

**Supplemental online material: References of the articles analyzed in the scoping review**

Achilleos, A. P., Mettouris, C., Yeratziotis, A., Papadopoulos, G. A., Pllana, S., Huber, F., Jäger, B., Leitner, P., Ocsovszky, Z., & Dinnyés, A. (2019). SciChallenge: A social media aware platform for contest-based STEM education and motivation of young students. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, *12*(1), 98–111. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2018.2810879>

Adams, R. V., & Blair, E. (2014). The learner-generated podcast: Engaging postgraduate engineering students in a mathematics-intensive course. *Research in Post-Compulsory Education*, *19*(2), 132–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13596748.2014.897502>

Akayuure, P., & Apawu, J. (2015). Examining mathematical task and pedagogical usability of web contents authored by prospective mathematics teachers. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, *1*(2), 101–110.

An, Y. J. (2016). A case study of educational computer game design by middle school students. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *64*(4), 555–571. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9428-7>

Anderson, J. (2013a). Active learning through student film: A case study of cultural geography. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, *37*(3), 385–398. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2013.792041>

Anderson, J. (2013b). Evaluating student-generated film as a learning tool for qualitative methods: geographical “drifts” and the city. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, *37*(1), 136–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2012.694070>

- Anderson, K. T., Stewart, O. G., & Kachorsky, D. (2017). Seeing academically marginalized students' multimodal designs from a position of strength. *Written Communication, 34*(2), 104–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088317699897>
- Anuradha, V., & Rengaraj, M. (2017). Storytelling: Creating a positive attitude toward narration among engineering graduates. *IUP Journal of English Studies, 12*(1), 32–38.
- Ariffin, S. A., & Malim, T. (2016). Academics' perspectives on the challenges and opportunities for student-generated mobile content in Malaysia. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 8*(3), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2016070104>
- Arruabarrena, R., Sánchez, A., Blanco, J. M., Vadillo, J. A., & Usandizaga, I. (2019). Integration of good practices of active methodologies with the reuse of student-generated content. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0140-7>
- Azman, F. N., Zaibon, S. B., & Shiratuddin, N. (2016). A study on user's perception towards learner-generated comics. *International Review of Management and Marketing, 6*(8), 37–42.
- Bakla, A. (2018). Learner-generated materials in a flipped pronunciation class: A sequential explanatory mixed-methods study. *Computers and Education, 125*, 14–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.05.017>
- Bartle, E. K., Longnecker, N., & Pegrum, M. (2011). Collaboration, contextualisation and communication using new media: Introducing podcasting into an undergraduate chemistry class. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education, 19*(1), 16–28.

- Bates, S. P., Galloway, R. K., Riise, J., & Homer, D. (2014). Assessing the quality of a student-generated question repository. *Physical Review Physics Education Research, 10*, Article 020105.  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.10.020105>
- Baytak, A., & Land, S. M. (2011). An investigation of the artifacts and process of constructing computers games about environmental science in a fifth grade classroom. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 59*(6), 765–782. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-010-9184-z>
- Baytak, A., Land, S. M., & Smith, B. K. (2011). Children as educational computer game designers: An exploratory study. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 10*(4), 84–92.
- Benedict, L. A., Champlin, D. T., & Pence, H. E. (2013). Exploring transmedia: The rip-mix-learn classroom. *Journal of Chemical Education, 90*(9), 1172–1176.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ed300853g>
- Benedict, L. A., & Pence, H. E. (2012). Teaching chemistry using student-created videos and photo blogs accessed with smartphones and two-dimensional barcodes. *Journal of Chemical Education, 89*(4), 492–496.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ed2005399>
- Berg, A., Orraryd, D., Pettersson, A. J., & Hultén, M. (2019). Representational challenges in animated chemistry: Self-generated animations as a means to encourage students' reflections on sub-micro processes in laboratory exercises. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 20*(4), 710–737.  
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RP00288F>

- Berrenberg, J. L., & Prosser, A. (1991). The create-a-game exam: A method to facilitate student interest and learning. *Teaching of Psychology, 18*(3), 167–169.  
[https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top1803\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top1803_9)
- Borowczak, M., & Burrows, A. (2016). Enabling collaboration and video assessment: Exposing trends in science preservice teachers' assessments. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 16*(2), 127–150.
- Bottomley, S., & Denny, P. (2011). A participatory learning approach to biochemistry using student authored and evaluated multiple-choice questions. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 39*(5), 352–361.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20526>
- Box, M. C., Dunnagan, C. L., Hirsh, L. A. S., Cherry, C. R., Christianson, K. A., Gibson, R. J., Wolfe, M. I., & Gallardo-Williams, M. T. (2017). Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of three types of student-generated videos as instructional support in organic chemistry laboratories. *Journal of Chemical Education, 94*(2), 164–170. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00451>
- Brink, J., Capps, E., & Sutko, A. (2004). Student exam creation as a learning tool. *College Student Journal, 38*(2), 262–272.
- Brown, A. (2018). Engaging students as partners in developing online learning and feedback activities for first-year fluid mechanics. *European Journal of Engineering Education, 43*(1), 26–39.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2016.1232372>
- Brown, C. (2003). Guiding elementary students to generate reading comprehension tests. *TechTrends, 47*(3), 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02763469>

- Bruce, D. L., & Chiu, M. M. (2015). Composing with new technology: Teacher reflections on learning digital video. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 66(3), 272–287. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487115574291>
- Campbell, L. O., Heller, S., & DeMara, R. F. (2019). Implementing student-created video in engineering: An active learning approach for exam preparedness. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy*, 9(4), 63–75. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v9i4.10363>
- Campbell, L. O., Heller, S., & Pulse, L. (2020). Student-created video: An active learning approach in online environments. *Interactive Learning Environments*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1711777>
- Casey, M. M., Bates, S. P., Galloway, K. W., Galloway, R. K., Hardy, J. A., Kay, A. E., Kirsop, P., & McQueen, H. A. (2014). Scaffolding student engagement via online peer learning. *European Journal of Physics*, 35(4), Article 045002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0143-0807/35/4/045002>
- Chand, V. S., & Deshmukh, K. S. (2019). Addressing the undergraduate internship challenge in developing countries. *Education + Training*, 61(9), 1064–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-12-2018-0254>
- Chang, H. Y., Quintana, C., & Krajcik, J. S. (2010). The impact of designing and evaluating molecular animations on how well middle school students understand the particulate nature of matter. *Science education*, 94(1), 73–94. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20352>
- Croft, T., Duah, F., & Loch, B. (2013). ‘I’m worried about the correctness’: Undergraduate students as producers of screencasts of mathematical explanations for their peers - lecturer and student perceptions. *International*

- Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 44(7), 1045–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2013.823252>
- Cronin, J. J. (2009). Upgrading to Web 2.0: An experiential project to build a marketing wiki. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 31(1), 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475308329250>
- Dale, C., & Povey, G. (2009). An evaluation of learner-generated content and podcasting. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, 8(1), 117–123. <https://doi.org/10.3794/johlste.81.214>
- Dancz, C. L., Parrish, K., Bilec, M. M., & Landis, A. E. (2017). Assessment of students' mastery of construction management and engineering concepts through board game design. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 143(4), Article 04017009. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)EI.1943-5541.0000340](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EI.1943-5541.0000340)
- Danish, J. A., & Enyedy, N. (2007). Negotiated representational mediators: How young children decide what to include in their science representations. *Science Education*, 91(1), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20166>
- Denny, P., Cukierman, D., Luxton-Reilly, A., & Tempero, E. (2012). A case study of multi-institutional contributing-student pedagogy. *Computer Science Education*, 22(4), 389–411. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08993408.2012.727712>
- Doerr-Stevens, C. (2016). Drawing near and pushing away: Critical positioning in multimodal composition. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 11(4), 335–353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480X.2016.1229621>
- Doubleday, A. F., & Wille, S. J. (2014). We are what we do: Examining learner-generated content in the anatomy laboratory through the lens of activity theory. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 7(5), 361–369. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1434>

- Downie, J., Morton, J. A., & McCoustra, M. R. (2017). Bright lights: Big experiments! A public engagement activity for international year of light. *Physics Education*, 52(1), Article 015005.
- Doyle, E., Buckley, P., & Whelan, J. (2019). Assessment co-creation: an exploratory analysis of opportunities and challenges based on student and instructor perspectives. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 24(6), 739–754.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1498077>
- Dune, T. M., Bidewell, J., Firdaus, R., & Kirwan, M. (2016). Communication Idol: Using popular culture to catalyse active learning by engaging students in the development of entertaining teaching and learning resources. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 13(5), Article 15.
- Duveskog, M., & Sutinen, E. (2013). Enriching student HIV awareness by digital storytelling. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 22(4), 383–406.
- Dyson, L. E., & Frawley, J. K. (2018). A student-generated video careers project: Understanding the learning processes in and out of the classroom. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 10(4), 32–51.  
<https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2018100103>
- Ehret, C., Hollett, T., & Jocius, R. (2016). The matter of new media making: An intra-action analysis of adolescents making a digital book trailer. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 48(3), 346–377. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1086296X16665323>
- Encheff, D. (2013). Creating a science e-book with fifth grade students. *TechTrends*, 57(6), 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-013-0703-8>
- Engin, M. (2014). Extending the flipped classroom model: Developing second language writing skills through student-created digital videos. *Journal of the Scholarship*

*of Teaching and Learning*, 14(5), 12–26.

<https://doi.org/10.14434/josotlv14i5.12829>

- Epling, J., Smucny, J., Patil, A., & Tudiver, F. (2002). Teaching evidence-based medicine skills through a residency-developed guideline. *Family Medicine*, 34(9), 646–648.
- Erdem, M. (2009). Effects of learning style profile of team on quality of materials developed in collaborative learning processes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 10(2), 154–171. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787409104902>
- Erdmann, M. A., & March, J. L. (2014). Video reports as a novel alternate assessment in the undergraduate chemistry laboratory. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 15(4), 650–657. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RP00107A>
- Eren, O. (2015). Vocabulary learning on learner-created content by using Web 2.0 tools. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 6(4), 281–300. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/6155>
- Erfanian-Mohammadi, J., Elahi-Shirvan, M., & Akbari, O. (2019). Systemic functional multimodal discourse analysis of teaching students developing classroom materials. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 24(8), 964–986. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2018.1527763>
- Erickson, J. (1997). Building a community of designers: Restructuring learning through student hypermedia design. *Journal of Research in Rural Education*, 13(1), 5–27.
- Ernst, H., McGahan, W. T., & Harrison, J. (2015). Questionable benefit of visual and peer mediated learning on overall learning outcomes of a first-year physiology course. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 7(1), 25–40. <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijmbl.2015010103>



- Everett, S., & Oswald, G. (2018). Engaging and training students in the development of inclusive learning materials for their peers. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 23(7), 802–817. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2017.1421631>
- Ezquerro, Á., Manso, J., Burgos, M. E., & Hallabrin, C. (2014). Creation of audiovisual presentations as a tool to develop key competences in secondary-school students: A case study in science class. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology*, 10(4), 155–170.
- Fiorella, L., & Mayer, R. E. (2013). The relative benefits of learning by teaching and teaching expectancy. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 38(4), 281–288. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2013.06.001>
- Foomani, E. M., & Hedayati, M. (2016). A seamless learning design for mobile assisted language learning: An Iranian context. *English Language Teaching*, 9(5), 206–213.
- Foos, P. W., Mora, J. J., & Tkacz, S. (1994). Student study techniques and the generation effect. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 86(4), 567–576. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.86.4.567>
- Fralinger, B., & Owens, R. (2009). You Tube as a learning tool. *Journal of College Teaching and Learning*, 6(8), 15–28.
- Franz, A. K. (2012). Organic chemistry YouTube writing assignment for large lecture classes. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89(4), 497–501. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed100589h>
- Frawley, J. K., Dyson, L. E., Wakefield, J., & Tyler, J. (2016). Supporting graduate attribute development in introductory accounting with student-generated

screencasts. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 8(3), 65–82.

<https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2016070105>

Frenzel, J. E., Skoy, E. T., & Eukel, H. N. (2013). Using student produced videos to increase knowledge of self-care topics and nonprescription medications.

*Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 5(1), 44–48.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2012.04.003>

Fuller, I. C., & France, D. (2015). Securing field learning using a twenty-first century Cook's Tour. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 39(1), 158–172.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2014.1003801>

Galarza, S., Perry, S., & Peyton, S. (2017). A student-created, open access, living textbook. *Chemical Engineering Education*, 51(1), 2–9.

Galligan, L., Hobohm, C., & Peake, K. (2017). Using an evaluative tool to develop effective mathscasts. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 29(3), 329–348.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-017-0204-8>

Galloway, K. W., & Burns, S. (2015). Doing it for themselves: Students creating a high quality peer-learning environment. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*,

16(1), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4rp00209a>

Garvin, A., & Carrington, S. (1997). Student-authored hypermedia in veterinary anatomy: Teaching and learning outcomes of group project work. *British*

*Journal of Educational Technology*, 28(3), 191–198.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8535.00025>

Georgiou, H. (2020). Characterising communication of scientific concepts in student-generated digital products. *Education Sciences*, 10(1), Article 18.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10010018>

- Gillette, A. A., Winterrowd, S. T., & Gallardo-Williams, M. T. (2017). Training students to use 3-D model sets via peer-generated videos facilitates learning of difficult concepts in an introductory organic chemistry course. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *94*(7), 960–963.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.7b00155>
- Gold, A. U., Oonk, D. J., Smith, L., Boykoff, M. T., Osnes, B., & Sullivan, S. B. (2015). Lens on climate change: Making climate meaningful through student-produced videos. *Journal of Geography*, *114*(6), 235–246.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00221341.2015.1013974>
- Gooi, A. C. C., & Sommerfeld, C. S. (2015). Medical school 2.0: How we developed a student-generated question bank using small group learning. *Medical Teacher*, *37*(10), 892–896. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2014.970624>
- Grainger, R., Dai, W., Osborne, E., & Kenwright, D. (2018). Medical students create multiple-choice questions for learning in pathology education: A pilot study. *BMC Medical Education*, *18*(1), Article 201. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1312-1>
- Graybill, J. K. (2016). Teaching energy geographies via videography. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, *40*(1), 55–66.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2015.1089474>
- Graziano, K. J. (2012). creating student-generated multimedia: Benefits and challenges for teacher education. *Excellence in Education Journal*, *1*(1), 6–28.
- Güler, C., & Altun, A. (2010). Teacher trainees as learning object designers: Problems and issues in learning object development process. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, *9*(4), 118–127.

- Guzzetti, B. J., & Gamboa, M. (2004). Zines for social justice: Adolescent girls writing on their own. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 39(4), 408–436.  
<https://doi.org/10.1598/RRQ.39.4.4>
- Hadjerrouit, S. (2012). Investigating technical and pedagogical usability issues of collaborative learning with wikis. *Informatics in Education*, 11(1), 45–64.
- Hafner, C. A. (2014). Embedding digital literacies in English language teaching: Students' digital video projects as multimodal ensembles. *Tesol Quarterly*, 48(4), 655–685. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.138>
- Hafner, C. A. (2015). Remix culture and English language teaching: The expression of learner voice in digital multimodal compositions. *Tesol Quarterly*, 49(3), 486–509. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.238>
- Hakkarainen, P. (2011). Promoting meaningful learning through video production-supported PBL. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-based Learning*, 5(1), 34–53. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1217>
- Halim, A. S., Finkenstaedt-Quinn, S. A., Olsen, L. J., Gere, A. R., & Shultz, G. V. (2018). Identifying and remediating student misconceptions in introductory biology via writing-to-learn assignments and peer review. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 17(2), Article 28. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.17-10-0212>
- Hancock, D., Hare, N., Denny, P., & Denyer, G. (2018). Improving large class performance and engagement through student-generated question banks. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 46(4), 306–317.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21119>
- Hardy, J., Bates, S. P., Casey, M. M., Galloway, K. W., Galloway, R. K., Kay, A. E., Kirsop, P., & McQueen, H. A. (2014). Student-generated content: Enhancing learning through sharing multiple-choice questions. *International Journal of*

*Science Education*, 36(13), 2180–2194.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2014.916831>

Hark, A. T. (2008). Crossing over: An undergraduate service learning project that connects to biotechnology education in secondary schools. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education*, 36(2), 159–165.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.20154>

Harpp, D. N., & Butler, I. S. (1984). Audiovisual Term Papers. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 14(2), 115–116.

Hazzan, O. (2004). Mental constructions and constructions of web sites: Learner and teacher points of view. *British journal of educational technology*, 35(3), 323–344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0007-1013.2004.00392.x>

Heafner, T. L., & Friedman, A. M. (2008). Wikis and constructivism in secondary social studies: Fostering a deeper understanding. *Computers in the Schools*, 25(3-4), 288–302. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07380560802371003>

Heath, I. A. (1996). The social studies video project: A holistic approach for teaching linguistically and culturally diverse students. *The Social Studies*, 87(3), 106–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00377996.1996.9958423>

Hewege, C. R., & Perera, L. C. R. (2013). Pedagogical significance of wikis: Towards gaining effective learning outcomes. *Journal of International Education in Business*, 6(1), 51–70. <https://doi.org/10.1108/18363261311314953>

Hill, A. C., Nickels, L. M., & Sims, P. A. (2016). Student-led development of an interactive and free biochemical methods eBook. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 93(6), 1034–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00572>

Hoban, G., Loughran, J., & Nielsen, W. (2011). Slowmation: Preservice elementary teachers representing science knowledge through creating multimodal digital

animations. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 48(9), 985–1009.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20436>

Hoban, G., & Nielsen, W. (2012). Using slowmation to enable preservice primary teachers to create multimodal representations of science concepts. *Research in Science Education*, 42(6), 1101–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-011-9236-3>

Hoban, G., & Nielsen, W. (2013). Learning science through creating a ‘slowmation’: A case study of preservice primary teachers. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(1), 119–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.670286>

Hoban, G., & Nielsen, W. (2014). Creating a narrated stop-motion animation to explain science: The affordances of “Slowmation” for generating discussion. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 42, 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2014.04.007>

Hoban, G., Nielsen, W., & Hyland, C. (2016). Blended media: Student-generated mash-ups to promote engagement with science content. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 8(3), 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2016070103>

Hobbs, R., Donnelly, K., Friesem, J., & Moen, M. (2013). Learning to engage: How positive attitudes about the news, media literacy, and video production contribute to adolescent civic engagement. *Educational Media International*, 50(4), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2013.862364>

Hoogerheide, V., Visee, J., Lachner, A., & van Gog, T. (2019). Generating an instructional video as homework activity is both effective and enjoyable. *Learning and Instruction*, 64, Article 101226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2019.101226>

- Hsu, C. C., & Wang, T. I. (2018). Applying game mechanics and student-generated questions to an online puzzle-based game learning system to promote algorithmic thinking skills. *Computers and Education*, *121*, 73–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.02.002>
- Hubbard, B. A., Jones, G. C., & Gallardo-Williams, M. T. (2019). Student-generated digital tutorials in an introductory organic chemistry course. *Journal of Chemical Education*, *96*(3), 597–600. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.8b00457>
- Hubbard, K. E., Brown, R., Deans, S., García, M. P., Pruna, M. G., & Mason, M. J. (2017). Undergraduate students as co-producers in the creation of first-year practical class resources. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, *2*(1), 58–78. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2017.1338529>
- Hudson, S. L., Jarstfer, M. B., & Persky, A. M. (2018). Student learning with generated and answered peer-written questions. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, *82*(2), 96–99. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe6315>
- Hulsizer, H. (2016). Student-produced videos for exam review in mathematics courses. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, *2*(2), 271–278.
- Hwang, G. J., Hung, C. M., & Chen, N. S. (2014). Improving learning achievements, motivations and problem-solving skills through a peer assessment-based game development approach. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *62*(2), 129–145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-013-9320-7>
- Isaacs, A. N., Nisly, S., & Walton, A. (2017). Student-generated e-learning for clinical education. *Clinical Teacher*, *14*(2), 129–133. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.12526>

- Iskenderoglu, T. A. (2018). Fraction multiplication and division word problems posed by different years of pre-service elementary mathematics teachers. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 7(2), 373–385.
- Jablonski, D., Hoban, G. F., Ransom, H. S., & Ward, K. S. (2015). Exploring the use of “slowmation” as a pedagogical alternative in science teaching and learning. *Pacific-Asian Education*, 27(1), 5–20.
- Jacka, L., & Booth, K. (2012). Pre-service teachers designing virtual world learning environments. *International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments*, 3(4), 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jvple.2012100102>
- Janzen, B. W., Sommerfeld, C., & Gooi, A. C. C. (2019). Effectiveness of e-learning in a medical school 2.0 model: Comparison of item analysis for student-generated vs. faculty-generated multiple-choice questions. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*, 257, 184–188. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-951-5-184>
- Jensen, M., Mattheis, A., & Johnson, B. (2012). Using student learning and development outcomes to evaluate a first-year undergraduate group video project. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 11(1), 68–80. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.11-06-0049>
- Johnson, C. N. (2018). What did we learn last class? An exploratory study of student-generated review questions. *PASAA*, 56(2), 65–79.
- Johnson, L. L., Chisam, J., Smagorinsky, P., & Wargo, K. (2018). Beyond publication: Social action as the ultimate stage of a writing process. *L1 Educational Studies in Language and Literature*, 18, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.17239/L1ESLL-2018.18.03.09>



- Jones, J. A. (2019). Scaffolding self-regulated learning through student-generated quizzes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 20(2), 115–126.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417735610>
- Jordan, J. T., Box, M. C., Eguren, K. E., Parker, T. A., Saraldi-Gallardo, V. M., Wolfe, M. I., & Gallardo-Williams, M. T. (2016). Effectiveness of student-generated video as a teaching tool for an instrumental technique in the organic chemistry laboratory. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 93(1), 141–145.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00354>
- Kadir, F. A., Ansari, R. M., AbManan, N., Abdullah, M. H. N., & Nor, H. M. (2014). The impact of PeerWise approach on the academic performance of medical students. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 2(4), 37–49.
- Kafai, Y. B., Ching, C. C., & Marshall, S. (1997). Children as designers of educational multimedia software. *Computers and Education*, 29(2-3), 117–126.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315\(97\)00036-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315(97)00036-5)
- Karataev, E., & Zadorozhny, V. (2017). Adaptive social learning based on crowdsourcing. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 10(2), 128–139.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2016.2515097>
- Kay, A. E., Hardy, J., & Galloway, R. K. (2018). Learning from peer feedback on student-generated multiple choice questions: Views of introductory physics students. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 14, Article 010119.  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.14.010119>
- Kayali, F., Schwarz, V., Purgathofer, P., & Götzenbrucker, G. (2018). Using game design to teach informatics and society topics in secondary schools. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 2(4), Article 77.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/mti2040077>

- Ke, F. (2014). An implementation of design-based learning through creating educational computer games: A case study on mathematics learning during design and computing. *Computers and Education*, 73, 26–39.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.12.010>
- Kearney, M. (2013). Learner-generated digital video: Using ideas videos in teacher education. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 21(3), 321–336.
- Kemp, J., Mellor, A., Kotter, R., & Oosthoek, J. W. (2012). Student-produced podcasts as an assessment tool: An example from geomorphology. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, 36(1), 117–130.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03098265.2011.576754>
- Kerkman, D. D., Kellison, K. L., Piñion, M. E., Schmidt, D., & Lewis, S. (1994). The quiz game: Writing and explaining questions improve quiz scores. *Teaching of Psychology*, 21(2), 104–106. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top2102\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top2102_11)
- Khalili, N., Sheridan, K., Williams, A., Clark, K., & Stegman, M. (2011). Students designing video games about immunology: Insights for science learning. *Computers in the Schools*, 28(3), 228–240.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2011.594988>
- Kilanowski, J. F. (2014). Latino migrant farmworker student development of safety instructional videos for peer education. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 19(2), 150–161. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1059924X.2014.894484>
- Kilanowski, J. F., & Lin, L. (2014). Summer migrant students learn healthy choices through videography. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 30(4), 272–280.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1059840513506999>
- Kiliçkaya, F., & Krajka, J. (2012). Can the use of web-based comic strip creation tool facilitate EFL learners' grammar and sentence writing? *British Journal of*

*Educational Technology*, 43(6), 161–165 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2012.01298.x>

- Kirakosian, K., McLaurin, V., & Speck, C. (2017). A tale of two courses: Challenging millennials to experience culture through film. *Learning and Teaching*, 10(2), 41–57. <https://doi.org/10.3167/latiss.2017.100205>
- Kolluru, S. (2012). An active-learning assignment requiring pharmacy students to write medicinal chemistry examination questions. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 76(6), Article 112. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe766112>
- Kulsiri, S. (2018). Students' perceptions of a student-produced video project in the general English language course at Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand. *Arab World English Journal*, 4, 40–54. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/call4.4>
- Kwan, K., Wu, C., Duffy, D., Masterson, J., & Blair, G. K. (2011). Lights, camera, surgery: A novel pilot project to engage medical students in the development of pediatric surgical learning resources. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*, 46(5), 962–965. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2011.02.029>
- Lai, C. S. (2016). Integrating e-books into science teaching by preservice elementary school teachers. *Journal of Education in Science Environment and Health*, 2(1), 57–66. <https://doi.org/10.21891/jeseh.43277>
- Larraz-Rada, V., Yáñez-de-Aldecoa, C., Gisbert-Cervera, M., & Espuny-Vidal, C. (2014). An interdisciplinary study in initial teacher training. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 3(2), 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.7821/naer.3.2.67-74>
- Lawrie, G., & Bartle, E. (2013). Chemistry vlogs: A vehicle for student-generated representations and explanations to scaffold their understanding of structure-

property relationships. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education*, 21(4), 27–45.

- Lazda-Cazers, R. (2010). A course wiki: Challenges in facilitating and assessing student-generated learning content for the humanities classroom. *Journal of General Education*, 59(4), 193–222. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jge.2010.0023>
- Lazzari, M. (2009). Creative use of podcasting in higher education and its effect on competitive agency. *Computers and Education*, 52(1), 27–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.06.002>
- Lea, J., Stritter, F. T., Flair, M. D., & Irvin, J. L. (1974). Students as contributors to the instructional process. *Academic Medicine*, 49(7), 700–703.
- Lee, L. (2009). Promoting intercultural exchanges with blogs and podcasting: A study of Spanish-American telecollaboration. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 22(5), 425–443. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588220903345184>
- Lee, M. J., McLoughlin, C., & Chan, A. (2008). Talk the talk: Learner-generated podcasts as catalysts for knowledge creation. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 39(3), 501–521. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2007.00746.x>
- Leung, J. P., & Chamberlain, K. (2001). Learning about psychological professions with the world-wide web. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 17(2), 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0266-4909.2001.00170.x>
- Li, Q. (2010). Digital game building: Learning in a participatory culture. *Educational Research*, 52(4), 427–443. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2010.524752>
- Li, Q. (2012). Understanding enactivism: A study of affordances and constraints of engaging practicing teachers as digital game designers. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 60(5), 785–806. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-012-9255-4>

- Li, Q., Lemieux, C., Vandermeiden, E., & Nathoo, S. (2013). Are you ready to teach secondary mathematics in the 21st century? A study of preservice teachers' digital game design experience. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 45(4), 309–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2013.10782608>
- Lichter, J. (2012). Using YouTube as a platform for teaching and learning solubility rules. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89(9), 1133–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed200531j>
- Lilly III, J. F., Verma, N., Jordan, S. G., Oldan, J. D., Fordham, L. A., Noone, P. G., & Beck Dallaghan, G. L. (2020). Medical student imaging case files in the cloud. *The clinical teacher*, 17(3), 275–279. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.13059>
- Lim, S., & Rodger, S. (2010). The use of interactive formative assessments with first-year occupational therapy students. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation*, 17(11), 576–586. <https://doi.org/10.12968/ijtr.2010.17.11.79538>
- Loch, B., & Lamborn, J. (2016). How to make mathematics relevant to first-year engineering students: perceptions of students on student-produced resources. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 47(1), 29–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2015.1044043>
- Loizzo, J., Borron, A., Gee, A., & Ertmer, P. A. (2016). Teaching convergence in 21st century undergraduate agricultural communication: A pilot study of backpack multimedia kits in a blended, project-based learning course. *Journal of Applied Communications*, 100(2), 100–118. <https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1033>
- López-Torres, A. M., & Suárez-Guerrero, C. N. (2013). Learning content development with social tools: Learning generated content in engineering. *Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías del Aprendizaje*, 8(3), 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RITA.2013.2273110>

- Ly, S. L. S., Saadé, R., & Morin, D. (2017). Immersive learning: Using a web-based learning tool in a PhD course to enhance the learning experience. *Journal of Information Technology Education Research*, 16, 227–246.
- Ma, Q. (2020). Examining the role of inter-group peer online feedback on wiki writing in an EAP context. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 33(3), 197–216.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2018.1556703>
- Macdonald, D., & Hoban, G. F. (2009). Developing science content knowledge through the creation of slowmations. *The International Journal of Learning*, 16(6), 319–330.
- Maeda, M. (2000). The effect of a video produced by young African people on perceptions of Japanese pupils about Africa. *Journal of Educational Media*, 25(2), 87–106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1358165000250202>
- Mandavgane, S. (2016). Use of Facebook in teaching: A case study of fluid mechanics course. *Chemical Engineering Education*, 50(4), 238–244.
- Manfra, M. M., & Hammond, T. C. (2008). Teachers' instructional choices with student-created digital documentaries: Case studies. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 41(2), 223–245.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2008.10782530>
- Marlatt, R. (2019). This is my story: Preservice English teachers create welcome videos to navigate the places and spaces of their literacy lives. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 19(2), 129–155.
- Marley, K. A. (2014). Eye on the Gemba: Using student-created videos and the revised Bloom's taxonomy to teach lean management. *Journal of Education for Business*, 89, 310–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2014.903888>

- Marquardson, J., & Schuetzler, R. M. (2019). Teaching tip learning by teaching through collaborative tutorial creation: Experience using GitHub and AsciiDoc. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 30(1), 10–18.
- Mathany, C., & Dodd, J. (2018). Student-generated interview podcasts: An assignment template. *Collected Essays on Learning and Teaching*, 11, 65–75.  
<https://doi.org/10.22329/celt.v11i0.4971>
- Mavroudi, A., & Hadzilacos, T. (2013). Group-work in the design of complex adaptive learning strategies. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2013(2), Article 11. <http://doi.org/10.5334/2013-11>
- McCaslin, S. E., & Young, M. (2015). Increasing student motivation and knowledge in mechanical engineering by using action cameras and video productions. *Advances in Production Engineering and Management*, 10(2), 87–96.  
<http://doi.org/10.14743/apem2015.2.194>
- McClean, S., McCartan, K. G., Meskin, S., Gorges, B., & Hagan, W. P. (2016). Reflections on ‘YouTestTube.com’: An online video-sharing platform to engage students with chemistry laboratory classes. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 93(11), 1863–1870. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00045>
- McGahan, W. T., Ernst, H., & Dyson, L. E. (2016). Individual learning strategies and choice in student-generated multimedia. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 8(3), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2016070101>
- McKnight, M. B. (1978). Students create their own reading skills materials. *The Reading Teacher*, 32(1), 15–17.
- McLeod, P. J., & Snell, L. (1996). Student-generated MCQs. *Medical Teacher*, 18(1), 23–25. <https://doi.org/10.3109/01421599609040257>

- McLoughlin, C., Lee, M., & Chan, A. (2006). Using student generated podcasts to foster reflection and metacognition. *Australian Educational Computing*, 21(2), 34–40.
- Mercer, T. G., Kythreotis, A. P., Robinson, Z. P., Stolte, T., George, S. M., & Haywood, S. K. (2017). The use of educational game design and play in higher education to influence sustainable behaviour. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 18(3), 359–384.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-03-2015-0064>
- Miesner, A. R., Lyons, W., & McLoughlin, A. (2017). Educating medical residents through podcasts developed by PharmD students. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 9(4), 683–688.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2017.03.003>
- Mills, R., Tomas, L., & Lewthwaite, B. (2019). The impact of student-constructed animation on middle school students' learning about plate tectonics. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 28(2), 165–177.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-018-9755-z>
- Mills, R., Tomas, L., Whiteford, C., & Lewthwaite, B. (2020). Developing middle school students' interest in learning science and geology through slowmation. *Research in Science Education*, 50, 1501–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-018-9741-8>
- Mnisi, T. (2015). Digital storytelling: Creating participatory space, addressing stigma, and enabling agency. *Perspectives in Education*, 33(4), 92–106.
- Morena, M. A., Smith, S., & Talbert, R. (2019). Video made the calculus star. *PRIMUS*, 29(1), 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2017.1396568>



- Morsch, L. A. (2017). Student authored video vignettes in chemistry. *e-Mentor*, 70(3), 25–32.
- Moryl, R. L. (2016). Pod learning: Student groups create podcasts to achieve economics learning goals. *The Journal of Economic Education*, 47(1), 64–70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220485.2015.1106363>
- Muis, K. R., Psaradellis, C., Chevrier, M., Di Leo, I., & Lajoie, S. P. (2016). Learning by preparing to teach: Fostering self-regulatory processes and achievement during complex mathematics problem solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 108(4), 474–492. <http://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000071>
- Nava, C. E., Fahmie, T. A., Jin, S., & Kumar, P. (2019). Evaluating the efficacy, preference, and cultural responsiveness of student-generated content in an undergraduate behavioral course. *Behavior Analysis in Practice*, 12(4), 747–757.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40617-019-00344-7>
- Ng, W., & Nicholas, H. (2015). iResilience of science pre-service teachers through digital storytelling. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(6), 736–751.
- Nicaise, M., & Crane, M. (1999). Knowledge constructing through hypermedia authoring. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(1), 29–50.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02299475>
- Nielsen, W., Georgiou, H., Jones, P., & Turney, A. (2020). Digital explanation as assessment in university science. *Research in Science Education*, 50, 2391–2428. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-018-9785-9>
- Nielsen, W., & Hoban, G. (2015). Designing a digital teaching resource to explain phases of the moon: A case study of preservice elementary teachers making a

- slowmation. *Journal of Research in Science teaching*, 52(9), 1207–1233.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21242>
- Niemi, H., & Multisilta, J. (2016). Digital storytelling promoting twenty-first century skills and student engagement. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 25(4), 451–468. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2015.1074610>
- Norton, P., & Hathaway, D. (2010). Video production as an instructional strategy: Content learning and teacher practice. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 10(1), 145–166.
- Nwosu, A. C., Mason, S., Roberts, A., & Hugel, H. (2013). The evaluation of a peer-led question-writing task. *Clinical Teacher*, 10(3), 151–154.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-498X.2012.00632.x>
- Olivier, J. (2019). Short instructional videos as multimodal open educational resources in a language classroom. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 28(4), 381–409.
- Omar, H., Khan, S. A., & Toh, C. G. (2013). Structured student-generated videos for first-year students at a dental school in Malaysia. *Journal of Dental Education*, 77(5), 640–647.
- Orús, C., Barlés, M. J., Belanche, D., Casaló, L., Fraj, E., & Gurrea, R. (2016). The effects of learner-generated videos for YouTube on learning outcomes and satisfaction. *Computers and Education*, 95, 254–269.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.01.007>
- O’Shea, P. M., Baker, P. B., Allen, D. W., Curry-Corcoran, D. E., & Allen, D. B. (2007). New levels of student participatory learning: A WikiText for the introductory course in education. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 6(3), 227–244.

- Owston, R., Wideman, H., Ronda, N. S., & Brown, C. (2009). Computer game development as a literacy activity. *Computers and Education*, 53(3), 977–989. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.05.015>
- Papadopoulos, K. S. (2004). A school programme contributes to the environmental knowledge of blind people. *British Journal of Visual Impairment*, 22(3), 101–104. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0264619604050046>
- Papinczak, T., Peterson, R., Babri, A. S., Ward, K., Kippers, V., & Wilkinson, D. (2012). Using student-generated questions for student-centred assessment. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 37(4), 439–452. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2010.538666>
- Park, Y. J., & Yang, Y. (2013). Pre-service teachers' perception of and technology competency at creating and using e-picture books. *International Education Studies*, 6(4), 124–133.
- Parker, J. K. (2013). Critical literacy and the ethical responsibilities of student media production. *Journal of Adolescent and Adult Literacy*, 56(8), 668–676. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JAAL.194>
- Pascoe, M., Monroe, F., & Macfarlane, H. (2018). Taking constructivism one step further: Post hoc analysis of a student-created wiki. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(6), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.2196/mededu.9197>
- Pegrum, M., Bartle, E., & Longnecker, N. (2015). Can creative podcasting promote deep learning? The use of podcasting for learning content in an undergraduate science unit. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(1), 142–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12133>
- Pellet, S. H. (2012). Wikis for building content knowledge in the foreign language classroom. *Calico Journal*, 29(2), 224–248.

- Penttilä, J., Kallunki, V., Niemi, H. M., & Multisilta, J. (2016). A structured inquiry into a digital story: Students report the making of a superball. *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, 8(3), 19–34.  
<http://doi.org/10.4018/IJMBL.2016070102>
- Pflugfelder, E. H. (2013). The minimalist approach to online instructional videos. *Technical Communication*, 60(2), 131–146.
- Pirbhai-Illich, F., Turner, K. N., & Austin, T. Y. (2009). Using digital technologies to address Aboriginal adolescents' education. *Multicultural Education and Technology Journal*, 3(2), 144–162.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/17504970910967573>
- Pirhonen, J., & Rasi, P. (2017). Student-generated instructional videos facilitate learning through positive emotions. *Journal of Biological Education*, 51(3), 215–227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2016.1200647>
- Pittenger, A. L., & Lounsbery, J. L. (2011). Student-generated questions to assess learning in an online orientation to pharmacy course. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 75(5), Article 94. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe75594>
- Putnik, Z., Ivanović, M., Budimac, Z., & Bothe, K. (2016). Partial solution for a problem of developing a large number of eLearning resources. *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals*, 7(3), 63–75. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJHCITP.2016070105>
- Reyna, J., & Meier, P. (2018b). Using the Learner-Generated Digital Media (LGDM) framework in tertiary science education: A pilot study. *Education Sciences*, 8(3), 93–109. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci8030106>
- Rijlaarsdam, G., Couzijn, M., Janssen, T., Braaksma, M., & Kieft, M. (2006). Writing experiment manuals in science education: The impact of writing, genre, and

audience. *International Journal of science Education*, 28(2-3), 203–233.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690500336932>

Riley, J., & Isbell, L. (2019). Faculty perceptions of the usefulness of integrating graduate student-created resources into teacher preparation coursework.

*TechTrends*, 63(5), 583–589. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00376-4>

Rockinson-Szapkiw, A. (2012). A comparison of a multimedia wiki-based class text and a traditional textbook: Does type of text impact learning? *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 4(1), 58–71.

<https://doi.org/10.1108/17581181211230685>

Romero, M., Arnab, S., De Smet, C., Mohamad, F., Minoi, J. L., & Morini, L. (2019).

Assessment of co-creativity in the process of game design. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 17(3), 199–206.

Ruggiero, D., & Green, L. (2017). Problem solving through digital game design: A quantitative content analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 73, 28–37.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.03.024>

Ryan, B. (2013). A walk down the red carpet: Students as producers of digital video-based knowledge. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*,

5(1), 24–41. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTEL.2013.055950>

Sancar-Tokmak, H. (2015). Pre-service teachers' perceptions of TPACK development after designing educational games. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education*,

43(5), 392–410. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359866X.2014.93961>

Schuenemann, K., & Wagner, R. (2014). Using student-generated blogs to create a global perspective on climate change. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 62(4),

364–373. <https://doi.org/10.5408/13-065.1>

- Schullo-Feulner, A., Janke, K. K., Chapman, S. A., Stanke, L., Undeberg, M., Taylor, C., Brown, R. W., & Straka, R. J. (2014). Student-generated, faculty-vetted multiple-choice questions: Value, participant satisfaction, and workload. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 6(1), 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2013.09.019>
- Schumm, J. S., Mangrum, C. T., Gordon, J., & Doucette, M. (1992). The effect of topic interest and prior knowledge on the predicted test questions of developmental college readers. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 31(4), 11–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19388079209558093>
- Senanayake, M., & Mettananda, D. S. G. (2005). Standards medical students set for themselves when preparing for the final MBBS examination. *Annals of the Academy of Medicine Singapore*, 34(8), 483–485.
- Seow, P., & Pan, G. (2017). Teaching internal control using a student-generated video project. *E-Journal of Business Education and Scholarship of Teaching*, 12(1), 64–72.
- Shapiro, D., Tomasa, L., & Koff, N. A. (2009). Patients as teachers, medical students as filmmakers: The video slam, a pilot study. *Academic Medicine*, 84(9), 1235–1243. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181b18896>
- Shoepe, T. C., Cavedon, D. K., Derian, J. M., Levy, C. S., & Morales, A. (2015). The ATLAS project: The effects of a constructionist digital laboratory project on undergraduate laboratory performance. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 8(1), 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1448>
- Siko, J. P. (2013). Are they climbing the pyramid? Rating student-generated questions in a game design project. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology*, 39(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.21432/t26k5m>

- Siko, J. P., & Barbour, M. K. (2014). Design research using game design as an instructional strategy. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, 25(3), 427–448.
- Siko, J. P., & Barbour, M. K. (2016). Building a better mousetrap: How design-based research was used to improve homemade PowerPoint games. *TechTrends*, 60(5), 419–424. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-016-0092-x>
- Siko, J. P., Barbour, M. K., & Toker, S. (2011). Beyond Jeopardy and lectures: Using Microsoft PowerPoint as a game design tool to teach science. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 30(3), 303–320.
- Slussareff, M., & Boháčková, P. (2016). Students as game designers vs. ‘just’ players: Comparison of two different approaches to location-based games implementation into school curricula. *Digital Education Review*, 29, 284–297. <https://doi.org/10.1344/der.2016.29.284-297>
- Snow, S., Wilde, A., Denny, P., & Schraefel, M. C. (2019). A discursive question: Supporting student-authored multiple choice questions through peer-learning software in non-STEMM disciplines. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(4), 1815–1830. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12686>
- Snowball, J. D., & McKenna, S. (2017). Student-generated content: An approach to harnessing the power of diversity in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 22(5), 604–618. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2016.1273205>
- Solak, M. S., Hava, K., & Mese, C. (2019). Variables predicting video development process in teacher training. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 7(2), 97–112.
- Soto, M. (2015). Elementary students’ mathematical explanations and attention to audience with screencasts. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 47(4), 242–258. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2015.1078190>

- Stanley, D., & Zhang, Y. J. (2018). Student-produced videos can enhance engagement and learning in the online environment. *Online Learning Journal*, 22(2), 5–26. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i2.1367>
- Stanley, D., & Zhang, Y. J. (2020). Collaborative learning in online business education: Evidence from a field experiment. *Journal of Education for Business*, 95(8), 506–512. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2019.1703097>
- Staub, N. A., & Bravender, M. (2014). Principal candidates create decision-making simulations to prepare for the JOB. *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, 9(1), 171–185.
- Steed, M. (2002). The power of peer review in multimedia production. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 11(3), 237–250.
- Stevenson, B., Länsitie, J., Kogler, C., & Bauer, P. (2015). Exploring co-creation of educational videos in an international collaborative context. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, 11(2), 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-8829/1018>
- Stewart, K., & Gachago, D. (2016). Being human today: A digital storytelling pedagogy for transcontinental border crossing. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 47(3), 528–542. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12450>
- Swan, K., & Hofer, M. (2013). Examining student-created documentaries as a mechanism for engaging students in authentic intellectual work. *Theory and Research in Social Education*, 41(1), 133–175. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2013.758018>
- Tabach, M., & Friedlander, A. (2017). Algebraic procedures and creative thinking. *ZDM*, 49(1), 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-016-0803-y>



- Talley, K. G., & Smith, S. (2018). Asynchronous peer-to-peer learning: putting student projects to work in future classes. *Advances in Engineering Education*, 6(3), 1–25.
- Tanner, A., Duhe, S., Evans, A., & Condrasky, M. (2008). Using student-produced media to promote healthy eating: A pilot study on the effects of a media and nutrition intervention. *Science Communication*, 30(1), 108–125.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547008319435>
- Teplitski, M., Irani, T., Krediet, C. J., Di Cesare, M., & Marvasi, M. (2018). Student-generated pre-exam questions is an effective tool for participatory learning: A case study from ecology of waterborne pathogens course. *Journal of Food Science Education*, 17(3), 76–84. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4329.12129>
- Thibaut, P., & Curwood, J. S. (2018). Multiliteracies in practice: Integrating multimodal production across the curriculum. *Theory into Practice*, 57(1), 48–55.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2017.1392202>
- Thomas, K. A., & Marks, L. (2014). Action! Student-generated videos in social work education. *Journal of Technology in Human Services*, 32(4), 254–274.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15228835.2014.922912>
- Thomas, M. K., Ge, X., & Greene, B. A. (2011). Fostering 21st century skill development by engaging students in authentic game design projects in a high school computer programming class. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 44(4), 391–408. <https://doi.org/10.2190/EC.44.4.b>
- Toohey, K., Dagenais, D., Fodor, A., Hof, L., Nuñez, O., Singh, A., & Schulze, L. (2015). “That sounds so coool”: Entanglements of children, digital tools, and literacy practices. *Tesol Quarterly*, 49(3), 461–485.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.236>

- Turner, S. V., & Dipinto, V. M. (1992). Students as hypermedia authors: Themes emerging from a qualitative study. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 25(2), 187–199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08886504.1992.10782043>
- Tvarozek, J., & Jurkovic, P. (2016). Student-generated content improves online learning of programming. *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals*, 7(4), 79–92. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJHCITP.2016100106>
- Urstad, K. H., Ulfsby, K. J., Brandeggen, T. K., Bodsberg, K. G., Jensen, T. L., & Tjoflåt, I. (2018). Digital storytelling in clinical replacement studies: Nursing students' experiences. *Nurse education today*, 71, 91–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.09.016>
- Usta, N. D., & Güntepe, E. T. (2017). Pre-service teachers' material development process based on the ADDIE model: E-book design. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 5(12), 199–210.
- Van Wyk, M. M. (2013). Using blogs as a means of enhancing reflective teaching practice in open distance learning ecologies. *Africa Education Review*, 10(sup1), S47–S62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2013.855425>
- Vázquez, A. V., McLoughlin, K., Sabbagh, M., Runkle, A. C., Simon, J., Coppola, B. P., & Pazicni, S. (2012). Writing-to-teach: A new pedagogical approach to elicit explanative writing from undergraduate chemistry students. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89(8), 1025–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ed200410k>
- Vos, N., Van Der Meijden, H., & Denessen, E. (2011). Effects of constructing versus playing an educational game on student motivation and deep learning strategy use. *Computers and Education*, 56(1), 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.08.013>

- Vreman-de Olde, C., & de Jong, T. (2004). Student-generated assignments about electrical circuits in a computer simulation. *International Journal of Science Education, 26*(7), 859–873. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069032000138815>
- Vreman-de Olde, C., de Jong, T., & Gijlers, H. (2013). Learning by designing instruction in the context of simulation-based inquiry learning. *Journal of Educational Technology and Society, 16*(4), 47–58.
- Wakefield, J., Tyler, J., Dyson, L. E., & Frawley, J. K. (2019). Implications of student-generated screencasts on final examination performance. *Accounting and Finance, 59*(2), 1415–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12256>
- Ward, C. D. (1973). Multiple-choice question writing: Research participation and exam performance. *Journal of College Science Teaching, 3*(1), 77-78.
- Webb, C. L., & Kapavik, R. R. (2015). Content area vocabulary videos in multiple contexts: A pedagogical tool. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia, 24*(1), 75–92.
- Wen, F., & Khera, E. (2016). Class and home problems: Identify-solve-broadcast your own transport phenomenon: Student-created YouTube videos to foster active learning in mass and heat transfer. *Chemical Engineering Education, 50*(3), 186–192.
- Wheeler, S., Yeomans, P., & Wheeler, D. (2008). The good, the bad and the wiki: Evaluating student-generated content for collaborative learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 39*(6), 987–995. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2007.00799.x>
- Wiley, D., Webb, A., Weston, S., & Tonks, D. (2017). A preliminary exploration of the relationships between student-created OER sustainability, and students success.

*International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 18(4), 60–69.

Willmott, C. J. R. (2015). Teaching bioethics via the production of student-generated videos. *Journal of Biological Education*, 49(2), 127–138.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2014.897640>

Wilson, E. V. (2004). ExamNet asynchronous learning network: Augmenting face-to-face courses with student-developed exam questions. *Computers and Education*, 42(1), 87–107. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315\(03\)00066-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315(03)00066-6)

Winnie, S. W. M. (2010). Meaning representation in video outcomes of inquiry project. *Computers and Education*, 55(4), 1532–1541.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.06.019>

Wolfe, C. R. (1995). Homespun hypertext: Student-constructed hypertext as a tool for teaching critical thinking. *Teaching of Psychology*, 22(1), 29–33.

[https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top2201\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15328023top2201_9)

Wong, L. H., Chin, C. K., Tan, C. L., & Liu, M. (2010). Students' personal and social meaning making in a Chinese idiom mobile learning environment. *Educational Technology and Society*, 13(4), 15–26.

Wong, L. H., & Looi, C. K. (2010). Vocabulary learning by mobile-assisted authentic content creation and social meaning-making: Two case studies. *Journal of*

*Computer Assisted Learning*, 26(5), 421–433. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2010.00357.x>

Wong, S. Y., Connelly, R. K., & Hartel, R. W. (2010). Enhancing student learning in food engineering using computational fluid dynamics simulations. *Journal of*

*Food Science Education*, 9(4), 90–97. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4329.2010.00102.x>

- Yang, X., Guo, X., & Yu, S. (2016). Student-generated content in college teaching: Content quality, behavioural pattern and learning performance. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 32, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12111>
- Yeh, H. C. (2018). Exploring the perceived benefits of the process of multimodal video making in developing multiliteracies. *Language Learning and Technology*, 22(2), 28–37. <https://doi.org/10125/44642>
- Yeong, F. M., Chin, C. F., & Tan, A. L. (2019). Use of a competency framework to explore the benefits of student-generated multiple-choice questions (MCQs) on student engagement. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480X.2019.1684924>
- Yeung, M. A., Lam, P., & McNaught, C. (2008). Student-creation of eCases for clinical reasoning in pharmacy. *Australasian Journal of Peer Learning*, 1(5), 26–39.
- Yu, F. Y. (2009). Scaffolding student-generated questions: Design and development of a customizable online learning system. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(5), 1129–1138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.05.002>
- Yu, F. Y. (2011). Multiple peer-assessment modes to augment online student question-generation processes. *Computers and Education*, 56(2), 484–494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.08.025>
- Yu, F. Y. (2019a). The learning potential of online student-constructed tests with citing peer-generated questions. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(2), 226–241. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1458040>
- Yu, F. Y. (2019b). An online learning system supporting student-generated explanations for questions: Design, development, and pedagogical potential. *Interactive Learning Environments*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1686398>

- Yu, F. Y., & Chen, Y. J. (2014). Effects of student-generated questions as the source of online drill-and-practice activities on learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 45(2), 316–329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12036>
- Yu, F. Y., & Liu, Y. H. (2009). Creating a psychologically safe online space for a student-generated questions learning activity via different identity revelation modes. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 40(6), 1109–1123. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2008.00905.x>
- Yu, F. Y., & Wu, C. P. (2016). The effects of an online student-constructed test strategy on knowledge construction. *Computers and Education*, 94, 89–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.11.005>
- Yu, F. Y., & Wu, W. S. (2020). Effects of student-generated feedback corresponding to answers to online student-generated questions on learning: What, why, and how? *Computers and Education*, 145, Article 103723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103723>
- Yu, F. Y., Wu, W. S., & Huang, H. C. (2018). Promoting middle school students' learning motivation and academic emotions via student-created feedback for online student-created multiple-choice questions. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 27(5), 395–408. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-018-0398-x>
- Yu, F. Y., & Yang, Y. T. (2014). To see or not to see: Effects of online access to peer-generated questions on performance. *Journal of Educational Technology and Society*, 17(3), 27-39.
- Zahirović-Suhonjić, A., Despotović-Zrakić, M., Labus, A., Bogdanović, Z., & Barać, D. (2019). Fostering students' participation in creating educational content through crowdsourcing. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(1), 72–85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1451898>

Zaidi, N. B., Hwang, C., Scott, S., Stallard, S., Purkiss, J., & Hortsch, M. (2017).

Climbing Bloom's taxonomy pyramid: Lessons from a graduate histology course. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 10(5), 456–464.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.1685>

Zurcher, D. M., Phadke, S., Coppola, B. P., & McNeil, A. J. (2016). Using student-

generated instructional materials in an e-homework platform. *Journal of*

*Chemical Education*, 93(11), 1871–1878.

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00384>