	6 C	7 08	3 09	10	11	12	13	14	12 1	e 1'	77	8
DINUSUP based on CLEPS IT: 20.11.2007 12 OC UTC	100 10	n Ir	n Irr	100	100	100	170	100 10	10 · O	100	100	100	100	100	170	100 7	0 70	70	52	57	17	88	8F 3	8 7	0 70	71	35	25	35	58	55 .	58 4	41 41	41	57	17	52	7(
	01	02	03	C4 0)C 0	6 0	7 D8	:) 9	10	11 :	12 1	з 1	4 :5	1.6	17	13	19	20 2	21 2	2 2:	3 00	0:	72	03	04	02 0	6 C	7 08	8 09	10	1 L	12	13	14	15 1	€ 1 [.]	7 17	8
FEST based on CLEPS IT: 22.11.2007 0D 0C UTC	י יוטו	л IL	υιι	טטו ע	100	1UL	ענו	100 8	1 31	75	43	63	37	96	t۶	66 D	U fi:	06	56	62	10	6	re 7	<u>6</u> Б	8 58	0	62	t۲	62	68 :	55 5	ж и 3	r 50	65	i 43	27	68	81
	01	02	03	C1 0	0 30	60	7 28	: D9	10	11 :	12 1	3 1	1 :5	16	17	1 ∋	19	20 2	21 2	2 23	5 00	0:	22	03	01	05 0	6 C	7 09	8 09	10	11	12	13	14 2	15 1	∈ 1	7 10	8
PREVAILbased on COSMOCH2 IT: 22.11.2007 00 0C UTC																																-						
	01	Π2	03	r4 n). <u> </u>	6 0	אר י	: 19	10	11 1	12 1	3 1	4 5	16	17	13	19	20 2	21 2	2 23	: 00	і <u>п</u> .	٦2	03	∩4	05 0	6 C	7 08	8 09	10	11	12	13	14	15 1	F 1	7 - 1	R
DIMOSOP based on ISACMOL IT: 21.11.2007 09 0C UTC																																			Τ			
	U1	02	03	ι4 υ	ມະ ບ	6 U	γ J8	EL :	10	11 :	12 1	3 1	4 15	16	17	13	19	20 2	21 2	2 23	: 00	01	JZ	U3	U4	U5 U	6 L	/ US	8 05	LU	11	12	13	14 :	15 1	6 1	i = 0	8
FESTbased on ISACMOL IT: 22.11.2007 00 0C UTC																																						
	01	02	03	C4 0	0 50	60	• D8	: D9	10	11 .	12 1	3 1	4.5	1.6	5 17	13	19	20 2	21 Z	Z 23	3 00	0.	D2	03	04	05 0	6 C	7 08	3 09	LO	11	12	13	14 .	12 1	e 1.	77	8
DIMOSOF based on ISACNOL IT: 21.11.2007 00 00 UTC	2																														-	T	Τ	Τ	Τ			
		\top																\top	NOW				+	\top	\top						+	+	+	\top	+	\square		t




Dealing with flood events it is observed that in most cases they are related to the same 'type' of storm event. Even if the value of the discharge is strongly related to the initial condition of the watershed, the occurrence of the flood event is bound to the occurrence of a particular weather situation: mainly pressure and wind velocity distribution. The particular situation is the cause of the storm event characterized by a precipitation distribution which is similar form event to event. If this is the case than each monitoring site can have a different weight in the reconstruction of the precipitation field: some of them could turn out to be fundamental, others could be actually useless when the detail of the hydrological model is taken into account. This is a very important point in the design of a warning system which should address the question of how much information is actually needed by the hydrological model.



Hydrological model alerts																																													
		_	_		_	_	_	_	_		_		_		_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_		1		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_			_		_	_	_
										Sa	turc	day,	Nov	emb	er i	24																			S	unda	ay, N	love	embe	er 25	,				
															N	ow																													
	0	1 0	2 0	3 04	4 0	50	6 0)7 (38	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	3 19	92	0 2	1 2	2 2	23 0	0 0	1 0	2 0	3 0	4 0	5 0	5 01	70	8 0	91	0 1	11	12	13	14	15	16 1	17 1	18 1	.9
DIMOSOP based on CLEPS IT: 22.11.2007 12:00 UTC	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	10	0 10	10	0 10	0 1	100 1	100	100	100	100	100	100	04	04	04	04	04	04	04	04	04	100	100	100	100	100	10	0 1	0 10	00 10	10 10	10 100	0 100	0 100	
				3 04			6 0	-	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	17					-	-	-		_	-	-					-	-	_	_	_		_		16 1	_	_	_
DIMOSOP based on ISACMOL IT: 23.11.2007 09:00 UTC																																								Τ		Τ	Τ	Τ	Τ
	0	1 0	2 0	3 0,	4 0	5 0	6 0)7 (38	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	3 19	92	0 2	1 2	2 2	23 0	0 0	1 0	2 0	3 0	4 0	5 0	5 01	7 0	8 0	91	.0 1	11	12	13	14	15	16 1	17 5	18 1	.9
DIMOSOP based on ISACMOL2 IT: 24.11.2007 00:00 UTC																																								-	-	-		-	
															- 14	ow																								T					T

- A visualization of the operational flood forecasting chains for the Taro River during the MAP D-Phase experiment. Different colours refer to different alert levels: no alert (green), alert for an event with a return period of at least 60 days (yellow), of at least 180 days (orange) or of at least 10 years (red).
- If a probability distribution function is fitted to the sample of 17 peak discharges (16 members+ensemble mean), the values associated with a fixed level of probability can be estimated. In this case a lognormal distribution was fitted to the available sample, its mean and its standard deviation were found to be 911 and 298 m3/s respectively. A peak discharge value of 863 m3/s was estimated for 50% of exceedance probability, 1159 m3/s was estimated for 80%, 1353 m3/s was estimated for 90%.



References

- The European Parliament and the Council of the European Union (2007) Directive 2007/60/EC of 23 October 2007 on the assessment and management of flood risks, Official Journal of the European Union, L288, p.27.
- Grossi, G, and N. Kouwen (2004). Intercomparison among hydrologic simulations coupled to meteorological predictions provided by different mesoscale meteorological models, Atti del XXIX Convegno di Idraulica e Costruzioni Idrauliche, Trento, 7-10 Sept. 2004, Editorial Bios, 2, 265-271.
- Grossi G. & M.Balistrocchi (2008) Rainfall input spatial characterization for hydrologic simulation, Abs. EGU2008-A-11207, Poster presentatio n at EGU2008.
- Zappa M, Rotach M.W, Arpagaus M, Dorninger M, Hegg C, Montani A, Ranzi R, Ament F, Germann U, Grossi G., Jaun S, Rossa A, Vogt S, Walser A, Wehrhan J, Wunram C (2008). MAP D-PHASE: real-time demonstration of hydrological ensemble prediction systems. Atmospheric Science Letters; p. 80-87, ISSN: 1530-261X, doi: 10.1002/asl. 183

분 1,000.0 은 500.0								
	23/11/2007 09:00- 23/11/2007 11:00- 23/11/2007 13:00-	23/11/2007 15:30- 23/11/2007 18:00-	23/11/2007 20:30- 23/11/2007 22:30-	24/11/2007 01:00- 24/11/2007 03:30-	ui ⊔i 24/11/2007 06:00- Lu 24/11/2007 08:00- Ba	<u>8</u> 24/11/2007 10:30 a 24/11/2007 13:00-	24/11/2007 15:30- 24/11/2007 17:30-	24/11/2007 20:00-

The Map D-Phase event (21-25/11/2007- see Fig. 6) provided a peak discharge value of 2696 m3/s, corresponding to a specific discharge of about 1.8 m3/s/km2. The peak discharge was recorded at 7:00 UTC of the 24th November.

CONCLUSIONS

1) "hydrological supervision" is necessary

- 2) The analysis of the spatial variability of the rainfall field, as well as of the soil hydrological properties can be useful for both the analysis of the most sever events and the selection of the most representative monitoring gauges.
- 3) Considering the potentials of uptodate meteo-hydrological modelling chains aimed at real time flood forecasting, distributed hydrological modelling might not be necessary if the flood peak and the time to peak are the only pieces of information needed.
- Results are site specific but the procedure could be adopted for other case studies.

Acknowledgments ARPA – Emilia Romagna is acknowledged for data collection. This research was partially funded by EU project Kulturisk.