



## University of Groningen

## Epidemiology of the diabetes-cardio-renal spectrum

Schechter, Meir; Cohen, Cheli Melzer; Yanuv, Ilan; Rozenberg, Aliza; Chodick, Gabriel; Bodegard, Johan; Leiter, Lawrence A.; Verma, Subodh; Heerspink, Hiddo J. Lambers; Karasik, Avraham

Published in: Cardiovascular Diabetology

DOI: 10.1186/s12933-022-01521-9

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

*Citation for published version (APA):* Schechter, M., Cohen, C. M., Yanuv, I., Rozenberg, A., Chodick, G., Bodegard, J., Leiter, L. A., Verma, S., Heerspink, H. J. L., Karasik, A., & Mosenzon, O. (2022). Epidemiology of the diabetes-cardio-renal spectrum: a cross-sectional report of 1.4 million adults. Cardiovascular Diabetology, 21(1), [104]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-022-01521-9

## Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

## RESEARCH

## **Open Access**



# Epidemiology of the diabetes-cardio-renal spectrum: a cross-sectional report of 1.4 million adults

Meir Schechter<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Cheli Melzer Cohen<sup>4</sup>, Ilan Yanuv<sup>1,2</sup>, Aliza Rozenberg<sup>1,2</sup>, Gabriel Chodick<sup>4,5</sup>, Johan Bodegård<sup>6</sup>, Lawrence A. Leiter<sup>7</sup>, Subodh Verma<sup>8</sup>, Hiddo J. Lambers Heerspink<sup>3</sup>, Avraham Karasik<sup>4,9</sup> and Ofri Mosenzon<sup>1,2\*</sup>

## Abstract

Background: Type-2 diabetes (T2D), chronic kidney disease, and heart failure (HF) share epidemiological and pathophysiological features. Although their prevalence was described, there is limited contemporary, high-resolution, epidemiological data regarding the overlap among them. We aimed to describe the epidemiological intersections between T2D, HF, and kidney dysfunction in an entire database, overall and by age and sex.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional analysis of adults > 25 years, registered in 2019 at Maccabi Healthcare Services, a large healthcare maintenance organization in Israel. Collected data included sex, age, presence of T2D or HF, and last estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in the past two years. Subjects with T2D, HF, or eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> were defined as within the diabetes-cardio-renal (DCR) spectrum.

**Results:** Overall, 1,389,604 subjects (52.2% females) were included; 445,477 (32.1%) were 25-<40 years, 468,273 (33.7%) were 40– < 55 years, and 475,854 (34.2%) were  $\geq$  55 years old. eGFR measurements were available in 74.7% of the participants and in over 97% of those with T2D or HF. eGFR availability increased in older age groups. There were 140,636 (10.1%) patients with T2D, 54,187 (3.9%) with eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>, and 11,605 (0.84%) with HF. Overall, 12.6% had at least one condition within the DCR spectrum, 2.0% had at least two, and 0.23% had all three. Cardiorenal syndrome (both HF and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>) was prevalent in 0.40% of the entire population and in 2.3% of those with T2D. In patients with both HF and T2D, 55.2% had eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> and 15.8% had eGFR < 30 mL/ min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>. Amongst those within the DCR spectrum, T2D was prominent in younger participants, but was gradually replaced by HF and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup> with increasing age. The congruence between all three conditions increased with age.

**Conclusions:** This large, broad-based study provides a contemporary, high-resolution prevalence of the DCR spectrum and its components. The results highlight differences in dominance and degree of congruence between T2D, HF, and kidney dysfunction across ages.

Keywords: Type-2 Diabetes, Heart Failure, Chronic Kidney Disease, Cross-sectional Study, Epidemiology, Diabetescardio-renal Spectrum

\*Correspondence: OFRIM@hadassah.org.il

<sup>1</sup> Diabetes Unit, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Hadassah Medical Center, P.O. Box 12000, 9112001 Jerusalem, Israel Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



## Introduction

Globally, approximately 537 million subjects have type-2 diabetes [1], 697 million have chronic kidney disease (CKD)[2], and 64 million have heart failure (HF) [3]. These conditions form together vicious

© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. pathophysiological circles, and the presence of one may contribute to the development of the others [4, 5]. For example, type-2 diabetes contributes to the development of both HF and CKD [6], and HF can lead to a decline of kidney functions and vice-versa as part of the cardiorenal syndrome [4, 7, 8].

For several years, treatment options for type-2 diabetes, CKD, and HF did not necessarily overlap. For example, thiazolidinediones benefit glycemic control in patients with type-2 diabetes; and endothelin receptor antagonists improve kidney outcomes in patients with CKD. Yet, both were associated with increased risk for HF [9, 10]. Renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system blockade improves outcomes in patients with HF or CKD [11-13] but does not affect glycemic control. More recently, sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) were shown to improve glycemic control and reduce the onset of HF and CKD in patients with type-2 diabetes, as well as improve outcomes in patients with CKD or HF with or without type-2 diabetes [14-21]. Thus, SGLT2i therapy benefits patients across the "diabetes-cardiorenal spectrum" (DCR spectrum) [22]. Although the epidemiological characteristics of these conditions have been described [1-3], there is a paucity of contemporary high-quality epidemiological data regarding the overlap among the different components of the DCR spectrum across sex and ages subgroups. This evidence gap translates into a clinical obstacle when assessing a specific patient's probability of having one or more DCR spectrum components.

We performed a cross-sectional analysis of adults registered at Maccabi Healthcare Services (MHS) in Israel. The database enjoys very high yearly retention (99%), validated type-2 diabetes and HF registries [23, 24], and high granularity of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) measurements. We used these advantages to describe with high resolution the contemporary (2019) prevalence and overlap of different components of the DCR spectrum by age and sex categories.

## Methods

### Study design and populations

This is a cross-sectional and contemporary analysis of the MHS database, Israel's second-largest healthcare maintenance organization (HMO). It was designed as a broad-based analysis of an entire database to limit population selection biases (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). Adults 25 years or older, who were members of MHS between January 1, 2019, and December 31, 2019, were included. This age was selected since in Israel, most young men and women are required to serve in the army for several years, a period in which they are not members of the general HMOs system. Excluded are patients who were part of a type-1 diabetes registry, identified as < 26 years upon entry to the diabetes registry, and having > 4 insulin purchases per year upon diabetes diagnosis without an oral glucose-lowering agent. This age cutoff was selected since type-1 diabetes incidence reduces by 60–80% in those older than 26, compared to those in their teen years [25]. While this definition may lead to the inclusion of patients who were diagnosed with type-1 diabetes at older ages, their numbers are expected to be diluted among the significantly larger incidence of type-2 diabetes.

The study received IRB approval from MHS Institutional Review Broad committee at MHS. Due to deidentified data extraction, informed consent was not requested by the IRB. Financial support for data accrual was obtained from AstraZeneca as part of the CAREME initiative. However, the conceptualization of this analysis and its execution was performed by the primary investigators. No payment was received to analyze the data or to write this manuscript.

## Variable definition

Data was extracted from January 1, 2018, until December 31, 2019. Collected data per each participant included sex, age, last eGFR measurement (between January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2019), and inclusion in type-2 diabetes or HF registry before December 31, 2019 [23, 24].

The purpose of the MHS registries is to benefit disease management at single-physician levels and in the entire HMO scale. Inclusion in the diabetes registry was based on a validated algorithm [24, 26]. This algorithm combines information of laboratory measurements (HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose), dispensed medication (insulin or oral glucose-lowering agents), and diagnoses made by expert physicians (see more elaboration in the Additional file 1:Methods). Inclusion in HF registry was defined as HF diagnoses by a hospital or a community cardiologist on two occasions or more. For this purpose, the following International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision (ICD-9) codes were used: 404.x, 428.x, 398.91 and 785.51. This definition was chosen after a validation process generated with creating the cardiovascular disease registry [23]. Physicians may request to exclude patients from the registries if they were captured with the algorithm by mistake.

Creatinine was measured in MHS central laboratories by compensated Jaffe methodology. Only measurements in out-patient settings were used to reduce biases associated with acute in-patient admissions. eGFR was calculated by the CKD-EPI Equation [27]. The diabetes-cardio-renal (DCR) spectrum was defined as either type-2 diabetes, HF, and/or eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (CKD stage 3 or worse). The cardiorenal syndrome was defined as having both HF and eGFR < 60 mL/ min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.

Age categories were used as follows:  $25 - \langle 40; 40 - \langle 55; 55 - \langle 65; 65 - \langle 75; 75 - \langle 85 \rangle$  and  $85 + \gamma$  ears. When required, the  $25 - \langle 40 \rangle$  and  $40 - \langle 55 \rangle$  years group were merged. The following eGFR categories were used > 90;  $60 - \langle 90$ ;  $30 - \langle 60 \rangle$  or  $\langle 30 \rangle$  mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, in accordance with the KDIGO classification.

## Statistical analysis

This is a descriptive analysis, and no formal hypotheses were tested. Presented are numbers or percentages of patients, as specifically indicated. We did not perform comparative tests among groups. Distribution within eGFR groups is calculated out of the total relevant population as stated, including those without measurement, to reduce biases associated with lower eGFR availability in healthier populations. Where expressly indicated, the eGFR distribution is calculated only of those with available eGFR values.

### Results

## Prevalence of type-2 diabetes, heart failure, and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> by age and sex

There were overall 1,389,604 subjects eligible to participate in this study; 52.2% were females. About a third (32.1%) aged 25-<40 years, another third (33.7%) were 40-<55 years old, and the rest were 55 years or older (Table 1). Most (74.7%) had an available eGFR measurement, and the eGFR availability increased in older age groups (Fig. 1A). Overall, there were 140,636 (10.12%) patients with type-2 diabetes, 54,187 (3.90%) patients with eGFR measurement below 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, and 11,605 (0.84%) patients with HF. Prevalence of all three conditions was higher in older age groups; while type-2 diabetes prevalence trajectory mainly increased at 40-<75 years and plateaued in older age groups, HF

**Table 1** Sex and age distribution of patients registered at theMHS and included in this analysis

Age Group	Males	Females	Overall
25-<40 years	217,537 (15.7)	227,940 (16.4)	445,477 (32.1)
40-<55 years	223,198 (16.1)	245,075 (17.6)	468,273 (33.7)
55–<65 years	106,082 (7.6)	112,010 (8.1)	218,092 (15.7)
65–<75 years	76,081 (5.5)	87,449 (6.3)	163,530 (11.8)
75–<85 years	31,527 (2.3)	39,174 (2.8)	70,701 (5.1)
85 + years	9,250 (0.7)	14,281 (1.0)	23,531 (1.7)
Overall	663,675 (47.8)	725,929 (52.2)	1,389,604 (100)

Presented as n (%)—number of patients (percentage of total). Numbers may not add up to 100% due to rounding

MHS Maccabi Healthcare Services

and eGFR <  $60 \text{ mL/min}/1.73 \text{ m}^2$  prevalence was markedly higher in those older than 65 years (Fig. 1B–D). More than half of patients with HF or with eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> were > 75 years old, compared with less than a quarter of those with type-2 diabetes (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Males had a numerically higher prevalence of all three conditions than females, overall and across all tested age groups (Fig. 1B–D).

## Distributions and congruence of the diabetes-cardio-renal spectrum's components

Overall, 12.63% (n=175,559) had at least one component of the DCR spectrum (defined as either type-2 diabetes, HF and/or eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), 1.99% (n=27,630) had at least two conditions, and 0.23% (n = 3329) had all three (Fig. 2A). While the relative prevalence of the DCR spectrum gradually increased with age, reaching 62.9% in those 85 years or older (Figs. 2B, 3A), the numerically highest burden within this MHS cohort was in the 65-<75 years subgroup (n=54,591; 31.1% of the total number of patients within the DCR spectrum) (Fig. 2B). Generally, between-conditions congruence increased with older age (Figs. 2B, 3A, B). Of those within the DCR spectrum, the relative portion of patients with type-2 diabetes gradually reduced with older age, while the relative portion of HF or eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73  $m^2$ increased with age (Fig. 3B).

We looked at the prevalence of type-2 diabetes in patients with HF or eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> overall and by different age groups. In younger subgroups, majority of patients with HF or eGFR < 60 mL/ min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> did not have type-2 diabetes. The prevalence of type-2 diabetes in those populations increased with age and peaked in the 65- < 75 years subgroup. In participants older than 85 years, there was a numerically lower prevalence of concomitant type-2 diabetes in those with HF or eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (Additional file 1: Fig. S3).

## Prevalence of heart failure by the presence of type-2 diabetes and kidney functions

The calculated prevalence of HF increased in lower eGFR categories (0.23, 1.38, 8.60, or 23.77% in those with eGFR > 90, 60–90, 30–60 or > 30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, respectively; Fig. 4A). These findings were generally similar across age, sex, and presence of type-2 diabetes groups (Fig. 4A, Additional file 1: Fig. S4). Overall, 5549 (0.40%) subjects had cardiorenal syndrome (both HF and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) and 2.30% of those with type-2 diabetes. The prevalence of cardiorenal syndrome increased with age and male sex, reaching 7.27% of participants older than 85 years (Fig. 4B).



values by age groups in the MHS population, presented as percentage of the entire cohort (all) and of those with an available eGFR value during the past two years ('avlable'). eGFR values are presented as mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. **B** Prevalence of T2D; **C** HF; or **D** eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> overall and by age and sex subgroups. Numbers indicate the percentage of patients out of the entire sex-/age-matched population in the MHS database. For each condition, greener indicates lower prevalence, redder indicates higher prevalence. White indicates the overall prevalence in the study population. *T2D* Type-2 diabetes, *HF* heart failure, *eGFR* estimated glomerular filtration rate, *MHS* Maccabi Healthcare Services

Kidney functions in patients with type-2 diabetes, heart failure, both, or neither.

We plotted the distribution of eGFR categories in subjects with type-2 diabetes, HF, both, or neither. Over **1** 97% of patients with HF or type-2 diabetes had an available eGFR measurement, and 72% of those with neisther. In those without HF nor type-2 diabetes 0.17% had teGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, compared with 2.3% of those with type-2 diabetes, and 12.0% of those with HF (Additional file 1: Fig. S5A). Among patients with both HF and type-2 diabetes, 15.8% had eGFR < 30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> trelative to 8.2% of those with HF without type-2 diabetes diabet

(Additional file 1: Fig. S6A). Similar trends were observed across age groups (Additional file 1: Fig. S6B, S5B).

## Discussion

This contemporary 2019 cross-sectional descriptive study presents the association between type-2 diabetes, HF, and kidney function in 1.4 million Israeli adults. Amongst the entire cohort, the prevalence of type-2 diabetes, eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (CKD stage 3 or worse), and HF were 10.12%, 3.90%, and 0.84%, respectively. Overall, 12.63% had at least one of these conditions (defined as the diabetes-cardio-renal [DCR]



spectrum); 2.22% had at least two conditions, and 0.23% had all three; 0.40% had the cardiorenal syndrome. Of those within the DCR spectrum, the relative portion of type-2 diabetes gradually reduced with older age, accompanied by a relative increase in HF and CKD stage 3–5. The degree of congruence between conditions increased with age. Overall, the analysis provides a comprehensive broad-based description of the epidemiological intersections between components of the DCR spectrum across age and sex groups.

The prevalence of diagnosed diabetes, HF, or CKD is highly variable among countries and regions. It depends on population age, lifestyle and culture, genetic variations, comorbidities, documentation quality, survival differences, and availability of screening programs [1–3, 26, 28]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the most updated and comprehensive documentation of HF prevalence in Israel [3, 28, 29]. The findings of this analysis suggest that HF prevalence in the study population (0.84%) is within the lower range compared to cohorts from other



countries, usually ranging between 1–2% [3, 28, 29]. In accordance with previous reports, the observed total prevalence of type-2 diabetes in this Israeli population (10.1%) is similar to the global average (10.5%), higher than in Europe (9.2%), yet lower than in the USA (13.6%) [1, 26]. The prevalence of CKD in Israel, however, is relatively lower than in the rest of the world and specifically other high-middle socio-demographic index quintile countries [2]. Our findings of fairly granular eGFR measurements, especially in at-risk populations (those with older age, type-2 diabetes, and/or HF), suggest that underdiagnosis due to insufficient testing does not seem to explain these findings [26]. Overall, besides the pointprevalence of type-2 diabetes, HF, and kidney function, this analysis provides valuable data regarding the distribution of these conditions by age and sex with implications to policymaking.

Type-2 diabetes, CKD, and HF are components of one spectrum, which we collectively termed diabetes-cardio-renal (DCR) spectrum [7, 8, 22]. All three conditions share epidemiological and pathophysiological features [4, 5, 7, 8, 22]. The current analysis highlights type-2 diabetes as the main DCR component in younger participants, while HF and CKD become increasingly prevalent and more dominant among older adults. The results further show increased congruence between components of the DCR spectrum in older age groups. Previous cohort studies demonstrated that having one DCR component is associated with the development of the others [4, 6, 30–33], and that presence of more than one component is associated with a higher risk for adverse clinical outcomes and mortality [6, 30, 34, 35]. Accordingly, the prevalence of cardiorenal syndrome, which constitutes a patient group at especially high risk, increased steeply in those older than 75 years, especially in those with type-2 diabetes [6]. All in all, this high-resolution description may assist in evaluating individual patients' risk of having one or more components of the DCR spectrum.

SGLT2i were shown to improve the prognosis of all three conditions across disease stages and phenotypes [14–20]. Early findings suggest that SGLT2i can also prevent the development of new HF, CKD, and type-2 diabetes in patients already having one component of the DCR spectrum [20, 21, 36], indicating that SGLT2i may interfere with the vicious cycles illustrated by Braunwald [4]. This analysis provides valuable estimations of the number of patients on the DCR spectrum who may benefit from treatment with SGLT2i, although limited by lack of albuminuria data.

For many years, resources were invested in developing new drugs that target single components of the DCR spectrum, resulting in a wide array of medications that improve glycemia, reduce HF-associated risk, or have some degree of kidney protection. More recently, however, increasing efforts have tried to find drugs and especially combination therapies that may benefit



of T2D. Numbers indicate the percentage of patients out of the entire sex-/age-/T2D-/eGFR category-matched population in the MHS. Greener indicates lower prevalence, and redder indicates higher prevalence. White (reference) represents the overall prevalence in the study population (0.84% for heart failure and 0.40% for cardiorenal syndrome). eGFR categories are in mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. *T2D* Type-2 diabetes, *eGFR* estimated glomerular filtration rate, *MHS* Maccabi Healthcare Services

specific groups of patients within the DCR spectrum [37–39]. Further pursuing this line of research may be faster, more cost-effective, and result in better use of the currently approved therapies. Our findings support these attempts by providing a general epidemiological framework of patients populations that could benefit from such efforts.

## **Study limitations**

This study includes data from one HMO; therefore, the external validity of the findings to other populations, especially non-Caucasians, is limited. However, Israel's population has relatively high genetic variability due to large communities of immigrants and their descendants. The analysis is solely descriptive due to its

cross-sectional nature; thus, it does not allow to conclude regarding causality. The retrospective nature of this analysis, and its reliance on registries, may result in diagnoses misidentification in some cases. Many relevant variables such as body mass index, HbA1c, albuminuria status, ejection fraction, HF etiology or severity, prediabetes, diabetes retinopathy or other comorbidities, and medications were not included. Accordingly, the definition of cardiorenal syndrome relies on the coexistence of HF ICD-9 diagnosis and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, without considering other markers of heart or kidney disease. While some eGFR measurements are lacking, this group includes mostly younger and healthier populations. To address this issue, we presented data both including as well as disregarding the missing eGFR values.

## Conclusion

This huge, broad-based study of 1.4 million adults provides a contemporary 2019, high-resolution prevalence of the different components of the diabetes-cardio-renal spectrum. The findings highlight differences in the degree of congruence among type-2 diabetes, HF, and kidney dysfunction across age and sex groups. The results may assist in estimating patients' and populations' probability of having one or more components of the DCR spectrum, with practical implications for policymaking, clinical research, and daily patient care.

#### Abbreviations

CKD: Chronic kidney disease; DCR: Diabetes-cardio-renal; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF: Heart failure; HMO: Healthcare maintenance organization; ICD-9: International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision; KDIGO: Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes; MHS: Maccabi Healthcare Services; SGLT2i: Sodium/glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors; T2D: Type-2 diabetes.

## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-022-01521-9.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. CONSORT diagram of subjects included in this analysis. Figure S2. Distribution by age of T2D, heart failure, and eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Figure S3. Prevalence of T2D in patients with heart failure or eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> overall and at different age groups. Figure S4. Prevalence of heart failure by age, presence of T2D and kidney functions—in female and male participants separately. Figure S5. Distribution of patients within different kidney functions categories by presence of T2D, heart failure, or neither. Figure S6. Distribution of kidney functions in patients with heart failure by the presence of T2D.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. Rebecca Sprung for the critical review and editing of the manuscript.

#### Author contributions

MS, CMC, AK, and OM conceptualized the study, performed the analysis and wrote the first draft. All authors assisted in literature search and figures planning, provided critical comments, and have read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

Financial support for data accrual was obtained from AstraZeneca as part of the CAREME initiative. However, the conceptualization of this analysis and its execution was performed by the primary investigators. No payment was received to analyze the data or to write this manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study received IRB approval from MHS Institutional Review Broad committee at MHS. Due to de-identified data extraction, informed consent was not requested by the IRB.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

MS, CMC, and GC have no conflict of interests to declare. AK has received research grants and speaking honoraria from AstraZeneca, Novo Nordisk, and Boehringer Ingelheim. IY and AR receive hourly payment from AstraZeneca through Hadassah Medical Center and from Novo Nordisk. JB is a full-time employee in AstraZeneca as an epidemiologist. LAL reports research funding from, provided CME on behalf of, and/or acted as an advisor to AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Lexicon, Merck, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi. SV has received research and/or speaking honoraria from Amgen, Amarin, AstraZeneca, Bayer, CMS, Janssen, HLS, Sanofi, Novo Nordisk, Novartis, Merck, and PhaseBio. He is also the president of the Canadian Medical and Surgical Knowledge Translation Research Group and holds the Tier 1 Canada Research Chair in Cardiovascular Surgery. HJLH reports grant funding and honoraria for consultancy as a member of the steering committee of the DAPA-CKD trial paid to their institution from AstraZeneca; research grants paid to his employer from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Janssen, and Novo Nordisk for clinical trials; consulting fees, paid to his employer from Abbvie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Travere Pharmaceuticals, and Novo Nordisk; fees for steering committee membership paid to his employer from Bayer, Chinook, CSL Pharma, Janssen, and Gilead; honoraria for lectures from AstraZeneca and Mitsubishi Tanabe; and has received honoraria for advisory board participation for Merck (paid to his employer), Mitsubishi Tanabe, and Mundipharma. OM reports Advisory Board: Novo Nordisk, Eli Lilly, sanofi, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, AstraZeneca, BOL Pharma. Research grant support through Hadassah Hebrew University Hospital: Novo Nordisk, Astra-Zeneca. Speaker's Bureau: AstraZeneca, Novo Nordisk, Eli Lilly, Sanofi, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Boehringer Ingelheim, Jansen.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Diabetes Unit, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Hadassah Medical Center, P.O. Box 12000, 9112001 Jerusalem, Israel. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel. <sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. <sup>4</sup>Maccabi Institute for Research and Innovation, Maccabi Healthcare Services, Tel-Aviv, Israel. <sup>5</sup>School of Public Health Sackler, Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. <sup>6</sup>Cardiovascular, Renal and Metabolism, Medical Department, BioPharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Oslo, Norway. <sup>7</sup>Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, ON, Canada. <sup>8</sup>Division of Cardiac Surgery, St. Michael's Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada. <sup>9</sup>Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.

Received: 22 January 2022 Accepted: 16 April 2022 Published online: 10 June 2022

- Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;183: 109119.
- GBD Chronic Kidney Disease Collaboration. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2020;395(10225):709–33.
- Bragazzi NL, Zhong W, Shu J, Abu Much A, Lotan D, Grupper A, et al. Burden of heart failure and underlying causes in 195 countries and territories from 1990 to 2017. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurjpc/zwaa147.
- 4. Braunwald E. Diabetes, heart failure, and renal dysfunction: the vicious circles. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2019;62(4):298–302.
- Maack C, Lehrke M, Backs J, Heinzel FR, Hulot J-S, Marx N, et al. Heart failure and diabetes: metabolic alterations and therapeutic interventions: a state-of-the-art review from the Translational Research Committee of the Heart Failure Association-European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J. 2018;39(48):4243–54.
- Birkeland KI, Bodegard J, Eriksson JW, Norhammar A, Haller H, Linssen GCM, et al. Heart failure and chronic kidney disease manifestation and mortality risk associations in type 2 diabetes: a large multinational cohort study. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2020;22(9):1607–18.
- Ronco C, Haapio M, House AA, Anavekar N, Bellomo R. Cardiorenal syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;52(19):1527–39.
- Rangaswami J, Bhalla V, Blair JEA, Chang TI, Costa S, Lentine KL, et al. Cardiorenal syndrome: classification, pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment strategies: a scientific statement from the american heart association. Circulation. 2019;139(16):e840–78.
- Lago RM, Singh PP, Nesto RW. Congestive heart failure and cardiovascular death in patients with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes given thiazolidinediones: a meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. Lancet. 2007;370(9593):1129–36.
- Heerspink HJL, Parving H-H, Andress DL, Bakris G, Correa-Rotter R, Hou F-F, et al. Atrasentan and renal events in patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease (SONAR): a double-blind, randomised, placebocontrolled trial. Lancet. 2019;393(10184):1937–47.
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, et al. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(12):851–60.
- TSI. Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. N Engl J Med. 1991;325(5):293–302.
- Lytvyn Y, Godoy LC, Scholtes RA, van Raalte DH, Cherney DZ. Mineralocorticoid antagonism and diabetic kidney disease. Curr Diab Rep. 2019;19(1):4.
- Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, et al. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(22):2117–28.
- Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, Bompoint S, Heerspink HJL, Charytan DM, et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med. 2019;380(24):2295–306.
- McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Køber L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(21):1995–2008.
- Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, Chertow GM, Greene T, Hou F-F, et al. Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(15):1436–46.
- Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Ferreira JP, Bocchi E, Böhm M, et al. Empagliflozin in heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med. 2021;385(16):1451–61.
- 19. Verma S, Jüni P, Mazer CD. Pump, pipes, and filter: do SGLT2 inhibitors cover it all? Lancet. 2019;393(10166):3–5.
- Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Heerspink HJL, Dwyer JP, Cahn A, Goodrich EL, et al. The effect of dapagliflozin on albuminuria in DECLARE-TIMI 58. Diabetes Care. 2021. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc21-0076.
- Rossing P, Inzucchi SE, Vart P, Jongs N, Docherty KF, Jhund PS, et al. Dapagliflozin and new-onset type 2 diabetes in patients with chronic kidney disease or heart failure: pooled analysis of the DAPA-CKD and DAPA-HF

trials. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00295-3.

- 22. Handelsman Y, Anderson JE, Bakris GL, Ballantyne CM, Beckman JA, Bhatt DL, et al. DCRM Multispecialty Practice Recommendations for the management of diabetes, cardiorenal, and metabolic diseases. J Diabetes Complicat. 2021;7: 108101.
- Shalev V, Chodick G, Goren I, Silber H, Kokia E, Heymann AD. The use of an automated patient registry to manage and monitor cardiovascular conditions and related outcomes in a large health organization. Int J Cardiol. 2011;152(3):345–9.
- 24. Chodick G, Heymann AD, Shalev V, Kookia E. The epidemiology of diabetes in a large Israeli HMO. Eur J Epidemiol. 2003;18(12):1143–6.
- Rogers MAM, Kim C, Banerjee T, Lee JM. Fluctuations in the incidence of type 1 diabetes in the United States from 2001 to 2015: a longitudinal study. BMC Med. 2017;15(1):199.
- Magliano DJ, Chen L, Islam RM, Carstensen B, Gregg EW, Pavkov ME, et al. Trends in the incidence of diagnosed diabetes: a multicountry analysis of aggregate data from 22 million diagnoses in high-income and middleincome settings. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9(4):203–11.
- 27. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, Feldman HI, et al. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. Ann Intern Med. 2009;150(9):604–12.
- Savarese G, Lund LH. Global public health burden of heart failure. Card Fail Rev. 2017;3(1):7–11.
- 29. Kornowski R, Orvin K. Current status of cardiovascular medicine in israel. Circulation. 2020;142(1):17–9.
- Bertoni AG, Hundley WG, Massing MW, Bonds DE, Burke GL, Goff DC. Heart failure prevalence, incidence, and mortality in the elderly with diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2004;27(3):699–703.
- Dunlay SM, Givertz MM, Aguilar D, Allen LA, Chan M, Desai AS, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure, a scientific statement from the american heart association and heart failure society of america. J Card Fail. 2019;25(8):584–619.
- Lytvyn Y, Bjornstad P, van Raalte DH, Heerspink HL, Cherney DZI. The new biology of diabetic kidney disease-mechanisms and therapeutic implications. Endocr Rev. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1210/endrev/bnz010.
- Dauriz M, Mantovani A, Bonapace S, Verlato G, Zoppini G, Bonora E, et al. Prognostic impact of diabetes on long-term survival outcomes in patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis. Diabetes Care. 2017;40(11):1597–605.
- 34. Inzucchi SE, Docherty KF, Køber L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, Ponikowski P, et al. Dapagliflozin and the incidence of type 2 diabetes in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: an exploratory analysis from DAPA-HF. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(2):586–94.
- Sarma S, Mentz RJ, Kwasny MJ, Fought AJ, Huffman M, Subacius H, et al. Association between diabetes mellitus and post-discharge outcomes in patients hospitalized with heart failure: findings from the EVEREST trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2013;15(2):194–202.
- Berg DD, Wiviott SD, Scirica BM, Gurmu Y, Mosenzon O, Murphy SA, et al. Heart failure risk stratification and efficacy of sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Circulation. 2019;140(19):1569–77.
- Heerspink HJL, Kohan DE, de Zeeuw D. New insights from SONAR indicate adding sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors to an endothelin receptor antagonist mitigates fluid retention and enhances albuminuria reduction. Kidney Int. 2021;99(2):346–9.
- 38. van Ruiten CC, van der Aart-van der Beek AB, IJzerman RG, Nieuwdorp M, Hoogenberg K, van Raalte DH, et al. Effect of exenatide twice daily and dapagliflozin, alone and in combination, on markers of kidney function in obese patients with type 2 diabetes: a prespecified secondary analysis of a randomized controlled clinical trial. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2021;23(8):1851–1858.
- 39. Rossing P, Filippatos G, Agarwal R, Anker SD, Pitt B, Ruilope LM, et al. Finerenone in predominantly advanced CKD and type 2 diabetes with or without sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor therapy. Kidney Int Rep. 2022;7(1):36–45.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.