

University of Groningen



Hypertensive response to exercise in adult patients with repaired aortic coarctation

Meijs, Timion A.; Muller, Steven A.; Minderhoud, Savine C. S.; de Winter, Robbert J.; Mulder, Barbara J. M.; van Melle, Joost P.; Hoendermis, Elke S.; van Dijk, Arie P. J.; Zuithoff, Nicolaas P. A.; Krings, Gregor J. Published in: Heart

DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2021-320333

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Meijs, T. A., Muller, S. A., Minderhoud, S. C. S., de Winter, R. J., Mulder, B. J. M., van Melle, J. P., Hoendermis, E. S., van Dijk, A. P. J., Zuithoff, N. P. A., Krings, G. J., Doevendans, P. A., Spiering, W., Witsenburg, M., Roos-Hesselink, J. W., van den Bosch, A. E., Bouma, B. J., & Voskuil, M. (2022). Hypertensive response to exercise in adult patients with repaired aortic coarctation. Heart, 108(14), 1121-1128. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2021-320333

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Original research

Hypertensive response to exercise in adult patients with repaired aortic coarctation

Timion A Meijs (1,2), Steven A Muller (1,2), Steven A Muller (1,2), Steven A Muller (1,2), Steven A Muller, Steven A Muller, Steven A Muller, A Steven A Barbara J M Mulder, Joost P van Melle, Elke S Hoendermis, Arie P J van Dijk, Nicolaas P A Zuithoff, Gregor J Krings, Pieter A Doevendans, Research Wilko Spiering, Maarten Witsenburg, Jolien W Roos-Hesselink (1,2), Annemien E van den Bosch (1,2), Berto J Bouma, Michiel Voskuil

ABSTRACT

 Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/heartjnl-2021-320333).
 For numbered affiliations see

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Mr Timion A Meijs, Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands; t.a.meijs-5@umcutrecht.nl

Received 15 September 2021 Accepted 30 November 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Meijs TA, Muller SA, Minderhoud SCS, et al. Heart Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ heartjnl-2021-320333 **Objective** The clinical and prognostic implications of a hypertensive response to exercise after repair of coarctation of the aorta (CoA) remain controversial. We aimed to determine the prevalence of a hypertensive response to exercise, identify factors associated with peak exercise systolic blood pressure (SBP) and explore the association of peak exercise SBP with resting blood pressure and cardiovascular events during follow-up. **Methods** From the Dutch national CONgenital CORvitia (CONCOR) registry, adults with repaired CoA who underwent exercise stress testing were included. A hypertensive response to exercise was defined as a peak exercise SBP \geq 210 mm Hg in men and \geq 190 mm Hg in women. Cardiovascular events consisted of coronary artery disease, stroke, aortic complications and cardiovascular death.

Results Of the original cohort of 920 adults with repaired CoA, 675 patients (median age 24 years (range 16–72 years)) underwent exercise stress testing. Of these, 299 patients (44%) had a hypertensive response to exercise. Mean follow-up duration was 10.1 years. Male sex, absence of a bicuspid aortic valve and elevated resting SBP were independently associated with increased peak exercise SBP. Peak exercise SBP was positively predictive of office SBP (β =0.11, p<0.001) and 24-hour SBP (β =0.05, p=0.03) at follow-up, 100 patients (15%) developed at least 1 cardiovascular event. Peak exercise SBP was not significantly associated with the occurrence of cardiovascular events (HR 0.994 (95% CI 0.987 to 1.001), p=0.11).

Conclusions A hypertensive response to exercise was present in nearly half of the patients in this large, prospective cohort of adults with repaired CoA. Risk factors for increased peak exercise SBP were male sex, absence of a bicuspid aortic valve and elevated resting SBP. Increased peak exercise SBP independently predicted hypertension at follow-up. These results support close follow-up of patients with a hypertensive response to exercise to ensure timely diagnosis and treatment of future hypertension.

INTRODUCTION

Coarctation of the aorta (CoA) is referred to as a local stenosis of the proximal descending aorta, often at the level of the duct. While CoA was

previously regarded as a simple, curable condition, it is now increasingly recognised as the expression of a complex, generalised arteriopathy that requires lifelong monitoring.¹² Pathological vascular mechanisms contribute to the high prevalence of resting hypertension, which should be adequately treated to avoid late cardiovascular complications.³ In addition to resting hypertension, 19%-35% of patients with CoA show a hypertensive response to exercise.^{4–8} This is rather inconsistently defined but is commonly referred to as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 210 mm Hg in men and \geq 190 mm Hg in women during maximal exercise.9 Like resting hypertension, it is often observed in the absence of restenosis at the repair site.^{10 11} Hence, it remains unclear which patients with CoA are at high risk of a hypertensive response to exercise and may therefore require more intensive monitoring.

In the general population, a hypertensive response to exercise carries significant prognostic implications, as it is associated with an increased risk of future hypertension, cardiovascular events and mortality.^{12 13} Although some studies have identified a hypertensive response to exercise as a risk factor for chronic hypertension in patients with CoA, these studies were limited by a relatively small sample size or did not correct for potentially important confounding factors, such as baseline blood pressure (BP).^{14 15} In particular, the prognostic significance of this hypertensive response during exercise in the setting of a normal resting BP is subject of debate, which was recognised as a 'gap in knowledge' in the recent 2020 European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines.³ Furthermore, although it is well known that patients with repaired CoA are at increased risk to experience cardiovascular events, including coronary artery disease, stroke and aneurysm formation, the impact of a hypertensive response to exercise on the incidence of these cardiovascular complications remains to be elucidated.¹⁶

Using a multicentre, prospective cohort of adults with repaired CoA, we aimed to determine the prevalence of a hypertensive response to exercise, identify factors associated with peak exercise SBP and examine the association of peak exercise SBP with resting SBP and the occurrence of cardiovascular events during follow-up.



METHODS

Study design

Adult patients with CoA from five tertiary referral centres who underwent exercise stress testing on enrolment in the CONgenital CORvitia (CONCOR) registry were included in this study. CONCOR is a prospective registry of adult patients with congenital heart disease in the Netherlands and was founded in 2001. Patients provided informed consent at the time of study entry. The short-term and medium-term outcomes of patients in one participating centre have been previously published.¹⁴ ¹⁷ ¹⁸ Patients were only included when prior surgical or transcatheter repair of CoA was performed. Exclusion criteria comprised a functionally univentricular circulation or transposition of the great arteries not repaired by an arterial switch procedure. Patients were enrolled between 2002 and 2018 and followed until their last clinic visit or death. The study was designed and performed without patient or public involvement.

Data collection and definitions

Patients underwent maximal exercise stress testing on a treadmill or cycle ergometer. The test was symptom-limited, unless other reasons for termination were present according to ESC guidelines.¹⁹ Exercise workload was expressed as metabolic equivalents (METs). One MET equals an energy expenditure of 3.5 mL O₂/ kg/min. BP was measured in upright position before the start of the test and during exercise using standardised intervals, generally every 2-3 min. A hypertensive response to exercise was defined as a peak exercise SBP \geq 210 mm Hg in men and \geq 190 mm Hg in women.9 Resting BP status was determined at baseline and at last follow-up. Resting BP was measured at the right arm at the outpatient clinic. In case the BP was only measured at the left arm or it was unclear which arm was used, these values were recorded to reduce the number of missing BP readings. Resting hypertension was regarded as an office SBP \geq 140 mm Hg, office diastolic blood pressure (DBP) \geq 90 mm Hg and/or the use of \geq 1 antihypertensive agents. Additionally, 24-hour ambulatory BP monitoring (ABPM) was performed at follow-up. Left ventricular (LV) mass was assessed by echocardiography at baseline and follow-up, and was indexed for body surface area. LV hypertrophy was defined as an LV mass index >115 g/m² for men and >95 g/m² for women.²⁰ Other collected data included demographics, prior CoA interventions and associated congenital defects.

Outcome measures

Outcomes at follow-up consisted of office SBP, 24-hour SBP as determined by ABPM and LV mass index. Additionally, two independent researchers (TAM and SCSM) recorded cardiovascular events during the follow-up period, based on the written medical correspondence by the treating cardiologist. Cardiovascular events consisted of coronary artery disease, stroke, aortic complications and cardiovascular death. Coronary artery disease was defined as myocardial infarction, coronary revascularisation or medical therapy for angina. Aortic complications comprised thoracic aortic aneurysms and dissections. An aortic aneurysm was defined as an aortic diameter >50% larger than predicted based on sex and aortic segment, and/or surgical treatment of the aneurysm.²¹ Aortic dissection also included pseudoaneurysm, intramural haematoma and aortic rupture. The definitions of the Standardized Data Collection for Cardiovascular Trials Initiative/Food and Drug Administration consensus report were used to determine whether mortality was due to a cardiovascular or non-cardiovascular cause.²² In case of uncertainty, this was resolved by discussion with a third study member (MV).

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics were compared between patients with and without a hypertensive response to exercise using the independentsamples t-test, Mann-Whitney U test or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. To identify factors associated with peak exercise SBB, univariable and multivariable linear regression were performed. Covariates were included when a potential association with the outcome was conceivable based on previous findings or pathophysiological mechanisms. All covariates were entered into the multivariable model without any selection based on significance. Residual analyses (normality, homoscedasticity and linearity) were performed to assess the validity of the model. Potential multicollinearity was assessed by inspection of the variance inflation factor values. Similar linear regression models were created for the outcomes office SBP, 24-hour SBP and LV mass index at follow-up.

A potential association between peak exercise SBP and cardiovascular events was explored by Cox proportional-hazards regression. Patients were followed until the occurrence of a cardiovascular event or censored at the time of the last follow-up visit. A delayed entry model with age as the time scale was used to correct for lefttruncated, right-censored data. The model was adjusted for potential confounding factors, which were included by forced entry and consisted of sex, age at initial CoA repair, end-to-end anastomosis, prior intervention for re-CoA, bicuspid aortic valve, ventricular septal defect, aortic and/or mitral mechanical heart valve, resting SBP and DBP and LV mass index. Fitted penalised B-spline curves and scaled Schoenfeld residuals were examined for each individual covariate and the multivariable model to determine whether or not the proportional-hazards assumption was violated. Due to a relatively low number of events per covariate, Firth's correction was applied to reduce bias associated with monotone likelihood.²³ Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics V.25 (Armonk, New York, USA) and SAS V.9 (Cary, North Carolina, USA). A p value <0.05 was considered to represent statistical significance.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Of the original cohort of 920 adult patients with CoA from the CONCOR registry, 675 patients (73%) underwent exercise stress testing and were therefore included in the current study. Of these, 299 patients (44%) showed a hypertensive response to exercise. Baseline characteristics are presented in table 1. In the group with a hypertensive response to exercise, 195 patients (65%) had resting hypertension vs 181 patients (48%) in the group without a hypertensive response to exercise (p<0.001). Exercise workload was comparable between the groups (11.2 \pm 3.6 vs 11.0 \pm 3.9 METs, respectively; p=0.46). Mean follow-up duration was 10.1 \pm 4.7 years. Figure 1 depicts the study cohort stratified by resting BP status and the presence or absence of a hypertensive response to exercise.

Baseline characteristics were compared with patients from the original cohort who were excluded from this study, that is, patients who did not undergo exercise stress testing (online supplemental table 1). Patients in the study cohort were younger at the time of initial repair, less frequently treated by graft interposition and more likely to have LV hypertrophy.

Factors associated with peak exercise SBP

Table 2 displays the results of linear regression analysis to identify factors associated with peak exercise SBP. In multivariable analysis, resting SBP at baseline (β =0.53; p<0.001) was positively associated with peak exercise SBP. Female sex (β =-11.68;

Table 1 Baseline characteristics

	All patients n=675	Hypertensive response to exercise n=299	No hypertensive response to exercise n=376	P value*
Age (y), median (range)	24 (16–72)	25 (16–65)	24 (16–72)	0.66
Female sex, n (%)	272 (40)	127 (42)	145 (39)	0.31
BMI (kg/m ²), mean±SD	23.9±4.3	24.1±3.8	23.8±4.6	0.47
Age at initial CoA repair (y), median (range)	3 (0–67)	4 (0–54)	2 (0–67)	0.37
Type of initial CoA repair, n (%)				
End-to-end anastomosis	344 (51)	154 (52)	190 (51)	0.82
Patch angioplasty	72 (11)	27 (9)	45 (12)	0.26
Subclavian flap angioplasty	65 (10)	33 (11)	32 (9)	0.29
Graft interposition	19 (3)	10 (3)	9 (2)	0.49
Ascending-to-descending BG	5 (1)	1 (0.3)	4 (1)	0.39
Surgery, technique unknown	127 (19)	58 (19)	69 (18)	0.77
Balloon angioplasty	15 (2)	8 (3)	7 (2)	0.80
Stenting	28 (4)	8 (3)	20 (5)	0.17
Prior intervention for re-CoA, n (%)	140 (21)	69 (23)	71 (19)	0.21
Bicuspid aortic valve, n (%)	389 (58)	158 (53)	231 (61)	0.03
Ventricular septal defect, n (%)	155 (23)	63 (21)	92 (24)	0.31
Aortic and/or mitral MHV, n (%)	41 (6)	15 (5)	26 (7)	0.33
Resting hypertension, n (%)	376 (56)	195 (65)	181 (48)	<0.001
Resting SBP (mm Hg), mean±SD	134±18	139±18	130±17	<0.001
Resting DBP (mm Hg), mean±SD	76±11	77±11	76±11	0.28
Resting arm-leg gradient (mm Hg), mean±SD†	1±17	3±16	-1±17	0.06
Exercise workload (METs), mean±SD	11.1±3.8	11.2±3.6	11.0±3.9	0.46
Peak exercise SBP (mm Hg), mean±SD	196±33	225±20	174±22	<0.001
Use of any AHM, n (%)	224 (33)	111 (37)	113 (30)	0.06
LV mass index (g/m ²), mean±SD‡	96±31	96±30	95±31	0.87
LV hypertrophy, n (%)‡	187 (29)	87 (29)	100 (28)	0.73

P values <0.05 are indicated in bold.

*Indicates the difference between patients with and without a hypertensive response to exercise, as determined by the independent-samples t-test, Mann-Whitney U test or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate. †Unavailable for 449 patients (67%), of whom 188 patients (63%) with and 261 patients (69%) without a hypertensive response to exercise.

*Unavailable for 26 patients (4%), of whom 4 patients (1%) with and 22 patients (6%) without a hypertensive response to exercise.

AIM, antibipertensive reapond cation; BG, bypass graft; BMI, body mass index; CoA, coarctation of the aorta; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; LV, left ventricular; MET, metabolic equivalent; MHV, mechanical heart valve; SBP, systolic blood pressure; y, years.





Figure 1 Flow chart displaying the stratification of patients based on the presence or absence of resting hypertension (office) and a hypertensive response to exercise at baseline. The prevalence of hypertension at follow-up is shown for the various subgroups. *Blood pressure status at follow-up was unknown for one patient in this group. CoA, coarctation of the aorta.

Table 2 Factors associated with peak exercise SBP

	Peak exercise SBP (mm Hg)					
		Univariable	Multivar	iable		
	β (SE)*	P value	β (SE)*	P value		
Age (y)	-0.13 (0.11)	0.23	-0.28 (0.15)	0.06		
Female sex	-16.57 (2.50)	<0.001	-11.68 (2.69)	<0.001		
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.31 (0.30)	0.30	0.29 (0.32)	0.37		
Age at initial CoA repair (y)	-0.12 (0.14)	0.37	0.25 (0.21)	0.23		
Type of initial CoA repair						
End-to-end anastomosis	3.03 (2.53)	0.23	3.89 (3.40)	0.25		
Patch angioplasty	-2.74 (4.10)	0.50	-4.59 (4.92)	0.35		
Subclavian flap angioplasty	5.89 (4.29)	0.17	8.25 (5.02)	0.10		
Graft interposition	5.78 (7.66)	0.45	8.81 (8.56)	0.30		
Balloon angioplasty	2.70 (8.33)	0.75	-6.23 (8.89)	0.48		
Stenting	-12.03 (6.45)	0.06	-16.32 (8.30)	0.05		
Prior intervention for re-CoA	6.58 (3.12)	0.04	5.88 (3.12)	0.06		
Bicuspid aortic valve	-5.42 (2.56)	0.04	-6.52 (2.43)	0.007		
Resting SBP (mm Hg)	0.54 (0.07)	<0.001	0.53 (0.07)	<0.001		
Use of any AHM	1.21 (0.54)	0.02	0.56 (0.57)	0.33		
LV mass index (g/m ²)	0.10 (0.04)	0.02	0.02 (0.04)	0.61		

In multivariable analysis, there was additionally adjusted for exercise workload in METs. A total of 644 complete cases were included in the multivariable model. P values <0.05 are indicated in bold.

*Represents the change in peak exercise SBP per unit increase of the covariate.

AMH, antihypertensive medication; BMI, body mass index; CoA, coarctation of the aorta; LV, left ventricular; MET, metabolic equivalent; SBP, systolic blood pressure; y, years.

p<0.001) and the presence of a bicuspid aortic valve (β =-6.52; p=0.007) were negatively associated with peak exercise SBP.

Change in resting SBP and antihypertensive medication from baseline to follow-up

Online supplemental table 2 shows that office SBP and DBP remained similar during the follow-up period, both in patients with and without a hypertensive response to exercise. The proportion of patients taking any antihypertensive medication and the number of antihypertensive agents increased in both groups, although the increase in antihypertensive agents was more outspoken in patients with a hypertensive response to exercise (0.52 vs 0.35; p=0.03).

Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting resting SBP at follow-up

As shown in table 3, peak exercise SBP positively predicted office SBP at follow-up (β =0.11; p<0.001). This association was independent of resting SBP at baseline and other potential confounding factors. Resting SBP at baseline (β =0.23; p<0.001) and the use of any antihypertensive medication (β =0.63; p=0.02) were also independent positive predictors of office SBP at follow-up, whereas stent implantation as initial CoA repair (β =-9.76; p=0.01) was an independent negative predictor. When limiting this analysis to normotensive patients at baseline, peak exercise SBP was similarly predictive of office SBP at follow-up (β =0.08; p=0.002; online supplemental table 3).

In 244 patients (36%) 24-hour ABPM was performed at follow-up. Higher peak exercise SBP was associated with increased 24-hour SBP at follow-up in a multivariable model (β =0.05; p=0.03; table 3).

Peak exercise SBP was univariably predictive of LV mass index at follow-up (β =0.10; p=0.006), but this association was no longer observed in multivariable analysis (β =0.04; p=0.23; online supplemental table 4). Similarly, peak exercise SBP was not an independent predictor of LV mass index at follow-up when the analysis was limited to patients who were normotensive at baseline (β =0.06; p=0.27; online supplemental table 5).

Peak exercise SBP and the risk of cardiovascular events

During follow-up, 113 cardiovascular events were observed: 12 cases of coronary artery disease, 15 strokes, 69 aortic complications and 17 cardiovascular deaths (online supplemental table 6). These events occurred in 100 individual patients. Peak exercise SBP was not associated with the risk of cardiovascular events in univariable (HR 0.996 (95% CI 0.990 to 1.002); p=0.19) nor multivariable (HR 0.994 (95% CI 0.987 to 1.001); p=0.11) Cox proportional-hazards regression (table 4). In contrast, older age at initial repair, the presence of a bicuspid aortic valve and elevated LV mass index at baseline were independent risk factors for the occurrence of cardiovascular events.

DISCUSSION

In this multicentre, prospective cohort of adult patients with CoA, we sought to investigate the prevalence, potential risk factors and prognostic consequences of a hypertensive response to exercise. A hypertensive response to exercise occurred in 44% of patients in our cohort, which is even higher than previously reported.^{4–8} Patients with an increased peak exercise SBP were more often male, had less frequently a bicuspid aortic valve and had a higher resting SBP. Increased peak exercise SBP was predictive of elevated resting SBP at follow-up, even after correction for baseline SBP (figure 2). These findings underline the prognostic impact of a hypertensive response to exercise in the adult CoA population. However, in this study no association between peak exercise SBP and the occurrence of cardiovascular events was demonstrated.

Prevalence and associations of a hypertensive response to exercise

In our cohort, a hypertensive response to exercise was observed in 299 out of 675 patients (44%), whereas in previous literature

Table 3 Value of peak exercise St	BP in predicting offic	e and 24-hour SBP a	at follow-up					
		Office SBP	at follow-up (mm Hg)			24-hour SBP at	follow-up (mm Hg)	
	5	nivariable	Mu	ltivariable		iivariable	Multiva	riable
	β (SE)*	P value	β (SE)*	P value	β (SE)*	P value	β (SE)*	P value
Age (y)	0.21 (0.05)	<0.001	0.09 (0.07)	0.18	0.03 (0.07)	0.71	-0.09 (0.09)	0:30
Female sex	-3.00 (1.28)	0.02	-0.004 (1.26)	1.00	-0.69 (1.73)	0.69	0.06 (1.77)	0.97
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.63 (0.15)	<0.001	0.19 (0.15)	0.20	0.24 (0.21)	0.25	0.22 (0.21)	0.29
Age at initial CoA repair (y)	0.15 (0.07)	0.02	0.14 (0.10)	0.15	0.03 (0.09)	0.73	0.00 (0.15)	1.0
Type of initial CoA repair								
End-to-end anastomosis	-2.02 (1.25)	0.09	-2.61 (1.62)	0.11	-1.21 (1.63)	0.46	-2.97 (2.07)	0.15
Patch angioplasty	1.16 (2.04)	0.57	-1.67 (2.27)	0.46	-0.81 (2.64)	0.76	-3.07 (2.95)	0:30
Subclavian flap angioplasty	-1.00 (2.12)	0.64	-0.81 (2.37)	0.73	-3.38 (3.19)	0.29	-7.20 (3.40)	0.04
Graft interposition	-0.78 (3.79)	0.84	-6.21 (4.08)	0.13	4.73 (3.92)	0.23	3.08 (4.80)	0.52
Balloon angioplasty	-1.89 (4.12)	0.65	-8.22 (4.22)	0.05	-3.71 (4.87)	0.45	-7.61 (5.49)	0.17
Stenting	-4.97 (3.19)	0.12	-9.76 (3.95)	0.01	-1.87 (3.77)	0.62	-2.04 (5.05)	0.69
Prior intervention for re-CoA	2.01 (1.54)	0.05	1.27 (1.48)	0.39	0.52 (1.89)	0.79	-0.21 (1.90)	0.91
Bicuspid aortic valve	-1.87 (1.27)	0.14	-0.41 (1.17)	0.73	2.30 (1.66)	0.17	3.58 (1.60)	0.03
Resting SBP at baseline (mm Hg)	0.35 (0.03)	<0.001	0.23 (0.04)	<0.001	0.22 (0.05)	<0.001	0.25 (0.05)	<0.001
Use of any AHM	1.46 (0.26)	<0.001	0.63 (0.27)	0.02	0.71 (0.33)	0.03	0.25 (0.33)	0.46
Peak exercise SBP (mm Hg)	0.15 (0.02)	<0.001	0.11 (0.02)	<0.001	0.07 (0.02)	0.003	0.05 (0.02)	0.03
LV mass index (g/m ²)	0.06 (0.02)	0.007	0.02 (0.02)	0.47	-0.03 (0.03)	0.37	-0.05 (0.03)	0.08
A total of 645 (office SBP) and 239 (24-ho P values <0.05 are indicated in bold.	ur SBP) complete cases v	vere included in the mul	tivariable model.					

*Represents the change in SBP per unit increase of the covariate. AHM, antihypertensive medication; BMI, body mass index; CoA, coarctation of the aorta; LV, left ventricular; SBP, systolic blood pressure; y, years.

Table 4 Cox proportional-hazards regression to assess the association between peak exercise SBP and the risk of cardiovascular events

		Cardiovas	cular event	
	U	Univariable Multivariable		
	HR (95% CI)	P value	HR (95% CI)	P value
Female sex	0.51 (0.32 to 0.79)	0.003	0.70 (0.42 to 1.15)	0.15
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.04 (1.01 to 1.08)	0.02	1.02 (0.98 to 1.06)	0.30
Age at initial CoA repair (y)	1.030 (1.010 to 1.050)	0.004	1.027 (1.004 to 1.049)	0.02
End-to-end anastomosis	0.72 (0.48 to 1.09)	0.12	0.79 (0.51 to 1.23)	0.30
Prior intervention for re-CoA	0.79 (0.46 to 1.35)	0.39	0.79 (0.44 to 1.43)	0.44
Bicuspid aortic valve	2.29 (1.43 to 3.69)	0.001	2.23 (1.34 to 3.70)	0.002
Ventricular septal defect	0.89 (0.52 to 1.52)	0.68	1.16 (0.67 to 2.00)	0.61
Aortic and/or mitral MHV	1.73 (0.96 to 3.14)	0.07	1.27 (0.69 to 2.34)	0.45
Resting SBP (mm Hg)	1.005 (0.994 to 1.016)	0.37	1.006 (0.993 to 1.020)	0.37
Resting DBP (mm Hg)	1.017 (0.997 to 1.036)	0.09	1.015 (0.993 to 1.038)	0.19
Peak exercise SBP (mm Hg)	0.996 (0.990 to 1.002)	0.19	0.994 (0.987 to 1.001)	0.11
LV mass index (g/m ²)	1.009 (1.005 to 1.014)	<0.001	1.009 (1.003 to 1.014)	0.003

A total of 648 complete cases were included in the multivariable model, of whom 94 patients developed a cardiovascular event (composite of coronary artery disease, stroke, aortic complications and cardiovascular death).

P values <0.05 are indicated in bold.

BMI, body mass index; CoA, coarctation of the aorta; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; LV, left ventricular; MHV, mechanical heart valve; SBP, systolic blood pressure; y, years.

the reported prevalence ranged from 19% to 35%.⁴⁻⁸ A possible explanation for the higher prevalence in the current study is that patients were younger (median of 24 years vs a mean between 30 and 40 years in four previous studies) and younger patients tend to have a higher peak exercise SBP⁴⁻⁷ Only 10% of people in the general population shows a hypertensive response to exercise, as the definition of a peak exercise SBP \geq 210 mm Hg in men and \geq 190 mm Hg in women roughly corresponds to the 90th percentile.²⁴ The mechanisms underlying this response have not been fully elucidated, although endothelial dysfunction,

increased arterial stiffness, activation of the renin-angiotensinaldosterone system and elevated sympathetic tone are considered key processes.²⁴ In patients with CoA, a hypertensive response to exercise may also be a clue to the presence of re-coarctation, especially in the setting of normal BP at rest.⁷ Recently, we demonstrated that mimicking exercise by epinephrine administration during cardiac catheterisation may be useful in detecting haemodynamically relevant re-coarctation.²⁵

In this study, male sex was predictive of higher peak exercise SBP. This is reflected by the current definition of a hypertensive



Figure 2 Graphical summary of the main findings in this study. Images from Servier Medical Art (smart.servier.com) were used to create this figure. BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

response to exercise, which applies a higher cut-off value for men compared with women (210 vs 190 mm Hg, respectively), even though some earlier studies used a cut-off value of 200 mm Hg for both men and women.^{14 18} Furthermore, elevated resting SBP was a predictor of increased peak exercise SBP, despite several previous studies reporting no association between resting SBP and SBP response to exercise.^{4 11} Lastly, patients with a bicuspid aortic valve showed a lower BP response to exercise, which may be related to the degree of aortic valve stenosis in this specific patient subset. However, it was previously found that patients with moderate-to-severe aortic valve stenosis (regardless of the presence of a bicuspid aortic valve) may have a hypertensive response to exercise, even though a blunted BP response to exercise was more frequent (21% vs 37%, respectively).²⁶

Value of a hypertensive response to exercise in predicting hypertension and LV afterload

Although the BP response to exercise is frequently assessed in patients with CoA, there is uncertainty regarding its prognostic consequences. We found that peak exercise SBP was predictive of resting SBP at follow-up, which is in line with previous findings.^{14 15} Importantly, this association was observed independently of resting SBP at baseline, which suggests that the BP response to exercise may have additional value in clinical decision making besides resting BP. Our results indicate that this also applies to normotensive patients with an isolated hypertensive response to exercise. Of note, stent implantation was associated with a lower resting BP at follow-up, which is consistent with the previously reported favourable haemodynamic effects of stenting.²⁷ However, patients who undergo stenting as primary repair generally have less complex disease compared with those surgically treated and therefore this finding should be interpreted with caution.

Hypertensive response to exercise and the risk of cardiovascular events

Despite the association between a hypertensive response to exercise and late hypertension, we found no conclusive evidence that this response predisposes for cardiovascular complications in the CoA population. One possible reason is that our follow-up time was not long enough. In addition, our patients were still relatively young and perhaps patients with CoA with a hypertensive response to exercise may not be at increased risk to experience cardiovascular events in early adulthood, but rather beyond the age of 50 years. In contrast, a previous study identified a hypertensive response to exercise as a risk factor for adverse cardiovascular events in patients with CoA.⁴ However, events occurred only in 24 patients and aortic aneurysm formation, a hypertension-related complication frequently observed in CoA, was not included as an outcome. In our study, on the contrary, patients with a low peak exercise SBP tended to experience more events, although not statistically significant. We observed a particularly high incidence of ascending aortic aneurysms, despite correction for the presence of a bicuspid aortic valve. Obviously, aneurysm formation is a gradual process, and it is likely that these patients already had some degree of aortic dilatation at the time of the exercise stress test. It has been shown that the elasticity of the ascending aorta decreases during exercise to increase pulse wave velocity, which indicates that the ascending aorta plays a crucial role in elevating central BP during exercise.²⁸ It is conceivable that patients with ascending aortic dysfunction, as a result of aortic dilatation, are less capable of this adaptive BP response to exercise, which may result in a

relatively low peak exercise SBP. However, further detailed study is necessary to elucidate these underlying mechanisms.

Implications for management

The results of this study suggest that patients with CoA with a hypertensive response to exercise are prone to develop hypertension during follow-up. Therefore, close surveillance of these patients is indicated in order to adequately identify and treat future hypertension. However, it remains unclear whether to start antihypertensive medication in normotensive patients with a hypertensive response to exercise, since some of these patients may never develop hypertension but would be exposed to potential side effects. Furthermore, a clear association between a hypertensive response to exercise and cardiovascular events has not yet been demonstrated. These questions need to be addressed in future studies to further clarify the clinical value of exercise stress testing in addition to conventional office and ABPM measurements, which have logistical advantages.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. As this was a multicentre study, there was a lack of uniformity in the protocols for exercise stress testing. Particularly, differences in interval duration between BP measurements may have affected peak exercise SBP. Furthermore, patients in the study cohort were more likely to have LV hypertrophy compared with patients from the original cohort who did not undergo exercise stress testing, which may have introduced selection bias. Bias may also have been caused by the fact that 24-hour ABPM at follow-up was only available in a subset of patients. In addition, the presence of aortic arch hypoplasia was not systematically assessed in this study, even though arch hypoplasia

Key messages

What is already known on this subject?

- It is well known that patients with previous repair of coarctation of the aorta (CoA) are at increased risk of resting hypertension and consequent cardiovascular complications.
- Previous studies suggest that a hypertensive response to exercise is also frequent in these patients, even when normotensive in rest; however, the clinical and prognostic consequences of a hypertensive response to exercise remain subject of debate.

What might this study add?

- This prospective cohort study demonstrates that 44% of adults with repaired CoA has a hypertensive response to exercise, which is higher than previously reported.
- Male sex, absence of a bicuspid aortic valve and elevated resting systolic blood pressure (SBP) were risk factors for increased peak exercise SBP.
- Peak exercise SBP was independently predictive of hypertension at follow-up.

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- The outcomes of this study advocate stringent follow-up of patients with CoA with a hypertensive response to exercise to timely identify and treat future hypertension.
- Subsequent studies are needed to investigate associations with cardiovascular events and to determine the optimal management of normotensive patients with an isolated hypertensive response to exercise.

Congenital heart disease

may contribute to the development of resting hypertension in patients with CoA.²⁹ Also, since the majority of surgical repairs was performed prior to 1990, surgical reports were frequently unavailable. Hence, determination of the surgical technique was primarily based on the correspondence by the treating cardiologist. In this correspondence, it was often not specified whether a simple or extended end-to-end repair was performed. Furthermore, the surgical technique was unknown in a substantial number of patients (19%).

CONCLUSIONS

In this large nationwide study involving adults with repaired CoA, the prevalence of a hypertensive response to exercise was 44%, which is higher than previously reported. Independent risk factors for increased peak exercise SBP were male sex, absence of a bicuspid aortic valve and elevated resting SBP. Peak exercise SBP was positively predictive of resting SBP at follow-up independently of baseline SBP. Close monitoring of patients with CoA with a hypertensive response to exercise is required to timely identify and treat future hypertension.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Cardiology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

²Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Cardiology, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands ⁴Department of Cardiology, University Medical Centre Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

⁵Department of Cardiology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

⁶Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁷Department of Pediatric Cardiology, Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁸Netherlands Heart Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁹Central Military Hospital, Utrecht, The Netherlands

¹⁰Department of Vascular Medicine, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Acknowledgements The authors thank Lia Engelfriet, Sylvia Mantels and Wybo Hoekstra for their valuable contribution to this project and the CONCOR registry.

Contributors Conception and design of the research: all authors. Data acquisition: TAM, SAM, SCSM, MV. Analysis and interpretation of the collected data: TAM, SAM, SCSM, JWR-H, AEvdB, BJB, MV. Drafting and/or critical appraisal of the manuscript: all authors. Approval of the final version: all authors. Guarantor: MV.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Medical Research Ethics Committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht (ID 17-608). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

ORCID iDs

Timion A Meijs http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7320-996X Steven A Muller http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2674-8709 Jolien W Roos-Hesselink http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6770-3830 Annemien E van den Bosch http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0422-9860

REFERENCES

- Burchell HB, Clagett OT, HINES EA, *et al*. Hemodynamic and clinical appraisal of coarctation four to seven years after resection and end-to-end anastomosis of the aorta. *Circulation* 1956;14:806–14.
- Eichhorn JG, Ley S, Kropp F, *et al*. Aortic coarctation a systemic vessel Disease-Insights from magnetic resonance imaging. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2019;67:e1–10.
 Baumgartner H. De Backer J. Babu-Narayan SV *et al*. 2020 ESC guidelines for the
- 3 Baumgartner H, De Backer J, Babu-Narayan SV, et al. 2020 ESC guidelines for the management of adult congenital heart disease. Eur Heart J 2021;42:563–645.
- 4 Yogeswaran V, Connolly HM, Al-Otaibi M, *et al.* Prognostic role of hypertensive response to exercise in patients with repaired coarctation of aorta. *Can J Cardiol* 2018;34:676–82.
- 5 Correia AS, Gonçalves A, Paiva M, et al. Long-Term follow-up after aortic coarctation repair: the unsolved issue of exercise-induced hypertension. *Rev Port Cardiol* 2013;32:879–83.
- 6 Egbe AC, Allison TG, Ammash NM. Mild coarctation of aorta is an independent risk factor for exercise-induced hypertension. *Hypertension* 2019;74:1484–9.
- 7 Hager A, Kanz S, Kaemmerer H, et al. Exercise capacity and exercise hypertension after surgical repair of isolated aortic coarctation. Am J Cardiol 2008;101:1777–80.
- 8 De Caro E, Spadoni I, Crepaz R, et al. Stenting of aortic coarctation and exerciseinduced hypertension in the young. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2010;75:256–61.
- 9 Fletcher GF, Ades PA, Kligfield P, *et al*. Exercise standards for testing and training: a scientific statement from the American heart association. *Circulation* 2013;128:873–934.
- 10 Swan Let al. Exercise systolic blood pressures are of questionable value in the assessment of the adult with a previous coarctation repair. *Heart* 2003;89:189–92.
- 11 Instebø A, Norgård G, Helgheim V, et al. Exercise capacity in young adults with hypertension and systolic blood pressure difference between right arm and leg after repair of coarctation of the aorta. Eur J Appl Physiol 2004;93:116–23.
- 12 Miyai N, Arita M, Miyashita K, et al. Blood pressure response to heart rate during exercise test and risk of future hypertension. *Hypertension* 2002;39:761–6.
- 13 Schultz MG, Otahal P, Cleland VJ, et al. Exercise-Induced hypertension, cardiovascular events, and mortality in patients undergoing exercise stress testing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Hypertens 2013;26:357–66.
- 14 Luijendijk P, Bouma BJ, Vriend JWJ, *et al*. Usefulness of exercise-induced hypertension as predictor of chronic hypertension in adults after operative therapy for aortic isthmic coarctation in childhood. *Am J Cardiol* 2011;108:435–9.
- 15 Buys R, Van De Bruaene A, Müller J, *et al*. Usefulness of cardiopulmonary exercise testing to predict the development of arterial hypertension in adult patients with repaired isolated coarctation of the aorta. *Int J Cardiol* 2013;168:2037–41.
- 16 Meijs TA, Minderhoud SCS, Muller SA, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in adult patients with repaired aortic coarctation. J Am Heart Assoc 2021;10:e023199.
- 17 Vriend JWJ, de Groot E, Bouma BJ, *et al*. Carotid intima-media thickness in postcoarctectomy patients with exercise induced hypertension. *Heart* 2005;91:962–3.
- 18 Vriend JWJ, van Montfrans GA, Romkes HH, et al. Relation between exercise-induced hypertension and sustained hypertension in adult patients after successful repair of aortic coarctation. J Hypertens 2004;22:501–9.
- 19 Astrom H, Binkhorst RA, Broustet JP. Guidelines for cardiac exercise testing. ESC Working group on exercise physiology, physiopathology and electrocardiography. *Eur Heart J* 1993;14:969–88.
- 20 Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:3021–104.
- 21 Hiratzka LF, Bakris GL, Beckman JA, et al. 2010 ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/ SIR/STS/SVM guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:e27–129.
- 22 Hicks KA, Mahaffey KW, Mehran R, *et al*. 2017 cardiovascular and stroke endpoint definitions for clinical trials. *Circulation* 2018;137:961–72.
- 23 Firth D. Bias reduction of maximum likelihood estimates. *Biometrika* 1993;80:27-38.
- 24 Kim D, Ha J-W. Hypertensive response to exercise: mechanisms and clinical
- implication. *Clin Hypertens* 2016;22:17.
 Meijs TA, Krings GJ, Saad A, *et al*. Epinephrine stress testing during cardiac catheterization in patients with aortic coarctation. *Am Heart J* 2020;225:78–87.
- 26 Saeed S, Mancia G, Rajani R, et al. Exercise treadmill testing in moderate or severe aortic stenosis: the left ventricular correlates of an exaggerated blood pressure rise. J Am Heart Assoc 2018;7:e010735.
- 27 Meijs TA, Warmerdam EG, Slieker MG, et al. Medium-Term systemic blood pressure after stenting of aortic coarctation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart 2019;105:1464–70.
- 28 Bal-Theoleyre L, Lalande A, Kober F, et al. Aortic function's adaptation in response to exercise-induced stress assessing by 1.5T MRI: a pilot study in healthy volunteers. PLoS One 2016;11:e0157704.
- 29 Lee MGY, Kowalski R, Galati JC, *et al.* Twenty-Four-Hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring detects a high prevalence of hypertension late after coarctation repair in patients with hypoplastic arches. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2012;144:1110–8.

Supplemental Material

Hypertensive Response to Exercise in Adult Patients with Repaired Aortic Coarctation

Timion A. Meijs, MD; Steven A. Muller, MD; Savine C.S. Minderhoud, MD; Robbert J. de Winter, MD, PhD; Barbara J.M. Mulder, MD, PhD; Joost P. van Melle, MD, PhD; Elke S. Hoendermis, MD, PhD; Arie P.J. van Dijk, MD, PhD; Nicolaas P.A. Zuithoff, PhD; Gregor J. Krings, MD, PhD; Pieter A. Doevendans, MD, PhD; Wilko Spiering, MD, PhD; Maarten Witsenburg, MD, PhD; Jolien W. Roos-Hesselink, MD, PhD; Annemien E. van den Bosch, MD, PhD; Berto J. Bouma, MD, PhD; Michiel Voskuil, MD, PhD

Table of Contents

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics in patients who underwent exercise stress testing (study cohort) versus patients who did not undergo exercise stress testing (excluded cohort).

Supplemental Table 2. Change in office blood pressure and antihypertensive medication from baseline to follow-up.

Supplemental Table 3. Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting office SBP at follow-up when the analysis is limited to normotensive patients at baseline (n = 299).

Supplemental Table 4. Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting LV mass index at follow-up.

Supplemental Table 5. Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting LV mass index at follow-up when the analysis is limited to normotensive patients at baseline (n = 299).

Supplemental Table 6. Overview of cardiovascular events.

	EST	No EST	
	(study cohort)	(excluded cohort)	
	n = 675	n = 245	<i>p</i> -value ^a
Age (y), median (range)	24 (16-72)	24 (16-74)	0.45
Female sex, n (%)	272 (40)	93 (38)	0.54
BMI (kg/m ²), mean \pm SD	23.9 ± 4.3	24.3 ± 5.3	0.35
Age at initial CoA repair (y), median (range)	3 (0-67)	6 (0-61)	0.004
Type of initial CoA repair, n (%)			
End-to-end anastomosis	344 (51)	130 (53)	0.60
Patch angioplasty	72 (11)	16 (7)	0.08
Subclavian flap angioplasty	65 (10)	24 (10)	1.0
Graft interposition	19 (3)	14 (6)	0.045
Ascending-to-descending BG	5 (1)	2 (1)	1.0
Surgery, technique unknown	127 (19)	45 (18)	0.92
Balloon angioplasty	15 (2)	4 (2)	0.62
Stenting	28 (4)	10 (4)	1.0
Prior intervention for re-CoA, n (%)	140 (21)	38 (16)	0.09
Bicuspid aortic valve, n (%)	389 (58)	130 (53)	0.23
Ventricular septal defect, n (%)	155 (23)	53 (22)	0.72
Aortic and/or mitral MHV, n (%)	41 (6)	19 (8)	0.37
Resting hypertension, n (%) ^b	376 (56)	138 (59)	0.36
Resting SBP (mmHg), mean ± SD ^b	134 ± 18	137 ± 20	0.05
Resting DBP (mmHg), mean ± SD ^b	76 ± 11	76 ± 12	0.97
Resting arm-leg gradient (mmHg), mean \pm SD ^c	1 ± 17	5 ± 25	0.27
Use of any AHM, n (%) ^d	224 (33)	72 (30)	0.38
LV mass index (g/m ²), mean \pm SD ^e	96 ± 31	91 ± 31	0.04
LV hypertrophy, n (%) ^e	187 (29)	46 (21)	0.04

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics in patients who underwent exercise stress testing (study cohort) versus patients who did not undergo exercise stress testing (excluded cohort).

^a Determined by the independent samples t-test, Mann-Whitney U test or Fisher's exact test, where appropriate.

^b Unavailable for 12 patients (5%) in the excluded cohort.

^c Unavailable for 449 patients (67%) in the study cohort and 178 patients (73%) in the excluded cohort.

^d Unavailable for 5 patients (2%) in the excluded cohort.

^e Unavailable for 26 patients (4%) in the study cohort and 31 patients (13%) in the excluded cohort. AHM, antihypertensive medication; BG, bypass graft; BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EST, exercise stress testing; LV, left ventricular; SBP, systolic blood pressure; y, years.

Supplemental Table 2. Change in office blood pressure and antihypertensive medication from baseline to follow-up.

Office	SBP (mn	nHg)	Office	DBP (m	mHg)	Use	of any Al	HM	No. a	of antihyper	tensives	
m	nean ± SD		m	ean ± SI)		n (%)			mean ± SI)	
Baseline	FU	<i>p</i> -value	Baseline	FU	<i>p</i> -value	Baseline	FU	<i>p</i> -value	Baseline	FU	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> diff ^a
134 ± 18	134 ± 16	0.43	76 ± 11	77 ± 10	0.06	224 (33)	354 (52)	<0.001	0.51 ± 0.85	0.93 ± 1.13	<0.001	
139 ± 18	138 ± 16	0.73	77 ± 11	78 ± 10	0.15	111 (37)	173 (58)	<0.001	0.56 ± 0.88	1.08 ± 1.19	<0.001	0.03
130 ± 17	130 ± 15	0.44	76 ± 11	77 ± 10	0.22	113 (30)	181 (48)	<0.001	0.47 ± 0.83	0.82 ± 1.06	<0.001	0.03
	Office m Baseline 134 \pm 18 139 \pm 18 130 \pm 17	Office SBP (Infine mean \pm SD Baseline FU 134 \pm 18 134 \pm 16 139 \pm 18 138 \pm 16 130 \pm 17 130 \pm 15	Office SBP (Initing) mean \pm SD Baseline FU p-value 134 \pm 18 134 \pm 16 0.43 139 \pm 18 138 \pm 16 0.73 130 \pm 17 130 \pm 15 0.44	Mathematical biase FU p-value Baseline 134 ± 18 134 ± 16 0.43 76 ± 11 139 ± 18 138 ± 16 0.73 77 ± 11 130 ± 17 130 ± 15 0.44 76 ± 11	Matrix Matrix	Matrix Matrix	Mathematical mean \pm SDOffice DBP (initing) mean \pm SDOffice DBP (initing) mean \pm SDBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -value134 \pm 18134 \pm 160.4376 \pm 1177 \pm 100.06224 (33)139 \pm 18138 \pm 160.7377 \pm 1178 \pm 100.15111 (37)130 \pm 17130 \pm 150.4476 \pm 1177 \pm 100.22113 (30)	Office SBP (Initing) mean \pm SDOffice DBP (Initing) mean \pm SDOse of any A n (%)BaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -value134 \pm 18134 \pm 160.4376 \pm 1177 \pm 100.06224 (33)354 (52)139 \pm 18138 \pm 160.7377 \pm 1178 \pm 100.15111 (37)173 (58)130 \pm 17130 \pm 150.4476 \pm 1177 \pm 100.22113 (30)181 (48)	Office SBP (IIIIIII) mean \pm SDOffice DBP (IIIIIII) mean \pm SDUse of any AHM n (%)BaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -value134 \pm 18134 \pm 160.4376 \pm 1177 \pm 100.06224 (33)354 (52)<0.001	Office SBP (IIIIIIg) mean \pm SDOffice DBP (IIIIIIg) mean \pm SDOse of any AHM n (%)No. cBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaseline134 \pm 18134 \pm 160.4376 \pm 1177 \pm 100.06224 (33)354 (52)<0.001	Office SBP (iniffig) mean \pm SDOffice DBP (iniffig) mean \pm SDOse of any AHAV n (%)No. of antiffyper mean \pm SIBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -value134 \pm 18134 \pm 160.4376 \pm 1177 \pm 100.06224 (33)354 (52)<0.001	Office SBP (IIIIIIg) mean \pm SDOffice DBP (IIIIIIg) mean \pm SDOse of any AHM n (%)No. of antihypertensives mean \pm SDBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -valueBaselineFU <i>p</i> -value134 \pm 18134 \pm 160.4376 \pm 1177 \pm 100.06224 (33)354 (52)<0.001

Baseline and follow-up data were compared using the paired t-test for office SBP and office DBP, McNemar test for use of any AHM, and Wilcoxon signed rank test for no. of antihypertensives.

^a This *p*-value indicates whether the change in no. of antihypertensives (from baseline to follow-up) was different between patients with and without a hypertensive response to exercise, as determined by the independent-samples t-test.

AHM, antihypertensive medication; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FU, follow-up; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

	Office SBP at follow-up (mmHg)					
	Univar	iable	Multivariable			
	$\beta (SE)^a$	<i>p</i> -value	β (SE) ^a	<i>p</i> -value		
Age (y)	0.26 (0.09)	0.006	0.06 (0.11)	0.59		
Female sex	-4.64 (1.63)	0.005	-2.71 (1.69)	0.11		
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.64 (0.24)	0.008	0.48 (0.23)	0.04		
Age at initial CoA repair (y)	0.10 (0.12)	0.048	0.12 (0.17)	0.46		
Type of initial CoA repair						
End-to-end anastomosis	-4.42 (1.64)	0.007	-8.50 (2.22)	<0.001		
Patch angioplasty	1.88 (2.96)	0.53	-3.91 (3.30)	0.24		
Subclavian flap angioplasty	0.50 (2.46)	0.84	-4.99 (2.94)	0.09		
Graft interposition	-3.59 (7.13)	0.62	-12.97 (6.74)	0.06		
Balloon angioplasty	-2.08 (5.84)	0.72	-12.73 (5.70)	0.03		
Stenting	-5.57 (5.07)	0.27	-17.57 (6.40)	0.006		
Prior intervention for re-CoA	-1.03 (2.27)	0.65	-1.61 (2.17)	0.46		
Bicuspid aortic valve	-1.21 (1.68)	0.47	0.07 (1.55)	0.96		
Resting SBP at baseline (mmHg)	0.42 (0.08)	<0.001	0.33 (0.08)	<0.001		
Peak exercise SBP (mmHg)	0.12 (0.03)	<0.001	0.08 (0.03)	0.002		
LV mass index (g/m^2)	0.04 (0.03)	0.18	0.01 (0.03)	0.84		

Supplemental Table 3. Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting office SBP at follow-up when the analysis is limited to normotensive patients at baseline (n = 299).

A total of 285 complete cases were included in the multivariable model.

^a Represents the change in office SBP per unit increase of the covariate.

BMI, body mass index; LV, left ventricular; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SE, standard error; y, years.

LV mass index at follow-up (g/m²) Univariable **Multivariable** β (SE)^a p-value β (SE)^a *p*-value Age (y) 0.48 (0.10) <0.001 0.41 (0.13) 0.001 Female sex -20.07(2.19)< 0.001 -19.00(2.22)<0.001 BMI (kg/m²) 0.77 (0.29) 0.15 0.007 0.40 (0.28) 0.15 (0.18) Age at initial CoA repair (y) 0.45 (0.12) <0.001 0.40 Type of initial CoA repair End-to-end anastomosis 0.10 0.06 (2.99) 0.98 -3.73(2.28)0.007 0.007 Patch angioplasty 9.85 (3.63) 11.16 (4.10) Subclavian flap angioplasty -5.51 (3.82) 0.15 1.64 (4.36) 0.71 Graft interposition -7.84 (6.89) 0.26 -5.96 (7.14) 0.40 Balloon angioplasty 18.99 (7.50) 0.01 14.38 (7.91) 0.07 Stenting -1.24 (5.78) 0.83 -4.40 (7.17) 0.54 Prior intervention for re-CoA -0.90 (2.81) 0.75 0.23(2.70)0.93 Bicuspid aortic valve 4.38 (2.30) 0.06 4.22 (2.12) 0.047 0.27 Resting SBP at baseline (mmHg) 0.12 (0.06) 0.06 -0.07(0.07)<0.001 0.16 Use of any AHM 1.97 (0.48) 0.70 (0.49) Peak exercise SBP 0.10 (0.03) 0.006 0.04 (0.04) 0.23

Supplemental Table 4. Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting LV mass index at follow-up.

A total of 638 complete cases were included in the multivariable model.

^a Represents the change in LV mass index per unit increase of the covariate.

AHM, antihypertensive medication; BMI, body mass index; LV, left ventricular; SBP,

systolic blood pressure; SE, standard error; y, years.

	LV mass index at follow-up (g/m ²)					
	Univaria	able	Multivar	iable		
	β (SE) ^a	<i>p</i> -value	β (SE) ^a	<i>p</i> -value		
Age (y)	0.04 (0.18)	0.82	0.22 (0.21)	0.28		
Female sex	-20.02 (2.76)	<0.001	-19.53 (2.99)	<0.001		
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.31 (0.45)	0.49	0.25 (0.43)	0.56		
Age at initial CoA repair (y)	0.10 (0.21)	0.64	0.04 (0.31)	0.90		
Type of initial CoA repair						
End-to-end anastomosis	-1.13 (3.03)	0.71	2.57 (4.15)	0.54		
Patch angioplasty	9.26 (5.16)	0.07	11.43 (5.93)	0.06		
Subclavian flap angioplasty	-3.04 (4.49)	0.50	0.38 (5.48)	0.95		
Graft interposition	12.44 (12.67)	0.33	21.25 (12.42)	0.09		
Balloon angioplasty	14.27 (10.36)	0.17	11.25 (10.50)	0.29		
Stenting	-5.29 (9.03)	0.56	-4.78 (11.79)	0.69		
Prior intervention for re-CoA	3.15 (4.09)	0.44	1.65 (3.96)	0.68		
Bicuspid aortic valve	5.96 (3.06)	0.05	6.64 (2.85)	0.02		
Resting SBP at baseline (mmHg)	0.25 (0.14)	0.08	-0.01 (0.14)	0.97		
Peak exercise SBP (mmHg)	0.15 (0.05)	0.002	0.06 (0.05)	0.27		

Supplemental Table 5. Value of peak exercise SBP in predicting LV mass index at follow-up when the analysis is limited to normotensive patients at baseline (n = 299).

A total of 282 complete cases were included in the multivariable model.

^a Represents the change in LV mass index per unit increase of the covariate.

BMI, body mass index; LV, left ventricular; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SE, standard error; y, years.

	No. of cases
Coronary artery disease	12
Myocardial infarction	6
Coronary revascularization	5
Medical treatment for angina	1
Stroke	15
Ischemic stroke	14
Hemorrhagic stroke, intracerebral	0
Hemorrhagic stroke, subarachnoidal	1
Aortic complication	69
Aneurysm	64
Dissection	5
CV death	17
Total CV events	113
No. of individual patients with CV event	100

Supplemental Table 6. Overview of cardiovascular events.

CV, cardiovascular.