



Pharmacotherapeutic interventions for bipolar disorder type II: addressing multiple symptoms and approaches with a particular emphasis on strategies in lower and middle-income countries

Brian Godman, Christoffel Grobler, Marianne Van-De-Lisle, Janney Wale, Wallace Breno Barbosa, Amos Massele, Philip Opondo, Guenka Petrova, Konstantin Tachkov, Israel Sefah, Suhaj Abdulsalim, Alian A. Alrasheedy, Mazhuvancherry Kesavan Unnikrishnan, Kristina Garuoliene, Kayode Bamitale, Dan Kibuule, Francis Kalemeera, Joseph Fadare, Tanveer Ahmed Khan, Shahzad Hussain, Tomasz Bochenek, Aubrey Chichonyi Kalungia, James Mwanza, Antony P Martin, Ruairaidh Hill & Corrado Barbui

To cite this article: Brian Godman, Christoffel Grobler, Marianne Van-De-Lisle, Janney Wale, Wallace Breno Barbosa, Amos Massele, Philip Opondo, Guenka Petrova, Konstantin Tachkov, Israel Sefah, Suhaj Abdulsalim, Alian A. Alrasheedy, Mazhuvancherry Kesavan Unnikrishnan, Kristina Garuoliene, Kayode Bamitale, Dan Kibuule, Francis Kalemeera, Joseph Fadare, Tanveer Ahmed Khan, Shahzad Hussain, Tomasz Bochenek, Aubrey Chichonyi Kalungia, James Mwanza, Antony P Martin, Ruairaidh Hill & Corrado Barbui (2019) Pharmacotherapeutic interventions for bipolar disorder type II: addressing multiple symptoms and approaches with a particular emphasis on strategies in lower and middle-income countries, *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy*, 20:18, 2237-2255, DOI: [10.1080/14656566.2019.1684473](https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2019.1684473)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2019.1684473>



© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 25 Nov 2019.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 2347



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



Citing articles: 7 View citing articles [↗](#)

REVIEW



Pharmacotherapeutic interventions for bipolar disorder type II: addressing multiple symptoms and approaches with a particular emphasis on strategies in lower and middle-income countries

Brian Godman ^{a,b,c,d}, Christoffel Grobler ^{e,f,g}, Marianne Van-De-Lisle^h, Janney Waleⁱ, Wallace Breno Barbosa ^j, Amos Massele^k, Philip Opondo ^l, Guenka Petrova ^m, Konstantin Tachkov ⁿ, Israel Sefah ^o, Suhaj Abdulsalim^o, Alian A. Alrasheedy ^p, Mazhuvancherry Kesavan Unnikrishnan^p, Kristina Garuoliene^q, Kayode Bamitale^r, Dan Kibuule ^r, Francis Kalemeera^r, Joseph Fadare^s, Tanveer Ahmed Khan^t, Shahzad Hussain^t, Tomasz Bochenek^u, Aubrey Chichonyi Kalungia ^v, James Mwanza^w, Antony P Martin^{d,x}, Ruairaidh Hill^y and Corrado Barbui ^z

^aDepartment of Pharmacoepidemiology, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK; ^bDivision of Clinical Pharmacology, Karolinska, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ^cDepartment of Public Health Pharmacy and Management, School of Pharmacy, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Garankuwa, South Africa; ^dHealth Economics Centre, University of Liverpool Management School, Liverpool, UK; ^eElizabeth Donkin Hospital, Port Elizabeth, South Africa; ^fWalter Sisulu University, East London, South Africa; ^gNelson Mandela University, Port Elizabeth, South Africa; ^hLead Clinical Pharmacist, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Edinburgh, UK; ⁱIndependent consumer advocate, Brunswick, Australia; ^jDepartment of Social Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil; ^kDepartment of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana; ^lDepartment of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana; ^mFaculty of Pharmacy, Department of Social Pharmacy and Pharmacoeconomics, Medical University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria; ⁿDepartment of Pharmacy, Keta Municipal Hospital, Ghana Health Service, Keta, Ghana; ^oUnaizah College of Pharmacy, Qassim University, Buraidah Saudi Arabia; ^pDepartment of Pharmacy Practice, National College of Pharmacy, Kozhikode, India; ^qDepartment of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Lithuania and Ministry of Health, Vilnius, Lithuania; ^rSchool of Pharmacy, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia; ^sDepartment of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, College of Medicine, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria; ^tNational Institute of Health, Islamabad, Pakistan; ^uDepartment of Drug Management, Faculty of Health Sciences, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland; ^vDepartment of Pharmacy, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia; ^wDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia; ^xHCD Economics, The Innovation Centre, Daresbury, UK; ^yLiverpool Reviews and Implementation Group, Whelan Building, Liverpool University, Liverpool, UK; ^zWHO Collaborating Centre for Research and Training in Mental Health and Service Evaluation, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, Section of Psychiatry, University of Verona, Verona Italy

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Appropriately managing mental disorders is a growing priority across countries in view of the impact on morbidity and mortality. This includes patients with bipolar disorders (BD). Management of BD is a concern as this is a complex disease with often misdiagnosis, which is a major issue in lower and middle-income countries (LMICs) with typically a limited number of trained personnel and resources. This needs to be addressed.

Areas covered: Medicines are the cornerstone of managing patients with Bipolar II across countries including LMICs. The choice of medicines, especially antipsychotics, is important in LMICs with high rates of diabetes and HIV. However, care is currently compromised in LMICs by issues such as the stigma, cultural beliefs, a limited number of trained professionals and high patient co-payments.

Expert opinion: Encouragingly, some LMICs have introduced guidelines for patients with BD; however, this is very variable. Strategies for the future include addressing the lack of national guidelines for patients with BD, improving resources for mental disorders including personnel, improving medicine availability and patients' rights, and monitoring prescribing against agreed guidelines. A number of strategies have been identified to improve the treatment of patients with Bipolar II in LMICs, and will be followed up.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 30 April 2019
Accepted 21 October 2019

KEYWORDS



Bipolar disorders; bipolar disorder type II; lower- and middle-income countries; health policies; treatment; pharmaceuticals; differential diagnosis

1. Introduction

1.1. General

The treatment of mental disorders is an increasing priority worldwide as these disorders currently account for between 10% and 13% of the global disease burden and they are also a leading cause of years lived with disability [1–6]. In addition, currently over 800,000 people die annually globally from suicide, which is

a leading cause of death in people aged between 15 and 34 years [7]. The global burden of these disorders has risen in recent years, especially among lower and middle-income countries (LMICs), as a result of demographic, environmental, unrest and socio-political changes [8–10]. For instance, there has been an appreciable increase in the burden of mental disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean Region in recent years with increasing levels of instability as well as stigma associated with mental health [10–12]. In Ethiopia, mental illness is now the leading non-

CONTACT Brian Godman  brian.godman@strath.ac.uk  Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0RE, United Kingdom

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

Article Highlights

- There are challenges to appropriately manage patients with mental disorders in lower and middle-income countries (LMICs) due to limited government spending and a limited number of trained personnel compared with high-income countries.
- The prevalence of bipolar disease (BD) has increased appreciably in recent years and is now one of the most disabling diseases worldwide.
- The management of BD disease is challenging as it can be difficult to differentiate between the different BD states and between unipolar depression and Bipolar II (BP-II), and there can be appreciable delays in diagnosis especially in LMICs.
- The management of BP-II includes both pharmacological (lithium, anticonvulsants, antipsychotics, and antidepressants) and non-pharmacological approaches; however, there is currently variable availability of standard treatment guidelines in LMICs to guide patient care and the prescribing of lithium will depend on available monitoring facilities.
- The choice of antipsychotic is particularly important in sub-Saharan Africa with high rates of overweight, obesity and Type 2 diabetes, with care also needed in patients with HIV and BD as some treatments for HIV can increase mental disorders.

This box summarizes key points contained in the article.

communicable disease (NCD) in terms of its overall burden [13], and in Lebanon, approximately one-quarter of the population have had at least one mental disorder with 10.5% of the population experiencing more than one disorder at some stage [14]. In Nigeria, up to 20–30% of population suffer from mental disorders [15,16], and in South Africa, the lifetime prevalence of any mental disorder is 30.3% [17]. High rates of mental health disorders are also seen in Morocco [18]. This burden will continue rising unless adequately addressed, increasing the urgency to identify and appropriately manage patients with mental disorders. However, there are challenges as there is currently limited government spending on mental disorders in LMICs including Africa [19,20]. For instance among African countries, spending on mental disorders has been less than 1% of total health-related expenditures in recent years [19]. Currently, only US\$0.1 per capita is being spent on mental health services by governments in the African region versus an average of US\$21.7 among European countries [20]. Overall, the median expenditure per capita being spent by governments on patients with mental health disorders in 2016 was only US\$0.02 in low-income countries, rising to US\$1.05 in lower middle-income countries and US\$2.62 in higher middle-income countries [20]. This though compares with US\$80.24 in higher-income countries [20]. In view of the lack of spending on mental health services, it is perhaps not surprising that in low-income countries there are less than 2 mental health workers per 100,000 population [21], averaging just 0.9 among African countries [20]. In Zimbabwe as a low-income country, just 12 psychiatrists treat a population of 14 million [22]. In Ghana despite being a higher-income country than Zimbabwe, there is still a considerable gap between the number of patients with mental health disorders and available facilities to treat them [23], with fewer than 20 psychiatrists throughout Ghana [23,24]. There are only approximately 200 psychiatrists in Nigeria serving a population of 170 million, with only 10% of the population with common but serious mental disorders receiving minimally

adequate treatment [25]. In Tunisia, there are currently only 3.7 mental health nurses per 100,000 of the population and 2.9 psychosocial care providers [26]; however, there are ongoing initiatives to improve mental health provision among nonspecialists including primary health-care physicians to help address current deficiencies in care provision [11]. Even in South Africa, only approximately 1 in 4 patients with mental disorders receive some form of treatment [27]. Overall, it is estimated that more than 45% of the world's population live in countries with less than one psychiatrist for 100,000 patients [28], and more than 75% of people live in LMICs where there is limited or no access to mental health services [3]. A limited number of psychiatric beds per capita among LMICs places further strain on the appropriate management of patients with mental disorders in these countries [29,30]. Access to effective interventions including medicines is also a concern in a number of LMICs. Patient co-payments for medicines can also be high with potentially catastrophic consequences for families if family members become ill [31,32]. Drug shortages can also affect treatment approaches [23,33,34]. In addition, currently only a minority of people affected by mental disorders in LMICs receive even basic treatment worsened, as mentioned, by a lack of trained professionals to support these patients [3–5,19,35,36]. As a result, there can be an over-reliance on pharmacotherapy to treat mental disorders where these are available [33].

A lack of training of health-care professionals in a number of LMICs, the stigma associated with mental disorders exacerbated by preconceptions and cultural issues as well as a lack of clear referral systems and support to treat mental disorders, all negatively impact on care provision alongside concerns with access to care and appropriate treatment [3,4,12,16,23,37–49]. There is also considerable use of traditional medicines and faith healers in a number of LMICs which may also have a negative impact on patient outcomes; however, this may not always be the case [50–52]. With respect to stigma, in Botswana patients with mental disorders can often be seen as untrustworthy and cognitively impaired; consequently, they can be discriminated against in their working environment [53]. Domestic violence and issues of stigma are also reported among patients with mental disorders in Pakistan [54] and in Tunisia, there is also still a considerable social stigma associated with severe mental illness; however, less for patients with bipolar disorders than schizophrenia [12]. These barriers and threats to appropriate diagnosis and management of mental disorders need to be addressed to improve future care in LMICs [8]. Adequately addressing human rights' issues in patients with mental disorders could also help in the longer term to improve the care of patients with mental health disorders [7,8], with ongoing activities among LMICs to address this [20,55–58].

Consequently, multiple issues including available infrastructure as well as beliefs are currently appreciable barriers to the majority of patients with mental disorders receiving adequate care in LMICs, enhancing the chronicity of their poor mental health as well as increasing their suffering and costs [2]. Progress is now being made to address a number of these issues through the WHO mGAP project [23,59,60]. This builds on the 2009 WHO AIMS (World Health Organization Assessment Instrument for Mental Health Systems) report for LMICs [35] to

improve access to and the appropriate use of medicines. In addition, helping to scale up mental health services using a wide range of different professionals. The WHO also introduced the QualityRights initiative in 2013 to improve the care of patients with mental health disorders [57,58,61,62], with studies in sites such as Gujarat in India showing that the QualityRights programme can be effectively implemented in resource-limited setting to improve the quality of mental health services [61]. We are also aware of the Partnership for Mental Health Development in Africa (PaM-D) to bring together diverse stakeholders to help create an infrastructure to develop mental health research capacity and science. This will be achieved through conducting innovative public health-relevant research and seeking to link research to policy development [63]. In addition, the Programme for Improving Mental health care (PRIME) project, which looks at the feasibility of task-sharing mental health care in LMICs to further improve the care of these patients [36], as well as partnership networks in low resource settings via nonprofit organizations to improve the care of patients with mental illness [64]. However, there is still room for considerable improvement among LMICs [24,41,63,65].

1.2. Bipolar disorders (BD) including prevalence and burden of illness

BD is not just one disorder but several, with the age at onset typically being late adolescence into young adulthood [66–71]. BP-I (Bipolar I) is characterized by episodes of mania. According to DSM-5, BP-I represents a classic manic depressive disorder although neither a depressive episode nor psychosis needs to be present for diagnosis [72]. However, there is ongoing controversy whether unipolar mania should be a separate diagnosis from BD-I [73]. BP-II (Bipolar II) is characterized by less severe manic symptoms, classified as hypomania, however combined with depressive episodes [69,70,74]. Affected people often experience prolonged episodes of depression followed by periodic hypomanic episodes [69,75]. However, there is an ongoing debate about the definition of hypomania [70] and concerns whether different rating scales for diagnosing (hypo)mania are interchangeable [76]. In practice, it can be difficult to differentiate between different BD disorders and other similar conditions, exacerbated by the presence of mixed states of BD, including depressive symptoms coexisting with manic symptoms [70,74,77–81]. BD patients are typically sensitive to depressive symptoms but may not recognize their hypomanic or manic symptoms [69].

The prevalence of BD has increased appreciably in recent years, with a 49.1% increase between 1990 and 2013 [81–83]. However, this may well be due to a greater diagnosis of Bipolar Spectrum Disorders rather than appreciably increased prevalence rates. Having said this, increasing urbanization seen in recent years also appears to increase the prevalence of psychiatric disorders including BD [84–87]. This is a concern with a positive relationship seen between increasing urbanization and increasing number of suicides [88]. Prevalence rates for BD vary across countries with an estimated prevalence of more than 1% of the world's population [69,89,90] up to 5% [69,83,91–96]. In their recent review, Clemente et al. (2015) estimated that the pooled 1-year prevalence of BP-I was 0.71%

(95%CI 0.56–0.86) principally among higher-income countries and 0.50% (95%CI 0.35–0.64) for BP-II [83]. Among LMICs in the study by Merikangas et al. (2011), India had the lowest prevalence of BP-I (0.1%) and BP-II (0.1%) [95]. There were also low rates in Lebanon (BP-I 0.4%, BP-II 0.5%) and Romania (BP-I 0.1%, BP-II 0.3%), but higher rates seen in Brazil (BP-I 0.9%, BP-II 0.2%), Colombia (BP-I 0.7%, BP-II 0.4%) and Mexico (BP-I 0.7%, BP-II 0.1) [95]. Among LMICs in Africa, in Ethiopia and Nigeria community surveys have suggested a lifetime prevalence of BD at 0.1% to 1.83% [97], although these could be underestimates [98]. In South Africa, an estimated 3–4% of the population have BD [99]. Underestimates for the prevalence of BD persist in LMICs as this is often misdiagnosed among patients with recurrent depression, which has resulted in calls for these patients to be screened more effectively, especially to try and detect BP-II [100]. In addition, late-onset BD also appears underestimated arising from for instance misleading presentations and therapeutic difficulties due to a high prevalence of somatic comorbidities in these patients as seen in Tunisia and other countries [101].

BD is seen as one of the most disabling conditions worldwide [96], with a global burden of 9.9 million disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in 2013 [82]. BD is a greater burden to health-care systems than, for instance, cancer, epilepsy, and Alzheimer's disease combined [66], with a 40.9% increase in DALYs attributable to BD between 1990 and 2010 [70] and 49.1% between 1990 and 2013 [82]. However, BD does not have the same emotive issues as seen with patients with cancer or orphan diseases [102–105]. Consequently, the appropriate management of patients with BD has not typically received the same level of attention among policymakers.

The direct medical costs associated with BD can be high because of the appreciable use of medical services [66,67,106], often exacerbated by misdiagnosis and frequent psychiatric comorbidities [107]. However, there are few published studies on the costs of BD in LMICs although we are aware that there have been reviews examining the economic burden of caregiving for persons with severe mental illness in sub-Saharan Africa [97,108,109]. The cost of medicines will be a key cost component in LMICs in line with other disease areas [31]. Indirect costs for patients with BD can also be high due to its impact on patients and their families, their employers and society as a whole [66,70].

The effective management of BD is critical because BD is a serious often disabling condition that can be fatal [68]. Extra care is also needed during occasions such as Ramadan, which was associated with higher rates of relapses among patients with BD in Morocco [110]. The risk of suicide is high during the depressive episodes of BD [71], with approximately 17% of patients with BP-I and 24% of patients with BP-II or higher are likely to attempt suicide during the course of their illness [69,70,111]. This is important given an increasing rate of suicides in LMICs in recent years, with 79% of global suicides now occurring in LMICs [6,112,113]. Between 15% and 20% attempts prove fatal [114]. As a result, BD may account for a quarter of all completed suicides [115]. In addition, patients with severe mental disorders have two to three times higher average mortality compared with the general population, which reduces life expectancy by 10–20 years [9].

A considerable proportion of BD patients, even in clinical remission, also live with significant functional impairment as seen by high DALY rates [82,96,116–118], with often poor quality of life among patients with BD [92,119].

In view of this, there is an urgent need across countries to identify and effectively treat patients with BD in order to reduce morbidity and mortality rates [90]. During the initial stages of BD, patient's symptoms can be confusing and challenging to diagnose due to heterogeneous clinical presentations [96]. It can be difficult to distinguish mania from other psychiatric disorders, including schizophrenia. The psychosis episodes may be acute and transient, which makes misdiagnosis of BD patients common [90,97,111]. There are also concerns about confusion of patients with BP-II with unipolar depression among health-care professionals, with an estimated 35–45% of BD patients initially misdiagnosed with unipolar depression [81,90,96,115]. As a result, delays of several years can occur between an initial diagnosis of a mental disorder and a diagnosis of BD [96]. BD patients can also experience intense, rapidly shifting emotional states [120], with BD patients experiencing a greater number of mood swings than others impacting on their functioning [121]. Co-morbidity with other psychiatric disorders is also common making treatment complex [69].

Consequently, the principal objective of this paper is to review current treatment recommendations for patients with BP-II. Subsequently, discuss potential issues and challenges among LMICs in managing patients with BP-II in view of the concerns that have been raised. These include issues with limited available services and trained personnel making differential diagnosis and appropriate management challenging [90,96,122,123]. Finally, we will discuss possible ways forward to improve the management of patients with BP-II in LMICs building on the considerable experiences of the coauthors. As a result, we will hopefully provide guidance for all key stakeholder groups on potential ways to improve the future management of patients with BD in LMICs. We are unaware of any published studies that have focused exclusively on current challenges in the management of BP-II among LMICs, which is of critical importance given the many challenges that exist in these countries.

2. Methodology

A number of reviews and guidelines have been published in this area, including burden of illness studies and studies of attitudes toward antipsychotic treatment [43,66–69,78,82,90,96,97,107,124–126]. Consequently, we did not undertake a formal systematic review. We have based this overview and suggested activities on pertinent publications known to the coauthors as well as their extensive experiences across countries as there are only a limited number of publications on BD and its management in LMICs, with most publications including guidelines coming from high-income countries. This is a concern given the considerable challenges that patients with BD face in LMICs with access to appropriate care including medicines. The coauthors have considerable knowledge of activities in their own countries to try and address current challenges and we have used this information to contextualize potential ways forward. This builds on current activities by the WHO and others. The countries specifically chosen to provide additional insight into current challenges and potential ways

forward in LMICs reflect a range of continents, incomes, diversities, and support systems. These are principally lower- and upper-middle-income countries since the challenges experienced in these countries will be enhanced in low-income countries. However, this is not always the case, e.g. Ethiopia, which is a low-income country, has developed a comprehensive strategy in recent years to improve the care of patients with mental health disorders in line with the WHO mGAP initiative [13,127]. We are also aware that for instance, it is currently easier to monitor lithium levels in patients with BD in Kenya, which is a low middle-income country compared with Botswana, which is an upper-middle-income country.

We have used such approaches before to stimulate debate in priority disease areas to provide future guidance [128–137]. The 2018 World Bank classification has been used to categorize countries into LMICs or upper-income countries [138] wherever pertinent.

3. Current management and challenges with treating patients with BD especially BP-II

We will first discuss current management approaches for patients with BD including current controversies, which are typically based on publications involving high-income countries given the paucity of publications from LMICs, before specifically discussing the situation in LMICs. Finally, we will debate current challenges and the ways forward (under Expert Opinion) to provide future guidance for LMICs seeking to improve their care of patients with BD.

3.1. Current management approaches for patients with BD especially BD-II

The management of BD, especially BP-II, includes both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions in the acute phases of mania (or hypomania), in depressive episodes, as well as for long-term therapy to prevent recurrences [69–71,125,139]. Given the difficulties, several screening instruments have been developed to aid diagnosis; however, concerns have been raised with their sensitivity, especially in community settings [69,140]. Typically, detailed questioning of patients is needed to enhance the diagnosis of BD and in particular the different types [67,69].

Pharmacological interventions are seen as the cornerstone for managing acute mania or for those who suffer a manic episode while on long-term treatment [70,90,125,139]. Lithium was the first treatment used for the management of acute mania [69,96,141,142]. Because of the side-effects and the risk of mania after acute withdrawal associated with lithium, other therapies have since been approved and prescribed including carbamazepine, valproate, and lamotrigine [67,69–71,141,143]. Valproate has largely replaced lithium in a number of countries due to concerns with adequately monitoring blood levels [67,70,143] although lithium is still prescribed and included in clinical practice guidelines where possible as it has greater anti-suicidal and other effects compared with other mood stabilizers [90,125,142,143]. Similar treatments are used for hypomania [69]. Typically, mood stabilizers such as lithium and valproate can be combined with an antipsychotic such as quetiapine, aripiprazole, risperidone or olanzapine as

a more effective treatment of mania, especially for patients with more severe mania [67,69,71,81,96,144].

The choice of antipsychotic is particularly important in sub-Saharan Africa. This region has high and growing rates of obesity and diabetes. For instance in Nigeria, 62% and 49% of adults are currently overweight or obese, respectively, [145] and over 50% of the population in South Africa is currently overweight or obese [146]. In Botswana, 6% of adults currently have diabetes with prevalence rates rising with increasing rates of obesity [147], with similar rates seen in Namibia [148]. Overall, the prevalence of diabetes is growing across Africa, with an estimated 16 million adults currently having diabetes [149]. This is likely to grow to 41 million by 2045 with increasing urbanization and changing lifestyles [150–152]. Consequently, any treatment prescribed to overweight or diabetic patients with BD must include lifestyle changes tailored to the specific population [9]. In addition, prescribers must be cognizant of the weight gain potential with antipsychotic medicines such as olanzapine versus for instance risperidone and quetiapine (moderate weight gain potential) and aripiprazole (low weight gain potential) [153]. Co-morbidities are a particular issue in patients with BD as adherence to therapies is already a concern [125,139]. This will be a particular issue in patients with both mental disorders and HIV necessitating additional psychosocial support [9,53,154,155], with high rates of HIV seen in sub-Saharan Africa [154]. There are also concerns that some treatments for HIV may also result in mental disorders warranting greater care [155] with these patients again needing to be carefully managed. This is unlike the situation in high-income countries.

Acute bipolar depressive episodes are usually managed in ambulatory care unless there is an imminent risk of suicide [67]. Typically, antipsychotics such as quetiapine are used to treat bipolar depression.

However, to date, there are only a limited number of US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved antipsychotics for bipolar depression. These include lurasidone, olanzapine (combined with fluoxetine) and quetiapine [69,71,90,125], although cariprazine has recently been approved by the FDA for BD depression [156]. Generally, lower starting doses and slower titration are needed when treating depression compared with mania because patients with depression are more sensitive and less tolerant to treatment [69]. Given the limited number of approved medicines, off-label use of olanzapine monotherapy or in combination with lithium is common [69]. There is currently controversy surrounding the prescribing of anticonvulsants for depressive episodes as well as the prescribing of antidepressants during acute bipolar depression and maintenance [69,71,81,96]. However, others have suggested that lamotrigine can be prescribed as first-line treatment for bipolar depression [71]. The risks of using antidepressants include increased mood cycling and possibly rapid cycling [67,115,157,158]. This is a concern given the perceived overuse of antidepressants among BD patients in LMICs [96]. However, co-prescribing an antidepressant with an antimanic medication such as lithium, valproate, or quetiapine, may reduce the risk of switching to mania or hypomania [67,125]. In addition, there may be less cycling with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) than other antidepressants [70]. Electroconvulsive treatment (ECT) has

also been shown to be effective in BP-II patients with resistant depression [69,90,125].

With typically 50% cases of recurring within 12 months, preventative strategies are usually prescribed to reduce these following acute episodes [69,70,96]. In BP-II patients, though controversial, this typically includes a mood stabilizer such as lithium or valproate combined with an antipsychotic such as quetiapine or possibly an antidepressant [67,69,96]. However, antidepressants should be avoided in patients with poor outcomes to such treatments or in patients with recurrent mixed symptoms [67,157,159]. Generally, patient attitudes toward antipsychotics for maintenance are positive, which is encouraging [126].

In addition, ideally, maintenance treatment should be aligned with the type of BD, which includes addressing predominantly depressive symptoms in patients with BP-II [69]. For instance, a patient with BP-II may have a better response to an anticonvulsant such as lamotrigine as well as potentially olanzapine or quetiapine, and are more likely to be co-prescribed an antidepressant [67,69,125,160]. Approaches to improve current poor medication adherence rates include potential electronic monitoring of patients [161]. This is important since, in their recent review, Jaracz et al. found in Poland that 61% of BD patients were prescribed two medicines, including mood stabilizers, atypical antipsychotics, and lamotrigine, with 22% prescribed three or more medicines [162]. The most common combination was a mood stabilizer with an atypical antipsychotic (48%). Greater polypharmacy was seen in BP-I versus BP-II patients [162], with polypharmacy known to affect adherence rates in practice [163–165].

Medication assessment tools are also being developed to appraise prescribers' adherence to evidence-based guidelines for BD, with such approaches likely to improve care across countries [166]. Adherence to guidelines has been seen as a better indicator of the quality of prescribing in ambulatory care compared with current WHO/INRUD metrics, including the number of medicines prescribed [167].

3.2. Current management of BD across LMICs

As mentioned, there is generally a paucity of papers describing prevalence rates and management of patients with BD in LMICs.

A recent paper by Samalin et al. reviewing the management of BD in six LMICs documented a higher prevalence of BD-I (72.2%) than BD-II (25.7%) [96]. Overall, 67.2% of BD patients were prescribed antipsychotics at initial diagnosis, with 51.1% prescribed first-generation antipsychotics and 51.6% second-generation antipsychotics. There were concerns with the overuse of antidepressants with 44.4% of patients prescribed an antidepressant at initial diagnosis, principally SSRIs (67.2%), with 29.7% prescribed mood stabilizers at initial diagnosis [96]. This changed for long-term maintenance with 87.5% receiving mood stabilizers, mainly anticonvulsants (84.3%) and antipsychotics (83.4%), which were mainly second-generation (79.6%) – more in BP-II than BP-I patients (91.8% vs. 75.9%, respectively). Overall, 36.1% of patients were prescribed antidepressants, mainly SSRIs, principally in BP-II versus BP-I patients (58.1% vs. 27.8%) [96]. The time from initial diagnosis of a mental health disorder to diagnosis of BP-II was a median of 2.2 years [96].

Table 1 depicts the current management of BD across a wide range of LMICs with special emphasis on BP-II acknowledging concerns with the current paucity of data as well as current controversies [168]. Table 1 emphasizes that the management of BD is variable across LMICs; however, pharmacotherapy is seen as the cornerstone of care in all documented countries. In addition, some LMICs have introduced standard treatment guidelines for patients with BD whilst others have not.

3.3. Challenges to the appropriate management of patients with BD-II especially in LMICs

There are several important challenges to the appropriate management of patients with BD-II in LMICs. These include concerns with an accurate diagnosis as well as the availability of trained professionals and appropriate pharmacotherapies. Table 2 and Box 1 discuss a number of these issues among selected target LMICs before discussing potential ways forward (Section 5).

There are a number of common challenges to the management of patients with BD-II in LMICs building on comments in the Introduction and Table 2. In addition to concerns with stigma, common challenges include the use of traditional medicines and faith healers as well as cultural issues associated with the management of patients with mental disorders [16,40,43,44,46,47,49]. Common challenges and themes can be divided into infrastructure and financial issues as well as treatment issues (Box 1). These will be explored further along with other key issues in Expert Opinion (Section 5).

At the very least, LMICs need to ensure the following medicines need to be made routinely available for patients with BP-II in line with the 2019 WHO Essential Medicine List [227]:

- carbamazepine Tablet (scored): 100 mg; 200 mg.
- lithium carbonate – solid oral dosage form: 300 mg.
- valproic acid (sodium valproate) Tablet (enteric-coated): 200 mg; 500 mg (sodium valproate).

Valproic acid (or potentially carbamazepine) is particularly important where there are lack of facilities to monitor lithium blood levels. In addition, second-generation anti-psychotics such as aripiprazole and quetiapine are becoming cheaper to help address issues of weight gain.

4. Conclusion

There are a range of activities and actions that LMICs might consider in the future to address existing barriers and challenges to the management of patients with BP-II. These include improving access to services and treatment including medicines, with potentially mobile clinics and improved training helping [11,228]. Addressing these challenges represents a unique opportunity to address the broader issue of increasing access to treatments and care for patients with mental disorders generally. This includes addressing the current lack of attention to mental disorders, which remains a central barrier to care not only in LMICs but also in several high-income countries.

The Gulbenkian Mental Health Platform, an initiative of the Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation, and the WHO Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, identified a number of simple, evidence-based actions that policy-makers, public health professionals and prescribers, especially in LMICs, should undertake to improve access to, and appropriate use of, medicines for mental disorders including BD. They include: (1) developing an evidence-based medicine selection process; (2) promoting information and education activities for health-care professionals and users on the selection process; (3) regulating psychotropic medicine availability; (4) implementing a reliable health and supply chain system; (5) ensuring the quality of psychotropic medicines including generics; (6) developing a community-based system of mental health care; (7) developing policies on the affordability of medicines; (8) developing pricing policies and fostering a sustainable financing system; (9) adopting evidence-based guidelines; (10) monitoring the use of psychotropic medicines; and (11) promoting training initiatives for health-care professionals on the critical appraisal of scientific evidence and appropriate use of psychotropic medicines. This is in addition to adequately addressing human rights' issues in patients with mental disorders where these currently exist in LMICs including implementing the QualityRights program building on current successes [61].

In conclusion, LMICs should be encouraged to address mental disorders in the context of their overall health needs and national programs. Appropriate access to psychotropic medicines offers the chance of transformative improvement in health along with other measures as well as the opportunity for re-engagement in society for people with mental illnesses. By working at all levels of the health system, it may be possible to offer this essential component of mental health care to all who can benefit, building on current examples in LMICs.

5. Expert opinion

Potential strategies to overcome barriers and existing problems to the management of BP-II in LMICs start with recognition of the limited resources currently being spent on mental disorders in a number of these countries compared with current spending on infectious diseases and other NCDs. In addition, recognizing the human rights of patients with mental disorders and addressing issues with the stigma that patients with mental health disorders currently experience [8,35,61]. The lack of focus on mental disorders in some LMICs may be because of an increasing focus on antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in recent years due to high inappropriate use of antibiotics and its associated consequences on morbidity, mortality, and costs [229–236]. HIV/AIDs and its management have also been a particular focus, especially in sub-Saharan Africa, diverting attention away from mental disorders. In addition, there has also been an increasing focus on other NCDs such as hypertension and diabetes in recent years [150,237–240], again diverting attention and resources away from mental health disorders.

However, we are now seeing LMICs develop or start to develop national action plans and prescribing guidance to

Table 1. Current treatment approaches to the management of patients with BD, especially BD-II among LMICs.

Country	Current management approaches
Lower middle-income countries	
Ghana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Currently, there is no clear guidance in the STGs for patients with the different types of BD in Ghana, making it difficult for physicians to appropriately diagnose and treat the different types of BD [169]. • At the same time, the Mental Health Act in 2012 de-emphasizes institutional care in Ghana, encouraging greater decentralization of mental health care by enhancing the establishment of services and facilities at the PHC level [29]. • In addition, while some of the first-line medicines recommended for BD-II in international guidelines are listed in the current Essential Medicines List and the National Health Insurance Scheme medicine lists in Ghana, their lack of availability at most PHCs affects their accessibility. The few available alternatives at community pharmacies can be expensive with high co-payments [33]. • The newer generation psychotropic medicines are gradually being prescribed more for patients with schizophrenia and BD; however, there is still considerable prescribing of first-generation antipsychotics as they are inexpensive [33].
India	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Indian psychiatric society has regularly issued CPGs for the management of BD since 2005 in order to improve the care of these patients [90]. • The most recent update, published in 2017, clearly outlined the various treatment options and behavioral therapies for patients with BD [90], which included pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions as well as ECT. • Pharmacotherapy is the mainstay of treatment of patients with BD in India, with mood stabilizers used most frequently followed by antidepressants and antipsychotics. Available mood stabilizers include lithium, valproate, carbamazepine, lamotrigine, and topiramate [90]. Unmanageable patients who refuse medications or who have difficulties with adherence to treatment can be prescribed depot preparations of antipsychotics [90]. • A recent observational study found that lithium was the most frequently prescribed mood stabilizer, followed by sodium valproate and carbamazepine [170]. • The main goal of managing bipolar depression is to achieve a normal level of functioning and to avoid switching to hypomanic or manic episode. Typically, lithium and lamotrigine can be used as first-line treatment in patients with bipolar depression, with quetiapine monotherapy also seen as potentially beneficial. ECT may also be considered if the depression is severe [90]. • In their recent survey among psychiatrists in India, Reddy et al. ascertained that for acute depression, there was a preference for a combination of an antidepressant and a mood stabilizer, while ECT is the preferred option to manage severe depression [123]. However, there were concerns with the extent of antidepressants used to treat BP-II, which requires further investigation [123].
Nigeria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Both BP-I and BP-II are seen in Nigeria, with the age of onset in Nigeria similar to other published studies [171]. • There are concerns regarding access to care, although recommended treatments for BP-II are in line with international guidelines and encourage patients to seek help from a psychiatrist [172,173]. • The most common medicines prescribed by psychiatrists for patients with BD are typical antipsychotics either alone or in combination with mood stabilizers [174]. Tricyclic antidepressants were the most prescribed antidepressant in the majority of studies conducted in tertiary hospitals in patients with depressive symptoms, with lower costs if clinicians follow published STGs [175,176]. • There are organizations in Nigeria that encourage greater awareness and treatment of patients with mental health disorders such as the Mentally Aware Nigeria Initiative (MANI) [172], with awareness about BD and its consequences likely to grow following the high profile suicide of the iconic fashion designer Kate Spade [173]. • Issues of polypharmacy and adherence remain as additional critical concerns in the effective management of BD in Nigeria alongside co-payments [39,139,176,177].
Pakistan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The treatment of mental disorders is a continuing concern in Pakistan due to a lack of psychiatrists and trained physicians in addition to the stigma associated with mental disorders [178]. • These issues adversely affect access to appropriate care in Pakistan [54,179], exacerbated by increasing unemployment, disruptions in social norms and a high percentage of the population living below the poverty level [54,178,180]. • Despite concerns, CPGs are being followed in Pakistan for the management of BD including the prescribing of lithium, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, and anti-psychotics [54]. • Culturally adapted psychoeducation (CaPE) has also been found to be useful for the management of BD in Pakistan [181].
Tunisia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There have been ongoing developments to improve the management of patients with mental health disorders in Tunisia in recent years following the publication of the Tunisian National Strategy for the Promotion of Mental Health in 2013 and the creation of the Committee for Mental Health Promotion in 2015 [11,182]. • More recently, there has been greater training of primary health-care physicians on mental health issues under the WHO mGAP project to address deficits in the provision of specialist services especially with 30–40% of consultations undertaken by primary health-care physicians relating to mental health care [11,26,183]. This should help with greater identification and management of patients with depression and symptoms related to psychosis. • In their recent study involving 1180 patients with BD across 6 LMICs including Tunisia, Samalin et al. (2016) found that mood stabilizers and antipsychotics were the most common medicines prescribed for patients with BD. BP-I patients received a higher number of antipsychotics and anxiolytics than those with BP-II ($p < 0.001$), and there was an increase in the prescribing of antidepressants in those BD patients with depressive symptoms ($p < 0.001$). Overall, the authors found there was an appreciable delay in diagnosis among the participating countries and, as mentioned, an overuse of antidepressants [96]. • There is also still a considerable social stigma associated with schizophrenia and BD in Tunisia, although significantly less for patients with BD [12]. This should be helped by ongoing initiatives under the WHO QualityRights initiative [56]. • Hopefully, the ongoing educational and other programs supported by the Ministry of Health in Tunisia will help improve the management of patients with BD in Tunisia in the future, providing exemplars to other countries.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued).

Country	Current management approaches
Zambia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little appears to have been achieved in Zambia in the management of mental disorders during the past decade due to financial constraints and few mental health practitioners in the country, especially psychiatrists, despite for instance efforts by the University of Zambia offering a Master of Medicine in Psychiatry (MMED), which has suffered from low enrollment. • However, national STGs and EMLs were developed and approved in 2013 including patients with BD [184,185]. The guidelines discuss bipolar mood disorders including BP-I, however do not differentiate treatment approaches between BP-I, BP-II and those with mixed BD. • Pharmacotherapy is the recommended mainstay of treatment. According to the STG, modalities for the treatment of mental disorders are based on the biopsychosocial model and associated symptomatology, with the clinical characteristics outlined to provide prescribing guidance [185] • The STG recommends the use of lithium monotherapy for treatment of mild BP-I, carbamazepine for moderate BD, and sodium valproate in addition to an antipsychotic agent for severe BD associated with psychosis. However, typically in practice sodium valproate is prescribed as first line in view of the lack of facilities to monitor lithium levels. • The recommended treatment for major depressive disorders in the STG consists of antidepressants, psychotherapy, and ECT or a combination of these [185]. Treatment selection should depend on illness severity, associated features such as rapid cycling or psychosis, and where possible, patient preference [185]. • These medicines are included on the Zambian EML and made available to patients in public health-care facilities [184]. • In practice though, each clinician generally prescribes medicines based on learned experiences either through their practice or from online resources. This is seen from the findings from a recent study assessing adherence to antipsychotic treatment guidelines among prescribers in managing the first episode of psychosis at the largest and only tertiary hospital for in- and out-patients in Zambia. Overall, only 3.2% of physicians prescribed antipsychotics in line with NICE 2014 guidelines [186], and most prescribers seemed unaware of any guidelines and, if available, how to use and interpret them. • There are also concerns with differentiating between the various BD disorders as most clinicians will use DSM 5 or ICD-10 as a guide. • As a result, typically BP-II is often misdiagnosed with antidepressants such as amitriptyline prescribed in the majority of cases and later discontinued when patients present with rapid cycling.
Upper-middle-income countries	
Botswana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Botswana, mood stabilizer anticonvulsants available for the management of BD include sodium valproate, carbamazepine, and lamotrigine, with sodium valproate the most widely prescribed mood stabilizer for BD. • Second-generation antipsychotics available in the public system without co-payment include risperidone, olanzapine, and quetiapine. However, according to current guidance, these medications can only be initiated by a psychiatrist [187]. • Lithium is also available in Botswana to treat BD. However, most patients are rarely started on lithium due to difficulties in laboratory monitoring. Most hospital laboratories, even referral hospital laboratories, do not perform lithium assays. • Consequently, most patients who present with BD in Botswana PHCs (typically the place for initial diagnosis and treatment) will be started on either sodium valproate (majority) or carbamazepine if initially seen by a psychiatric or general nurse. Lamotrigine is rarely started by nurses. Psychiatrists have a wider choice of medicines available to them. • As there is also currently no STG in Botswana for the treatment of referred patients, any medicine initiated will currently depend on the psychiatrist's assessment and preferences.
Brazil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a national STG for the treatment and management of BP-I; however, in view of current controversies, there is currently no national STG for BP-II in Brazil [188]. Consequently, in practice, management of patients with BP-II is similar to BP-I, with specific considerations for the type of disorder and characteristics of each patient. • Pharmacotherapy is seen as fundamental for the treatment of BD although psychological approaches are also important [188]. Since the 1970s, Brazil has initiated 'Psychiatric Reform', which encourages the de-hospitalization of patients with mental disorders and increases their treatment in the community with an emphasis on outpatient care, without prejudice to general and psychiatric hospitalization when necessary [189,190]. • For pharmacotherapy of hypomania in patients with BD-II, it is possible for physicians to prescribe lithium, valproic acid, carbamazepine, and haloperidol, and for depression lithium, valproic acid and fluoxetine hydrochloride within the Brazilian public health system without any co-payment [191] • The choice of treatment will depend on the phase of BD, e.g. acute or maintenance treatment, as well as the history of previous responses combined with the clinical and personal characteristics of the patients. • Other treatments such as lamotrigine and atypical antipsychotics can be used for patients with BP-II. These medicines can be prescribed through the private sector, i.e. via private insurance, otherwise 100% co-payment; alternatively supplied free-of-charge following a successful judicial review [192]. Currently, just under a quarter of the Brazilian population has private insurance.
Bulgaria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current antipsychotic treatments for the management of schizophrenia in Bulgaria have improved outcomes for both patients and caregivers, with relatively low readmission rates at 1 year following discharge [193]. Such studies help to negate negative public attitudes that psychiatric treatment is not effective, with implications for improved management of patients with BD. • Additional studies have shown that the burden of severe mental disorders can be considerable, which policymakers must consider when planning the care of such patients [194]. • There is a mixed utilization pattern with respect to the use of psychotropic medicines for the treatment of mental disorders in Bulgaria (Figure 1). • The appreciable decrease in the utilization of risperidone in recent years (Figure 1) could be explained by supply concerns as well as increased prescribing of newer antipsychotics such as aripiprazole with less weight gain.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued).

Country	Current management approaches
Namibia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Namibia made provision to improve the management of patients with BD-I and II in the Namibian STG [195] Bipolar Mood Disorder is defined as a history of depression with at least one episode of mania, with BP-I defined as mania and depression and BD-II as hypomania and depression. Pharmacotherapy is the mainstay of treatment in the STG, with suggested first-line treatments for patients with mood disorders being clozapine plus lithium or lithium plus valproate or topiramate. For depression, lithium with lamotrigine is recommended with a warning about Stevens-Johnson syndrome and DIC [195] Recommended second-line treatments are lithium (preferred) or citalopram, paroxetine or venlafaxine with a warning about rapid cycling potentially induced by antidepressants [195] However, specific diagnoses for this category of patients, especially among patients attending PHCs, appears to be lacking, in view of inadequate facilities and the necessary expertise to achieve optimal diagnosis and management in mental health [196]. Consequently, BP-II is likely to be diagnosed as a depressive disorder rather than BD-II, and thus managed inappropriately.
South Africa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The South African government sought to improve the care of patients with mental health disorders through its strategic plan improving community residential, day care, and outpatient services. Under this system, trained nonspecialist workers could deliver evidence-based psychosocial interventions and clinical protocols would be available through Integrated Management Guidelines [197,198]. The South African Depression and Anxiety group has produced a treatment and referral guide to improve the care of BD in South Africa, which includes definitions of BP-I and BP-II [199]. This can act as an exemplar to other countries. Based on current evidence, antipsychotics such as quetiapine and olanzapine as well as lamotrigine are recommended for the long-term management of depressive symptoms and to reduce relapses, with lithium potentially considered when symptoms have improved [115]. There is also concern that the prescribing of antidepressants may trigger mania [115] Alongside this, the 2018 treatment guidance (STG/EML) has just been launched for PHCs. In this, BD is described as a lifelong episodic illness with the presenting episodes including mania, hypomania, mixed or depressive symptoms [241] The 2018 STG/EML states that BD should be confirmed by a specialist, and may present with any mood state with for example treatment-resistant depression. BP-I includes a current or previous episode of mania with BP-II including hypomania, although this history is not always clear. This is an improvement from the 2012 STG/EML where there was no distinguishing between BP-I and II [200] Prior to this, the South African Society of Psychiatrists published comprehensive treatment guidelines for patients with psychiatric disorders in 2013 acknowledging that separation of BD patients into BP-I and BP-II can be a challenge. The recommended treatments for patients with bipolar depression included [201]: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) First-line monotherapy includes: Quetiapine 300–600 mg/day, lamotrigine 200–500 mg/day, olanzapine 5–15 mg/day, lithium and valproate. Second-line options include the following as adjunctive or combination therapies: Adjunctive risperidone 2–4 mg/day; lithium and antidepressant combinations; olanzapine and fluoxetine combinations; valproate and lithium and lamotrigine as an add-on to lithium. (b) If a conventional antidepressant is prescribed, it should be concurrently administered with an antimanic maintenance agent to diminish switching and the dose gradually tapered after 2–3 months of sustained recovery. Antidepressants should not be prescribed in rapid-cycling BD patients. Venlafaxine (13 – 15%) and TCAs (7 – 11%) are associated with a relatively higher risk of inducing a manic switch than SSRIs (0 – 4%). (c) ECT should only be considered if the risk to self or others is high, if psychotic features are present, or if there has been a previous response to ECT. PHCs may become involved in the management of stable patients once diagnosed and initially treated by a specialist where there is a good insight into the condition and support [241]. In case of manic, agitated, or acutely disturbed patients, this includes stopping antidepressants if prescribed.

NB: BD: Bipolar disorders; BP-I: Bipolar I; BP-II: Bipolar II; CPGs: Clinical practice guidelines; ECT: electroconvulsive therapy; EML: Essential Medicines List; PHCs = Primary Healthcare Centers; STGs – Standard Treatment Guidelines




Figure 1. Utilization of psychotropic medicines in Bulgaria in recent years (source: (<https://www.nhif.bg/page/218>)).

NB: DID = DDDs/1000 inhabitants/day.

Table 2. Key challenges facing LMICs in the management of patients with BD-II especially lower middle-income countries.

Country	Key challenges
Lower-middle-income countries	
Ghana	<p>Identified challenges include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a lack of accurate diagnosis and treatment due to the high number of untrained personnel providing mental health care in PHC facilities. • poor access to psychotropic medicines due to a weak supply system. • limited capacity for local production of good quality medicines. • high costs of newer medicines and a lack of dedicated budgets to support procurement of pertinent medicines.
India	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The treatment of mental disorders remains a much-neglected area in India despite many national, state and district level programs [202]. However, progress is being made with the development of CPGs for patients with BD [90]. • Another major challenge is the lack of insurance to cover patients with mental illnesses, especially important because of currently high co-payments for medicines [203]. This means that patients with severe mental disorders spend a median of 12% of their per capita income on direct treatment costs [204]. This may start to change with the government seeking to increase funding for healthcare [205]. • Another major challenge to the appropriate care of patients with BD is insufficient number of trained physicians, especially psychiatrists [206], worsened by well-trained medical practitioners and other health professionals migrating to developed countries [207]. • Inappropriate referral systems also adversely affect patient outcomes [208]. • The lack of awareness about mental illnesses among family members and the community at large limits the effectiveness of prescribed therapies. Typically, family members play a major role in deciding treatment options in addition to meeting the financial and social needs of patients [48]. Educating and motivating patients and their caregivers would greatly improve the quality of decision-making in patients with BD.
Nigeria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Challenges regarding the effective treatment of patients with BD in Nigeria include mis-information regarding its causes, inadequate number of mental health specialists, long distances to travel to regional health-care facilities and a poor referral system. For instance, there is only one neuro-psychiatric hospital for over four million people in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria [209]. • There are also issues with affordability of the medications for patients with mental health disorders [139,209,210]. • These issues need to be addressed along with generally strengthening health system governance around mental health issues because these disorders have been a neglected area. • The revised mental health policy in Nigeria is promising hope for the future [211].
Pakistan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A major challenge for treatment of mental disorders in Pakistan is the scarcity of health-care professionals. • There is generally only one psychiatrist for every 10,000 people and one psychiatrist for 4 million children in Pakistan suffering from psychiatric conditions [179], with documented ratios up to one psychiatrist to half a million people [212]. • A second challenge is paucity of information to GPs. Naqvi et al. found that the majority of GPs in Pakistan were unaware of the ICD-10 diagnostic criteria for various mental disorders [42]. Benzodiazepines were the most commonly used medication for all mental disorders with few GPs familiar with SSRIs or tricyclic antidepressants. In addition, most GPs had not received any recent medical education about mental disorders, with serious gaps in GPs' knowledge about the management of mental disorders having serious implications for the rational use of psychotropic medications [42]. • Other challenges for the appropriate management of patients with BD include a lack of time with physicians and patients' financial constraints [54,213].
Tunisia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key challenges include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of health-care professionals to manage patients with BD, although being eased by greater training of primary health-care physicians on mental health issues [11,26]. • Time before a full diagnosis of BD as well as an overuse of antidepressants [96]. • Adequately addressing issues of the stigma associated with BD to improve future management aided by the QualityRights initiative [12,56].
Zambia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mental illness, despite constituting an appreciable proportion of the burden of disease in Zambia, taking into account predisposing factors including HIV/AIDS, poverty and unemployment [214], currently receives inadequate attention with only 0.38% of the national health-care budget directed toward mental illness in 2008 [215]. • Overall, the challenges for patients with BP-II include a lack of STGs on diagnosis and treatment, a lack of techniques to monitor therapeutic drug levels where pertinent, erratic supply/unavailability of medicines in mental health hospitals and a limited number of mental health practitioners to make correct diagnoses and suggest treatment. Currently in Zambia, there are only 15 psychiatrists and one psychiatry pharmacy specialist. • There are ongoing initiatives and strategies to strengthen the healthcare system including potentially deploying more psychiatry specialists into PHC facilities alongside instigating laboratory monitoring facilities to guide the use of lithium. • The government has also made appreciable strides recently to increase the number of mental health workers through the new specialist training program (STP) for physicians, an undergraduate degree in mental health, and the recently enacted mental health act which passed into Law in April 2019. • Improved patient and physician education can hopefully address current concerns with widespread social stigma and discrimination against people with mental disorders in Zambia [46].
Upper-middle-income countries	
Botswana	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current challenges include difficulties with obtaining reliable estimates of the prevalence of BD in Botswana, including BP-II, in order to guide future strategies. Currently, national statistics records only show a broad diagnosis of BD, and even recent studies do not make a distinction between BP-I and BP-II [216,217]. • In addition, since initial diagnosis and treatment of most patients with mental disorders in Botswana, including those with BP-II, is carried out by psychiatric or general nurses, and there are currently no national STGs for the management of BP-II, there is a high probability of missing an accurate diagnosis. Consequently, patients presenting in the depressive phase are likely to be initiated on antidepressant monotherapy. • Unpredictable medication availability is another challenge. Whilst BD patients who are referred to the national referral hospital or hospitals with a psychiatrist may be started on lamotrigine or a second-generation antipsychotic, these medications are typically not available at all times especially in more remote areas. • Consequently, treatment choices are dictated by which medicines are available which can cause concern.

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).

Country	Key challenges
Brazil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are challenges in successfully diagnosing BP-II in Brazil in view of the difficulties in differentiation from unipolar depression with a higher prevalence of depressive than hypomanic symptoms in BP-II [218]. • There are currently no STGs for the treatment and management of BD-II in Brazil, which can adversely impact on care delivery and available medicines in the public health-care system. • It is essential to expand expertise and availability of mental health services in ambulatory care in Brazil for the effective care of patients with BP-II and other mental disorders in the future. Such integration will improve diagnosis, enhance adherence to treatments, reduce emergency consultations, and extend follow-up care to patients with severe mental disorders such as BP-II [219].
Namibia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Considerable challenges still remain with accurate diagnosis of patients with BD-II among PHCs and more widely in Namibia. • This needs to be addressed through educational and other activities to improve the care of these patients.
South Africa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are concerns with the lack of health-care professionals managing patients with mental disorders in the public sector in South Africa, which represents the largest proportion of patients in South Africa (approximately 84%) [220,221,252]. • Currently, the majority of psychiatrists in South Africa work in the private sector (approximately 60%) causing problems in the public sector [222]. The situation is even more challenging among public primary health-care centers in rural settings where currently there are only 0.03 psychiatrists per 100 000 population [223]. • As a result, the vision of the South African Government has not been met (Table 1) – not helped by the lack of investment in community-based psychiatric and psychosocial rehabilitative services in recent years to support de-institutionalization of services. However, this may change with greater integration of mental health services in primary care and greater task-sharing [36,220,224,225]. The lack of investment was highlighted by the number of deaths of mentally ill patients in Gauteng Province following the instigation of the National Mental Health Policy Framework [226]. • There continue to be concerns with lack of transport to accessing care as well as issues such as stigmatization and traditional cultural beliefs that need to be addressed alongside issues of available trained staff and facilities to improve care for BD patients [221]. • There are also continued concerns with the availability of essential medicines for patients with BD in the public system including olanzapine, lithium, and citalopram. Improved supply chain management will help here [252].

NB: BD = bipolar disorders; GPs = General Practitioners; PHCs = Primary Health Care; STGs: Standard Treatment Guidelines.

improve the health of their patients with mental disorders in their country, building on the WHO mhGAP initiative, the QualityRights initiative as well as the PaM-D initiative in sub-Saharan Africa (Tables 1 and 2) [59,61–63,241,242]. This will continue as more knowledge about the burden of mental disorders is developed and discussed. Such activities are essential to raise the profile of mental health including those patients with BP-II as well as discussions about the appropriate management within countries, building on the success of the WHO in raising the profile of AMR and NCDs such as hypertension and diabetes. In addition, counteracting the activities of pharmaceutical companies and others raising the focus on for instance cancer, which is reflected by governments in high-income countries paying very high prices for new cancer medicines with often limited health gain resulting in reduced funding for other disease areas [102,104,243,244].

Other activities to address current challenges can be further divided into infrastructure and/or funding issues as well as management issues building on Box 1.

5.1. Infrastructure/funding issues

We are already seeing in countries such as Zambia (Table 2) that resources are now being spent to train more health-care professionals and deploy them in the community to improve the availability of trained personnel in ambulatory care. There have also been considerable activities in countries such as Ethiopia and Tunisia to improve the care of patients with mental health disorders (Tables 1 and 2). Consequently, this should improve diagnosis and subsequent management of patients with mental disorders including those with BP-II, and can serve as exemplars to other countries. Hopefully, the situation will also change in South Africa providing guidance to others to improve care in the community (Tables 1 and 2).

The lack of training of health-care professionals, especially in ambulatory care, can be addressed through opportunities for continual professional development in universities, building on the experiences in Tunisia and Zambia. However, such activities need to be attractive for professionals or else available courses may become redundant through lack of interest (Table 1). This would not be in the best interest of any key stakeholder group including patients with BD-II.

There also needs to be urgent discussions in LMICs to seek ways to retain trained health-care professionals rather than having them leave for higher-income countries to boost their wages once trained. Increases in the number of psychiatric beds as well as provision of community facilities devoted to mental health are also needed. These can be part of ongoing national action plans surrounding mental health.

5.2. Management issues

Management issues can be divided into educational issues, including local guideline development, as well as addressing medicine availability and co-payment issues alongside improving the infrastructure for identifying and treating patients with BP-II.

One of the first activities within countries is to produce nationally agreed guidelines to improve the management of patients with BP-II including differential diagnosis. This is important especially with most guidance and practices in the management of BD based on the USA. This can build on existing activities within countries such as India, Namibia, Nigeria and South Africa (Tables 1 and 2) as well as guidance from the WHO and others. Once developed, the guidance and the philosophy behind it can be included in the educational curriculums of physicians, nurses, and pharmacists as well as form part of any continual professional development program.

Developing national context-specific STGs is also seen as important to improve the management of patients with BD,

Box 1. Common challenges in the management of BD-II among LMICs

(a) Infrastructure and funding issues

- A lack of priority in countries for managing patients with mental disorders versus infectious diseases and other non-communicable diseases (NCDs). This is reflected by concerns with current infrastructures as well as resources devoted to mental health disorders versus other NCDs – demonstrated by the lack of professionals including psychiatrists and psychiatric nurses.
- Lack of training of health-care professionals, e.g. physicians, pharmacists, and nurses, especially in ambulatory care in the management of BD. This is reflected by concerns with differential diagnosis of BD in practice as well as potentially rapid cycling if BD patients are prescribed anti-depressants in isolation. In addition, concerns with the high use of benzodiazepines for BD patients in some countries.

(b) Management issues

- Difficulties diagnosing patients with BD and subsequently differentiating between BP-I, BP-II, and mixed disorders.
- Lack of local STGs in LMICs especially for patients with BP-II given current controversies and evidence, as well as a lack of monitoring of prescribing against current guidelines where these are available.
- Unpredictable availability of medicines to treat patients with BP-II coupled with poor access to psychotropic medicines in addition to high patient co-payments in a number of LMICs.
- Concerns with insufficient time with patients to fully discuss the condition with them and available treatment options. This is particularly important in patients with co-morbidities including those with cardiovascular disease and HIV.
- Insufficient resources and time given to increasing adherence to prescribed medicines – which can be a particular issue in BD patients, especially those with existing co-morbidities.
- Lack of facilities to monitor the use of lithium – including for those patients with BD-II.
- Lack of awareness of mental disorder issues among family members, as well as mis-information regarding the causes of BD and possible treatment approaches including BD-II.

NB: BD = Bipolar disorders; LMICs = Lower and Middle-income countries; HIV = Human Immunodeficiency Virus; STG = Standard Treatment Guidelines.

especially those with BP-II, within countries given current controversies surrounding the use of antidepressants in these patients and potential confusion of BP-II with unipolar depression. National guidelines can be based on international, regional or other national guidelines from LMICs and subsequently adapted to the local situation. It is critical that key government as well as physician and pharmacist stakeholders within a country are involved in their development and/or refinement to enhance adherence to any guidelines produced. The local context is particularly important in LMICs with their often high prevalence rates of HIV as well as cardiovascular diseases including diabetes compared with developed countries where international guidelines are typically produced. We have seen there is greater adherence to guidelines in ambulatory care if health-care professionals know and trust those developing the guidelines [245–247]. As mentioned, consideration of local multiple co-morbidities is important in LMICs when developing and recommending treatment approaches as well as in addressing key issues surrounding adherence.

Concerns with adherence to prescribed medicines are also a growing issue when managing patients with BD, including BP-II in LMICs. Educational and other strategies are needed to improve rates. Such activities can build on ongoing research among LMICs, including sub-Saharan African countries, on ways to improve adherence to medicines in patients with other NCDs [240,248–251].

Once national STGs have been produced, prescribing in both ambulatory care and among hospitalized patients should be monitored to improve subsequent care. This recommendation is based on the findings in India and Zambia following their audits versus Pakistan (Table 1).

The unpredictable availability of medicines to treat patients with BP-II can be improved through improved supply chain management as seen by recent initiatives in South Africa [252]. Issues of high co-payments where these exist can potentially be addressed by encouraging greater use of generic medicines where available, although there can be issues of quality, as well as seeking access schemes or donors where

possible building on the experiences in Kenya [240,253–256]. The long-term goal is universal access to healthcare, building on recent examples in Southern Africa [252].

Finally, governments and others should seek to introduce educational campaigns among patients, including those with BD, to dispel current myths surrounding mental disorders and its management. These can build on programs instigated in countries to reduce patient pressures on physicians and pharmacists to prescribe and dispense antibiotics for essentially viral and self-limiting infections [257,258].

Funding

This manuscript has not been funded.

Declaration of interest

Marianne Van-De-Lisle is employed by NHS Lothian, Ruaraidh Hill advises the UK National Health Service while Amos Masele and Philip Opondo advise the Botswanan Ministry of Health. Israel Sefah is employed by the Ghana Health Service while Kristina Garuoliene is employed by the Lithuanian Ministry of Health. Additionally, Tanveer Ahmed Khan and Shahzad Hussain are employed by the National Institute of Health, Pakistan while James Mwanza advises the Zambian Ministry of Health. Furthermore, Corrado Barbui is an advisor to the World Health Organization. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

Reviewer disclosures

Peer reviewers on this manuscript have no relevant financial or other relationships to disclose.

ORCID

Brian Godman  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6539-6972>
 Christoffel Grobler  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6571-0079>
 Wallace Breno Barbosa  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2702-1533>
 Philip Opondo  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6005-9309>

Guenka Petrova  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8116-5138>
 Konstantin Tachkov  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3961-7556>
 Israel Sefah  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6963-0519>
 Alian A. Alrasheedy  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3617-7425>
 Dan Kibuule  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6908-2177>
 Aubrey Chichonyi Kalungia  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2554-1236>
 Corrado Barbui  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1073-9282>

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (*) or of considerable interest (***) to readers.

- Vigo D, Thornicroft G, Atun R. Estimating the true global burden of mental illness. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(2):171–178.
 - **Key paper highlighting the burden of mental illness for policy makers and others.**
- Patel V. Mental health in low- and middle-income countries. *Br Med Bull*. 2007;81-82:81–96.
- WHO. World Health Organization, Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation. Improving access to and appropriate use of medicines for mental disorders. Geneva. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254794/1/9789241511421-eng.pdf?ua=1>
- **Key publication surrounding ways to improve the management of patients with mental health disorders in LMIC.**
- Bruckner TA, Scheffler RM, Shen G, et al. The mental health workforce gap in low- and middle-income countries: a needs-based approach. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2011;89(3):184–194.
- Thornicroft G, Chatterji S, Evans-Lacko S, et al. Undertreatment of people with major depressive disorder in 21 countries. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2017;210(2):119–124.
 - **Good paper highlighting concerns with the management of patients with mental health disorders especially in LMIC.**
- Patel V, Chisholm D, Parikh R, et al. Global priorities for addressing the burden of mental, neurological, and substance use disorders. In: Patel V, Chisholm D, Dua T, et al., editors. *Mental, neurological, and substance use disorders: disease control priorities*. 3rd ed. Vol. 4. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank (c) 2016 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank; 2016;1–28.
- WHO quality rights: service standards and quality in mental health care. [cited 2019 Aug 8]. Available from: https://www.who.int/mental_health/policy/quality_rights/infosheet_hrs_day.pdf?ua=1
- Patel V, Saxena S, Lund C, et al. The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10157):1553–1598.
 - **Important paper on suggested developments especially in LMICs.**
- WHO. Management of physical health conditions in adults with severe mental disorders. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/275718/9789241550383-eng.pdf?ua=1>
- Charara R, Forouzanfar M, Naghavi M, et al. the burden of mental disorders in the eastern mediterranean region, 1990–2013. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(1):e0169575.
- Spagnolo J, Champagne C, Leduc N, et al. A program to further integrate mental health into primary care: lessons learned from a pilot trial in Tunisia. *Jn Global Health Reports*. 2019; 3 03–e2019022. [cited 2019 Oct 10]. Available from: <http://www.joghr.org/Abstract/joghr-03-e2019022>
- Ouali U, Jomli R, Nefzi R, et al. Social stigma in severe mental illness in Tunisia: clinical and socio-demographic correlates. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2017;41:S577.
- Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Ministry of Health. NATIONAL MENTAL HEALTH STRATEGY 2012/13-2015/16. [cited 2019 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/ETHIOPIA-NATIONAL-MENTAL-HEALTH-STRATEGY-2012-1.pdf>
- Republic of Lebanon – Ministry of Public Health. Mental health and substance use – prevention, promotion, and treatment. situation analysis and strategy for lebanon 2015–2020. 2015. [cited 2019 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/MH%20strategy%20LEBANON%20ENG.pdf>
- Suleiman DE. Mental health disorders in Nigeria: a highly neglected disease. *Ann Nigerian Med*. 2016;10:47–48.
- Onyemelukwe C. Stigma and mental health in Nigeria: some suggestions for law reform. *J Law Policy Glob*. 2016;55:63-8.
- Herman AA, Stein DJ, Seedat S, et al. The South African Stress and Health (SASH) study: 12-month and lifetime prevalence of common mental disorders. *South Afr Med J*. 2009;99(5 Pt 2):339–344.
- El Masaiti A. One out of two people is mentally ill: gruesome reality of mental health care in Morocco. 2017. [cited 2019 Oct 8]. Available from: <https://www.morocoworldnews.com/2017/07/224718/mentally-ill-mental-health-care-morocco/>
- Hendler R, Kidia K, Machando D, et al. “We are not really marketing mental health”: mental health advocacy in Zimbabwe. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(9):e0161860.
- World Health Organization. Mental health atlas. Geneva; 2017. [cited 2019 Oct 8]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272735/9789241514019-eng.pdf?ua=1>
- Hanna F, Barbui C, Dua T, et al. Global mental health: how are we doing? *World Psychiatry*. 2018;17(3):367–368.
 - **Good publication summarizing progress in the management of patients with mental health issues across countries.**
- Ridgwell H. Science and health. Zimbabwe tackles mental health with ‘friendship benches; 2017 [cited 2019 Oct 8]. Available from: <https://www.voanews.com/a/zimbabwe-friendship-bench-mental-health-treatment/3661639.html>
- MENTAL HEALTH CARE IN GHANA. Chapter 3. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. providing sustainable mental and neurological health care in Ghana and Kenya: workshop summary. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2016. [cited 2019 Aug 9]. Available from: <https://www.nap.edu/read/21793/chapter/4>.
- Roberts M, Asare JB, Mogan C, et al. The mental health system in Ghana; 2013. [cited 2019 Aug 8]. Available from: <https://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/innovation/research/The-Mental-Health-System-in-Ghana-Report.pdf>
- Gureje O, Abdulmalik J, Kola L, et al. Integrating mental health into primary care in Nigeria: report of a demonstration project using the mental health gap action programme intervention guide. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15(1):242.
- Spagnolo J, Champagne F, Leduc N, et al. Mental health knowledge, attitudes, and self-efficacy among primary care physicians working in the Greater Tunis area of Tunisia. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2018;12(1):63.
- Seedat S, Williams DR, Herman AA, et al. Mental health service use among South Africans for mood, anxiety and substance use disorders. *South Afr Med J*. 2009;99(5 Pt 2):346–352.
- WHO Mental Health Gap Action Programme. mhGAP intervention guide; 2016. [cited 2019 Apr 6]. Available from: <file:///C:/Users/mail/Downloads/9789241549790-eng.pdf>.
 - **Critical paper discussing the interventions associated with the mhGAP project.**
- WHO. Mental health atlas 2011 - department of mental health and substance abuse, WHO. [cited 2019 Oct 9]. Available from: https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/atlas/profiles/en/#G
- Addisu F, Wondafrash M, Chemali Z, et al. Length of stay of psychiatric admissions in a general hospital in Ethiopia: a retrospective study. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2015;9:13.
- Cameron A, Ewen M, Ross-Degnan D, et al. Medicine prices, availability, and affordability in 36 developing and middle-income countries: a secondary analysis. *Lancet*. 2009;373(9659):240–249.
- Ofori-Asenso R, Agyeman AA. Irrational use of medicines – a summary of key concepts. *Pharmacy*. 2016;4:35.
- Oppong S, Kretchy IA, Imbeah EP, et al. Managing mental illness in Ghana: the state of commonly prescribed psychotropic medicines. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2016;10:28.

34. Acosta A, Vanegas EP, Rovira J, et al. Medicine shortages: gaps between countries and global perspectives. *Front Pharmacol*. 2019;10:763.
35. WHO-AIMS. Mental health systems in selected low- and middle-income countries: a WHO-AIMS cross-national analysis; 2009. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/who_aims_report_final.pdf
- **Critical review of concerns with mental health services in LMICs.**
36. Mendenhall E, De Silva MJ, Hanlon C, et al. Acceptability and feasibility of using non-specialist health workers to deliver mental health care: stakeholder perceptions from the PRIME district sites in Ethiopia, India, Nepal, South Africa, and Uganda. *Soc Sci Med*. 2014;118:33–42.
- **Key paper discussing the PRIME project to improve care of patients with mental health disorders in LMICs.**
37. Demyttenaere K, Bruffaerts R, Posada-Villa J, et al. Prevalence, severity, and unmet need for treatment of mental disorders in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Jama*. 2004;291(21):2581–2590.
38. Ahmad I, Khalily MT, Hallahan B. Reasons associated with treatment non-adherence in schizophrenia in a Pakistan cohort. *Asian J Psychiatr*. 2017;30:39–43.
39. Ezenoduka C, Ubochi VN, Ogbonna BO. The utilization pattern and costs analysis of psychotropic drugs at a neuropsychiatric hospital in Nigeria. *Br J Pharm Res*. 2014;4(3):325–337.
40. Read UM, Doku VCK. Mental health research in Ghana: a literature review. *Ghana Med J*. 2012;46(2 Suppl):29–38.
41. Roberts M, Mogan C, Asare JB. An overview of Ghana's mental health system: results from an assessment using the World Health Organization's Assessment Instrument for Mental Health Systems (WHO-AIMS). *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2014;8:16.
42. Naqvi HA, Sabzwari S, Hussain S, et al. General practitioners' awareness and management of common psychiatric disorders: a community-based survey from Karachi, Pakistan. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2012;18(5):446–453.
43. Armiyau AY. A review of stigma and mental illness in Nigeria. *J Clin Case Rep*. 2015;5:488.
44. Kajawu L Traditional versus contemporary medicine: mental illness in Zimbabwe; 2017. [cited 2019 Mar 10]. Available from: <https://theconversation.com/traditional-versus-contemporary-medicine-mental-illness-in-zimbabwe-82764>
45. Murthy SR. Lessons from the Erwadi tragedy for mental health care in India. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2001;43(4):362–366.
46. Kapungwe A, Cooper S, Mwanza J, et al. Mental illness – stigma and discrimination in Zambia. *Afr J Psychiatry*. 2010;13(3):192–203.
47. Jombo HE, Idung AU. Stigmatising attitudes towards persons with mental illness among university students in Uyo, South-West Nigeria. *Int J Health Sci Res*. 2018;8(4):24–31.
48. Chadda RK. Caring for the family caregivers of persons with mental illness. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2014;56(3):221–227.
49. Kohrt BA, Rasmussen A, Kaiser BN, et al. Cultural concepts of distress and psychiatric disorders: literature review and research recommendations for global mental health epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43(2):365–406.
50. Mahomoodally MF. Traditional medicines in Africa: an appraisal of ten potent African medicinal plants. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2013;2013:14.
51. Nortje G, Oladeji B, Gureje O, et al. Effectiveness of traditional healers in treating mental disorders: a systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016;3(2):154–170.
52. James PB, Wardle J, Steel A, et al. Traditional, complementary and alternative medicine use in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2018;3(5):e000895.
53. Becker TD, Ho-Foster AR, Poku OB, et al. "It's when the trees blossom": explanatory beliefs, stigma, and mental illness in the context of HIV in Botswana. *Qual Health Res*. 2019;29(11):1566–1580.
54. Mahmood S, Hussain S, Ur Rehman T, et al. Trends in the prescribing of antipsychotic medicines in Pakistan: implications for the future. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2019;35(1):51–61.
55. Centre for Mental health Law and Policy. Quality rights Gujarat; 2019. [cited 2019 Oct 8]. Available from: <https://cmhlp.org/stories-of-changes/quality-rights-gujarat/>
56. Rekhis M. Rights of people with mental disorders: realities and perceptions; 2015. [cited 2019 Oct 8]. Available from: https://www.who.int/mental_health/policy/quality_rights/QR_Tunisia.pdf?ua=1
57. Parwiz K Implementation of WHO quality rights assessment in Kabul mental health hospital. [cited 2019 Oct 6]. Available from: https://www.who.int/mental_health/policy/quality_rights/QR_Afghanistan.pdf?ua=1
58. Who quality rights in mental health– Ghana, fact sheet. [cited 2019 Oct 9]. Available from: <http://qualityrightsggh.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/FACT-SHEET.pdf>
59. WHO and mhGAP. mhGAP intervention guide for mental, neurological and substance use disorders in non-specialized health settings: mental health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) – version 2.0. [cited 2019 Mar 3]. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/250239/1/9789241549790-eng.pdf?ua=1>
60. Keynejad RC, Dua T, Barbui C, et al. WHO Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) intervention guide: a systematic review of evidence from low and middle-income countries. *Evid Based Ment Health*. 2018;21(1):30–34.
61. Pathare S, Funk M, Drew Bold N, et al. Systematic evaluation of the QualityRights programme in public mental health facilities in Gujarat, India. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2019;1–8.
- **Key publication discussing the impact of the WHO QualityRights program.**
62. Funk M, Drew N. WHO QualityRights: transforming mental health services. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(11):826–827.
63. Gureje O, Seedat S, Kola L, et al. Partnership for mental health development in Sub-Saharan Africa (PaM-D): a collaborative initiative for research and capacity building. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2018;28(4):389–396.
64. Acharya B, Maru D, Schwarz R, et al. Partnerships in mental health-care service delivery in low-resource settings: developing an innovative network in rural Nepal. *Global Health*. 2017;13(1):2.
65. Nartey AK, Badu E, Agyei-Baffour P, et al. The predictors of treatment pathways to mental health services among consumers in Ghana. *Perspect Psychiatr Care*. 2019;55(2):300–310.
66. Jin H, McCrone P. Cost-of-illness studies for bipolar disorder: systematic review of international studies. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2015;33(4):341–353.
67. Bobo WV, Diagnosis T. Management of bipolar I and II disorders: clinical practice update. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2017;92(10):1532–1551.
68. Miller S, Dell'Osso B, Ketter TA. The prevalence and burden of bipolar depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2014;169(Suppl 1):S3–11.
69. Vieta E, Berk M, Schulze TG, et al. Bipolar disorders. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2018;4:18008.
70. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health Bipolar Disorder: the NICE Guideline on the Assessment and Management of Bipolar Disorder in Adults, Children and Young People in Primary and Secondary Care. NICE (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence); 2014.
71. Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, Parikh SV, et al. Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) and International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) 2018 guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord*. 2018;20(2):97–170.
72. Severus E, Bauer M. Diagnosing bipolar disorders in DSM-5. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. 2013;1:14.
73. Angst J, Rossler W, Ajdacic-Gross V, et al. Differences between unipolar mania and bipolar-I disorder: evidence from nine epidemiological studies. *Bipolar Disord*. 2019;21(5):437–448.
74. Angst J, Gamma A, Bowden CL, et al. Evidence-based definitions of bipolar-I and bipolar-II disorders among 5,635 patients with major depressive episodes in the bridge study: validity and comorbidity. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2013;263(8):663–673.
75. Vinberg M, Mikkelsen RL, Kirkegaard T, et al. Differences in clinical presentation between bipolar I and II disorders in the early stages of bipolar disorder: a naturalistic study. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;208:521–527.
- **Interesting study on the differences between BD disorders.**

76. Chrobak AA, Siwek M, Dudek D, et al. Content overlap analysis of 64 (hypo)mania symptoms among seven common rating scales. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2018;27(3):e1737.
77. Verdolini N, Hidalgo-Mazzei D, Murru A, et al. Mixed states in bipolar and major depressive disorders: systematic review and quality appraisal of guidelines. *Acta Psychiatr Scand.* 2018;138(3):196–222.
78. Angst J, Merikangas KR, Cui L, et al. Bipolar spectrum in major depressive disorders. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2018;268(8):741–748.
79. Parker G, Fletcher K. Differentiating bipolar I and II disorders and the likely contribution of DSM-5 classification to their cleavage. *J Affect Disord.* 2014;152-154:57–64.
80. Betzler F, Stover LA, Sterzer P, et al. Mixed states in bipolar disorder - changes in DSM-5 and current treatment recommendations. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract.* 2017;21(4):244–258.
- **Good paper discussing different BD states and current treatment recommendations.**
81. Grande I, Berk M, Birmaher B, et al. Bipolar disorder. *Lancet.* 2016;387(10027):1561–1572.
82. Ferrari AJ, Stockings E, Khoo JP, et al. The prevalence and burden of bipolar disorder: findings from the global burden of disease study 2013. *Bipolar Disord.* 2016;18(5):440–450.
83. Clemente AS, Diniz BS, Nicolato R, et al. Bipolar disorder prevalence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr.* 2015;37(2):155–161.
84. Vassos E, Agerbo E, Mors O, et al. Urban-rural differences in incidence rates of psychiatric disorders in Denmark. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2016;208(5):435–440.
85. Carta MG, Moro MF, Piras M, et al. Megacities, migration and an evolutionary approach to bipolar disorder: a study of Sardinian immigrants in Latin America. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr.* 2019.
86. Gruebner O, Rapp MA, Adli M, et al. Cities and mental health. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2017;114(8):121–127.
87. Heinz A, Deserno L, Reininghaus U. Urbanicity, social adversity and psychosis. *World Psychiatry.* 2013;12(3):187–197.
88. Khazaei S, Armanmehr V, Nematollahi S, et al. Suicide rate in relation to the human development index and other health related factors: a global ecological study from 91 countries. *J Epidemiol Glob Health.* 2017;7(2):131–134.
89. Dell'Osso B, Grancini B, Vismara M, et al. Age at onset in patients with bipolar I and II disorder: a comparison of large sample studies. *J Affect Disord.* 2016;201:57–63.
90. Shah N, Grover S, Rao GP. Clinical practice guidelines for management of bipolar disorder. *Indian J Psychiatry.* 2017;59(Suppl 1): S51–s66.
91. Blanco C, Compton WM, Saha TD, et al. Epidemiology of DSM-5 bipolar I disorder: results from the National epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions – III. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2017;84:310–317.
92. Azale G, Araya T, Melaku E. More than half of bipolar patients attending Emanuel mental specialized hospital has poor quality of life, Emanuel mental specialized hospital, Ethiopia: facility-based cross-sectional study design. *J Psychiatry.* 2018;21:454.
93. Hirschfeld RM. Screening for bipolar disorder. *Am J Manag Care.* 2007;13(7 Suppl):S164–9.
94. Kronfol Z, Zakaria Khalil M, Kumar P, et al. Bipolar disorders in the Arab world: a critical review. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2015;1345:59–66.
95. Merikangas KR, Jin R, He JP, et al. Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the world mental health survey initiative. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2011;68(3):241–251.
- **Classic paper discussing the prevalence of BD, especially in LMICs.**
96. Samalin L, Vieta E, Okasha TA, et al. Management of bipolar disorder in the intercontinental region: an international, multicenter, non-interventional, cross-sectional study in real-life conditions. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:25920.
97. Esan O, Esan A. Epidemiology and burden of bipolar disorder in Africa: a systematic review of data from Africa. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2016;51(1):93–100.
98. Steel Z, Marnane C, Iranpour C, et al. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980-2013. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014;43(2):476–493.
99. The South African Depression and Anxiety Group (SADAG). 3-4% of South Africans have bipolar disorder. [cited 2019 Sept 8]. Available from: http://www.sadag.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=47:3-4-of-south-africans-have-bipolar-disorder&catid=57&Itemid=149
100. Bouchra O, Maria S, Abderazak O. Screening of the unrecognised bipolar disorders among outpatients with recurrent depressive disorder: a cross-sectional study in psychiatric hospital in Morocco. *Pan Afr Med J.* 2017;27:247.
101. Derbel C, Feki R, Ben Nasr S, et al. The late-onset bipolar disorder: a comparative study. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2017;41S:S106–S169 (EW0024).
102. Haycox A. Why cancer? *Pharmacoeconomics.* 2016;34(7):625–627.
103. Simoens S, Picavet E, Dooms M, et al. Cost-effectiveness assessment of orphan drugs: a scientific and political conundrum. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy.* 2013;11(1):1–3.
104. Cohen D. Cancer drugs: high price, uncertain value. *BMJ.* 2017;359:j4543.
105. Luzzatto L, Hyry HI, Schieppati A, et al. Outrageous prices of orphan drugs: a call for collaboration. *Lancet.* 2018;392(10149):791–794.
106. Williams MD, Shah ND, Wagie AE, et al. Direct costs of bipolar disorder versus other chronic conditions: an employer-based health plan analysis. *Psychiatric Serv.* 2011;62(9):1073–1078.
107. Kleine-Budde K, Touil E, Moock J, et al. Cost of illness for bipolar disorder: a systematic review of the economic burden. *Bipolar Disord.* 2014;16(4):337–353.
108. Addo R, Agyemang SA, Tozan Y, et al. Economic burden of caregiving for persons with severe mental illness in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(8):e0199830.
109. Sharma P, Das SK, Deshpande SN. An estimate of the monthly cost of two major mental disorders in an Indian metropolis. *Indian J Psychiatry.* 2006;48(3):143–148.
110. Eddahby S, Kadri N, Moussaoui D. Fasting during Ramadan is associated with a higher recurrence rate in patients with bipolar disorder. *World Psychiatry.* 2014;13(1):97.
111. Fajutrao L, Locklear J, Prialux J, et al. A systematic review of the evidence of the burden of bipolar disorder in Europe. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Mental Health.* 2009;5:3.
112. Charlson FJ, Baxter AJ, Dua T, et al. Excess mortality from mental, neurological, and substance use disorders in the global burden of disease study 2010. In: Patel V, Chisholm D, Dua T, et al., editors. *Mental, neurological, and substance use disorders: disease control priorities.* 3rd ed. Vol. 4. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank (c) 2016 International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/The World Bank; 2016;41–66.
113. WHO. Suicide - key facts; 2019. [cited 2019 Oct 6]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/suicide>
114. Schaffer A, Isometsa ET, Tondo L, et al. International society for bipolar disorders task force on suicide: meta-analyses and meta-regression of correlates of suicide attempts and suicide deaths in bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 2015;17(1):1–16.
115. Outhoff K. Bipolar disorder: an update. *S Afr Family Pract.* 2017;59(4):6–10.
116. Sanchez-Moreno J, Martinez-Aran A, Tabares-Seisdedos R, et al. Functioning and disability in bipolar disorder: an extensive review. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2009;78(5):285–297.
117. Bryant-Comstock L, Stender M, Devercelli G. Health care utilization and costs among privately insured patients with bipolar I disorder. *Bipolar Disord.* 2002;4(6):398–405.
118. Kebede D, Fekadu A, Shibre KT, et al. The 11-year functional outcome of bipolar and major depressive disorders in Butajira, Ethiopia. *Neurol Psychiatry Brain Res.* 2019;32:68–76.

119. Marrag I, Hajji K, Hadj Ammar M, et al. [Bipolar disorder and quality of life: a cross-sectional study including 104 Tunisian patients]. *L'Encephale*. 2015;41(4):355–361.
120. O'Donnell LA, Ellis AJ, Van de Loo MM, et al. Mood instability as a predictor of clinical and functional outcomes in adolescents with bipolar I and bipolar II disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2018;236:199–206.
121. Faurholt-Jepsen M, Frost M, Busk J, et al. Differences in mood instability in patients with bipolar disorder type I and II: a smartphone-based study. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. 2019;7(1):5.
122. Malhi GS, Outhred T, Morris G, et al. Royal Australian and New Zealand college of psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders: bipolar disorder summary. *Med J Aust*. 2018;208(5):219–225.
123. Reddy YJ, Jhanwar V, Nagpal R, et al. Prescribing practices of Indian psychiatrists in the treatment of bipolar disorder. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2019;53(5):458–469.
124. Sahoo S, Grover S, Malhotra R, et al. Internalized stigma experienced by patients with first-episode depression: a study from a tertiary care center. *Indian J Soc Psychiatry*. 2018;34:21–29.
125. Goodwin GM, Haddad PM, Ferrier IN, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for treating bipolar disorder: revised third edition recommendations from the British association for psychopharmacology. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2016;30(6):495–553.
- **Good summary regarding the management of patients with BD.**
126. Sajatovic M, DiBiasi F, Legacy SN. Attitudes toward antipsychotic treatment among patients with bipolar disorders and their clinicians: a systematic review. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2017;13:2285–2296.
127. Ethiopia Federal Ministry of Health, WHO. mhGAP in Ethiopia: proof of Concept 2013. [cited 2019 Oct 10]. Available from: <https://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/resource/mhGap%20in%20Ethiopia-%20Proof%20of%20Concept.pdf>
128. Haque M, McKimm J, Godman B, et al. Initiatives to reduce post-operative surgical site infections of the head and neck cancer surgery with a special emphasis on developing countries. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2019;19(1):81–92.
129. Godman B, Wettermark B, van Woerkom M, et al. Multiple policies to enhance prescribing efficiency for established medicines in Europe with a particular focus on demand-side measures: findings and future implications. *Front Pharmacol*. 2014;5:106.
130. Godman B, Malmstrom RE, Diogene E, et al. Are new models needed to optimize the utilization of new medicines to sustain healthcare systems? *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2015;8(1):77–94.
131. Godman B, Bucsis A, Vella Bonanno P, et al. Barriers for access to new medicines: searching for the balance between rising costs and limited budgets. *Front Public Health*. 2018;6:328.
132. Godman B, Malmstrom RE, Diogene E, et al. Dabigatran – a continuing exemplar case history demonstrating the need for comprehensive models to optimize the utilization of new drugs. *Front Pharmacol*. 2014;5:109.
133. Ermisch M, Bucsis A, Vella Bonanno P, et al. Payers' views of the changes arising through the possible adoption of adaptive pathways. *Front Pharmacol*. 2016;7:305.
134. Campbell SM, Godman B, Diogene E, et al. Quality indicators as a tool in improving the introduction of new medicines. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2015;116(2):146–157.
135. Godman B, Shrank W, Andersen M, et al. Policies to enhance prescribing efficiency in Europe: findings and future implications. *Front Pharmacol*. 2010;1:141.
136. Moorkens E, Vulto AG, Huys I, et al. Policies for biosimilar uptake in Europe: an overview. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(12):e0190147.
137. Bochenek T, Abilova V, Alkan A, et al. Systemic measures and legislative and organizational frameworks aimed at preventing or mitigating drug shortages in 28 European and Western Asian Countries. *Front Pharmacol*. 2017;8:942.
138. World Bank. World bank country and lending groups – country classifications; 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 3]. Available from: <https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups>
139. Ibrahim AW, Pindar SK, Yerima MM, et al. Medication-related factors of non adherence among patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: outcome of a cross-sectional survey in Maiduguri, North-eastern Nigeria. *J Neurosci Behav Health*. 2015;7(5):31–39.
140. Dodd S, Williams LJ, Jacka FN, et al. Reliability of the mood disorder questionnaire: comparison with the structured clinical interview for the DSM-IV-TR in a population sample. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2009;43(6):526–530.
141. Gitlin M. Lithium side effects and toxicity: prevalence and management strategies. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. 2016;4(1):27.
142. Malhi GS, Gessler D, Outhred T. The use of lithium for the treatment of bipolar disorder: recommendations from clinical practice guidelines. *J Affect Disord*. 2017;217:266–280.
143. Rybakowski JK. Challenging the negative perception of lithium and optimizing its long-term administration. *Front Mol Neurosci*. 2018;11:349.
144. Grande I, Vieta E. Pharmacotherapy of acute mania: monotherapy or combination therapy with mood stabilizers and antipsychotics? *CNS Drugs*. 2015;29(3):221–227.
145. Commodore-Mensah Y, Samuel LJ, Dennison-Himmelfarb CR, et al. Hypertension and overweight/obesity in Ghanaians and Nigerians living in West Africa and industrialized countries: a systematic review. *J Hypertens*. 2014;32(3):464–472.
146. Cois A, Day C. Obesity trends and risk factors in the South African adult population. *BMC Obes*. 2015;2:42.
147. World Health Organization – Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) Country Profiles. Botswana; 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 4]. Available from: https://www.who.int/nmh/countries/bwa_en.pdf?ua=1
148. Adekanmbi VT, Uthman OA, Erqou S, et al. Epidemiology of pre-diabetes and diabetes in Namibia, Africa: A multilevel analysis. *J Diabetes*. 2019;11(2):161–172.
149. WHO. World Health Organisation, diabetes fact sheet July 2016. [cited 2019 Mar 4]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs312/en/>
150. IDF diabetes atlas 8th edition 2017. [cited 2019 Mar 4]. Available from: <http://www.diabetesatlas.org/resources/2017-atlas.html>
151. Ofori-Asenso R, Agyeman AA, Laar A, et al. Overweight and obesity epidemic in Ghana – a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):1239.
152. Werfalli M, Engel ME, Musekiwa A, et al. The prevalence of type 2 diabetes among older people in Africa: a systematic review. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2016;4(1):72–84.
153. Dayabandara M, Hanwella R, Ratnatunga S, et al. Antipsychotic-associated weight gain: management strategies and impact on treatment adherence. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*. 2017;13:2231–2241.
- **Good summary of the different weight gaining potential of antipsychotics especially second-generation antipsychotics.**
154. Wang H, Wolock TM, Carter A, et al. Estimates of global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and mortality of HIV, 1980–2015: the global burden of disease study 2015. *Lancet HIV*. 2016;3(8):e361–87.
155. Gaida R, Truter I, Grobler C, et al. A review of trials investigating efavirenz-induced neuropsychiatric side effects and the implications. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2016;14(4):377–388.
156. Boxler D. Psychiatric times. FDA approves vraylar (Cariprazine) for bipolar depression; 2019. [cited 2019 Aug 8]. Available from: <https://www.psychiatrytimes.com/bipolar-disorder/fda-approves-vraylar-cariprazine-bipolar-depression>
157. Pacchiarotti I, Bond DJ, Baldessarini RJ, et al. The International Society for Bipolar Disorders (ISBD) task force report on antidepressant use in bipolar disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170(11):1249–1262.
158. Fela-Thomas AL, Olotu OS, Esan O. Risk of manic switch with antidepressants use in patients with bipolar disorder in a Nigerian neuropsychiatric hospital. *S Afr J Psychiatry*. 2018;24:1215.
159. Valenti M, Pacchiarotti I, Bonnin CM, et al. Risk factors for antidepressant-related switch to mania. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2012;73(2):e271–6.

160. Peters EM, Bowen R, Balbuena L. Melancholic symptoms in bipolar II depression and responsiveness to lamotrigine in an exploratory pilot study. *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2018;38(5):509–512.
161. Faurholt-Jepsen M. Electronic monitoring in bipolar disorder. *Dan Med J*. 2018;65:3.
162. Jaracz J, Rudnicka ET, Bierejszyk M, et al. The pattern of pharmacological treatment of bipolar patients discharged from psychiatric units in Poland. *Pharmacol Rep*. 2018;70(4):694–698.
163. Patton DE, Hughes CM, Cadogan CA, et al. Theory-based interventions to improve medication adherence in older adults prescribed polypharmacy: a systematic review. *Drugs Aging*. 2017;34(2):97–113.
164. Carmona-Huerta J, Castiello-de Obeso S, Ramirez-Palomino J, et al. Polypharmacy in a hospitalized psychiatric population: risk estimation and damage quantification. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2019;19(1):78.
165. Ulley J, Harrop D, Ali A, et al. Deprescribing interventions and their impact on medication adherence in community-dwelling older adults with polypharmacy: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2019;19(1):15.
166. Al-Taweel DM, Alsuwaidan M. A medication assessment tool to evaluate prescribers' adherence to evidence-based guidelines in bipolar disorder. *Int J Clin Pharm*. 2017;39(4):897–905.
167. Niaz Q, Godman B, Masseur A, et al. Validity of World Health Organisation prescribing indicators in Namibia's primary healthcare: findings and implications. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2019;31(5):338–345.
168. Fekadu A, Hanlon C, Thornicroft G, et al. Care for bipolar disorder in LMICs needs evidence from local settings. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2015;2(9):772–773.
169. Republic of Ghana. Ministry of Health (GNDP) Standard Treatment Guidelines. 7th ed; 2017. [cited 2019 Mar 2]. Available from: <https://www.dropbox.com/s/p1218b0s2tv60fs/STG%20GHANA%202017.pdf?dl=0>
170. Trivedi JK, Sareen H, Yadav VS, et al. Prescription pattern of mood stabilizers for bipolar disorder at a tertiary health care centre in north India. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2013;55(2):131–134.
171. Onyeama M, Agomoh A, Jombo E. Bipolar disorder in Enugu, South East Nigeria: demographic and diagnostic characteristics of patients. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2010;22(Suppl 1):S152–7.
172. Ashara HH. Mania and hypomania bipolar disorder. *Mentally Aware Nigeria Initiative (MANI)*; 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 4]. Available from: <https://www.mentallyaware.org/mania-and-hypomania-in-bipolar-disorder/>
173. Obiora N. What is bipolar disorder, how to recognise it and treatment options. *Pulse*; 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 4]. Available from: <https://www.pulse.ng/lifestyle/beauty-health/metal-health-awareness-what-is-bipolar-disorder-how-to-recognise-it-and-treatment/94lvnsk>
174. Jombo HE, Idung AU. Medication related factors of adherence and attitude to medication among outpatients with bipolar disorder in Uyo, South-South Nigeria. *Int Neuropsychiatr Dis J*. 2017;1–10.
175. Kehinde OA, Anyika EN, Abah I. Drug utilization patterns of anti-depressants in federal neuro-psychiatric hospital Lagos, Nigeria. *J Hosp Administration*. 2017;6:5.
176. Adeponle AB, Obembe AO, Adeyemi SO, et al. Polypharmacy in psychiatric out-patient practice in northern Nigeria. *Afr J Psychiatry*. 2007;10(4):215–218.
177. Fadare JO, Lawal MA, Elegbede AO, et al. Medication adherence and patients satisfaction among psychiatric outpatients in a rural nigerian tertiary healthcare facility. *J Psychiatry*. 2014;17:125.
178. Khalily M. Mental health problems in Pakistani society as a consequence of violence and trauma: a case for better integration of care. *Int J Integr Care*. 2011;11:1–7.
179. Altaf A, Khan M, Shah SR, et al. Sociodemographic pattern of depression in urban settlement of Karachi, Pakistan. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2015;9(6):VC09–VC13.
180. Gadit AA. Mental health in Pakistan: where do we stand? *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2006;56(5):198–199.
181. Husain MI, Chaudhry IB, Rahman RR, et al. Pilot study of a culturally adapted psychoeducation (CaPE) intervention for bipolar disorder in Pakistan. *Int J Bipolar Disord*. 2017;5(1):3.
182. Ministry of Health Tunisia. La Stratégie nationale de promotion de la santé mentale. Ministry of Health; 2013. [cited 2019 Oct 6]. Available from: <https://www.mindbank.info/item/6277>
183. Spagnolo J, Champagne F, Leduc N, et al. Building system capacity for the integration of mental health at the level of primary care in Tunisia: a study protocol in global mental health. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2017;17(1):38.
184. Ministry of Health. Essential medicine list. Government of Zambia; 2013. [cited 2019 Mar 18]. Available from: https://www.who.int/selection_medicines/country_lists/Zambia_EML_2013.pdf?ua=1
185. Ministry of Health. Standard treatment guidelines, essential medicine list and essential laboratory supplies list for Zambia. Government of Zambia; 2013. [cited 2019 Mar 18]. Available from: http://www.moh.gov.zm/docs/final_standard_treatment_guide_lines_booklet_04.pdf
186. Mwanza J, Paul R, Ncheke JM, et al. Appropriateness of antipsychotic drugs prescribed for first episode psychosis by clinicians at Chainama Hills college hospital in Lusaka. *Health Press Zambia Bull*. 2017;1(4):20–28.
187. Botswana Essential Drug List. Second; 2012. [cited 2019 Mar 18]. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s19479en/s19479en.pdf>
188. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Portaria nº 315, de 30 de março de 2016. Aprova o Protocolo Clínico e Diretrizes Terapêuticas do Transtorno Afetivo Bipolar do tipo I. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://conitec.gov.br/images/Protocolos/PCDT_TranstornoAfetivoBipolar_Tipol.pdf
189. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Lei nº 10.216, de 6 de abril de 2001. Dispõe sobre a proteção e os direitos das pessoas portadoras de transtornos mentais e redireciona o modelo assistencial em saúde mental. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/leis/leis_2001/110216.htm
190. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. DAPE. Reforma psiquiátrica e política de saúde mental no Brasil. Brasília, novembro de 2005. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://bvsm.sau.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/Relatorio15_anos_Caracas.pdf
191. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Ciência, Tecnologia e Insumos Estratégicos. Departamento de Assistência Farmacêutica e Insumos Estratégicos. Relação Nacional de Medicamentos Essenciais: RENAME 2018. Brasília: Ministério da Saúde; 2018; 218 p. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://conitec.gov.br/images/Artigos_Publicacoes/Rename/Rename_2018_Novembro.pdf
192. Caires de Souza AL, de Assis Acurcio F, Guerra Junior AA, et al. Insulin glargine in a Brazilian state: should the government disinvest? An assessment based on a systematic review. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*. 2014;12(1):19–32.
193. Ignatova D, Kamusheva M, Petrova G, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of current treatment of individuals with acute exacerbation of schizophrenia in Bulgaria. *Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip*. 2019;1(11):1–10.
194. Ignatova D, Kamusheva M, Petrova G, et al. Burden of informal care for individuals with schizophrenia and affective disorders prior to hospital admission. *Eur J Psych*. 2018;12:24.
195. Namibian Treatment Guidelines. Ministry of health and social services. 1st edition 2011. Government of Republic of Namibia. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s19260en/s19260en.pdf>
196. Republic of Namibia. Ministry of Health and Social Services (MoHSS). National Policy for Mental Health. 2005.
197. Janse van Rensburg ABR. Contributions from the South African Society of Psychiatrists (SASOP) to the National mental health action plan. *S Afr J Psych*. 2013;19(4):205–212.
198. Department of Health Republic of South Africa. National Mental Health Policy Framework And Strategic Plan – 2013-2020; 2012. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: <https://www.health-e.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/National-Mental-Health-Policy-Framework-and-Strategic-Plan-2013-2020.pdf>

199. The South African Depression and Anxiety group. Bipolar disorder treatment and referral guide. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://www.sadag.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1838&Itemid=147
200. National Department of Health Republic of South Africa. Standard treatment guidelines and essential medicines list for South Africa – third edition 2012 [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/documents/s21732en/s21732en.pdf>
201. Seedat S, Emsley R. The South African Society of Psychiatrists (SASOP) treatment guidelines for psychiatric disorders 2013. *S Afr J Psych*. 2013;19(3):128–199.
202. Kumar A. Mental health services in rural India: challenges and prospects. *Health*. 2011;3(12):757–761.
203. Singh OP. Insurance for mental illness: government schemes must show the way. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2019;61:113–114.
204. Sarkar S, Mathan K, Sakey S, et al. Cost-of-treatment of clinically stable severe mental illnesses in India. *Indian J Soc Psychiatry*. 2017;33:262–268.
205. Modi PM. India to increase public health spending to 2.5% of GDP. 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 10]. Available from: <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/economy/policy/india-to-increase-public-health-spending-to-2-5-of-gdp-pm-modi/articleshow/67055735.cms>
206. Economic Times Healthworld. Number of psychiatrists in India is disappointing in terms of population: Dr. Kersi Chavda. 2017. [cited 2019 Mar 10]. Available from: <https://health.economictimes.india.com/news/industry/number-of-psychiatrists-in-india-is-disappointing-in-terms-of-population-dr-kersi-chavda/57197480>
207. Sharma A, Ladd E, Unnikrishnan MK. Healthcare inequity and physician scarcity empowering non-physician healthcare. *Econ Political Weekly*. 2013;48(13):112–117.
208. Unnikrishnan MK, Sharma A. Misplaced reverence for super-specialists has led to lopsided public health priorities in India; 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 7]. Available from: <https://www.epw.in/engage/article/misplaced-reverence-for-super-specialists-has-led-to-lop-sided-public-health-priorities-in-india>
209. Jack-Ide IO, Uys L. Barriers to mental health services utilization in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria: service users' perspectives. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2013;14:159.
210. Agboola AA, Esan OT, Afolabi OT, et al. Economic burden of the therapeutic management of mental illnesses and its effect on household purchasing power. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(9):e0202396.
211. Abdulmalik J, Kola L, Gureje O. Mental health system governance in Nigeria: challenges, opportunities and strategies for improvement. *Global Mental Health*. 2016;3:e9.
212. WHO. World Health Organization – AIMS Report on mental health system in Pakistan; 2009. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: http://www.who.int/mental_health/pakistan_who_aims_report.pdf
213. Riaz H, Godman B, Hussain S, et al. Prescribing of bisphosphonates and antibiotics in Pakistan: challenges and opportunities for the future. *JPHSR*. 2015;6:111–121.
214. Mwape L, Mweemba P, Kasonde J. Strengthening the health system to enhance mental health in Zambia: a policy brief. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*. 2012;28(3):294–300.
215. Mwape L, Mweemba P. Strengthening the health system for mental health in Zambia (policy brief). Lusaka (Zambia): The Zambia Forum for Health Research; 2010. [cited 2019 Mar 7]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/evidence/sure/MentalHealthZambia.pdf>
216. Olashore AA, Frank-Hatitchki B, Ogunwobi O. Diagnostic profiles and predictors of treatment outcome among children and adolescents attending a national psychiatric hospital in Botswana. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health*. 2017;11:8.
217. Opondo PR, Ho-Foster AR, Ayugi J, et al. HIV prevalence among hospitalized patients at the main psychiatric referral hospital in Botswana. *AIDS Behav*. 2018;22(5):1503–1516.
218. Phillips ML, Kupfer DJ. Bipolar disorder diagnosis: challenges and future directions. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9878):1663–1671.
219. Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde. Relatório 30 anos de SUS. Que SUS para 2030? Brasília – DF; 2018. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: <https://apsredes.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Serie-30-anos-001-SINTESE.pdf>
220. Petersen I, Fairall L, Bhana A, et al. Integrating mental health into chronic care in South Africa: the development of a district mental healthcare plan. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016;208(56):s29–s39.
221. Schierenbeck I, Johansson P, Andersson L, et al. Barriers to accessing and receiving mental health care in Eastern Cape, South Africa. *Health Hum Rights*. 2013;15(2):110–123.
222. Department of Health South Africa. Human resources for health South Africa. Human resources strategy for the health sector: 2012/13–2016/17; 2012. [cited 2019 Mar 8]. Available from: https://www.gov.za/sites/default/files/gcis_document/201409/hrhstrategy0.pdf
223. De Kock JH, Pillay BJ. A situation analysis of psychiatrists in South Africa's rural primary healthcare settings. *Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med*. 2017;9(1):e1–e6.
- **Good paper demonstrating concerns with the number of health-care professionals in rural Africa including South Africa.**
224. Maconick L, Jenkins LS, Fisher H, et al. Mental health in primary care: integration through in-service training in a South African rural clinic. *Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med*. 2018;10(1):e1–e7.
225. Lund C, Petersen I, Kleintjes S, et al. Mental health services in South Africa: taking stock. *Afr J Psychiatry*. 2012;15(6):402–405.
226. Makgoba MW. HEALTH OMBUD: republic of South Africa. THE REPORT INTO THE 'CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THE DEATHS OF MENTALLY ILL PATIENTS: GAUTENG PROVINCE' - NO GUNS: 94+ SILENT DEATHS AND STILL COUNTING; 2017. [cited 2019 Mar 6]. Available from: <https://www.sahrc.org.za/home/21/files/Esidimeni%20full%20report.pdf>
227. WHO. World Health Organization model list of essential Medicines; 2019. [cited 2019 Aug 3]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/325771/WHO-MVP-EMP-IAU-2019.06-eng.pdf?ua=21stList201>
228. Fils-Aime JR, Grelotti DJ, Therosme T, et al. A mobile clinic approach to the delivery of community-based mental health services in rural Haiti. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(6):e0199313.
229. O'Neill J. Securing new drugs for future generations: the pipeline of antibiotics. The review of antimicrobial resistance. [cited 2019 Mar 5]. Available from: https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/SECURING%20NEW%20DRUGS%20FOR%20FUTURE%20GENERATIONS%20FINAL%20WEB_0.pdf
230. Jinks T, Lee N, Sharland M, et al. A time for action: antimicrobial resistance needs global response. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2016;94(8):558–a.
231. WHO. Global action plan on antimicrobial resistance. [cited 2019 Mar 5]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/antimicrobial-resistance/publications/global-action-plan/en/>
232. Founou RC, Founou LL, Essack SY. Clinical and economic impact of antibiotic resistance in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(12):e0189621.
233. Boucher HW, Bakken JS, Murray BE. The United Nations and the urgent need for coordinated global action in the fight against antimicrobial resistance. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;165(11):812–813.
234. Ocan M, Obuku EA, Bwanga F, et al. Household antimicrobial self-medication: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the burden, risk factors and outcomes in developing countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:742.
235. Kibuule D, Kagoya HR, Godman B. Antibiotic use in acute respiratory infections in under-fives in Uganda: findings and implications. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2016;14(9):863–872.
236. Sakeena MHF, Bennett AA, McLachlan AJ. Non-prescription sales of antimicrobial agents at community pharmacies in developing countries: a systematic review. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2018;52(6):771–782.
237. WHO. A global brief on hypertension – silent killer, global public health crisis. World Health Day 2013. [cited 2019 Mar 3]. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/79059/1/WHO_DCO_WHD_2013.2_eng.pdf?ua=1
238. Cappuccio FP, Miller MA. Cardiovascular disease and hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa: burden, risk and interventions. *Intern Emerg Med*. 2016;11(3):299–305.
239. IDF. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. Available from: <http://www.diabetesatlas.org/>

240. Mbui JM, Oluka MN, Guantai EM, et al. Prescription patterns and adequacy of blood pressure control among adult hypertensive patients in Kenya; findings and implications. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol.* **2017**;10(11):1263–1271.
241. Republic of South Africa. Essential drugs programme. Primary healthcare standard treatment guideline and essential medicine list. 6th ed. National Department of Health; **2018**. [cited 2019 Aug 6]. Available from: [file:///C:/Users/mail/Downloads/primaryhealthcarelevel_2018_signed%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/mail/Downloads/primaryhealthcarelevel_2018_signed%20(1).pdf).
242. Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Ministry of Health. NATIONAL MENTAL HEALTH STRATEGY 2012/13-2015/16. 201. [cited 2019 Aug 7]. Available from: <https://www.mhinnovation.net/sites/default/files/downloads/innovation/reports/ETHIOPIA-NATIONAL-MENTAL-HEALTH-STRATEGY-2012-1.pdf>
243. New 50 million pound cancer fund already intellectually bankrupt. *Lancet.* **2010**;376(9739):389.
244. Godman B, Wild C, Haycox A. Patent expiry and costs for anti-cancer medicines for clinical use. *GaBI J.* **2017**;6(3):105–106.
245. Gustafsson LL, Wettermark B, Godman B, et al. The 'wise list' – a comprehensive concept to select, communicate and achieve adherence to recommendations of essential drugs in ambulatory care in Stockholm. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* **2011**;108(4):224–233.
246. Bjorkhem-Bergman L, Andersen-Karlsson E, Laing R, et al. Interface management of pharmacotherapy. Joint hospital and primary care drug recommendations. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* **2013**;69(Suppl 1):73–78.
247. Eriksen J, Gustafsson LL, Ateva K, et al. High adherence to the 'Wise List' treatment recommendations in Stockholm: a 15-year retrospective review of a multifaceted approach promoting rational use of medicines. *BMJ Open.* **2017**;7(4):e014345.
248. Nashilongo MM, Singu B, Kalemeera F, et al. Assessing adherence to antihypertensive therapy in primary health care in Namibia: findings and implications. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* **2017**;31(5–6):565–578.
249. Rampamba EM, Meyer JC, Helberg E, et al. Knowledge of hypertension and its management among hypertensive patients on chronic medicines at primary health care public sector facilities in South Africa; findings and implications. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther.* **2017**;15(8):639–647.
250. Rampamba EM, Meyer JC, Godman B, et al. Evaluation of antihypertensive adherence and its determinants at primary healthcare facilities in rural South Africa. *J Comp Eff Res.* **2018**;7(7):661–672.
251. Rampamba E, Meyer JC, Helberg EA, et al. Empowering hypertensive patients on chronic medicines at primary health care facilities in South Africa with knowledge to improve disease management (In Press *Journal of Research in Pharmacy Practice*).
252. Meyer JC, Schellack N, Stokes J, et al. Ongoing initiatives to improve the quality and efficiency of medicine use within the public healthcare system in South Africa; a preliminary study. *Front Pharmacol.* **2017**;8:751.
253. Sandoz - A Novartis Division. Kenya is first country to launch 'Novartis Access', expanding affordable treatment options against chronic diseases; **2015**. Available from: <https://www.sandoz.com/news/media-releases/kenya-first-country-launch-novartis-access-expanding-affordable-treatment>
254. Fadare JO, Adeoti AO, Desalu OO, et al. The prescribing of generic medicines in Nigeria: knowledge, perceptions and attitudes of physicians. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* **2016**;16(5):639–650.
255. Cameron A, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Leufkens HG, et al. Switching from originator brand medicines to generic equivalents in selected developing countries: how much could be saved? *Value Health.* **2012**;15(5):664–673.
256. Khan B, Godman B, Babar A, et al. Assessment of active pharmaceutical ingredients in the registration procedures in Pakistan: implications for the future. *GaBI J.* **2016**;5(4):156–163.
257. McKay R, Mah A, Law MR, et al. Systematic review of factors associated with antibiotic prescribing for respiratory tract infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* **2016**;60(7):4106–4118.
258. Cross EL, Tolfree R, Kipping R. Systematic review of public-targeted communication interventions to improve antibiotic use. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* **2017**;72(4):975–987.