



University of Groningen

Supporting workers with mental health problems at work

Arends, Iris; van Zon, Sander K R; Bültmann, Ute

Published in:

Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health

DOI:

10.5271/sjweh.4044

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Arends, I., van Zon, S. K. R., & Bültmann, U. (2022). Supporting workers with mental health problems at work: challenges and avenues. *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health*, *48*(5), 323-326. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.4044

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 20-11-2022



Scand J Work Environ Health 2022;48(5):323-326 Published online: 05 Jun 2022, Issue date: 01 Jul 2022

doi:10.5271/sjweh.4044

Supporting workers with mental health problems at work: challenges and avenues

by Arends I, van Zon Sander KR, Bültmann U

Affiliation: Department of Health Sciences, Community and Occupational Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands. i.arends@umcg.nl]

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: 2012;38(2):93-104 2016;42(1):34-42 2016;42(4):346-353 2022;48(3):173-189

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35665829



Scand J Work Environ Health. 2022;48(5):323-326. doi:10.5271/sjweh.4044

Supporting workers with mental health problems at work: challenges and avenues

Mental health problems in the workforce present a major public and occupational health challenge and come with significant costs for the individuals, families, employers and society at large. It has been estimated that, globally, the 12-month prevalence of common mental health problems – such as depressive disorders, anxiety disorders, and stress-related disorders – is on average 17.6%, with often serious implications for employment, productivity, and wages (2, 3). The recent OECD report "Fitter Minds, Fitter Jobs" showed that in 2018, across OECD countries, people with mental health problems have 20% lower employment rates, are almost three times more likely to be unemployed, and almost one and a half times more likely to receive disability benefits as those without these problems (2). These key figures barely differ from those presented in 2013 (2).

During the past decades, research on the highly complex phenomenon of (return to) work participation of people with common mental health problems has come a long way: many barriers and facilitators to (return to) working have been identified and interventions have been developed and tested for people with common mental health problems to participate in work (eg, 4, 5). To illustrate, facilitating factors concern, for example, an individual's active coping style (keep a daily rhythm, exercise, stay in contact with work), high self-efficacy, and a supportive family context and social network (5-8); while the severity of mental health problems or the existence of other health problems are known barriers (5). A safe organizational climate (such as openness about mental health) and good psychosocial working conditions, including support from supervisors and colleagues, having decision authority, and no high workload, have been identified as facilitating workplace factors (5, 7, 8). Also, health and social systems may act as a barrier or facilitator with, eg, waiting lists for mental health treatment or the availability of integrative mental health and occupational rehabilitation/employment services (7, 9). It comes as no surprise that Corbière et al (10) identified 11 different stakeholder groups from the work, health and insurance systems and close to 200 relevant stakeholder actions in the return-to-work process of workers with common mental health problems.

Despite extensive progress and a large body of evidence on factors to facilitate the (return to) work participation of workers with common mental health problems (for systematic reviews and meta-analyses, covering more than two decades of research, see, eg, 5, 9, 11), we must acknowledge that meta-analyses of intervention studies to date only have shown small effect sizes for sick leave reduction (4, 12–14) and no substantial effects for improved return-to-work (13) or being at work (14) rates. So, how to move the research field forward? Although people with common mental health problems have lower employment rates, the majority (60% on average across OECD countries) is working (OECD 2021), but knowledge about maintaining and improving at-work participation among this group is lacking. We see a great need for a focus shift towards a deeper understanding of at-work participation of people with common mental health problems. In the following, we focus on two challenges and avenues to move forward: (i) measuring at-work outcomes and (ii) examining the complex, interdependent relationship between common mental health problems and at-work participation with more intense, longitudinal real-time designs and a life course lens.

Challenges and avenues to support people with common mental health problems at work Challenge 1: Measurement of at-work outcomes

The first challenge concerns the measurement of how people with common mental health problems participate or function at work and what their needs are to enter and stay at work. To better support workers with common mental health problems at work, it is critical to further deepen our understanding of the strategies, work accommodation needs and functioning of these workers. To illustrate, in a qualitative study among workers suffering

from common mental health problems, Danielsson et al (15) explored "strategies to keep working". The authors showed that workers' strategies differed depending on the illness phase; ie, more reactive strategies to avoid strain were used in early phases and more reflective, solution-focused strategies were used in later phases. This knowledge on phase-specific work strategies may be used to better inform and tailor supportive interventions and work accommodation to help workers to maintain working. De Groot et al (16) recently provided first insights about how young adults with a history of mental health problems function at work. It was shown that young adults with both persistent high and elevated levels of mental health problems during childhood and adolescence, compared with those with low-level mental health problems, experience difficulties in meeting their work demands for more than one day a week given a full-time work week at age 29. Moreover, Arends et al (17) showed that many workers who returned to work after being absent with common mental health problems still experience impaired work functioning for up to 12 months. This study also demonstrated that workers recover at a different pace and at a different level in terms of mental health and work functioning.

These findings highlight the importance of focusing on at-work strategies and functioning to support workers with common mental health problems as we need to capture early signs of maladaptive strategies or reduced functioning that may inform work accommodations to prevent a further decline in functioning or even more severe consequences as sick leave or work disability. Accommodating work for workers with (common) mental health problems may be especially challenging, as opposed to other health conditions, given the strong stigma attached to mental ill-health (18, 19). As discussed by LaMontagne et al (20), an integrated intervention approach to workplace mental health, combining knowledge from various disciplines (eg, occupational medicine, psychiatry, public health, -positive- psychology) and focusing on both protecting and promoting mental health as well as addressing mental health problems is essential (20).

To assess and monitor the abilities to accomplish the work role, it is vital to consider at-work outcomes, such as health-related work functioning, work limitations, work instability, and work capabilities (21–24). Ideally, such outcomes – existing or to be developed – are at the intersection of a persons' health and work performance, reflect the ability and/or need of a person to meet the work demands given the available personal and/or environmental resources, and provide information for the content and timing of work accommodations. We strongly encourage future research to (further) rigorously test the measurement properties of existing and to be developed at-work measures, in particular the responsiveness to change, within the population of workers with common mental health problems.

Challenge 2: Examination of the complex, interdependent relationship between common mental health problems and at-work participation: novel designs and a life course lens

The second challenge concerns the need to better understand the complex, interdependent relationship between common mental health problems and at-work participation. To provide adequate and timely support for workers with common mental health problems at work, it is critical to further unravel the underlying mechanisms and (environmental) conditions of this complex, dynamic relationship, as different support policies and programs need to be in place at different time periods to address either common mental health problems or at-work participation. We would like to encourage future longitudinal studies to not shy away from complexity but to use approaches that capture the dynamics of both common mental health problems and at-work participation by, eg, repeatedly and more intensively assessing both concepts over time.

Not new, but to be considered in occupational health research and practice, may be the use of intensive, longitudinal real-time designs, as recently applied in single-subject time-series studies in psychiatry, addressing psychopathology as a complex system (eg, 25, 26). For example, to detect personalized early warning signals preceding the occurrence of a major depressive symptom transition, Wichers et al (26) conducted six single-subject time-series studies over a 3–6-month period, prospectively collecting frequent observations of momentary af-

fective states (reported up to three times a day) during a time period when participants were at increased risk of a depressive symptom transition (reported weekly). The results showed (and replicated) the presence of rising early warning signals a month before the symptom transition occurred. To improve personalized support of workers with common mental health problems at work, this type of information is highly needed.

What makes the relationship between common mental health problems and at-work participation even more complex is the fact that a person's mental health does not start when work begins; ie, what happens before a person enters the workforce affects both the health resources a person brings to work and the work opportunities (27). As most research so far measured common mental health problems during working adults' life, and not across the life course, knowledge on the impact of early life mental health experiences on at-work participation in later life is almost absent. Again, the findings of de Groot et al (16) highlight the importance of adopting a life course perspective by considering the concept of 'accumulation of health risk or health advantages' when connecting early life mental health experiences with work functioning. A life course perspective may also help advance future studies on the dynamics between different life domains (7), eg, the interplay between work and private life, as it recognizes an individual's life course as a multi-level developmental process shaped by the social context.

A focus shift towards supporting workers with common mental health problems at work also requires all key stakeholders in the healthcare system, the legal/administrative system, the work system and the personal and family system to work together – which may be a challenge in itself. However, in view of more inclusive workplaces and labor markets, we need to take the next steps to enable, maintain and improve at-work participation of workers with common mental health problems.

References

- Steel Z, Marnane C, Iranpour C, Chey T, Jackson JW, Patel V, Silove D. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980-2013. Int J of Epidemiol 2014; 476-493. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu038
- OECD. Fitter Minds, Fitter Jobs: From awareness to change in integrated mental health, skills and work policies. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1787/a0815d0f-en
- 3. Campbell D, Green MJ, Davies N, Demou E, Howe LD, Harrison S, et al. Effects of depression on employment and social outcomes: a Mendelian randomization study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2022;76:563-71. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2021-218074
- Salomonsson S, Hedman-Lagerlöf E, Öst LG. Sickness absence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological treatments for individuals on sick leave due to common mental disorders. Psychol Med 2018;48:1954-1965. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291718000065
- van Hees SGM, Carlier BE, Vossen E, Blonk RWB, Oomens S. Towards a better understanding of work participation among employees with common mental health problems: a systematic realist review. Scand J Work Environ Health 2022 Apr 1;48(3):173-189. https://doi. org/10.5271/sjweh.4005
- Black O, Keegel T, Sim MR, Collie A, Smith P. The effect of self-efficacy on return-to-work outcomes for workers with psychological or upper-body musculoskeletal injuries: A review of the literature. J Occup Rehabil 2018;28:16-27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-017-9697-y
- Nielsen K, Yarker J, Munir F, Bültmann U. IGLOO: An integrated framework for sustainable return to work in workers with common mental disorders. Work Stress 2018;32(4):400-417. https://doi.org/10.1080/02678373.2018.1438536
- 8. Joosen MCW, Lugtenberg M, Arends I, van Gestel HJAWM, Schaapveld B, Terluin B, et al. Barriers and facilitators for return to work from the perspective of workers with common mental disorders with short, medium and long term sickness absence: A longitudinal qualitative study. J Occup Rehabil 2021; Sep 27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-021-10004-9
- Andersen MF, Nielsen KM, Brinkmann S. Meta-synthesis of qualitative research on return to work among employees with common mental disorders. Scand J Work Environ Health 2012;38(2):93-104. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3257
- Corbière M, Mazaniello Chézol M, Bastien M, Wathieu E, Bouchard R, et al. Stakeholders' role and actions in the return to work process of workers on sick leave due to common mental disorders: A scoping review. J Occup Rehab 2020;30:381-419. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10926-019-09861-2
- 11. Lagerveld SE, Bültmann U, Franche RL, Van Dijk FJH, Vlasveld C, Van Der Feltz-Cornelis CM, et al. Factors associated with

- work participation and work functioning in depressed workers: a systematic review. J Occup Rehabil 2010;20:275-92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-021-09978-3
- 12. Joyce S, Modini M, Christensen H, Mykletun A, Bryant R, Mitchell PB, et al. Workplace interventions for common mental disorders: a systematic meta-review. Psychol Med 2016;46(4):683-697. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715002408
- Nigatu YT, Liu Y, Uppal M, McKinney S, Rao S, Gillis K, et al. Interventions for enhancing return to work in individuals with a common mental illness: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Psychol Med 2016;46:3263-3274. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0033291716002269
- Nieuwenhuijsen K, Verbeek JH, Neumeyer-Gromen A, Verhoeven AC, Bültmann U, Faber B. Interventions to improve return to work in depressed people. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2020;(10). Art. No.: CD006237. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858. CD006237.pub4
- Danielsson L, Elf M, Hensing G. Strategies to keep working among workers with common mental disorders a grounded theory study. Disabil Rehabil 2019;41(7):786-795. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2017.1408711
- 16. de Groot S, Veldman K, Amick BC 3rd, Bültmann U. Work functioning among young adults: the role of mental health problems from childhood to young adulthood. Occup Environ Med 2022;79(4):217-223. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2021-107819
- Arends I, Almansa J, Stansfeld SA, Amick BC 3rd, van der Klink JJL, Bültmann U.One-year trajectories of mental health and work outcomes post return to work in patients with common mental disorders. J Affect Disord 2019;257:263-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jad.2019.07.018
- 18. Henderson C, Evans-Lacko S, Thornicroft G. Mental illness stigma, help seeking, and public health programs. Am J Public Health 2013;103(5):777-80. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2012.301056
- Janssens KME, van Weeghel J, Dewa C, Henderson C, Mathijssen JJP, Joosen MCW, et al. Line managers' hiring intentions regarding people with mental health problems: a cross-sectional study on workplace stigma. Occup Environ Med 2021;78(8):593-599. https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2020-106955
- LaMontagne AD, Martin A, Page KM, Reavley NJ, Noblet AJ, Milner AJ, et al. Workplace mental health: developing an integrated intervention approach. BMC Psychiatry 2014 May;14:131. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-14-131
- 21. Abma FI, Brouwer S, de Vries HJ, Arends I, Robroek SJW, Cuijpers MPJ, et al. The capability set for work: development and validation of a new questionnaire. Scand J Work Environ Health 2016;42(1):34-42. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3532
- 22. Walker TJ, Tullar JM, Diamond PM, Kohl HW 3rd, Amick BC 3rd. Validity and reliability of the 8-item work limitations questionnaire. J Occup Rehabil 2017;27(4):576-583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-016-9687-5
- 23. Abma F, Bjorner JB, Amick BC 3rd, Bültmann U. Two valid and reliable work role functioning questionnaire short versions were developed: WRFQ 5 and WRFQ 10. J Clin Epidemiol 2019;105:101-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2018.09.00
- 24. Danielsson L, Fornazar R, Holmgren K, Lundgren Nilsson Å, Hensing G. Development and construct validity of the Work Instability Scale for people with common mental sisorders in a sample of depressed and anxious workers: A Rasch analysis. Rehabil Process Outcome 2020;14;9:1179572720936664. https://doi.org/10.1177/1179572720936664
- Wichers M, Groot PC, Psychosystems, ESM Group, EWS Group. Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. Psychother and Psychosom 2016;85(2):114-116. https://doi.org/10.1159/000441458
- 26. Wichers M, Smit AC, Snippe E. Early warning signals based on momentary affect dynamics can expose nearby transitions in depression: A confirmatory single-subject time-series study. J Pers Oriented Res 2020;6(1):1-15. https://doi.org/10.17505/jpor.2020.22042
- 27. Amick BC 3rd, McLeod CB, Bültmann U. Labor markets and health: an integrated life course perspective. Scand J Work Environ Health 2016;42:346-53. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3567

Iris Arends, PhD, 1,2 Sander KR van Zon, PhD,1 Ute Bültmann, PhD 1

- ¹ Department of Health Sciences, Community and Occupational Medicine, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.
- ² Arbo Unie, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Correspondence to

Dr. Iris Arends,

Department of Health Sciences, Community and Occupational Medicine,

University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen,

Hanzeplein 1,

9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

[E-mail: i.arends@umcg.nl]