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## ABSTRACT

The superconducting parallel-bar cavity is a deflecting/crabbing cavity with attractive properties, compared to other conventional designs, that is currently being considered for a number of applications. The new parallel-bar design with curved loading elements and circular or elliptical outer conductors have improved properties compared to the designs with rectangular outer conductors. We present the designs proposed as the deflecting cavities for the Jefferson Lab 12 GeV upgrade and for Project-X and crabbing cavities for the proposed LHC luminosity upgrade and electron-ion collider at Jefferson Lab.

## INTRODUCTION

The superconducting parallel-bar cavity [1] geometry with a cylindrical outer onductor and trapezoidal shaped bars is proven to have better propertie compared a variety of geometries with rectangular, cylindrical and elliptical oute conductors and straight, curved bars [2, 3].

The geometry has
lower and balanced surface fields

- higher shunt impedance
- wider mode separation in the HOM spectrum

Field Profile


Applications of the Parallel-Bar Cavity

- 499 MHz deflecting cavity for the Jefferson Lab 12 GeV upgrade

400 MHz crabbing cavity for the proposed LHC luminosity upgrade
750 MHz crabbing cavity for medium energy electron ion collider (MEIC) at Jefferson Lab
365.625 MHz deflecting cavity for Project-X

## ANALYSIS OF FIELD NON-LINEARITY

- Change in the transverse voltage across the beam aperture is determined in horizontal (along $x$ axis) and vertical (along y axis) directions
- If needed, the non-linearity can be reduced by increasing the inner bar height and/or by giving it a curvature



## DESIGN OPTIMIZATION AND PROPERTIES FOR EACH APPLICATION

Design Optimization
Cavity length and bar length is optimized to lower peak
$\left.B_{P}\right)$ fields


Shape of the bar is optimized for lower and balanced surface fields by changing the inner bar height and angle


| Parameter | $\mathbf{4 9 9} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 5 . 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ | Units |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of $\pi$ mode | 499.0 | 400.0 | 750.0 | 365.625 | MHz |
| $\lambda / 2$ of $\pi$ mode | 300.4 | 375.0 | 199.9 | 410.0 | mm |
| Frequency of 0 mode | 1035.9 | 729.5 | 1314.4 | 659.7 | MHz |
| Frequency of near neighbour mode | 771.2 | 593.4 | 1143.1 | 571.9 | MHz |
| Cavity length | 440.0 | 520.0 | 300.0 | 530.0 | mm |
| Cavity diameter | 241.9 | 339.8 | 193.0 | 388.4 | mm |
| Bars length | 260.0 | 345.0 | 185.0 | 350.0 | mm |
| Bars inner height | 50.0 | 80.0 | 57.5 | 85.0 | mm |
| Angle | 50.0 | 50.0 | 36.2 | 55.0 | deg |
| Aperture diameter | 40.0 | 84.0 | 60.0 | 84.0 | mm |
| Deflecting voltage $\left(V_{T}{ }^{*}\right)$ | 0.3 | 0.375 | 0.2 | 0.41 | MV |
| Peak electric field $\left(E_{P}{ }^{*}\right)$ | 2.96 | 3.82 | 4.95 | 3.61 | $\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Peak magnetic field $\left(B_{P}{ }^{*}\right)$ | 4.49 | 7.09 | 8.74 | 6.41 | mT |
| $B_{P}{ }^{*} / E_{P}{ }^{*}$ | 1.52 | 1.86 | 1.77 | 1.77 | $\mathrm{mT} /(\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| Energy content $\left(U^{*}\right)$ | 0.029 | 0.19 | 0.056 | 0.19 | J |
| Geometrical factor | 105.6 | 119.7 | 136.9 | 115.9 | $\Omega$ |
| $[R / Q]_{T}$ | 982.2 | 312.2 | 152.9 | 378.5 | $\Omega$ |
| $R_{T} R_{S}$ | $1.04 \times 10^{5}$ | $3.7 \times 10^{4}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{4}$ | $4.4 \times 10^{4}$ | $\Omega^{2}$ |
| At $E_{T}{ }^{*}=1$ MV/m |  |  |  |  |  |

## CAVITY DESIGNS FOR EACH APPLICATION



499 MHz DELFECTING CAVITY



Shape of the bar is optimized to achieve a field balancing ratio of $B_{P} / E_{P}=1.5 \mathrm{mT} /(\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m})$

| $E_{P} / E_{T}$ | $B_{P} / E_{T}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mT} /(\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}))$ | $E_{P}$ at <br> $V_{T}=3 \mathrm{MV}$ | $B_{P}$ at <br> $V_{T}=3 \mathrm{MV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.96 | 4.49 | $30 \mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}$ | 45 mT |



## 400 MHz CRABBING CAVITY



## 750 MHz CRABBING CAVITY

- Is required for head on collision of the 60 GeV proton beam and the 12 GeV electron beam
- Design is very compact and has higher surface fields


### 365.625 MHz DEFLECTING CAVITY

Is required to separate the 3 GeV proton beam into 3 beams

- Required peak transverse voltage $=10 \mathrm{MV}$ ratio of $B_{P} / E_{P}=1.77 \mathrm{mT} /(\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m})$

| $E_{P} / E_{T}$ | $B_{P} / E_{T}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mT} /(\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}))$ | $E_{P}$ at <br> $V_{T}=3.4 \mathrm{MV}$ | $B_{P}$ at <br> $V_{T}=3.4 \mathrm{MV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.61 | 6.41 | $30.0 \mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}$ | 53.2 mT |

## CONCLUSION
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     The parallel-bar geometry for the applications of the 499 MHz and 365.625 MHz deflecting cavities and 400 MHz crabbing cavities have shown very attractive properties in meeting the requirements.
    The 499 MHz deflecting and 400 MHz crabbing cavities are in the stage of prototype fabrication [4].

