



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

This is an early electronic version of an as-received manuscript that has been accepted for publication in the Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society but has not yet been subjected to the editing process and publishing procedure applied by the JSCS Editorial Office.

Please cite this article as J. Stanković Jeremić, D. Gođevac, S. Ivanović, K. Simić, A. Trendafilova, M. Aćimović, S. Milosavljević, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* (2022) <https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC210507007S>

This “raw” version of the manuscript is being provided to the authors and readers for their technical service. It must be stressed that the manuscript still has to be subjected to copyediting, typesetting, English grammar and syntax corrections, professional editing and authors’ review of the galley proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during these publishing processes, many errors may emerge which could affect the final content of the manuscript and all legal disclaimers applied according to the policies of the Journal.



J. Serb. Chem. Soc. **00(0)** 1-8 (2022)
JSCS-10744

HPTLC-based metabolomics for the investigation of metabolic changes during plant development: the case study of *Artemisia annua*

JOVANA STANKOVIĆ JEREMIĆ^{1*}, DEJAN GOĐEVAC¹, STEFAN IVANOVIĆ¹,
KATARINA SIMIĆ¹, ANTOANETA TRENDAFILOVA², MILICA AĆIMOVIĆ³ and
SLOBODAN MILOSAVLJEVIĆ⁴

¹University of Belgrade – Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy – National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia; ²Institute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria; ³Institute of Field and Vegetable crops, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia and ⁴University of Belgrade - Faculty of Chemistry, Studentski trg 12-16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia and ⁴Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Knez Mihailova 35, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

(Received 7 May 2021; Revised 12 November 2021; Accepted 14 February 2022)

Abstract: The application of High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC)-based non-targeted metabolomics as a holistic approach to compare fingerprints of metabolite changes during *Artemisia annua* development is described. Images of HPTLC chromatograms obtained after derivatization with anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid reagent were used as a dataset for multivariate analysis. Principal component analysis and Orthogonal Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis confirmed the discrimination of samples belonging to vegetative phase, flowering stage, and seed formation stage of the plant development. The obtained results showed that the HPTLC-based metabolomics approach can be a very reliable technique for the investigation of metabolic changes during plant development, complementary to GC-MS and NMR-based metabolomics.

Keywords: plant metabolomics; PCA; OPLS-DA.

INTRODUCTION

In this study the cultivated *A. annua* was used as a model system for the assessment the application of high performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) based untargeted metabolomics to probe unique metabolites during the life cycle of the plant. Since the discovery of its constituent antimalarial artemisinin, *A. annua*, which is the only viable resource of this drug has been the subjects of extensive research on its chemical composition. More than 600

*Corresponding author: jovanas@chem.bg.ac.rs
<https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC210507007S>

secondary metabolites have been identified throughout the plant including several sesquiterpenoids, triterpenoids, monoterpeneoids, steroids, flavonoids, coumarins, alkaloids and benzenoids.^{1,2} The method of untargeted metabolomics considers simultaneous measurement of as many metabolites as possible from each sample, thus providing a holistic, general overview of a sample composition.³ HPTLC is an emerging analytical tool in metabolomics research in the last decade.^{4,5} Even conventional TLC offers the advantages over other analytical methods, such as short measuring time and capability of parallel analysis, and the availability of chemical reagents. Many improvements in HPTLC over conventional TLC such as high resolution and data robustness, also improved some metabolomics and profiling studies. Furthermore, metabolites which are difficult to be identified by NMR or MS-based methods could be visualized on HPTLC plates and isolated from the plate for further chemical elucidation.⁶ The main idea of this study is the application of an HPTLC-based non-targeted metabolomics as a holistic approach to compare fingerprints of metabolites changing during the plant development.

EXPERIMENTAL

General methods

All used solvents were of analytical grade. Glass HPTLC Silica gel 60 CN F254s plates 10 × 20 cm were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); Anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid used as a spray reagent was freshly made according to Wagner *et al.*⁷ Artemisinin standard was isolated previously in the laboratory of Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. All NMR spectra (¹H, ¹³C, COSY, HSQC and HMBC) were recorded in CDCl₃ (with TMS for referencing) on a Bruker Avance III 500 NMR spectrometer operating at a proton NMR frequency of 500.26 MHz, equipped with a 5 mm BBI probehead. GC/MS analyses were performed according to Stankovic *et al.*, (2019)⁸ with some modifications of the temperature program and split ratio. The oven temperature was programmed from 60 to 240 °C at 3 °C/min, then from 240 to 310 °C at 35 °C/min, and then held isothermally for 8 min.

Injection volume was 1 µL, split ratio, 20:1. For lipid fraction the oven temperature was kept constant at 40 °C for 1 min, then was linearly programmed from 40 to 315 °C at 10 °C/min and then held isothermally for 6.5 min. Injection volume was 1 µL, split ratio 50:1.

Plant material

Artemisia annua L. is grown at Institute of Field and Vegetable Crops, Novi Sad. The plants samples were collected 14 times at intervals of 7 or 14 days, successively in different phenophases—from May 27 to November 3, 2018. Thus, vegetative phase (collections 1-6), flowering stage (collections 7-11), and seed formation stage (collections 12-14) were collected. The Voucher specimens (determined by Milica Rat, MSc, as No 2-1514) were confirmed and deposited at the Herbarium of the Department of Biology and Ecology (BUNS Herbarium), Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Novi Sad.

Extraction of plant material

Dry and ground plant materials of each collection (150 mg) were extracted with a mixture of hexane:ethyl acetate, 95:5 (1 mL) for 15 min in an ultrasound water bath. The extracts were centrifuged for 15 min at 13,600 rpm. The extraction procedure was independently repeated

with four biological replicates of each collection. The obtained extracts were used for HPTLC analysis.

HPTLC Analysis

The 3 μL of the extracts were sprayed with a 25- μL syringe as an 7-mm band on the HPTLC plate using the Linomat 5 (CAMAG, Switzerland). Application position Y was 8.0 mm, first application position X was 10.0 mm, and distance between tracks was 10.0 mm. The plates were developed in a previously saturated CAMAG Automatic Developing Chamber 2 with a mobile phase consisting of hexane:ethyl acetate:formic acid (19:11:0.5, v/v/v). After a drying time of 4 min developed HPTLC plates were derivatized by dipping in the anisaldehyde reagent (CAMAG Chromatogram Immersion Device 3), followed by heating at 100 °C for 5 min.

Fractionation by dry-column flash chromatography

Dry and ground average sample of all collections (167.0 g) was extracted with hexane:ethyl acetate, 95:5 (2 \times 600 mL) for 15 min in an ultrasound water bath. The extracts were combined, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 1.1 g of residue. Dry-column flash chromatography fractionation was performed in a glass column (300 \times 22 mm) packed with silica gel (100 g Merck, <0.08 mm) using a water vacuum pump. The column was equilibrated with the mobile phase *n*-hexane:ethyl acetate (95:5 v/v) mixture. The extract ($m = 1.1$ g) was diluted with the mobile phase (1:1) before applying to the column. The elution was performed with hexane:ethyl acetate mixture with increasing polarity (Table S1, Supplementary Material) and 34 fractions were collected.

Methylation of non-polar lipid fraction with MeOH/H₂SO₄

A mixture of the lipid fraction (30 mg) and MeOH (6 mL+ two drops of conc. H₂SO₄) was refluxed in a test tube fitted with condenser for 2 h. After neutralization with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution, the methyl esters were extracted with *n*-hexane. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure in a rotavapor.

Image and multivariate data analysis

The HPTLC chromatograms were processed with the Image J processing program (version 1.47q, USA). The chromatograms were denoised using the median filter function with two pixels width filter, and the tracks were outlined with rectangular selection tool. The line profile plots (Gray scale) were generated using Plot Profile option for each sample. On this way, two-dimensional graphs containing the pixel intensities toward distance along the line were obtained. The HPTLC image data were then normalized to the total area, mean centered, and pareto scaled. Principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal partial least squares to latent structures - discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) method was performed with SIMCA software (version 15, Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The HPTLC conditions regarding amount of sample applied and mobile phase composition were optimised to detect the maximum number of resolved bands per sample. Four biological replicates for each of the 14 collections of *A. annua* were then fingerprinted using the HPTLC method. Thus, vegetative phase (collections 1-6), flowering stage (collections 7-11), and seed formation stage (collections 12-14), were covered. The fingerprint patterns visualized after derivatization with anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid reagent are depicted on Fig 1.

For the identification of the components found in the studied extracts, dry-column flash chromatography of the plant extract was utilized, followed by NMR and/or GC-MS analyses. As a result of the purification, isolated fractions appeared as a single band on HPTLC plate. Each band of the purified fraction was then connected to those of *A. annua* extracts by co-chromatography comprising their R_F values and colors after derivatization (Table I). The identity of the purified metabolites was then performed by NMR and/or GC-MS analyses (Supplementary Material). Only artemisinin was not isolated as a pure compound, and its HPTLC band was compared to that of the standard compound.

TABLE I. Identified metabolites in *A. annua* extracts

No.	R_F value	Identified metabolites	Color after derivatization	Identification
1	0.94	Triacylglycerol	Dark green	NMR; GC/MS after transesterification
2	0.86	α -pinene, α -copaene, caryophyllene E, and β -selinene	Purple	GC/MS
3	0.78	Artemisia ketone	Orange	NMR; GC/MS
4	0.68	1,8-cineole	Purple	GC/MS
5	0.66	Caryophyllene oxide	Purple	GC/MS
6	0.58	Artemisia alcohol	Dark green	GC/MS
7	0.46	Artemisinin	Pink	Comparison to standard
8	0.20	Arteannuin B	Pink	GC/MS

The line profile plots generated from the images obtained using visible light were used as a dataset for multivariate analysis. Firstly, principal component analysis (PCA) as a variable reduction technique to develop a smaller number of novel variables that will account for most of the variation in the observed variables was performed. It has resulted in five principal components (PCs) model explaining 84.3 % of the total data of variances.



Fig 1. HPTLC metabolic fingerprint of 14 samples (red) *A. annua* collected in different developmental stages

Based on PCA score plot (Fig. 2(A)), three groups of samples were separated to some extent according to the plant development stages.

Next, orthogonal partial least squares to latent structures–discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was applied, where novel variables will account for maximum separation between predefined classes. An additional advantage of the orthogonal model is the facilitated interpretation due to separation of the systematic variation of the variables into two parts: one linearly related to class information and one orthogonal to the class information.⁹ Two OPLS-DA models were created containing HPTLC data of a) vegetative phase versus flowering stage and b) vegetative phase versus seed formation stage of *A. annua* development. The quality of the obtained models was assessed by goodness of fit (R^2) indicating how well the variation of variables is explained using the predictive components and predictive ability of the model (Q^2) indicating how well the model predicts new data, estimated by cross validation. In both created OPLS-DA models, large R^2 and Q^2 values over 0.5 and close to 1 indicated high goodness of fit, and good predictivity (Table II).

TABLE II. Parameters of the OPLS-DA models

Model	Number of components (predictive + orthogonal)	R^2	Q^2	p (CV-NOVA)	F (CV-ANOVA)
Vegetative/ Flowering	1 + 4	0.951	0.897	$4.88 \cdot 10^{-13}$	28
Vegetative/ Seed formation	1 + 3	0.918	0.784	$6.45 \cdot 10^{-7}$	12

The models were validated by permutation tests and CV-ANOVA, the significance of the models was clearly shown with p values far less than 0.05 (Table II). In the score plots (Fig. 2(B) and Fig. 2(C)) a clear separation between the samples was obtained along to the predictive components. The most influential variables were selected based on variable influence on projection (VIP) scores of the predictive components, and the loadings scaled as a correlation coefficient ($p(\text{corr})$). $\text{VIP} > 1$ and $|p(\text{corr})| > 0.5$ were considered as important for the separation. Shared and unique structure plot (SUS-plot) containing $p(\text{corr})$ from both OPLS-DA models was used to reveal the changes in the metabolomes in each of the three predefined group of samples (Fig. 2(D)). The unique metabolites were found close to either the X or Y axis, and shared were located on the diagonals. Also, the metabolites which are on the extreme ends of the axes contribute more significantly than those close to the centre. Thus, the accumulation of triacylglycerol (**1**) is characteristic for the seed formation phase since it is unique metabolite in Vegetative/Seed formation model. Similarly, four terpenes (α -pinene (**2**), α -copaene (**2**), E-caryophyllene (**2**) and β -selinene (**2**)) and artemisia ketone (**3**) were accumulated in the flowering stage since they are unique metabolites in

the Vegetative/Flowering model. Artemisia alcohol (6), caryophyllene oxide (5), and 1,8-cineole (4) were the main metabolites in the vegetative stage, since they are shared in both models. For artemisinin (7) and arteannuin B (8) there was no significant change in their amount during plant development.

Metabolomics fingerprinting of *A. annua* in different stages of development has also been performed previously by GC-MS.¹⁰ Our objective was to highlight the advantages of HPTLC: no need for derivatization prior to chromatography, and thus detection of thermolabile and non-volatile metabolites which is not possible using GC/MS. HPTLC is also complementary technique to NMR-based metabolomics, offering solutions where crowded regions in the NMR spectra are difficult to resolve.⁴




Fig. 2 (A) PCA score plot; (B) OPLS-DA score plot containing vegetative and flowering phase of *A. annua*; (C) OPLS-DA score plot containing vegetative and seed formation phase of *A. annua*; (D) OPLS-DA SUS-plot, all obtained from HPTLC fingerprints; four (4) terpenes: α -pinene, α -copaene, E-caryophyllene and β -selinene.

CONCLUSION

This work presents an efficient way for analyzing metabolic fingerprinting data of *A. annua* L. generated by HPTLC. As a result, we demonstrated that HPTLC may be applied as a simple and reliable untargeted approach to rapidly

discriminate extracts originating from different developmental stages of the plant. HPTLC also demonstrated to be the method of choice for routine study of complex matrices and could be used as an alternative and/or complementary method to techniques, such as GC/MS or NMR.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful for the support from Joint Research Project between Serbian Academy of Science and Arts and Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, “Phytochemical investigation of secondary metabolites from plants and fungi and their biotransformed products“.

ИЗВОД

МЕТАБОЛОМИКА БАЗИРАНА НА НРТЛС ЗА ИСПИТИВАЊЕ МЕТАБОЛИЧКИХ ПРОМЕНА ТОКОМ РАЗВОЈА БИЉКЕ: СТУДИЈА СЛУЧАЈА *Artemisia annua*

ЈОВАНА СТАНКОВИЋ ЈЕРЕМИЋ¹, ДЕЈАН ГОЂЕВАЦ¹, СТЕФАН ИВАНОВИЋ¹, КАТАРИНА СИМИЋ¹,
АНТОАНЕТА ТРЕНДАФИЛОВА², МИЛИЦА АБИМОВИЋ³ и СЛОБОДАН МИЛОСАВЉЕВИЋ⁴

¹Универзитет у Београду, Институт за хемију технологију и металургију, Институт од националног значаја за Републику Србију, Студентски бр 12-16, 11000, Београд; ²Институт за органску хемију са центром за Фиохемију, Бугарска академија наука, 1113 Софија, Бугарска; ³Институт за рашарство и пољопривреду, 21000 Нови Сад, Србија и ⁴Универзитет у Београду - Хемијски факултет, Студентски бр 16, 11000 Београд и Српска академија наука и уметности, Кнез Михајлова 35, 11000, Београд, Србија

Описана је холистичка примена нетаргетне метаболомике базиране на високоефикасној танкослојној хроматографији (НРТЛС) која омогућава поређење метаболитских профила *Artemisia annua* и праћење њихових промена током развоја биљке. НРТЛС хроматограми након развијања анизалдехидом и сумпорном киселином су коришћени за генерисање података за мултиваријантну анализу. Анализа главних компоненти (РСА) и ортогонална дискриминантна анализа најмањих квадрата (ОПЛС-ДА) су потврдиле разлике између узорака који припадају различитим фенофазама - вегетативној фази, фази цветања и фази формирања семена. Добијени резултати указују да метаболомички приступ заснован на НРТЛС методи која је комплементарна са GC-MS и NMR анализом, може бити веома поуздана техника за анализу промене током развоја биљке.

(Примљено 7. маја 2021; ревидирано 12. новембра 2021; прихваћено 14. фебруара 2022.)

REFERENCES

1. V. Vajs, A. Jokić, S. Milosavljević, *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **12** (2017) 1157 (<https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X1701200802>)
2. G. D. Brown, *Molecules* **15** (2010) 7603 (<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules15117603>)
3. J. L. Wolfender, S. Rudaz, Y. H. Choi, H. K. Kim, *Curr. Med. Chem.* **20** (2013) 1056 (<https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867311320080009>)
4. L. F. Salomé-Abarca, M. Mandrone, C. Sanna, F. Poli, C. A. M. J. J. van der Hondel, P. G. L. Klinkhamer, Y. H. Choi, *Phytochemistry* **176** (2020) 112402. (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2020.112402>)
5. M. Maldini, G. D'Urso, G. Pagliuca, G. L. Petretto, M. Foddai, F. R. Gallo, G. Multari, D. Caruso, P. Montoro, G. Pintore, *Foods* **8** (2019) 294 (<https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8080294>)
6. Y. Ge, X. Chen, D. Godevac, P. C. P. Bueno, L. F. Salomé Abarca, Y. P. Jang, M. Wang, Y. H. Choi, *Planta Med.* **85** (2019) 917 (<https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0947-5797>)

7. H. Wagner, S. Bladt, E. M. Zgainski, *Plant Drug Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1984 (<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-02398-3>)
8. J. Stanković, M. Novaković, V. Tešević, A. Ćirić, M. Soković, G. Zdunić, Z. Dajić-Stevanović, D. Gođevac, *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* **84**, (2019) 1355 (<https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC190513106S>)
9. S. Wiklund, E. Johansson, L. Sjöström, E. J. Mellerowicz, U. Edlund, J. P. Shockcor, J. Gottfries, T. Moritz, J. Trygg, *Anal. Chem.* **80** (2008) 115 (<https://doi.org/10.1021/ac0713510>)
10. M. Chenfei, W. Huahong, L. Xin, X. Guowang, L. Benye, *J. Chromatogr. A* **1186** (2008) 412 (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.09.023>).

*

Accepted Manuscript



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL TO
HPTLC-based metabolomics for the investigation of metabolic changes during plant development: the case study of *Artemisia annua*

JOVANA STANKOVIĆ JEREMIĆ^{1*}, DEJAN GOĐEVAC¹, STEFAN IVANOVIĆ¹,
KATARINA SIMIĆ¹, ANTOANETA TRENDABILOVA², MILICA AČIMOVIĆ³ and
SLOBODAN MILOSAVLJEVIĆ⁴

¹University of Belgrade – Institute of Chemistry, Technology and Metallurgy – National Institute of the Republic of Serbia, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia; ²Institute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria; ³Institute of Field and Vegetable crops, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia and ⁴University of Belgrade - Faculty of Chemistry, Studentski trg 12-16, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia and ⁴Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Knez Mihailova 35, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia



Table S-1. Fractionation by dry-column flash (DF) chromatography

Number of fraction	Hexane : ethyl acetate volume ratio	Volume, mL
DF1-DF9	95:5	400
DF10-DF18	90:10	400
DF19-DF22	85:15	200
DF23-DF26	80:20	200
DF27-DF30	75:25	200
DF31-DF34	70:30	200

IDENTIFICATION OF THE COMPOUNDS – SPECTRAL DATA

Compounds on HPTLC plate (Fig. 1) Triacylglycerol retention factors,
 $R_f = 0.92 - 0.96$

*Corresponding author: jovanas@chem.bg.ac.rs
<https://doi.org/10.2298/JSC210507007S>

Fig. S-1. ¹H NMR spectrum of triacylglycerolFig. S-2. ¹³C NMR spectrum of triacylglycerol



Fig. S-3. ^{13}C NMR spectrum of triacylglycerol

Fig. S-4. COSY spectrum of triacylglycerol




Fig. S-5. HSQC spectrum of triacylglycerol




Fig. S-6. HMBC spectrum of triacylglycerol




Fig S-7. GC-FID chromatogram of fraction DF16 containing Methyl palmitoleate (Retention time - $RT = 20.560$ min, Retention index - $RI = 1935$), Methyl Palmitate ($RT = 20.73$ min, $RI = 1950$) and 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z -), methyl ester ($RT = 22.53$ min, $RI = 2116$)






Fig. S-8. MS spectrum of methyl palmitoleate (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)






Fig. S-9. MS spectrum of methyl palmitate (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)






Fig. S-10. MS spectrum 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methyl ester (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)

Compounds on HPTLC plate (Fig. 1): α -pinene ($RI = 932$), α -copaene ($RI = 1373$), E-caryophyllene ($RI = 1416$); β -selinene ($RI = 1484$), $R_f = 0.84 - 0.88$




Fig S-11. GC-MS chromatogram of fraction DF1 containing α -pinene, α -copaene, E-caryophyllene, and β -selinene






Fig. S-12. MS spectrum of α -pinene (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)






Fig. S-13. MS spectrum of α -copaene (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)






Fig. S-14. MS spectrum of E-β-caryophyllene (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)






Fig. S-15. MS spectrum of β -selinene (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)

Compound on HPTLC plate (Fig 1.) Artemisia ketone $R_f = 0.74 - 0.82$




Fig. S-16. ^1H NMR spectrum of artemisia ketone




Fig. S-17. GC-MS chromatogram of fraction DF3 containing artemisia ketone ($RI = 1057.5$)






Fig. S-18. MS spectrum of artemisia ketone (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)

Compound on HPTLC plate (Fig 1.) 1,8-cineole $R_f = 0.68$




Fig. S-19. GC-MS chromatogram of fraction AA DF5 containing 1,8-cineole ($RI = 1028$)






Fig. S-20. MS spectrum of 1,8-cineole (top) and its comparison with the spectrum from the database (middle and bottom)

Compound on HPTLC plate (Fig 1.) Caryophyllene oxide $R_f = 0.66$




Fig. S-21. GC-MS chromatogram of fraction DF7 containing caryophyllene oxide (RI 1581)