

**IMPLEMENTASI DYNAMIC DIFFICULTY ADJUSTMENT PADA NON-VIOLENT VIDEO GAMES UNTUK MENINGKATKAN DAYA TARIK PEMAIN**

**Skripsi**

diajukan untuk memenuhi bagian dari  
syarat memperoleh gelar Sarjana Komputer  
Program Studi Ilmu Komputer



Oleh :

**Adithya Kurniawan**

**1804814**

**PROGRAM STUDI ILMU KOMPUTER**  
**DEPARTEMEN PENDIDIKAN ILMU KOMPUTER**  
**FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM**  
**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**  
**2022**

**IMPLEMENTASI DYNAMIC DIFFICULTY ADJUSTMENT PADA NON-VIOLENT VIDEO GAMES UNTUK MENINGKATKAN DAYA TARIK PEMAIN**

Oleh  
Adithya Kurniawan  
NIM 1804814

Sebuah skripsi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Sarjana pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Adithya Kurniawan  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia  
Agustus 2022

Hak cipta dilindungi Undang-Undang  
Skripsi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,  
dengan dicetak ulang, difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis.

**LEMBAR PENGESAHAN**  
**IMPLEMENTASI DYNAMIC DIFFICULTY ADJUSTMENT PADA NON-**  
**VIOLENT VIDEO GAMES UNTUK MENINGKATKAN DAYA TARIK**  
**PEMAIN**

Oleh  
Adithya Kurniawan  
1804814

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh:

Pembimbing I,  
  
Rosa Ariani Sukajanto, M.T.  
NIP. 198109182009122003

Pembimbing II,  
  
Dr Rasim, S.T., M.T..  
NIP. 197407252006041002

Mengetahui  
Ketua Program Studi Ilmu Komputer  
  
Dr. Rani Megasari, M.T.  
NIP. 198705242014042002

**IMPLEMENTASI DYNAMIC DIFFICULTY ADJUSTMENT PADA NON-VIOLENT VIDEO GAMES UNTUK MENINGKATKAN DAYA TARIK PEMAIN**

Oleh

Adithya Kurniawan – vii@upi.edu

1804814

**ABSTRAK**

*Video Games* merupakan sebuah permainan elektronik yang memiliki tujuan sebagai salah satu media hiburan pada zaman modern. Sebagai media hiburan, sebuah *video games* harus dapat membuat suasana pengalaman pada pemain agar termotivasi untuk menyelesaikan *game* tersebut. *Dynamic Difficulty Adjustment* merupakan sebuah metode yang digunakan untuk menyesuaikan tingkat kesulitan secara otomatis berdasarkan kemampuan yang dimiliki oleh pemain. Tujuan dari metode ini adalah agar pemain dapat mencapai *flow state* dimana pemain merasa termotivasi untuk melanjutkan permainan dan tidak menghadapi tingkat kesulitan yang terlalu tinggi sehingga menyebabkan pemain merasa frustrasi atau tingkat kesulitan yang terlalu rendah sehingga menyebabkan pemain merasa bosan. Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk meningkatkan daya tarik *non-violent video games* dengan menerapkan metode DDA. Pendekatan DDA yang akan digunakan adalah algoritma *Hidden Markov Model* (HMM) yang merupakan salah satu dari metode probabilitas. *Game* ini diuji dengan menggunakan kuesioner *Game User Experience Satisfaction Scale* (GUESS). Hasil pengujian menunjukkan bahwa *game* yang diuji memiliki skor akhir yaitu 51,3 yang merupakan diatas rata-rata dan menunjukkan bahwa *game* tersebut cukup menarik.

Kata kunci: *dynamic difficulty adjusment, DDA, video games, difficulty, AI, probabilistic, HMM*.

**IMPLEMENTATION OF DYNAMIC DIFFICULTY ADJUSTMENT IN  
NON-VIOLENT VIDEO GAMES TO INCREASE PLAYER  
ATTRACTION**

By

Adithya Kurniawan – vii@upi.edu

1804814

**ABSTRACT**

*Video Games is an electronic game that has a purpose as one of the entertainment media in modern times. As an entertainment media, a video game must be able to create an atmosphere of experience where players will be motivated to complete the game. Dynamic Difficulty Adjustment is a method used to automatically adjust the difficulty level based on the abilities of the player. The purpose of this method is so that players can reach a flow state where players feel motivated to continue the game and do not face a level of difficulty that is too high, causing players to feel frustrated or a difficulty level that is too low, causing players to feel bored. The purpose of this study was to increase the attractiveness of non-violent video games by applying the DDA method. DDA approach that will be used is the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) algorithm which is one of the probabilities methods. This game was tested using the Game User Experience Satisfaction Scale (GUESS) questionnaire. The test results show that the game being tested has a final score of 51,3 which is above the average and indicates that the game is quite interesting.*

*Keywords:* dynamic difficulty adjusment, DDA, video games, difficulty, AI, probabilistic, HMM.

## DAFTAR ISI

KATA PENGANTAR .....	i
UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH.....	ii
ABSTRAK .....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	iv
DAFTAR ISI.....	v
DAFTAR GAMBAR .....	viii
DAFTAR TABEL.....	xii
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN.....	xiii
BAB I .....	1
1.1    Latar Belakang.....	1
1.2    Rumusan Masalah .....	2
1.3    Tujuan.....	3
1.4    Manfaat Penelitian.....	3
1.5    Batasan Masalah.....	3
1.6    Sistematika .....	4
BAB II.....	6
2.1    Peta Literatur .....	6
2.2    Video Games .....	6
2.3    Pengaruh <i>Video Games</i> .....	7
2.3.1    Kognitif .....	7
2.3.2    Motivasional.....	7
2.3.3    Emosional.....	7
2.3.4    Sosial.....	8
2.4    Kekerasan pada <i>Video Games</i> .....	8
2.5    Tingkat Kesulitan pada <i>Video Games</i> .....	8

2.6	<i>Dynamic Difficulty Adjustment</i> .....	10
2.6.1	Proses Stokastik .....	10
2.6.2	<i>Probabilistic Methods</i> .....	10
2.7	<i>Game Development Life Cycle</i> .....	13
2.7.1	<i>Initiation</i> .....	15
2.7.2	<i>Pre-Production</i> .....	15
2.7.3	<i>Production</i> .....	16
2.7.4	<i>Testing</i> .....	16
2.7.5	<i>Beta</i> .....	17
2.7.6	<i>Release</i> .....	17
2.8	Game User Experience Satisfaction Scale (GUESS) .....	17
2.9	Penelitian Terkait.....	19
	<b>BAB III .....</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Desain Penelitian.....	23
3.2	Tahap Pengembangan GDLC <i>Prototype Video Game</i> .....	24
3.3	Alat dan Bahan Penelitian .....	26
3.3.1	Alat Penelitian.....	26
3.3.2	Bahan Penelitian.....	27
3.3.3	Populasi dan Sampel Penelitian .....	27
3.4	Instrumen Penelitian.....	27
	<b>BAB IV .....</b>	<b>28</b>
4.1	Dynamic Difficulty Adjustment .....	28
4.2	Perancangan Prototype .....	28
4.3	Pengembangan Prototype .....	30
4.3.1	Rancangan Karakter .....	30
4.3.2	Rancangan <i>Stage</i> .....	40

4.3.3	Rancangan Mekanik Permainan.....	49
4.4	Implementasi DDA.....	83
4.5	Kuesioner GUESS.....	88
4.6	Hasil Pengujian Kuesioner GUESS.....	90
4.7	Pembahasan .....	94
BAB V.....		96
5.1	Kesimpulan.....	96
5.2	Saran .....	96
REFERENSI .....		97
LAMPIRAN .....		101

## REFERENSI

- Alexander, J. T., Sear, J., & Oikonomou, A. (2013). An investigation of the effects of game difficulty on player enjoyment. *Entertainment Computing*, 4(1), 53–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcom.2012.09.001>
- Andrade, G., Ramalho, G., Santana, H., & Corruble, V. (2005). Extending reinforcement learning to provide dynamic game balancing. *Artificial Intelligence*.
- Ang, D., & Mitchell, A. (2017). Comparing effects of dynamic difficulty adjustment systems on video game experience. *CHI PLAY 2017 - Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*, 317–327. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3116595.3116623>
- Ashbarry, L., Geelan, B., de Salas, K., & Lewis, I. (2016). Blood and violence: Exploring the impact of gore in violent video games. *CHI PLAY 2016 - Proceedings of the 2016 Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play, October*, 44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2967934.2968111>
- Boot, W. R., Kramer, A. F., Simons, D. J., Fabiani, M., & Gratton, G. (2008). The effects of video game playing on attention, memory, and executive control. *Acta Psychologica*, 129(3), 387–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2008.09.005>
- Bowman, N. D., Woolley, J. K., & Sherrick, B. I. (2015). *Video games as meaningful entertainment experiences*.
- Carnagey, N. L., Anderson, C. A., & Bushman, B. J. (2007). The effect of video game violence on physiological desensitization to real-life violence. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 43(3), 489–496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2006.05.003>
- Chanel, G., Rebetez, C., Bétrancourt, M., & Pun, T. (2008). Boredom, engagement and anxiety as indicators for adaptation to difficulty in games. *MindTrek - 12th International MindTrek Conference: Entertainment and Media in the Ubiquitous Era*, 13–17. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1457199.1457203>

- Elson, M., Breuer, J., Ivory, J. D., & Quandt, T. (2014). More than stories with buttons: Narrative, mechanics, and context as determinants of player experience in digital games. *Journal of Communication*, 64(3), 521–542. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12096>
- Ewoldsen, D. R., Eno, C. A., Okdie, B. M., Velez, J. A., Guadagno, R. E., & Decoster, J. (2012). Effect of playing violent video games cooperatively or competitively on subsequent cooperative behavior. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 15(5), 277–280. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2011.0308>
- Farrar, K. M., Krcmar, M., & Nowak, K. L. (2006). Contextual features of violent video games, mental models, and aggression. *Journal of Communication*, 56(2), 387–405. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2006.00025.x>
- Ghahramani, Z. (2001). An introduction to hidden Markov models and Bayesian networks. In Hidden Markov models: applications in computer vision (pp. 9–41).
- Granic, I., Lobel, A., & Engels, R. C. M. E. (2014). The benefits of playing video games. *American Psychologist*, 69(1), 66–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034857>
- Green, C. S., & Bavelier, D. (2012). Learning, attentional control, and action video games. In *Current Biology* (Vol. 22, Issue 6, pp. R197–R206). Cell Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.02.012>
- Hamari, J., Shernoff, D. J., Rowe, E., Coller, B., Asbell-Clarke, J., & Edwards, T. (2016). Challenging games help students learn: An empirical study on engagement, flow and immersion in game-based learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 54, 170–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.07.045>
- Hunicke, R., & Chapman, V. (2004). AI for dynamic difficulty adjustment in games. *AAAI Workshop - Technical Report*, WS-04-04, 91–96.

- Krcmar, M., & Farrar, K. (2009). Retaliatory aggression and the effects of point of view and blood in violent video games. *Mass Communication and Society*, 12(1), 115–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205430802065268>
- Mcgonigal, J. (2012). Reality is broken: why games make us better and how they can change the world. *Choice Reviews Online*, 49(11). <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.49-6095>
- Nakamura, J., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2002). The Concept of Flow Optimal Experience and Its Role in Development. *Handbook of Positive Psychology*, 89–105.
- Phan, M. H., Keebler, J. R., & Chaparro, B. S. (2016). The Development and Validation of the Game User Experience Satisfaction Scale (GUESS). *Human Factors*, 58(8), 1217–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720816669646>
- Power, J., Lynch, R., & McGarr, O. (2020). Difficulty and self-efficacy: An exploratory study. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(1), 281–296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12755>
- Prescott, A. T., Sargent, J. D., & Hull, J. G. (2018). Metaanalysis of the relationship between violent video game play and physical aggression over time. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(40), 9882–9888. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1611617114>
- Przybylski, A. K., Ryan, R. M., & Rigby, C. S. (2009). The motivating role of violence in video games. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 35(2), 243–259. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167208327216>
- Ramadan, R., & Widyani, Y. (2013). Game development life cycle guidelines. *2013 International Conference on Advanced Computer Science and Information Systems, ICACSIS 2013, June, 95–100.* <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACSIS.2013.6761558>
- Sarkar, A., & Cooper, S. (2019). Transforming Game Difficulty Curves using Function Composition. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300781>

- Shaffer, D. W., Squire, K. R., Halverson, R., & Gee, J. P. (2005). Video games and the future of learning. In *Phi Delta Kappan* (Vol. 87, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170508700205>
- Shi, J., Renwick, R., Turner, N. E., & Kirsh, B. (2019). Understanding the lives of problem gamers: The meaning, purpose, and influences of video gaming. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 97, 291–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.03.023>
- Smith, S. L., Lachlan, K., & Tamborini, R. (2003). Popular Video Games: Quantifying the Presentation of Violence and Its Context. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 47(1), 58–76. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15506878jobem4701\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15506878jobem4701_4)
- Ventura, M., Shute, V., & Kim, Y. J. (2012). Video gameplay, personality and academic performance. *Computers and Education*, 58(4), 1260–1266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.11.022>
- Ventura, M., Shute, V., & Zhao, W. (2013). The relationship between video game use and a performance-based measure of persistence. *Computers and Education*, 60(1), 52–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.07.003>
- Xue, S., Wu, M., Kolen, J., Aghdaie, N., & Zaman, K. A. (2017). Dynamic difficulty adjustment for maximized engagement in digital games. *26th International World Wide Web Conference 2017, WWW 2017 Companion, April 2017*, 465–471. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3041021.3054170>
- Zamith, M., da Silva, J. R., Joselli, M., & Clua, E. W. G. (2020). Applying hidden Markov model for dynamic game balancing. *Brazilian Symposium on Games and Digital Entertainment, SBGAMES, 2020-Novem*, 38–46. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SBGAMES51465.2020.00016>
- Zohaib, M. (2018). Dynamic difficulty adjustment (DDA) in computer games: A review. *Advances in Human-Computer Interaction*, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5681652>