



GW Law Faculty Publications & Other Works

Faculty Scholarship

2022

An Overview of Privacy Law in 2022

Daniel J. Solove

Paul M. Schwartz

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.gwu.edu/faculty_publications

 Part of the [Law Commons](#)



Daniel J. Solove & Paul M. Schwartz


PRIVACY LAW FUNDAMENTALS

SIXTH EDITION, 2022

An **iapp** publication

Order the Book on the International Association
of Privacy Professionals (IAPP) Website

<https://iapp.org/store/books/a191P000004nyr2QAA>



[Click Here to
Order the Book](#)

20% Student Discount
Use code **PLF4STUDENT**

Privacy Law Fundamentals Sixth Edition, 2022

Daniel J. Solove

*John Marshall Harlan Research Professor of Law
George Washington University Law School*

&

Paul M. Schwartz

*Jefferson E. Peyser Professor of Law
U.C. Berkeley School of Law*

An IAPP Publication

©2022, by the International Association of Privacy Professionals (IAPP).
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior, written permission of the publisher, International Association of Privacy Professionals, Pease International Tradeport, 75 Rochester Ave., Portsmouth, NH 03801

ISBN 978-1-948771-59-7

ABOUT *PRIVACY LAW FUNDAMENTALS*

“This book is an indispensable guide for privacy and data protection practitioners, students, and scholars. You will find yourself consulting it regularly, as I do. It is a must for your book shelf.”

—Danielle Citron, *University of Virginia*

“The go-to privacy law reference that you will keep going to. Professors Schwartz and Solove manage to distill without distorting and to outline without obscuring. Part reference, part primer and part pathfinder, *Privacy Law Fundamentals* is the ultimate privacy law resource.”

—Tom Counts, *Paul Hastings*

“Two giants of privacy scholarship succeed in distilling their legal expertise into an essential guide for a broad range of the legal community. Whether used to learn the basics or for quick reference, *Privacy Law Fundamentals* proves to be concise and authoritative.”

—Jules Polonetsky, *Future of Privacy Forum*

“*Privacy Law Fundamentals* is a must-read for privacy practitioners to keep up and gain a clear and succinct picture of where the law is and where it is heading around the world and across different industries.”

—Lindsey Tonsager, *Covington & Burling*

“There are no better-qualified authors than Professors Schwartz and Solove to summarize the current state of privacy law and, as a result, there is no better compact privacy law resource than *Privacy Law Fundamentals*”

—Christopher Wolf, *Hogan Lovells*

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Daniel J. Solove is the John Marshall Harlan Research Professor of Law at the George Washington University Law School. He is also the president and CEO of TeachPrivacy, www.teachprivacy.com, a company that provides privacy and data security training to organizations in an array of industries. One of the world's leading experts in privacy law, Solove is the author of numerous books, including *Breached: Why Data Security Fails and How to Improve It* (2022) (with Woodrow Hartzog); *Nothing to Hide: The False Tradeoff Between Privacy and Security* (Yale 2011), *Understanding Privacy* (Harvard 2008), *The Future of Reputation: Gossip and Rumor in the Information Age* (Yale 2007; winner of the 2007 McGannon Award), and *The Digital Person: Technology and Privacy in the Information Age* (NYU 2004). Solove is also the co-author (with Paul Schwartz) of a textbook, *Information Privacy Law*, with Aspen Publishing Co., now in its seventh edition. Additionally, he is the author of several other textbooks, including *Privacy and the Media* (4th edition, Wolters Kluwer 2021), *Privacy, Law Enforcement, and National Security* (3rd edition, Wolters Kluwer 2021), *Consumer Privacy and Data Protection* (3rd edition, Wolters Kluwer 2021), and *EU Data Protection and the GDPR* (1st edition, Wolters Kluwer 2021), all with Paul Schwartz. He has published more than 50 articles and essays.

Solove has testified before the U.S. Congress and has been involved as an expert and consultant in a number of high-profile privacy cases. His work has been cited by many federal and state courts, including the U.S. Supreme Court. He has been interviewed and featured in several hundred media broadcasts and articles in publications and on networks, including *The New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *The Washington Post*, *Chicago Tribune*, *USA Today*, *Time*, *Newsweek*, *People*, *Reader's Digest*, The Associated Press, ABC, CBS, NBC, CNN, NPR, and C-SPAN's "Book TV."

More information about Solove's work can be found at www.daniel-solove.com. He can also be followed on Twitter at @DanielSolove. He blogs at Privacy+Security Blog, www.teachprivacy.com/privacy-security-training-blog/. As one of a select group of "Influencers," Solove has more than 1 million LinkedIn followers.

Paul M. Schwartz is the Jefferson E. Peyser Professor of Law at the UC Berkeley School of Law and a director of the Berkeley Center for Law & Technology. A leading international expert on informational privacy and information law, he has published widely on these topics. In the United States, his articles and essays have appeared in periodicals such as the *Harvard Law Review*, *Yale Law Journal*, *Stanford Law Review*, *Columbia Law Review*, *California Law Review*, *N.Y.U. Law Review*, and *Chicago Law Review*. With Daniel Solove, he has published the leading casebook *Information Privacy Law* (Aspen, 7th edition, 2021) and other books.

Schwartz has testified as an expert before congressional committees in the United States and provided legal reports to the Commission of the European Community and Department of Justice, Canada. He has assisted numerous corporations in the United States and abroad with information privacy issues. A member of the American Law Institute, Schwartz has received scholarships and grants from the American Academy in Berlin, where he was a Berlin Prize Fellow; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; German Marshall Fund; Fulbright Foundation; the German Academic Exchange; and the Harry Frank Guggenheim Foundation. He is a member of the American Law Institute and the organizing committee of the Privacy Law Salon, as well as special advisor to Paul Hastings LLP.

Schwartz belongs to the editorial boards of *International Data Privacy Law*, *the International Journal of Law and Information Technology*, and the *Zeitschrift für Datenschutz* (Data Protection Journal). His homepage is www.paulschwartz.net. His Twitter account is @paulmschwartz.

DEDICATION

In memory of Joel Reidenberg and Kurt Wimmer,
two great figures in privacy law and two cherished friends.

To Pamela and Griffin —DJS

To Steffie, Clara, and Leo —PMS

PREFACE

This book provides a concise guide to privacy law. *Privacy Law Fundamentals* is designed to serve as a primer of the essential information one needs to know about the field. For the student of privacy law or the beginning privacy professional, the book will provide an overview that can be digested readily. For the more seasoned and experienced, the book will serve as a handy reference guide, a way to refresh one's memory of key components of privacy laws and central cases. It will help close gaps in knowledge and inform on areas of the field about which one wants to know more.

In writing this book, we have aimed to avoid the “too much information” problem by singling out the essential provisions of law, regulations, and judicial decisions. A frequent risk in law books is that key definitions, provisions, and concepts will become lost in a litany of long and dense statutes and in a mass of cases. We have endeavored to distill the field down to its fundamentals and present this information in as clear and useful a manner as we could. Wherever possible, we have developed charts and lists to convey the material. The book is organized in twelve chapters:

- Chapter 1—an overview of privacy law in all its varied types and forms and a timeline with key points in the development of privacy law.
- Chapter 2—privacy law involving the media, including the privacy torts, defamation, and the First Amendment.
- Chapter 3—the law of domestic law enforcement, focusing on the Fourth Amendment and the statutes regulating electronic surveillance.
- Chapter 4—national security law, including the U.S. Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA).

- Chapter 5—the laws and regulations that pertain to health and genetic data, including the U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).
- Chapter 6—government records and laws, such as the Privacy Act and the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).
- Chapter 7—the laws concerning financial information, including the U.S. Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) and Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA).
- Chapter 8—legal regulation of the privacy of consumer data and business records, involving statutes, tort protections, and U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) enforcement actions.
- Chapter 9—data security law, including the varying laws in all the states.
- Chapter 10—school privacy, including the U.S. Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).
- Chapter 11—the regulation of employment privacy, including the different rules for government and private sector employees.
- Chapter 12—international privacy law, including the EU General Data Protection Regulation, the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines, the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Privacy Framework, and rules of international data transfers.

For his suggestions on our chapter about school privacy, we wish to thank Steven McDonald. Through its different editions, this book also benefitted greatly from the research assistance of a dream team of students. These are Lorraine Abdulahad, Deborah Choi, Luisa Domenichini, Robert Fairbanks, David Fang, Russell Fink, Natalie Heim, Joey Kingerski, Charlotte Kress, Annie Lee, Brittany Johnson, Harris Mateen, Meet Mehta, Gregory Merchant, Michelle Park, Sanjana Parikh, Amelie Raepple, Sarah Suwanda, Sophia Wallach, Kevin Yang, and Andy Zachrich.

For further references, including books, websites, statutes, and other sources of news and legal materials, visit our website (www.informationprivacylaw.com), and for our casebooks, click on the “Resources” tab at the top.

We look forward to keeping this book up to date and finding additional ways to make it as useful as possible. Please feel free to contact us with any suggestions and feedback about the book.

In 2021, Joel Reidenberg and Kurt Wimmer, two leaders of data privacy law, passed away. Both were cherished friends of the authors of this volume and of so many in our field. Joel Reidenberg was a distinguished professor at Fordham Law School and a leader in international privacy law. Kurt Wimmer led the privacy and cybersecurity practice at Covington and advanced press freedom in his pro bono work. We wish to dedicate this volume to them.

Daniel J. Solove

Washington, DC

dsolove@law.gwu.edu

Paul M. Schwartz

Berkeley, CA

pschwartz@law.berkeley.edu

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: AN OVERVIEW OF PRIVACY LAW

ESSENTIAL POINTS	1
TYPES OF PRIVACY LAW	2
Torts	2
<i>Torts Most Commonly Involved In Privacy Cases</i>	2
<i>Origins Of The Privacy Torts</i>	2
Contract/Promissory Estoppel	2
Criminal Law	3
Evidentiary Privileges	3
Federal Constitutional Law	3
<i>Ways the U.S. Constitution Protects Privacy</i>	3
State Constitutional Law	3
<i>States With Express Constitutional Privacy Protection</i>	3
Federal Statutory Law	4
State Statutory Law	6
<i>Areas of State Legislation on Privacy</i>	6
International Law	7
THE CHIEF PRIVACY OFFICER OR DATA PROTECTION OFFICER	8
THE CHIEF INFORMATION SECURITY OFFICER	9
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	16
Treatises	16
General Sources	16

CHAPTER 2: PRIVACY AND THE MEDIA

ESSENTIAL POINTS	19
THE PRIVACY TORTS	19
Public Disclosure of Private Facts	20
<i>Approaches to the Newsworthiness Test</i>	20

Intrusion upon Seclusion.	20
False Light	23
Appropriation of Name or Likeness.	23
OTHER TORTS	24
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.	24
Breach of Confidentiality.	24
OTHER PRIVACY LAWS OF NOTE	24
Video Voyeurism Prevention Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1801 (2004)	24
State Video Voyeurism Statutes.	24
“Peeping Tom” Laws	25
Blackmail Laws	25
California Anti-Paparazzi Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1708.8	25
Image-Based Sexual Abuse.	25
DEFAMATION LAW	26
Libel and Slander.	26
First Amendment Restrictions	26
<i>Actual Malice</i>	26
<i>Public vs. Private Figures.</i>	27
Communications Decency Act § 230(c), 47 U.S.C. § 230(c) (1996)	28
FIRST AMENDMENT	28
THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND TORTS	30
Public Disclosure of Private Facts	30
Intrusion upon Seclusion.	30
False Light	30
Appropriation of Name or Likeness.	30
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.	30
Breach of Confidentiality.	30
Defamation Torts.	30
<i>Anti-SLAPP</i>	31
ANONYMOUS SPEECH	31
<i>Standards for Unmasking Anonymous Speakers</i>	32
PRIVACY OF READING AND INTELLECTUAL EXPLORATION	32
<i>Reporter’s Privilege</i>	33
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	33
Treatises.	33
Books	33
Articles and Other Sources	34

CHAPTER 3: PRIVACY AND LAW ENFORCEMENT

ESSENTIAL POINTS 37

FOURTH AMENDMENT 38

 The Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution 38

How the Fourth Amendment Works. 38

Key Fourth Amendment Doctrines 40

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS 43

 Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 43

 Types of Communications in ECPA 43

 Wiretap Act 44

 Stored Communications Act 45

 Pen Register Act 46

Key Facts About ECPA 47

 Clarifying Lawful Overseas Use of Data Act Pub. L No. 115-141, 132
 Stat. 348 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 18 U.S.C.) (2018) . . 49

 Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act of 1994. 49

STATE ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE LAW 50

Recording Police Encounters 50

State Electronic Surveillance Law 51

GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO PERSONAL DATA 52

 Fourth Amendment: Third-Party Doctrine 52

 Bank Secrecy Act of 1970 53

 Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978 53

 Subpoenas 53

Federal Statutory Provisions for Government Access to Records. 54

SEARCHES AND SEIZURES OF MEDIA DOCUMENTS 55

 Privacy Protection Act of 1980 55

FOR FURTHER REFERENCE 56

 Treatises. 56

 Books 56

 Articles and Other Sources 57

CHAPTER 4: NATIONAL SECURITY AND FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE

ESSENTIAL POINTS 59

THE FOURTH AMENDMENT 59

FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE GATHERING 60

 Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 60

 USA Freedom Act of 2015. 61

GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO PERSONAL DATA FOR NATIONAL SECURITY PURPOSES	62
National Security Letters	62
USA Patriot Act of 2001, § 215	63
STATE SECRETS	64
THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY	64
Intelligence Agencies	64
Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004	65
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	66
Treatises	66
Books	66
Government Reports	66
Articles and Other Sources	67
CHAPTER 5: HEALTH PRIVACY	
ESSENTIAL POINTS	71
PATIENT-PHYSICIAN CONFIDENTIALITY	72
Ethical Rules	72
Evidentiary Privileges	72
The Breach of Confidentiality Tort	72
Public Disclosure of Private Facts	73
<i>Key Points: Common Law Torts and Medical Information</i>	73
Tort Liability for Failing to Disclose Personal Data	73
MEDICAL INFORMATION	74
State Regulation	74
Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996	76
<i>Deidentifying Data Under HIPAA</i>	78
Court Cases	80
<i>HIPAA Myths and Facts</i>	81
OCR HIPAA Enforcement Actions with Monetary Penalties	81
State Enforcement Actions	83
The Common Rule	84
Federal Drug and Alcohol Confidentiality Statute	85
Subpoenas for Medical Information	85
CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS	86
Constitutional Right to Privacy	86
Constitutional Right to Information Privacy	87
Fourth Amendment	87
GENETIC INFORMATION	87
Genetic Testing and Discrimination	87

FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	88
Treatises	88
Articles and Other Sources	88
CHAPTER 6: GOVERNMENT RECORDS	
ESSENTIAL POINTS	91
FAIR INFORMATION PRACTICES	92
COURT RECORDS	92
Common Law Right to Access Court Records	92
Protective Orders.	92
Depositions and Interrogatories	93
Pseudonymous Litigation	93
Juror Privacy.	93
The First Amendment Right to Access	93
PUBLIC RECORDS	93
Freedom of Information Act.	93
State Public Records.	95
<i>The Constitution and Personal Data in Public Records</i>	97
<i>When Does the Constitution Limit the Government from</i> <i>Disclosing Personal Information?</i>	98
Critical Infrastructure Information Act of 2002	98
PRIVACY RIGHTS IN GOVERNMENT RECORDS	98
The Privacy Act of 1974	98
<i>Establishing a Violation of the Privacy Act.</i>	100
State Privacy Acts.	101
<i>State Statutes Regulating Government Website Privacy Policies.</i>	103
DNA Databases.	103
Driver's Privacy Protection Act of 1994	104
<i>DPPA: Key Points</i>	104
Identification Records and Requirements	106
<i>Social Security Numbers.</i>	106
GOVERNMENT PRIVACY AND SECURITY MANAGEMENT	107
E-Government Act of 2002	107
Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002.	108
Office of Management and Budget	108
Federal Acquisitions Regulation	108
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	108
Treatises.	108
Books	108
Articles and Other Sources	109

CHAPTER 7: FINANCIAL DATA

ESSENTIAL POINTS	111
The Financial Services Industry	111
Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1970	111
<i>The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau</i>	113
<i>FCRA: Keys to Compliance</i>	117
Federal Trade Commission Fair Credit Reporting Act Enforcement Actions	119
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Fair Credit Reporting Act Enforcement Actions	120
THE USE AND DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION	120
Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999	120
Federal Trade Commission Enforcement Actions	122
CFPB Enforcement Actions	123
Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978	123
Bank Secrecy Act of 1970	125
Torts and Financial Privacy	126
State Financial Statutes and Regulations	126
NY Department of Financial Services Enforcement Actions	127
<i>California's SB1 and FCRA Preemption</i>	127
TAX PRIVACY	128
Internal Revenue Code	128
IDENTITY THEFT	128
Identity Theft Assumption and Deterrence Act of 1998	128
FTC Red Flags Rule	129
SEC and CFTC Red Flags Rule	129
State Identity Theft Statutes	129
GOVERNMENT ACCESS TO FINANCIAL INFORMATION	130
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	130
Treatises	130
Books	130
Articles and Other Sources	130

CHAPTER 8: CONSUMER DATA

ESSENTIAL POINTS	133
PERSONAL DATA OR PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION	134
<i>Approaches to Defining PII</i>	134
Injury and Standing	135
<i>Standing</i>	135
TORT LAW	137

CONTRACT AND PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL	138
Breach of Contract	138
Promissory Estoppel	138
<i>Are Privacy Policies Contracts?</i>	139
<i>Liability for Third-Party Apps?</i>	140
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ENFORCEMENT OF SECTION 5 OF THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT	140
<i>Statutes Granting Enforcement Authority to the FTC</i>	141
<i>Triggers for FTC Complaints</i>	147
<i>FTC Consent Decrees</i>	147
CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU ENFORCEMENT	148
FEDERAL STATUTES: ENTERTAINMENT RECORDS	148
Communications Act of 1934	148
Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984	150
Federal Communications Commission Enforcement of the FCA and Cable Act	151
FCC, <i>Privacy Guidelines for ISPs</i> (2016)	151
Video Privacy Protection Act of 1988	152
Video Privacy Protection Act Amendments Act of 2012	153
FEDERAL STATUTES: MARKETING	155
Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991	155
Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography and Marketing Act of 2003	157
FEDERAL STATUTES: INTERNET USE AND ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS	158
Children's Online Privacy Protection Act of 1998	158
Federal Trade Commission Children's Online Privacy Protection Act Enforcement Actions	159
<i>Complying with COPPA</i>	162
<i>How to Determine if a Website (or a Portion of It) Is Directed at Children</i>	163
Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986	163
Computer Fraud and Abuse Act	164
FEDERAL STATUTES: OVERVIEW	166
<i>Federal Statutes and Preemption</i>	172
<i>Federal Statutes and Opt-In/Opt-Out</i>	179
STATE STATUTES	180
Data Sale and Sharing	180
California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018, AB 375	180
Virginia's Consumer Data Protection Act, Va. Code Ann. § 59.1-571 (2021)	182

Colorado Privacy Act	182
Unfair and Deceptive Acts and Practices Acts	183
Radio-Frequency Identification	183
<i>State Statutes Regulating Private Sector Use of RFID</i>	183
Eraser or Right to Be Forgotten Laws	185
Biometric Data	185
Website Privacy Notices	186
Data Brokers	186
Spyware	186
<i>State Spyware Statutes</i>	186
Video Privacy	188
Transparency	189
FIRST AMENDMENT	189
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	191
Books	191
Articles and Other Sources	193
CHAPTER 9: DATA SECURITY	
ESSENTIAL POINTS	197
DATA BREACH NOTIFICATION STATUTES	197
Rise of the State Statutes	197
State Data Security Breach Notification Statutes.	198
Federal and State Credit Freeze Statutes	203
FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ENFORCEMENT UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT	203
CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU ENFORCEMENT	207
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ENFORCEMENT	208
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION GUIDANCE AND ENFORCEMENT	208
STATE CYBERSECURITY STATUTES AND REGULATIONS	209
TORT	212
DATA RETENTION AND DISPOSAL	214
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	216
Treatises.	216
Books	217
Articles and Other Sources	218

CHAPTER 10: EDUCATION PRIVACY

ESSENTIAL POINTS	221
STUDENT RECORDS	221
Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974	221
Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment of 1978	224
Every Student Succeeds Act	224
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act	224
National School Lunch Act	225
Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act	225
Other Regulations	226
Gainful Employment Rule (2011)	226
Other Statutes	226
STATE LAWS	226
Student Data Collection, Use, and Disclosure	226
Social Media Account Access	230
STUDENT SPEECH AND EXPRESSION	230
<i>State Anti-Bullying Laws</i>	231
SEARCHES AND SURVEILLANCE	232
Fourth Amendment	232
SELF-REGULATORY MEASURES	233
Future of Privacy Forum, Student Data Privacy Pledge (2014)	233
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	233
Treatises	233
Articles and Other Sources	234

CHAPTER 11: EMPLOYMENT PRIVACY

ESSENTIAL POINTS	235
SEARCHES	236
Government Employees: Fourth Amendment	236
Private Sector Employees: Fourth Amendment	236
Searches and Surveillance by Private Sector Employers	237
QUESTIONING AND TESTING	238
Fourth Amendment	238
Constitutional Right to Information Privacy	238
Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988	239
Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990	240
Occupational Safety and Health Act	241
Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008	241

State Employment Testing and Inquiry Laws	241
State Criminal Background Check “Ban the Box” Laws	241
EMPLOYEE ACCESS TO THE COMPUTER NETWORK	243
<i>Van Buren v. United States</i> , 141 S. Ct. 1648 (2021)	243
SURVEILLANCE AND MONITORING	243
Electronic Communications Privacy Act	243
<i>What Every Employer Must Know to Comply with ECPA</i>	244
<i>Employment Privacy Law: Public vs. Private Sector</i>	244
Biometric Data Collection Laws	245
EMPLOYER SOCIAL MEDIA POLICIES AND PRACTICES	246
National Labor Relations Act	246
<i>The NLRA and Social Media Policies</i>	246
Employer Access to Employee Social Media Accounts	248
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	249
Treatises	249
Articles and Other Sources	249
 CHAPTER 12: INTERNATIONAL PRIVACY LAW	
ESSENTIAL POINTS	251
Data Protection and Information Privacy: A Note on Terminology	252
WORLDWIDE PRIVACY RIGHTS AND GUIDELINES	252
Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948)	252
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development	
Privacy Guidelines (1980)	253
<i>The Influence of the OECD Guidelines</i>	254
UN Guidelines for the Regulation of Computerized Personal Files (1990)	254
EUROPE	255
European Convention on Human Rights	
Article 8—The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life (1950)	255
Council of Europe Convention on Privacy, Treaty No. 108ETS	
No. 108 (1981)	259
Modernization Convention for the Protection of Individuals with	
Regard to the Processing of Personal Data (2018)	260
EU Data Protection Directive	260
General Data Protection Regulation	263
<i>The First Evaluation of the GDPR</i>	266
EDPB: <i>Its Guidelines</i>	267
The European Data Protection Board and the Consistency Mechanism	267
Binding decision 1/2021 on the dispute arisen on the draft decision	
of the Irish Supervisory Authority regarding WhatsApp Ireland	
(July 28, 2021)	268

Decision 01/2020 on the dispute arisen on the draft decision of the Irish Supervisory Authority regarding Twitter (Nov. 9, 2020)	268
EU Law Enforcement Directive (2018)	268
EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework (2016)	268
<i>Principles of the Privacy Shield</i>	269
<i>Positive Adequacy Determinations by the EU Commission</i>	271
<i>Passenger Name Record Agreements</i>	272
Transferring Personal Data to Non-EU Countries: Standard	
Contractual Clauses and Binding Corporate Rules	272
Standard Contractual Clauses.	272
Binding Corporate Rules.	272
The EU Cybersecurity Act (2019).	273
EU Network and Information Security Directive (2016)	273
<i>Discovery from EU Member Nations in U.S. Litigation</i>	273
Directive on Privacy and Electronic Communications (ePrivacy Directive)	274
The ePrivacy Regulation: A Work-in Progress	274
EU Data Retention Directive	275
<i>European Data Protection Supervisor</i>	277
THE UK POST-BREXIT	277
CANADA	278
Charter of Rights and Freedoms (1982)	278
Privacy Act (1985).	279
Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (2000)	279
<i>PIPEDA's 10 Privacy Principles</i>	280
Canada's Anti-Spam Law (2010).	281
<i>Provincial Privacy Laws for the Private Sector</i>	282
LATIN AMERICA	282
<i>Habeas Data</i>	282
Argentina	282
Brazil.	283
Colombia.	283
Mexico	283
Uruguay.	284
AFRICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST	284
Bahrain	284
Dubai	284
Ghana	284
Israel	285
Morocco	285
South Africa	285

ASIA-PACIFIC	286
APEC Privacy Framework (2004)	286
<i>APEC Privacy Framework's Nine Principles</i>	286
<i>APEC Member Nations</i>	287
<i>APEC Cross-Border Privacy Rules System</i>	287
Federal Trade Commission Enforcement of the APEC Cross-Border Privacy Rules System	288
Australia.	288
China	288
Hong Kong.	289
India	289
Japan.	289
New Zealand.	290
Philippines	290
Singapore.	290
South Korea	291
EUROPE, NON-EU COUNTRIES	291
Russia	291
Turkey	292
United Kingdom	292
FOR FURTHER REFERENCE	293
Treatises and Books	293
Articles and Other Sources	295

An Overview of Privacy Law

ESSENTIAL POINTS

- Information privacy law is a relatively youthful area of law. New developments are still shaping it and changing its form. For example, data breach notification statutes in the United States date only to 2003.
- The development of privacy law in the United States may also be viewed as a dialogue between the courts and legislature about the scope and application of the legal concept of privacy. In some matters, courts will define new privacy rights. In others, the courts will leave the job to the legislature.
- Privacy problems occur in particular contexts, and different types of problems involve different trade-offs and concerns.
- Technology plays an especially important role in shaping the kinds of privacy concerns that society faces and the role of the law.
- In Europe and most of the rest of the world, this area is called data protection law. International developments have played a highly visible and important part in shaping the role of privacy professionals and the privacy dialogue within the United States.

TYPES OF PRIVACY LAW

Torts

In the United States, tort law is primarily state law. As a result, the particular boundaries of this area of law will differ from state to state—sometimes dramatically. For example, some states recognize all four privacy interests, but Minnesota accepts only three of the four. It does not recognize the false light tort. *Lake v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, 582 N.W.2d 231 (Minn. 1998).

TORTS MOST COMMONLY INVOLVED IN PRIVACY CASES

- Invasion of privacy (a collective term for the four privacy torts)
 - Public disclosure of private facts
 - Intrusion upon seclusion
 - False light
 - Appropriation of name or likeness
- Breach of confidentiality
- Intentional infliction of emotional distress
- Defamation
 - Libel
 - Slander
- Negligence

ORIGINS OF THE PRIVACY TORTS

Samuel D. Warren & Louis D. Brandeis, *The Right to Privacy*, 4 Harv. L. Rev. 193 (1890)

This foundational article, which inspired the development of privacy law in the twentieth century, argued that the common law protected privacy as “the right to be let alone.”

William L. Prosser, *Privacy*, 48 Cal. L. Rev. 383 (1960)

Legendary torts scholar William Prosser surveyed all the common law privacy tort cases and identified the central four interests protected. His formulations of the privacy torts remain in widespread use today. The states have widely adopted Prosser’s four privacy torts.

Contract/Promissory Estoppel

Confidentiality or other privacy protections can be express or implied contractual terms in a relationship. Promises to protect privacy might be enforced through promissory estoppel.

Criminal Law

Many privacy laws have criminal penalties. Many states have criminalized blackmail, “Peeping Tom” activity, or the surreptitious capture of nude images.

Evidentiary Privileges

In evidence law, many privileges protect the confidentiality of information shared within certain relationships, such as attorney-client and patient-physician.

Federal Constitutional Law

WAYS THE U.S. CONSTITUTION PROTECTS PRIVACY

- The First Amendment’s right to speak anonymously
- The First Amendment’s freedom of association, which protects the privacy of one’s associations
- The Third Amendment’s protection of the home from the quartering of troops
- The Fourth Amendment’s protection against unreasonable searches and seizures
- The Fifth Amendment’s privilege against self-incrimination
- The constitutional right to privacy
- The constitutional right to information privacy

State Constitutional Law

A number of states have directly provided for the protection of privacy in their constitutions. For example, Cal. Const. art. I, § 1 stipulates: “All people are by their nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness and privacy.”

STATES WITH EXPRESS CONSTITUTIONAL PRIVACY PROTECTION			
AK	Alaska Const. art. I, § 22	IL	Ill. Const. art. I, § 6
AZ	Ariz. Const. art. II, § 8	LA	La. Const. art. I, § 5
CA	Cal. Const. art. I, § 1	MT	Mt. Const. art. II, § 10
FL	Fla. Const. art. I, § 23	SC	S.C. Const. art. I, § 10
HI	Hawai’i Const. art. I, § 23	WA	Wash. Const. art. I, § 7

Federal Statutory Law

- **Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) of 1970, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 *et seq.***—provides citizens with rights regarding the use and disclosure of their personal information by consumer reporting agencies.
- **Bank Secrecy Act of 1970, Pub. L. No. 91-508**—requires banks to maintain reports of people’s financial transactions to assist in government white-collar investigations.
- **Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a**—provides individuals with a number of rights concerning their personal information maintained in government record systems by federal agencies, such as the right to see one’s records and ensure the information in them is accurate.
- **Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1974, 20 U.S.C. §§ 1221 note, 1232g**—protects the privacy of school records.
- **Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978, 12 U.S.C. §§ 3401–3422**—requires a subpoena or search warrant for law enforcement officials to obtain financial records.
- **Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) of 1978, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1801–1811**—regulates foreign intelligence gathering within the United States.
- **Privacy Protection Act (PPA) of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 2000aa**—restricts the government’s ability to search and seize the work product of the press and media.
- **Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, 47 U.S.C. § 551**—mandates privacy protection for records maintained by cable companies.
- **Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA) of 1986, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510–2522, 2701–2709**—contains three distinct statutes that regulate electronic surveillance law: the Wiretap Act, Stored Communications Act, and Pen Register Act. The Wiretap Act was first enacted in 1968 as Title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act.
- **Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, 5 U.S.C. § 552a**—regulates automated investigations conducted by federal agencies carrying out automatic matching on computer files with other federal agencies or non-federal entities.
- **Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988, 29 U.S.C. §§ 2001–2009**—governs the use of polygraphs by employers.

- **Video Privacy Protection Act (VPPA) of 1988, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2710–2711**—protects the privacy of prerecorded videotapes, cassette tapes, or “similar audio visual materials.
- **Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) of 1991, 47 U.S.C. § 227**—provides certain remedies from repeat telephone calls by telemarketers.
- **Driver’s Privacy Protection Act of 1994, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2721–2725**—restricts the states from disclosing or selling personal information from their motor vehicle records.
- **Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act of 1994, Pub. L. No. 103–414**—requires telecommunications providers to help facilitate government interceptions of communications and surveillance.
- **Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104–193**—requires the collection of personal information (including Social Security numbers, addresses, and wages) of all people who obtain a new job anywhere in the nation. The resulting information is placed into a national database to help government officials track down parents with outstanding child support payments.
- **Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104–191**—gives the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) the authority to promulgate regulations governing the privacy of medical records. These regulations, the HIPAA Privacy Rule, were initially finalized in 2000 with modifications made in subsequent years. 45 C.F.R. 160, 162, and 164.
- **Identity Theft and Assumption Deterrence Act (ITADA) of 1998, 18 U.S.C. § 1028**—criminalizes the transfer or use of fraudulent identification with the intent to commit unlawful activity.
- **Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA) of 1998, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6501–6506**—restricts the use by internet websites of information gathered from children under age 13.
- **Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA) of 1999, 15 U.S.C. §§ 6801–6809**—requires privacy notices and provides opt-out rights when financial institutions seek to disclose personal data to other companies.
- **Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act (USA Patriot Act) of 2001, Pub. L. No. 107–56**—amends a number of electronic surveillance statutes and other statutes to facilitate law enforcement investigations and access to information.

- **Controlling the Assault of Non-Solicited Pornography And Marketing Act (CAN-SPAM Act) of 2003, Pub. L. No. 180–187**—provides penalties for the transmission of unsolicited email.
- **Video Voyeurism Prevention Act of 2004, 18 U.S.C § 1801**—criminalizes the capturing of nude images of people (when on federal property) under circumstances where they have a reasonable expectation of privacy.
- **FISA Amendments Act of 2008, Pub. L. No. 110–261**—amends FISA to add a new title creating additional procedures concerning the acquisition of information about certain persons outside the United States.

State Statutory Law

Much of privacy law is found in state law. Privacy tort law and data breach notification statutes are predominately state law. In addition, numerous federal statutes permit state laws to exceed their specifications. This issue is regulated under the rubric of “preemption.” In Chapter 9, we provide a chart that lists the federal statutes that preempt state laws and those that do not. The U.S. regulation of privacy is best thought of as a dual federal-state system.

Areas of State Legislation on Privacy

Substantial state legislation on privacy exists in the following areas:

Law Enforcement

- Wiretapping and electronic surveillance

Medical and Genetic Information

- Confidentiality of medical information
- Genetic privacy

Government Records

- Public records
- State agency use and disclosure of personal information

Financial Privacy

- Banking privacy
- Consumer reports
- Security freeze

Consumer Data and Business Records

- Biometrics
- Sale of personal data
- Spam
- Spyware and phishing
- Telecommunications privacy
- Pretexting
- Use of Social Security numbers
- Data disposal
- Video privacy
- Radio frequency identification (RFID) and tracking devices
- Restrictions on internet service providers (ISPs)
- Unauthorized access to computers and networks

Data Security

- Identity theft
- Data security breach notification
- Substantive security standards
- Data disposal

Employment

- State employee personal information
- Restrictions on employment application questions

International Law

Around the world, numerous countries have endeavored to protect privacy in their laws. There are two general approaches toward protecting privacy:

1. *Omnibus*: A comprehensive approach to protecting privacy that covers personal data across all industries and most contexts. Sometimes a single omnibus law will also regulate the public and private sectors.
2. *Sectoral*: Regulates information on a sector-by-sector basis. Different industries receive different regulation, and some contexts are not regulated at all. Different statutes regulate the public and private sectors.

The world's first comprehensive information privacy statute was a state law; the Hessian Parliament enacted this statute in Wiesbaden, Germany, on September 30, 1970. Like most European data protection laws, this statute is an omnibus law. Note, however, that the European Union today relies on a mixture of omnibus and sectoral laws. The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is an omnibus law and one that is supplemented by sectoral laws from the European Union, such as the ePrivacy Directive, and from the member states.

In contrast, the United States has generally relied on regulation of information use on a sector-by-sector basis. For example, COPPA provides privacy protection for children on the web, but there is no such law that generally regulates privacy for adults on the web.

Outside of Europe and the United States, there are many information privacy statutes in the rest of the world. Most countries have adopted the European Union's approach by enacting omnibus laws that are similar to the GDPR and supplementing their omnibus statutes with more targeted sector laws.

There are also important international and transnational accords, guidelines, treaties, directives, and agreements. These include:

- Organisation of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidelines (1980), with additional, supplemental OECD Guidelines (2013)
- Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Individuals with Regard to the Processing of Personal Data (1981), modernized in 2018
- Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperative (APEC) Privacy Framework (2004)

THE CHIEF PRIVACY OFFICER OR DATA PROTECTION OFFICER

The chief privacy officer (CPO) is now a mainstay at many large organizations. Among other things, a CPO ensures the organization is complying with the law, employees are trained about privacy and security practices, and the organization has an effective privacy policy. In the European Union and other countries around the world, there are data protection officers (DPOs), which have similar functions to CPOs.

In the public sector, the Homeland Security Act of 2002 established a privacy officer within the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). 6 U.S.C. § 142. This statute created the first explicit legal requirement in federal law for a privacy officer in the U.S. government. Previously, the Clinton administration had appointed a chief counselor for privacy and located this position in the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA).

In 2002, Congress also enacted the E-Government Act, which requires administrative agencies to conduct privacy impact assessments (PIAs).

In the private sector, regulations enacted pursuant to HIPAA require "a covered entity" to "designate a privacy official who is responsible for the development and implementation of the policies and procedures of the entity." 45 C.F.R. 164.30(a)(1)(i).

As part of its role implementing the GLBA, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) issued a Safeguards Rule that requires designation of an employee or employees to coordinate the company's information security program. This requirement can encourage introduction of a CPO position at organizations that do not yet have one. 16 C.F.R. Part 314.4(a), 67 Federal Register 36484 (2002). In Europe, the General Data Protection Regulation requires public authorities and certain kinds of private sector entities to appoint a DPO, GDPR, Art. 37.

In sum, most large companies that handle personal data now have a CPO.

THE CHIEF INFORMATION SECURITY OFFICER

Similar to the development of legal requirements for CPO's, there are now data security laws that call for the naming of a single employer to be responsible for a written security plan in the organization. As a prominent example, in 2017, the New York Superintendent of Financial Services (NYSFS) promulgated a regulation establishing cybersecurity requirements for financial services companies. Due to the prominence of New York state as a center for the financial service industry, there has been a far-reaching impact for this cybersecurity regulation. Among its provisions is a requirement is that all "covered entities ... designate a qualified individual responsible for overseeing and implementing the covered entity's cybersecurity program and enforcing its cybersecurity policy." This individual, termed the "chief information security officer" (CISO) in the regulations, can be provided by the covered entity or by a third-service provider. 23 CRR-NY 500.4(a).

Federal law also takes this approach. As previously noted, the FTC's Safeguards Rule, promulgated under authority granted it by the GLBA, requires financial institutions to designate an employee or employees to coordinate the company's information security program. 16 C.F.R. 314.4(a). Revisions to the Safeguards Rule in 2017 terms this individual, the "Qualified Individual." This federal requirement will continue to encourage not only the appointment of CPOs, but also of CISOs. Indeed, the Safeguards Rule spells out a long list of mandated tasks for the Qualified Individual to oversee, which will encourage appointment of a CISO and investment in an information security program at regulated entities.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
Antiquity	
400 B.C.	Hippocratic Oath provides the first recorded expression of a duty of medical confidentiality.
1000–1699	
1361	England's Justices of the Peace Act criminalizes eavesdropping and Peeping Toms.
1604	<i>Semayne's Case</i> (1604), 77 Eng. Rep. 194, declares "the house of everyone is to him as his castle and fortress."
1700–1799	
1763	<i>Wilkes v. Wood</i> (1763), 98 Eng. Rep. 489, repudiates the use of a general warrant to search for documents relating to a pamphlet involving seditious libel. Influential in the creation of the Fourth Amendment.
1765	<i>Entick v. Carrington</i> (1765), 95 Eng. Rep. 807, is another repudiation of general warrants in a seditious libel case. Influential in the creation of the Fourth Amendment.
1789	U.S. Constitution—the First, Third, Fourth, and Fifth Amendments safeguard different aspects of privacy.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
1800–1899	
1860	U.S. Census becomes more inquisitive. Public outcry for greater census privacy.
1877	<i>Ex parte Jackson</i> , 96 U.S. 727 (1877)—U.S. Supreme Court holds that the Fourth Amendment protects sealed letters in the mail.
1886	<i>Boyd v. United States</i> , 116 U.S. 616 (1886)—U.S. Supreme Court holds that the government cannot compel people to turn over documents.
1890	Samuel D. Warren & Louis D. Brandeis, <i>The Right to Privacy</i> , 4 Harv. L. Rev. 193 (1890). This article inspires the recognition during the twentieth century of privacy torts in the majority of the states.
1900–1959	
1903	U.S. states begin to recognize privacy torts. New York enacts a law similar to Warren and Brandeis’s tort of appropriation. N.Y. Civ. Rights Law §§ 50-51. Georgia Supreme Court recognizes appropriation tort. <i>Pavesich v. New England Life Ins. Co.</i> , 50 S.E. 68 (Ga. 1905).
1908	The U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) is formed. Originally called the Bureau of Investigation.
1928	<i>Olmstead v. United States</i> , 277 U.S. 438 (1928). The U.S. Supreme Court holds that Fourth Amendment protections do not extend to wiretapping unless there is a “trespass” involved in this activity. Now on the Supreme Court, Justice Louis Brandeis writes a famous dissent to the majority opinion.
1934	In response to <i>Olmstead</i> , U.S. Congress enacts § 605 of the Federal Communications Act of 1934 to limit wiretapping.
1936	U.S. Social Security system begins. Creation of the Social Security number, which is not intended to be used in other programs or as a form of identification.
1947	The U.S. Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) is created.
1948	The Universal Declaration of Human Rights is adopted by the United Nations, protecting a right to privacy in Article 12.
1949	Publication of George Orwell’s <i>1984</i> . Birth of “Big Brother.”
1950	European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) is adopted, protecting the right to privacy in Article 8.
1952	U.S. President Harry Truman creates the National Security Agency (NSA).
1953	Seminal “right of publicity” case, <i>Haelan Laboratories v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc.</i> , 202 F.2d 866 (2d Cir. 1953), is decided.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
1960–1979	
1960	William L. Prosser, <i>Privacy</i> , 48 Cal. L. Rev. 383 (1960).
1961	<i>Mapp v. Ohio</i> , 367 U.S. 643 (1961)—the U.S. Supreme Court holds that the exclusionary rule for Fourth Amendment violations applies to the states.
1965	In <i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i> , 381 U.S. 479 (1965), the U.S. Supreme Court prevents the government from banning contraceptives. The <i>Griswold</i> Court finds the Constitution protects a right to privacy through the “penumbras” of many of the 10 amendments of the Bill of Rights.
1966	The U.S. Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) is enacted, which provides for access to information held by federal agencies as well as some exceptions to disclosure, included for reasons of privacy.
1967	In <i>Katz v. United States</i> , 389 U.S. 347 (1967), the U.S. Supreme Court finds police surveillance of a telephone booth violated the Fourth Amendment. The concurrence in the case by Justice John Marshall Harlan articulates the “reasonable expectation of privacy test,” a current leading approach for determining the Fourth Amendment’s applicability.
1967	Alan Westin publishes <i>Privacy and Freedom</i> .
1968	Title III of the U.S. Omnibus Crime and Control and Safe Streets Act is passed, a major revision of electronic surveillance law. Title III is now known as the Wiretap Act.
1970	In Wiesbaden, Germany, the Hessian Parliament enacts the world’s first comprehensive information privacy statute.
1970	FCRA.
1973	According to <i>Roe v. Wade</i> , 410 U.S. 113 (1973), the right to privacy “encompass[es] a woman’s decision whether or not to terminate her pregnancy.”
1973	The U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare (HEW) issues a report, <i>Records, Computers, and the Rights of Citizens</i> , articulating the Fair Information Practices (FIPs).
1974	U.S. Privacy Act.
1974	FERPA.
1974	Swedish Data Act.
1975	In the U.S. Senate, the Church Committee conducts a thorough investigation of surveillance abuses by the government. A similar investigation is carried out in the House of Representatives by the Pike Committee.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
1975	In <i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i> , 420 U.S. 469 (1975), the U.S. Supreme Court recognizes some First Amendment limitations on the privacy torts.
1976	In <i>United States v. Miller</i> , 425 U.S. 435 (1976), the U.S. Supreme Court holds that financial records possessed by third parties are not protected by the Fourth Amendment. The court articulates the “third party doctrine”—people lack a reasonable expectation of privacy in information conveyed to third parties.
1977	U.S. Supreme Court recognizes the constitutional right to information privacy—the “individual interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters” in <i>Whalen v. Roe</i> , 429 U.S. 589 (1977) and <i>Nixon v. Administrator of General Services</i> , 433 U.S. 425 (1977).
1977	German Federal Data Protection Act.
1978	French Data Protection Act.
1979	In <i>Smith v. Maryland</i> , 442 U.S. 735 (1979), the U.S. Supreme Court rules the Fourth Amendment does not apply to a pen register (the telephone numbers a person dials) because of the third-party doctrine—people cannot expect privacy in their phone numbers since they expose the information to the phone company.
1980–1989	
1980	OECD Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data.
1981	Israel’s Protection of Privacy Law.
1981	In Strasbourg, the Council of Europe releases its Convention No. 108 for the Protection of Individuals with Regard to Automatic Processing of Data, a treaty and the first binding international instrument to protect the individual “against abuses which may accompany the collection and processing of personal data.”
1983	The Federal Constitutional Court of Germany identifies a “right of informational self-determination” in the Basic Law, the German constitution.
1984	UK Data Protection Act.
1986	U.S. Congress passes the ECPA, creating the still binding statutory framework in the United States for regulating the electronic surveillance of communications.
1986	U.S. Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA).
1988	Australia passes the Privacy Act, which is based on the OECD Guidelines.
1988	U.S. Video Privacy Protection Act (VPPA).

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
1990–1999	
1992	Switzerland’s Federal Law on Data Protection.
1992	Israel’s Basic Law on Human Dignity and Freedom provides for a right to privacy.
1994	U.S. Driver’s Privacy Protection Act (DPPA).
1995	U.S. Communications Decency Act (CDA).
1996	U.S. Congress passes HIPAA. Title II of HIPAA requires the establishment of national standards for electronic data exchange and addresses issues concerning the privacy and security of health care information.
1996	The European Union promulgates the EU Data Protection Directive.
1996	Hong Kong Personal Data Ordinance.
1998	The FTC begins to bring actions against companies that violate their privacy policies.
1998	COPPA.
1999	Chile becomes first country in South America to enact a data protection law.
2000–2009	
2000	The Safe Harbor Agreement is established between the U.S. and EU for data sharing under the EU Data Protection Directive.
2000	Argentina enacts comprehensive data protection statute: the Law for the Protection of Personal Data. The EU Data Protection Directive strongly influences the Argentinean statute.
2001	USA Patriot Act.
2001	Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act (PIPEDA) takes effect in Canada.
2001	<i>Kyllo v. United States</i> , 533 U.S. 27 (2001)—the U.S. Supreme Court holds that the Fourth Amendment requires a warrant and probable cause before the government can use thermal sensors to detect activity in people’s homes.
2002	HHS issues final modifications to the HIPAA Privacy Rule.
2003	Japan enacts the Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA).
2004	APEC Privacy Framework.
2004	The European Court of Human Rights decides <i>Von Hannover v. Germany</i> , 2004-VI Eur. Ct. H.R. 41, recognizing privacy rights in certain public settings.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
2005	ChoicePoint, one of the largest data brokers, announces it sold personal data on more than 145,000 people to fraudulent companies established by a ring of identity thieves. Subsequently, numerous companies and organizations begin disclosing data security breaches. States begin to enact data security breach notification legislation in response.
2009	U.S. Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH Act), enacted as part of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, establishes a breach notification requirement for “covered entities” under HIPAA. It also extends HIPAA’s requirements for privacy and information security to the business associates of covered entities.
2010–2019	
2010	32nd International Conference of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners held in Jerusalem. One adopted resolution, proposed by the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario (Canada), calls for adoption of privacy by design within organizations in order to make privacy a default mode of operation.
2010	Mexico enacts the Federal Law for the Protection of Personal Data.
2012	In <i>United States v. Jones</i> , 132 S. Ct. 945 (2012), the U.S. Supreme Court finds that law enforcement’s installation of a GPS device to a car without a warrant is a search under the Fourth Amendment.
2012	European Commission proposes GDPR.
2013	HHS issues HIPAA Omnibus Final Rule.
2013	Edward Snowden leaks classified documents detailing numerous broad surveillance programs by the NSA.
2013	In <i>Clapper v. Amnesty International USA</i> , 568 U.S. 398 (2013), the U.S. Supreme Court denies standing to challengers to NSA surveillance.
2013	FTC issues Amendments to the COPPA Rule (July 2013).
2013	Supplemental, additional OECD Privacy Guidelines released.
2014	FTC celebrates 100th birthday.
2014	Several large data security breaches are announced by major retailers, including Target, Neiman Marcus, Home Depot, Kmart, and others.
2014	In <i>Riley v. California</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2473 (2014), the U.S. Supreme Court holds that a warrant is generally required to search digital information on a cellphone seized pursuant to an individual’s arrest.
2014	InBloom closes (in part) due to privacy concerns. Numerous states enact new privacy laws for K-12 students.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF PRIVACY LAW: A TIMELINE	
2014	In Case C-131/12, <i>Google Spain SL v. Agencia Española de Protección da Datos</i> (May 13, 2014), the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) requires a search engine to remove a link to a search result that violates the “right to be forgotten.”
2015	In <i>FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp.</i> , 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015), the FTC wins a case posing the most significant challenge thus far to the FTC’s regulatory authority. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit holds that the FTC has broad powers to regulate data security under the FTC Act.
2015	In Case C-362/14, <i>Schrems v. Data Protection Commissioner</i> (Oct. 6, 2015) (<i>Schrems I</i>), the CJEU invalidates the Safe Harbor agreement.
2016	The GDPR is published in the E.U. Office Journal on May 24, 2016.
2016	The EU-U.S. Privacy Shield, the successor to the Safe Harbor, enters into force on July 12, 2016.
2018	The GDPR becomes binding on May 25, 2018.
2018	California enacts the California Consumer Protection Act (CCPA).
2018	In <i>Carpenter v. United States</i> , 138 S. Ct. 2206 (U.S. 2018), the U.S. Supreme Court provides limits to the third-party doctrine under the Fourth Amendment.
2019	The FTC issues a \$5 billion fine against Facebook in connection with the Cambridge Analytica incident, the largest fine the FTC has issued for privacy violations.
2020–Present	
2020	In Case C-311/18 <i>Data Protection Commissioner v Facebook Ireland Limited and Maximilian Schrems</i> (July 16, 2020) (<i>Schrems II</i>), the CJEU invalidates the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework and holds that even with standard contractual clauses (SCCs) or binding corporate rules (BCRs), additional measures might be needed to address government surveillance.
2020	In August 2020, Brazil’s comprehensive privacy law, the Lei Geral de Proteção de Dados Pessoais (LGPD), goes into effect.
2020	California passes the California Privacy Rights Act (CPRA) by referendum. The act strengthens the CCPA and creates an independent privacy enforcement agency, the California Privacy Protection Agency (CPPA).
2021	Virginia and Colorado pass broad privacy laws using the CCPA as a model.
2021	China enacts its first comprehensive privacy law, the Personal Information Protection Law (PIPL).

FOR FURTHER REFERENCE

Treatises

Lothar Determann, *California Privacy Law* (4th ed. 2020)

Andrew B. Serwin, *Information Security and Privacy: A Guide to International Law and Compliance* (2016)

Lisa J. Sotto, *Privacy and Cybersecurity Law Deskbook* (2022)

General Sources

Anita L. Allen, *Uneasy Access: Privacy for Women in a Free Society* (1988)
Provides a valuable overview of philosophical accounts of privacy's definition and value.

Danielle Keats Citron, *Sexual Privacy*, 128 *Yale L.J.* 1870 (2019)
Discussing the importance of privacy for people's intimate lives and why protecting privacy is essential for protecting women.

Colin J. Bennett and Charles D. Raab, *The Governance of Privacy: Policy Instruments in Global Perspective* (2006)
A thoughtful study of the political landscape of privacy policymaking around the world.

Michelle Finneran Denedy, Jonathan Fox, and Thomas R. Finneran, *The Privacy Engineer's Manifesto: Getting from Policy to Code to QA to Value* (2014)
A detailed and concrete discussion about Privacy by Design and how to implement privacy in the development of technology.

Sarah Igo, *The Known Citizen: A History of Privacy in Modern America* (2018)
Tracing the rise of modern American concepts of privacy, and depicting how Americans have viewed the line between private matters and public identity over the 19th and 20th centuries.

Viktor Mayer-Schönberger, *Delete: The Virtue of Forgetting in the Digital Age* (2009)
A powerful depiction of the legal, social and cultural implications of a world that no longer remembers how to forget. Advocates, among other solutions, for an expiration date for information in different settings and contexts.

Helen Nissenbaum, *Privacy in Context: Technology, Policy, and the Integrity of Social Life* (2010)
An illuminating theory for understanding privacy in its social context.

Frank Pasquale, *The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information* (2015)

Argues the detailed profiles that companies are creating about people have profound implications for their reputations and opportunities, as well as for society. The algorithms used to make automated decisions based on personal data are often hidden, and they should be more transparent. The law should also ensure that important decisions be made fairly and in a non-discriminatory manner.

Richard A. Posner, *The Right of Privacy*, 12 Ga. L. Rev. 393 (1978)

A critique of privacy from a pioneer of law and economics.

Robert C. Post, *The Social Foundations of Privacy: Community and Self in the Common Law Tort*, 77 Cal. L. Rev. 957 (1989)

A valuable argument about how privacy is a social value, not just an individual right.

Priscilla M. Regan, *Legislating Privacy: Technology, Social Values, and Public Policy* (1995)

Illuminating study of how and why Congress enacted certain privacy laws.

Neil Richards, *Intellectual Privacy: Rethinking Civil Liberties in the Digital Age* (2015)

Argues that surveillance—by both the government and private sector entities—threatens freedom of speech, belief, and intellectual exploration.

Neil Richards, *Why Privacy Matters* (2021)

A philosophical and concrete account of the importance of privacy.

Jeffrey Rosen, *The Unwanted Gaze: The Destruction of Privacy in America* (2000)

Views privacy as protecting “a space for negotiating legitimately different views of the good life” and examines the loss of private spaces in modern life.

Paul M. Schwartz, *Privacy and Democracy in Cyberspace*, 52 Vand. L. Rev. 1609 (1999)

An account of the importance of protecting the privacy of digital communications.

Daniel J. Solove, *Understanding Privacy* (2008)

A theory of what privacy is and why it is valuable.

Ari Waldman, *Industry Unbound: The Inside Story of Privacy, Data, and Corporate Power* (2021)

A hard-hitting critique of why current privacy programs and compliance with privacy law fail to provide adequate protection.

Alan Westin, *Privacy and Freedom* (1967)

An early classic work about information privacy, providing an insightful account of the value privacy contributes to individuals and society.

Shoshana Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism* (2019)

Analyzing the “new economic order that claims human experience as free raw material for hidden commercial practices of extraction, prediction, and sales.”