Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# NUTRIENT SOLUTION MANAGEMENT FOR GREENHOUSE TOMATOES: A MULTIPLE-CASE STUDY



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Applied Science at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

> Minhua Xu 2006

# NUTRIENT SOLUTION MANAGEMENT FOR GREENHOUSE TOMATOES: A MULTIPLE-CASE STUDY

Minhua Xu 2006



#### **OGS 10**

#### MASSEY UNIVERSITY APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF REQUEST TO EMBARGO A THESIS (Pursuant to AC 98/168 (Revised 2), Approved by Academic Board 16.02.99)

Name of Candidate: $Minkig X_{ii}$ ID Number: $\frac{03212688}{03212688}$
Degree: MApplS Dept/Institute/School: INR
Thesis Title: Green here Tomate Sustema Watriant le coste
Management
Name of Chief Supervisor: E. Con Connection Telephone Extr. 7937
As author of the above named thesis, I request that my thesis be embargoed from public access
until (date) $\frac{3!/12/200\%}{12/200\%}$ for the following reasons:
Thesis contains commercially sensitive information.
Thesis contains information which is personal or private and/or which was given on the basis that it not be disclosed.
Immediate disclosure of thesis contents would not allow the author a reasonable opportunity to publish all or part of the thesis.
Other (specify): The work described in this the sis investiges an alien at
extreme sensitivity between our funders a regional councils
who are an interested by non-client bady.
Please explain here why you think this request is justified:
the industry that doended this work do not work the
results shared with regional convicits at this steps.
Valed (the industry group concerned) are dere loping
a great code of practise on the topic of This
research. They believe that pre-matrix release
of this thesis will do made the processes that
Signed (Candidate): $\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{16}$
Endorsed (Chief Supervisor): 20 (a c. c. ston fl) Date: 30/40/2006
Approved/Not Approved (Representative of VC):
Note: Copies of this form, once approved by the replicitence of the upper of the thesis. Deputy Vice-Chancellor
(Research)

### Abstract

Nutrient solution released from hydroponic greenhouse operations has been considered as a potential pollution source by New Zealand regional authorities. *The Management of Nutrient Solutions Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice* (COP) is designed to assist New Zealand greenhouse growers in managing nutrient solution release in hydroponic systems to comply with The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and regional resource plans prepared by regional authorities to ensure that their constituents act appropriately.

A multiple-case study was designed to investigate the nutrient solution management and disposal practices of New Zealand hydroponic greenhouse tomato growers and, further, to investigate whether or not these practices meet the guidelines set out in *The Management of Nutrient Solutions Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice*.

Three hydroponic greenhouse properties that represent the current New Zealand greenhouse tomato industry were selected as case studies. How the greenhouse growers manage nutrient solution in these greenhouses was investigated by interviewing the growers. The results show that none of the case study growers fully met the guidelines given in the COP. However, recirculating growers manage nutrient solution better than do the run-to-waste grower in terms of reducing nutrient solution discharge frequency. They release less volume of nitrogen into the environment per 1000 kg of tomato produced in their recirculating growing systems. The research results indicate that high-technology recirculating grower could better satisfy the requirements set out in the COP. Low-technology run-to-waste growers are unlikely to meet those requirements due to their system design. Therefore, adopting a recirculating growing system is considered as a trend of the industries in the future.

### Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to the following people for their support and contribution to this research.

My supervisors, Ewen Cameron and Dr David Gray: thank you for giving me excellent guidance and support. Thank you for your patience in checking my drafts many times. Thank you for your valuable comments, suggestions, and words of encouragement. I cannot imagine how I could have finished my research without your help.

New Zealand Vegetable and Potato Growers' Federation: thanks for providing me with the research funding and the RH Blackmore Scholarship.

Greenhouse growers who participated in my case studies, thank you for being open and helpful throughout the interviews. Thank you for providing me with all the information I needed, and the delicious tomatoes.

All staff in the INR, particularly Denise Stewart: thank you for your kindness and assistance throughout my study period.

My mates in the computer lab and friends in our badminton team: Bijaya, Baisen, YangGyu, Ernest. Girija, Lolita, Nui, Mary, Bhoj, Mofakkarul, Jianyu, Truyen, Pooh, and Jian. Thank you for giving me helping hands whenever I needed them and making me happy all the time.

Haoran: thanks for the many times you dropped me off and collected me at the airport. Sorry for always asking you to get up before sunrise in the morning so that I could catch the early flight. Finally, all fieldtrips have finished and you don't have to do that any more.

Dad and Mum, thanks for being understanding and encouraging all the time. My sister Ling, thank you for looking after our parents when I was not at home, particularly when Dad got sick and your own baby was still young. I am so sorry I could not help you much. My little nephew Jiajin, thanks for your sweet songs through the telephone, they made me really happy and relaxed. My whole family, thanks for giving me the opportunity to experience a new life! Although I am far away from you, I can feel your support and love all the time!

,

# Table of contents

1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective of the Study	4
1.4 Thesis Structure	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Hydroponic Growing Systems	6
2.1.1 Definitions and Classifications	6
2.1.2 System Design and Nutrient Solution Flow	9
2.2 Nutrient Solution Supply Management	12
2.2.1 Characteristics of Nutrient Solution Supply in Hydroponic Media Systems	12
2.2.2 Key Factors Which Affect Nutrient Solution Drainage	14
2.2.2.1 Irrigation Water	14
2.2.2.2 Growing Media	16
2.2.2.3 Temperature and Solar Radiation	18
2.2.2.4 Crop Growing Stage	19
2.3 Nutrient Solution Drainage Management	20
2.3.1 Collection and Storage	
2.3.2 Factors Which Affect the Nutrient Solution Reuse Cycle	
2.3.3 Irrigation Water and Nutrient Solution Treatment	23
2.4 Nutrient Solution Disposal	24
2.4.1 Nutrient Solution Release and its Major Environmental Impacts	25
2.4.1.1 Nitrogen in Nutrient Solution	23 26
2.4.1.2 Nurogen and Oround water	20 27
2.4.1.5 Containmants in Nutrient Solution Release Environmental Impacts	27 28
2.4.2 Strategies and Fractices Osed to Reduce Provident Solution Release Environmental Impacts	20 28
2.4.2.2 Nutrient Solution Release Site and Release Condition	29
2.5 Policies and Suggestions Regarding Greenhouse Nutrient Solution Disposal in New Zealand	30
2.5.1 General Policies and Guidelines on Sustainable Management of Natural Resources	31
2.5.2 The Management of Nutrient Solution Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice for Greenhouse Growers (COP)	32
2.6 Summary of the Literature Review	36
3. METHODOLOGY	40
3.1 Selection of Research Strategies	40

3.2 Case Study	43
3.3 Multiple Case Study Process	
3.3.1 Case Study: Define and Design	
3.3.1.1 Develop theory	45
3.3.1.2 Selection of Cases	
3.3.1.3 Design of Data Collection Protocol	49
3.3.2 Case Study Data Preparation, Collection, and Analysis	
3.3.2.1 Interview Preparation	
3.3.2.2 Data Collection	
3.3.3 Data Analysis	
3.3.3.1 Within-case Analysis	
3.3.3.1.1 Data Description and Classification	
3.3.3.1.2 Connection	
3.3.3.2 Cross-case Analysis	
3.3.3.3 Comparison to Literature	

4.1 Case Study One	58
4.1.1 Case Description	59
4.1.2 Hydroponic System	61
4.1.3 Nutrient Solution Supply Management	63
4.1.3.1 Formulation and Adjustment	63
4.1.3.2 Nutrient Solution Supply	65
4.1.3.2.1 Daily Supply Strategies	65
4.1.3.2.2 Supply Strategies in a Crop Cycle	68
4.1.4 Nutrient Solution Drainage Management	72
4.1.4.1 Collection and Storage	72
4.1.4.2 Treatment and Reuse	74
4.1.5 Nutrient Solution Disposal	76
4.2 Case Study Report Two	78
4.2.1 Case Description	79
4.2.2 Hydroponic System	80
4.2.3 Nutrient Solution Supply Management	82
4.2.3.1 Formulation and Adjustment	82
4.2.3.2 Nutrient Solution Supply	84
4.2.3.2.1 Daily Supply Strategies	84
4.2.3.2.2 Supply Strategies in a Crop Cycle	86
4.2.4 Nutrient Solution Drainage Management	88
4.2.4.1 Collection and Storage	88
4.2.4.2 Treatment and Reuse	89
4.2.5 Nutrient Solution Disposal	91
4.3 Case Study Report Three	93
4.3.1 Case Description	94
4.3.2 Hydroponic System	95
4.3.3 Nutrient Solution Supply Management	97
4.3.3.1 Formulation and Adjustment	97
4.3.3.2 Nutrient Solution Supply	101
4.3.3.2.1 Daily Supply Strategies	101
4.3.3.2.2 Supply Strategies in a Crop Cycle	102
4.3.4 Nutrient Solution Disposal	104
-	

5. CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS	106
5.1 Hydroponic Systems	106
<ul><li>5.2 Nutrient Solution Supply Management.</li><li>5.2.1 Formulation and Adjustment.</li><li>5.2.2 Nutrient Solution Supply.</li></ul>	<b>109</b> 109 111
<ul><li>5.3 Nutrient Solution Drainage Management.</li><li>5.3.1 Collection and Storage</li><li>5.3.2 Treatment and Reuse.</li></ul>	<b>114</b> 114 115
5.4 Nutrient Solution Disposal 5.4.1 Volume and Frequency 5.4.2 Disposal	<b>116</b> 116 117
6. DISCUSSION	122
6.1 Hydroponic Systems	122
6.2 Nutrient Solution Supply Management	124
<ul><li>6.3 Nutrient Solution Drainage management</li></ul>	<b>127</b> 127 128
6.4 Nutrient Solution Disposal	130
7. CONCLUSION	132
7.1 Main findings	132
7.2 Implications of the Findings	135
7.3 Assessment of the Method	
7.4 Future Research	138
REFERENCES	140
APPENDICES	
Appendix 1 Interview Questions	I
Appendix 2: Nutrient Solution Discharge in Case Study One	IV
Appendix 3: A sample laboratory analysis result of nutrient solution drainage in Case Study O	ne VI
Appendix 4 Nutrient Solution Discharge in Case Study Two	VII
Appendix 5: Nutrient Solution Discharge in Case Study Three	IX

## List of Tables

TABLE 2. 1 AMOUNT IF NITROGEN USE IN DIFFERENT TOMATO GROWING STAGE. SOURCE FROM (SNYDER, 2000)	25
TABLE 2.2 A COMPARISON OF THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND THE COP	34
TABLE 3. 1 RELEVANT SITUATIONS FOR DIFFERENT RESEARCH STRATEGIES. SOURCE: YIN (2003).       4	11
Γαρί ε 5.1.4 σομραριζονι ος τως ΗΥΠΡΟΡΟΝΙΟ ενέτεμε μέση βν της τηρές σάδε ετίπιες	17
TABLE 5.2 A COMPARISON OF THE FERCILITIES IN EACH HYDROPONIC SYSTEMS	)8
TABLE 5.3 A COMPARISON OF THE PARAMETRES MEASURED BY THE GROWERS	1
TABLE 5.4 COMPARISON OF NITROGEN RELEASE VOLUME AND FREQUENCY	7

TABLE A.1 ESTIMATE OF THE NUTRIENT SOLUTION DISCHARGE VOLUME IN CASE STUDY ONE ...... IV

TABLE A 2 AVERAGE NUTRIENT SOLUTION SUPPLY AND DRAINAGE VOLUME FOR CASE STUDY THREE ......... IX

# List of Figures

FIGURE 2. 1 NUTRIENT SOLUTION FLOW IN A RECIRCULATING HYDROPONIC SYSTEM. SOURCE: (MAGEN, 1999)
FIGURE 2.2 EXPLANATORY MODEL OF MINIMISING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DUE TO NUTRIENT SOLUTION DISCHARGE
FIGURE 3. 1 BASIC TYPES OF DESIGNS FOR CASE STUDIES. SOURCE : YIN (2003)
FIGURE 3.2 MULTIPLE CASE STUDY APPROACH. SOURCE: YIN (2003)
FIGURE 3.3 A SAMPLE DECISION RULES USED IN DATA CLASSIFICATION PROCESS
FIGURE 3.4 SAMPLE EXPLANATORY LINKAGES OF TECHNICAL ACTIVITIES TO ENSURE REDUCTION OF
NUTRIENT SOLUTION DRAINAGE
FIGURE 4.1. 1 CASE STUDY ONE NUTRIENT SOLUTION FLOW DIAGRAM
FIGURE 4.1.2 CASE STUDY ONE CROPPING SCHEDULE AND RELEVANT NUTRIENT SOLUTION MANAGEMENT
FACTORS
FIGURE 4.1.3 SURPLUS NUTRIENT SOLUTION DRAINS DOWN ONTO THE TRAY UNDERNEATH THE GROWING
MEDIA SLABS AND THEN IS COLLECTED IN A PIPE AT THE END OF EACH ROW (RED ARROW)
Figure 4.1.4 Reused nutrient solution storage tanks (red arrow) and raw water storage tank $\sim$
(BLUE ARROW)
FIGURE 4.1.5 NUTRIENT SOLUTION MIXING TANK (RED ARROW) AND UV TREATMENT UNIT (BLUE ARROW)76
FIGURE 4.1.6 NUTRIENT SOLUTION RELEASE SITE FOR CASE STUDY ONE: SMALL AREA OF LAWN
FIGURE 4.2.1 CASE STUDY TWO NUTRIENT SOLUTION FLOW DIAGRAM
FIGURE 4.2.2 GLASS BOTTLE (RED ARROW) USED FOR MEASURING SUPPLY VOLUME AND PLASTIC TRAY (BLUE
ARROW) USED FOR MEASURING DRAINAGE VOLUME
FIGURE 4.2.3 NUTRIENT SOLUTION MANAGEMENT IN DIFFERENT CROP GROWING STAGE IN CASE STUDY TWO.
FIGURE 4.2.4 DRAINAGE NUTRIENT SOLUTION IS COLLECTED BY A PLASTIC TRAY PLACED UNDERNEATH THE
GROWING BAGS WITH A HOLE (RED ARROW) IN THE MIDDLE OF THE TRAY FOR GUIDING THE DRAINAGE.
FIGURE 4.2.5 SLOW SAND FILTER TANK
FIGURE 4.2.6 NUTRIENT SOLUTION DISPOSAL AREA: PADDOCKS

FIGURE 4.3.2 CASE STUDY THREE'S CROPPING SCHEDULE AND RELEVANT NUTRIENT SOLUTION MANAGEMENT	٩Ţ
FACTORS	98
FIGURE 4.3.3 NUTRIENT SOLUTION DRAINAGE IS COLLECTED BY A PLASTIC TRAY	
UNDERNEATH THE GROWING BAGS	)5
FIGURE 4.3.4 THE NUTRIENT SOLUTION DRAINAGE IS GUIDED TO THE OPEN LAND OUT OF THE GREENHOUSE	
THROUGH A PIPE	)5

## 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Background

Greenhouse systems can efficiently produce high-quality products for consumers. As a result, in the last decade, worldwide commercial greenhouse production has expanded rapidly (RIRDC, 2001). These systems are found predominantly in affluent countries that have discerning consumers or countries that have access to such markets (RIRDC, 2001). New Zealand is one of these countries. Major crops in greenhouses include tomatoes, cut flowers, strawberries, capsicums, cucumbers, and lettuces (MAF, 2002).

Tomatoes are a significant greenhouse crop in New Zealand. In 2004, tomatoes were ranked number one in terms of household spending in *Statistics New Zealand's Ranking of Household Expenditure* for the top 30 fresh vegetables (Vegfed, 2005). Consumers spend \$118 million on tomatoes annually. This was more than double the 1996 figure (\$55.5 million) (Vegfed, 2005). Similarly, New Zealand's export of greenhouse tomatoes has also increased. In 2002, the value of export greenhouse tomatoes was \$1.4 million. By 2003, it had increased more than three times to \$4.9 million and by 2005 to \$7.5 million (Ivicevich, 2005). In 2005, 40,000 tonnes of greenhouse tomatoes were supplied to the domestic market (worth \$97.5 million) and 2,000 tonnes were exported (HortNZ, 2006). Most of the fresh market tomatoes in New Zealand are produced in greenhouses (HortNZ, 2006). In 2005, 375 commercial growers produced tomatoes from 120 hectares of greenhouses (HortNZ, 2006). The majority of these are located in the North Island, and one-third in the Auckland region (HortNZ, 2006).

In the last decade in this scene of expanding consumption and export, New Zealand greenhouse growers' production technology has significantly improved. This has been represented by improvements in growing systems and hence in increased yield. Traditionally, greenhouse properties in New Zealand have been small (0.5-1.0 hectare) family-run greenhouses. Some growers produced tomatoes in soil (Vegfed, 2004). In the

1990s the average greenhouse tomato annual yield in the whole country was 28 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Singgih, 1999). But now the situation has changed-the majority of New Zealand fresh market tomato growers produce their crops in hydroponic or semi-hydroponic systems; only a few of them still grow in soil (Vegfed, 2004). The average greenhouse size has increased. The largest growers now have up to 20 hectares in production under glass (Vegfed, 2004). As greenhouse growers have adopted more sophisticated technology and moved to more intensive systems, the tomato yield has also significantly increased. By 2005 the average greenhouse tomato annual yield was 45-50 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (HortNZ, 2006). However, although the greenhouse property sizes are increasing, the total number of greenhouse tomato growers has declined from 560 in 2002 to 375 in 2005 (HortNZ, 2006). The main reason is that growers with low-technology small-scale greenhouses can not drive their performance to enable them to survive without advanced technology, high investment, skilled management and sufficient profit (HortNZ, 2006).

As mentioned above, almost all the fresh market tomatoes in New Zealand are produced in greenhouse hydroponic growing systems. The advantage of a hydroponic growing system is that nutrients can be supplied in a more efficient and controlled manner (Jones, 2004). However, one of the characteristics of hydroponic growing is that from time to time surplus nutrient solution has to be discharged. When disease occurs or the salinity level is too high, or when the system needs to be cleaned between crops, a proportion of the nutrient solution is discharged to waste (Tuzel, Tuzel, Gul, Meric, Yavuz, & Eltez, 2001).

The surplus nutrient solution from a hydroponic system has a high concentration of nutrients, particularly nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium (Hochmuth & Sweat, 1999). For large-scale greenhouses, the quantities of surplus nutrient solution can be huge (Martin, 2004). If disposal of the surplus nutrient solution is not carried out carefully it can have an adverse impact on the environment by polluting surface and groundwater (ARC, 2005c). Therefore, greenhouse nutrient solution management has been considered as one of the critical factors in greenhouse management.

Previously in New Zealand the emphasis on greenhouse tomato production was on increasing yields and improving fruit quality. But now sustainability and the environment have become important concerns for farmers and growers (PCE, 2004). The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) is an important piece of legislation that the government has developed to ensure the sustainability of the ecosystem. Regional councils set their local regulations and policies about resource management within the framework of the RMA. Some regional councils (e.g., the Auckland Regional Council) are concerned that many greenhouses are discharging nutrient solution to the environment in a way that is potentially harmful to the environment and inconsistent with sustainable management (ARC, 2005c). Therefore, management practices associated with reducing the environmental impacts of greenhouse nutrient solution release have recently been highlighted by regional authorities.

In order to assist the greenhouse growers to comply with the RMA and regional resource plans, *The Management of Nutrient Solutions Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice* (COP), which was funded by the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry's Sustainable Farming Fund, Vegfed's Tomato Sector, Veg-Gro Supplies Ltd, Auckland Regional Council, Fertiliser Manufacturers' Research Association and Northern Flower Growers Association, has been developed and launched in 2006.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

In New Zealand, the tomato greenhouse industry has expanded rapidly during the past decade. In recent years the nutrient solution released from greenhouse operations has been considered as a potential pollution source by regional authorities. "*The Management of Nutrient Solutions Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice*" (COP) is designed to assist New Zealand greenhouse growers in managing the nutrient solution release in hydroponic systems to comply with the RMA and regional resource plans prepared by regional authorities to ensure that their constituents act appropriately. However, in New Zealand's greenhouse industry, production systems and greenhouse growers' level of

technical expertise vary. Little is known about the nutrient solution management and disposal practices of New Zealand hydroponic greenhouse tomato growers. Similarly, there is a paucity of information about the extent to which these growers follow guidelines provided in *The Management of Nutrient Solutions Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice* (COP).

### 1.3 Objective of the Study

The main objective of this research was to investigate the nutrient solution management and disposal practices of New Zealand hydroponic greenhouse tomato growers and, further, to investigate whether these practices meet the guidelines set out in *The Management of Nutrient Solutions Released from Greenhouses: A Code of Practice* (COP).

Specific objectives of the research are:

1). To obtain an in-depth understanding of the theory of nutrient solution management and disposal in hydroponic systems by reviewing the literature.

2). To investigate the nutrient solution management and disposal practices used by New Zealand hydroponic greenhouse tomato growers.

3). To compare the growers' nutrient solution management and disposal activities with the guidelines provided in the COP and the literature.

#### **1.4 Thesis Structure**

There are seven chapters in this thesis. Chapter One is the introduction. In this chapter the background of this research, including an overview of New Zealand's greenhouse tomato industry and the environmental concerns developing in relation to greenhouse nutrient

solution discharge, the research problem statement, and the research objectives, are outlined.

Chapter Two is the literature review. In this chapter, the theories of nutrient solution management and disposal in hydroponic systems and the guidelines for reducing impacts of the nutrient solution discharge on the environment are reviewed. Chapter Three is the research methodology. Chapter Four is the case study report. In this chapter, the details of the nutrient solution management and disposal activities used by the selected case studies are described. Chapter Five is the cross-case analysis. In this chapter, the results obtained in the case studies are compared with each other. Chapter Six is the discussion. In this chapter, the results from the cross-case analysis are compared to the general theories found in the literature and the guidelines given in the COP. Chapter Seven is the conclusion. The main findings from the research, the implications of the findings, an assessment of the research method, and future research suggestions are summarised in this chapter.