

## Article

# Staphylococcus spp. and Lactobacillus sakei Starters with High Level of Inoculation and an Extended Fermentation Step Improve Safety of Fermented Sausages

Igor Dias <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> , Marta Laranjo <sup>1</sup> , Maria Eduarda Potes <sup>1,4</sup> , Ana Cristina Agulheiro-Santos <sup>1,5</sup> , Sara Ricardo-Rodrigues <sup>1</sup> , Maria João Fraqueza <sup>6</sup> , Margarida Oliveira <sup>2,3,7</sup>  and Miguel Elias <sup>1,5</sup> 

- <sup>1</sup> MED—Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development, IIFA—Instituto de Investigação e Formação Avançada, Universidade de Évora, Pólo da Mitra, Ap. 94, 7006-554 Évora, Portugal; mlaranjo@uevora.pt (M.L.); mep@uevora.pt (M.E.P.); acsantos@uevora.pt (A.C.A.-S.); sirr@uevora.pt (S.R.-R.); elias@uevora.pt (M.E.)
  - <sup>2</sup> CIEQV—Life Quality Research Centre, Avenida Dr. Mário Soares no 110, 2040-413 Rio Maior, Portugal; margarida.oliveira@esa.ipsantarem.pt
  - <sup>3</sup> ESAS, UIIPS—Instituto Politécnico de Santarém, Quinta do Galinheiro, S. Pedro, 1001-904 Santarém, Portugal
  - <sup>4</sup> Departamento de Medicina Veterinária, Escola de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade de Évora, Pólo da Mitra, Ap. 94, 7006-554 Évora, Portugal
  - <sup>5</sup> Departamento de Fitotecnia, Escola de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade de Évora, Pólo da Mitra, Ap. 94, 7006-554 Évora, Portugal
  - <sup>6</sup> CIISA—Centro de Investigação Interdisciplinar em Sanidade Animal, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade de Lisboa, Avenida da Universidade Técnica, 1300-477 Lisboa, Portugal; mjoaofraqueza@fmv.ulisboa.pt
  - <sup>7</sup> LEAF—Linking Landscape, Environment, Agriculture and Food, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal
- \* Correspondence: igor.dias@esa.ipsantarem.pt



**Citation:** Dias, I.; Laranjo, M.; Potes, M.E.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Ricardo-Rodrigues, S.; Fraqueza, M.J.; Oliveira, M.; Elias, M. *Staphylococcus* spp. and *Lactobacillus sakei* Starters with High Level of Inoculation and an Extended Fermentation Step Improve Safety of Fermented Sausages. *Fermentation* **2022**, *8*, 49. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8020049>

Academic Editor: Diana Oliveira

Received: 28 December 2021

Accepted: 21 January 2022

Published: 24 January 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Abstract:** *Paio do Alentejo* (PA) is one of the most popular dry-fermented sausages in Portugal. The aim of the present work was to evaluate the effect of a high concentration of starter cultures with an extended fermentation step on the safety and quality of PA. Physicochemical parameters, microbiological parameters, biogenic amines, colour, texture profile, and sensory attributes were assessed. Five starters were selected based on our previous works. *Staphylococcus equorum* S2M7, *Staphylococcus xylosus* CECT7057, *Lactobacillus sakei* CV3C2, *Lactobacillus sakei* CECT7056, and a yeast strain (2RB4) were co-inoculated in meat batters at a concentration of  $10^8$  cfu/g for bacteria and  $10^6$  cfu/g for yeast strain, and 0.25% dextrose was added. Inoculated starters significantly reduced pH, *Listeria monocytogenes* counts, and total content in biogenic amines. The studied starter cultures did not compromise the sensory characteristics of PA, and thus, their use can be considered to protect these sausages and contribute to their safety.

**Keywords:** dry-fermented sausages; *Paio do Alentejo*; food safety; starter cultures; biogenic amines; foodborne pathogens; food quality

## 1. Introduction

Fermented foods are manufactured through controlled microbial growth and result from the enzymatic conversion of food components. They are strategically present in every European diet due to their importance for human health but also as an intangible cultural heritage for their sustainability, consumer acceptance, and potential for innovation [1].

Natural fermented sausages have a long tradition originating from Mediterranean countries since roman times [2]. Nowadays, dry-fermented sausages are produced in many countries. Traditional Portuguese sausages are unique foods that usually have their origin in geographical areas associated to the respective commercial designation. The exhibited variety in flavour, texture, shape, and calibre results from the diversity of

raw materials, ingredients, and manufacturing processes. According to Dias et al. [3] *Paio do Alentejo* is a popular dry-fermented sausage in Portugal because it is manufactured using pork meat from autochthonous breeds as well as typical nonmeat ingredients in small processing units according to traditional practices specific to each geographical area. This type of sausage has long been spontaneously fermented using empirical methods, but sometimes, the quality of the end products cannot be assured. The microbiota that develops during the fermentation depends on several factors (raw meat, ingredients, and the specific conditions of meat processing and ripening (i.e., temperature relative humidity, and time) and others [4,5]. Chen et al., Rocchetti et al., and Cruzen et al. [6–8] stated that for product safety and quality consistency, starter cultures are commonly used in fermented sausage production. According to Laranjo et al. and Pereira et al. [4,9], the most promising microorganisms selected as starter cultures are those that are isolated from the autochthonous microbiota of products, because they are well adapted to the environmental conditions of food processing.

Some of these microorganisms also play a crucial role as biocontrol agents. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), namely, *L. sakei*, *L. plantarum*, and *L. curvatus* are considered as the most important contributor in the reduction or elimination of pathogens through the reduction of pH, their antibacterial metabolites, including organic acids, bacteriocins, and hydrogen peroxide [10,11]. Gram-positive catalase-positive cocci (G<sup>+</sup>C<sup>+</sup>C), such as *S. xyloso*, *S. equorum*, and *Kocuria* spp., are able to reduce lipid oxidation and formation of nitrosomyoglobin, favouring the development of a typical red colour through their nitrate-reducing capacity [4,8,12]. Yeasts, such as *Debaromyces* spp., can be inoculated both in meat batters and on the surface of sausages, and moulds of the genus *Penicillium* are used for the superficial inoculation of sausages [13], due to their ability to prevent lipid oxidation and contribute to the gradual dehydration of sausages. Concomitantly, they may also contribute to enhance their sensory properties. The inoculum concentration must take into account the concentrations usually found in non-inoculated sausages. Recently, Oliveira et al. [5] indicated concentrations between 10<sup>5</sup> and 10<sup>9</sup> cfu/g of bacteria/g of meat bater. However, other authors mention 10<sup>6</sup>–10<sup>7</sup> cfu/g for yeasts [14,15] and 10<sup>11</sup> cfu/g for surface inoculated moulds [16].

Biogenic amines (BA) have been considered hazardous to consumers' health due to their ability to react with nitrites and form potentially carcinogenic nitrosamines [17,18]. The use of autochthonous starter cultures represent one of the main measures to control BA formation in fermented meat products [3,19].

The aim of the present work was to evaluate the effects of different consortia of autochthonous starters, inoculated at high concentrations, and with an extended fermentation step, on the safety and quality of *Paio do Alentejo*, a traditional Portuguese dry-fermented sausage produced in a small manufacturing unit.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Dry-Fermented Sausage Manufacturing and Sampling

*Paio do Alentejo*, a traditional dry-fermented sausage, was manufactured in a local factory using commercial black pig breed (Alentejano pig breed × Duroc pig breed) meat as described previously [3].

Five treatments were considered, using different consortia of starters: (1) control (no starter cultures added), (2) *Staphylococcus equorum* S2M7/*Lactobacillus sakei* CV3C2, (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4, (4) *Staphylococcus xyloso* CECT7057/*Lactobacillus sakei* CECT7056; and (5) *S. xyloso* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4). Bacteria and yeast strains were co-inoculated at a concentration of 10<sup>8</sup> cfu/g and 10<sup>6</sup> cfu/g, respectively, in meat batters. Food grade dextrose (0.25%) was added to all treatments to boost multiplication of starters, according to previous works [3,13]. All starter strains, *S. equorum* S2M7, *L. sakei* CV3C2, *S. xyloso* CECT7057, and *L. sakei* CECT7056, have been previously studied [3,20,21]. Starter culture composition and concentrations were selected based on previous trials and were inoculated in the meat batter [3]. These inoculated meat batters were subjected to a maturation step of 72 h before stuffing to promote the adaptation of inoculated starters to the meat batter.

Moreover, an extended fermentation step was added after stuffing to maximise fermentation, at room temperature, before smoking.

Three independent manufacturing batches were produced for all five treatments, and triplicate samples were collected throughout the curing process at five different steps: meat batter (immediately before stuffing), fermented sausage (48 h after stuffing and maintained at room temperature in the smokehouse antechamber), and half-cured sausage (12 days after stuffing), 25 days after stuffing and end-product (38–40% weight losses).

Microbiological parameters and contents in biogenic amines were determined at all curing steps, except at 25 days after stuffing. Samples were analysed at this additional step only to evaluate the reducing effect of starters on safety (*L. monocytogenes* and *Salmonella* spp.) and hygiene (*Enterobacteriaceae*) indicators. Additionally, pH and aW were determined at all curing steps.

Evaluations of colour, texture profile analysis, and sensory analysis were only performed in end-products.

Samples were immediately processed for microbiological, sensory, and most physico-chemical analyses but stored at  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  for later analysis of biogenic amines.

## 2.2. Physicochemical Analyses

### 2.2.1. Determination of pH and aW

For determination of pH and aW, five replicates per sample were used. Samples were prepared, and measurements were made as described in [13]. pH measurements followed ISO 2917 [22].

### 2.2.2. Colour

Determination of colour CIELab chromatic coordinates ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ , and  $b^*$  were measured and  $C^*$  and  $H^{\circ}$  calculated) made with a Konica Minolta CR-400 colorimeter (Konica Minolta Inc., Tokyo, Japan) as described in [13]. Five replicates per sample were used.

Using CIEL\*a\*b\* coordinates, the total colour difference ( $\Delta E$ ) between controls and inoculated treatments was calculated,  $\Delta E = [(L^*0 - L^*1)^2 + (a^*0 - a^*1)^2 + (b^*0 - b^*1)^2]^{0.5}$ , where  $\Delta E$  is the total colour difference;  $L^*0$ ,  $a^*0$ ,  $b^*0$  are the means of colour parameters determined for the control sausages; and  $L^*1$ ,  $a^*1$ ,  $b^*1$  are the means of colour parameters determined for the inoculated sausages.

Regarding the interpretation of results, the scale proposed by Mokrzycki and Tatol [23] was used: the observer does not notice the difference when  $0 < \Delta E < 1$ ; only an experienced observer may notice the difference when  $1 < \Delta E < 2$ ; an unexperienced observer also notices the difference when  $2 < \Delta E < 3.5$ ; a clear difference in colour is noticed when  $3.5 < \Delta E < 5$ , and an observer notices two different colours when  $5 < \Delta E$ .

### 2.2.3. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed using a Stable Micro System TA-Hdi (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, England) following the procedures described in [24,25]. Five replicates per sample were used. Hardness (N), adhesiveness ( $\text{N}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ), springiness, cohesiveness, resilience, and chewiness (N) were calculated from the obtained force-time curves.

## 2.3. Microbiological Analyses

Microbiological parameters were performed following international standards and established procedures: mesophiles (ISO 4833-1 [26]), psychrotrophic microorganisms (ISO 17410 [27]), lactic acid bacteria (ISO 15214 [28]) staphylococci [29], yeasts and moulds (ISO 21527-2 [30]), enterobacteria (ISO 21528-2 [31]), and listeria monocytogenes (ISO 11290-2 [32]). The eventual presence of *Salmonella* spp. was detected using a VIDAS enzyme-linked fluorescent immunoassay (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France), and positive samples were confirmed following ISO 6579-1 [33]. All microbiological analyses were performed in triplicate, and the results were expressed as log colony-forming units (cfu)/g.

#### 2.4. Biogenic Amines Profile

The content of biogenic amines was determined as previously described [29,34], using eight grams of homogenized sample extracted with 0.4 M perchloric acid. 1,7-Diaminoheptane was used as internal standard, and biogenic amines were derivatized with dansyl chloride under alkaline conditions and injected into an HPLC system (Thermo Scientific Dionex, Ultimate 3000, Waltham, MA, USA) with an RP-18 reverse phase column (5  $\mu\text{m}$  of  $4.0 \times 125$  mm and 100  $\text{\AA}$ ) (Merck, Kenilworth, NJ, USA).

All samples were extracted in duplicate, and each replicate was twofold derivatized, and injected in duplicate. Tryptamine,  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine, putrescine, cadaverine, histamine, tyramine, spermidine, and spermine were quantified and are expressed in mg/kg of fresh weight. The content of vasoactive amines (tryptamine,  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine, histamine, and tyramine) was calculated. Chromatographic data were analysed with Chromeleon software version 6.8 (Thermo Scientific Dionex, Waltham, MA, USA).

#### 2.5. Sensory Analysis

Sensory analyses were performed with a panel of ten assessors following the established protocols (ISO 8586-1 [35] ISO 8589-1 [36]). The experts were asked to evaluate sausages using a quantitative descriptive analysis with a scale ranging from 0 to 100 corresponding to “no perception” or “maximum perception”. The evaluated attributes were colour intensity, off-colours, marbled, aroma intensity, off-aromas, hardness, fibrousness, succulence, flavour intensity, off-flavours, salt perception, and overall appreciation. For hardness and salt perception, an optimum value of 50 was considered.

Regarding the control treatment, only the sausages with *Listeria monocytogenes* counts below the detection limit were offered to panellists.

#### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using STATISTICA v.12.0 software from Statsoft (StatSoft Inc., 1984–2014, Tulsa, OK, USA). Outliers were detected using the Grubbs test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

Factorial or one-way ANOVAs were performed, and significantly different means were compared with Tukey’s HSD test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. pH and aW of Fermented Sausages

pH values significantly decreased throughout the first curing steps, with almost insignificant changes after the half-cured sausage stage (Table 1). Within each curing step, inoculated sausages showed significantly lower pH values, when compared to the control sausages, which contributes to their enhanced safety.

Regarding aW values, significant differences were observed along the cure, with significantly lower mean values for end-products. End-product sausages inoculated with *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4 showed significantly lower mean values ( $0.851 \pm 0.004$ ) than control sausages.

#### 3.2. Microbiota of Fermented Sausages

The results for all microbiological parameters are summarised in Table 2.

Significant differences were observed between control and inoculated meat batters for mesophiles (control roughly log 7, while inoculated around log 8), psychrotrophic microorganisms (control roughly log 7, while inoculated around log 8), LAB (inoculated meat batters about 3 logs higher), and staphylococci (inoculated meat batters about 2 logs higher), which could be due to the addition of starters. However, no significant differences were observed between inoculated and control sausages regarding yeasts counts.

Enterobacteria counts were significantly lower in the last two curing steps, probably due to the inoculation with LAB and the consequent pH decrease.

*L. monocytogenes* was present along the cure. However, inoculated end-product sausages showed a significant reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts, almost always be-

low the detection limit (100 cfu/g), evidencing the bactericidal effect of starters over this pathogenic bacterium.

*Salmonella* spp. was absent in all end-product sausages.

### 3.3. Biogenic Amines Profile of Fermented Sausages

Biogenic amines profile for *Paio do Alentejo* fermented sausages along the cure is shown in Table 3. An increase in the content of biogenic amines along the cure was generally observed for all amines, but  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine and tyramine exhibited a more heterogenous behaviour.

**Table 1.** Effect of starter cultures on pH and aw of fermented sausages.

Parameters	Treatment	Curing Steps				
		Meat Batter	Fermented Sausage	Half-Cured Sausage	25 Days after Stuffing	End-Product
pH	1	5.87 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.06	5.73 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 0.10	5.05 <sup>CD,a</sup> ± 0.09	5.01 <sup>D,a</sup> ± 0.03	5.06 <sup>CD,a</sup> ± 0.09
	2	5.64 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.24	5.35 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.11	4.97 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.04	4.95 <sup>C,bc</sup> ± 0.02	5.02 <sup>C,bc</sup> ± 0.04
	3	5.60 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.28	5.30 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.11	5.00 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.06	4.97 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.02	5.02 <sup>C,bc</sup> ± 0.06
	4	5.68 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.27	5.33 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.06	5.00 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.03	4.95 <sup>C,c</sup> ± 0.03	5.00 <sup>C,c</sup> ± 0.03
	5	5.68 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.03	5.35 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.04	5.00 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.04	4.96 <sup>C,bc</sup> ± 0.05	5.03 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.04
aw	1	0.963 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.010	0.957 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 0.012	0.932 <sup>C,a</sup> ± 0.006	0.903 <sup>D</sup> ± 0.007	0.856 <sup>E,a</sup> ± 0.007
	2	0.959 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.012	0.955 <sup>A,abc</sup> ± 0.009	0.934 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 0.005	0.905 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.004	0.852 <sup>D,ab</sup> ± 0.005
	3	0.961 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.007	0.952 <sup>B,c</sup> ± 0.008	0.933 <sup>C,a</sup> ± 0.007	0.902 <sup>D</sup> ± 0.004	0.851 <sup>E,b</sup> ± 0.004
	4	0.956 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.010	0.953 <sup>A,bc</sup> ± 0.005	0.927 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.003	0.904 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.008	0.852 <sup>D,ab</sup> ± 0.005
	5	0.962 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.007	0.959 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.011	0.931 <sup>B,bc</sup> ± 0.009	0.906 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.005	0.855 <sup>D,a</sup> ± 0.007

Data are expressed as means ± SD. (1) Control; (2) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2; (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4; (4) *S. xyloso* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056; (5) *S. xyloso* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4. For the same treatment and in the same row, distinct capital letters (<sup>A-E</sup>) represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ). For each curing step and in the same column, distinct lowercase letters (<sup>a-c</sup>) represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Tyramine showed the lowest concentrations throughout the curing process with values below 2.20 mg/kg for all treatments at all sampling steps. On the other hand, tryptamine showed values of  $196.65 \pm 116.26$  mg/kg for *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4 inoculated end-product sausages and of  $269.77 \pm 131.28$  mg/kg for control sausages.

Regarding natural polyamines, spermine contents were much higher than those of spermidine.

Concerning vasoactive amines (tryptamine,  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine, histamine, and tyramine), although mean values were higher for control sausages ( $279.11 \pm 131.94$  mg/kg), no significant differences were observed between control and inoculated end-products.

Furthermore, the content in vasoactive amines in end-products was higher than 200 mg/kg, mainly due to the contribution of tryptamine. However, the total content in biogenic amines is lower than 1000 mg/kg. Moreover, in end-products, inoculation showed a significant effect on the content of biogenic amines, with significantly lower values in inoculated sausages (between  $452.58 \pm 55.11$  mg/kg and  $533.96 \pm 63.65$  mg/kg) when compared to control sausages ( $731.95 \pm 206.23$  mg/kg), with the sausages inoculated with *S. xyloso* CECT7057  $10^8$ /*L. sakei* CECT7056  $10^8$  showing the lowest mean values.

### 3.4. Colour

Table 4 summarizes the effect of starters on the colour of fermented sausages.

**Table 2.** Effect of starter cultures on microbiological parameters of fermented sausages.

Parameters	Treatment	Curing Steps				
		Meat Batter	Fermented Sausage	Half-Cured Sausage	25 Days after Stuffing	End-Product
mesophiles	1	6.58 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.38	7.53 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.70	7.76 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.63	-	7.83 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.30
	2	7.66 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 0.30	8.07 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.13	8.04 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.16	-	8.06 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.27
	3	7.54 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 0.37	8.07 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.09	8.05 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.11	-	7.96 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.34
	4	7.94 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.21	7.97 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.08	8.19 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.22	-	8.27 ± 0.59
	5	7.97 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.49	8.09 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.12	8.25 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.25	-	8.08 ± 0.38
psychrotrophic microorganisms	1	6.66 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.41	7.47 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.66	7.53 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.86	-	7.78 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.35
	2	7.58 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.32	7.53 ± 0.97	7.93 ± 0.21	-	8.13 ± 0.54
	3	7.61 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.42	7.40 ± 0.99	7.85 ± 0.17	-	8.03 ± 0.51
	4	7.93 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.21	7.23 ± 1.07	7.65 ± 0.99	-	8.13 ± 0.69
	5	7.92 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.49	7.48 ± 1.14	8.04 ± 0.25	-	8.14 ± 0.54
LAB	1	4.75 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.36	7.37 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.69	8.14 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.33	-	7.89 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.59
	2	7.84 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.41	8.09 ± 0.25	8.08 ± 0.16	-	8.06 ± 0.60
	3	7.72 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 0.49	8.19 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.20	8.11 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.11	-	8.12 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.50
	4	8.17 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.42	8.00 ± 0.11	8.20 ± 0.26	-	8.25 ± 0.79
	5	8.13 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.72	8.20 ± 0.18	8.17 ± 0.16	-	8.14 ± 0.51
staphylococci	1	4.55 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.43	5.41 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.66	5.46 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.60	-	4.66 <sup>BC</sup> ± 0.69
	2	5.88 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.35	5.71 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.51	5.45 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.53	-	4.75 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.52
	3	5.73 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.45	5.59 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.32	5.11 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.16	-	4.33 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.63
	4	6.26 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.41	6.06 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.50	5.19 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.75	-	4.67 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.86
	5	5.80 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.52	5.76 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.45	4.88 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.70	-	4.69 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.85
enterobacteria	1	5.28 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.50	6.48 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.79	5.59 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.89	4.20 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.51	3.49 <sup>C,a</sup> ± 0.72
	2	5.66 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.43	5.77 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.44	5.02 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.39	3.35 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.81	2.71 <sup>C,ab</sup> ± 0.33
	3	5.54 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.64	5.70 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.35	5.00 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.48	3.15 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.80	3.00 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 0.48
	4	5.92 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 1.00	5.46 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 0.68	4.80 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.91	2.78 <sup>B</sup> ± 1.11	2.08 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 0.94
	5	6.39 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.94	6.13 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 0.17	5.56 <sup>A</sup> ± 1.18	3.00 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.96	2.85 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 0.31
yeasts	1	4.58 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.33	4.02 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.35	4.71 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.65	-	4.75 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.23
	2	4.40 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.37	3.84 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.14	4.81 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.33	-	4.65 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.43
	3	4.50 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.34	3.77 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.24	4.47 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.31	-	4.88 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.38
	4	4.29 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.63	3.92 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.25	4.53 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.76	-	4.67 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.54
	5	4.54 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.57	4.08 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.50	4.85 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.50	-	4.71 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.43
moulds	1	0.44 ± 0.88	0.74 ± 0.93	0.94 ± 1.50	-	<DL
	2	0.50 ± 0.84	1.28 ± 1.80	<DL	-	<DL
	3	0.84 ± 1.31	0.11 ± 0.33	0.22 ± 0.67	-	<DL
	4	0.45 ± 0.90	0.11 ± 0.33	0.22 ± 0.67	-	<DL
	5	0.57 ± 1.17	0.37 ± 0.56	<DL	-	<DL
<i>L. monocytogenes</i>	1	1.33 <sup>B</sup> ± 1.59	2.80 <sup>AB</sup> ± 3.62	3.41 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 0.43	2.86 <sup>AB</sup> ± 1.60	2.44 <sup>AB,a</sup> ± 1.43
	2	1.55 <sup>AB</sup> ± 1.86	2.09 <sup>AB</sup> ± 1.61	2.19 <sup>A,ab</sup> ± 1.60	1.03 <sup>AB</sup> ± 1.60	<DL <sup>B,b</sup>
	3	2.03 ± 1.54	1.67 ± 1.60	2.29 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.33	1.90 ± 1.49	0.90 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.35
	4	2.24 ± 1.72	1.55 ± 1.66	1.34 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.60	1.52 ± 1.68	<DL <sup>b</sup>
	5	1.64 ± 1.95	1.63 ± 1.98	1.92 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.85	1.09 ± 1.73	<DL <sup>b</sup>
<i>Salmonella</i> spp.	1	Present in 6/9 samples	Present in 4/9 samples	Present in 6/9 samples	Present in 5/9 samples	ND
	2	Present in 6/9 samples	Present in 5/9 samples	Present in 4/9 samples	Present in 4/9 samples	ND
	3	Present in 6/9 samples	Present in 6/9 samples	Present in 4/9 samples	Present in 4/9 samples	ND
	4	Present in 7/9 samples	Present in 5/9 samples	Present in 3/9 samples	Present in 3/9 samples	ND
	5	Present in 6/9 samples	Present in 4/9 samples	Present in 5/9 samples	Present in 3/9 samples	ND

Data are expressed as means ± SD. <DL: below the detection limit of the corresponding analytical method (10 cfu/g for moulds and 100 cfu/g for *L. monocytogenes*). ND—Not detected (absence in 25 g). Results are expressed in log cfu/g. (1) Control; (2) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2; (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4; (4) *S. xylosus* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056; 5-*S. xylosus* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4. For the same treatment and in the same row, distinct capital letters (<sup>A-C</sup>) represent significantly different means (*p* < 0.05). For each curing step and in the same column, distinct lowercase letters (<sup>a,b</sup>) represent significantly different means (*p* < 0.05).

**Table 3.** Effect of starter cultures in the biogenic amines profile (mg/kg fresh weight) of fermented sausages.

Parameters	Treatment	Curing Steps			
		Meat Batter	Fermented Sausage	Half-Cured Sausage	End-Product
tryptamine	1	18.66 <sup>B</sup> ± 12.45	32.41 <sup>B</sup> ± 23.55	219.86 <sup>A</sup> ± 218.19	269.77 <sup>A</sup> ± 131.28
	2	33.49 <sup>B</sup> ± 14.35	31.20 <sup>B</sup> ± 31.18	192.35 <sup>A</sup> ± 189.78	224.96 <sup>A</sup> ± 57.06
	3	29.07 <sup>B</sup> ± 14.30	43.16 <sup>B</sup> ± 39.40	242.02 <sup>A</sup> ± 156.04	196.65 <sup>A</sup> ± 116.26
	4	44.62 <sup>B</sup> ± 23.75	37.49 <sup>B</sup> ± 46.19	261.65 <sup>A</sup> ± 84.50	221.71 <sup>A</sup> ± 47.98
	5	34.47 <sup>B</sup> ± 17.26	42.08 <sup>B</sup> ± 41.94	230.66 <sup>A</sup> ± 236.89	198.98 <sup>A</sup> ± 85.30
β-phenylethylamine	1	10.84 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 10.45	5.06 <sup>B</sup> ± 1.74	9.77 <sup>AB,a</sup> ± 2.14	7.02 <sup>AB</sup> ± 1.86
	2	3.86 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 1.12	5.03 <sup>B</sup> ± 1.90	7.49 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 2.78	7.02 <sup>A</sup> ± 2.63
	3	5.57 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 3.37	4.68 <sup>B</sup> ± 1.19	8.31 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 3.83	6.75 <sup>AB</sup> ± 2.17
	4	5.27 <sup>BC,b</sup> ± 3.50	4.13 <sup>BC</sup> ± 0.62	7.49 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 2.83	6.80 <sup>AB</sup> ± 1.13
	5	4.46 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.59	4.32 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.49	9.49 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 2.79	7.42 <sup>B</sup> ± 2.21
putrescine	1	6.65 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.62	10.02 <sup>C,a</sup> ± 4.50	79.61 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 21.44	149.61 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 33.01
	2	5.86 <sup>C</sup> ± 1.52	7.62 <sup>BC,ab</sup> ± 2.04	13.11 <sup>B,bc</sup> ± 5.14	29.89 <sup>A,bc</sup> ± 13.22
	3	6.34 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.33	7.89 <sup>BC,ab</sup> ± 1.93	11.83 <sup>B,bc</sup> ± 2.48	33.63 <sup>A,bc</sup> ± 11.19
	4	6.23 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.75	6.61 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 0.64	10.76 <sup>B,c</sup> ± 1.51	13.13 <sup>A,c</sup> ± 1.72
	5	6.39 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.66	8.98 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 3.15	39.13 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 22.76	47.35 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 35.22
cadaverine	1	9.89 <sup>D,ab</sup> ± 1.91	54.39 <sup>C,a</sup> ± 7.45	100.45 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 9.19	191.75 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 98.35
	2	10.68 <sup>D,ab</sup> ± 4.30	36.36 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 12.30	56.25 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 15.94	118.59 <sup>A,bc</sup> ± 32.70
	3	11.89 <sup>D,a</sup> ± 10.50	37.78 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 10.50	82.82 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 7.69	102.29 <sup>A,bc</sup> ± 34.86
	4	9.27 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 2.55	23.51 <sup>B,c</sup> ± 8.44	71.78 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 14.67	82.00 <sup>A,c</sup> ± 18.05
	5	10.36 <sup>D,ab</sup> ± 2.14	35.81 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 15.13	69.75 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 30.27	128.44 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 45.48
histamine	1	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	1.37 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 2.10
	2	3.67 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 6.35	8.83 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 8.82	6.91 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 9.56	31.58 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 23.97
	3	3.21 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 4.72	10.54 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 8.54	5.93 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 8.69	29.99 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 25.91
	4	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	5.22 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 6.16
	5	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	ND <sup>B,b</sup>	3.59 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 4.75
tyramine	1	2.17 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.73	0.75 <sup>C</sup> ± 0.55	1.35 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.39	0.95 <sup>BC</sup> ± 0.85
	2	1.58 ± 0.74	0.97 ± 0.67	1.25 ± 0.77	1.47 ± 0.85
	3	1.76 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.88	0.93 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.67	1.18 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.77	1.28 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.81
	4	1.65 <sup>A</sup> ± 0.79	0.92 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.77	0.95 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.63	1.38 <sup>AB</sup> ± 0.81
	5	2.09 <sup>A</sup> ± 1.03	0.96 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.75	0.89 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.73	1.26 <sup>B</sup> ± 0.77
spermidine	1	12.94 <sup>B</sup> ± 4.03	9.19 <sup>B</sup> ± 3.98	19.65 <sup>A</sup> ± 3.23	19.56 <sup>A</sup> ± 6.67
	2	10.63 <sup>B</sup> ± 5.06	10.87 <sup>B</sup> ± 3.82	19.24 <sup>A</sup> ± 3.39	19.04 <sup>A</sup> ± 6.40
	3	13.10 <sup>B</sup> ± 3.50	13.75 <sup>B</sup> ± 6.41	21.55 <sup>A</sup> ± 3.89	16.47 <sup>A</sup> ± 7.12
	4	12.71 <sup>B</sup> ± 3.96	12.24 <sup>B</sup> ± 4.72	19.57 <sup>A</sup> ± 5.75	22.17 <sup>A</sup> ± 7.53
	5	14.11 <sup>B</sup> ± 4.04	11.32 <sup>B</sup> ± 6.00	19.63 <sup>A</sup> ± 5.53	19.71 <sup>A</sup> ± 6.88
spermine	1	47.69 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 7.32	62.80 <sup>B</sup> ± 7.45	74.65 <sup>B</sup> ± 20.45	91.91 <sup>A</sup> ± 14.93
	2	51.39 <sup>C,ab</sup> ± 18.01	64.72 <sup>B</sup> ± 9.68	78.63 <sup>B</sup> ± 20.51	101.41 <sup>A</sup> ± 19.54
	3	52.78 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 9.81	61.90 <sup>B</sup> ± 7.87	81.02 <sup>A</sup> ± 19.24	90.98 <sup>A</sup> ± 16.07
	4	53.46 <sup>C,ab</sup> ± 10.86	60.57 <sup>C</sup> ± 6.17	82.26 <sup>B</sup> ± 17.13	100.17 <sup>A</sup> ± 20.56
	5	60.80 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 14.41	60.99 <sup>B</sup> ± 8.36	92.38 <sup>A</sup> ± 16.15	103.73 <sup>A</sup> ± 18.86
vasoactive amines	1	31.67 <sup>B</sup> ± 10.98	38.22 <sup>B</sup> ± 23.66	230.97 <sup>A</sup> ± 218.17	279.11 <sup>A</sup> ± 131.94
	2	42.61 <sup>B</sup> ± 15.74	46.02 <sup>B</sup> ± 38.75	208.00 <sup>A</sup> ± 187.70	265.03 <sup>A</sup> ± 59.50
	3	39.61 <sup>B</sup> ± 11.44	59.31 <sup>B</sup> ± 47.09	257.44 <sup>A</sup> ± 154.26	234.66 <sup>A</sup> ± 110.36
	4	51.54 <sup>B</sup> ± 26.61	42.53 <sup>B</sup> ± 47.15	270.10 <sup>A</sup> ± 85.59	235.10 <sup>A</sup> ± 48.68
	5	41.02 <sup>B</sup> ± 17.94	47.37 <sup>B</sup> ± 42.71	241.04 <sup>A</sup> ± 237.82	211.24 <sup>A</sup> ± 84.66
total amines	1	108.83 <sup>C,b</sup> ± 14.50	174.62 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 29.93	505.32 <sup>B</sup> ± 345.47	731.95 <sup>A,a</sup> ± 206.23
	2	121.17 <sup>C,ab</sup> ± 35.03	165.60 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 32.54	375.33 <sup>B</sup> ± 199.30	533.96 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 63.65
	3	123.72 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 19.44	180.63 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 35.94	454.66 <sup>A</sup> ± 164.28	478.03 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 156.31
	4	133.22 <sup>B,a</sup> ± 28.69	145.47 <sup>B,b</sup> ± 42.12	454.46 <sup>A</sup> ± 94.87	452.58 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 55.11
	5	132.69 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 26.07	164.46 <sup>B,ab</sup> ± 45.58	461.93 <sup>A</sup> ± 273.00	510.47 <sup>A,b</sup> ± 114.85

Data are expressed as means ± SD. ND—not detected; detection limit for histamine is 0.20 mg/kg. (1) control; (2) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2; (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4; (4) *S. xyloso* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056; 5-*S. xyloso* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4. For the same treatment and in the same row, distinct capital letters (A–D) represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ). For each curing step and in the same column, distinct lowercase letters (a–c) represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 4.** Effect of starter cultures on the colour parameters of fermented sausages evaluated in end-products.

Treatment	Colour Parameters					ΔE
	L* (Lightness)	a* (Redness/Greenness)	b* (Yellowness/Blueness)	C* (Chroma)	H° (Hue Angle)	
1	37.80 ± 4.09	14.59 ± 2.97	14.18 ± 4.57	20.43 ± 5.11	43.44 <sup>a</sup> ± 5.37	—
2	37.10 ± 4.52	13.62 ± 2.12	12.53 ± 3.09	18.61 ± 3.19	42.17 <sup>ab</sup> ± 6.19	2.04
3	37.35 ± 4.31	14.50 ± 2.47	13.62 ± 0.43	20.00 ± 3.75	42.79 <sup>ab</sup> ± 5.62	0.72
4	36.96 ± 2.66	14.69 ± 2.53	12.41 ± 2.77	19.30 ± 3.40	39.92 <sup>b</sup> ± 4.67	1.96
5	36.82 ± 4.08	13.74 ± 3.19	13.46 ± 3.80	19.35 ± 4.49	44.11 <sup>a</sup> ± 6.12	1.48

Data are expressed as means ± SD. (1) Control; (2) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2; (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4; (4) *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056; (5) *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4. In the same column, different letters represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ). ΔE—total colour difference between control and inoculated treatments.

Significant differences were only detected for the colour coordinate H°, with sausages inoculated with *S. xyloso*us CECT7057 10<sup>8</sup>/*L. sakei* CECT7056 10<sup>8</sup> showing more reddish tones.

The total colour difference (ΔE) calculated for each inoculated treatment revealed that an unexperienced observer can notice the difference in colour for treatment 2; but only an experienced observer can notice the difference for treatments 4 and 5; and no difference in colour was noticed by the observer regarding treatment 3.

### 3.5. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) of Fermented Sausages

The results for the texture profile analysis (TPA) are shown in Table 5.

**Table 5.** Effect of starter cultures on the TPA parameters of fermented sausages evaluated in end-products.

Treatment	Texture Parameters					Chewiness (N)
	Hardness (N)	Adhesiveness (N·s <sup>-1</sup> )	Cohesiveness	Springiness	Resilience	
1	39.025 ± 11.67	-1.685 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.282	0.587 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.083	0.858 ± 0.186	0.135 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.032	20.016 ± 9.241
2	44.446 ± 9.724	-2.228 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.557	0.599 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.047	0.904 ± 0.262	0.133 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.019	23.957 ± 7.857
3	42.188 ± 14.842	-2.037 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.325	0.570 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.047	0.840 ± 0.070	0.124 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.023	20.369 ± 8.244
4	45.221 ± 12.655	-1.641 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.201	0.566 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.051	0.930 ± 0.324	0.121 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.021	23.885 ± 10.971
5	40.556 ± 10.633	-1.484 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.841	0.571 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.046	0.893 ± 0.248	0.126 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.022	19.970 ± 4.916

Data are expressed as means ± SD. (1) Control; (2) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2; (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4; (4) *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056; (5) *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4. In the same column, different letters represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Data analysis showed significant differences for adhesiveness, cohesiveness, and resilience.

Regarding adhesiveness, fermented sausages inoculated with *S. equorum* S2M7 10<sup>8</sup>/*L. sakei* CV3C2 10<sup>8</sup> (-2.228 ± 1.557 N·s<sup>-1</sup>) were significantly more adhesive than those inoculated with *S. xyloso*us CECT7057 10<sup>8</sup>/*L. sakei* CECT7056 10<sup>8</sup>/yeast 2RB4 10<sup>6</sup> (-1.484 ± 0.841 N·s<sup>-1</sup>).

Sausages inoculated with *S. equorum* S2M7 10<sup>8</sup>/*L. sakei* CV3C2 10<sup>8</sup> also showed significantly higher cohesiveness values than sausages inoculated with *S. xyloso*us CECT7057 10<sup>8</sup>/*L. sakei* CECT7056 10<sup>8</sup>.

Regarding resilience, control sausages showed significantly higher values than sausages inoculated with *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056.

### 3.6. Sensory Analysis of Fermented Sausages

Regarding the results obtained for the sensory attributes (Table 6), significant differences were only found between inoculation treatments for off colours, off flavours, and overall perception. No off-colours were reported for control sausages. Regarding off-flavours, control sausages showed the lowest mean values (1.21 ± 3.78). Taking all



this into account, control sausages were the most appreciated (overall perception) by the panel, although not significantly different from all inoculated treatments. Generally, the selected yeast strain seems to have a negative effect on the sensory characteristics of fermented sausages.

**Table 6.** Effect of starter cultures on some sensory attributes of fermented sausages evaluated in end-products.

Treatment	Sensory Attributes						
	Colour Intensity	Off-Colours	Aroma Intensity	Flavour Intensity	Off-Flavours	Salt Perception	Overall Perception
1	74.51 ± 11.16	0.00 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.00	70.49 ± 11.25	69.00 ± 10.81	1.21 <sup>b</sup> ± 3.78	56.26 ± 9.09	67.72 <sup>a</sup> ± 11.14
2	78.69 ± 11.95	0.56 <sup>ab</sup> ± 3.06	70.98 ± 12.95	70.07 ± 9.77	2.91 <sup>ab</sup> ± 4.77	57.24 ± 8.19	62.98 <sup>ab</sup> ± 11.98
3	77.00 ± 11.59	0.47 <sup>ab</sup> ± 2.01	73.17 ± 9.66	69.31 ± 15.86	3.39 <sup>ab</sup> ± 6.63	57.97 ± 8.12	64.92 <sup>ab</sup> ± 13.58
4	77.39 ± 12.02	1.27 <sup>ab</sup> ± 4.34	69.12 ± 12.95	65.47 ± 11.00	3.55 <sup>ab</sup> ± 5.50	55.84 ± 6.46	59.33 <sup>b</sup> ± 15.40
5	77.63 ± 12.56	3.90 <sup>a</sup> ± 12.63	68.67 ± 15.96	64.85 ± 15.89	5.10 <sup>a</sup> ± 9.41	57.42 ± 11.53	58.73 <sup>b</sup> ± 14.23

Data are expressed as means ± SD. (1) Control; (2) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2; (3) *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2/yeast 2RB4; (4) *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056; (5) *S. xyloso*us CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056/yeast 2RB4. In the same column, different letters represent significantly different means ( $p < 0.05$ ).

#### 4. Discussion

The extended fermentation step added before smoking had a significant effect on the decrease of pH values and in the product's stabilisation due to the lower aW values.

Regarding microbiological parameters, meat batters showed higher counts of mesophiles, LAB, and staphylococci, as a result of inoculation with starters, provided that these are well adapted to the conditions existent in the meat batters. Similar higher counts of these microbial groups in the initial curing steps have been reported before [37,38]. However, unlike LAB that maintained their numbers almost constant throughout the cure, staphylococci significantly decreased their counts in end-products, probably due to the poor competitiveness of staphylococci against LAB, as reported before [6,13]. Moreover, the early lower aW values due to the additional fermentation step had a pronounced effect on the stabilisation of staphylococci and enterobacteria.

Our results indicate that, although the inoculated meat batters have significantly higher LAB counts, these differences between control and inoculated sausages will gradually fade away throughout the curing process. This could probably be due to the extended fermentation step that allowed both the autochthonous LAB microbiota and the inoculated LAB to grow, resulting in similar LAB numbers for both control and inoculated sausages in end-products.

Considering that enterobacteria are generally considered food hygiene indicators [7], our results show clear evidence of microbiological contamination during processing. Moreover, raw materials have a poor hygienic quality, since high enterobacteria counts are already high in the meat batters (mean values of 5 to 6 log cfu/g). The decline of enterobacteria in inoculated fermented sausages is notorious, due to the decrease in the pH values. Moreover, the extended fermentation step allowed an early control of enterobacteria, due to the dominance of LAB and their metabolites, such as bacteriocins, throughout the curing process. Similar values had been reported in previous studies [3], although these are high when compared to those of other authors [6,7].

The yeast population was controlled throughout the manufacturing process. Yeasts maintained similar concentrations along the cure, and there were no differences between treatments at any of the sampling times. Since we assured that the starter yeast strain was viable before inoculation, one may speculate that the starter yeast was outcompeted by the autochthonous yeast strains right from the start and did not establish itself during the maturation step (before stuffing).

Moulds showed relatively low numbers throughout the curing process, with no differences between treatments at all curing steps. Moreover, the presence of moulds in non-inoculated sausages is uncommon [39].

*L. monocytogenes* was present in most analysed samples, which is a persistent problem either in this manufacturing unit or again of the raw materials used [3]. Towards the end of the curing process, numbers were significantly reduced and were below the legal limit of 100 cfu/g according to Reg.2073/2005 [40] for most treatments. Moreover, all inoculated sausages showed a significant reduction in *L. monocytogenes* counts when compared to control sausages, which may indicate an effective bactericidal action of starters against *L. monocytogenes*. Other authors did not detect the presence of *L. monocytogenes* in Italian salami [6].

*Salmonella* spp. was absent in all end-product sausages.

Concerning biogenic amines, their levels generally increased along the curing process, except for  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine and tyramine, which is in line with the findings of Xi et al. [41] but contradicts the results obtained by Dias et al. [3] and Lu et al. [42]. These different trends might be justified by the fact that  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine and tyramine are mainly produced by enterococci [21], while enterobacteria are the main producers of other amines, such as cadaverine and putrescine.

Tyramine and histamine are considered the most toxic to consumers, with the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) considering daily levels of up to 50 mg for histamine and of up to 600 mg for tyramine to be safe for healthy individuals [17,43]. In the present study, tyramine contents were lower than 2.20 mg/kg, for all samples, concentrations much lower than those obtained by other authors [44,45]. Regarding histamine, it was present at detectable levels in end-products, although with values that do not threaten the health of consumers, and close to those obtained by other authors [3,45]. Moreover, Kurt and Zorba [46] and Suzzi and Cardini [47] found that the production of  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine is often associated with high tyramine contents, which seems to be corroborated by our results, since both tyramine contents and  $\beta$ -phenylethylamine concentrations were reduced.

Tryptamine was the biogenic amine that showed the highest concentrations. High levels of tryptamine were also detected in Portalegre PGI chorizo [48], in *Catalão* and *Salsichão* [49] and in non-inoculated Serbian sausages [50]. Vidal-Carou et al. [51] refer that high tryptamine concentrations will depend on the existence of high tyramine contents, associated with the decarboxylative activity of certain LAB, but the same authors [51] also highlighted that the occurrence of tryptamine could be associated with diamines (putrescine and/or cadaverine).

The presence of the natural polyamines also followed the pattern usually found in fermented sausages, with the prevalence of spermine. About the proportion between these two amines, there are several examples in the literature where similar results have been reported [3,52].

Vasoactive amines showed values higher than 200 mg/kg in end-products, mainly due to the contribution of tryptamine [50]. Although the content in vasoactive amines is above the recommended, the total content in biogenic amines can be considered quite acceptable (below 1000 mg/kg) and sometimes even lower than the values reported by other authors for similar sausages [3,50,53].

Inoculation with starters has proven to be effective in reducing the content in biogenic amines with significantly lower values in inoculated end-products than in fermented control sausages. This reduced content in biogenic amines is most probably because of the decreased number of enterobacteria, main producers of biogenic amines, whose growth was controlled from the beginning of the manufacturing process, due to the product's stabilisation through fermentation of inoculated LAB that secrete their secondary metabolites, namely bacteriocins against enterobacteria, and the consequent decreased pH and a<sub>w</sub> values.

Regarding the colour of fermented sausages, our results showed significant differences in the H° parameter and that the inoculation with *S. xylosus* CECT7057/*L. sakei*

CECT7056 seems to have a positive effect in the setting of the red colour. On the other hand, Bañón et al. [54] obtained similar mean  $L^*$  and  $C^*$  values for control and inoculated salami, while the mean  $H^\circ$  was lower in control sausages. Differences in  $H^\circ$  values have been reported before depending on the type of starters [55,56]. Based on the calculated total colour difference, our results showed that the difference in the colour between control and inoculated sausages was visible to the unexperienced observer regarding treatment 2 (*S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2), which has the highest colour intensity value in the sensory analysis.

There are numerous factors that can influence the texture of sausages. However, moisture reduction, pH values, salt concentration, and protein denaturation and proteolysis processes are among the most relevant. The use of starters with proteolytic activity contributes to the breakdown of proteins, with the consequent increase in hardness and adhesiveness, which is not necessarily negatively assessed in the sensory analysis. By comparison with the results obtained by Dias et al. [3], the fermented sausages analysed in the present study were less hard and less adhesive and had lower chewiness values. The hardness values were, in general, lower in 10 N, which strongly influenced chewiness values. One possible explanation for the lower hardness values may be associated to the less extensive proteolysis, which may also contribute to reduce the levels of biogenic amines.

Regarding sensory analysis, the starter consortium *S. equorum* S2M7/*L. sakei* CV3C2 seems to have a generally more positive effect than the co-inoculation with *S. xyloso* CECT7057/*L. sakei* CECT7056. Moreover, the inoculated yeast does not have a marked positive effect in the sensory appreciation of sausages, contrary to what has been reported before by other authors [57,58].

## 5. Conclusions

The inoculation of *Paio do Alentejo* with starter cultures played a major role on the food safety of these fermented sausages. In fact, the used starter consortia significantly reduced both *Listeria monocytogenes* counts and the total content in biogenic amines.

Furthermore, the use of starters did not compromise the standard quality of traditional fermented sausages regarding their sensory acceptability.

Moreover, the use of an extended fermentation step has contributed to the better establishment of both staphylococci and LAB starter consortia. In fact, this additional fermentation step early in the manufacturing process contributed to the stabilisation of the product due to the lower pH and a<sub>w</sub> values, thus controlling the spoilage and pathogenic microbiota.

The present study made an important contribution towards the safety improvement of fermented foods, particularly those that are still manufactured under traditional processes that pose additional challenges to food safety.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, I.D., M.L., and M.E.; methodology, M.L., M.E.P., A.C.A.-S. and M.J.F.; formal analysis, I.D. and S.R.-R.; investigation, M.L., M.E.P., A.C.A.-S., M.J.F. and M.O.; writing—original draft preparation, I.D. and M.L.; writing—review and editing, I.D., M.L., M.E.P. and M.J.F.; supervision, M.L. and M.E.; project administration, M.E.; funding acquisition, M.E. and M.J.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by PDR2020-1.0.1-FEADER-031373 and PDR2020-1.0.1-FEADER-031359, funded by national funds through Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT)/MCTES and co-funded through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and by projects UIDB/05183/2020 (MED) and UIDP/00276/2020 (CIISA) financed by national funds through FCT.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are contained within the manuscript. Further details are available on request from the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank G. Pias for her technical assistance. M. J. Fraqueza is a member of the Red CYTED HEALTHY MEAT (119RT0568) network.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Marco, M.L.; Heeney, D.; Binda, S.; Cifelli, C.J.; Cotter, P.D.; Foligné, B.; Gänzle, M.; Kort, R.; Pasin, G.; Pihlanto, A.; et al. Health benefits of fermented foods: Microbiota and beyond. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *44*, 94–102. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Comi, G.; Urso, R.; Iacumin, L.; Rantsiou, K.; Cattaneo, P.; Antoni, C.; Coccolin, L. Characterisation of naturally fermented sausages produced in the North East of Italy. *Meat Sci.* **2005**, *69*, 381–392. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Dias, I.; Laranjo, M.; Potes, M.E.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Ricardo-Rodrigues, S.; Fialho, A.R.; Véstia, J.; Fraqueza, M.J.; Oliveira, M.; Elias, M. Co-inoculation with staphylococcus equorum and lactobacillus sakei reduces vasoactive biogenic amines in traditional dry-cured sausages. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 7100. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Laranjo, M.; Potes, M.E.; Elias, M. Role of Starter Cultures on the Safety of Fermented Meat Products. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 853. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Oliveira, M.; Ferreira, V.; Magalhães, R.; Teixeira, P. Biocontrol strategies for Mediterranean-style fermented sausages. *Food Res. Int.* **2018**, *103*, 438–449. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Rocchetti, G.; Rebecchi, A.; Dallolio, M.; Braceschi, G.; Domínguez, R.; Dallolio, G.; Trevisan, M.; Lorenzo, J.M.; Lucini, L. Changes in the chemical and sensory profile of ripened Italian salami following the addition of different microbial starters. *Meat Sci.* **2021**, *180*, 108584. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Chen, X.; Mi, R.; Qi, B.; Xiong, S.; Li, J.; Qu, C.; Qiao, X.; Chen, W.; Wang, S. Effect of proteolytic starter culture isolated from Chinese Dong fermented pork (Nanx Wudl) on microbiological, biochemical and organoleptic attributes in dry fermented sausages. *Food Sci. Hum. Wellness* **2021**, *10*, 13–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. dos Santos Cruxen, C.E.; Braun, C.L.K.; Fagundes, M.B.; Gulate, M.A.; Wagner, R.; da Silva, W.P.; Fiorentini, Â.M. Development of fermented sausage produced with mutton and native starter cultures. *LWT* **2018**, *95*, 23–31. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Vinicius De Melo Pereira, G.; De Carvalho Neto, D.P.; Junqueira, A.C.D.O.; Karp, S.G.; Letti, L.A.J.; Magalhães Júnior, A.I.; Soccol, C.R. A Review of Selection Criteria for Starter Culture Development in the Food Fermentation Industry. *Food Rev. Int.* **2020**, *36*, 135–167. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Reis, J.A.; Paula, A.T.; Casarotti, S.N.; Penna, A.L.B. Lactic Acid Bacteria Antimicrobial Compounds: Characteristics and Applications. *Food Eng. Rev.* **2012**, *4*, 124–140. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Franciosa, I.; Alessandria, V.; Dolci, P.; Rantsiou, K.; Coccolin, L. Sausage fermentation and starter cultures in the era of molecular biology methods. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2018**, *279*, 26–32. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Montanari, C.; Gatto, V.; Torriani, S.; Barbieri, F.; Bargossi, E.; Lanciotti, R.; Grazia, L.; Magnani, R.; Tabanelli, G.; Gardini, F. Effects of the diameter on physico-chemical, microbiological and volatile profile in dry fermented sausages produced with two different starter cultures. *Food Biosci.* **2018**, *22*, 9–18. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Dias, I.; Laranjo, M.; Potes, M.E.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Ricardo-Rodrigues, S.; Fialho, A.R.; Véstia, J.; Fraqueza, M.J.; Oliveira, M.; Elias, M. Autochthonous starter cultures are able to reduce biogenic amines in a traditional portuguese smoked fermented sausage. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 686. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Mendonça, R.C.S.; Gouvêa, D.M.; Hungaro, H.M.; Sodré, A.d.F.; Querol-Simon, A. Dynamics of the yeast flora in artisanal country style and industrial dry cured sausage (yeast in fermented sausage). *Food Control* **2013**, *29*, 143–148. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Andrade, M.A.; Cordoba, J.J.; Casado, E.M.; Cordoba, M.G.; Rodriguez, M. Effect of selected strains of *Debaryomyces hansenii* on the volatile compound production of dry fermented sausage “salchichon”. *Meat Sci.* **2010**, *85*, 256–264. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Tabanelli, G.; Coloretto, F.; Chiavari, C.; Grazia, L.; Lanciotti, R.; Gardini, F. Effects of starter cultures and fermentation climate on the properties of two types of typical Italian dry fermented sausages produced under industrial conditions. *Food Control* **2012**, *26*, 416–426. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Barbieri, F.; Montanari, C.; Gardini, F.; Tabanelli, G. Biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria: A review. *Foods* **2019**, *8*, 17. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Elias, M.; Fraqueza, M.J.; Laranjo, M. Biogenic Amines in Food: Presence and Control Measures. In *Biogenic Amines (BA): Origins, Biological Importance and Human Health Implications*, 1st ed.; Stadnik, J., Ed.; Nova Science Publishers, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 2018; pp. 129–175.
19. Domínguez, R.; Munekata, P.E.; Agregán, R.; Lorenzo, J.M. Effect of commercial starter cultures on free amino acid, biogenic amine and free fatty acid contents in dry-cured foal sausage. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *71*, 47–53. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Fraqueza, M.J.; Rocha, J.M.; Laranjo, M.; Potes, M.E.; Fialho, A.R.; Fernandes, M.J.; Fernandes, M.H.; Barreto, A.; Semedo-Lemsaddek, T.; Elias, M. What is the Main Processing Factor Influencing Staphylococcus Species Diversity in Different Manufacturing Units? *J. Food Sci.* **2019**, *84*, 2932–2943. [[CrossRef](#)]

21. Alfaia, C.M.; Gouveia, I.M.; Fernandes, M.H.; Fernandes, M.J.; Semedo-Lemsaddek, T.; Barreto, A.S.; Fraqueza, M.J. Assessment of Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci and Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Portuguese Dry Fermented Sausages as Potential Starters Based on Their Biogenic Amine Profile. *J. Food Sci.* **2018**, *83*, 2544–2549. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. ISO. *Meat and Meat Products—Measurement of pH—Reference Method*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 1999.
23. Mokrzycki, W.S.; Tatol, M. Colour difference  $\Delta E$ —A survey. *Mach. Graph. Vis.* **2012**, *20*, 383–411.
24. Fraqueza, M.J.; Laranjo, M.; Alves, S.; Fernandes, M.H.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Fernandes, M.J.; Potes, M.E.; Elias, M. Dry-cured meat products according to the smoking regime: Process optimization to control polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 91. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Laranjo, M.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Potes, M.E.; Cabrita, M.J.; Garcia, R.; Fraqueza, M.J.; Elias, M. Effects of genotype, salt content and calibre on quality of traditional dry-fermented sausages. *Food Control.* **2015**, *56*, 119–127. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. ISO. *Microbiology of the Food Chain—Horizontal Method for the Enumeration of Microorganisms—Part 1: Colony Count at 30 Degrees C by the Pour Plate Technique*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2013; Volume ISO 4833-1.
27. ISO. *Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs—Horizontal Method for the Enumeration of Psychrotrophic Microorganisms*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2001; Volume ISO 17410.
28. ISO. *Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs—Horizontal Method for the Enumeration of Mesophilic Lactic Acid Bacteria—Colony-Count Technique at 30 Degrees C*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2013.
29. Laranjo, M.; Gomes, A.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Potes, M.E.; Cabrita, M.J.; Garcia, R.; Rocha, J.M.; Roseiro, L.C.; Fernandes, M.J.; Fraqueza, M.J.; et al. Impact of salt reduction on biogenic amines, fatty acids, microbiota, texture and sensory profile in traditional blood dry-cured sausages. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *218*, 129–136. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. ISO. *Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs—Horizontal Method for the Enumeration of Yeasts and Moulds—Part 2: Colony Count Technique in Products with Water Activity Less than or Equal to 0.95*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2008.
31. ISO. *Microbiology of the Food Chain—Horizontal Method for the Detection and Enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae—Part 2: Colony-Count Technique*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
32. ISO. *Microbiology of the Food Chain—Horizontal Method for the Detection and Enumeration of Listeria monocytogenes and of Listeria spp.—Part 2: Enumeration Method*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
33. ISO. *Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs—Horizontal Method for the Detection of Salmonella spp.*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
34. Roseiro, C.; Santos, C.; Sol, M.; Silva, L.; Fernandes, I. Prevalence of biogenic amines during ripening of a traditional dry fermented pork sausage and its relation to the amount of sodium chloride added. *Meat Sci.* **2006**, *74*, 557–563. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. ISO. *Sensory Analysis—General Guidelines for the Selection, Training and Monitoring of Selected Assessors and Expert Sensory Assessors*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2012.
36. ISO. *Sensory Analysis—General Guidance for the Design of Test Rooms*; ISO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2012.
37. Essid, I.; Hassouna, M. Effect of inoculation of selected Staphylococcus xylosus and Lactobacillus plantarum strains on biochemical, microbiological and textural characteristics of a Tunisian dry fermented sausage. *Food Control* **2013**, *32*, 707–714. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Zhao, L.; Jin, Y.; Ma, C.; Song, H.; Li, H.; Wang, Z.; Xiao, S. Physico-chemical characteristics and free fatty acid composition of dry fermented mutton sausages as affected by the use of various combinations of starter cultures and spices. *Meat Sci.* **2011**, *88*, 761–766. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Paramithiotis, S.; Drosinos, E.H.; Sofos, J.N.; Nychas, G.H. Fermentation: Microbiology and Biochemistry. In *Handbook of Meat Processing*, 1st ed.; Toldrá, F., Ed.; Blackwell Publishing: Ames, IA, USA, 2010; pp. 185–198.
40. European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs. *Off. J. Eur. Union.* **2005**, *338*, 1–26.
41. Xie, C.; Wang, H.H.; Nie, X.K.; Chen, L.; Deng, S.L.; Xu, X.L. Reduction of biogenic amine concentration in fermented sausage by selected starter cultures. *CYTA J. Food* **2015**, *13*, 491–497. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Lu, S.; Ji, H.; Wang, Q.; Li, B.; Li, K.; Xu, C.; Jiang, C. The effects of starter cultures and plant extracts on the biogenic amine accumulation in traditional Chinese smoked horsemeat sausages. *Food Control* **2015**, *50*, 869–875. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Opinion, S. Scientific Opinion on risk based control of biogenic amine formation in fermented foods. *EFSA J.* **2011**, *9*, 2393.
44. Serio, A.; Laika, J.; Maggio, F.; Sacchetti, G.; D’Alessandro, F.; Rossi, C.; Martuscelli, M.; Chaves-López, C.; Paparella, A. Casing contribution to proteolytic changes and biogenic amines content in the production of an artisanal naturally fermented dry sausage. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1286. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Latorre-Moratalla, M.L.; Comas-Basté, O.; Bover-Cid, S.; Vidal-Carou, M.C. Tyramine and histamine risk assessment related to consumption of dry fermented sausages by the Spanish population. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2017**, *99*, 78–85. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Kurt, S.; Zorba, O. Biogenic amine formation in Turkish dry fermented sausage (sucuk) as affected by nisin and nitrite. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2010**, *90*, 2669–2674. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Suzzi, G.; Gardini, F. Biogenic amines in dry fermented sausages: A review. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *88*, 41–54. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Claro, F.S.G. Desenvolvimento e Validação de uma Metodologia Analítica para a Determinação de Aminas Biogénicas em Enchidos. Master’s Thesis, Escola Superior de Tecnologia e de Gestão do Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Bragança, Portugal, 2009.
49. Laranjo, M.; Gomes, A.; Agulheiro-Santos, A.C.; Potes, M.E.; Cabrita, M.J.; Garcia, R.; Rocha, J.M.; Roseiro, L.C.; Fernandes, M.J.; Fernandes, M.H.; et al. Characterisation of “Catalão” and “Salsichão” Portuguese traditional sausages with salt reduction. *Meat Sci.* **2016**, *116*, 34–42. [[CrossRef](#)]

50. Tasić, T.; Ikonić, P.; Mandić, A.; Jokanović, M.; Tomović, V.; Savatić, S.; Petrović, L. Biogenic amines content in traditional dry fermented sausage Petrovská klobása as possible indicator of good manufacturing practice. *Food Control* **2012**, *23*, 107–112. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Vidal-Carou, M.C.; Vecina-Nogués, M.T.; Latorre-Moratalla, M.L.; Bover-Cid, S. Biogenic Amines: Risks and Control. In *Handbook of Fermented Meat and Poultry*, 2nd ed.; Toldrá, F., Ed.; Wiley Blackwell: Ames, IA, USA, 2015; pp. 413–428.
52. Papavergou, E.J. Biogenic amine levels in dry fermented sausages produced and sold in Greece. *Procedia Food Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 1126–1131. [[CrossRef](#)]
53. Roseiro, L.C.; Gomes, A.; Gonçalves, H.; Sol, M.; Cercas, R.; Santos, C. Effect of processing on proteolysis and biogenic amines formation in a Portuguese traditional dry-fermented ripened sausage “Chouriço Grosso de Estremoz e Borba PGI”. *Meat Sci.* **2010**, *84*, 172–179. [[CrossRef](#)]
54. Bañón, S.; Serrano, R.; Bedia, M. Use of Micrococcaceae combined with a low proportion of Lactic Acid Bacteria as a starter culture for salami stuffed in natural casing. *CYTA–J. Food* **2014**, *12*, 160–165. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Casquete, R.; Benito, M.J.; Martín, A.; Ruiz-Moyano, S.; Córdoba, J.J.; Córdoba, M.G. Role of an autochthonous starter culture and the protease EPg222 on the sensory and safety properties of a traditional Iberian dry-fermented sausage “salchichón”. *Food Microbiol.* **2011**, *28*, 1432–1440. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Bedia, M.; Méndez, L.; Bañón, S. Evaluation of different starter cultures (Staphylococci plus Lactic Acid Bacteria) in semi-ripened Salami stuffed in swine gut. *Meat Sci.* **2011**, *87*, 381–386. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Flores, M.; Corral, S.; Cano-García, L.; Salvador, A.; Belloch, C. Yeast strains as potential aroma enhancers in dry fermented sausages. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2015**, *212*, 16–24. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
58. Corral, S.; Salvador, A.; Belloch, C.; Flores, M. Improvement the aroma of reduced fat and salt fermented sausages by *Debaromyces hansenii* inoculation. *Food Control* **2015**, *47*, 526–535. [[CrossRef](#)]