



Article High-Resolution Conformational Analysis of RGDechi-Derived Peptides Based on a Combination of NMR Spectroscopy and MD Simulations

Clementina Acconcia¹, Antonella Paladino², Maria della Valle¹, Biancamaria Farina^{2,3}, Annarita Del Gatto^{2,4}, Sonia Di Gaetano^{2,4}, Domenica Capasso^{4,5}, Maria Teresa Gentile¹, Gaetano Malgieri¹, Carla Isernia¹, Michele Saviano⁶, Roberto Fattorusso¹, Laura Zaccaro^{2,4}, and Luigi Russo^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of Environmental, Biological and Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, University of Campania—Luigi Vanvitelli, Via Vivaldi 43, 81100 Caserta, Italy
- ² Institute of Biostructures and Bioimaging, CNR, Via Castellino 101, 80131 Naples, Italy
- ³ Advanced Accelerator Applications, A Novartis Company, Via Ribes, 510010 Colleretto Giacosa, Italy
- Interdepartmental Center of Bioactive Peptide, University of Naples Federico II, Via Mezzocannone 16, 80134 Naples, Italy
- ⁵ Center for Life Sciences and Technologies (CESTEV), University of Naples Federico II, Via De Amicis 95, 80145 Naples, Italy
- ⁶ Institute of Crystallography, CNR, URT Caserta, Via Vivaldi 43, 81100 Caserta, Italy
- Correspondence: luigi.russo2@unicampania.it

Abstract: The crucial role of integrin in pathological processes such as tumor progression and metastasis formation has inspired intense efforts to design novel pharmaceutical agents modulating integrin functions in order to provide new tools for potential therapies. In the past decade, we have investigated the biological proprieties of the chimeric peptide RGDechi, containing a cyclic RGD motif linked to an echistatin C-terminal fragment, able to specifically recognize $\alpha\nu\beta3$ without cross reacting with $\alpha\nu\beta5$ and α IIb $\beta3$ integrin. Additionally, we have demonstrated using two RGDechi-derived peptides, called RGDechi-14 and ψ RGDechi, that chemical modifications introduced in the C-terminal part of the peptide alter or abolish the binding to the $\alpha\nu\beta3$ integrin. Here, to shed light on the structural and dynamical determinants involved in the integrin recognition mechanism, we investigate the effects of the chemical modifications by exploring the conformational space sampled by RGDechi-14 and ψ RGDechi using an integrated natural-abundance NMR/MD approach. Our data demonstrate that the flexibility of the RGD-containing cycle is driven by the echistatin C-terminal region of the RGDechi peptide through a coupling mechanism between the N- and C-terminal regions.

Keywords: integrin; structure–activity relationship; peptide dynamics; natural-abundance NMR; recognition mechanism

1. Introduction

Peptides play a crucial role in the regulation of many physiological processes, performing their functions by interacting with specific receptors on the cell [1]. Often, the conformational ensemble sampled by a peptide in solution plays an important role in the modulation of the recognition mechanism by which the peptide interacts with a specific partner [2]. Therefore, comprehension of the biological functions of peptides or proteins is of critical importance to understand the structural peculiarities of the biologically conformational ensemble. Many peptides/proteins perform crucial functions by means of structural heterogeneity. Conformational disorder, under physiological conditions, offers several functional advantages: the possibility to interact with different partners, specific but low-affinity binding, and fine modulation after post-translational modifications [3–5]. For such unfolded or partially unfolded peptides, proper conformational analysis requires not



Citation: Acconcia, C.; Paladino, A.; Valle, M.d.; Farina, B.; Gatto, A.D.; Gaetano, S.D.; Capasso, D.; Gentile, M.T.; Malgieri, G.; Isernia, C.; et al. High-Resolution Conformational Analysis of RGDechi-Derived Peptides Based on a Combination of NMR Spectroscopy and MD Simulations. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 11039. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms231911039

Academic Editors: Jean-Marc Lancelin and Manuela Grimaldi

Received: 5 August 2022 Accepted: 13 September 2022 Published: 20 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). only descriptions of average local and global features, but details on the various conformers that contribute to the conformational ensemble and their relative populations [6,7].

Therefore, in the case of fully or partially flexible peptides, an accurate structural description is much more challenging, due to their multiplicity of conformational states and dynamic nature. In this framework, to provide a rigorous description of the conformational space sampled by less ordered or flexible peptides in solution, we employed an integrated approach combining structural and dynamical information obtained by natural-abundance nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) methodologies with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations data. NMR spectroscopy is a powerful tool for investigating the structure and dynamics of peptides and proteins in a solution and their interactions with other molecules [8–13]. To perform an accurate high-resolution NMR-based structural and dynamical characterization of peptides, a complete 1H, 15N, and 13C chemical shifts assignment is a fundamental prerequisite [14]. This aspect is particularly important for peptides lacking secondary structure elements, that in solution exist as a highly dynamic heterogeneous ensemble of conformers. Uniform isotope labelling of peptides with 13C and 15N, coupled with double- and triple-resonance NMR experiments allows to derive an in-depth characterization of the structure and dynamic properties of folded and, above all, unfolded peptides [15]. Unfortunately, 15N and/or 13C isotope labelling of peptides containing modified amino acids or cyclic portions is not trivial; therefore, cyclic or unnaturally modified peptides are usually unlabeled. In these cases, natural-abundance NMR techniques represent a unique way to investigate, at atomic level, ensemble-averaged structural properties of peptides in solution [16]. In this scenario, molecular dynamics simulations are valuable tools, complementary to natural-abundance NMR experimental data, that allow an exhaustive description of dynamical processes of peptides at the picoseconds to milliseconds time scale [8,17,18]. We applied our strategy to explore the ensemble-averaged conformational features of two peptides derived by RGDechi (Figure 1A), called RGDechi1-14 (Figure 1B) and ψ RGDechi (Figure 1C), respectively. RGDechi is a bifunctional flexible peptide containing a cyclic RGD penta-peptide for integrin binding that is covalently linked by a spacer to a C-term echistatin fragment to confer a high selectivity for the β_3 integrin subunit [19]. Integrins are a family of heterodimeric membrane receptors, composed of non-covalently associated α and β subunits [20], that are crucial to fundamental cellular functions such as: signaling, cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion, and viability. In tumor cells, integrin expression contributes to initiation/progression of cancer and metastasis [21] by accelerating tumor cell migration, invasion, proliferation, and survival [22,23]. Among members of the integrin family, the RGD-binding receptors $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$, $\alpha_{v}\beta_{5}$, and $\alpha_{5}\beta_{1}$ play a prominent role in angiogenesis and metastatic dissemination [24]. In vitro and in vivo data [16,25–32] have demonstrated the selective interaction of RGDechi with $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin and structural analysis, based on a combination of NMR methodologies and computational data, highlighted the molecular details of the integrin binding [29]. In particular, the three-dimensional (3D) structural model of the RGDechi/ $\alpha_{\rm v}\beta_3$ complex, obtained using experimental and computational data, has demonstrated that the recognition mechanism of $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ by RGDechi is mainly modulated by the residues located within the RGD cycle and it is further stabilized by the region encompassing hCit15-Thr19, playing a crucial role in RGDechi selectivity for the $\alpha_{\rm V}\beta_3$ [29]. These findings were supported by adhesion assay data obtained using a truncated version of RGDechi (RGDechi1-14) (Figure 1B), indicating that the removal of the last five C-terminal amino acids abolishes the $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ binding selectivity [25]. Yet, NMR structural and dynamical investigation of the conformational ensemble explored through RGDechi in its free form suggested that the recognition of $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ integrin by the peptide may occur by means of a conformational selection mechanism driving the binding of the RGD cycle to the integrin, followed by an induced fit model by which the binding conformation of the acyclic C-terminal region is assumed upon interaction [16]. Recently, to improve the potential of RGDechi as a bioprobe platform in melanoma tumors, we have designed an RGDechi derivative peptide called ψ RGDechi (Figure 1C), in which a reduced amide bond ψ [CH2-NH] was introduced. Interestingly,

this chemical modification improved protease stability, preserving the binding selectivity for $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin [33]. Here, to understand how to increase the integrin binding selectivity of RGDechi-derived peptides by chemical modifications, we performed a high-resolution description of the conformational space sampled by RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi using an integrated natural-abundance NMR/MD approach.



Figure 1. Chemical structure of RGDechi (A) and its two derivatives RGDechi1-14 (B) and ψ RGDechi (C).

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Chemical Shifts Assignment of RGDechi1-14 and *\psiRGDechi*

A nearly complete assignment of 1H, 13C, and 15N chemical shifts at 298 K was obtained for both peptides (Tables S1–S4) using a previously reported strategy [16] in which homonuclear and heteronuclear 2D NMR spectra were simultaneously analyzed. In particular, the identification of the spin systems and the assignment of 1H resonances were carried out by combining the homonuclear 2D [1H-1H] TOCSY and 2D [1H-1H] ROESY experiments (Figure S1A–D), whereas 15N and 13C chemical shift assignments were obtained by exploiting the natural isotopic abundance through the optimization of the 2D 1H-15N HSQC and 1H-13C CT HSQC pulse sequences. These two latter experiments were analyzed, as illustrated in Figure 2, using the proton chemical shifts obtained from the inspection of the 2D homonuclear spectra.



Figure 2. Chemical shifts assignment strategy. Illustration of the procedure used to assign 1H, 13C, and 15N chemical shifts for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi by exploiting the natural isotopic abundance. For example, 2D heteronuclear (1H-15N HSQC and 1H-13C CT HSQC) and homonuclear 1H-1H TOCSY NMR spectra analyzed to assign Lys1 resonances of RGDechi1-14. Data acquisition was performed for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides at 298 K on 600 MHz spectrometer.

2.2. Chemical Shifts Analysis of RGDechi1-14 and *\phiRGDechi*

To explore the conformational features of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides, we analyzed the chemical shifts, which are sensitive probes for the structural properties around the corresponding nuclei and, therefore, for the conformational ensemble sampled by the peptides in solution. In particular, the backbone chemical shifts are delicate reports of local polypeptide secondary structure, representing an average of multiple populations and, therefore, allowing the detection of minor contributions. First, for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides, the secondary chemical shifts ($\Delta\delta$) of H α , C α , and C β nuclei (Figure 3A–C), which are defined as the difference between the observed chemical shift (δ obs) and the residue specific random or statistical coil value (δ random coil), have been

used to detect and quantify secondary structure elements. The random coil chemical shifts were estimated from the amino acid sequence by using the approach defined by Poulsen and co-workers [34,35]. In the case of RGDechi1-14, H α , and C α chemical shifts significantly deviate from the random coil values for most of the amino acids situated inside the RGD cycle (Lys1, Asp4 and DGlu5) with the $\Delta\delta$ values alternating from positive to negative along the cycle, whereas for the residues located at the C-terminal tail of the peptide, the observed H α and C α shifts fall within the spectral region associated with random coil conformations. On the contrary, in the case of ψ RGDechi, H α and C α chemical shifts slightly diverge from the coil values along whole peptide sequence including the region flanking the RGD sequence. Overall, the secondary chemical shift analysis suggests that both peptides do not adopt any preferential conformation. To further confirm these structural findings, we evaluated the C β secondary chemical shifts (Figure 3C) and we calculated the difference between C α and C β secondary shifts ($\Delta\delta C\alpha - \Delta\delta C\beta$), a common way to report secondary chemical shifts [36] (Figure 3D). Consecutive positive ($\Delta\delta C\alpha - \Delta\delta C\beta$) values above +2 ppm indicate α -helix conformation, whereas negative values below -2 ppm are indicative of β -strand or extended structure [36]. As illustrated in Figure 3D, for the RGDechi1-14 peptide as well as for ψ RGDechi, $\Delta\delta C\alpha - \Delta\delta C\beta$ values are within the ± 2 ppm range for the whole peptide amino acid sequence indicating the lack of stable secondary structures. In addition, we analyzed the amide proton chemical shifts by inspection of the 2D 1H-15N HSQC experiment acquired for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, respectively. As illustrated in Figure S2A,B, for both peptides the 2D 1H-15N HSQC spectrum shows narrow dispersion for most of the detected resonances in both dimensions indicating, according to the H α , C α , and C β secondary chemical shift analysis, that RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides mainly adopt an unstructured conformation. Notably different from ψ RGDechi, the RGDechi1-14 peptide the amide proton of the residue Lys1 shows a significant downfield shift that may be due to the presence of a hydrogen bond or to ring current effects of neighboring aromatic residues [37–39]. After that, we explored the Xaa-Pro peptide bond configurations by evaluating the difference between C β and C γ chemical shifts $(\Delta\beta\gamma = \delta C\beta - \delta C\gamma)$ for all proline residues included in the peptide sequence of ψ RGDechi and RGDechi1-14. In fact, several studies [40–42] have demonstrated that prolyl residues with cis peptide bonds typically have C β and C γ chemical shifts around 35 and 25 ppm with a $\Delta\beta\gamma$ difference around 10 ppm, whereas proline residues having trans peptide bonds present C β shifts around 32 ppm and C γ resonances at 27 ppm with a $\Delta\beta\gamma$ of about 5 ppm. As reported in Table S5, the two (Pro9 and Pro13) and three proline (Pro9, Pro13, and Pro17) residues in RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, respectively, have a chemical shift difference of $\Delta\beta\gamma$ ~5 ppm, indicating that they present a trans Xaa-Pro peptide bond configuration. This latter finding was further confirmed by evaluating the chemical shifts using the Promega software [43] (Table S5). In agreement with the C β and C γ chemical shift analysis, the 2D [1H-1H] ROESY spectra shows strong ROE cross peaks between one or both of the prolyl H δ 2 and H δ 3 and the H α of the previous residues for the residue pairs: D8-P9/N12-P13 in the case of RGDechi1-14 and D8-P9, N12-P13 and G16-P17 for ψ RGDechi (Figure S3A,B). Finally, we also estimated the population of the Xaa-Pro peptide bonds by evaluating, in the 1H-13C CT HSQC spectrum acquired for both peptides by natural abundance NMR spectroscopy, the intensity of signals belonging to the cis and trans form (Figure S3C). This analysis indicates for all Xaa-Pro peptide bonds an average cis population minor than 10% and 5% for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, respectively. Overall, the chemical shifts evaluation, as well as ROEs and intensity profile analysis, indicates that both peptides in solution sample an ensemble of interconverting transient conformers lacking specific organized structure with the proline residues presenting mainly a trans Xaa-Pro peptide bond configuration.



Figure 3. Secondary structure propensity of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi. H α (**A**), C α (**B**) and C β (**C**) secondary chemical shifts analysis of RGDechi1-14 (blue) and ψ RGDechi (red). The perresidue $\Delta\delta C\alpha - \Delta\delta C\beta$ difference (**D**) for both peptides is also reported. The light grey rectangles in the A–D panels indicate the cut-off values for secondary structures identification as proposed by Wishart and Marsh (see materials and methods). (**E**) ³JHNH α coupling constants measured for RGDechi1-14 (blue) and ψ RGDechi (red). Random coil values are also reported (light grey rectangle) (**F**) Amide temperature coefficients ($\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ T) versus the residue number for RGDechi1-14 (blue) and ψ RGDechi (red). The light grey rectangle indicates values below the -4.6 ppb/K (light grey) that are consistent with the absence of amide proton hydrogen bonding. In the panels E and F, the error bars are also included.

2.3. ³JHNHα Coupling Constants, HN Temperature Coefficients and NOEs Evaluation

Torsion angles φ and ψ are key conformational parameters to define the backbone conformation of a polypeptide chain [44]. In solution, these dihedral angles can be experimentally measured by NMR via scalar coupling constants, which in case of 3J can be related to specific torsion angles using the Karplus equation [45,46]. Vicinal (three bond) HN-H α coupling constants (³JHNH α) are the most useful for the identification of secondary structure elements [47,48]. Notably, when the peptide adopts multiple conformations and there is a rapid interconversion (fast exchange on the NMR timescale) between them, the measured coupling constants represent a population weighted average of the conformers that build up the conformational ensemble [49]. The ³JHNH α coupling constants measured for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi (Tables S6 and S7) are reported in Figure 3E and were found to be in the range of 5.5–7.8 Hz for RGDechi1-14 and 5.6–8.1 Hz for ψ RGDechi. Then, we analyzed HN temperature coefficients ($\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ Ts) reporting the dependence of chemical shift on temperature. In the case of structured peptides or proteins, amide protons usually display negative $\Delta\delta HN/\Delta T$ values that depend on solvent exposure or hydrogen bond formation. In particular, $\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ Ts more negative than -4.6 ppb/K are commonly observed for amide protons in peptide-solvent hydrogen bonds [50], whereas those less negative than -4.6 ppb/K are associated with intramolecular hydrogen bonds [50]. Instead, positive $\Delta\delta HN/\Delta Ts$ are due either to the conformational exchange or to the presence of aromatic amino acids [51,52]. The $\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ Ts measured for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi are reported in Figure 3F. In the RGDechi1-14 peptide, all residues within the RGD cycle show temperature coefficients more negative than -4.6 ppb/K, indicating large chemical shift changes inconsistent with the presence of hydrogen bonds, and the temperature coefficient for DGlu5 ($\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ T = -3.5 ppb/K) falls within the range expected for residues involved in hydrogen bond. In the C-terminal part of RGDechi1-14, Arg11 shows a temperature coefficient less negative than -4.6 ppb/K ($\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ T = -4.0 ppb/K), suggesting weak hydrogen bonding or a mixture of hydrogen-bonded and solvent-exposed amide protons, while all the other residues display $\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ T values more negative than -4.6 ppb/K, indicating the absence of intra-molecular hydrogen bonds. On the contrary, all residues of the ψ RGDechi peptide sequence, including those flanking the RGD motif, exhibit amide proton temperature coefficients in the range from -5.0 to -7.2 ppb/K, demonstrating that the amide protons are involved in peptide-solvent hydrogen bonds. In addition to the insights on the conformational preferences of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi obtained by evaluating chemical shifts, amide proton temperature coefficients, and $3JHNH\alpha$ coupling constants, we exploited the structural and dynamical information provided by 2D [1H-1H] NOESY and 2D [1H-1H] ROESY spectra. Due to the peptide molecular tumbling that modulate the evolution and the sign of the NOE, the 2D [1H-1H] NOESY spectra of both peptides showed few and very weak intra-and inter-residue NOEs. On the contrary, 2D [1H-1H] ROESY spectra of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi revealed only sequential (R = 1) and medium range (R < 5) ROE connectivities, indicating that both peptides do not adopt a compact folded structure. Altogether, ³JHNH α coupling constants, HN temperature coefficients, and ROESY cross-peak maps, in agreement with the chemical shifts analysis, clearly demonstrate that RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides explore an ensemble of random coil lacking well-formed secondary structure elements.

2.4. Structural Comparison of RGDechi1-14 and \u03c6RGDechi versus RGDechi

To investigate the conformational variations induced by the chemical modifications, we simultaneously compared all 1H, 15N, and 13C chemical shifts assigned for the backbone and side chains of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi with those previously reported for the wild-type RGDechi (Figure 4A–D).



Figure 4. Structural effects of the chemical modifications in RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi. (**A**,**B**) Plot of combined 1H, 13C, and 15N chemical shifts perturbation as a function of the residue number of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, respectively. The CSP analysis for both peptides was performed using as reference the chemical shifts reported for the wild-type RGDechi in a previous publication (see main text). The yellow line indicates the average CSP (CSPavg), whereas the yellow dashed line reports the CSPavg + SD (standard deviation). (**C**,**D**) Overlay of the 1H-15N HSQC experiments of RGDechi1-14 (blue) and ψ RGDechi (red) with the spectrum recorded for the wild-type RGDechi.

In the case of RGDechi1-14, the removal of the last five residues results in significant changes in the [1H-15N] HSQC and [1H-13C] HSQC single bond correlation spectra (Figure 4A). In particular, significant backbone and side-chain chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta \delta$ 1H,15N,13C) larger than the average value + SD (standard deviation) were observed for Lys1 and Asp4 located at the N-terminal part of the peptide within the RGD cycle, for Asp8 situated in the middle region of RGDechi1-14, and for the last C-terminal residue, His14 (Figure 4C). In the case of \u03c6RGDechi, upon reduction of the peptide bond between Pro16 and Gly17, larger chemical shift variations ($\Delta\delta$ 1H,15N,13C \geq average value + SD) were observed for Lys1, DGlu5, and His14, whereas significant small differences ($\Delta\delta$ 1H,15N,13C \geq average value) were detected for Asp4, Met6, hCit15, Pro17, Ala18, and Thr19 (Figure 4D). Notably, in both cases residues situated inside the RGD cycle that are far beyond the chemical modification sites show substantial conformational variations with respect to the wild-type RGDechi. Our data demonstrate that the chemical modifications introduced in the RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides induce long-range structural rearrangements, modifying the hydrogen bond-mediated structural coupling observed in RGDechi between the RGD moiety and the C-terminal tail peptide [16,29] that play a crucial role in the integrin recognition mechanism.

2.5. RGDechi1-14 and *\psiRGDechi Backbone Motions*

To investigate the RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi conformational dynamics, we explored 15N backbone motions on the picosecond (ps) to millisecond (ms) timescale by using natural-abundance NMR experiments and we probed the nanosecond (ns) conformational flexibility using MD simulations. At first, we generated conformational ensembles reproducing nanosecond intrinsic motions of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi (Figure 5A,B) at 278 and 298 K. In particular, we performed a series of MD simulations at 298 K of 10 ns each, collecting up to 50 ns of simulation time. Then, we investigated the backbone conformational dynamics of each peptide by calculating the per-residue $C\alpha$ root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) from the 50 ns MD ensembles obtained at the two different temperatures (Figure 5C,D). In the case of RGDechi1-14, the RMSF values show that the residues from Lys1 to DGlu5 (average RMSF1-5_{avg} (298 K) = 7.09 \pm 1.38 A; RMSF1-5_{avg} (278 K) = 6.89 \pm 1.49 Å) forming the RGD cycle exhibit enhanced atomic fluctuations with respect to the rest of the peptide from Met6 to Hist14 (RMSF6-14_{avg} (298 K) = 6.11 ± 1.05 Å; RMSF6-14_{avg} $(278 \text{ K}) = 5.55 \pm 1.41 \text{ Å})$. For ψ RGDechi, the analysis of the RMSFs indicates that the N-term RGD moiety (Lys1-DGlu5) (RMSF1- 5_{avg} (298 K) = 6.65 ± 1.35 Å; RMSF1- 5_{avg} $(278 \text{ K}) = 6.63 \pm 1.44 \text{ Å})$ is only minimally more rigid than the C-terminal tail (Met6-Thr19) $(RMSF6-19_{avg} (298 \text{ K}) = 6.75 \pm 1.58 \text{ Å}; RMSF6-19_{avg} (278 \text{ K}) = 6.53 \pm 1.91 \text{ Å}).$ In addition, to fully describe the impact of the chemical modifications on the nanosecond-scale backbone dynamics, we generated a 50 ns MD conformational ensemble for the RGDechi peptide. As reported in Figure 5E, RMSF values of the wild-type peptide indicate that, as observed for ψ RGDechi, the RGD cycle (RMSF1-5_{avg} (298 K) = 6.41 ± 1.18 A; RMSF1-5_{avg} $(278 \text{ K}) = 6.30 \pm 1.41 \text{ Å})$ presents less conformational fluctuations than the region (Met6-Thr19) located at the C-terminal (RMSF6-19_{avg} (298 K) = 6.79 ± 1.55 Å; RMSF6-19_{avg} $(278 \text{ K}) = 6.47 \pm 1.77 \text{ Å})$. Interestingly, the comparison of the RMSF values obtained for the three peptides clearly indicate that the RGD cycle backbone dynamics on the nanosecond timescale are strongly influenced by the chemical modifications introduced at the Cterminal tail. In fact, the removal of the last five residues (RGDechi1-14) and the reduction of the amide bond Pro16-Gly17 (ψRGDechi) induce a remarkable increase in conformational flexibility of the RGD cycle most likely affecting the binding selectivity for $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin. Successively, we integrated the dynamics information reported by the backbone chemical shifts (Figure 5F) with data obtained by measuring a couple of T2-filter [1H–15N] HSQC experiments, using two relaxation-compensated CPMG periods (125 ($R_2 = 8 Hz$) and 250 (R_2 = 4 Hz) ms) (for details see materials and methods) (Figure 6A–C). Interestingly, as reported in Figure 6C, the T₂-filter [1H–15N] HSQC experiments acquired for the two RGDechiderived peptides using a filter delay of 250 ms ($R_2 = 4 \text{ Hz}$) for RGDechi1-14 report all the expected 1H-15N cross peaks with the exception of Lys1. On the contrary, for ψ RGDechi the 1H-15N signal loss were observed for residues Lys1, Asp7, Arg11, Asn12, and His14 indicating that these residues are characterized by a 15N R_2 (1/ T_2) auto-relaxation rate constant faster than 4 Hz (Figure 6D). Overall, T2-filter [1H–15N] HSQC data analysis clearly indicates that the two peptides show different conformational dynamics on the millisecond timescale. Finally, to provide a complete description of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi backbone dynamics, we explored internal flexibility on the ps time scale by evaluating the model-free order parameter (S^2) at 298 K for the backbone amide group. In both cases, as expected, S² order parameter values indicate that the residues encompassing the cycle region $(S^2_{avg} = 0.66 \pm 0.03 \text{ for RGDechi1-14 and } S^2_{avg} = 0.73 \pm 0.02 \text{ for } \psi \text{RGDechi})$ are characterized by a higher degree of rigidity than the C-terminal tail (S^2_{avg} = 0.41 \pm 0.12 for RGDechi1-14 and $S^2_{avg} = 0.48 \pm 0.13$ for ψ RGDechi) in the ps time scale. Overall, the dynamical characterization of RGDechi1-14 and \u03c8 RGDechi demonstrates that the two peptides present in solution an elevate conformational heterogeneity with the C-terminal region, showing a higher degree of flexibility than the RGD cycle in both ns-to-ps and μ s-to-ms time scale. Additionally, the comparison of the RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi backbone dynamics data with those obtained for the wild-type RGDechi indicates that



the C-terminal tail modulates the conformational flexibility of the RGD moiety and it may represent a hotspot region for fine tuning integrin binding mechanism.

Figure 5. Picosecond to nanosecond backbone dynamics of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi. (**A**,**B**) RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi conformational ensembles obtained after cluster analysis of the 10 ns Molecular dynamics simulation trajectories performed at 278 K. Each ensemble reports the 15 representative conformers of the most populated clusters. The conformers were aligned with respect to the RGD cycle. (**C**–**E**) root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) (Å) values obtained from the 50 ns MD simulations performed at 278 and 298 K for RGDechi1-14 (**C**) and ψ RGDechi (**D**) and RGDechi (**E**), respectively. (**F**) Comparison of the predicted H-N model-free order parameters (S²), as reported in the materials and methods section, from backbone and C β chemical shifts for RGDechi1-14 (blue), ψ RGDechi (red), and RGDechi (black).



Figure 6. Microsecond to millisecond backbone motions of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi. (**A**–**C**) Comparison of the reference 1H-15N HSQC experiments recorded for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi with the filtered 1H-15N HSQC spectra of both peptides acquired using a relaxation-compensated CPMG period of 125 ms (R₂ = 8 Hz) (**B**) and 250 ms (R₂ = 4 Hz) (**C**,**D**) Normalized intensity ratios between the NMR signal observed for RGDechi1-14 (left) and ψ RGDechi (right) at 298 K in the T₂-filter 1H-15N HSQC experiments (CPMG R₂ = 8 Hz) (I_{CPMG}) with the values observed in the 1H-15N HSQC spectra acquired without any filter (I₀). The asterisk indicates the residues exhibiting low signal to noise ratio.

2.6. Conformational Ensemble of RGDechi1-14 and *\psiRGDechi Peptides*

The high degree of conformational dynamics of fully or partially disordered peptides needs an ensemble of fairly heterogeneous conformers for its representation. Therefore, to describe the structural ensemble of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, we applied a computational approach based on experimental NMR chemical shifts (CS) (Figure S4A,B). Firstly, we evaluated the MD ensemble (5000 conformers) generated for RGDechi1-14 and ψRGDechi as reported above through cluster analysis. For each peptide, this latter procedure resulted in a representative cluster containing 391 and 435 conformers for RGDechi1-14 and ψRGDechi, respectively (Figure S4A,B). Secondly, in order to generate a conformational ensemble able to provide a rigorous description of the solution NMR data for each peptide, we analyzed the 50 ns MD clusters using the experimental 1H,15N and 13C chemical shifts assigned for the two RGDechi-derived peptides (Figure S4A,B). In particular, we used PPM software [53,54] as a chemical shift prediction tool to back-calculate the backbone and side chain CSs from each conformer of the RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi MD clusters. Then, we compared the measured chemical shifts with those predicted for each conformer using a global chemical shift root mean square deviation (global CS-RMSD) function. As reflected by the global CS-RMSD values, the CS-based selection protocol allowed for the identification of the representative conformers, proving a reasonable description of the NMR experimental data for both peptides. Thirdly, the 50 structures having the lowest global RMSD function were selected to generate the final representative conformational ensemble (MD ensemble $50 \times$) for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, respectively (Figure 7A,B). Interestingly, for the two peptides the MD ensemble $50 \times$ provided a significant improvement of the global CS-RMSDs to 4.58 ppm for RGDechi1-14 and 5.76 ppm for ψ RGDechi indicating that, in both cases, the 50 conformers better describe the conformational space than any of them separately (Figure S5A,B). Moreover, we also evaluated for each peptide two additional conformational ensembles named MD ensemble $250 \times$ and MD ensemble 3 $50 \times$; the former was generated by considering the 50 conformers with the highest global CS-RMSD, while the latter was obtained by randomly selecting 50 conformers. As reported in Figure S5A,B, for both peptides the MD ensemble $250 \times$ and MD ensemble $350 \times$ did not provide any improvement of the global CS-RMSDs. Then, to further validate the MD ensemble $50 \times$ obtained for each peptide, we fitted the experimental ³JHNH α coupling constants to the values back-calculated from the conformational ensemble. The measured ³JHNH α s provided excellent fits for both peptides with correlation coefficients of 0.83 and 0.86 for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi, respectively (Figure S6A,B). Overall, the data show that the generated and validated MD ensemble $50 \times$ provides an accurate description of the NMR parameters for both peptides. In agreement with the NMR and MD backbone dynamics characterization, the RMSD values analysis of the obtained MD ensemble $50 \times$ conformational ensembles indicate that in both peptides the N-terminal part, containing the RGD cycle, presents less degree of conformational flexibility than the C-terminal tail which is characterized by a high structural heterogeneity (Figure $7C_{1}D$)



Figure 7. Conformational ensembles of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi. (**A**,**B**) Conformational ensembles obtained integrating MD simulations data with experimental NMR chemical shifts. Each structural ensemble was generated by selecting the 50 structures having the lowest global CS-RMSD function. In both ensembles the conformers were aligned with respect to the RGD cycle region from Lys1 to DGlu5. (**C**,**D**) Backbone RMSD values reported on the representative structure (conformer with the lowest CS-RMSD function) for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides.

2.7. Elucidation on the Recognition Mechanism of $\alpha_v \beta_3$ Integrin by ψ RGDechi

In order to obtain insights on the relationships between the structure, dynamics, and function of ψ RGDechi, we explored the molecular mechanism by which the peptide recognizes the $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin. The structural model of the ψ RGDechi/ $\alpha_v \beta_3$ obtained by using experimental and computational data has demonstrated that the peptide in the complex adopts a relatively compact conformation [33]. Therefore, we set out to understand whether the conformational ensemble sampled in solution by ψ RGDechi in absence of the binding partner contains conformers having structural similarities with the peptide in the bound form. We compared the 1H, 15N, and 13C chemical shifts observed for ψ RGDechi in the free form to the values back-calculated from the conformation adopted by the peptide in the complex with $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin. As indicated by the Q factor values in the range of 0.13–0.36 (Figure S7A–C), as well as by the per-residue cumulative chemical shift differences (Figure S7D), the experimental shifts measured for the ψ RGDechi in the free form cannot be described by the structure that the peptide adopts upon binding to $\alpha_v \beta_3$ integrin, indicating that the whole ψ RGDechi experiences significant structural rearrangements upon binding.

Overall, the data suggest that the recognition of $\alpha_v \beta_3$ by ψ RGDechi is mainly driven by an induced fit binding mechanism.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Peptides Preparation

The peptides RGDechi1-14 (amino acid sequence c[K-R-G-D-e]-M-D-D-P-G-R-N-P-H) and ψ RGDechi (amino acid sequence c[K-R-G-D-e]-M-D-D-P-G-R-N-P-H-hCyt-G-P-A-T) were synthetized as previously reported [29,33].

3.2. NMR Experiments

NMR measurements were performed at 298 K by using a Bruker AVIII HD 600-MHz spectrometer equipped with a triple-resonance Prodigy N2 cryoprobe and a z-axis pulse field gradient. All NMR samples were prepared by dissolving the RGDechi1-14 or ψ RGDechi peptides in 200 μ L of Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) buffer with pH 7.4 and 10% ²H2O in a 3 mm NMR tube. The final concentration was 0.4 mM for both peptides. The chemical shift assignments of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi were obtained by acquiring and analyzing the following homonuclear and natural abundance heteronuclear NMR spectra:

- (i) The 2D [1H-1H] TOCSY (total correlation spectroscopy) [55], 2D [1H-1H] NOESY (nuclear overhauser effect spectroscopy) [56], and 2D [1H-1H] ROESY (rotating frame overhauser effect spectroscopy) [57] data were acquired using 32 scans per t1 increment, a spectral width (SW) of 6001.30 Hz along both t1 and t2, 2048 × 256 complex points in t2 and t1, and a relaxation delay of 3.0 s. In all NMR experiments reported above, the water suppression was achieved using the Watergate pulse sequence with the application of gradients using double echo. The 2D [1H-1H] TOCSY experiments were acquired by homonuclear Hartman–Hahn transfer by a MILEV17 mixing sequence with a mixing time (tm) of 70 ms and 10 KHz spin-lock field strength; The 2D [1H-1H] NOESY spectra were recorded using a mixing time of 250 ms and 2D [1H-1H] ROESY were carried out with a cw spin-lock field strength of 4 kHz, a mixing time of 250 ms, and a relaxation delay of 5.0 s. All homonuclear 2D experiments were apodized with a square cosine window function and zero fill to a matrix of size 4096 × 1024 before Fourier transform and baseline correction.
- (ii) Heteronuclear natural-abundance 2D [1H–15N] HSQC (heteronuclear single quantum coherence) experiments were performed with 880 scans per t1 increment, SW of 1581.32 and 6001.30 Hz along t1 and t2, respectively, 2048×128 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively, and a 1.0 s relaxation delay. In particular, the 2D [1H–15N] HSQC pulse sequence was optimized as previously reported [16] using a Bruker standard gradient echo–antiecho pulse program [58]. Two-dimensional [1H–15N] HSQC spectra were apodized with a square cosine window function and a zero filling to a matrix of size a 2048 \times 128 before Fourier transform and baseline correction. Natural-abundance 2D [1H-13C] HSQC spectra were acquired with 880 scans per t1 increment, SW of 5130.94 Hz along t1 and 6001.30 Hz along t2, 2048×200 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively, and a relaxation delay 1.0 s. The 2D constant time (CT) [1H–13C] HSQC pulse sequence was optimized as reported is a previous publication [16]. Two-dimensional CT [1H–13C] HSQC were acquired using a heteronuclear coupling constant (JXH) of 145 Hz, CT period of 26.6 ms, and shaped pulses for all 180° pulses on f2 channel with decoupling during f1 acquisition. Two-dimensional CT [1H–13C] HSQC spectra were apodized with a square cosine windows function and zero fill to a final matrix of 4096×4096 before Fourier transform and baseline correction.

The description of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi backbone dynamics was performed at 298 K and 600 MHz by measuring natural-abundance NMR spectra as reported below:

(i) Two-dimensional T2-filter [1H–15N] HSQC experiments were carried out using 880 scans per t1 increment, SW of 1581.32 Hz along t1 and 6001.30 Hz along t2, 2048 × 128 complex points in t2 and t1, respectively, and 1.0 s relaxation delay. In particular, for each peptide, the dynamics characterization was performed by two 2D T2-filter [1H–15N] HSQC spectra acquired using different relaxation compensated CPMG (Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill) sequence periods (125 and 250 ms). Notably, in this [1H–15N] HSQC-based experiment only the 1H-15N cross-peaks of the residues for which the 15N transversal relaxation time (T2) was longer than the applied filter were observable. The pulse sequence was optimized as previously reported [16]. Two-dimensional T2-filter [1H–15N] HSQC spectra were apodized with a square cosine window function and zero filling to a matrix of size 4096 \times 1024 before Fourier transform and baseline correction.

To measure amide temperature coefficients ($\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ T) and 3JHNH α vicinal scalar coupling constants, 1D 1H NMR spectra were carried out with a SW of 6001.30 Hz, 1.0 s relaxation delay, and 64 K and 256 K data points for acquisition and transformation, respectively.

The structural and dynamical characterization was conducted using well-resolved ROE signals. In particular, ROEs analysis was mainly performed using cross-peaks related to the amide protons region in which we did not observe any 1H signal from the buffer components. NMR signals characterized by a strong overlap with buffer resonances were excluded from the analysis. All 2D NMR spectra were processed by NMRPipe [59] and analyzed using NMRFAM-SPARKY [60] and CARA [61] software.

3.3. Chemical Shifts Analysis

Using 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS) as a standard, 1H chemical shifts were directly calibrated, whereas 15N and 13C shifts were indirectly referenced. $H\alpha$, $C\alpha$, and $C\beta$ secondary chemical shifts were calculated as the difference between the observed shift and the value predicted for the random coil conformation. The random coil reference values were estimated from the peptide sequence using the approach defined by Poulsen and co-workers [34,35]. Secondary chemical elements were identified using the reference values defined by Wishart [62] and Marsh [36]. In the case of ψ RGDechi, the homocitruline (hCit) was treated as a lysine because it is different from lysine only in the replacement of the amine group with a carbamylate one at the end of the long aliphatic side chain. The statistical probability of trans/cis Xaa-Pro peptide bond was estimated from the amino acid sequence of the peptides, the proline chemical shifts, and the backbone chemical shifts of neighboring residues using the approach implemented in the Promega software [43]. In addition, for each proline, the Xaa-Pro peptide bond configuration was investigated by evaluating the difference between C β and C γ chemical shifts $(\Delta\beta\gamma = \delta C\beta - \delta C\gamma)$ using the $\Delta\beta\gamma$ reference values reported by Shubert et al. [41]. The 1H, 15N, and 13C chemical shift predictions for each conformer extracted from the conformational ensemble obtained by molecular dynamics simulations (see above) were performed using the software PPM_ONE [54]. The quality or Q factor was calculated using the equation reported below:

$$Q = rms (\Delta \delta obs - \Delta \delta pred) / rms(\Delta \delta obs)$$

where δ obs is the measured chemical shift and δ pred is the back-calculated chemical shift on the base of the 3D structure of the investigated model.

3.4. Amide Temperature Coefficients and 3JHNH α Scalar Couplings Analysis

Temperature coefficients ($\Delta\delta/\Delta T$; ppb/K) for amide protons were obtained for each residue from the gradient of the linear plot of HN chemical shift versus temperature. Amide proton chemical shifts for RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi peptides were obtained from a series of 1D spectra acquired at 298, 300, 302, 304, 306, 308, and 310 K. The 3JHNH α couplings of RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi were measured at 298 K from 1D 1H NMR spectra.

3.5. Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Starting structural models of RGDechi, RGDechi1-14, and ψ RGDechi were built by the 3D builder package of Maestro suite (Schrödinger release, April 2019, LLC, New York,

NY, USA) by selecting the extended secondary structure. Next, chemical modifications were manually modeled and the obtained linear peptides were refined and energy minimized prior to MD simulations. RGDechi, RGDechi1-14, and \u03c6RGDechi's parameters were estimated using the Antechamber suite [63] and virtually analyzed and refined using the Leap module. The Amber v18 [64] MD simulation package was used to perform in-silico simulations by applying Amber-ff14SB force field [65]. Each peptide was centered in a triclinic box and solvated by a 10 Å shell of explicit TIP3P water [66] and counter ions (Na+) were added to neutralize the systems. Energy minimization was carried out by the steepest descent method and then 1 ns equilibration phase was performed where heavy atoms were position restrained by a harmonic potential, unrestrained systems were simulated in a NPT ensemble using the Langevin equilibration scheme to keep the temperature constant at 298 K or 278 K and the pressure constant at 1 atm. Electrostatic forces were evaluated by the particle mesh Ewald method [67] and Lennard–Jones forces by a cutoff of 10 A. All bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained using the SHAKE algorithm [68]. Periodic boundary conditions were imposed in all three dimensions and the time step was set to 2 fs. Production runs of 10 ns were obtained at constant temperature of 298 K and 178 K and structures were recorded every 10 ps collecting a total of 2000 snapshots along the simulation time. In particular, to enhance conformational sampling of RGDechi, RGDechi1-14, and ψ RGDechi peptides we ran five independent replicas of 10 ns at 298 K and 278 K by applying different random initial velocities (random seed ig = -1 in amber input settings). The conformational ensemble obtained for each peptide was clustered using the protocol defined by Kelley et al. [69]. This procedure generated 391, 435, and 427 structural families for RGDechi1-14, ψRGDechi, and RGDechi, respectively. Successively, the representative cluster structures were analyzed using the experimental 1H, 15N, and 13C chemical shifts. In particular, for each representative cluster structure the chemical shifts were back-calculated using PPM and PPM_ONE software [53,54] and then the predicted values were compared against the experimental shifts using a global root mean square deviation (global CS-RMSD) value (Σ RMSDsC α , C β , HN, H α , N, H side chain). The final conformational ensemble for each peptide was generated by selecting the 50 structures showing the lowest global CS-RMSD value. All selected MD conformers were visualized and analyzed using the following software: PyMOL [70], CHIMERA [71], PROCHECK-NMR [72], and WHAT-IF [73]. The 3JHNH α scalar coupling constants were estimated from MD simulations using the Karplus relation [45,46] by taking the average of the φ angles measured from the MD ensemble 50x. The parameters defined by Bax and co-workers were used as coefficients for the Karplus equation [74].

4. Conclusions

In this study, we have performed a structural and dynamical characterization using natural-abundance NMR and MD techniques of two RGDechi-derived peptides called RGDechi1-14 and ψ RGDechi. A nearly complete 1H, 15N, 13C chemical shifts assignment has been obtained by exploring natural-abundance NMR methodologies using a particular strategy based on the combination of 2D homo- and heteronuclear experiments. H α , C α , and C β secondary chemical shifts, as well as ³JHNH α scalar coupling constants, HN temperature coefficients ($\Delta\delta$ HN/ Δ Ts), and ROE connectivities indicate that both peptides present a high degree of conformational flexibility in solution, especially within their C-terminal regions, without adopting any well-folded secondary structure and with all proline residues mainly in a trans Xaa-Pro peptide bond configuration. NMR and MD backbone dynamics characterization demonstrate that, in both peptides, the RGDechi cycle is slightly more rigid than the C-terminal tail. However, in RGDechi1-14, the removal of the last five residues induces a substantial increase in the conformational mobility of the RGD cycle with respect to the wild-type RGDechi. Interestingly, comparison of the backbone dynamics between ψ RGDechi and RGDechi shows that the reduction of the peptide bond between Pro16 and Gly17 slightly increases the conformational flexibility of the RGD moieties. These findings, together with previously published serum stability and

 $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ binding studies, indicate that in the ψ RGDechi the chemical modification drastically reduces the enzymatic degradation in serum while preserving the ability to recognize the $\alpha_{v}\beta_{3}$ receptor when compared to the wild-type RGDechi without significantly altering the conformational proprieties of the peptide in solution [33]. Overall, taking into account previously reported data [16], our study clearly demonstrates that, in RGDechi, the hydrogen bond-mediated structural coupling between the N- and C-terminal regions modulates the conformational flexibility of the RGD cycle, that in turn plays a crucial role in the fine tuning of the integrin binding selectivity. Nevertheless, all these data may pave the way for the development of novel RGDechi-derived peptides by introducing chemical modifications at the C-terminal region that in turn modulate the flexibility of the RGD cycle in order to selectively bind specific members of the integrin family. In conclusion, our study demonstrates that the combination of NMR and MD techniques represents an efficient strategy for exploring the conformational space sampled in solution by peptides having a high degree of conformational heterogeneity.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms231911039/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.F., L.Z., C.I., M.S. and L.R.; methodology, L.R.; formal analysis and investigation, C.A., A.P., M.d.V., B.F., A.D.G., S.D.G., D.C., M.T.G. and G.M.; writing—original draft preparation, R.F., C.A. and L.R.; writing—review and editing, R.F., C.I., M.S., G.M., L.Z. and L.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by "Programma Valere" 2018 of University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" to L.R.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Petsalaki, E.; Russell, R.B. Peptide-mediated interactions in biological systems: New discoveries and applications. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2008, 19, 344–350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fenwick, R.B.; Esteban-Martín, S.; Salvatella, X. Understanding biomolecular motion, recognition, and allostery by use of conformational ensembles. *Eur. Biophys. J.* 2011, 40, 1339–1355. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Wright, P.E.; Dyson, H.J. Linking folding and binding. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2009, 19, 31–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Dunker, A.K.; Cortese, M.S.; Romero, P.; Iakoucheva, L.M.; Uversky, V.N. Flexible nets. The roles of intrinsic disorder in protein interaction networks. *FEBS J.* 2005, 272, 5129–5148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- de la Cruz, L.; Bajaj, R.; Becker, S.; Zweckstetter, M. The intermembrane space domain of Tim23 is intrinsically disordered with a distinct binding region for presequences. *Protein. Sci.* 2010, *19*, 2045–2054. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Choy, W.Y.; Forman-Kay, J.D. Calculation of ensembles of structures representing the unfolded state of an SH3 domain. J. Mol. Biol. 2001, 308, 1011–1032. [CrossRef]
- Russo, L.; Raiola, L.; Campitiello, M.A.; Magrì, A.; Fattorusso, R.; Malgieri, G.; Pappalardo, G.; La Mendola, D.; Isernia, C. Probing the residual structure in avian prion hexarepeats by CD, NMR and MD techniques. *Molecules* 2013, 18, 11467–11484. [CrossRef]
- 8. Russo, L.; Maestre-Martinez, M.; Wolff, S.; Becker, S.; Griesinger, C. Interdomain dynamics explored by paramagnetic NMR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 17111–17120. [CrossRef]
- Russo, L.; Giller, K.; Pfitzner, E.; Griesinger, C.; Becker, S. Insight into the molecular recognition mechanism of the coactivator NCoA1 by STAT6. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 16845. [CrossRef]
- Russo, L.; Mascanzoni, F.; Farina, B.; Dolga, A.M.; Monti, A.; Caporale, A.; Culmsee, C.; Fattorusso, R.; Ruvo, M.; Doti, N. Design, Optimization, and Structural Characterization of an Apoptosis-Inducing Factor Peptide Targeting Human Cyclophilin A to Inhibit Apoptosis Inducing Factor-Mediated Cell Death. J. Med. Chem. 2021, 64, 11445–11459. [CrossRef]
- 11. Eisenmesser, E.Z.; Bosco, D.A.; Akke, M.; Kern, D. Enzyme dynamics during catalysis. *Science* 2002, 295, 1520–1523. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Ryabov, Y.E.; Fushman, D. A model of interdomain mobility in a multidomain protein. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 3315–3327. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Mulder, F.A.; Mittermaier, A.; Hon, B.; Dahlquist, F.W.; Kay, L.E. Studying excited states of proteins by NMR spectroscopy. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 2001, *8*, 932–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Grzesiek, S.; Döbeli, H.; Gentz, R.; Garotta, G.; Labhardt, A.M.; Bax, A. 1H, 13C, and 15N NMR backbone assignments and secondary structure of human interferon-gamma. *Biochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 8180–8190. [CrossRef]
- Kosol, S.; Contreras-Martos, S.; Cedeño, C.; Tompa, P. Structural characterization of intrinsically disordered proteins by NMR spectroscopy. *Molecules* 2013, 18, 10802–10828. [CrossRef]
- 16. Farina, B.; Del Gatto, A.; Comegna, D.; Di Gaetano, S.; Capasso, D.; Isernia, C.; Saviano, M.; Fattorusso, R.; Zaccaro, L.; Russo, L. Conformational studies of RGDechi peptide by natural-abundance NMR spectroscopy. *J. Pept. Sci.* **2019**, 25, e3166. [CrossRef]
- 17. Karplus, M.; McCammon, J.A. Molecular dynamics simulations of biomolecules. Nat. Struct. Biol. 2002, 9, 646–652. [CrossRef]
- 18. Durrant, J.D.; McCammon, J.A. Molecular dynamics simulations and drug discovery. BMC Biol. 2011, 9, 71. [CrossRef]
- Del Gatto, A.; Zaccaro, L.; Grieco, P.; Novellino, E.; Zannetti, A.; Del Vecchio, S.; Iommelli, F.; Salvatore, M.; Pedone, C.; Saviano, M. Novel and selective alpha(v)beta3 receptor peptide antagonist: Design, synthesis, and biological behavior. *J. Med. Chem.* 2006, 49, 3416–3420. [CrossRef]
- 20. Takada, Y.; Ye, X.; Simon, S. The integrins. Genome. Biol. 2007, 8, 215. [CrossRef]
- 21. Hynes, R.O. Integrins: Bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. *Cell* **2002**, *110*, 673–687. [CrossRef]
- 22. Brooks, P.C.; Clark, R.A.; Cheresh, D.A. Requirement of vascular integrin alpha v beta 3 for angiogenesis. *Science* **1994**, *264*, 569–571. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Giancotti, F.G.; Ruoslahti, E. Integrin signaling. Science 1999, 285, 1028–1032. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Desgrosellier, J.S.; Cheresh, D.A. Integrins in cancer: Biological implications and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2010**, *10*, 9–22. [CrossRef]
- Zannetti, A.; Del Vecchio, S.; Iommelli, F.; Del Gatto, A.; De Luca, S.; Zaccaro, L.; Papaccioli, A.; Sommella, J.; Panico, M.; Speranza, A.; et al. Imaging of alpha(v)beta(3) expression by a bifunctional chimeric RGD peptide not cross-reacting with alpha(v)beta(5). *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2009, *15*, 5224–5233. [CrossRef]
- Santulli, G.; Basilicata, M.F.; De Simone, M.; Del Giudice, C.; Anastasio, A.; Sorriento, D.; Saviano, M.; Del Gatto, A.; Trimarco, B.; Pedone, C.; et al. Evaluation of the anti-angiogenic properties of the new selective αVβ3 integrin antagonist RGDechiHCit. *J. Transl. Med.* 2011, *9*, 7. [CrossRef]
- Pisano, M.; DE Paola, I.; Nieddu, V.; Sassu, I.; Cossu, S.; Galleri, G.; Del Gatto, A.; Budroni, M.; Cossu, A.; Saviano, M.; et al. In vitro activity of the αvβ3 integrin antagonist RGDechi-hCit on malignant melanoma cells. *Anticancer Res.* 2013, *33*, 871–879.
- Capasso, D.; de Paola, I.; Liguoro, A.; Del Gatto, A.; Di Gaetano, S.; Guarnieri, D.; Saviano, M.; Zaccaro, L. RGDechi-hCit: αvβ3 selective pro-apoptotic peptide as potential carrier for drug delivery into melanoma metastatic cells. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e106441. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Farina, B.; de Paola, I.; Russo, L.; Capasso, D.; Liguoro, A.; Gatto, A.D.; Saviano, M.; Pedone, P.V.; Di Gaetano, S.; Malgieri, G.; et al. A Combined NMR and Computational Approach to Determine the RGDechi-hCit-αv β3 Integrin Recognition Mode in Isolated Cell Membranes. *Chemistry* 2016, 22, 681–693. [CrossRef]
- Capasso, D.; Di Gaetano, S.; Celentano, V.; Diana, D.; Festa, L.; Di Stasi, R.; De Rosa, L.; Fattorusso, R.; D'Andrea, L.D. Unveiling a VEGF-mimetic peptide sequence in the IQGAP1 protein. *Mol. Biosyst.* 2017, 13, 1619–1629. [CrossRef]
- Russo, L.; Farina, B.; Del Gatto, A.; Comegna, D.; Di Gaetano, S.; Capasso, D.; Liguoro, A.; Malgieri, G.; Saviano, M.; Fattorusso, R.; et al. Deciphering RGDechi peptide-α5β1 integrin interaction mode in isolated cell membranes. *Pept. Sci.* 2018, 110, e24065. [CrossRef]
- Hill, B.S.; Sarnella, A.; Capasso, D.; Comegna, D.; Del Gatto, A.; Gramanzini, M.; Albanese, S.; Saviano, M.; Zaccaro, L.; Zannetti, A. Therapeutic Potential of a Novel α_vβ₃ Antagonist to Hamper the Aggressiveness of Mesenchymal Triple Negative Breast Cancer Sub-Type. *Cancer* 2019, *11*, 139. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Comegna, D.; Zannetti, A.; Del Gatto, A.; de Paola, I.; Russo, L.; Di Gaetano, S.; Liguoro, A.; Capasso, D.; Saviano, M.; Zaccaro, L. Chemical Modification for Proteolytic Stabilization of the Selective α. J. Med. Chem. 2017, 60, 9874–9884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kjaergaard, M.; Brander, S.; Poulsen, F.M. Random coil chemical shift for intrinsically disordered proteins: Effects of temperature and pH. J. Biomol. NMR 2011, 49, 139–149. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Kjaergaard, M.; Poulsen, F.M. Sequence correction of random coil chemical shifts: Correlation between neighbor correction factors and changes in the Ramachandran distribution. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2011**, *50*, 157–165. [CrossRef]
- 36. Marsh, J.A.; Singh, V.K.; Jia, Z.; Forman-Kay, J.D. Sensitivity of secondary structure propensities to sequence differences between alpha- and gamma-synuclein: Implications for fibrillation. *Protein. Sci.* 2006, *15*, 2795–2804. [CrossRef]
- Xu, X.P.; Case, D.A. Automated prediction of 15N, 13Calpha, 13Cbeta and 13C' chemical shifts in proteins using a density functional database. J. Biomol. NMR 2001, 21, 321–333. [CrossRef]
- Neal, S.; Nip, A.M.; Zhang, H.; Wishart, D.S. Rapid and accurate calculation of protein 1H, 13C and 15N chemical shifts. J. Biomol. NMR 2003, 26, 215–240. [CrossRef]
- 39. Meiler, J. PROSHIFT: Protein chemical shift prediction using artificial neural networks. J. Biomol. NMR 2003, 26, 25–37. [CrossRef]
- 40. Dorman, D.E.; Torchia, D.A.; Bovey, F.A. Carbon-13 and proton nuclear magnetic resonance observations of the conformation of poly(L-proline) in aqueous salt solutions. *Macromolecules* **1973**, *6*, 80–82. [CrossRef]
- 41. Schubert, M.; Labudde, D.; Oschkinat, H.; Schmieder, P. A software tool for the prediction of Xaa-Pro peptide bond conformations in proteins based on 13C chemical shift statistics. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2002**, *24*, 149–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 42. Siemion, I.Z.; Wieland, T.; Pook, K.H. Influence of the distance of the proline carbonyl from the beta and gamma carbon on the 13C chemical shifts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1975**, *14*, 702–703. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shen, Y.; Bax, A. Prediction of Xaa-Pro peptide bond conformation from sequence and chemical shifts. J. Biomol. NMR 2010, 46, 199–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ramachandran, G.N.; Ramadrishnan, C.; Sasisekharan, V. Stereochemistry of polypeptide chain configurations. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1963, 7, 95–99. [CrossRef]
- Pardi, A.; Billeter, M.; Wüthrich, K. Calibration of the angular dependence of the amide proton-C alpha proton coupling constants, 3JHN alpha, in a globular protein. Use of 3JHN alpha for identification of helical secondary structure. J. Mol. Biol. 1984, 180, 741–751. [CrossRef]
- 46. Karplus, M. Contact Electron-Spin Coupling of Nuclear Magnetic Moments. J. Chem. Phys. 1959, 30, 11–15. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wüthrich, K. NMR of Proteins and Nucleic Acids; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1986.
- Smith, L.J.; Fiebig, K.M.; Schwalbe, H.; Dobson, C.M. The concept of a random coil. Residual structure in peptides and denatured proteins. *Fold. Des.* 1996, 1, R95–R106. [CrossRef]
- Dyson, H.J.; Wright, P.E. Defining solution conformations of small linear peptides. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem. 1991, 20, 519–538.
 [CrossRef]
- Andersen, N.H.; Neidigh, J.W.; Harris, S.M.; Lee, G.M.; Liu, Z.; Tong, H. Extracting information from the temperature gradients of polypeptide NH chemical shifts. 1. The importance of conformational averaging. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, 119, 8547–8561. [CrossRef]
- 51. Baxter, N.J.; Williamson, M.P. Temperature dependence of 1H chemical shifts in proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* **1997**, *9*, 359–369. [CrossRef]
- 52. Baxter, N.J.; Hosszu, L.L.; Waltho, J.P.; Williamson, M.P. Characterisation of low free-energy excited states of folded proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* **1998**, *284*, 1625–1639. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Li, D.W.; Brüschweiler, R. PPM: A side-chain and backbone chemical shift predictor for the assessment of protein conformational ensembles. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2012**, *54*, 257–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Li, D.; Brüschweiler, R. PPM_One: A static protein structure based chemical shift predictor. *J. Biomol. NMR* **2015**, *62*, 403–409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Brüschweiler, R.; Ernst, R.R. Coherence transfer by isotropic mixing: Application to proton correlation spectroscopy. *J. Magn. Reason.* **1983**, *53*, 521–528.
- Kumar, A.; Ernst, R.R.; Wüthrich, K. A two-dimensional nuclear Overhauser enhancement (2D NOE) experiment for the elucidation of complete proton-proton cross-relaxation networks in biological macromolecules. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1980, 95, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 57. Griesinger, C.; Ernst, R.R. Frequency Offset Effects and Their Elimination in NMR Rotating-Frame Cross-Relaxation Spectroscopy. J. Magn. Reason. **1987**, 75, 261–271. [CrossRef]
- Sattler, M.; Schleucher, J.R.; Griesinger, C. Heteronuclear multidimensional NMR experiments for the structure determination of proteins in solution employing pulsed field gradients. *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.* 1999, 34, 93–158. [CrossRef]
- Delaglio, F.; Grzesiek, S.; Vuister, G.W.; Zhu, G.; Pfeifer, J.; Bax, A. NMRPipe: A multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. J. Biomol. NMR 1995, 6, 277–293. [CrossRef]
- Lee, W.; Tonelli, M.; Markley, J.L. NMRFAM-SPARKY: Enhanced software for biomolecular NMR spectroscopy. *Bioinformatics* 2015, 31, 1325–1327. [CrossRef]
- 61. Keller, R.L.J. Optimizing the Process of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrum Analysis and Computer Aided Resonance Assignment Dissertation; Swiss Federal Institute of Technology: Zürich, Switzerland, 2004.
- 62. Wishart, D.S.; Sykes, B.D.; Richards, F.M. The chemical shift index: A fast and simple method for the assignment of protein secondary structure through NMR spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **1992**, *31*, 1647–1651. [CrossRef]
- 63. Wang, J.; Wolf, R.M.; Caldwell, J.W.; Kollman, P.A.; Case, D.A. Development and testing of a general amber force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2004, 25, 1157–1174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Case, D.A.; Cheatham, T.E.; Darden, T.; Gohlke, H.; Luo, R.; Merz, K.M.; Onufriev, A.; Simmerling, C.; Wang, B.; Woods, R.J. The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2005**, *26*, 1668–1688. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Maier, J.A.; Martinez, C.; Kasavajhala, K.; Wickstrom, L.; Hauser, K.E.; Simmerling, C. ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *J. Chem. Theory. Comput.* **2015**, *11*, 3696–3713. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jorgensen, W.L.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J.D.; Impey, R.W.; Klein, M.L. Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 79, 926–935. [CrossRef]
- 67. Darden, T.A.; Pedersen, L.G. Molecular modeling: An experimental tool. Environ. Health Perspect. 1993, 101, 410–412. [CrossRef]
- 68. Elber, R.; Ruymgaart, A.P.; Hess, B. SHAKE parallelization. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **2011**, 200, 211–223. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Kelley, L.A.; Gardner, S.P.; Sutcliffe, M.J. An automated approach for clustering an ensemble of NMR-derived protein structures into conformationally related subfamilies. *Protein. Eng.* **1996**, *9*, 1063–1065. [CrossRef]
- 70. DeLano, W.L. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System; DeLano Scientific: San Carlos, CA, USA, 2002.
- Pettersen, E.F.; Goddard, T.D.; Huang, C.C.; Couch, G.S.; Greenblatt, D.M.; Meng, E.C.; Ferrin, T.E. UCSF Chimera–a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2004, 25, 1605–1612. [CrossRef]

- 72. Laskowski, R.A.; Rullmannn, J.A.; MacArthur, M.W.; Kaptein, R.; Thornton, J.M. AQUA and PROCHECK-NMR: Programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by NMR. *J. Biomol. NMR* **1996**, *8*, 477–486. [CrossRef]
- 73. Vriend, G. WHAT IF: A molecular modeling and drug design program. J. Mol. Graph. 1990, 8, 52–56, 29. [CrossRef]
- 74. Vuister, G.W.; Bax, A. Quantitative J correlation: A new approach for measuring homonuclear three-bond J(HNHa) coupling constants in 15N-enriched proteins. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 7772–7777. [CrossRef]