



C ion-implanted TiO₂ thin film for photocatalytic applications

G. Impellizzeri,^{1,a)} V. Scuderi,¹ L. Romano,^{1,2} E. Napolitani,^{1,3} R. Sanz,¹ R. Carles,⁴ and V. Privitera¹

¹CNR-IMM MATIS, Via S. Sofia 64, 95123 Catania, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, Via S. Sofia 64, 95123 Catania, Italy

³Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

⁴Université de Toulouse, CEMES CNRS, 29 rue Marvig, BP 94347, 31055 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

(Received 26 January 2015; accepted 4 March 2015; published online 13 March 2015)

Third-generation TiO₂ photocatalysts were prepared by implantation of C⁺ ions into 110 nm thick TiO₂ films. An accurate structural investigation was performed by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry, secondary ion mass spectrometry, X-ray diffraction, Raman-luminescence spectroscopy, and UV/VIS optical characterization. The C doping locally modified the TiO₂ pure films, lowering the band-gap energy from 3.3 eV to a value of 1.8 eV, making the material sensitive to visible light. The synthesized materials are photocatalytically active in the degradation of organic compounds in water under both UV and visible light irradiation, without the help of any additional thermal treatment. These results increase the understanding of the C-doped titanium dioxide, helpful for future environmental applications. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC.

[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4915111>]

I. INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, a great effort has been devoted to developing heterogeneous photocatalysts for environmental applications, such as water purification and disinfection, air purification, and hydrogen production from water splitting.^{1–4} Among various oxide semiconductor photocatalysts, titanium dioxide, commonly called *titania*, has proven to be the most suitable for widespread applications, for its biological and chemical inertness, strong oxidation power, cost effectiveness and long-term stability against photo and chemical corrosion.^{5–8}

The photocatalytic activity of semiconductors is due to the production of excited electrons in their conduction band along with the corresponding holes in their valence band by the absorption of photons with energy higher than the band-gap. The charge carriers can migrate to the surface, if they do not recombine, and react with pollutants adsorbed on the surface, decomposing them into innocuous substances.

Titania exists in two main crystallographic forms: anatase and rutile with band-gaps of 3.15 eV and 3.05 eV, respectively;⁹ thus, ultraviolet (UV) irradiation is necessary in order to activate the photocatalyst material. Several attempts have been made to lower the band-gap energy of TiO₂, in order to make the photocatalyst reactive under visible light ($\lambda > 380$ nm) so to use the main part of the solar spectrum and even the poor illumination of interior-lighting. One approach was the doping of TiO₂.

Metal-doped titania, the so-called “second-generation photocatalyst”, has been widely studied for improved photocatalytic performance under visible light (VIS) irradiation.^{2,6,10–13} Otherwise, it is known that metal-ions behave as recombination centers, so that the electrons and holes

transfer to the interface is hindered.² As a consequence, there is an optimum of doped metal ion concentration, above which the photocatalytic activity decreases due to the increase in electrons/holes recombination.² We recently investigated the effect of Fe⁺ ion-implantation on 100 nm TiO₂ films, demonstrating that the iron implantation is able to lower the band-gap energy of titania, to a minimum value of 1.6 eV.¹⁴ The measured band-gap was associated with the presence of energy levels inside the energy band structure of the titania, due to implantation-induced defects in the films. The synthesized materials revealed a remarkable photocatalytic efficiency under VIS light irradiation (80% higher than the one obtained for pure TiO₂ films), without the help of any additional thermal treatment.¹⁴ We demonstrated that the photocatalytic activity in the degradation of organic compounds strongly depends on the amount of defects induced by the ion-implantation process.¹⁴

Non-metal doped TiO₂ has been regarded as the “third-generation photocatalyst”. Various non-metal dopants have been widely studied for visible light photocatalytic activities.^{2,6} For example, Asahi and co-workers found that sputtered N-doped TiO₂ presented a higher photocatalytic activity in the degradation of methylene blue (MB) than pure TiO₂, in the visible light region.¹⁵ A noticeable photocatalytic activity on the decomposition of MB in the visible region was demonstrated for C-doped TiO₂, obtained from oxidation of TiC powders.¹⁶ C-doped TiO₂, synthesized by flame pyrolysis of Ti sheets in a natural gas flame, displayed a lower band-gap than titania (2.32 versus 3.00 eV).¹⁷ Even if there are several works in the literature focused on C-doped titania,^{17–20} and on doped TiO₂ by the ion-implantation process,^{2,6,10,11,14} there is not, to our knowledge, any study on the effects of C doping by ion implantation. The advantage of the ion-implantation process is the outstanding control and repeatability of the implanted fluence and energy.

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: giuliana.impellizzeri@ct.infn.it

The aim of this experimental research was to investigate the effect of C^+ implantation into TiO_2 thin films (~ 110 nm in thickness) in terms of damage induced by the ion-implantation process into the polycrystalline matrix, optical properties of the synthesised materials and photocatalytic activity in the degradation of organic compounds in water under UV or VIS light irradiation.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Titanium films were prepared by sputtering Ti, at room temperature, on quartz substrates. In order to induce the complete oxidation of the titanium layers into TiO_2 , the samples were annealed at $600^\circ C$ for 30 min in a conventional furnace under a controlled O_2 flux.²¹ The thickness and the composition of the TiO_2 films were investigated by Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry (RBS), with a 3.5 MeV HVEE Singletron accelerator, using a 2 MeV He^+ beam with 165° scattering angle. The samples were then implanted with C^+ ions at 20 keV, with a fluence of $1 \times 10^{15} cm^{-2}$. During implantation, the average current density was $\sim 0.02 \mu A/cm^2$, and the substrates were held at room temperature. Afterwards some samples were annealed at 450, 550, or $650^\circ C$ for 2 h in an Ar atmosphere.

Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) was used to obtain the chemical profiles of carbon. The SIMS analyses were performed with a CAMECA IMS-4f instrument, using a 14.5 keV Cs^+ sputtering beam and collecting secondary negative ions, while flooding the sample with an electron gun in order to neutralize charging and maintain a fixed and stable surface potential. Depth scales were calibrated by evaluating the erosion rates in TiO_2 and SiO_2 (i.e., the underlying quartz) through a dedicated procedure based on measurements of the crater depths with a profilometer after sputtering in both materials. The overall accuracy was 10%.

The structure of the films was studied by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analyses with a Bruker D-500 diffractometer at several angles of incidence, from 0.8 to 1.0° , and 2Θ from 20 to 60° . The XRD spectra were analyzed by the Bruker software suite, including ICSD structure database. The vibrational and electronic properties of the films were analyzed through their Raman and photoluminescence responses, respectively, using an XploRA Horiba Jobin-Yvon spectrometer.

The UV-VIS optical characterization was obtained by extracting both the normal transmittance (T) and the 20° reflectance (R) spectra in the 200 – 800 nm wavelength range, by using a Varian Cary 500 double beam scanning UV/VIS/NIR spectrophotometer.

The photocatalytic activity of the investigated materials was evaluated by the degradation of MB, following the ISO protocol.²² As a first step, the samples (0.8 cm \times 0.8 cm in size) were irradiated by an UV lamp for 50 min in order to remove the hydrocarbons localized on the sample surface.²³ Then, the samples were immersed in a 2 ml solution containing MB and de-ionized water, with a starting concentration of MB of 1.3×10^{-5} M. The mixture was irradiated by an UV lamp (350 – 400 nm wavelength range) with a power of 8 W, or by a VIS lamp (390 – 535 nm wavelength range) with

a power of 12 W, for a total time of 210 min. Both the UV and VIS lamps used for the irradiation do not emit in the region of absorption of the MB, as a consequence the measured degradation of the MB can be only ascribed to the presence of the photocatalysts. Every 30 min of irradiation the absorption of the solutions was measured with a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer Lambda 35) in a wavelength range between 500 and 800 nm. The degradation of MB was evaluated by the absorbance of the MB peak at 664 nm, according to the Lambert-Beer law: $A = \epsilon \times l \times C$, where A is the absorbance of the solution at 664 nm, ϵ is the extinction molar coefficient, l is the width of the cuvette, and C is the concentration of the MB.²⁴ The decomposition of the MB in the absence of any photocatalyst material was also checked as a reference. Control experiments in the dark were conducted, providing evidence of any contribution of the adsorption of the MB at the sample surface.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The RBS analyses (not shown) of the films obtained after the oxidation process of the sputtered Ti films gave as a result the stoichiometry of the TiO_2 and a thickness of ~ 110 nm (in detail, 104 nm considering the density of the rutile phase, 114 nm considering the density of the anatase phase²⁵).

Figure 1 reports the simulated distribution profile of carbon ions implanted in TiO_2 at 20 keV (dotted line, right axis).²⁶ The energy was obtained by the SRIM code²⁶ so that the implanted profile was fully contained in the TiO_2 layers (C projected range ~ 50 nm). On the left axis the C profiles (in counts/s), obtained by SIMS analyses for the as-implanted (continuous line) and $650^\circ C$ annealed sample (dashed line), are reported. The layer thickness resulted to be 110 ± 10 nm which, if compared with the TiO_2 areal density estimated by RBS gives a TiO_2 molecular density of 9.0×10^{22} atoms/cm³. This density is in agreement, within 6%, with the density of TiO_2 reported in literature²⁵ and used by the SRIM code,²⁶ thus confirming there is no significant porosity in the layer. The C profile clearly shows a peculiar, outside the experimental errors, redistribution just




FIG. 1. Carbon chemical profiles just after the implantation ($1 \times 10^{15} cm^{-2}$ at 20 keV, straight line) and after annealing at $650^\circ C$ for 2 h (dashed line). The simulation²⁶ of the implanted profile is also reported on the right vertical axis (dotted line).




FIG. 2. XRD patterns of pure TiO_2 film, C-implanted ($1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 20 keV) and after the thermal treatments. “A” refers to the anatase phase, “R” to the rutile phase.

during the implant, indicating that C migration takes place at room temperature upon implantation, while non C loss is observed up to the temperature of 650 °C (i.e., the highest temperature used for this work).

The XRD patterns of the starting TiO_2 film, as-implanted and after the thermal treatments are shown in Fig. 2. The XRD analyses only detected the presence of anatase and rutile crystalline phases (marked by “A” and “R”, respectively, in the figure). Un-doped films were found to crystallize at 600 °C into anatase and rutile phases. The ion implantation induced a slight reduction of the peak intensities, which can be ascribed to a weak damaging process, typical of ion-implantation for this low fluence.²⁷ The thermal treatments induced a damage recover, as expected.

The low frequency Raman spectra (below 700 cm^{-1}) for the TiO_2 film, as-implanted and after the thermal treatments, are reported in Fig. 3. The analyses were performed with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm (i.e., 2.33 eV). The strongest Raman lines at ~ 150 , 400, 517, and 648 cm^{-1} can be assigned as E_g , B_{1g} , A_{1g} , and E_g modes of the anatase




FIG. 3. Raman spectra for the TiO_2 film, C-implanted ($1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 20 keV) and after the thermal treatments. “A” indicates the modes relative to the anatase phase, “R” the modes relative to the rutile phase. The excitation wavelength was 532 nm.

phase,²⁸ respectively (they are marked by “A” in the figure). The analyses also revealed two weak peaks near 462 and 628 cm^{-1} , that correspond to E_g and A_{1g} modes of the rutile phase,²⁸ respectively (they are marked by “R” in the figure). The signal originating from the quartz substrate was subtracted, the intensities were normalized to the highest anatase mode (near 150 cm^{-1}) and the spectra were up-shifted, for an easier comparison. We estimated that the ratio between the amount of anatase phase versus the rutile one, in the TiO_2 starting layers was ~ 2 .²⁹ In addition, the Raman spectra of the titania layers were not generally modified by the ion implantation and post-annealing processes: the crystalline quality and composition are rather well preserved during these processes and totally recovered after annealing at the higher temperature of 650 °C.

Figure 4 reports the high frequency Raman spectra (Stokes shift above 1100 cm^{-1} , i.e., absolute photon energy below 2.2 eV). These spectra were also arbitrarily shifted for a better comparison. In the annealed samples, the D and G features (corresponding to a Raman shift of 1450 and 1590 cm^{-1} , respectively) are characteristic signatures of “disorder” and graphite C=C bands. Their presence testifies to some clustering of C atoms. At the same time in these samples a broad “luminescence” band is observed around 4000 cm^{-1} . This is indeed a luminescence band because its absolute position (1.83 eV or 676 nm) remains unchanged when the laser excitation wavelength is changed from 532 to 638 nm (not shown). We tentatively attribute it to in-gap levels created by the implantation process that act as recombination centers upon annealing.

The optical properties of the investigated samples were analysed by UV-VIS optical characterization. Figure 5 reports the absorbance for pure TiO_2 film, C-implanted and C-implanted after the thermal treatments. The absorbance (A) was obtained by the transmittance (T) and reflectance (R) measured spectra, in accordance with the following equation: $A\% = 100 - T\% - R\%$. The pure titania film shows the typical optical absorption in the UV part of the spectrum, for wavelengths shorter than $\sim 390 \text{ nm}$ (line plus closed circles in Fig. 5). Otherwise, the C-doped films exhibit an




FIG. 4. Luminescence spectra of the same samples as in Fig. 3. The C=C indication refers to Raman scattering by disordered graphitic carbon modes.




FIG. 5. Absorbance spectra of the pure TiO_2 film, C-implanted ($1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 20 keV) and after the thermal treatments.

absorbance increase in the UV range (below 350 nm) and a new absorption band in the VIS part of the spectrum, in the form of a bump around 430 nm (“blue” range). In detail, the as-implanted samples show in this range an absorbance of $\sim 6\%$ (dotted line in Fig. 5). With thermal treatments higher than 450°C , the absorption band increases with the temperature: $\sim 11\%$ for the temperature of 550°C , $\sim 27\%$ for 650°C (dashed line and line plus closed squares, respectively, in Fig. 5). Moreover, these measurements indicate the formation of a large absorption tail above 650 nm (“red” range) which may be correlated with the luminescence spectra (see Fig. 4) and the presence of deep levels in the titania gap.

Optical spectra were analysed by the Tauc model, which describes the light absorption process in amorphous semiconductors.³⁰ For indirect transitions (that is the case of TiO_2) the Tauc law can be written as follows:³¹

$$\alpha = \frac{B}{h\nu} (h\nu - E_g)^2, \quad (1)$$

where B is the Tauc constant,³² $h\nu$ is the incoming photon energy, E_g is the optical band-gap of the material, α is the absorption coefficient that were extracted from the transmittance (T), and reflectance (R) measurements performed on each sample by using the following equation:

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{d} \ln \frac{T_Q(1 - R_S)}{T_S}, \quad (2)$$

where d is the thickness of the film; the subscripts Q and S refer to the quartz or the sample, respectively. Another condition of the Tauc law is that α is higher than $1 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.³⁰ By plotting $(\alpha \times h\nu)^{1/2}$ versus $h\nu$ (i.e., Tauc plot) and using a linear fit, E_g can be extracted for all the samples (E_g is the intercept of the linear fit with the abscissa axis). The Tauc plot of the pure TiO_2 film (not shown) gave an energy gap of 3.3 eV ($\sim 370 \text{ nm}$). Assuming an error of $\sim 10\%$ in the determination of the band-gap, the value of 3.3 eV is in good agreement with the values reported in the literature for bulk anatase and rutile TiO_2 (3.15 eV and 3.05 eV for anatase and rutile phase, respectively).⁹ In Fig. 6, the Tauc plot of the TiO_2 film implanted with carbon and annealed at 650°C is reported. The linear fit




FIG. 6. Tauc plot for the TiO_2 film implanted with $\text{C}^+ 1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 20 keV and annealed at 650°C (continuous line), together with the linear fit (dotted line).

(dotted lines in Fig. 6) reveal the presence of two optical band-gaps: one at 3.3 eV, that can be assigned to the titania, and another one at 1.8 eV ($\sim 680 \text{ nm}$), which can be correlated to the carbon doping. This latter value is equal within the error to the value of 1.83 eV determined above by the luminescence measurements. Therefore, the significant absorption obtained in the VIS part of the spectra probably originates from in-gap levels created by the implantation process.

MB degradation measurements were performed in order to investigate the photocatalytic activity of the C-doped films in the degradation of organic compounds in water under UV and VIS irradiation. According to the Langmuir-Hinshelwood model, the photocatalytic reaction rate, k , of water contaminants is given by the following reaction:

$$\ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = -kt, \quad (3)$$

where C is the concentration of organic species, C_0 is the starting concentration of organic species, and t is the time.³ We report in Fig. 7 the photodegradation rate of the MB, normalized to the value obtained for the MB decomposition in the absence of any catalyst materials, for the different samples, both under UV (Fig. 7(a)) and VIS light irradiation (Fig. 7(b)). In the abscissa axis, MB indicates the MB decomposition in the absence of any catalyst, normalized to 1 (i.e., k/k_{MB}); TiO_2 refers to the MB decomposition due to the pure TiO_2 film; *as-implanted* indicates the MB decomposition due to the C-doped TiO_2 films; 450°C refers to the MB decomposition due to the TiO_2 films implanted with C and annealed at 450°C , etc. The best response in terms of photodegradation of MB was displayed by the C-implanted TiO_2 films, under both UV and VIS irradiation (Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively). The results showed an increase of $\sim 40\%$ for the UV irradiation and $\sim 25\%$ for the VIS (“blue” range) irradiation with respect to the pure titania films. The photocatalytic efficiency decreased, with respect to the as-implanted layers, with the annealing. In addition, we can observe that the photocatalytic trend is almost similar under both the UV and VIS irradiation. In particular, the photocatalytic efficiency under VIS irradiation is higher in the as-implanted layer than in pure and




FIG. 7. Photocatalytic rate of MB, normalized to the value obtained for the MB in the absence of the photocatalyst, for the C-doped films ($1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) under the UV (a) and VIS light irradiation (b).

annealed TiO₂. This drop of the photocatalytic efficiency as a function of the thermal treatments can be correlated to the C=C bands observed by the Raman analyses, which appear upon annealing. Therefore, we can speculate that the occurrence of carbon clustering works against the photodegradation of organic pollutants. The above results point to the following scenario: dynamic annealing of point defects and C migration phenomena, taking place during C⁺ ion implantation, are responsible for an effective carbon incorporation into TiO₂ with little accumulated lattice damage. This damage induce the reduction of the TiO₂ energy gap, through the formation of in-gap levels, that increase the photocatalytic efficiency of the material. Further annealing induces a carbon clustering which has a detrimental effect on the photocatalytic efficiency, since carbon clusters play as efficient recombination centers for charge carriers.

These experimental results clearly demonstrate that a small band-gap ($\sim 1.8 \text{ eV}$) can be achieved by C⁺ doping of TiO₂ films, and a significant photocatalytic efficiency can be obtained under VIS light irradiation, without the help of any thermal treatments.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we presented a detailed study concerning the effect of C⁺ ion-implantation ($1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at 20 keV)

on a thin TiO₂ pure film (110 nm thick), eventually annealed up to 650 °C, so as to realize an efficient third-generation photocatalyst. The reported results confirmed that ion implantation is able to modify the TiO₂ pure film, lowering its band-gap energy to a minimum value of $\sim 1.8 \text{ eV}$, so as to absorb visible light. The synthesized materials revealed a substantial photodegradation efficiency under UV and VIS light irradiation: $\sim 40\%$ and $\sim 25\%$ higher than that obtained for pure titania films, without the help of any additional thermal treatment.

The synthesized materials can be attractive for a wide range of applications for water purification, air purification but also for the production of hydrogen fuel by water splitting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank S. Taù (CNR-IMM MATIS) and S. Di Franco (CNR-IMM) for their expert technical assistance. This research has been supported by the FP7 European Project WATER (Grant Agreement n. 316082).

- ¹M. A. Shannon, P. W. Bohn, M. Elimelech, J. G. Georgiadis, B. J. Marinas, and A. M. Mayes, *Nature* **452**, 301 (2008).
- ²S. Malato, P. Fernández-Ibáñez, M. I. Maldonado, J. Blanco, and W. Germjak, *Catal. Today* **147**, 1 (2009).
- ³M. N. Chong, B. Jin, C. W. K. Chow, and C. Saint, *Water Res.* **44**, 2997 (2010).
- ⁴W. Y. Teoh, J. A. Scott, and R. Amal, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 629 (2012).
- ⁵A. Fujishima, T. N. Rao, and D. A. Tryk, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. C: Photochem. Rev.* **1**, 1 (2000).
- ⁶X. Chen and S. S. Mao, *Chem. Rev.* **107**, 2891 (2007).
- ⁷V. Scuderi, G. Impellizzeri, L. Romano, M. Scuderi, G. Nicotra, K. Bergum, A. Irrera, B. G. Svensson, and V. Privitera, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* **9**, 458 (2014).
- ⁸V. Scuderi, G. Impellizzeri, L. Romano, M. Scuderi, M. V. Brundo, K. Bergum, M. Zimbone, R. Sanz, M. A. Buccheri, F. Simone, G. Nicotra, B. G. Svensson, M. G. Grimaldi, and V. Privitera, *Nanoscale* **6**, 11189 (2014).
- ⁹A. Bendavid, P. J. Martin, A. Jamting, and H. Takikawa, *Thin Solid Films* **355–356**, 6 (1999).
- ¹⁰M. Anpo and M. Takeuchi, *J. Catal.* **216**, 505 (2003).
- ¹¹H. Yamashita, M. Harada, J. Misaka, M. Takeuchi, B. Neppolian, and M. Anpo, *Catal. Today* **84**, 191 (2003).
- ¹²S. Zhang, Y. Chen, Y. Yu, H. Wu, S. Wang, B. Zhu, W. Huang, and S. Wu, *J. Nanopart. Res.* **10**, 871 (2008).
- ¹³J. Yu, Q. Xiang, and M. Zhou, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.* **90**, 595 (2009).
- ¹⁴G. Impellizzeri, V. Scuderi, L. Romano, P. M. Sberna, E. Arcadipane, R. Sanz, M. Scuderi, G. Nicotra, M. Bayle, R. Carles, F. Simone, and V. Privitera, *J. Appl. Phys.* **116**, 173507 (2014).
- ¹⁵R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, T. Ohwaki, K. Aoki, and Y. Taga, *Science* **293**, 269 (2001).
- ¹⁶Y. Choi, T. Umebayashi, and M. Yoshikawa, *J. Mater. Sci.* **39**, 1837 (2004).
- ¹⁷S. U. M. Khan, M. Al-Shahry, and W. B. Ingler, Jr., *Science* **297**, 2243 (2002).
- ¹⁸E. Barborini, A. M. Conti, I. Kholmanov, P. Piseri, A. Podestà, P. Milani, C. Cepek, O. Sakho, R. Macovez, and M. Sancrotti, *Adv. Mater.* **17**, 1842 (2005).
- ¹⁹J. H. Park, S. Kim, and A. J. Bard, *Nano Lett.* **6**, 24 (2006).
- ²⁰R. Hahn, A. Ghicov, J. Salonen, V.-P. Lehto, and P. Schmuki, *Nanotechnology* **18**, 105604 (2007).
- ²¹D. Velten, V. Biehl, F. Aubertin, B. Valeske, W. Possart, and J. Breme, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **59**, 18 (2002).
- ²²H. Zollinger, *Color Chemistry, Synthesis, Properties and Applications of Organic Dyes and Pigments* (VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1991).
- ²³R. Wang, K. Hashimoto, A. Fujishima, M. Chikuni, E. Kojima, A. Kitamura, M. Shimohigoshi, and T. Watanabe, *Nature* **388**, 431 (1997).

- ²⁴A. D. McNaught and A. Wilkinson, "Compendium of chemical terminology," in *The Gold Book*, 2nd ed. (Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 1997).
- ²⁵D. A. H. Hanaor and C. C. Sorrell, *J. Mater. Sci.* **46**, 855 (2011).
- ²⁶J. F. Ziegler, J. P. Biersack, and U. Littmark, *The Stopping and Range of Ions in Solids* (Pergamon, New York, 1984), Vol. 1, <http://www.srim.org>.
- ²⁷E. Rimini, *Ion Implantation: Basics to Device Fabrication* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1995).
- ²⁸W. F. Zhang, Y. L. He, M. S. Zhang, Z. Yin, and Q. Chen, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **33**, 912 (2000).
- ²⁹J. Zhang, M. Li, Z. Feng, J. Chen, and C. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 927 (2006).
- ³⁰J. Tauc, *Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors* (Plenum, New York, 1974), p. 175.
- ³¹J. Pascual, J. Camassel, and H. Mathieu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **39**, 1490 (1977).
- ³²S. Knief and W. von Niessen, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 12940 (1999).