

Ein Service der Bundesanstalt für Wasserbau

Conference Paper, Published Version

# Suresh, P. K.; Ponraj, V.; Ahamed, D. Khaleel; Selvarajah, A. Model Study of River Cooum in Chennai, India

Zur Verfügung gestellt in Kooperation mit/Provided in Cooperation with: **Kuratorium für Forschung im Küsteningenieurwesen (KFKI)** 

Verfügbar unter/Available at: https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11970/109941

Vorgeschlagene Zitierweise/Suggested citation:

Suresh, P. K.; Ponraj, V.; Ahamed, D. Khaleel; Selvarajah, A. (2010): Model Study of River Cooum in Chennai, India. In: Sundar, V.; Srinivasan, K.; Murali, K.; Sudheer, K.P. (Hg.): ICHE 2010. Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Hydro-Science & Engineering, August 2-5, 2010, Chennai, India. Chennai: Indian Institute of Technology Madras.

#### Standardnutzungsbedingungen/Terms of Use:

Die Dokumente in HENRY stehen unter der Creative Commons Lizenz CC BY 4.0, sofern keine abweichenden Nutzungsbedingungen getroffen wurden. Damit ist sowohl die kommerzielle Nutzung als auch das Teilen, die Weiterbearbeitung und Speicherung erlaubt. Das Verwenden und das Bearbeiten stehen unter der Bedingung der Namensnennung. Im Einzelfall kann eine restriktivere Lizenz gelten; dann gelten abweichend von den obigen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Documents in HENRY are made available under the Creative Commons License CC BY 4.0, if no other license is applicable. Under CC BY 4.0 commercial use and sharing, remixing, transforming, and building upon the material of the work is permitted. In some cases a different, more restrictive license may apply; if applicable the terms of the restrictive license will be binding.



Proceedings of ninth International Conference on Hydro-Science and Engineering (ICHE 2010), IIT Madras, Chennai, India. 2 - 5 August 2010



# MODEL STUDY OF RIVER COOUM IN CHENNAI, INDIA

P.K.Suresh.<sup>1</sup>, V.Ponraj<sup>2</sup>, D.Khaleel Ahamed <sup>3</sup> and A.Selvarajah.<sup>4</sup>

Abstract: The estuary of river Cooum is flowing through Chennai is always choked with sand bar formation and obstructing free drainage of water into the sea during normal flow condition. Number of studies was carried out to observe the effect of tidal propagation along river, flow during various flood discharges and effect of groins at the estuary. Observations were made on the shoreline changes in the vicinity of the two groins on north and south side of estuary and propagation of tides. Based on the observations further studies were conducted. Physical model studies were done for tidal propagation and numerical model studies for estimation of alongshore sediment transport using Mike 21 software. The wave climate has been generated using MIKE21 Offshore wave (OSW) model from wind data and the littoral drift was estimated./ The flooding reaches during high discharges were also identified using physical model studies. The details of physical, numerical model studies, field observations and conclusions were highlighted in the paper.

Keywords: sand bar; groins; shoreline; littoral drift.

# INTRODUCTION

The river Cooum flows in the middle of city of Chennai. In the city reaches it becomes a sewage carrier and the estuary is alwayschoked with sand bar formations. Hence it is proposed to clean the river in stage by stage. The clim atic conditions along this region are influenced by two seasons namely, the south west(SW) monsoon from May to September and north east (NE) from October to December. The river receives heavy flow during the NE monsoons. Due to heavy monsoon discharge the mouth gets opened and gets choked during south west monsoon. The alongshore sediment transport is also high during SW monsoon. Through notable contributions of Chandramohan et al. (1988), Ananth and Sundar (1990) and Sundar and Raju (1997), it has been established that the net littoral drift along the east coast of Chennai towards the north, varies between (0.8 and 1.2)  $\times 10^6$  m<sup>3</sup> per year. Due to high alongshore drift and low river flow the estuary becomes choked. This in turn results in foul smell in the city. Apart from this during high flood discharges flo oding takes places in the city . One groin on the south side was constructed in 2001 and another on the north was constructed by January 2007 to keep the estuary open. The tidal propagation was also studied .The details of the field observation s, numerical and physical model studies are presented and discussed in this paper.

1 Assistant Director, Institute of Hydraulics & Hydrology, Poondi, India-602023, Email: suresh pk2000@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Engineer, Institute of Hydraulics & Hydrology, Poondi, India-602023,

<sup>3</sup> Deputy Director, Institute of Hydraulics & Hydrology, Poondi, India-602023

<sup>4</sup> Director, Institute of Hydraulics & Hydrology, Poondi, India-6020233

# **STUDY AREA**

The study area is the stretch of coast of about3km. and 7km on the landward side. The coastline is oriented in SE-NW direction as in Fig 1. River Cooum ( $13^012$ 'N,  $80^020$ 'E) flows through the Chennai city and its estuary is located south of harbor. In the city reach the river gets split in to north and south arm as in Fig 2 and again rejoins before meeting the Bay of Bengal. The estuary is always choked with sand bar formation due to blockage of alongshore drift by Chennai habor breakwater on the north side. and low flows in the river/



Fig 1 Index map

# **OBJECTIVES**

- To observe the propagation of tide along the river
- To study the effect of providing gates at bridge locations and utilize the tidal for flushing
- To study the performance of the existing pair of groins at estuary
- Flood flow studies in the river
- Estimation of littoral drift

# METHODOLOGY

- Field observations
- Analysis of Satellite Imageries
- Physical modelling
- Numerical modelling

# FIELD MEASUREMENTS

The southern and northern groins were completed in 2001 and 2007 respectively. Shoreline measurements covering1 km of shoreline adjacent to south and north groins were carried out every month from January 07 to September 07. About 70m of beach formation was seen by

March 07 adjacent to north groin. By May 07 the beach adjacent to north groin got eroded by 100m .Accretion of beach was seen adjacent to south groin and by October 07 about 65m of accretion was observed on the south side of groin. The satellite imageries available confirm qualitatively the direction towards the north. The construction of groins resulted in some tidal propagation. Hence the observations of water level variations were observed at Andrew, Harris, Peryar and Napier bridges located along the river in city covering nearly 6km of the river to assess the propagation of tide. The observations indicate variation of about 0.50m at Andrew Bridge.



Fig 2 Index map

# SATELLITE IMAGERIES

The aerial photo over the study area for various periods was collected and preliminary studies were done. These include ICONOS imageries for 2001, 2002, 2004 (Fig 3). The analyses of imageries indicate that long shore drift is predominant and the sand is bypassing on the south side. About 170m of beach formation has taken place from 2001-2004.



Fig 3 Satellite imageries of Cooum estuary

# PHYSICAL MODEL STUDIES

Physical rigid bedmodel to a horizontal scale of 1:400and vertical scale of 1:50 was constructed (Fig - 4). It was observed at the field that the tidal propagation was felt up to Andrews bridge near Chennai Egmore. The physical model was constructed by incorporating Andrew bridge as wastern boundary and Bayof Bengal as eastern boundary. The water level variations due to tide was observed as 50 cm at  $6^{\text{th}}$  km. This effect was reproduced in the m odel and was thus proved.

Gates were provided at the locations of Andrew, Harris, Peryar and Napier bridge locations. Initially high water level was simulated and all gates were in open condition. Then the gates were closed. Then low water level was simulated in the estuary reach. The gates were suddenly opened and velocities in the estuary region were observed. The velocity was in the range of 0.8m/s.



Fig 4 Model setup

#### NUMERICAL MODEL STUDIES

For studying the Coastal Process, the wave climate is an important parameter. The wave climate consists of wave height, period and direction with respect to north. The two wave models that were adopted are Offshore Spectral W ave (OSW) or W AM model and Near Spectral W ave (NSW) models of MIKE21 developed by Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI), Denmark using the National Centre for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) wind data. The bathymetry data for the model was derived from Earth TOPOgraphy 2 minute (ETOPO2) grid data base and discretized into a spatial square grid of size 77 km. The basic equations of OS W are based on the 3<sup>rd</sup> generation model, WAM Cycle 4 model of (Kom en et al 1994) developed for deep-water applications on global and regional scales. NSW is a wind-wave model, which describes the growth, decay and transformation of wind-generated waves and swells in near shore areas. It takes in to account the effects of refraction a nd shoaling d ue to vary ing depth, local wind generation and energy dissipation due to bottom friction and wave breaking. It is a stationary, directionally de coupled parametric model. For details of the model physics and equations Holthuijsen et al (2000) and DHI, 2001 can be referred. The near shore measured bathymetry shown in Fig 5 and discretized into a 10 m by 10m grid and adopted for generating the nearshore wave climate. The nearshore wave climate thus generated was adopted for the estimation of alongshore sediment transport using Van Rijn (2001) approach. The rose diagrams obtained are shown vide Fig 6 to 8. The breaker angles were calculated by Snells law and are shown in Fig 9.



Fig 5 Bathymetry of estuary







Fig 7 Wave rose for South West Monsoon period



Fig 8 Wave rose for North East Monsoon period



Fig 9 Breaker angle distribution

#### **ESTIMATION OF LITTORAL DRIFT**

The littoral drift estimates are made using Van Rijn (2001) formulation which considers both particle size and nearshore slope. The annualdrift works out to be 0.31 million m<sup>3</sup> towards north. (Fig 10) The volume of littoral drift is nearly of similar order with the quantity measurement by Ramanamurthy et al. (2008) for the same coast.



Fig 10 Alongshore sediment transport distribution

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The sand bar formation at the estuary and tidal flow in the river and flood flows was studied in detail using field observations, physical model and numerical model. The estuary gets opened only during north east monsoon. During the nonmonsoon periods as there was no sufficientflow velocity created, various attempts were tried for increasing the velocity by incorporating gates. The conditions at the estuary did not slow any improvement. Hence the optimum arrangement is to deepen the mouth up to (-) 1.6m to facilitate more tidal influx. The southern groin can be

dredged for a distance of 100m This sand can be nourished on the north side of north groin The existing groins should not be extended any further.

# CONCLUSIONS

During the tidal inflow and outflow, the main flow was in south arm only and only feeble flow along the northern arm of river Cooum was noticed during tidal flow. Hence the bed can be lowered up to (-) 1.6 up to Napier bridge so that more tidal inflow can be realised. The velocity observed was in the range of 0.8 m/s at present condition and after providing gates did notresult in any appreciable increase of velocity. During flood discharge of 5 000 cusecs the river has sufficient flow in both the arms. For flood discharge above 20,000 cusecs flooding was noticed on reaches in the reach of Andrews Bridge. Provision of shutters does not result in significant increase in the velocity of flow at the estuary. The wave climate study indicates that most of waves approach from south east from April to October and from East & North east from November to March. The annual net alongshore drift works out to about 310000m<sup>3</sup> towards north.

### REFERENCES

- Ananth, P.N. and Sundar, V., (1990) Sediment Budget for Paradeep Port, India. *Ocean & Shoreline Management J*, 13, pp. 69-81
- Chandramohan, P., Nayak, B. U., and Raju, V.S., (1988) Application of Alongshore Sediment transport Equations to the Andhra coast, East coast of India. *Coastal engineering*, 12, pp. 285-297
- DHI. (2001) User Manual and Reference Guide for LITPACK and MIKE21, *Danish Hydraulic Institute*
- Komen, G.J., Cavaleri, L., Donelan, M., Hasselmann, K., Hasselmann, S. and Janssen, P.A.E.M., (1994) Dynamics and Modeling of Ocean Waves, *Cambridge University Pres*

Dec 7-10, pp.1116 - 1126

- Ramanamurthy, M.V., Mani, J.S and Subramanian, B.R (2008), Evolution and Performance of Beach Fill at Ennore Seap ort, Southeast Coast of India Journal of Coastal Research, Vol 24,pp232-243
- Sundar V. and Raju P.M. (1997), Wave Induced Sediment Transport Along Visakhapatnam Port, Proc 2nd Indian National Conf. on H arbour & Ocean Engg (INCHOE-97), Thiruvananthapuram,

Van Rijn L.C (2001), Longshore Sediment Transport Delft Hydraulics