VACUUM-ULTRAVIOLET PHOTOLYSIS OF NON-STEROIDAL ANTI-INFLAMMATORY DRUGS

Eszter Arany¹, Sandra Cerrone², Rita Katalin Szabó¹, Tünde Alapi³, Krisztina Gajda-Schrantz³, András Dombi¹

¹Institute of Material Sciences and Engineering, University of Szeged, 6720 Szeged, Tisza Lajos krt. 103, Hungary;

²Faculty of Mechanical and Process Engineering, Hochschule Furtwangen University, 78054 Villingen-Schwenningen, Jakob-Kienzle Str. 17, Germany;

³Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, University of Szeged, 6720 Szeged, Dóm tér 7, Hungary.

Email: ARANY.ESZTER@CHEM.U-SZEGED.HU

ABSTRACT

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) ibuprofen, ketoprofen, diclofenac and naproxen are detected even in natural waters. Their degradation was achieved through the generation of reactive oxygen containing species (ROS, such as •OH, HO₂• and •O₂⁻) by using a xenon excimer lamp ($\lambda_{max} = 172 \pm 14$ nm). The effect of the initial drug concentration, dissolved molecular oxygen, methanol as •OH scavenger and the effect of these pharmaceuticals on each other were investigated. According to the results, not only the reactions based on •OH, but the reactions with other ROS and excited water molecules should also be taken under consideration for the interpretation of the transformation of the four investigated NSAIDs.

INTRODUCTION

The drugs investigated in this work, ibuprofen (IBU), ketoprofen (KETO), diclofenac (DICL) and naproxen (NAP) (Fig. 1) belong to the group of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Due to the improper annihilation and disposal of these medicines they are frequently detected in the aquatic environment. The maximal detected concentration in natural waters are 2.8 μ g dm⁻³ for IBU, 0.99 μ g dm⁻³ for KETO, 1.2 μ g dm⁻³ for DICL and 1.5 μ g dm⁻³ for NAP [1-3].





The 17th Int. Symp. on Analytical and Environmental Problems, Szeged, 19 September 2011

Therefore, new water treatment techniques are needed, which are more efficient than the conventional ones in the elimination of such persistent chemicals. The methods based on the generation of various reactive oxygen containing species (ROS, mainly •OH), like vacuumultraviolet (VUV) photolysis are promising: they can mineralize effectively the contaminant molecules [4]. Water is the main absorber of the VUV light, resulting in excited water molecules (H₂O^{*}). Hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals are formed via homolytic bond dissociation with a quantum yield of: $\Phi_{H_2O}^{172 \text{ nm}} = 0.42 \pm 0.04$ [5]. Dissolved molecular oxygen might prevent the recombination of these radicals by scavenging H• (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1. The VUV photolysis of water

The formed HO₂• as well as its conjugate base pair, the \cdot O₂⁻ might react with the NSAIDs or with \cdot OH (Table 1). The first reaction increases, while the second one decreases the rate of transformation of the target molecules. The degradation rate of the contaminants depends greatly on the reaction rate coefficients (k) and on the concentrations of the various species involved in these reactions.

substrate	$k (\times 10^{9} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^{3} \text{ cm}^{-1})$	reference	
HO ₂ •	6.6	[6]	
•O2	10	[7]	
IBU	7.4	[8]	
KETO	8.4	[9]	
DICL	18	[8]	
NAP	24	[10]	

Table 1. The reaction rate coefficients (k) of the four NSAIDs and some radicals with •OH

MATERIALS and METHODS

All of the used chemicals were of analytical purity (IBU, KETO, DICL sodium salt: Sigma-Aldrich, \geq 98%, NAP: Fluka, 98%, acetic acid: Sigma-Aldrich, \geq 99%, methanol (MeOH), acetonitrile: Scharlau, HPLC-grade). The solutions of the NSAIDs were prepared using MilliQ water produced by MILLIPORE Synergy185 (resistivity: 18 M Ω cm⁻¹). The experiments were carried out in a circulated system (flow rate: 375 cm³ min⁻¹), where a xenon excimer lamp ($\lambda_{max.} = 172 \pm 14 \text{ nm}$, P = 20 W, Osram) was centered in a water-cooled, double-walled tubular glass reactor. The irradiated solutions (250 cm³) were thermostated at 25°C. The effect of dissolved molecular oxygen was investigated by saturating the irradiated solution with N₂, air or O₂ (gas flow rate: 855 cm³ min⁻¹).

During the kinetic experiments the samples were analyzed using an Agilent 1100 type HPLC equipped with a diode array detector. An eluent of a 50-50% mixture of 1% aqueous acetic acid and acetonitrile was used. The separation of the degradation products was carried out on a C18 (LichroCHART 125-4.5 μ m) Agilent column at 0.8 cm³ min⁻¹ flow rate of eluent.

RESULTS

Although the k values of the reactions of the four NSAIDs and •OH decrease in the order: NAP > DICL > KETO > IBU, the initial transformation rates (r_0) follow the order: KETO > IBU \approx DICL > NAP (Table 1 vs. Fig. 2.a). Therefore, it seems that the transformation of these drugs is not determined only by •OH.



Figure 2. The initial transformation rates (r₀) of KETO (○), DICL (■), IBU (△) and NAP (◆)
a) vs. their initial concentration, in the presence of oxygen, b) vs. the concentration of dissolved molecular oxygen, c₀ being 1×10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³

Dissolved molecular oxygen does not have the same effect on pharmaceuticals having different chemical structure (Fig. 1 vs. Fig. 2.b). It decreases strongly the r_0 of NAP, but increases that of IBU and KET. At the same time it has no effect on the rate of transformation of DICL. This is likely because of the way of transformation of the NSAIDs depends greatly on the structure of the target molecule.

The presence of another organic substrate causes a decrease of the r_0 of the target substance likely because of the competition for the reactive species (Table 2). MeOH, the commonly used •OH scavenger could produce the same effect only in an around 1000 times higher concentration than NSAIDs, although, the k of the reaction of MeOH with •OH is only with one order of magnitude lower than the values listed in Table 1 ($k = 1.0 \times 10^9 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ [11]). Additionally, dissolved molecular oxygen strongly enhanced the decrease of r_0 in the case of IBU and KETO. Consequently, besides the •OH based reactions, the reactions with other formed ROS and excited water molecules might also have a relative high contribution to the transformation of these target substances during the VUV photolysis of their aqueous solution.

substrate	$r_0 (\times 10^{-7} \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1})$							
	-	IBU	KETO	DICL	NAP	0.1 mol dm ⁻³ MeOH	1 mol dm ⁻³ MeOH	
IBU	6.8	-	1.8	-	-	2.7		
KETO	10.3	5.9	-	7.4	7.5	8.0	4.0	
DICL	7.2	-	3.3	-	6.0	3.6	1.9	
NAP	4.5	-	3.2	2.5	-	3.0	1.7	

Table 2. The initial transformation rates of the four NSAIDs ($c_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) affected by the presence of methanol ($c_0 = 0.1 \text{ or } 1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) or another drug ($c_0 = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$)

CONCLUSIONS

Dissolved molecular oxygen has various effects on the VUV photolysis of NSAIDs having different molecular structure. The transformation of the NSAIDs can not be interpreted only with the reactions based on •OH, the reactions with other ROS and excited water molecules should also be taken under consideration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their gratitude to the Hungarian Research Foundation (NKTH-OTKA CK 80193) and the European Regional Development Fund (TÁMOP-4.2.1/B-09/1/KONV-2010-0005). This document has been produced with the financial assistance of the European Union (Project HU-SRB/0901/121/116 OCEEFPTRWR Optimization of Cost Effective and Environmentally Friendly Procedures for Treatment of Regional Water Resources). The contents of this document are the sole responsibility of the University of Szeged and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the European Union and/or the Managing Authority.

LIST OF REFERENCES

[1] Ternes T.A. (1998). Occurrence of drugs in German sewage treatment plants and rivers. *Water Research.* 32, p. 3245-3260.

[2] la Farré M., Ferrer I., Ginebreda A., Figueras M., Olivella L., Tirapu L., Vilanova M., Barceló D. (2001). Determination of drugs in surface water and wastewater samples by liquid chromatographymass spectrometry: methods and preliminary results including toxicity studies with Vibrio fischeri. *Journal of Chromatography*, A. 938, p. 187–197.

[3] Fernández C., González-Doncel M., Pro J., Carbonell G., Tarazona J.V. (2010). Occurrence of pharmaceutically active compounds in surface waters of the Henares-Jarama-Tajo River system (Madrid, Spain) and a potential risk characterization. *Science of the Total Environment.* 408, p. 543-551

[4] Oppenländer T. (Eds.). *Photochemical purification of water and air.* 2003. Weinheim. Wiley-VCH.

[5] Heit G., Neuner A., Saugy P.-Y., Braun A.M. (1998). Vacuum-UV (172 nm) actinometry. The quantum yield of the photolysis of water. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*. 102, p. 5551-5561.

[6] Sehested K., Rasmussen O.L., Fricke H. (1968). Rate constants of OH with HO₂, O₂, and H₂O₂⁺ from hydrogen peroxide formation in pulse-irradiated oxygenated water. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 72, p. 626-631.

[7] Christensen H., Sehested K., Bjergbakke E. (1989). Radiolysis of reactor water: Reaction of hydroxyl radicals with superoxide (O₂⁻). Water Chemistry of Nuclear Reactor Systems. 5, p. 141-144.

[8] Huber M.M., Canonica S., Park G.-Y., von Gunten U. (2003). Oxidation of pharmaceuticals during ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 37, p. 1016-1024.

[9] Real F.J., Benitez F.J., Acero J.L., Sagasti J.J.P., Casas F. (2009). Kinetics of chemical oxidation of the pharmaceuticals primidone, ketoprofen, and diatrizoate in ultrapure and natural waters. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research.* 48, p. 3380-3388.

[10] Packer J.L., Werner J.J., Latch D.E., McNeill K., Arnold W.A. (2003). Photochemical fate of pharmaceuticals in the environment: Naproxen, diclofenac, clofibric acid, and ibuprofen. *Aquatic Sciences*. 65, p. 342-351.

[11] Buxton G.V., Greenstock C.L., Helman W.P., Ross A.B. (1988). Critical review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen atoms and hydroxyl radicals ('OH/'O') in aqueous solution. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Reference Data*. 17, p. 513 – 886.