

Review



# Second-Generation Antipsychotics' Effectiveness and Tolerability: A Review of Real-World Studies in Patients with Schizophrenia and Related Disorders

Michele Fabrazzo\*, Salvatore Cipolla, Alessio Camerlengo, Francesco Perris and Francesco Catapano

Department of Psychiatry, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Largo Madonna Delle Grazie 1, 80138 Naples, Italy; salvatore2211@gmail.com (S.C.); alessiocamerlengo90@gmail.com (A.C.); francesco.perris@unicampania.it (F.P.); francesco.catapano@unicampania.it (F.C.) \* Correspondence: michele.fabrazzo@unicampania.it; Tel.: +39-(0)-81-566-65-29; Fax:+39-(0)-81-566-65-23

Abstract: Despite methodological limitations, real-world studies might support clinicians by broadening the knowledge of antipsychotics' (APs) effectiveness and tolerability in different clinical scenarios and complement clinical trials. We conducted an extensive literature search in the PubMed database to evaluate the effectiveness and tolerability profiles of second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs) from real-world studies to aid clinicians and researchers in selecting the proper treatment for patients with schizophrenia and related disorders. The present review evidenced that SGAs demonstrated superior effectiveness over first-generation antipsychotics (FGAs) in relapse-free survival and psychiatric hospitalization rate and for treating negative symptoms. Persistence and adherence to therapy were higher in SGAs than FGAs. Most studies concluded that switching to long-acting injectables (LAIs) was significantly associated with a lower treatment failure rate than monotherapy with oral SGAs. Considerable improvements in general functionality, subjective well-being, and total score on global satisfaction tests, besides improved personal and social performance, were reported in some studies on patients treated with LAI SGAs. Clozapine was also associated with the lowest rates of treatment failure and greater effectiveness over the other SGAs, although with more severe side effects. Effectiveness on primary negative symptoms and cognitive deficits was rarely measured in these studies. Based on the data analyzed in the present review, new treatments are needed with better tolerability and improved effectiveness for negative, affective, and cognitive symptoms.

**Keywords:** schizophrenia; negative symptoms; real-world studies; real-world effectiveness; tolerability; treatment adherence; second-generation antipsychotics; long-acting injectable antipsychotics

# 1. Introduction

Schizophrenia is among the most disabling mental health conditions [1] and affects approximately 24 million people worldwide [2,3]. In addition, subjects affected by schizophrenia and related disorders have a 10–25-year reduction in life expectancy than the general population due to the increased rates of comorbid physical illnesses, smoking, and substance abuse, rates of suicide as common causes of death, and reduced health-seeking behavior [4–6].

Patients with schizophrenia and related disorders may experience positive, negative, affective, and cognitive symptoms influencing many aspects of their daily functioning [7–13].

The psychopharmacological treatment of schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders relies mainly on antipsychotics (APs), which are traditionally divided into two classes: first-generation antipsychotics (FGAs) and second-generation antipsychotics

Citation: Fabrazzo, M.; Cipolla, S.; Camerlengo, A.; Perris, F.; Catapano, F. Second-Generation Antipsychotics' Effectiveness and Tolerability: A Review of Real-World Studies in Patients with Schizophrenia and Related Disorders. J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 4530. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm11154530

Academic Editor: Armida Mucci

Received: 13 June 2022 Accepted: 1 August 2022 Published: 3 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). (SGAs) [14–16]. Both classes of drugs are effective in relieving the positive symptoms of schizophrenia. Instead, evidence of the efficacy on negative, affective, and cognitive symptoms is inconclusive, and these dimensions remain the unmet needs of schizophre-

nia treatment [17–19]. APs may also induce different side-effect profiles [20], occasionally perceived by patients as distressing and disabling [21]. In general, side effects include extrapyramidal side effects (EPS), increased prolactin plasma levels, metabolic complications such as weight gain, metabolic syndrome, hyperlipidemia, and type 2 diabetes, which may reduce life expectancy [22–24]. Specifically, FGAs might induce hyperprolactinemia and frequent adverse motor effects, such as EPS, as well as increasing disability and stigma related to the disease [24].

SGAs are associated, although not consistently [25–27], with a reduced incidence of EPS, compared to FGAs, with a few distinctions between both medications [28,29]. However, the difference between the two classes of APs is clinically relevant, as EPSs are associated with reduced treatment adherence, depression, suicide, secondary negative symptoms, worse cognitive performance, deficits in motor skills and verbal learning, attention, and working memory [30–33]. Furthermore, EPSs often require additional treatment with anticholinergic drugs, burdening patients with adverse effects such as memory impairment, delirium, and autonomic nervous system dysfunctions.

APs may prove to be ineffective for many patients [34]. In addition, a few of them experience at least one relapse over the five years after the beginning of therapy [35]. Between a quarter and a third of affected patients manifest treatment resistance, and only 17.5% might respond to clozapine [34,36]. Therefore, a key component of the long-term management of schizophrenia and related disorders is to select an appropriate antipsychotic treatment for the needs of each individual [37,38]. The efficacy and tolerability of antipsychotic treatment might profoundly affect adherence to therapy and clinical response, with the risk of relapses [39,40].

Adverse effects are also a frequent cause for discontinued treatment, besides lack of insight, disease severity, and treatment characteristics. In addition, adverse effects may impact environmental factors such as patient's erroneous belief in the effectiveness of medication, and substance abuse [39]. For this reason, there is a need for new treatments with improved tolerability and efficacy for negative, affective, and cognitive symptoms.

In the last 15 years, some studies have investigated the effectiveness of SGAs compared to FGAs for schizophrenia and related disorders, leading to reconceiving trials' design using APs, as in the US Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) [26] and the UK Cost Utility of the Latest Antipsychotic Drugs in Schizophrenia Study (CUtLASS) [17]. The two trials measured short- and mid-term outcomes, not always considering the real-world clinical practice and outcome measures besides positive symptoms (e.g., exclusion of comorbidity with substance abuse, predominance of chronic patients, and lack of quality of life/well-being measures) [40]. Furthermore, the European First Episode Schizophrenia Trial (EUFEST) compared the effectiveness of some SGAs with that of a low dose of haloperidol in first-episode schizophrenia at 1-year follow-up. SGAs were associated with a higher retention rate than haloperidol (primary outcome). However, the psychopathological scores' mean reduction did not vary [41]. A secondary analysis showed that most SGAs had higher response and remission rates than haloperidol [42]. All treatment groups were associated with worsened hypertriglyceridemia or hyperglycemia. Only ziprasidone was less associated with weight gain [43]. These results disagreed with those reported in a chart review demonstrating that SGAs in first-episode patients had a three times higher incidence of metabolic syndrome with respect to FGAs [44]. However, the study had a longer follow-up period (3 years) than the EUFEST trial. Overall, the available evidence does not coherently indicate superior effectiveness and tolerability for SGAs.

One of the most considerable challenges in treating patients with schizophrenia and related disorders is the life-long functional disability associated with negative symptoms,

cognitive impairment, and increased treatment resistance after each acute episode. Consequently, the primary goal of antipsychotic treatment should be not only to achieve a partial (or optimal) remission of symptoms in the acute phase but also to improve long-term outcomes and reduce the risk of secondary negative symptoms and worsening of cognitive impairment [45,46].

Harmonizing the results of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) with those of observational studies remains a challenge for clinical medicine. Although RCTs are considered the "gold standard" for evaluating the efficacy and safety of an intervention, observational studies conducted in a real-world scenario help provide evidence of the intervention in clinical practice effectiveness. Ref. [47], indeed, reported that "real-world effectiveness" is one of the last five years' significant research trends [47].

For a clinician, assessing both efficacy and effectiveness remains a crucial factor. Indeed, observational studies are beneficial in clinical situations rarely tested in RCTs and provide reliable real-world evidence. Specifically, RCTs evaluate interventions under ideal conditions in highly selected populations, whereas observational studies examine effects in naturalistic settings. Furthermore, RCTs results might not apply to the entire population of patients due to complex clinical presentations and poor responses to standard treatments in "real-world" settings.

On the other hand, dissimilar findings may arise due to such issues as selection bias, confounding, statistical power, and differential adherence and follow-up. Furthermore, real-world studies encompass a wide range of research methods and data sources and can be broadly categorized as non-interventional studies, patient registries, claims database studies, patient surveys, and electronic health record studies. Real-world studies can also be categorized into prospective studies, which generally require primary data collection, and retrospective studies, which use secondary data gathered over a long period (i.e., data initially collected for other purposes). Nevertheless, a recent Cochrane review showed little evidence that the results of observational studies and RCTs are systematically discordant [48]. Thus, studies on clinical effectiveness and naturalistic outcomes cannot replace RCTs, which remain complementary and fundamental to gathering helpful information.

This review aims to provide an update of the primary therapeutic and side-effect profiles of SGAs, focusing on real-world studies to enable clinicians and researchers to select the most appropriate treatment for adult patients  $\geq$  18 years diagnosed with schizophrenia or related disorders.

## 2. Methods

We conducted an extensive literature search in the PubMed database from inception until May 2022, with English as a language filter. This review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement, as applicable [49]. The search was conducted with the following terms (MeSH headings): (("Adult"[Mesh]) AND ("Humans"[Mesh]) AND ("Real-World") AND (("Schizophrenia"[Mesh]) OR ("Schizophrenia Spectrum and Other Psychotic Disorders"[Mesh])) AND (("Antipsychotic Agents/adverse effects"[Mesh]) OR ("Antipsychotic Agents/therapeutic use"[Mesh])) NOT ("Electroconvulsive Therapy"[Mesh]) NOT ("Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation"[Mesh])). In addition, we hand-searched the reference lists of included articles of any study on our topic of interest.

We focused on real-world studies, including prevalently longitudinal comparative studies (i.e., cohort or case–control studies). We identified schizophrenia and/or schizophrenia spectrum and other psychotic disorders as the mental disorders of interest for the scoping review, including only studies on psychopathological symptoms assessment through standardized rating scales. Furthermore, we included studies on patients treated with SGAs, or co-treated with FGAs and SGAs in the oral or long-acting injectable (LAI) formulations. Specifically, we selected studies containing data on individual drugs or grouped SGAs that reported the effectiveness and tolerability outcomes for adult par-

ticipants  $\geq$  18 years. Moreover, we included studies evaluating both effectiveness and/or tolerability in patients switching from oral SGAs or FGAs to LAI SGAs.

The primary outcomes of interest were the effectiveness of oral and/or LAI formulations of SGAs on positive, negative, affective, and cognitive symptoms and their tolerability profile. In particular, we considered of interest studies reporting one or more of the following elements: (1)  $\leq 20\%$  reduction on the psychopathology assessment scale (i.e., BPRS); (2) improvements in quality of life rated by specific scales (i.e., Subjective Well-Being under Neuroleptics Scale [SWN-S] and the Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication [TSQM]); (3) magnitude of treatment effects on severity measures (i.e., the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale [PANSS], the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale [BPRS], the Clinical Global Impression-Severity scale [CGI-S], and Quality of Life [QoL] scores); (4) improvement in negative symptoms; (5) effects on cognitive performance (evaluated by standard neuropsychological instruments); (6) improvement in global and social functioning, self-care, and disturbing/aggressive behavior (i.e., evaluated by the Global Assessment of Functioning [GAF] or the Personal and Social Performance [PSP] scale scores or defined as an increase in at least one activity in which the patient participated, compared to the baseline activity); (7) assessment of rate and time to treatment discontinuation, defined as stopping the AP medication started in baseline conditions and/or adding a new AP; (8) persistence/compliance/adherence on medications (measured as pill counts, pharmacy records, and proportion of adherent/non-adherent patients); (9) occurrence of any mental health events (suicide, hospitalization, or emergency department visits); (10) risk of rehospitalization and treatment failure (suicide attempt, discontinuation or switch to other medications, or death).

In addition, we considered of interest studies reporting any new onset or worsening side effects, i.e., EPS, hyperprolactinemia, diabetes, ketoacidosis, hyperglycemic state, weight gain/overweight/obesity, hyperlipidemia or hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, hypertension, and metabolic syndrome. We considered suitable and recorded any definition of these clinical entities, including diagnoses based on any coding system (e.g., ICD-10) and exposure to specific treatments (e.g., antihypertensives).

We excluded studies on pregnant women and considered only studies containing results on at least one outcome of interest (effectiveness or tolerability, or both).

S.C. and A.C. extracted the relevant data, and synthesized them in a tabular format; F.M., F.P. and F.C. triple-checked the extracted data for accuracy; M.F., S.C. and A.C extracted the data on study characteristics (type of study, number of participants/sample size, and psychopathological diagnostic tools), outcome measures (proportion of patients with schizophrenia and related disorders, psychopathological assessment tools used to evaluate the severity of disease), and therapeutic intervention types (oral vs. LAI SGAs).

Two authors of the present review (S.C. and A.C.) independently assessed the quality and risk of bias in the non-randomized studies of interventions (NRSIs) included in the present review through the ROBINS-I tool (Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions). Such a tool [50] comprises three main domains for bias evaluation: pre-intervention, during the intervention, and post-intervention. The risk of bias was judged for each domain and sub-domain and classified as low, moderate, high, or no information (Supplementary Table S1).

The two authors resolved disagreements through discussion or involving a third author (F.P.). In line with the ROBINS-I tool, the authors considered an NRSI at low risk if judged at low risk of bias for all domains; at moderate risk if judged at moderate risk for at least one domain; at high risk if judged at high risk of bias for at least one domain but not at critical risk of bias in any domain; and at critical risk if judged at critical risk in at least one domain. In addition, we indicated "no information" for an NRSI in case no clear judgment of high or critical risk of bias was possible and in case information about one or more key domains was missing.

### 3. Results

As shown in Supplementary Figure S1, we retrieved 188 articles and excluded 115 by initial screening of titles and abstracts as not addressing the topics of interest. We included the remaining 73 articles in the final analysis as relevant for the full-text screening. We excluded 39 of them after careful reading: 10/73 were narrative reviews or reviews that did not analyze studies on patients in real-world conditions or therapeutic and/or tolerability outcomes, 26/73 were studies including patient populations different from the target ones, and 3 were studies with only abstracts written in the English language. The remaining 34 articles were eligible to be included in our review.

We further subdivided the 34 studies according to the outcome analyzed regarding effectiveness and tolerability, which were examined based on the type of AP formulation (oral vs. LAI) used to treat enrolled patients, as reported in Supplementary Figure S1. Thus, the studies reporting the effectiveness of SGAs were sub-grouped into oral SGAs (15/34) and LAI SGAs (19/34) subgroups. Finally, only 11 studies reported data on the tolerability profile of SGAs, namely 3 studies involving oral SGAs and 8 LAI SGAs (Supplementary Figure S1).

The overall risk of bias was moderate for most non-randomized clinical studies (20/34). Instead, the risk of bias appeared low for one study, with those remaining (13/34) presenting a high risk (Supplementary Table S1).

### 3.1. Studies Investigating the Effectiveness of SGAs

All the 34 retrieved studies reported the effectiveness of SGAs in patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia or related disorders. A total of 15 studies evaluated the effectiveness of SGAs in patients treated with oral formulations and the other 19 in patients treated with LAI SGAs.

SGAs included amisulpride, clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, risperidone, paliperidone, ziprasidone, aripiprazole, brexpiprazole, and lurasidone, as a monotherapy or in combination. All the studies emphasized that clozapine was not to be used in combination with other SGAs.

FGAs were prevalently used as an all-drug comparison group and included haloperidol, zuclopenthixol, flupentixol, and sulpiride. In some studies, FGAs were also used in combination therapy with SGAs.

#### 3.1.1. Studies Investigating the Effectiveness of Oral SGAs Treatments

In Table 1, we summarized the results of our literature search on effectiveness outcomes. We described the effectiveness of each treatment and subdivided the 15 studies we analyzed as follows: six studies were on SGAs vs. FGAs, four on olanzapine vs. risperidone, two on ziprasidone not compared with other SGAs or FGAs, one on clozapine vs. other SGAs or FGAs, and one on lurasidone and brexpiprazole, each drug vs. other SGAs.

Authors, Year of Publication, Country of Study	Type of	No. Included Patients, Target Population	Duration of Fol- low-Up	Outcome Measures of Effectiveness	Treatment Arms	Results
Taylor et al., 2005 UK [51]	Prospective comparative outcome study, no pharmaceuti- cal industry sponsorship	373 In- and out- patients recruited in 2022	6 months	CGI, positive and negative psy- chotic symptoms, quality of life.	ment groups:	Clinical effectiveness: all SGAs produced similar out-comes; Ola and Ris significantly reduced all ratings at 6 months vs. other SGAs.
Ritsner et al., 2007 Israel [52]	Open-label, observational study, funded by Pfizer Pharmaceuti- cals Israel	70 patients re- cruited from 2004 to 2006	1 year	Q-LES-Q, severity of symptoms, distress level	Zipra flexible dosage regi- men (40–160 mg/day).	Dropout rate: 54.3% Satisfaction with general activity: increased from month 6 onwards. Severity of clinical symptoms and emotion- al distress: moderate improvements
Ratner et al., 2007 Israel [53]	Open-label, observational trial, funded by Pfizer Pharmaceuti- cals Israel	70 patients previously treated with FGAs or other SGAs, recruited from 2004 to 2006	1 year	PANSS, CGI-S, and GAF scales; Rate and mean time of discon- tinuation treat- ment.	Zipra flexi- ble-dose monotherapy	All PANSS factors and GAF scores: improved ( $p$ < 0.05). Effect sizes for changes: moderate from baseline to endpoint: PANSS negative (d = 0.58), posi- tive and activation (for both d = 0.64), dysphoric mood (d = 0.54), autistic preoccupations (d = 55) factors, and general functioning (d = 0.78). Discontinuation treat- ment: 54.3%; Mean time to discontin- uation: 4.4 ± 2.7 months.
Kilzieh et al., 2008 USA [54]	Retrospective study, funded by Eli Lilly	495 patients re- cruited from1999 to 2000	2 years	Medication discontinuation	Ola vs. Ris	Discontinuation rates: lower for Ola (70%) than Ris (76%) ( $p = 0.12$ ). Median time to discon- tinuation: longer for Ola (150 days) than Ris (90 days) ( $p = 0.04$ ). Self-discontinuation: no significant difference between Ola (50%) and Ris (46%). Switching rate: more

**Table 1.** Real-world population-based studies investigating the effectiveness of oral SGAs in patients with schizophrenia and related disorders.

					likely to occur in Ris (30%) than Ola (20%)
Cortesi et al., 2013 Italy [55]	Longitudinal, retrospec- tive/prospecti ve multicen- ter cohort study (COMETA), funded by Janssen-Cilag Italy SpA	mean 14.4 (3.0–17.9) months	scales; Persistence.	SGAs, FGAs, and SGAs +FGAs vs. untreated pa- tients.	group. Relapse rate: 17.1% of patients. Switching rate: 13.4% of SGAs treated patients switched to FGAs, com- bined SGAs and FGAs, or no treatment. Overall, 22.9% of the cohort switched to an- other class of drugs at least once, 11% at least twice, and 1.3% four or five times. Persistence on treatment: higher with SGAs than FGAs; on average, 402.8 days for SGAs, 263.0 days for FGAs. The naïve patients had an improvement higher than the non-naïve pa- tients on HRQoL (SF-36 PCS and MCS scores).
Novick et al., 2016 UK [56]	3712 Prospective patients from study Europe, (SOHO Latin Ameri- study), ca, North Af- no pharma- ceutical in- dustry spon- sorship enrolled from 2000 to 2001	3 years	CGI-SCH nega- tive and positive symptoms. Improvement in social func- tioning.	Oral Ola vs. other oral SGAs (Ris, Que, Ami, Clo, other SGAs) vs. FGAs.	Negative symptoms and social functioning: SGAs likely superior to FGAs; Overall, negative and depressive symptoms: Ola more effective. Rates of treatment dis- continuation: at 36 months lower in Ola-treated patients (38.4%)
Vanasse et al., 2016 Canada [57]	Retrospective cohort study, 18,869 no pharma- patients ceutical in- enrolled from dustry spon- 1998 to 2005 sorship	2 years	Risk of AP dis- continuation, switch/add-on AP treatment; combination discontinuation and switching of APs.	All FGAs as single cate- gory vs. SGAs (Ola, Ris, Que, Clo)	Risk of stopping or changing medication: lower for Clo, Que, Ola,
Misawa et al., 2017 Tokyo, Japan [58]	Retrospective 35 patients mirror-image treated with study, chart Clo before review study, 2015, who no pharma- had taken any ceutical in- SGAs for at	1 year	and seclusion rates.	Blon, Pali,	Length of hospitaliza- tion: Clo more effective than other SGAs (medi- an value for SGA 110 days and 80 days for Clo; p = 0.054).

	dustry spon- sorship	least 1 year before initiat- ing Clo.			or LAI for- mulation)	Total days of seclusion: no days during the Clo phase ( $p < 0.001$ ) com- pared to SGAs (5 days). The number of patients who were secluded at least once was signifi- cantly lower ( $p = 0.005$ ) in the Clo phase ( $n = 5$ ; 17.2%) than in the SGA
Tiihonen et al., 2017 Sweden [59]	Prospectively, nationwide study, funded by Janssen-Cilag	29,823 Patients di- agnosed with schizophrenia from 2006 to 2013	-	Risk of rehospi- talization; treatment failure	SGAs (Ari,	phase ( $n = 17$ ; 58.2%). Risk of psychiatric re- hospitalization: lowest with Clo monotherapy vs. no use of APs; high- est risk with oral Fluph, Que, and Perph; Clo as- sociated with the lowest rates vs. oral Ola.
Rajagopalan et al., 2017 USA [60]	Retrospective study, funded by Sunovion Pharmaceuti- cals Inc.	1413 patients with a first SGAs prescription claim from 2009 to 2012	6 months	of adher- ent/non-adherent patients; discontinuation rate/mean time to discontinua-	oral SGAs	Discontinuation rate: lower for Lura vs. all other SGAs (49.3% vs. 62.3-68.3%, all $p <.05$ ), except for Ris ( $p <.05$ ). Mean time to discontin- uation: longer for Lura than for other SGAs. Adherence: greater for
Zhang et al., 2019 Shanghai, China [61]	Prospective, multicenter study (SALT-C study), no pharma- ceutical in- dustry spon- sorship	373 patients re- ceiving Ola, Ris, or Ari monotherapy at least 13 weeks after the baseline visit, recruit- ed from 2011 to 2014	Follow-up times: 13, 26, 52, 78, 104, 130, and 156 weeks af- ter base- line	tion Discontinuation rate; changes in social functioning (PSP score)	otherapy.	Lura vs. other SGAs. All-cause discontinua- tion rate: higher for Ris, lower for Ola and Ari before 24 months but higher in patients taking Ari after 24 months. PSP improvement: maximum value of 80.3% at weeks 56.7 after treatment with Ola, 68.2% at weeks 29.2 with Ris, and 23.9% at weeks 36.8 with Ari.
Stam et al., 2020 The Netherlands [62]	Nationwide pharmacy drug dis- pensing da- tabase; prescription data from 1996 to 2017	321 patients pre- viously treated with Clo for ≥ 90 days, then discontinued due to unde-	Analysis of data- base pre- scriptions from 60 communi- ty phar- macies	Persistence time, discontinuation rate in patients stopping Clo	FGAs (Halo	Persistence time: SGAs better than FGAs; re- starting Clo or switching to Ris or Ola significant- ly better than other APs.

	from ~ 60 community pharmacies, no pharma- ceutical in- dustry spon- sorship	fined reasons, re- cruited from 1996 to 2017			nation thera- py. LAI therapy included only PP1M or Zu- clo LAI	
Yan et al., 2020 USA [63]	Retrospective cohort study, funded by Otsuka and Lundbeck	6254 patients identified as having at least one claim for either Brex or an- other oral SGAs, re- cruited from 2015 to 2016	12 months	Risk of psychiat- ric inpatient hos- pitalization rate	oral SGAs	Psychiatric hospitaliza- tions rate/year: Pali and Que users worse than Brex users. No significant differ- ences emerged among other SGAs users.
Barbosa et al., 2021 Brazil [64]	Open, non-concurre nt, paired and nationwide cohort study, no pharma- ceutical in- dustry spon- sorship	3416 patients, 1708 treated with Ola, 1708 with Ris, recruited from 2000 to 2015	15 years	Discontinuation treatment	Ola vs. Ris in monotherapy or in combi- nation thera- py with other SGAs (in- cluding Clo)	Discontinuation rate: 84.4% of total patients, 82.1% of Ola treated pa- tients, and 86.8% for those prescribed Ris; Median time to discon- tinuation: overall 63 months, Ola 66 months, and Ris 59 months; Relapse-free survival and psychiatric hospi- talization: Ola better than Ris (HR = $1.22$ ; 95% CI = $0.99-1.51$ ; $p = 0.06$ ).
Hatta et al., 2022 Japan [65]	Multicenter, prospective, cohort study, no pharma- ceutical in- dustry spon- sorship	1011 patients acutely hos- pitalized from 2019 to 2021	ter dis-	CGI-S score, PANSS-8 derived from PANSS-30; Risk of treatment failure	Que) or FGAs (Halo, Fluph) in monother- apy or poly- therapy.	Treatment failure: 588 patients, due to rehospi- talization (513 patients), discontinuation (17 pa- tients), death (11 pa- tients), prolonged hos- pitalization for one year (47 patients); lower risk with combined Ola and Pali, higher risk with combined Ari and Ola. Risk of Switching to LAIs and APs poly- therapy: 23.4% (237 pa- tients) during follow-up, 74.3% (176/237) patients during hospitalization.

Q-LES-Q = Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire; PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; CGI-S = Clinical Global Impression—Severity scale; GAF = Global Assessment of Functioning Scale; AP = Antipsychotic; FGAs = First-Generation Antipsychotics; Chlorpro = Chlorpromazine; Fluph= Fluphenazine; Flup = Flupentixol; Halo = Haloperidol; Perph = Perphenazine; Peros = Perospirone; Zuclo = Zuclopenthixol; Sulp = Sulpiride; SGAs = Second-Generation Antipsychotics; Blo = Blonanserin; Zipra = Ziprasidone; Ami = Amisulpride; Clo = Clozapine; Ola = Olanzapine; Que = Quetiapine; Ris = Risperidone; Pali = Paliperidone; Ari = Aripiprazole; Brex = Brexpiprazole; Lura = Lurasidone; Zote = Zotepine; COMETA = COMpliance, costs and quality of life-clinical experience in antipsychotic therapy; HRQoL= Health-Related Quality of Life; SF-36 PCS and MCS = Physical (PCS) and Mental (MCS) Component Summary scores of SF-36; SOHO = Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcomes; SALT-C = Schizophrenia by Atypical Antipsychotic Treatment in China; CGI-SCH = Clinical Global Impressions Severity Scale—Schizophrenia version; PSP = Personal and Social Performance.

Most studies evaluated the effectiveness of SGAs vs. FGAs [55–57,59,62,65]. Olanzapine, in particular, emerged as an effective treatment option among the atypical agents [51].

Only a few studies directly evaluated the therapeutic effects of SGAs on positive, negative, and affective symptoms [41,42,46,55,56], and none reported antipsychotic effectiveness in disabling cognitive symptoms.

Persistence, adherence, or failure to treatment, as well as the rate of SGAs discontinuation or risk of hospitalization, were analyzed in most studies [52–55,57–62,64,65]. Overall, olanzapine demonstrated superior real-world effectiveness vs. risperidone in relapse-free survival and psychiatric hospitalization [61]. Moreover, switching to clozapine, to risperidone or to olanzapine oral monotherapy was also associated with significantly better persistence in treatment [62]. In addition, Hatta et al. (2022) [65] suggested that switching to LAIs or APs polytherapy might be more likely associated with a low treatment failure rate [65]. Clozapine, as well, was associated with the lowest rates of treatment failure and more marked effects vs. other SGAs in reducing the period of hospitalization [58].

Refs. [52,53] reported on ziprasidone effectiveness, concluding that the improvement in PANSS factors and GAF scores was significant but associated with a discontinued treatment for any cause in more than 50% of patients [52,53]. Discontinuation due to lack of clinical effectiveness was linked more to patients' perceptions (25.7%) than to physicians' conclusions (8.6%). However, both studies did not include a control group for comparison. Differently, the study by [60] reported that patients treated with lurasidone demonstrated greater adherence when compared to patients treated with other SGAs [60]. Finally, when brexpiprazole treatment was examined compared to other SGAs, it was found to be associated with fewer psychiatric hospitalizations per year than paliperidone and quetiapine. No significant differences in other efficacy measures emerged between patients treated with brexpiprazole and those with other SGAs [63].

The overall risk of bias for most non-randomized clinical studies reporting the effectiveness of oral SGAs was moderate (11/15).

#### 3.1.2. Studies Investigating the Effectiveness of LAI SGAs Treatments

Most studies reporting on LAI APs treatments included patients that had been previously treated with oral FGAs or SGAs or switched from one LAI FGA/SGA to another LAI SGA treatment (Table 2). Some studies described patients previously treated with the corresponding oral formulation and then shifting to LAI therapy. Furthermore, most studies included patients treated with once-monthly paliperidone palmitate (PP1M) and aripiprazole LAI (Table 2). On the other hand, only a few studies compared the effectiveness of LAI SGAs vs. LAI FGAs, or oral FGAs/SGAs vs. LAI FGAs/SGAs, or oral SGAs vs. LAI SGAs [66]. **Table 2.** Real-world population-based studies investigating the effectiveness of SGAs LAI formulations in patients with schizophrenia and related disorders.

Authors, Year of Publication, Country of Study	Type of Study	No. Included Patients, Target Population	Duration of Follow-Up	Outcome Measures of Effectiveness	Treatment Arms	Results
Schreiner et al., 2014 21 European	Prospective Multicenter study (from 160 sites in 21 coun- tries); sponsored by Janssen-Cilag	dose of PP1M	6 months	PANSS total score, PANSS subscale scores, PANSS Marder factor scores; CGI-C scores; PSP total score; PSP domain scores; and sub- jective well-being (SWN-S and TSQM).	PP1M	PANSS total: decreased from 71.5 (14.6) at base- line to 59.7 (18.1) at the endpoint; 64% of patients showed a $\geq 20\%$ im- provement in PANSS to- tal score. CGI-S score: increased from 31.8% of patients to 63.2% of patients rating mildly ill or less Mean personal and social performance total score: improved significantly for all patients from baseline to endpoint ( $p \leq$ 0.0001).
Hargarter et al., 2015 21 European countries [68]	Prospective mul- ticenter, open-label study [PALMFlexS], sponsored by Janssen Cilag In- ternational NV	acute symptoms, switching from oral APs due to lack of efficacy	6 months	PANSS total score PANSS subscale and Marder fac- tor, CGI-S score, CGI-C score, PSP total score, and four PSP domain scores (socially useful activities, personal and so- cial relationships, self-care, and disturbing and aggressive be- havior); Mini-ICF-APP; SWN-S-short form, and TSQM scale.	Patients switching from oral APs to PP1M.	CGI-C: severity signifi- cantly decreased; per- centage of patients rated markedly ill or worse decreased from 75.1% at baseline to 20.5% at last observation; patients categorized as minimally (26.5%), much (41.3%), or very much (14.3%) im- proved
Chan et al., 2015 Taiwan [69]	Retrospective cohort study, supported by grants from the E-Da Hospital	379 patients recruit- ed from 2011 to 2012	12 months		(Que, Ola, Ami, Zipra, Pali, Clo,	Hospitalization rate be- fore enrolment: all-oral APs group 32.1%, oral Ris group 35.9%, and s LAI Ris group 88.4% ( <i>p</i> < 0.0001).

				itures.	-	After a 1-year follow-up: all three groups showed similar rehospitalization rates (all-oral APs group 28.9%, oral Ris group 30.1%, LAI Ris group, 30.2%, $p > 0.999$ ); Length of hospital stay, and number of emer- gency room visits during follow-up: LAI Ris re- duced the severity of disease more signifi- cantly than oral APs and medical expenditures.
Alphs et al., 2015 USA [70]	Randomized, prospective, multicenter study (PRIDE study), funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs LLC.	ed from 2010 to 2013	15 months	First treatment failure in pa- tients treated with PP1M vs. daily oral APs; time to first psy- chiatric hospital- ization or ar- rest/incarceration ; functionality measured by PSP; severity of psychopathology by CGI-S; ad- herence to treat- ment	(Ari, Halo, Ola, Pali, Perph, Que, Ris)	First treatment failure: PP1M significantly delay in time vs. oral APs ( $p =$ 0.011); observed treat- ment failure rates were 39.8% and 53.7%. Arrest/incarceration and psychiatric hospitaliza- tion, most common rea- sons for treatment failure in the PP1M and oral APs groups (21.2% vs. 29.4% and 8.0% vs. 11.9%). No significant differ- ences in PSP and CGI-S scale scores.
Fernández-Mi randa et al., 2017 Spain [71]	Prospective ob- servational study, no pharmaceuti- cal industry sponsorship	30 patients resistant to previous Aps treatment, re- cruited from 2012 to 2015	3 years	CGI-S, WHO-DAS, CAN, MARS, laboratory tests, weight meas- urement, treat- ment discontin- uation	32 months with 150 mg	CGI-S, WHO-DAS, CAN, and MARS: significant improvements ( $p < 0.05$ ) from baseline to month 6. Discontinuation rate: 2/30 due to lack of effec- tiveness. Significant decrease in the use of other Aps and other psychiatric medi- cations ( $p < 0.05$ ).
Pilon et al., 2017 USA [72]	Retrospective longitudinal cohort study, funded by Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC.	Medicaid benefi-	12 months	Adherence; per- sistence; health care resource uti- lization; Medi- caid spending	Asena, llop, Lura, Ola,	Adherence and persis-

Schöttle et al., 2018 Germany [73]	Multicenter, prospective study, sponsored by Lundbeck GmbH and Otsuka GmbH.	242 patients recruit- ed from 2014 to 2016	6 months	BPRS, CGI-S, and CGI-I	Patients pre-treated with oral Ari vs. transition to LAI Ari 1-monthly	of patients with high CGI-S scores decreased and with low scores in- creased significantly ( $p <$ 0.001); decreased signifi- cantly more in patients $\leq$ 35 years; BPRS scores improved,
Tiihonen et al., 2017 Sweden [59]	Prospective study from na- tionwide data- bases, funded by Janssen-Cilag Multicenter,	29,823 patients recruit- ed from 2006 to 2013		Risk of rehospi-	(Fluph, Flupent, Halo, Perph, Zuclo) vs. LAI SGAs (Ola, Pali, Ris)	equivalent oral APs (20–30% lower); Relapse prevention: LAI APs highest rates; treatment failure: All LAI APs had the lowest rates vs. oral Ola. CGI-S score: proportion of patients with high CGI-S scores decreased
				Time receiving monotherapy; Time receiving any therapy;	LAI FGAs	and Ris-LAI, whereas Ari-LAI was similar to oral SGAs. Health care resource uti- lization: fewer long-term care admissions, long-term care length of stay, and home care ser- vices with LAI-SGAs; mental health institute admissions and visits were significantly more frequent with oral SGAs. Medical costs: SGA-LAIs lower than oral SGAs, but higher pharmacy costs. Risk of psychiatric re- hospitalization: lowest during monotherapy with PP1M, LAI Zuclo,

						outcomes pre- and post-PP3M transition showed lower all-cause outpatient (37.5 vs. 31.1, $p \le 0.0001$ ) and pharmacy visits (56.1 vs. 46.7, $p \le$ 0.0001): substantial de- crease also in concomi-
						tant medication use (i.e., antidepressants) in pa- tients during the
						post-PP3M transition.
						Relapse and median
						number of hospitaliza- tions: reduced.
						Effects on functionality:
						positive.
		205				Rate of patients readmit-
		205 patients who				ted to the hospital for re-
	National, multi-	presented their				lapse: 79.5% vs. 28.9% (p
De-	center, retrospec-	first psychotic				< 0.001) with median
vrimci-Ozguv	tive, and mir-	attack 1 year or		PANSS, CGI-S,		1
en et al., 2019	ror-image study;	more before the	12 months	BPRS, PSP, and		
Turkey [75]	no pharmaceuti-	initial PP1M in-		GAF scores	with PP1M.	lower during PP1M
	cal industry	jection, recruit-				treatment vs. the period
	sponsorship	ment initiated in				before PP1M treatment.
		2016				PANSS score: decreased
						by 20% or more during
						treatment in 75.7% of pa- tients.
						Functionality: higher
						when the disease dura-
						tion was 5 years or less.
						Persistence on treatment
					All patients	after 1 year: oral APs
					with newly	varied between 17% (oral
					initiated	Ris) and 31% (oral Ola),
	National do				SGAs during	LAIs between 32% (Ris
	Nationwide, longitudinal	12,232			the inclusion	-
Takàcs et al.,	study,	patients recruit-		All-cause treat-	period:	The 2-year data were
2019	no pharmaceuti-	ed from 2012 to	2 years	ment discontin-	oral SGAs	similarly in favor of
Hungary [76]	cal industry	2013, followed		uation	(Ami, Ari,	LAIs.
	sponsorship	up to 2015				Median time to discon-
	-rr				Ris, Pali,	tinuation: in the oral
					· ·	group, between 57 days
					SGAs (Ris,	(Clo) and 121 days (Ola);
					Ola, PP1M).	in the LAI group be-
Engializziat	Obcomunition of	261		CCLS	Patients	tween 176 and 287 days.
Fagiolini et al., 2019	Observational, retrospective	patients	6 months	CGI-S, evalua- tion of schizo-	treated with	Persistence on treatment: 225 patients (86%) for at
Italy [77]	study,	who had started	omonuis	phrenia dimen-	LAI Ari.	least 6 months; all pa-
1.ary [77]	study,	who had started		Pintina uniteri-	LAI AII.	icasi o monuis, an pa-

	no pharmaceuti- cal industry sponsorship	LAI Ari (at least one in- jection) at least 6 months before the inclusion vis- it, recruited from 2015 to 2017		sions (symptoms and clusters of symptoms) as- sessed by the LDPS and SCI-PSY ques- tionnaire		tients with baseline CGI-S of 1 or 2,95% with CGI-S of 3, 86% with CGI-S of 4, 82% with CGI-S of 5, 73% with CGI of 6, and 90% with CGI of 7. LAI Ari continuation rate: higher (86.2%) in patients with: (1) base- line CGI score $\leq$ 4; (2) LDPS mania score $\leq$ 5; (3) psychotic spectrum schizoid score $\leq$ 11.
Fernández-Mi randa et al., 2020 Spain [78]	Observational, mirror-image study, no pharmaceuti- cal industry sponsorship.	150 patients resistant to previous APs treatment, re- cruited from 2014 to 2016	6 years	CGI-S, WHO-DAS, MARS, labora- tory tests, weight measurement	60 patients treated with LAI Ris $\geq$ 75 mg; 60 treated with 75 mg/month PP1M; 30 treated with $\geq$ 600 mg/month LAI Ari	Clinical effectiveness: global improvement on all the scales. Hospital admissions and suicide attempts: statis- tically significant de- crease
Magliocco et al., 2020 Italy [79]	Longitudinal prospective study, no pharmaceuti- cal industry sponsorship	32 patients previ- ously treated with oral SGAs, recruited from 2016 to 2018	12 months	Cognitive per- formance: SCWT and ROCF tests; PANSS, QOLS, PSP	PP1M vs. oral Pali LAI Ari vs. oral Ari	Neurocognitive function: improved significantly after 12 months of treat- ment with SGA LAI. Clinical improvement: on psychotic symptoms, psychosocial functioning, and quality of life, and no differences emerged between PP1M and LAI Ari; Functional recovery, ad- herence to treatment, dropout rate, further so- cial and cognitive im- provements: improved in patients who had already experienced relief when on oral SGA therapy.
Iwata et al., 2020 Japan [80]	Retrospective, observational cohort study based on a claims database, supported by	198 LAI Ari group; 1240 oral Ari group, receiving a prescription from	Between 2 and 3 years	Treatment per- sistence	LAI Ari vs. oral Ari group	Treatment persistence: in LAI Ari-treated patients significantly longer than those treated with oral Ari. Discontinuation treat-

	Otsuka Pharma- ceutical Co., Ltd.					ment: LAI Ari group sig- nificantly less likely to discontinue than the oral group (adjusted HR 0.54, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.43–0.68).
Fernández-Mi randa et al., 2021 Spain [66]	study,	688 patients with se- vere schizophre- nia in standard care treatments in mental health units (MHU) and on specific pro- gram for people with severe mental illness (SMIP), recruited from 2012 to 2014 and followed between 2015 and 2019	5 years	Treatment dis- continuation, hospital admis- sions, and sui- cide attempts	LAI-FGAs/LA I-SGAs vs. oral FGAS/SGAs	Adherence to treatment: all LAI-APs achieved higher adherence ( $p <$ 0.001), fewer relapses ( $p <$ 0.001) and suicide at- tempts ( $p < 0.01$ ) than oral APs in severe schizophrenia patients.
Lauriello et al., 2021 USA [81]	Retrospective observational cohort study funded by Alkermes, Inc.	485 who had used APs in the 60 days preceding the index date, recruited from 2015 to 2017	6 months	Treatment pat- terns, healthcare resource use, costs before and after initiating LAI Ari	Recent AP LAI group vs. recent oral AP vs. neither an LAI nor oral AP ("no re- cent AP").	All-cause inpatient ad- missions: decreased by 22.4%, along with emer- gency room visits. All-cause inpatient costs: decreased by an average of USD 2836 per patient ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the 6-month follow-up; outpatient pharmacy costs: in- creased by US \$4121 ( $p <$ 0.05), resulting in no sig- nificant difference in overall costs between the pre- and post-treatment periods. Discontinuation rate: 29.0%, 40.0%, and 32.9% in the three study sub- groups.
Mahaba- leshwarkar et al. (2021) USA [82]	study, funded by Janssen Scientific	0	12-month pre- and post-index periods	Rate of healthcare use: inpatient, emer- gency room, and outpatient visits		Acute healthcare use: reduced significantly from 61.4% to 20.5%, ( $p \le 0.001$ ). A more substantial re- duction was observed in patients with a prior re- lapse vs. the overall co- hort.

Hatta et al., 2022 Japan [65] Multicenter prospective cohort stud no pharmace cal industr sponsorshi	exacerbation of schizophrenia y, and other psy- 19 months Risk uti- chotic disorders, recruited from 2019 to 2021 and followed up to March 2021	of treatment failure of treatment failure reate (588 patients, 58.2%); rehospitalization (513 patients), discontinued medication (17 patients), death (11 patients), and continued hospitalization Oral SGAs for one year (47 patients); (Pali, Ola, Ris, lower risk in about 19% Ari, Brex, of patients treated with Blon, Que) Ari, Brex, of patients treated with Blon, Que) LAIs and 17% in those or FGAs (Ha- blon, Que) io, Fluph) in monotherapy or polythera- py (excluded Clo) vs. LAI polytherapy (no Clo al- Clo) vs. LAI group (Pali, Ari, Halo, Ris, peared beneficial for preventing treatment failure in acutely hospi- talized patients; Ola combined with Pali was significantly associated with a lower risk of treatment failure than monotherapy.
--	---	---

AP = Antipsychotic; FGAs = First-Generation Antipsychotics; SGAs = Second-Generation Antipsychotics; PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; QOLS = Quality of Life scale; PSP = Personal and Social Performance Scale; SWN-S = Subjective Well-being under Neuroleptics Scale; TSQM = Treatment Satisfaction Questionnaire for Medication; PALMFlexS = Paliperidone Palmitate Flexible Dosing in Schizophrenia; CGI-C = Clinical Global Impression—Change; CGI-S = Clinical Global Impression Severity Scale; BPRS = Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; GAF = Global Assessment of Function; Mini-ICF-APP = Mini-ICF (International Classification of Functionality, Disability and Health) rating for Activity and Participation Disorders in Psychological Illnesses; PP1M = once-monthly paliperidone palmitate; PRIDE = Paliperidone Palmitate; LDPS = Lifetime Dimensions of Psychosis Scale; SCI-PSY = Structured Clinical Interview for the Psychotic Spectrum; SCWT = Stroop Color and Word Test; ROCF = Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure Test.

Finally, only the study by [69] presented results on the effectiveness of LAI risperidone in a retrospective cohort study vs. all-oral SGAs and FGAs and vs. oral risperidone [69]. All the studies, including patients treated with PP1M, reported significant improvements in subjective well-being and global satisfaction, and improved personal and social performance [59,65,67,68,70–72,74–76,78,79,82]. Furthermore, functionality improvement was more remarkable in patients with a disease duration of 5 years or less [75]. Finally, in a longitudinal prospective study, Ref. [79] reported that PP1M and once-monthly aripiprazole LAI improved social and cognitive functioning in patients who had already experienced relief compared with the corresponding oral formulations of SGAs [79]. In addition, a few studies reported that high doses of PP1M (175 mg equivalent/28 days) in patients with severe schizophrenia improved the drug's effectiveness [71]. Furthermore, when patients receiving doses of PP1M  $\geq$ 175 mg Eq were compared to patients treated with high doses of risperidone-LAI (dose  $\geq$  75 mg) or ari-

piprazole-LAI (dose  $\geq$  600 mg/month), PP1M showed better clinical effectiveness, besides reducing the risk of hospital admissions and suicide attempts [78].

Additionally, patients enrolled in other studies showed a low dropout rate, reduced acute healthcare use, and significantly improved neurocognitive function after 12 months of treatment with LAI SGAs, besides better effects on positive, negative, and affective symptoms, psychosocial functioning, and quality of life [79,82]. Furthermore, the transition from PP1M to PP3M evidenced a substantial decrease in combined medications and healthcare resource use, and increased adherence [74].

Treatment with once-monthly aripiprazole LAI improved BPRS and CGI-S scores, especially in younger patients (age  $\leq$  35 years) [71] and was less likely to be associated with discontinuation of treatment when compared with the corresponding oral group or other SGAs [65,72,73,77,79–81]. Thus, adherence and the hospitalization rate appeared to be improved. Such a pharmacological pattern indicates the potential for greater clinical stability in patients who initiated aripiprazole LAI than that achieved with their previous treatments [60].

The risk of bias for non-randomized clinical studies reporting the effectiveness of LAI SGAs was almost equally distributed between moderate (9/19) and high (10/19) risk.

### 3.2. Studies Investigating Tolerability of Oral or LAI SGAs

Table 3 illustrates real-world studies investigating the tolerability of oral or LAI SGAs in patients with schizophrenia and related disorders.

**Table 3.** Real-world population-based studies investigating the tolerability of oral and/or LAI formulations of SGAs in patients with schizophrenia and related disorders.

Authors (Year of Publication), Country of Study	Type of Study	No. of Analyzed Patients	Duration of Fol- low-Up	Tolerability Results
Taylor et al. (2005) UK [51]	Prospective compara- tive outcome study with Ami, Clo, Ola, Que, and Ris., no pharmaceutical in- dustry sponsorship	373 In- and out- pa- tients recruited in 2022	6 months	Rate of side effects: 50% (Ami), 60% (Clo), 25% (Ola), 37.5% (Que), 63.3% (Ris).
Ratner et al. (2007) Israel [53]	Open-labeled, flexi- ble-dose, large-scale, observational trial of oral ziprasidone mon- otherapy, funded by Pfizer Pharmaceuticals Israel	32/70 completed ziprasidone treat- ment, recruited from 2004 to 2006	1 year	Vital signs, ECGs, or clinical laboratory variables associated with treatment: no significant chang- es; ESRS, DSAS, weight, and DAI-30: no significant differences during the three follow-up visits ( <i>p</i> values ≤ 0.05). Adverse events from baseline to endpoint: mild or moderate fatigue (22–28%), sleep dis- turbances (12–22%), headache (12–16%), somno- lence (16–12%).
Iqbal et al. (2020) UK [83]	Data from de-identified EHRs of three mental health trusts in the UK no pharmaceutical in- dustry sponsorship	2835 selected patients under clozapine treatment from 2007 to 2016	Not appli- cable	Highest recorded adverse effects: sedation, fa- tigue, agitation, dizziness, hypersalivation, weight gain, tachycardia, headache, constipation, and confusion in the three months following the treatment start; higher percentages of all adverse effects displayed in the first month of therapy; ADRs' significant association of gender and eth-

				nicity in 7/33, smoking status in 21/33 and hos- pital admission in 30/33.
Schreiner et al. (2014) 21 European countries [67]	Prospective, interventional, single-arm, multicenter study, sponsored by Janssen-Cilag	593 non-acute symp- tomatic patients unsuccessfully treated with oral APs; all patients were treated with flexi- ble-dose PP1M, recruited from 2010 to 2013	6 months	<ul> <li>Follow-up side effects: 59.7% of patients experienced at least 1 treatment-related side effect;</li> <li>93.1% of side effects were rated mild or moderate in intensity; 75.8% of adverse effects resulted in no dosage change.</li> <li>Treatment-related adverse effects occurring in ≥5% of patients: injection site pain (2.3%), insomnia (8.6%), anxiety (6.7%), psychotic disorder (6.1%), and headache (5.6%); 18 patients (3.0%)</li> <li>reported at least one potentially prolactin-related side effect, four (0.7%) hyperprolactinemia, and seven (1.2%) potentially prolactin-related side effects as well as hyperprolactinemia.</li> <li>Mean increase of 0.4 kg/m² (95% CI,0.3–0.6) in BMI and mean weight change between baseline and endpoint of 1.2 kg (95% CI, 0.7–1.6) in the whole group; 81 patients (15.4%) had a ≥7% increase in weight from baseline to endpoint. No EPS were evidenced in all groups.</li> </ul>
Hargarter et al. (2015) 21 European countries [68]	Prospective, multicenter, open-label study [PALMFlexS], sponsored by Janssen Cilag International NV	149 patients treated with PP1M flexible dosing, recruited from 2010 to 2013	6 months	Treatment-related side effects: 63.7% of patients experienced at least one, the majority (89.1%) of which were rated as mild or moderate in inten- sity and did not result in a PP1M dose change (69.7%). Treatment-related side effects reported in ≥5% of patients: injection site pain (13.7%), insomnia (10.8%), psychotic disorder (10.4%), headache, and anxiety (6.1%). Discontinuation treatment: overall, 19 patients (9.0%) reported one or more adverse effects that led to early termination of treatment; most fre- quent adverse effects leading to discontinuation were psychotic disorder ( <i>n</i> = 4, 1.9%), acute epi- sode of schizophrenia ( <i>n</i> = 2; 0.9%) and amenor- rhea ( <i>n</i> = 2; 0.9%). In the total cohort, 12 patients (5.7%) had a po- tentially prolactin-related adverse effect, 2 (0.9%) hyperprolactinemia, and 1 (0.5%) both. Adverse effects reported as potentially prolac- tin-related: amenorrhea (2.4%), galactorrhea (0.5%), erectile dysfunction (1.4%), gynecomastia (0.5%), and sexual dysfunction (1.4%). Overall, 40 patients (22.5%) had a ≥7% increase in body weight.
Alphs et al. (2015) USA [70]	Randomized, prospective, open-label, paral- lel-group, multicenter study	444 patients under flexible monthly maintenance doses of PP1M within a		The five most common treatment-related side effects were:

	(PRIDE study),	range of 78–234		anxiety (10.6%).
	funded by Janssen Sci- entific Affairs LLC.	mg, recruited from 2010 to 2013		The incidence of hyperprolactinemia was 23.5%, associated with sexual dysfunctions.
Rosso et al. (2016) Italy [84]	Multicenter prospective observational study, no pharmaceutical in- dustry sponsorship	60 inpatients and outpatients treated with PP1M flexible maintenance dos- age within the range of 50 to 150 mg Eq, recruited from 2013 to 2014	12 months	The proportion of patients with MetS did not significantly change at 6 (39.0%) and 12 months (29.5%) of PP1M treatment vs. baseline (33%); no significant variation emerged between MetS in- dividual components at baseline and 6 and 12 months. Among the study completers without MetS at baseline ( $n = 30$ ), only two patients (6.6%) ful- filled MetS criteria at the end of the study period (12 months); among study completers with MetS at baseline ( $n = 14$ ), four patients (28.5%) did not fulfill MetS criteria at the end of the study peri- od. A significant increase in BMI (26.3 ± 6.0 vs. 27.1 ± 4.6, $p = 0.031$ ) and waist circumference (98.2 ± 17.9 vs. 100.3 ± 15.9, $p = 0.021$ ) from baseline to endpoint. Weight gain in approximately 15% of patients. Rate of ADR: At least one mild or moderate ADR in 71.3% of patients (at baseline), 88.0% (at 6 months), and 52.1% (at 12 months); at each as- sessment point, no significant differences were found in blood pressure, glycemia, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol mean scores. Hyperprolactinemia: in four patients (6.6%) at baseline, six patients (10.1%) at T1, and six pa- tients (13.6%) at T2; symptomatic in two women that showed amenorrhea.
Fernández-Mi randa et al. (2017) Spain [71]	Prospective, observational study, patients resistant to previous oral or LAI FGAs and/or SGAs, no pharmaceutical in- dustry sponsorship	30 patients treated with 150 mg Eq PP1M, then on av- erage dose of PP1M 228,7 mEq/28 days; range between 175 and 400 mEq, recruited from 2012 to 2015	3 years	ADR rate: no patients experienced serious adverse events. Discontinuation rate: only one patient due to metabolic syndrome. General tolerability: significant weight loss ( <i>p</i> < 0.05), decreased glucose, total cholesterol, tri-glycerides, PRL levels and EPS
Schöttle et al. (2018) Germany [73]	Multicenter, prospective, non-interventional study, sponsored by Lundbeck GmbH and Otsuka GmbH.	242 patients switching from oral-Ari to Ari-LAI, recruited from 2014 to 2016	6 months	Side effects: weight gain (0.4%), experiencing EPS (2.9%), hyperprolactinemia-related side ef- fects (0%) (such as sexual dysfunction), EPS in patients > 35 years who were diagnosed with schizophrenia more than 5 years before.

De- vrimci-Ozguv en et al. (2019) Turkey [75]	National, multicenter, retrospective, and mir- ror-image study with PP1M, no pharmaceutical in- dustry sponsorship	psychotic attack 1	12 months	Frequency of adverse events: no significant dif- ference before and during PP1M treatment. Side effects: hyperlipidemia, EPS (Parkinsonism, acute dystonia, and akathisia), sedation, and constipation decreased post-PP1M treatment phase; prolactin elevation, amenor- rhea/menstrual irregularity in female patients, and sexual dysfunction increased; body weight increased slightly in both female and male pa- tients.
Fernández-Mi randa et al. (2020) Spain [78]	Observational, mirror-image study, no pharmaceutical in- dustry sponsorship	patients resistant to previous APs: 60 patients treated with LAI Ris ≥ 75 mg; 60 treated with 75 mg/month PP1M; 30 treated with ≥ 600 mg/month LAI Ari, recruited from 2014 to 2016	6 years	Tolerability profile: good for all LAIs, especially Ari-LAI; two patients discontinued treatment due to side effects (akathisia) with Ari-LAI, five with PP1M (three EPS, one hyper-PRL, and one sedation), nine with Ris-LAI (four EPS, one hy- per-PRL, three sedation, and one hyperlipemia). Discontinuation rate: four with Ris-LAI, two with PP1m, and one with Ari-LAI due to a lack of effectiveness.

EPS = Extrapyramidal Symptoms; ESRS = Extrapyramidal Symptom Rating Scale; DSAS = Distress Scale for Adverse Symptoms; ADR = Adverse Drug Reaction; DAI-30= Drug Attitude Inventory; EHR = Electronic Health Records; PP1M = Paliperidone palmitate once-monthly; MetS = Metabolic Syndrome; PRIDE study = Paliperidone Palmitate Research in Demonstrating Effectiveness study.

Ref. [51] sustained that the number of patients presenting side effects when treated with SGAs (amisulpride, clozapine, olanzapine, quetiapine, and risperidone) was in the range of 25–63.3%. However, the authors did not specify the secondary or adverse effects reported by patients [51]. On the other hand, among all patients who completed treatment with oral ziprasidone monotherapy, the most common adverse events from baseline to endpoint were mild/moderate [53].

Ref. [83] reported that most frequent adverse effects in patients treated with clozapine (N = 2835) were observed in the three months following treatment start [83]. However, higher percentages of all adverse effects appeared in the first month of clozapine therapy. Furthermore, the data analysis showed a significant negative association between most adverse drug reactions and smoking status, hospital admission conditions, gender, ethnicity, and age of the included patients [83].

Among studies on the tolerability profile of LAI SGAs, six out of eight studies included patients under PP1M treatment. Most studies evidenced treatment-related adverse effects occurring in  $\geq$ 5% of patients and mainly represented by pain in the injection site (2.3%), insomnia (8.6%), anxiety (6.7%), psychotic disorder (6.1%), headache (5.6%), weight increase (11.9%), and akathisia (11.1%) [67,68,70,71,73,75,78]. Instead, at each assessment point, no significant differences arose in blood pressure, glycemia, triglycerides, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol mean scores [71,84]. In the total patient population, 5.7% had a potentially prolactin-related adverse effect (prolactin elevation, amenorrhea/menstrual irregularity in female patients, galactorrhea, gynecomastia, erectile dysfunction, and decreased general sexual function in males) which greatly affected compliance to treatment [67,68,70,75,78,84]. Furthermore, when used at higher doses than standard ones ( $\geq$ 175 mg Eq), PP1M showed a good tolerability profile [71]. The overall risk of bias for non-randomized clinical studies reporting the tolerability results of oral or LAI SGAs was moderate (6/11) and high (5/11).

#### 4. Discussion

Overall, the real-world studies analyzed in the present review evidenced that SGAs effectiveness proved superior vs. FGAs, in terms of relapse-free survival, discontinuation rate, and psychiatric hospitalization rate. Furthermore, SGAs were likely superior to FGAs for treating negative symptoms.

On the contrary, RCT results showed that SGAs did not appear to have a better efficacy on negative symptoms than FGAs, although some other studies showed a good efficacy associated with a favorable side-effect profile [85–87]. The CATIE study evidenced that all APs had limitations. Therefore, 74% of patients discontinued their randomized treatment over 18 months due to inefficacy or intolerable side effects. Additionally, SGAs differed neither from each other nor from perphenazine (an FGA) concerning effectiveness or EPS. Several studies included in the present review compared SGAs prevalently to haloperidol, which has an increased propensity to cause drug-induced EPS. Accordingly, there was no evidence that SGAs were better for negative symptoms and cognitive deficits. Individual drugs differed in specific side effects. Olanzapine, for example, proved to be the most effective concerning discontinuation rate (64%), although causing the highest side-effect burden [26].

Furthermore, from studies examined in the present review, LAI APs appeared as the pharmacologic treatments with the highest prevention rates of relapse in patients with schizophrenia and related disorders. The risk of psychiatric rehospitalization was the lowest during monotherapy with once-monthly paliperidone LAI, zuclopenthixol, perphenazine, and olanzapine compared with no use of APs [44]. In addition, all LAI APs appeared to be associated with a lower risk of rehospitalization also when compared with the equivalent oral formulations (i.e., oral olanzapine) [44]. Switching from oral SGAs or FGAs to LAIs or APs polytherapy in early non-responders appeared beneficial for preventing treatment failure in hospitalized patients with acute schizophrenia [46,65]. Better relapse prevention and clinical stability were achieved by switching from one LAI to another when deemed necessary [65]. Finally, a more favorable tolerability profile was described in patients switching from oral aripiprazole to aripiprazole LAI [73]. Side effects, such as weight gain, EPS, those related to hyperprolactinemia, and sexual dysfunction, rarely emerged [71,78]. Overall, EPS were present only in patients > 35 years diagnosed with schizophrenia more than 5 years before.

Different long-term SGAs efficacy and tolerability patterns emerged prevalently from meta-analyses of RCTs, which indicated that: (1) regarding all-cause discontinuation, clozapine, olanzapine, and risperidone were significantly superior to several other SGAs, while quetiapine was inferior to several other SGAs [88,89]; (2) as to psychopathology, clozapine and olanzapine were superior to several other SGAs, while quetiapine and ziprasidone were inferior to several other SGAs [90,91]; (3) regarding intolerability-related discontinuation, risperidone was superior and clozapine inferior to several other SGAs [20,92,93]. Concerning weight gain, olanzapine was worse than all the other compared non-clozapine SGAs, and risperidone was significantly worse than several other SGAs. Regarding prolactin increase, risperidone and amisulpride were significantly worse than several other SGAs. Regarding parkinsonism, olanzapine was superior to risperidone, without significant differences about akathisia. Concerning sedation and somnolence, clozapine and quetiapine were significantly worse than a few other SGAs.

However, the apparent improvement in key clinical domains (e.g., negative symptoms) reported by meta-analyses may be largely attributable to improvements in a related clinical domain, such as positive symptoms or fewer AP-related side effects (e.g., EPS), a problem often referred to as pseudospecificity [94].

Our analysis evidenced that SGAs therapy persistence and adherence to treatment were higher than with FGAs. Furthermore, some studies concluded that switching to LAIs or APs polytherapy was associated with a lower treatment failure. In addition, general functionality, subjective well-being, global satisfaction, and improved personal and social performance were reported in patients treated with LAI formulations of SGAs (namely, PP1M and once-monthly aripiprazole LAI) when compared with the corresponding oral formulations.

Clozapine, as well, was associated with the lowest rates of treatment failure and greater efficacy vs. the other SGAs, despite being administered exclusively for intolerant and/or non-responder patients and presenting neurocognitive compromise (mainly reduced performance on attention and memory), plus an unfavorable metabolic and hematological adverse-event profile [83,95]. In the 99% of patients entering CATIE phase 2, clozapine also emerged as significantly more effective than the other SGAs, with a median time to discontinuation of 10 months, twice the length of the following best AP, namely olanzapine [96]. Thus, in both CATIE and CUtLASS studies, SGAs were not found to be more effective (except for olanzapine in CATIE) and did not produce measurably fewer EPS overall. Furthermore, clozapine was the most effective for treatment-resistant patients [26,27].

Among the real-world studies we analyzed, only a few reported on new SGAs, such as lurasidone and brexpiprazole. However, patients treated with lurasidone displayed greater adherence when compared to patients treated with other SGAs [60]. Furthermore, one study analyzed the efficacy of brexpiprazole, and no significant differences emerged when treated patients were compared with those treated with other SGAs [63].

No real-world studies on the effectiveness and tolerability outcomes of patients treated with cariprazine were retrieved by our literature search, although the FDA had approved the drug in 2015.

Most studies selected in this literature review present a few methodological limitations relating to the standard use of medical data from insurance companies, patient registries, administrative and healthcare claims database. Such limitations include no verification of the psychiatric diagnosis and treatments received, high polypharmacy rates, limited knowledge of earlier treatment conditions, and emerging side effects. Furthermore, these studies typically do not present measures of laboratory biological parameters, relying on surrogate markers for the presence of a disease (i.e., for diabetes, the prescription of a hypoglycemic agent, or an ICD code for diabetes). Furthermore, the heterogeneity of the studies conducted in different populations over several decades will likely introduce relevant biases. One of the significant limitations of some studies was the limited or absent control over the data collection quality, which reduced the internal validity of the results. Other potential biases may result from unmeasured confounders and insufficient statistical adjustment of confounders. In this respect, retrospective study data do not meet the criteria of reliability and accuracy required by the methodological rigor of RCTs.

# 5. Conclusions

The present review evidenced that SGAs demonstrated superior effectiveness over FGAs in relapse-free survival and psychiatric hospitalization rate and for treating negative symptoms, while no clear evidence emerged regarding the effectiveness on cognitive deficits. In addition, persistence and adherence to therapy were higher with SGAs than FGAs. Most studies concluded that switching to LAIs was significantly associated with a low treatment failure rate than monotherapy with oral SGAs. Significant improvements in general functionality, subjective well-being, and global satisfaction, besides improved personal and social performance, were reported in some studies on patients treated with LAI SGAs. Furthermore, considering safety and tolerability, our literature review suggests that in adult patients with schizophrenia and related disorders, there may be a lower association of weight gain and adverse metabolic effects with ziprasidone, aripiprazole, and some FGAs compared with olanzapine, clozapine, quetiapine, and risperidone. Finally, it is crucial for the clinicians to be familiar with the various therapeutic options, not neglecting the old medications, which are still in use with acceptable effectiveness.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/jcm11154530/s1, Table S1: Risk of bias assessments in non-randomized clinical studies. Figure S1: Flow diagram showing study selection process of included articles.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.F. and F.C.; methodology, M.F., S.C., A.C. and F.P.; software, S.C. and A.C.; validation, S.C. and A.C.; formal analysis, S.C.; investigation, M.F. and F.C.; resources, M.F., F.C. and F.P.; data curation, M.F., F.C. and F.P.; writing—original draft preparation, M.F.; writing—review and editing, M.F. and F.C.; visualization, A.C. and S.C.; supervision, F.C.; project administration, M.F.; funding acquisition, M.F. and F.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data relevant to this paper are included in the article.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Marinella Simioli for her professional language and editing assistance.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### References

- 1. Thornicroft, G.; Tansella, M.; Becker, T.; Knapp, M.; Leese, M.; Schene, A.; Vazquez-Barquero, J.L.; EPSILON Study Group. The personal impact of schizophrenia in Europe. *Schizophr. Res.* **2004**, *69*, 125–132.
- 2. Abi-Dargham, A. Schizophrenia: Overview and dopamine dysfunction. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2014, 75, e31.
- 3. Singh, S.P.; Javed, A.; and WPA Expert International Advisory Panel for Early Intervention in Psychosis. Early intervention in psychosis in low- and middle-income countries: A WPA initiative. *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 122.
- Drake, R.E.; Xie, H.; McHugo, G.J. A 16-year follow-up of patients with serious mental illness and co-occurring substance use disorder. World Psychiatry 2020, 19, 397–398.
- Plana-Ripoll, O.; Musliner, K.L.; Dalsgaard, S.; Momen, N.C.; Weye, N.; Christensen, M.K.; Agerbo, E.; Iburg, K.M.; Laursen, T.M.; Mortensen, P.B.; et al. Nature and prevalence of combinations of mental disorders and their association with excess mortality in a population-based cohort study. *World Psychiatry* 2020, *19*, 339–349.
- 6. Green, M.F.; Lee, J.; Wynn, J.K. Experimental approaches to social disconnection in the general community: Can we learn from schizophrenia research? *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 177–178.
- Galderisi, S.; Rucci, P.; Mucci, A.; Rossi, A.; Rocca, P.; Bertolino, A.; Aguglia, E.; Amore, M.; Bellomo, A.; Bozzatello, P.; et al. The interplay among psychopathology, personal resources, context-related factors and real-life functioning in schizophrenia: Stability in relationships after 4 years and differences in network structure between recovered and non-recovered patients. *World Psychiatry* 2020, *19*, 81–91.
- Kotov, R.; Jonas, K.G.; Carpenter, W.T.; Dretsch, M.N.; Eaton, N.R.; Forbes, M.K.; Forbush, K.T.; Hobbs, K.; Reininghaus, U.; Slade, T.; et al. HiTOP Utility Workgroup. Validity and utility of Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP): I. Psychosis superspectrum. *World Psychiatry* 2020, *19*, 151–172.
- 9. Menon, V. Brain networks and cognitive impairment in psychiatric disorders. *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 309–310.
- 10. Moritz, S.; Silverstein, S.M.; Dietrichkeit, M.; Gallinat, J. Neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia are likely to be less severe and less related to the disorder than previously thought. *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 254–255.
- Lysaker, P.H.; Hasson-Ohayon, I. Metacognition in psychosis: A renewed path to understanding of core disturbances and recovery-oriented treatment. World Psychiatry 2021, 20, 359–361.
- Lahey, B.B.; Moore, T.M.; Kaczkurkin, A.N.; Zald, D.H. Hierarchical models of psychopathology: Empirical support, implications, and remaining issues. World Psychiatry 2021, 20, 57–63.
- 13. Jones, I. Postpartum psychosis: An important clue to the etiology of mental illness. World Psychiatry 2020, 19, 334–336.
- Maj, M.; van Os, J.; De Hert, M.; Gaebel, W.; Galderisi, S.; Green, M.F.; Guloksuz, S.; Harvey, P.D.; Jones, P.B.; Malaspina, D.; et al. The clinical characterization of the patient with primary psychosis aimed at personalization of management. *World Psychiatry* 2021, 20, 4–33.
- 15. Gaebel, W.; Falkai, P.; Hasan, A. The revised German evidence- and consensus-based schizophrenia guideline. *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 117–119.

- 16. McCutcheon, R.A.; Krystal, J.H.; Howes, O.D. Dopamine and glutamate in schizophrenia: Biology, symptoms and treatment. *World Psychiatry* **2020**, *19*, 15–33.
- 17. Lasalvia, A.; Tansella, M. 'Young people at high risk for psychosis: Conceptual framework, research evidence and treatment opportunities'. *Epidemiol. Psychiatr. Sci.* 2012, 21, 317–322.
- 18. Patel, K.R.; Cherian, J.; Gohil, K.; Atkinson, D. Schizophrenia: Overview and treatment options. Pharm. Ther. 2014, 39, 638-645.
- 19. Egerton, A.; Grace, A.A.; Stone, J.; Bossong, M.G.; Sand, M.; McGuire, P. Glutamate in schizophrenia: Neu-rodevelopmental perspectives and drug development. *Schizophr. Res.* **2020**, *223*, 59–70.
- Leucht, S.; Cipriani, A.; Spineli, L.; Mavridis, D.; Orey, D.; Richter, F.; Samara, M.; Barbui, C.; Engel, R.R.; Geddes, J.R.; et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of 15 antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia: A multiple-treatments meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2013, 382, 951–962.
- 21. Kaar, S.J.; Natesan, S.; McCutcheon, R.; Howes, O.D. Antipsychotics: Mechanisms underlying clinical response and side-effects and novel treatment approaches based on pathophysiology. *Neuropharmacology* **2020**, *172*, 107704.
- Peluso, M.J.; Lewis, S.W.; Barnes, T.R.; Jones, P.B. Extrapyramidal motor side-effects of first- and second-generation antipsychotic drugs. Br. J. Psychiatry 2012, 200, 387–392.
- 23. Üçok, A.; Gaebel, W. Side effects of atypical antipsychotics: A brief overview. World Psychiatry 2008, 7, 58–62.
- Taipale, H.; Tanskanen, A.; Mehtala, J.; Vattulainen, P.; Correll, C.U.; Tiihonen, J. 20-year follow-up study of physical morbidity and mortality in relationship to antipsychotic treatment in a nationwide cohort of 62,250 patients with schizophrenia (FIN20). World Psychiatry 2020, 19, 61–68.
- Fabrazzo, M.; Monteleone, P.; Prisco, V.; Perris, F.; Catapano, F.; Tortorella, A.; Monteleone, A.M.; Steardo, L.; Maj, M. Olanzapine is faster than haloperidol in inducing metabolic abnormalities in schizophrenic and bipolar patients. *Neuropsychobiology* 2015, *72*, 29–36.
- Lieberman, J.A.; Stroup, T.S.; McEvoy, J.P.; Swartz, M.S.; Rosenheck, R.A.; Perkins, D.O.; Keefe, R.S.; Davis, S.M.; Davis, C.E.; Lebowitz, B.D.; et al. Clinical Antipsychotic Trials of Intervention Effectiveness (CATIE) Investigators. Effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in patients with chronic schizophrenia. N. Engl. J. Med. 2005, 353, 1209–1223.
- Jones, P.B.; Barnes, T.R.; Davies, L.; Dunn, G.; Lloyd, H.; Hayhurst, K.P.; Murray, R.M.; Markwick, A.; Lewis, S.W. Randomized controlled trial of the effect on Quality of Life of second- vs. first-generation antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia: Cost Utility of the Latest Antipsychotic Drugs in Schizophrenia Study (CUtLASS 1). Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 2006, 63, 1079–1087.
- Rybakowski, J.K.; Vansteelandt, K.; Remlinger-Molenda, A.; Fleischhacker, W.W.; Kahn, R.S.; Peuskens, J. EUFEST Study Group. Extrapyramidal symptoms during treatment of first schizophrenia episode: Results from EUFEST. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2014, 24, 1500–1505.
- 29. Kapur, S.; Remington, G. Atypical antipsychotics: Patients value the lower incidence of extrapyramidal side effects. *BMJ* **2001**, *321*, 1360–1361.
- 30. Geddes, J.; Freemantle, N.; Harrison, P.; Bebbington, P.; for the National Schizophrenia Guideline Development Group. Atypical antipsychotics in the treatment of schizophrenia: Systematic overview and meta-regression analysis. *BMJ* **2001**, *321*, 1371–1376.
- Cuesta, M.J.; Sánchez-Torres, A.M.; de Jalón, E.G.; Campos, M.S.; Ibáñez, B.; Moreno-Izco, L.; Peralta, V. Spontaneous parkinsonism is associated with cognitive impairment in antipsychotic-naive patients with first-episode psychosis: A 6-month follow-up study. *Schizophr. Bull.* 2014, 40, 1164–1173.
- 32. Fervaha, G.; Agid, O.; Takeuchi, H.; Lee, J.; Foussias, G.; Zakzanis, K.K.; Graff-Guerrero, A.; Remington, G. Extrapyramidal symptoms and cognitive test performance in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* **2015**, *161*, 351–356.
- Demjaha, A.; Lappin, J.M.; Stahl, D.; Patel, M.X.; MacCabe, J.H.; Howes, O.D.; Heslin, M.; Reininghaus, U.A.; Donoghue, K.; Lomas, B.; et al. Antipsychotic treatment resistance in first-episode psychosis: Prevalence, subtypes and predictors. *Psychol. Med.* 2017, 47, 1981–1989.
- 34. Emsley, R.; Chiliza, B.; Asmal, L.; Harvey, B.H. The nature of relapse in schizophrenia. BMC Psychiatry 2013, 13, 50.
- Lally, J.; Gaughran, F.; Timms, P.; Curran, S.R. Treatment-resistant schizophrenia: Current insights on the pharmacogenomics of antipsychotics. *Pharmgenomics Pers. Med.* 2016, *9*, 117–129.
- Chekroud, A.M.; Bondar, J.; Delgadillo, J.; Doherty, G.; Wasil, A.; Fokkema, M.; Cohen, Z.; Belgrave, D.; DeRubeis, R.; Iniesta, R.; et al. The promise of machine learning in predicting treatment outcomes in psychiatry. *World Psychiatry* 2021, 20, 154–170.
- 37. Carpenter, W.T. Primary psychosis: More to know, much more to do. World Psychiatry 2021, 20, 1-2.
- 38. Higashi, K.; Medic, G.; Littlewood, K.J.; Diez, T.; Granström, O.; De Hert, M. Medication adherence in schizophrenia: Factors influencing adherence and consequences of nonadherence, a systematic literature review. *Ther. Adv. Psychopharmacol.* **2013**, *3*, 200–218.
- Crawford, M.J.; Thana, L.; Evans, R.; Carne, A.; O'Connell, L.; Claringbold, A.; Saravanamuthu, A.; Case, R.; Munjiza, J.; Jayacodi, S.; et al. Switching antipsychotic medication to reduce sexual dysfunction in people with psychosis: The REMEDY RCT. *Health Technol. Assess.* 2020, 24, 1–54.
- 40. Lewis, S.; Lieberman, J. CATIE and CUtLASS: Can we handle the truth? Br. J. Psychiatry 2008, 192, 161–163.
- 41. Kahn, R.S.; Fleischhacker, W.W.; Boter, H.; Davidson, M.; Vergouwe, Y.; Keet, I.P.; Gheorghe, M.D.; Rybakowski, J.K.; Galderisi, S.; Libiger, J.; et al. EUFEST study group. Effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in first-episode schizophrenia and schizophreniform disorder: An open randomised clinical trial. *Lancet* 2008, *371*, 1085–1097.

- 42. Boter, H.; Peuskens, J.; Libiger, J.; Fleischhacker, W.W.; Davidson, M.; Galderisi, S.; Kahn, R.S.; EUFEST study group. Effectiveness of antipsychotics in first-episode schizophrenia and schizophreniform disorder on response and remission: An open randomized clinical trial (EUFEST). *Schizophr. Res.* **2009**, *115*, 97–103.
- Fleischhacker, W.W.; Siu, C.O.; Bodén, R.; Pappadopulos, E.; Karayal, O.N.; Kahn, R.S.; EUFEST study group. Metabolic risk factors in first-episode schizophrenia: Baseline prevalence and course analysed from the European First-Episode Schizophrenia Trial. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2013, 16, 987–995.
- De Hert, M.; Schreurs, V.; Sweers, K.; Van Eyck, D.; Hanssens, L.; Sinko, S.; Wampers, M.; Scheen, A.; Peuskens, J.; van Winkel, R. Typical and atypical antipsychotics differentially affect long-term incidence rates of the metabolic syndrome in first-episode patients with schizophrenia: A retrospective chart review. *Schizophr. Res.* 2008, 101, 295–303.
- Altamura, A.C.; Glick, I.D. Designing outcome studies to determine efficacy and safety of antipsychotics for 'real world' treatment of schizophrenia. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 2010, 13, 971–973.
- 46. Palumbo, D.; Caporusso, E.; Piegari, G.; Mencacci, C.; Torriero, S.; Giuliani, L.; Fabrazzo, M.; Pinto, D.; Galderisi, S. Social Cognition Individualized Activities Lab for Social Cognition Training and Narrative Enhancement in Patients With Schizophrenia: A Randomized Controlled Study to Assess Efficacy and Generalization to Real-Life Functioning (Prot. n°: NCT05130853). Front. Psychiatry 2022, 13, 833550.
- Sabe, M.; Pillinger, T.; Kaiser, S.; Chen, C.; Taipale, H.; Tanskanen, A.; Tiihonen, J.; Leucht, S.; Correll, C.U.; Solmi, M. Half a century of research on antipsychotics and schizophrenia: A scientometric study of hotspots, nodes, bursts, and trends. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2022, *36*, 104608.
- 48. Anglemyer, A.; Horvath, H.T.; Bero, L. Healthcare outcomes assessed with observational study designs compared with those assessed in randomized trials. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2014**, 2014, MR000034.
- Liberati, A.; Altman, D.G.; Tetzlaff, J.; Mulrow, C.; Gøtzsche, P.C.; Ioannidis, J.P.; Clarke, M.; Devereaux, P.J.; Kleijnen, J.; Moher, D.L. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *BMJ* 2009, 339, b2700.
- 50. Sterne, J.A.C.; Hernán, M.A.; McAleenan, A.; Reeves, B.C.; Higgins, J.P.T. Chapter 25: Assessing risk of bias in a non-randomized study. In *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.1*; Higgins, J.P.T., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M.J., Welch, V.A., Eds.; Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 2020. Available online: www.training.cochrane.org/handbook (accessed on 1 June 2022).
- Taylor, M.; Turner, M.; Watt, L.; Brown, D.; Martin, M.; Fraser, K. Atypical anti-psychotics in the real world—A naturalistic comparative outcome study. *Scott. Med. J.* 2005, 50, 102–106.
- 52. Ritsner, M.S.; Yorkov, V.; Ratner, Y.; Soifer, P.; Gibel, A. The effectiveness of ziprasidone in treating impaired quality of life in schizophrenia: A 12-month, open-label, flexible-dose, naturalistic observational study of patients undergoing usual care. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* **2007**, *31*, 1470–1477.
- Ratner, Y.; Gibel, A.; Yorkov, V.; Ritsner, M.S. Effectiveness, safety, and tolerability of ziprasidone for treating schizophrenia patients undergoing usual care: A 12-month, open-label, flexible-dose, naturalistic observational trial. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 2007, *31*, 1401–1409.
- Kilzieh, N.; Todd-Stenberg, J.A.; Kennedy, A.; Wood, A.E.; Tapp, A.M. Time to discontinuation and self-discontinuation of olanzapine and risperidone in patients with schizophrenia in a naturalistic outpatient setting. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 2008, 28, 74–77.
- Cortesi, P.A.; Mencacci, C.; Luigi, F.; Pirfo, E.; Berto, P.; Sturkenboom, M.C.; Lopes, F.L.; Giustra, M.G.; Mantovani, L.G.; Scalone, L. Compliance, persistence, costs and quality of life in young patients treated with antipsychotic drugs: Results from the COMETA study. *BMC Psychiatry* 2013, *13*, 98.
- Novick, D.; Montgomery, W.; Treuer, T.; Moneta, M.V.; Haro, J.M. Real-world Effectiveness of Antipsychotics for the Treatment of Negative Symptoms in Patients with Schizophrenia with Predominantly Negative Symptoms. *Pharmacopsychiatry* 2017, 50, 56–63.
- Vanasse, A.; Blais, L.; Courteau, J.; Cohen, A.A.; Roberge, P.; Larouche, A.; Grignon, S.; Fleury, M.J.; Lesage, A.; Demers, M.F.; et al. Comparative effectiveness and safety of antipsychotic drugs in schizophrenia treatment: A real-world observational study. *Acta Psychiatr.Scand.* 2016, 134, 374–384.
- 58. Misawa, F.; Suzuki, T.; Fujii, Y. Effect of Clozapine vs. Other Second-Generation Antipsychotics on Hospitalization and Seclusion: A Retrospective Mirror-Image Study in a Japanese Public Psychiatric Hospital. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 2017, 37, 664–668.
- Tiihonen, J.; Mittendorfer-Rutz, E.; Majak, M.; Mehtälä, J.; Hoti, F.; Jedenius, E.; Enkusson, D.; Leval, A.; Sermon, J.; Tanskanen, A.; et al. Real-World Effectiveness of Antipsychotic Treatments in a Nationwide Cohort of 29 823 Patients With Schizophrenia. JAMA Psychiatry 2017, 74, 686–693.
- 60. Rajagopalan, K.; Wade, S.; Meyer, N.; Loebel, A. Real-world adherence assessment of lurasidone and other oral atypical antipsychotics among patients with schizophrenia: An administrative claims analysis. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* **2017**, *33*, 813–820.
- 61. Zhang, L.; Li, Y.G.; He, S.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, Y.M.; Li, Y.; Wen, H.; Qiao, Y.; Shen, Y.F.; Li, H.F. Quantitative efficacy of three antipsychotic drugs for schizophrenia based on a real-world study in China. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* **2019**, *40*, 1611–1620.
- 62. Stam, N.; Taipale, H.; Tanskanen, A.; Isphording, L.; Okhuijsen-Pfeifer, C.; Schuiling-Veninga, C.C.M.; Bos, J.H.J.; Bijker, B.J.; Tiihonen, J.; Luykx, J.J. Persistence of Antipsychotic Use After Clozapine Discontinuation: A Real-World Study Across Antipsychotics. *Clin. Transl. Sci.* **2020**, *13*, 1170–1177.

- 63. Yan, T.; Greene, M.; Chang, E.; Houle, C.R.; Waters, H.C.; Tarbox, M.H.; Broder, M.S. Health Care Cost in Patients With Schizophrenia Treated With Brexpiprazole Versus Other Oral Atypical Antipsychotic Therapy. *Clin. Ther.* **2020**, *42*, 77–93.
- 64. Barbosa, W.B.; Gomes, R.M.; Godman, B.; Acurcio, F.A.; Guerra Júnior, A.A. Real-world effectiveness of olanzapine and risperidone in the treatment of schizophrenia in Brazil over a 16-year follow-up period; findings and implications. *Expert. Rev. Clin. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *14*, 269–279.
- 65. Hatta, K.; Katayama, S.; Ishizuka, T.; Sudo, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Hasegawa, H.; Imai, A.; Morikawa, F.; Shimada, T.; Misawa, F.; et al. JAST study group. Real-world effectiveness of antipsychotic treatments in 1011 acutely hospitalized patients with schizophrenia: A one-year follow-up study. *Asian J. Psychiatr.* 2022, 67, 102917.
- Fernández-Miranda, J.J.; Díaz-Fernández, S.; López-Muñoz, F. Oral Versus Long-Acting Injectable Antipsychotic Treatment for People With Severe Schizophrenia: A 5-Year Follow-up of Effectiveness. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis. 2021, 209, 330–335.
- Schreiner, A.; Bergmans, P.; Cherubin, P.; Keim, S.; Rancans, E.; Bez, Y.; Parellada, E.; Carpiniello, B.; Vidailhet, P.; Hargarter, L. A prospective flexible-dose study of paliperidone palmitate in nonacute but symptomatic patients with schizophrenia previously unsuccessfully treated with oral antipsychotic agents. *Clin. Ther.* 2014, *36*, 1372–1388.
- Hargarter, L.; Cherubin, P.; Bergmans, P.; Keim, S.; Rancans, E.; Bez, Y.; Parellada, E.; Carpiniello, B.; Vidailhet, P.; Schreiner, A. Intramuscular long-acting paliperidone palmitate in acute patients with schizophrenia unsuccessfully treated with oral antipsychotics. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 2015, *58*, 1–7.
- 69. Chan, H.W.; Huang, C.Y.; Feng, W.J.; Yen, Y.C. Risperidone long-acting injection and 1-year rehospitalization rate of schizophrenia patients: A retrospective cohort study. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* **2015**, *69*, 497–503.
- Alphs, L.; Benson, C.; Cheshire-Kinney, K.; Lindenmayer, J.P.; Mao, L.; Rodriguez, S.C.; Starr, H.L. Real-world outcomes of paliperidone palmitate compared to daily oral antipsychotic therapy in schizophrenia: A randomized, open-label, review board-blinded 15-month study. J. Clin. Psychiatry 2015, 76, 554–561.
- Fernández-Miranda, J.J.; Díaz-Fernández, S. Tolerability of effective high doses of paliperidone palmitate in patients with severe resistant schizophrenia. Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 2017, 32, 6–12.
- Pilon, D.; Tandon, N.; Lafeuille, M.H.; Kamstra, R.; Emond, B.; Lefebvre, P.; Joshi, K. Treatment Patterns, Health Care Resource Utilization, and Spending in Medicaid Beneficiaries Initiating Second-generation Long-acting Injectable Agents Versus Oral Atypical Antipsychotics. *Clin. Ther.* 2017, 39, 1972–1985.
- 73. Schöttle, D.; Janetzky, W.; Luedecke, D.; Beck, E.; Correll, C.U.; Wiedemann, K. Effectiveness of aripiprazole once-monthly in schizophrenia patients pretreated with oral aripiprazole: A 6-month, real-life non-interventional study. *BMC Psychiatry* **2018**, *18*, 365.
- 74. Patel, C.; El Khoury, A.; Huang, A.; Wang, L.; Baser, O.; Joshi, K. Health Outcomes Among Patients Diagnosed with Schizophrenia in the US Veterans Health Administration Population Who Transitioned from Once-Monthly to Once-Every-3-Month Paliperidone Palmitate: An Observational Retrospective Analysis. *Adv. Ther.* 2019, *36*, 2941–2953.
- 75. Devrimci-Ozguven, H.; Atmaca, M.; Baran, Z.; Cengisiz, C.; Çinar, C.; Erol, A.; Genç, Y.; Karadağ, H.; Karakülah, K.; Karasu, U.; et al. Efficacy and Safety of Paliperidone Palmitate Treatment in Patients With Schizophrenia: A Real-World Multicenter, Retrospective, Mirror-Image Study. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. 2019, 39, 604–610.
- 76. Takács, P.; Czobor, P.; Fehér, L.; Gimesi-Országh, J.; Fadgyas-Freyler, P.; Bacskai, M.; Rakonczai, P.; Borsi, A.; Hegyi, R.; Németh, T.; et al. Comparative effectiveness of second generation long-acting injectable antipsychotics based on nationwide database research in Hungary. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0218071.
- 77. Fagiolini, A.; Aguglia, E.; Ballerini, A.; Callista, G.; Carpiniello, B.; Clerici, M.; Corrivetti, G.; De Fazio, P.; De Filippis, S.; De Giorgi, S.; et al. Real-world effectiveness of long acting aripiprazole: Treatment persistence and its correlates in the Italian clinical practice. *Psychiatry Res.* 2019, 272, 698–706.
- Fernández-Miranda, J.J.; Díaz-Fernández, S.; López-Muñoz, F. High Doses of Second-Generation Long-Acting Antipsychotics in the Treatment of Patients with Severe Resistant Schizophrenia: A Six-Year Mirror-Image Study. *Psychiatr. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 2020, 30, 335–345.
- Magliocco, F.; de Filippis, R.; Aloi, M.; Staltari, F.A.; Gaetano, R.; Segura-Garcia, C.; De Fazio, P. Second-generation long-acting injections anti-psychotics improve executive functions in patients with schizophrenia: A 12-month real-world study. *Int. J. Psychiatry Clin. Pract.* 2020, 24, 201–207.
- Iwata, N.; Inagaki, A.; Sano, H.; Niidome, K.; Kojima, Y.; Yamada, S. Treatment Persistence Between Long-Acting Injectable Versus Orally Administered Aripiprazole Among Patients with Schizophrenia in a Real-World Clinical Setting in Japan. *Adv. Ther.* 2020, *37*, 3324–3336.
- Lauriello, J.; Weiden, P.J.; Gleeson, C.D.; Shah, A.; Boulanger, L.; Jariwala-Parikh, K.; Hedgeman, E.; O'Sullivan, A.K. Real-World Outcomes and Costs Following 6 Months of Treatment with the Long-Acting Injectable (LAI) Aripiprazole Lauroxil for the Treatment of Schizophrenia. CNS Drugs 2021, 35, 1123–1135.
- 82. Mahabaleshwarkar, R.; Lin, D.; Fishman, J.; Blair, T.; Hetherington, T.; Palmer, P.; Patel, C.; Benson, C.; Joshi, K.; Krull, C.; et al. The Impact of Once-Monthly Paliperidone Palmitate on Healthcare Utilization Among Patients With Schizophrenia Treated in an Integrated Healthcare System: A Retrospective Mirror-Image Study. *Adv. Ther.* 2021, *38*, 1958–1974.
- 83. Iqbal, E.; Govind, R.; Romero, A.; Dzahini, O.; Broadbent, M.; Stewart, R.; Smith, T.; Kim, C.H.; Werbeloff, N.; MacCabe, J.H.; et al. The side effect profile of Clozapine in real world data of three large mental health hospitals. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0243437.
- 84. Rosso, G.; Pessina, E.; Martini, A.; Di Salvo, G.; Maina, G. Paliperidone Palmitate and Metabolic Syndrome in Patients With Schizophrenia: A 12-Month Observational Prospective Cohort Study. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **2016**, *36*, 206–212.

- Möller, H.J.; Czobor, P. Pharmacological treatment of negative symptoms in schizophrenia. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2015, 265, 567–578.
- Prisco, V.; Iannaccone, T.; Tusciano, A.; Boccardi, M.; Perris, F.; Capuano, A.; Catapano, F.; Fabrazzo, M. Safety warning dei farmaci in psichiatria: Segnalazioni di reazioni avverse ai farmaci dal 2002 al 2014 [Drug safety warnings in psychiatry: Adverse drug reactions' signaling from 2002 to 2014]. *Riv. Psichiatr.* 2016, *51*, 96–103.
- 87. Fabrazzo, M.; Fuschillo, A.; Perris, F.; Catapano, F. The unmasking of hidden severe hyponatremia after long-term combination therapy in exacerbated bipolar patients: A case series. *Int. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* **2019**, *34*, 206–210.
- Leucht, S.; Tardy, M.; Komossa, K.; Heres, S.; Kissling, W.; Salanti, G.; Davis, J.M. Antipsychotic drugs versus placebo for relapse prevention in schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2012, 379, 2063–2071.
- Kishimoto, T.; Agarwal, V.; Kishi, T.; Leucht, S.; Kane, J.M.; Correll, C.U. Relapse prevention in schizophrenia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of second-generation antipsychotics versus first-generation antipsychotics. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2013, 18, 53–66.
- Leucht, S.; Arbter, D.; Engel, R.R.; Kissling, W.; Davis, J.M. How effective are second-generation antipsychotic drugs? A meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials. *Mol. Psychiatry* 2009, 14, 429–447.
- Kishimoto, T.; Hagi, K.; Nitta, M.; Leucht, S.; Olfson, M.; Kane, J.M.; Correll, C.U. Effectiveness of Long-Acting Injectable vs Oral Antipsychotics in Patients With Schizophrenia: A Meta-analysis of Prospective and Retrospective Cohort Studies. *Schizophr. Bull.* 2018, 44, 603–619.
- Kishimoto, T.; Hagi, K.; Nitta, M.; Kane, J.M.; Correll, C.U. Long-term effectiveness of oral second-generation antipsychotics in patients with schizophrenia and related disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis of direct head-to-head comparisons. *World Psychiatry* 2019, 18, 208–224.
- Wagner, E.; Siafis, S.; Fernando, P.; Falkai, P.; Honer, W.G.; Röh, A.; Siskind, D.; Leucht, S.; Hasan, A. Efficacy and safety of clozapine in psychotic disorders-a systematic quantitative meta-review. *Transl. Psychiatry* 2021, *11*, 487.
- Hopkins, S.C.; Ogirala, A.; Loebel, A.; Koblan, K.S. Understanding Antipsychotic Drug Treatment Effects: A Novel Method to Reduce Pseudospecificity of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) Factors. *Innov. Clin. Neurosci.* 2017, 14, 54–58.
- Fabrazzo, M.; Prisco, V.; Sampogna, G.; Perris, F.; Catapano, F.; Monteleone, A.M.; Maj, M. Clozapine versus other antipsychotics during the first 18 weeks of treatment: A retrospective study on risk factor increase of blood dyscrasias. *Psychiatry Res.* 2017, 256, 275–282.
- Swartz, M.S.; Stroup, T.S.; McEvoy, J.P.; Davis, S.M.; Rosenheck, R.A.; Keefe, R.S.; Hsiao, J.K.; Lieberman, J.A. What CATIE found: Results from the schizophrenia trial. *Psychiatr. Serv.* 2008, *59*, 500–506.