

University of Parma Research Repository

Goat farm variability affects milk Fourier-transform infrared spectra used for predicting coagulation properties

This is the peer reviewd version of the followng article:

Original

Goat farm variability affects milk Fourier-transform infrared spectra used for predicting coagulation properties / Dadousis, C.; Cipolat Gotet, C.; Stocco, G.; Ferragina, A.; Dettori, M. L.; Pazzola, M.; do Nascimento Rangel, A. H.; Vacca, G. M.. - In: JOURNAL OF DAIRY SCIENCE. - ISSN 0022-0302. -104:4(2021), pp. 3927-3935. [10.3168/jds.2020-19587]

Availability: This version is available at: 11381/2898651 since: 2022-01-14T17:16:59Z

Publisher: Elsevier Inc.

Published DOI:10.3168/jds.2020-19587

Terms of use: openAccess

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

INTERPRETIVE SUMMARY

Goat farm variability affects milk Fourier-transform infrared spectra used for predicting 2 coagulation properties. By Dadousis et al. page 000. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy 3 (FTIR) is widely used to predict milk protein and fat content in cattle and small ruminants, while its 4 usefulness in various production, health and environmental traits is under continuous research. Driven 5 by the large amount of goat milk destined for cheese production, in this study we investigated the 6 7 potential of FTIR to predict milk coagulation and curd firmness (cheese related) traits in goats. Our 8 results evidenced important farm variability that should be taken into account when developing FTIR prediction equations for milk coagulation traits in goats. 9

10	PREDICTION OF COAGULATION TRAITS IN SARDA GOAT MILK
11	Goat farm variability affects milk Fourier-transform infrared spectra used for predicting
12	coagulation properties.
13	Christos Dadousis, ¹ Claudio Cipolat-Gotet, ¹ Giorgia Stocco, ^{1*} Alessandro Ferragina, ² Maria L.
14	Dettori, ³ Michele Pazzola, ³ Adriano Henrique do Nascimento Rangel, ⁴ and Giuseppe M. Vacca ³
15	
16	¹ Department of Veterinary Science, University of Parma, 43126 Parma, Italy
17	² Food Quality and Sensory Science Department, Teagasc Food Research Centre, D15 KN3K,
18	Ireland
19	³ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy
20	⁴ Agricultural School of Jundiaì, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte, 59078970 Natal, Brazil
21	

22 ¹Corresponding author: giorgia.stocco@unipr.it

ABSTRACT

Driven by the large amount of goat milk destined for cheese production, and to pioneer the 24 goat cheese industry, the objective of this study was to assess the effect of farm in predicting goat 25 milk coagulation and curd firmness traits via Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Spectra 26 from 452 Sarda goats belonging to 14 farms in Central and South-East Sardinia (Italy) were collected. 27 A Bayesian linear regression model was used, estimating all spectral wavelengths' effects 28 simultaneously. Three traditional milk coagulation properties [rennet coagulation time (RCT, min), 29 time to curd firmness of 20 mm (k₂₀, min) and curd firmness 30 min after rennet addition (a₃₀, mm)] 30 and three modeled over time curd firmness measures [(RCTeq: RCT estimated according to curd 31 32 firmness change over time); k_{CF}: instant curd firming rate constant and CF_P: asymptotical curd 33 firmness)] were considered. A stratified cross-validation (SCV) was assigned evaluating each farm separately (validation set; VAL) and keeping all the rest farms to train (calibration set; CAL) the 34 statistical model. Moreover, a SCV where 20% of the goats, randomly taken (ten replicates per farm), 35 from the VAL farm entered the CAL set, was also considered (SCV₈₀). To assess model performance, 36 coefficient of determination (R^2_{VAL}) and the root mean squared error of validation were recorded. The 37 R^{2}_{VAL} varied between 0.14 to 0.45 (k_{CF} and RCT_{eq}, respectively), albeit the standard deviation was 38 39 approximating half of the mean, for all the traits. Although, average results of the two SCV procedures were similar, in SCV₈₀ the maximum R^{2}_{VAL} increased at about 15% across traits, with the highest 40 being observed for k_{20} (20%) and the lowest for RCT_{eq} (6%). Further investigation evidenced 41 important variability among farms, with R^{2}_{VAL} for some of them being close to 0. Our work outlined 42 the importance of taking into account the effect of farm when developing FTIR prediction equations 43 for coagulation and curd firmness traits in goats. 44

45

46 Key words: goat, coagulation, curd firmness, farm, infrared spectra

INTRODUCTION

A large proportion of world goat milk is destined to cheese production, especially in those 48 countries included in the Mediterranean basin (FAOSTAT, 2018). This region is characterized by 49 adverse weather and environmental conditions, in which autochthonous goat breeds are well adapted 50 and usually managed in extensive or semi-extensive management types (Di Trana et al., 2015; Stella 51 et al., 2018). It has been shown that the farming system represents a very large source of variation 52 53 (ranging between 16 to 70% of the total variability) in milk composition and milk processing characteristics, such as the coagulation properties (Pazzola et al., 2018b). These values are greater 54 compared to those of bovine (between 9 to 16%; Bittante et al., 2015) and ovine (from 16 to 43%; 55 Vacca et al., 2015) farming methods. Indeed, a great variability of goat farming has been reported 56 57 (Usai et al., 2006). The importance of the type of farming system relates with the destination of the milk produced and the genetics of the animals (Pazzola et al., 2018b). For instance, harsh 58 59 environments and extreme extensive management are more suitable for indigenous breeds (Di Trana et al., 2015), able to produce a milk characterized by better composition (e.g., high milk fat and 60 protein), and technological characteristics than that from cosmopolitan breeds (Čermak et al., 2013; 61 Paschino et al., 2020). 62

63 Among the milk technological characteristics, traditional milk coagulation properties (MCP) 64 are widely used to describe the complex process of cheese-making. Moreover, the extension of MCP through the calibration of the curd firmness as a function of time (CF_t) provides a more complete 65 overview of the coagulation process (Bittante, 2011). There is an extensive and well-documented 66 67 literature on the importance and relevance of MCP, mainly in cattle (Bittante et al., 2012; Stocco et al., 2017; Nilsson et al., 2019), but also in sheep (Caballero-Villalobos et al., 2018; Cipolat-Gotet et 68 69 al., 2018) and to a less extent in goats (Vacca et al., 2020). In addition, MCP show heritability estimates between 0.15 - 0.27 in cattle (Dadousis et al., 2016) and 0.09 - 0.19 in sheep (Bittante et 70 al., 2017). Hence, directional selection on desirable MCP characteristics is applicable. This could be 71 72 of particular interest in goats, especially for those breeds (e.g., Alpine, Toggenburg) characterized by

weak or non-expressing alleles (e.g., F, N allele) of α_{s1} -casein, associated with unfavorable coagulation process (Maga et al., 2009; Devold et al., 2011). However, high MCP analysis costs and logistics pose restriction for their wide-scale application.

Nowadays, a potential solution to overcome those limitations can be derived via Fourier-76 transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. Indeed, there is an increasing interest in the dairy sector on 77 the usefulness of FTIR information for the prediction of a variety of phenotypes (Tiplady et al., 2019), 78 either directly measurable in milk (e.g., fatty acids; Soyeurt et al., 2006) or related to the milk 79 processing characteristics (e.g., cheese-making traits, MCP; Ferragina et al., 2013; Visentin et al., 80 2017) and the animal condition (e.g., energy efficiency, lameness; McParland and Berry, 2016; 81 82 Bonfatti et al., 2020). In dairy cattle, recent advanced research made applicable MCP predictions via 83 FTIR spectroscopy in the milk payment system of some Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) cheese consortia to reward or penalize dairy farmers (e.g., Trentigrana PDO cheese; Benedet et al., 84 2018). In the case of small ruminants, the practical use of the FTIR predictions along the dairy chain 85 is still lacking. Although there is ongoing research in sheep on the use of FTIR spectroscopy for the 86 prediction of MCP and CFt parameters (Correddu et al., 2016; Ferragina et al., 2017), up to present, 87 88 there are no data available in goats.

89 An important factor to consider when developing prediction equations via milk FTIR spectra 90 is the structure of the data, especially for traits not directly measurable in milk (e.g., technological 91 traits, animal health, environment). In bovine milk, it has been shown that a random cross-validation (CV) might overestimate the prediction accuracy of methane emission traits (Wang and Bovenhuis, 92 93 2019). Rather, a stratified CV, where for example each farm is evaluated separately, might provide a more realistic model assessment (Wang and Bovenhuis, 2019). In previous studies, great variability 94 95 was observed in different goat farming systems (Usai et al., 2006) and in MCP and CFt parameters among individual farms (Pazzola et al., 2018; Vacca et al., 2018). Hence, the type of goat farm is a 96 factor that should be assessed and its effect quantified on FTIR prediction models for MCP and CF_t 97 98 parameters.

Altogether, the economic importance of MCP and CF_t parameters in the dairy sector justifies for further investigation on the practical application at a wide-scale of milk FTIR spectroscopy to predict MCP and CF_t parameters, that could pioneer the entire goat cheese industry, at a farm, breeding and dairy plant levels. To this purpose, our objective was to i) investigate the potential of milk FTIR spectroscopy for the prediction of MCP and CF_t parameters in goats, and ii) quantify the effect of the farm variability on the prediction accuracy of MCP and CF_t parameters using individual Sarda goat milk samples.

- 106
- 107

MATERIALS AND METHODS

108 Farm Characteristics, Milk Sampling and Analyses

The study involved 452 Sarda goats reared in 14 farms (F01 to F14), distributed across the island of Sardinia (Italy). Sampled farms were officially registered in the flock book and recording system of provincial associations of goat breeders. Farms characteristics are summarized in Table 1. In brief, the extensive system consisted of family-managed farms, pasture feeding, natural mating, and milking on the return of goats from pasture; while the semi-extensive system was characterized by cultivated grasslands, control of estrus and kidding season.

Individual milk samples (100 mL/goat) were collected during the afternoon milking (one 115 116 sampling day for each farm). Milk was sampled from the recorder jar under each stall in mechanical milking systems, and from the stainless steel graduated pails in the hand-milked systems, over the 117 entire milking of each goat. Milk samples were then stored at 4°C and analyzed within 24 h after 118 119 collection. For each individual milk sample, two measurements of MCP were performed using a lactodynamograph (Formagraph; Foss Electric A/S, Hillerod, Denmark) during a 30 min test analysis, 120 following the procedure reported by Pazzola et al. (2018b). In brief, 10 mL of milk (in double) for 121 each sample were heated to 35°C for 15 min, and then mixed with 200 µL of the rennet solution 122 [Hansen Naturen Plus 215 (Pacovis Amrein AG, Bern, Switzerland), with 80±5% chymosin and 123 20±5% pepsin; 215 international milk clotting units/mL; diluted to 1.2% (wt/vol) in distilled water to 124

reach the final value of 0.0513 international milk clotting units/mL of milk]. Coagulation process
 occurred at 35°C. The MCP recorded were: rennet coagulation time (RCT, min), time to curd
 firmness of 20 mm (k₂₀, min) and curd firmness 30 min after rennet addition (a₃₀, mm).

During lactodynamographic analysis, the Formagraph instrument records every 15 s the width (mm) of the oscillatory graph designed by the pendula immerged in the milk samples after rennet addition. Consequently, 120 curd firmness (**CF**) observations are recorded for each individual milk sample. The 30 min test analysis allowed to use the following 3-parameter model (Bittante, 2011):

132
$$CF_t = CF_P \times (1 - e^{-k_{CF}(t - RCT_{eq})})$$

where CF_t is curd firmness at time t (mm); CF_P is the asymptotical potential value of CF at an infinite time in absence of syneresis (mm); k_{CF} is the curd-firming instant rate constant (%/min); and RCT_{eq} is RCT estimated by CF_t equation on the basis of all data points (min). Values of the aforementioned traits out from the interval of the mean ±3 standard deviations (SD) were considered outliers and excluded from further analysis.

For each milk sample, a FTIR spectrophotometer (MilkoScan FT6000; Foss, Hillerød, Denmark) was used to assess milk composition (fat and protein; ISO-IDF 2013), and to collect the spectrum over the range from wavenumber 5,011 to $925 \times \text{cm}^{-1}$. Spectra were stored as absorbance (A) using the transformation A = log(1/T), where T is the transmission. Two spectral acquisitions were performed for each sample, and the results were averaged before data analysis.

Somatic cell count (SCC) was determined by Fossomatic 5000 (Foss Electric A/S, Hillerod, Denmark) according to ISO-IDF standard (2006), and later transformed into the logarithmic somatic cell score [SCS = $\log_2(SCC \times 10^{-5}) + 3$; (Ali and Shook, 1980)]. Total bacterial count was determined using a BactoScan FC150 analyzer (Foss Electric A/S, Hillerod, Denmark) according to ISO-IDF standard (2004), and transformed into the logarithmic bacterial count [LBC = \log_{10} (total bacterial count/1,000)].

149

150 Statistical Analysis and FTIR Spectra

151 *Modeling and Repeatability of Coagulation Traits*

Files containing the 120 CF values for each milk sample were processed fitting a curvilinear regression with the PROC NLIN procedure (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The parameters of each individual equation were estimated employing the Marquardt iterative method (350 iterations and 10^{-5} level of convergence).

To estimate the coefficient of repeatability (%), MCP and CF_t parameters (2 replicates per goat), were analyzed using a MIXED procedure (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) that included the random effects of farm, animal, pendulum (measuring unit of the Formagraph instrument) and the residual. The coefficient of repeatability (**REP**, %) for MCP and CF_t parameters was then calculated as the ratio of the sum of the variances of the random effects of farm, animal and pendulum to the total variance.

162 Spectra Editing and Chemometric Model

Prior to spectra analysis, the absorbance values of every wavelength in the FTIR spectra of the milk samples, were centered and standardized to a null mean and a unit sample variance. To detect outliers, Mahalanobis distances were calculated by means of the Mahalanobis function implemented in the R software (R Core Team, 2013). No samples were discarded because all the spectra presented a distance value lower than the mean±3 standard deviations. The spectra were not subjected to any other mathematical pretreatment.

A Bayesian linear regression was used to predict the RCT, k_{20} , a_{30} , RCT_{eq}, k_{CF} and CF_P. All phenotypes were regressed to 1,060 spectra under the following model: $y = \mu + \sum_{j=1}^{1,060} x_{ij}\beta_j + e_i$, where μ is the overall mean, x_{ij} are the FTIR wavelengths, β_j are the regression coefficients and e_i the residual with *iid* ~ $N(0, \sigma_e^2)$. The BayesB model implemented in the *BGLR* R package was adopted (de los Campos and Perez-Rodriguez, 2014) as described in Ferragina et al. (2017).

174 Stratified Cross-Validation Procedures

A stratified external cross-validation (SCV) scheme was used to assess model's predictive 175 ability, where one farm at a time consisted of the validation set (VAL). Goats from the remaining 176 farms were consisted of the calibration (CAL) set. The procedure was repeated 14 times, such that 177 all farms were evaluated. In addition, to assess the importance of shared variability between CAL and 178 VAL, a SCV where 20% of the goats from one farm to be validated was included in CAL, and the 179 VAL set consisted of the remaining 80% of the goats from the evaluated farm, was considered 180 (referred to as SCV₈₀ hereafter). To account for individual sampling variability, the 20% of the goats 181 was sampled at random and the procedure was repeated 10 times per farm. Results from SCV were 182 averaged across the 14 farms and, in the SCV₈₀, over the ten replicates per farm. For all calibrations, 183 184 model performance was measured using the coefficient of determination (\mathbf{R}^2) , the root mean squared 185 error (**RMSE**), and the SD of both CAL and VAL sets.

- 186
- 187

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

188 Prediction Accuracy of Goat Milk Coagulation Traits

Descriptive statistics and prediction results of the SCV are presented in Table 2. Mean values 189 were consistent with those reported in the Sarda goat milk literature (Pazzola et al., 2018a). 190 Repeatability of coagulation traits ranged from 98% (for RCT and RCT_{eq}) to 84% (for k_{CF} and CF_P). 191 192 The CF measurements (a₃₀ and CF_P traits) are generally characterized by a reduced instrumental repeatability and reproducibility in later time after rennet addition, which is more profound after 193 gelation (Ferragina et al., 2017). Compared to other species, repeatability values of goat RCT, RCT_{eq} 194 195 and CF_P traits were similar to that of bovine (Stocco et al., 2017) and ovine (Ferragina et al., 2017). Goat milk is generally characterized by slower increase of curd firmness, weaker casein network 196 forming after gelation, and earlier syneresis compared to bovine and ovine milk (Inglingstad et al., 197 2014; Pazzola et al., 2018b; Roy et al., 2020). Because of these characteristics of the goat coagulation 198 process and, because the traditional lactodynamograph set up for analysis of bovine milk was 199 designed to explore primarily the coagulation and the first part of curd-firming process, not syneresis, 200

a slight decrease of repeatability of CF measurements after RCT is expected. For this reason REP is 201 commonly very high for the first traits measured (e.g., RCT and RCT_{eq}) and tends to decrease over 202 time both in the case of traditional and modeled coagulation traits (Stocco et al., 2015). This 203 phenomenon is explained by the fact that, during the test, the variation related to the curd-firming and 204 syneresis tends to accumulate over time. In the present study, only a₃₀ showed higher REP value than 205 those reported for bovine (Stocco et al., 2017) and ovine milk (Ferragina et al., 2017). This could be 206 207 due to the fact that milk from Sarda goats of the present study is characterized by very good milk quality (e.g., high fat and protein contents; Table 1) and coagulative aptitude, faster gelation and curd-208 firming, and firmer coagulum than other dairy goat breeds (e.g., Alpine, Saanen; Vacca et al., 2018). 209 210 Among the factors influencing the reliability of the FTIR predictions, the goodness (repeatability and 211 accuracy) of the reference values is very important (Caredda et al., 2016). Indeed, it is interesting to notice that the prediction accuracy decreased with progressed coagulation (e.g., higher for RCT and 212 lower for a_{30}), along with decreasing REP values (Table 2). 213

Regarding SCV predictions (Table 2), RCT and RCT_{eq} showed the highest R^{2}_{CAL} (0.64 and 214 0.61, respectively), followed by CF_P ($R^{2}_{CAL} = 0.50$). The remaining traits had $R^{2}_{CAL} < 0.50$, while the 215 lowest was observed for k_{CF} (0.37). In general, results in the CAL set were comparable to those 216 217 reported in ovine milk (Ferragina et al., 2017), in particular for the traits directly related to curd firmness (a₃₀ and CF_P). In the VAL set, the R²_{VAL} was lower and the RMSE was higher, albeit with 218 much higher SD for both parameters compared to CAL, while the ranking among traits was analogous 219 to the CAL. Since this was the first study investigating the effect of farm on the prediction accuracy 220 221 of MCP and CF_t parameters in goat milk via FTIR spectroscopy, comparison with literature was restricted. However, a recent study (unpublished data) assessing the goat breed (four breeds 222 223 considered) effect on the prediction of MCP and CFt parameters via FTIR spectroscopy, by using a random 5-fold CV procedure, reported R^{2}_{VAL} from 0.42 to 0.68 for MCP (RCT and a_{60} , respectively) 224 and from 0.14 to 0.60 for CF_t parameters (syneresis rate and CF_P, respectively). The study also 225 confirmed decreased prediction accuracies in a SCV scenario (using three breeds as CAL, and the 226

remaining breed as VAL set), suggesting the importance of considering the breed of goats while developing FTIR calibrations. Similar to those results, our study showed the importance of considering the differences among farms on the prediction accuracy of MCP and CF_t parameters. This variability was evident observing the high SD of both R^2_{VAL} and RMSE_{VAL} (Table 2), higher compared with a previous study on the same traits and statistical methodology in sheep (Ferragina et al., 2017).

233

234 Effect of Farm Variability on the Prediction Accuracy of Coagulation Traits

By including 20% of the VAL farm in the TRN set (SCV₈₀), our expectation was to increase 235 R^{2}_{VAL} , since important variation was included in the model training, and also because, by using this 236 approach, CAL and VAL dataset are not completely independent (Figure 1). On average, R^{2}_{VAL} 237 remained the same as the SCV procedure, and was of 0.45, 0.32, 0.29, 0.44, 0.17 and 0.33 for RCT, 238 k₂₀, a₃₀, RCT_{eq}, k_{CF} and CF_P, respectively, with also similar SD to the SCV (data not shown). 239 However, although the minimum R^2_{VAL} was again close to 0, the maximum obtained R^2_{VAL} values 240 were increased (0.87, 0.73, 0.73, 0.85, 0.65 and 0.79 for RCT, k₂₀, a₃₀, RCT_{eq}, k_{CF} and CF_P, 241 respectively); representing an increase of ~20% for k₂₀, ~16% for RCT, a₃₀ and k_{CF}, ~14% for CF_P, 242 with the minimum (~0.06%) for the RCT_{eq}. On average, R^2_{VAL} results for each coagulation trait 243 244 among farms presented in Figure 1 were analogous to the SCV, albeit with no repetitions per farm in that case. A considerable R^{2}_{VAL} variation among farms was observed (Figure 1). Interaction between 245 farm and trait was also present. More precisely, across the traits, we observed: i) farms with either 246 247 low or high variability of prediction model performance (e.g., F02 and F11 for RCT, respectively), ii) consistent high or low $\frac{R^2_{VAL}}{R^2_{VAL}}$ values, relative to the remaining farms across the traits (e.g., F02 vs. 248 F12), iii) different $\frac{R^2_{VAL}}{R^2_{VAL}}$ patterns, showing either high or low R^2_{VAL} (e.g., F01 and F10 comparing 249 k_{CF} to all the rest of the traits), iv) general low predictability of k_{CF} trait with three farms (F01, F04) 250 and F08) showing R^{2}_{VAL} close to 0, v) similar variation patterns across farms of RCT and RCT_{eq} 251 traits, and interestingly, vi) farm F12 showed R^2_{VAL} close to 0 across all traits. Obviously, the overall 252

model performance presented in Table 2 and Figure 1 was improved (data not shown) when excluding 253 254 this specific farm (F12). It is important to consider that the region where milk samples were collected has been characterized for decades by extensive and semi-extensive goat farming management, highly 255 variable among areas of the island (Usai et al., 2006). As aforementioned, the variability of farms 256 affects both composition and coagulation ability of goat milk (Vacca et al., 2018; Pazzola et al., 257 2018b). Hence, variability of R^{2}_{VAL} among farms was, up to an extent, expected. In particular, two of 258 259 the farms (F11, F12) are located in a high altitude and adverse-environmental-conditions area. Those factors, together with the lower hygienic control practiced by the farmers over the goats (the flocks 260 are let free to graze without supervision in extensive farms), represent a source of milk quality 261 262 variation (Pazzola et al., 2018b), that further influences the processing characteristics. For example, 263 changes occurring at milk composition and coagulation level often caused by bacterial or somatic cell counts are well documented in goats (Barrón-Bravo et al., 2013; Stocco et al., 2019). In addition, the 264 high genetic variability characterizing the Sarda breed (Dettori et al., 2015; Pazzola et al., 2018a), 265 and other non-genetic factors (e.g., parity, days in milk), might have caused the large differences in 266 the R^{2}_{VAL} values among farms. It is important to consider that, usually, the CV cross-validation 267 procedure is used to evaluate the performance of prediction equations, where data are split randomly 268 269 into a CAL and a VAL set. However, it has been demonstrated that, when there are dependence 270 structures in the data, CV may overestimate prediction accuracies (Roberts et al., 2017). In particular, 271 Qin et al. (2016) indicated that random CV underestimates the error of the prediction equation when traits to be predicted are analyzed in batches, in which there are systematic differences among them. 272 273 In our case, because of the differences among farms within farming systems (Table 1), we chose to build calibration equations directly at a farm level, in order to take into account the differences in 274 275 milk coagulation traits (and therefore in the milk spectra) arising from the differences among farms. Wang and Bovenhuis (2019) investigated the feasibility of bovine milk IR spectra to predict methane 276 emissions by comparing random and block CV (using farms as blocks) procedures. They showed 277 R^{2}_{VAL} values of 0.49 and 0.01, respectively for random and block CV. They suggested that the 278

difference in the prediction accuracy between the two procedures could have been due to the confounding effect of farm and date of milk IR collection, and especially to the breath sensors used to measure methane emissions, which largely differed among farms.

282

283

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, our work evidenced the feasibility of using FTIR spectroscopy to predict MCP and 284 285 CF_t parameters in goat milk. Despite this, a great variability was observed among farms and traits. The generally low R^2_{VAL} do not justify for practical application, at present, of the predicted 286 coagulation traits. However, among traits, RCT and RCT_{eq} showed the highest accuracies, while k_{CF} 287 288 was on the opposite line. Moreover, our results demonstrated the importance of farm variability in 289 relation to coagulation traits, that should be considered while developing FTIR calibrations, in order to not incur in misleading accuracies. Future studies with other farming systems, statistical models, 290 291 and with increased sample size are expected to show improvements in the model performance. A further investigation on the predictive performance of FTIR on individual cheese yield traits would 292 293 be interesting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

295	This research was supported by the "Fondo di Ateneo per la Ricerca 2019" (Finanziamento
296	straordinario una tantum per la ricerca, one-time extraordinary research grant) University of Sassari
297	(Sassari, Italy). The authors thank the farmers for giving access to their flocks; the Provincial Farmers
298	Associations (Associazioni Interprovinciali e Provinciali degli Allevatori, A.I.P.A./A.P.A.) of
299	Cagliari, Nuoro, Sassari and Oristano (Italy) for their support in sample collection; and the Regional
300	Farmer Association of Sardinia (Associazione Regionale degli Allevatori, A.R.A. Sardegna, Cagliari,
301	Italy) for support in milk analysis. The authors have not stated any conflicts of interest.
302	
303	REFERENCES
304	Barrón-Bravo, O. G., A. J. Gutiérrez-Chávez, C. A. Ángel-Sahagún, H. H. Montaldo, L. Shepard, and
305	M. Valencia-Posadas. 2013. Losses in milk yield, fat and protein contents according to
306	different levels of somatic cell count in dairy goats. Small Rumin. Res. 113:421-431.
307	Benedet, A., C. L. Manuelian, M. Penasa, M. Cassandro, F. Righi, M. Sternieri, P. Galimberti, A.V.
308	Zambrini, and M. De Marchi. 2018. Factors associated with herd bulk milk composition and
309	technological traits in the Italian dairy industry J. Dairy Sci. 101:934-943.
310	Bittante, G. 2011. Modeling rennet coagulation time and curd firmness of milk. J. Dairy Sci. 94:5821-
311	5832.
312	Bittante, G., C. Cipolat-Gotet, F. Malchiodi, E. Sturaro, F. Tagliapietra, S. Schiavon, and A.
313	Cecchinato. 2015. Effect of dairy farming system, herd, season, parity and days in milk on
314	modeling of the coagulation, curd firming and syneresis of bovine milk. J. Dairy Sci. 98:2759-
315	2774.
316	Bittante, G., C. Cipolat-Gotet, M. Pazzola, M. L. Dettori, G. M. Vacca, and A. Cecchinato. 2017.
317	Genetic analysis of coagulation properties, curd firming modeling, milk yield, composition
318	and acidity in Sarda dairy sheep. J. Dairy Sci. 100:385-394.

- Bittante, G., M. Penasa, and A. Cecchinato. 2012. Invited review: Genetics and modeling of milk
 coagulation properties. J. Dairy Sci. 95:6843-6870.
- Bonfatti, V., P. N. Ho, and J. E. Pryce. 2020. Usefulness of milk mid-infrared spectroscopy for
 predicting lameness score in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 103:2534-2544.
- Caballero-Villalobos, J., A. Figueroa, K. Xibrraku, E. Angón, J.M. Perea, and A. Garzón. 2018.
 Multivariate analysis of the milk coagulation process in ovine breeds from Spain. J. Dairy Sci.
 101:10733-10742.
- Caredda, M., M. Addis, I. Ibba, R. Leardi, M. F. Scintu, G. Piredda, and G. Sanna. 2016. Prediction
 of fatty acid in sheep milk by midinfrared spectrometry with a selection of wavelengths by
 genetic algorithms. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 65:503-510.
- Čermak, B., V. Kral, J. Frelich, L. Bohačova, B. Vondraškova, J. Špička, E. Samkova, M.
 Podsedniček, A. Węglarz, J. Makulska, and P. Zapletal. 2013. Quality of goat pasture in less favoured areas (LFA) of the Czech Republic and its effect on fatty acid content of goat milk
 and cheese. Anim. Sci. Pap. Rep. 31:331-346.
- Cipolat-Gotet, C., M. Pazzola, A. Ferragina, A. Cecchinato, M.L. Dettori, and G.M. Vacca. 2018.
 Technical note: Improving modeling of coagulation, curd firming, and syneresis of sheep
 milk. J. Dairy Sci. 101:5832-5837.
- Correddu, F., M. Cellesi, J. Serdino, M. G. Manca, M. Contu, M. C. Dimauro, I. Ibba, and N. P. P.
 Macciotta. 2019. Genetic parameters of milk fatty acid profile in sheep: Comparison between
 gas chromatographic measurements and Fourier-transform IR spectroscopy predictions.
 Animal 13:469-476.
- Dadousis, C., S. Biffani, C. Cipolat-Gotet, E. L. Nicolazzi, A. Rossoni, E. Santus, G. Bittante, and A.
 Cecchinato. 2016. Genome-wide association of coagulation properties, curd firmness
 modeling, protein percentage, and acidity in milk from Brown Swiss cows. J. Dairy Sci.
 99:3654-3666.

- de los Campos, G., and P. Perez Rodriguez. 2015. BGLR: Bayesian Generalized Linear Regression.
- R package version 1.0.4. Accessed May 25, 2019. <u>http://CRAN.R-</u>
 project.org/package=BGLR.
- Dettori, M. L., M. Pazzola, P. Paschino, M. G. Pira, and G. M. Vacca. 2015. Variability of the caprine
 whey protein genes and their association with milk yield, composition and renneting
 properties in the Sarda breed. 1. The LALBA gene. J. Dairy Res. 82:434-441.
- Devold, T. G., R. Nordbø, T. Langsrud, C. Svenning, M. J. Brovold, E. S. Sørensen, B. Christensen,
 T. Ådnøy, and G. E. Vegarud. 2011. Extreme frequencies of the αs1-casein "null" variant in
 milk from Norwegian dairy goats Implications for milk composition, micellar size and
 renneting properties. Dairy Sci. Technol. 91:39-51.
- Di Trana, A., L. Sepe, P. Di Gregorio, M. A. Di Napoli, D. Giorgio, A. R. Caputa, and S. Claps. 2015.
 The role of local sheep and goat breeds and their products as a tool for sustainability and
 safeguard of the Mediterranean environment. Pages 77-112 in The Sustainability of AgroFood and Natural Resources Systems in the Mediterranean Basin. Springer International
 Publishing AG, Cham, Switzerland.
- FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division). 2018.
 Statistical Database of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Accessed
 July 20, 2018. <u>http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QL</u>.
- Ferragina, A., C. Cipolat-Gotet, A. Cecchinato, and G. Bittante. 2013. The use of Fourier-transform
 infrared spectroscopy to predict cheese yield and nutrient recovery or whey loss traits from
 unprocessed bovine milk samples. J. Dairy Sci. 96:7980-7990.
- Ferragina, A., C. Cipolat-Gotet, A. Cecchinato, M. Pazzola, M. L. Dettori, G. M. Vacca, and G.
 Bittante. 2017. Prediction and repeatability of milk coagulation properties and curd-firming
 modeling parameters of ovine milk using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and
 Bayesian models. J. Dairy Sci. 100:3526-3538.

369	Inglingstad, R. A., H. Steinshamn, B. S. Dagnachew, B. Valenti, A. Criscione, E. O. Rukke, T. G.
370	Devold, S. B. Skeie, and G. E. Vegarud. 2014. Grazing season and forage type influence goat
371	milk composition and rennet coagulation properties. J. Dairy Sci. 97:3800-3814.

- 372 ISO-IDF 2004. International Organization for Standardization and International Dairy Federation.
- Milk: Quantitative determination of bacteriological quality Guidance for establishing and
 verifying a conversion relationship between routine method results and anchor method results.
 International Standard ISO 21187 and IDF 196:2004. 2004. ISO, Geneva, Switzerland, and
- 376 IDF, Brussels, Belgium.
- ISO-IDF 2006. International Organization for Standardization and International Dairy Federation.
 Milk: Enumeration of somatic cells Part 2: Guidance on the operation of fluoro-optoelectronic counters. International Standard ISO 13366-2 and IDF IDF 148-2:2006. 2006. ISO,
 Geneva, Switzerland, and IDF, Brussels, Belgium.
- ISO-IDF 2013. International Organization for Standardization and International Dairy Federation.
 Milk and liquid milk products: Determination of fat, protein, casein, lactose and pH content.
 International Standard ISO 9622 and IDF 141:2013. 2013. ISO, Geneva, Switzerland, and
 IDF, Brussels, Belgium.
- Maga, E. A., P. Daftari, D. Kültz, and M. C. T. Penedo. 2009. Prevalence of αs1-casein genotypes in
 American dairy goats. J. Anim. Sci. 87:3464-3469.
- McParland, S., and D. P. Berry. 2016. The potential of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy of
 milk samples to predict energy intake and efficiency in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 99:4056 4070.
- 390 Nilsson, K., H. Stålhammar, M. Stenholdt Hansen, H. Lindmark-Månsson, S. Duchemin, F. Fikse,
- 391 D.J. de Koning, M. Paulsson, and M. Glantz. 2019. Characterisation of non-coagulating milk
 392 and effects of milk composition and physical properties on rennet-induced coagulation in
 393 Swedish Red Dairy Cattle. Int. Dairy J. 95:50-57.

- Paschino, P., G. Stocco, M. L. Dettori, M. Pazzola, M. L. Marongiu, C. E. Pilo, C. Cipolat-Gotet, and
 G. M. Vacca. 2020. Characterization of milk composition, coagulation properties and cheesemaking ability of goats reared in extensive farms. J. Dairy Sci. 103:5830-5843.
- Pazzola, M., M. L. Dettori, and G. M. Vacca. 2018a. The Sarda goat, A resource for the extensive
 exploitation in the Mediterranean environment. In Sustainable Goat Production in Adverse
 Environments: Volume II. J. Simoes and C. Gutierrez, ed. Springer, Cham, Switzerland.
- Pazzola, M., G. Stocco, P. Paschino, M. L. Dettori, C. Cipolat-Gotet, G. Bittante, and G.M. Vacca.
 2018b. Modeling of coagulation, curd firming, and syneresis of goat milk from 6 breeds. J.
 Dairy Sci. 101:7027-7039.
- Qin, L. X., H. C. Huang, and C. B. Begg. 2016. Cautionary note on using cross-validation for
 molecular classification. J. Clin. Oncol. 34:3931-3938.
- 405 R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. <u>http://www.R-</u>
 406 project.org/.
- Roberts, D. R., V. Bahn, S. Ciuti, M. S. Boyce, J. Elith, G. Guillera-Arroita, S. Hauenstein, J. J.
 Lahoz-Monfort, B. Schröder, W. Thuiller, D. I. Warton, B. A. Wintle, F. Hartig, and C. F.
 Dormann. 2017. Cross-validation strategies for data with temporal, spatial, hierarchical, or
 phylogenetic structure. Ecography. 40:913-929.
- Roy, D., A. Ye, P. J. Moughan, and H. Singh. 2020. Gelation of milks of different species (dairy
 cattle, goat, sheep, red deer, and water buffalo) using glucono-δ-lactone and pepsin. J. Dairy
 Sci. 103:5844-5862.
- 414 Soyeurt, H., P. Dardenne, F. Dehareng, G. Lognay, D. Veselko, M. Marlier, C. Bertozzi, P. Mayeres,
- and N. Gengler. 2006. Estimating fatty acid content in cow milk using mid-infrared
 spectrometry. J. Dairy Sci. 89:3690-3695
- 417 Stella, A., E. L. Nicolazzi, C. P. Van Tassell, M. F. Rothschild, L. Colli, B.D. Rosen, T.S. Sonstegard,
- 418 P. Crepaldi, G. Tosser-Klopp, S. Joost, M. Amills, P. Ajmone-Marsan, F. Bertolini, P.
- 419 Boettcher, R. Boyle Onzima, D. Bradley, D. Buja, M.E. Cano Pereira, A. Carta, G. Catillo, L.

- 420 Colli, P. Crepaldi, A. Crisà, M. Del Corvo, K. Daly, C. Droegemueller, S. Duruz, A. Elbeltagi,
- 421 A. Esmailizadeh, O. Faco, T. Figueiredo Cardoso, C. Flury, J.F. Garcia, B. Guldbrandtsen, A.
- 422 Haile, J. Hallsteinn Hallsson, M. Heaton, V. Hunnicke Nielsen, H. Huson, S. Joost, J. Kijas,
- 423 J.A. Lenstra, G. Marras, M. Milanesi, C. Minhui, M. Moaeen-ud-Din, R. Morry O'Donnell,
- 424 O. Moses Danlami, J. Mwacharo, E.L. Nicolazzi, I. Palhière, F. Pilla, M. Poli, J. Reecy, B.A.
- 425 Rischkowsky, E. Rochat, B. Rosen, M. Rothschild, R. Rupp, B. Sayre, B. Servin, K. Silva, T.
- 426 Sonstegard, G. Spangler, A. Stella, R. Steri, A. Talenti, F. Tortereau, G. Tosser-Klopp, E.
- 427 Vajana, C.P. Van Tassell, W. Zhang, and the AdaptMap Consortium. 2018. AdaptMap:
 428 exploring goat diversity and adaptation. Genet. Sel. Evol. 50:61.
- Stocco, G., C. Cipolat-Gotet, T. Bobbo, A. Cecchinato, and G. Bittante. 2017. Breed of cow and herd
 productivity affect milk composition and modeling of coagulation, curd firming, and
 syneresis. J. Dairy Sci. 100:129-145.
- 432 Stocco, G., C. Cipolat-Gotet, A. Cecchinato, L. Calamari, and G. Bittante. 2015. Milk skimming,
- 433 heating, acidification, lysozyme, and rennet affect the pattern, repeatability, and predictability
- of milk coagulation properties and of curd-firming model parameters: A case study of Grana
 Padano. J. Dairy Sci. 98:5052-5067.
- 436 Stocco, G., M. Pazzola, M. L. Dettori, C. Cipolat-Gotet, A. Summer, and G. M. Vacca. 2019. The
 437 effect of udder health indicators on composition and coagulation traits of goat milk. Int. Dairy
 438 J. 98:9-16.
- Tiplady, K.M., R.G. Sherlock, M.D. Littlejohn, J.E. Pryce, S.R. Davis, D.J. Garrick, R.J. Spelman,
 and B.L. Harris. 2019. Strategies for noise reduction and standardization of milk mid-infrared
 spectra from dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 102:6357-6372.
- Usai, M. G., S. Casu, G. Molle, M. Decandia, S. Ligios, and A. Carta. 2006. Using cluster analysis
 to characterize the goat farming system in Sardinia. Livest. Sci. 104:63-76.

- Vacca, G. M., M. Pazzola, M. L. Dettori, E. Pira, F. Malchiodi, C. Cipolat-Gotet, A. Cecchinato, and
 G. Bittante. 2015. Modeling of coagulation, curd firming and syneresis of milk from Sarda. J.
 Dairy Sci. 98:2245-2259.
- Vacca, G. M., G. Stocco, M. L. Dettori, E. Pira, G. Bittante, and M. Pazzola. 2018. Milk yield, quality
 and coagulation properties of 6 breeds of goats: Environmental and individual variability. J.
 Dairy Sci. 101:7236-7247.
- Vacca, G. M., G. Stocco, M. L. Dettori, G. Bittante, and M. Pazzola. 2020. Goat cheese yield and
 recovery of fat, protein, and total solids in curd are affected by milk coagulation properties. J.
 Dairy Sci. 103:1352-1365.
- Visentin, G., A. McDermott, S. McParland, D. P. Berry, O. A. Kenny, A. Brodkorb, M. A. Fenelon,
 and M. De Marchi. 2015. Prediction of bovine milk technological traits from mid-infrared
 spectroscopy analysis in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 98:6620-6629.
- Wang, Q., and H. Bovenhuis. 2019. Validation strategy can result in an overoptimistic view of the
 ability of milk infrared spectra to predict methane emission of dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci.
 102:6288-6295.

TABLES AND FIGURES

	Management system ¹				
	Extensive	Semi-extensive			
Farms, no.	6	8			
Goats, no.	183	269			
Flock size, no. of farms:					
Small (< 100 goats)	1	1			
Medium (100-200 goats)	3	5			
Large (> 200 goats)	2	2			
Altitude, no. of farms:					
Plain (< 200 m asl ²)	3	2			
Hill (200-500 m asl)	2	4			
Mountain (> 500 m asl)	1	2			
Milking, no. of farms:					
Mechanical	3	4			
Hand-milked	3	4			
Milk quality, mean ±SD:					
Fat, %	5.01±0.98	5.33±1.32			
Protein, %	3.97±0.52	3.87±0.51			
SCS ²	6.58±1.64	6.75±1.68			
LBC ³	1.80±0.91	1.71±0.86			

Table 1. Characteristics of sampled farms (N = 14). 461

¹Management system: extensive: family-managed farms, feeding at pasture, natural mating, milking 462 when goats are back from pasture; semi-extensive system: cultivated grasslands, control of estrus and 463 kidding season; ${}^{2}asl = above sea level.$ ${}^{2}SCS = log_{2} (SCC \times 10^{-5}) + 3.$ 464

465

 $^{3}LBC = logarithmic total bacterial count = log_{10} (total bacterial count/1,000).$ 466

467

468 **Table 2.** Descriptive statistics and repeatability (REP) of traditional milk coagulation properties (MCP) and curd firmness over time (CF_t) model

	Descriptive Statistics ²			Prediction Statistics ³									
Item ¹				Calibration			Validation						
	N	Mean	SD	REP	Ν	SD _{CAL}	R ² _{CAL}	RMSE _{CAL}	SD _{VAL}	R ² _{VAL}	$R^2_{interval}$	RMSE _{VAL}	$RMSE_{VAL}^{interval}$
Traditional MCP													
RCT, min	892	12.9	4.42	97.7	416	4.49±0.18	0.64 ± 0.04	2.69±0.16	3.95±0.92	0.42 ± 0.21	0.00-0.75	3.69±1.24	2.23-6.29
k ₂₀ , min	839	3.5	1.12	85.6	397	1.12±0.01	0.49 ± 0.05	0.80 ± 0.04	0.99±0.26	0.29±0.21	0.00-0.61	0.91±0.16	0.72-1.27
a ₃₀ , mm	901	37.5	11.0	87.2	422	11.0±0.34	0.47±0.03	8.07±0.33	10.2±3.27	0.27±0.17	0.02-0.63	9.63±3.49	6.47-17.83
CFt parameters													
RCT _{eq} , min	892	13.6	4.06	97.7	415	4.06±0.14	0.61±0.05	2.55±0.15	3.65±0.80	0.45 ± 0.20	0.00-0.80	3.30±1.04	2.10-5.32
k _{CF} , %/min	867	22.9	8.46	84.2	408	8.21±0.17	0.37±0.07	6.60±0.37	7.91±2.00	0.14±0.16	0.00-0.56	8.28±2.16	5.01-12.69
CF _p , mm	873	42.7	9.31	83.9	409	8.91±0.15	0.50 ± 0.01	6.31±0.14	7.98±1.68	0.32±0.19	0.00-0.69	7.19±1.84	4.90-11.04

469 parameters and results from Stratified Cross-Validation (SCV) calibrations using mid-infrared spectra of individual goat milk samples.

470 ¹Traditional milk coagulation properties: RCT = rennet coagulation time; k_{20} = curd firming time; a_{30} = curd firmness 30 min after rennet addition.

471 CF_t model parameters according to 3-parameter model: $RCT_{eq} = RCT$ estimated according to curd firm change over time modeling; $k_{CF} =$ instant curd

472 firming rate constant; CF_p = asymptotical curd firmness;

473 ²Repeatability (REP), % = $\frac{\sigma_{Farm}^2 + \sigma_{Animal}^2 + \sigma_{Pendulum}^2}{\sigma_{Farm}^2 + \sigma_{Animal}^2 + \sigma_{Pendulum}^2 + \sigma_e^2} \times 100;$

³ Average \pm SD from the SCV calibrations. For R²_{VAL} and RMSE²_{VAL} also intervals of validations were included. Results were averaged over the 14 runs (one per farm). Figure 1. Coefficient of determination of validation (R^2_{VAL}) results per farm (F01 to F14; purple boxes refer to extensive farms; yellow boxes refer

to semi-extensive farms) of traditional milk coagulation properties (MCP) and curd firmness over time (CFt) model parameters¹ using mid-infrared

- 478 spectra of individual goat milk samples in the second stratified cross-validation scenario $(SCV_{80})^2$.



¹Traditional MCP: RCT = rennet coagulation time; k_{20} = curd-firming time; a_{30} = curd firmness 30 min after rennet addition. CFt model parameters according to 3-parameter model: RCT_{eq} = RCT estimated according to curd firm change over time modeling; k_{CF} = instant curd firming rate constant; CF_p = asymptotical curd firmness;

²Each farm was evaluated separately with 20% of the farm included in the calibration set. The procedure was repeated ten times per farm (black dots);

Vertical lines within each boxplot represent the median, and red rhombus is the mean of the ten replicates per farm;

Blue numbers on top refer to the number of goats in validation per farm.