## Western University Scholarship@Western

**Paediatrics Publications** 

**Paediatrics Department** 

1-1-2021

# Long-term cardiovascular outcomes after pregnancy in women with heart disease

Samuel C. Siu University of Toronto, samuel.siu@lhsc.on.ca

Douglas S. Lee Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences

Mohammed Rashid Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences

Jiming Fang Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences

Peter C. Austin Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub

### Citation of this paper:

Siu, Samuel C.; Lee, Douglas S.; Rashid, Mohammed; Fang, Jiming; Austin, Peter C.; and Silversides, Candice K., "Long-term cardiovascular outcomes after pregnancy in women with heart disease" (2021). *Paediatrics Publications*. 2151. https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub/2151

## Authors

Samuel C. Siu, Douglas S. Lee, Mohammed Rashid, Jiming Fang, Peter C. Austin, and Candice K. Silversides

## **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

## Long-Term Cardiovascular Outcomes After Pregnancy in Women With Heart Disease

Samuel C. Siu , MD, SM, MBA; Douglas S. Lee , MD, PhD; Mohammed Rashid , MScPH; Jiming Fang, PhD; Peter C. Austin , PhD; Candice K. Silversides, MD, SM

**BACKGROUND:** Women with heart disease are at risk for pregnancy complications, but their long-term cardiovascular outcomes after pregnancy are not known.

**METHODS AND RESULTS:** We examined long-term cardiovascular outcomes after pregnancy in 1014 consecutive women with heart disease and a matched group of 2028 women without heart disease. The primary outcome was a composite of mortality, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, stroke, myocardial infarction, or arrhythmia. Secondary outcomes included cardiac procedures and new hypertension or diabetes mellitus. We compared the rates of these outcomes between women with and without heart disease and adjusted for maternal and pregnancy characteristics. We also determined if pregnancy risk prediction tools (CARPREG [Canadian Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy] and World Health Organization) could stratify long-term risks. At 20-year follow-up, a primary outcome occurred in 33.1% of women with heart disease, compared with 2.1% of women without heart disease. Thirty-one percent of women with heart disease required a cardiac procedure. The primary outcome (adjusted hazard ratio, 19.6; 95% CI, 13.8–29.0; *P*<0.0001) and new hypertension or diabetes mellitus (adjusted hazard ratio, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.4–2.0; *P*<0.0001) were more frequent in women with heart disease compared with those without. Pregnancy risk prediction tools further stratified the late cardiovascular risks in women with heart disease, a primary outcome occurring in up to 54% of women in the highest pregnancy risk category.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Following pregnancy, women with heart disease are at high risk for adverse long-term cardiovascular outcomes. Current pregnancy risk prediction tools can identify women at highest risk for long-term cardiovascular events.

Key Words: cardiovascular 

heart disease 

long-term 

pregnancy

A n increasing number of women with heart disease are undergoing pregnancy, with maternal cardiovascular disease estimated to affect 1% to 4% of pregnancies.<sup>1,2</sup> In the presence of maternal heart disease, the hemodynamic stress of pregnancy can lead to maternal deterioration, and many studies have shown that pregnant women with heart disease are at higher risk of adverse cardiac and obstetric outcomes compared with the pregnant women without heart disease,<sup>1,3–8</sup> While considerable progress has been made in predicting and treating cardiac complications in women with heart disease during pregnancy,<sup>9–14</sup> their long-term cardiovascular outcomes have

not been systematically examined. Determining late outcomes is important in women with heart disease, as they may be at risk for both cardiovascular deterioration after pregnancy as well as the development of hypertension or diabetes mellitus, in view of the relationship between pregnancy-related complications and future adverse cardiovascular events.<sup>13,15</sup> Therefore, the primary purpose of this study was to examine long-term cardiovascular outcomes in women with heart disease after their pregnancy and compare these to a matched group of women without heart disease. We hypothesized that pregnant women with heart disease would have a higher rate

Correspondence to: Samuel C. Siu, MD, SM, MBA, B6-121, University Hospital, 399 Windermere Road, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5A5. E-mail: samuel. siu@lhsc.on.ca

Supplementary Material for this article is available at https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.120.020584

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 12.

<sup>© 2021</sup> The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

## **CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

## What Is New?

- Women with heart disease are at higher risk of late postpregnancy cardiovascular complications and new hypertension/diabetes mellitus compared with women without heart disease.
- Risk prediction methods developed for assessment of pregnancy risk in women with heart disease can also be used to risk stratify long-term cardiovascular risks.

## What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Ongoing surveillance and risk factor modification in women with heart disease beyond pregnancy is important.
- Current tools for cardiovascular risk assessment during pregnancy can also be used to risk stratify for long-term cardiovascular risk after pregnancy.

Nonstand	ard Abbreviations and Acronyms
CARPREG	Canadian Cardiac Disease in
	Pregnancy study
ICES	Institute for Clinical Evaluative
	Sciences
WHO	World Health Organization

of long-term cardiovascular outcomes than pregnant women without heart disease. We also examined whether previously validated pregnancy risk prediction tools could be useful to identify those women at highest risk for long-term cardiovascular complications.

## METHODS

## **Study Design and Population**

We conducted a retrospective matched-cohort study in Ontario, Canada, where >99% of births occur in hospitals and residents have universal access to hospital and physician services.<sup>16,17</sup> This study was designed by the authors, approved by the local research ethics committees, conducted at ICES (formerly Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences), and reported according to recommended guidelines (Table S1). ICES is an independent, not-for-profit research institute whose legal status under Ontario's health information privacy law allows it to collect and analyze healthcare and demographic data, without consent, for health system evaluation and improvement. The lead author (Dr Siu) had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The data set from this study is held securely in coded form at ICES. While data-sharing agreements prohibit ICES from making the data set publicly available, access can be granted to those who meet prespecified criteria for confidential access, available at www.ices.on.ca. The full data set creation plan and underlying analytic code are available from the authors upon request, understanding that the programs may rely upon coding templates or macros that are unique to ICES and are therefore either inaccessible or may require modification.

We identified and matched pregnant women with heart disease (exposure factor) who had received care at the Mount Sinai and Toronto General Hospital's pregnancy and heart disease program, with pregnant women without heart disease identified from administrative healthcare databases at ICES. We retrieved data from the following databases: (1) demographic characteristics and vital statistics from Ontario's Registered Persons Database and (2) birth outcomes from the MOMBABY database. The MOMBABY database links the hospital record of a delivering woman with that of her newborn in pregnancies that progress beyond 20 weeks' gestation or with a newborn birth weight >500 g as recorded on the Discharge Abstract Database at the Canadian Institute for Health Information. Other baseline data were determined using other ICES databases (summaries of the databases provided in Table S2). These databases have been used extensively for healthcare research including late outcome studies of pregnant women.15,17-30 Neighborhood-level income quintile and rural/urban residence were determined using Statistics Canada definitions.

We included consecutive pregnant women with preexisting heart disease (congenital, acquired, or cardiac arrhythmia) receiving care in the pregnancy and heart disease program who had at least 1 birth between 1994 (establishment of the program) and 2015 (to ensure minimum follow-up duration of 5 years). This program provides primary and tertiary care for pregnant women with heart disease in the Greater Toronto Metropolitan area. Patients were followed until the sixth postpartum month, after which their ongoing care and follow-up was continued by their primary physician or specialist. Details pertaining to the underlying cardiac lesion were recorded for all women at their antenatal visit.<sup>3,4</sup> The first pregnancy that progressed beyond 20 weeks' gestation and the corresponding birth, between 1994 and 2015, were defined as the index pregnancy and index birth, respectively. The date of the index birth was the cohort entry date. We matched the study cohort with pregnant women, also from 1994 to 2015, without heart disease or prior cardiovascular procedures, from the general Ontario population using the MOMBABY database. In women without heart disease who had multiple births during that period, a birth was randomly selected and was designated the index birth, to enable matching with women in the heart disease group who had births before 1994.

We derived a prognostic risk score to match women with heart disease to women without heart disease.<sup>31</sup> To do so, we used the sample of pregnant women without heart disease to regress the hazard of all-cause mortality, heart failure, myocardial infarction, stroke, arrhythmia, or atrial fibrillation (ie, the study's primary composite outcome) on baseline variables measured at the time of the index pregnancy (comorbid conditions, fertility therapy, ethnicity, multifetal births, cesarean delivery, gestational diabetes mellitus, and site of delivery; Table S3). To create the community comparison group of women without heart disease, women without heart disease were matched to each woman with heart disease on the following: (1) prognostic risk score  $\pm 0.2$  SDs, (2) age at index birth  $\pm 1$  year, (3) same fiscal year of index birth, (4) any births before index birth, (5) residence in metropolitan area (Toronto), and (6) income quintile. Using these 6 factors, we matched each pregnant woman with heart disease to 2 pregnant women without heart disease with the same predicted risk of subsequently developing the composite outcome based on demographic and baseline variables. This strategy of 1:2 matching was to improve the precision of the risk estimates in the matched groups without a commensurate increase in bias.32

## **Covariate Conditions and Pregnancy Risk**

Covariate conditions and outcome were obtained using diagnostic or procedural codes from the International Classification of Disease Ninth Revision (ICD-9); International Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, Tenth Revision, Canada (ICD-10-CA); Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures; Canadian Classification of Health Interventions; and the above-mentioned ICES databases (Table S4). Covariate conditions and outcome were defined before data analysis, as per ICES policy.

In the heart disease group, antepartum cardiac variables and diagnosis were used to calculate the maternal cardiovascular risk during the index pregnancy using 3 validated risk classification methods in current use that are applicable to women with a range of cardiac conditions: the original CARPREG (Canadian Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy) risk score,<sup>3</sup> the expanded CARPREG II risk score,<sup>4</sup> and the modified World Health Organization (WHO) classification system.<sup>14</sup> These risk classification methods estimate the mother's baseline cardiovascular risk during pregnancy and the first 6 postpartum months (Table S5). Using each method, women in the heart disease group were classified as either low or intermediate-to-high risk for maternal cardiovascular complications during their index pregnancy.

## **Outcomes**

Women were followed until death or end of the follow-up period (December 31, 2019), whichever occurred earlier. The primary outcome was a composite of all-cause mortality, heart failure, myocardial infarction, stroke, arrhythmia (including cardiac arrest, ventricular and atrial tachyarrhythmia, and heart block), or atrial fibrillation. The secondary outcomes were (1) components of the composite primary outcome, (2) cardiovascular death, (3) therapeutic cardiac procedures (catheter based or surgical), and (4) incident hypertension or diabetes mellitus. For determination of outcomes, cardiovascular events that occurred during the antepartum period or within the first 6 postpartum months were considered to be pregnancy related, as changes in the maternal cardiovascular system do not fully resolve until this time has elapsed.<sup>4,33</sup> The validated algorithms for incident diabetes mellitus and incident hypertension exclude gestational diabetes mellitus or hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.<sup>25,28</sup>

## **Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were conducted using SAS Enterprise Guide version 7.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) at ICES. Continuous variables were summarized using the median and interquartile range. To comply with ICES's privacy policy, we suppressed frequency counts between 1 and 5. We compared baseline characteristics between women in the heart disease and comparison groups using standardized differences, with a value  $\geq$ 0.10 considered a potentially important difference. Unadjusted cumulative incidence curves of outcomes (which accounted for the appropriate competing risk when necessary) were generated.

We fit separate Cox regression models to compare primary and secondary outcomes between heart disease and comparison groups. When there were competing risks (mortality not included as an outcome), cause-specific regression models were used instead of Cox regression. The covariates for each model included heart disease status, any obstetric complication (ie, ante- or postpartum hemorrhage, placental abruption, placental infarction, premature delivery or rupture of membranes, gestational hypertension or preeclampsia/eclampsia, poor

fetal growth, or stillbirth) during the index pregnancy, any cardiac complication (heart failure, arrhythmia, stroke, or myocardial infarction) during the index pregnancy, fetal congenital heart defect during index pregnancy, Charlson Comorbidity Index,<sup>34</sup> and the baseline demographic variables used in matching (age and fiscal year of index birth, any births before index birth, residence in Toronto metropolitan area, income guintile). Covariates relating to outcome of the index pregnancy (obstetric complications, cesarean delivery, and congenital heart disease in the infant) were included because of their relationship to subsequent cardiovascular disease in the general population.<sup>13,15,35-37</sup> As the matching process may not balance all covariates including comorbid diagnoses, we adjusted for residual confounding by using the Charlson Index, as well as the demographic variables used in the matching process. The model also included 2 time-varying covariates for births subsequent to index pregnancy (currently pregnant; number of births after the index birth), to adjust for the possible influence of subsequent pregnancies on outcomes. If the hazard ratio associated with the variable of interest (heart disease group) did not meet the proportional hazard assumption, we computed time-specific instantaneous hazard ratios by inclusion of a time interaction term into the models. Level of significance was set at 0.05 (two sided).

Adjusted cumulative incidence curves were generated from the Cox regression (when mortality was included in the outcome) or Fine-Gray models (when mortality was not included as an outcome); time-varying covariates were not included in these models, as cumulative incidence functions cannot be estimated in the presence of time-varying covariates.<sup>38</sup> We repeated the above procedure by using CARPREG, CARPREG II, and WHO risk groups (low versus intermediate-to-high risk for pregnancy maternal cardiovascular complications) in place of the heart disease group variable.

Cumulative incidence curves were truncated at the time of follow-up, beyond which the total number of women at risk was ≤20% of the baseline. Ninety-five percent CIs were calculated using 1000 bootstrap samples. A robust variance estimator was used to account for the matched nature of the sample.

## RESULTS

A total of 1036 women with heart disease were eligible for matching after excluding pregnancy-associated deaths (n=1–5, exact number suppressed because of ICES's privacy policy) and applying other exclusion criteria (Figure S1). After applying the matching algorithm, 1014 women with heart disease were successfully matched to 2028 women in the comparison group. The median age at the time of the index birth was 30 years in both groups. At the time of the index birth, a higher proportion of the heart disease group had a Charlson score ≥1, delivered at a tertiary care center, had an infant born with congenital cardiac defect, or had a cardiovascular complication during pregnancy, when compared with the comparison group; the 2 groups were not significantly different with respect to other characteristics (Table 1). In the heart disease group, the most frequent maternal cardiac lesions were congenital heart defect and left-sided valvular disease.

The maximum follow-up duration was 25 years in both groups, with a total of 14 416 and 29 414 person-years' follow-up for the heart disease group and comparison group, respectively. Median follow-up duration in the heart disease (13.7 years; interguartile range, 8.6-19.8 years) and comparison group (14.0 years; interquartile range, 8.8-20.2) were similar (Table 1). Data collection was complete for all outcomes. A primary outcome occurred in 298 women in the heart disease group (25.3 events/1000 person-years) versus 32 women in the comparison group (1.1 events/1000 person-years) with an adjusted hazard ratio of 19.6 for the entire follow-up period (Table 2). The adjusted cumulative incidence of a primary outcome in the heart disease group was 20.1% at 10 years and 33.1% at 20 years of follow-up; the corresponding cumulative incidence was 2.1% in the comparison group at 20 years of follow-up (Figure 1A). There was a time dependency to this elevated hazard for the primary outcome, with the highest hazard ratios in the earlier years of follow-up after delivery (Figure 1B).

The adjusted rates for all-cause mortality, congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, myocardial infarction, or stroke were higher in the heart disease group compared with the comparison group (Table 2). The rate of cardiovascular death was 1.0 per 1000 person-years in women with heart disease and 0.04 per 1000 person-years in controls (crude hazard ratio, 20.3; adjusted hazard ratio not calculated because of very low rate in the comparison group). At 20 years of follow-up, the heart disease group had a higher adjusted cumulative incidence of heart failure and atrial fibrillation compared with the comparison group (Figure 2A and 2B). The heart disease group also frequently required therapeutic cardiac procedures (30.6% at 20 years) and developed new hypertension or diabetes mellitus (27.2% at 20 years), both of which were higher than in the comparison group (0.5% and 18.4%, cardiac procedures and incident hypertension/diabetes mellitus, respectively) (Figure 2C and 2D). Unadjusted cumulative incidence curves (Figures S2 and S3) showed similar trends as adjusted curves.

#### Table 1. Baseline and Follow-Up Characteristics in Women With Heart Disease and Matched Comparison Group

	Heart Disease Group (n=1014 Women)	Community Group (n=2028 Women)	Standardized Difference
At index birth		1	I
Median (IQR) maternal age, y	30.0 (27.0–34.0)	30.0 (27.0–34.0)	0
Low residential income area,* n (%)	415 (40.9)	830 (40.9)	0
Rural residence, n (%)	45 (4.4)	94 (4.6)	0.01
Residence within metropolitan area, <sup>†</sup> n (%)	635 (62.6)	1270 (62.6)	0
Ethnicity, n (%)		1	L
Chinese	47 (4.6)	92 (4.5)	0
South Asian	39 (3.8)	68 (3.4)	0.03
Not Chinese or South Asian	928 (91.5)	1868 (92.1)	0.02
Any comorbid condition, <sup>‡</sup> n (%)	450 (44.4)	846 (41.7)	0.05
Charlson Index ≥1, n (%)	79 (7.8)	22 (1.1)	0.33
Fertility treatment, n (%)	38 (3.7)	66 (3.3)	0.03
Any previous births, n (%)	299 (29.5)	598 (29.5)	0
Gestational diabetes mellitus, n (%)	59 (5.8)	155 (7.6)	0.07
Multifetal pregnancy, n (%)	28 (2.8)	49 (2.4)	0.02
Delivery at tertiary center, n (%)	912 (89.9)	1345 (66.3)	0.6
Cesarean delivery, n (%)	295 (29.1)	598 (29.5)	0.01
Preterm birth, n (%)	132 (13.0)	221 (10.9)	0.07
Stillbirth, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1-5 (0.1-0.2)	0.03-0.07
Obstetric complication during index pregnancy,§ n (%)	303 (29.9)	536 (26.4)	0.08
Cardiac complication during index pregnancy, <sup>  </sup> n (%)	65 (6.4)	0 (0.0)	0.37
Infant born with congenital cardiac lesion, n (%)	91 (9.0)	35 (1.7)	0.33
Primary maternal cardiac diagnosis,¶ n (%)			
Congenital	399 (39.3)		
Cardiomyopathy	112 (11.0)		
Left-sided valve disease	312 (30.8)		
Isolated arrhythmia	118 (11.6)		
Ischemic heart disease	26 (2.6)		
Other	47 (4.6)		
After index birth			
Median (IQR) follow-up, y	13.7 (8.6–19.8)	14.0 (8.8–20.2)	0.05
Range	0.9–25.2	3.8–25.7	
Number of women with subsequent births, n (%)	493 (48.6)	869 (42.9)	0.12
Number of subsequent pregnancies			
Median (IQR)	0.00 (0.00–1.00)	0.00 (0.00–1.00)	0.13
Range	0.00-8.00	0.00-5.00	
Median (IQR) interval between index birth and next subsequent birth, y	2.9 (2.1–4.4)	2.9 (2.1–4.4)	0

IQR indicates interquartile range.

\*Residing within the 2 lowest neighborhood income quintiles.

<sup>†</sup>Residence within Greater Toronto Metropolitan Area.

<sup>‡</sup>Any comorbid condition (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, pulmonary disease, renal disease, cancer, collagen vascular disease, thyroid disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral vascular disease, dyslipidemia, obesity, or substance abuse).

<sup>§</sup>Admission within 9 months before and including index birth, for ante- or postpartum hemorrhage, placental abruption, placental infarction, premature delivery or rupture of membranes, gestational hypertension or preeclampsia/eclampsia, poor fetal growth, or stillbirth.

<sup>I</sup>Admission within 9 months before and 6 months after index birth, for heart failure, arrhythmia, stroke, or myocardial infarction.

<sup>¶</sup>In women with multiple cardiac lesions, the diagnosis that is the most hemodynamically significant was considered to be the primary diagnosis. Lesions that do not fall into the first 5 mutually exclusive categories were classified as other.

Table 2. Frequency and	d Rate of Advers	e Outcomes in Women With Heart Disease	Heart Diseas	e and Matched Comparison Comparison	Group		
	-	neart uisease n=1014 Women	n=20	Comparison 28 Women (Referent)			
	(%) u	Rate per 1000 Person-Years (95% CI)	(%) u	Rate per 1000 Person-Years (95% CI)	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	P Value for Adjusted HR
Composite outcome	298 (29.4)	25.4 (22.7–28.4)	32 (1.6)	1.1 (0.8–1.5)	24.0 (16.6–35.0)	19.6 (13.8–29.0)* See Figure 1B for time dependent HRs	<0.0001
All-cause mortality <sup>†</sup>	43 (4.2)	3.0 (2.2-4.0)	14 (0.7)	0.5 (0.3–0.8)	6.8 (3.6–12.7)	5.4 (2.9–10.4)*	<0.0001
Heart failure <sup>†</sup>	117 (11.5)	8.7 (7.2–10.4)	1-5	0.2 (0.1–0.4)	65.8 (25.4–240.1)	45.7 (20.6–129.8)*	<0.0001
Atrial fibrillation <sup>†</sup>	205 (20.2)	16.5 (14.4–18.9)	9 (0.4)	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	61.8 (31.3–128.3)	44.7 (24.2–94.5)* Year 1: 153.4 (39.4– 597.0) Year 5: 89.2 (31.9– 249.6) Year 10: 45.3 (21.7– 94.8) Year 15: 23.0 (10.9–48.5)	<0.0001
Arrhythmia <sup>†</sup>	142 (14.0)	10.8 (9.17–12.72)	1-5	0:07 <sup>‡</sup>	184.7 <sup>‡</sup>	Ś	
Myocardial infarction or stroke <sup>†</sup>	23 (2.3)	1.6 (1.1–2.4)	8 (0.4)	0.3 (0.1–0.6)	7.0 (2.9–16.5)	5.6 (2.5–13.6)	0.0001
Therapeutic cardiac procedures	281 (27.7)	24.3 (21.7–27.3)	8 (0.4)	0.3 (0.1–0.5)	105.2 (50.1–245.4)	83.7 (44.2–184.9)* Year 1: 314.0 (76.3– 1292.3) Year 5: 179.8 (59.4– 544.6) Year 10: 89.6 (39.7– 202.2) Year 15: 44.6 (21.3–93.4)	<0.0001
New diabetes mellitus or hypertension	209 (20.6)	17.5 (15.3–20.0)	274 (13.5)	10.7 (9.5–12.0)	1.7 (1.4–2.0)	1.6* (1.4–2.0)	<0.0001

HR indicates hazard ratio.

\*Hazard ratio from analyses assuming constant proportional hazard between heart disease and comparison groups, instantaneous hazard ratios at 1, 5, 10, and 15 years are provided when proportional hazard assumption was not met.

<sup>+</sup>tSecondary outcomes are not mutually exclusive. <sup>±</sup> 95% confidence intervals not provided as per Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences' privacy policy for low counts. <sup>§</sup>Time-dependent hazard ratios not calculated because of low number of events in the comparison group.

Long-Term Outcomes After Pregnancy



#### Figure 1. Adjusted time-to-event curves for primary outcome and hazard ratios.

**A**, Adjusted cumulative incidence of the primary outcome (all-cause mortality, heart failure, myocardial infarction, stroke, arrhythmia, or atrial fibrillation) with 95% CIs in the heart disease group and matched comparison group. Numbers at risk were obtained from unadjusted cumulative incidence curves. **B**, Instantaneous hazard ratio (point estimates and 95% CIs) of primary outcome in heart disease group (comparison group=referent) as a function of follow-up time.

In a secondary analysis, in which the cumulative incidence of primary outcomes was stratified by the occurrence of cardiac or obstetric complications during the index pregnancy (Figure S4), the unadjusted cumulative 20-year incidence of a primary outcome in women with heart disease who experienced



Figure 2. Adjusted time-to-event curves for selected secondary outcomes.

Adjusted cumulative incidence and 95% CIs for selected secondary outcomes (heart failure [**A**], atrial fibrillation [**B**], therapeutic cardiac procedures [**C**], and new hypertension or diabetes mellitus [**D**]) in heart disease group and matched comparison group. Numbers at risk were obtained from unadjusted cumulative incidence curves.

	Primary Composite	Outcome	Cardiac Procedure		New Hypertension or Diabetes Mellitus		
Parameter	HR (95% CI)	P Value	HR (95% CI)	P Value	HR (95% CI)	P Value	
Heart disease group (community comparison group=referent)	19.6 (13.8–29.0)* See Figure 1B for time-dependent HRs	<0.001	83.7 (44.2–184.9)* See Table 2 for time- dependent HRs	<0.001	1.6 (1.4–2.0)	<0.001	
Obstetric complication during index pregnancy	1.25 (1.0–1.6)	0.072	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	0.36	1.5 (1.2–1.8)	<0.001	
Cardiac complication during index pregnancy	3.3 (2.3–4.6)	<0.001 <sup>†</sup>	1.9 (1.3–2.8)	<0.001	1.0 (0.6–1.6)	0.98	
Infant born with congenital cardiac lesion	1.3 (0.9–1.9)	0.12	1.3 (0.9–1.9)	0.15	1.5 (1.1–2.1)	0.023	
Number of subsequent pregnancies	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	0.50	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	0.090	0.9 (0.8–1.1)	0.38	
Any subsequently pregnancies	2.0 (1.3–3.0)	0.0030†	0.39 (0.16–0.79)	0.020	0.4 (0.2–0.8)	0.019	
Charlson Index score ≥1	1.5 (0.9–2.3)	0.068	1.1 (0.7–1.8)	0.68	1.4 (0.8–2.3)	0.21	
Age at index birth	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	0.0016	0.99 (0.97–1.01)	0.29	1.05 (1.04–1.07)	<0.0001	
Low residential income area	1.0 (0.8–1.3)	0.77	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	0.42	1.4 (1.2–1.7)	0.0001	
Greater Toronto metropolitan area residence	1.0 (0.8–1.3)	0.87	1.2 (0.9–1.5)	0.28	1.2 (1.0–1.4)	0.14	
Index birth is first pregnancy	0.8 (0.7–1.1)	0.16	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	0.41	0.8 (0.6–0.9)	0.014	
Fiscal year of index birth	0.98 (0.96–0.99)	0.039†	0.97 (0.94–0.99)	0.0033	0.97 (0.95–0.99)	0.0010	

Table 3	Adjusted Model for Primary	V Outcome Cardiac	Procedures and New H	uportoncion/Disbotoc Mollitue
Table J.	Aujusteu Mouer for Frinar	y Outcome, Carulac	FIUCEUUIES, allu New II	vper terision/ Diabetes menitus

HR indicates hazard ratio.

\*hazard ratio from analyses assuming constant proportional hazard between heart disease and comparison groups.

a pregnancy complication was 48.5% compared with 32.5% in women with heart disease who did not experienced a pregnancy complication. In comparison, the cumulative incidence in women from the comparison group was 3.6% and 2.1%, with and without pregnancy complications, respectively. When atrial fibrillation and arrhythmia were excluded from analysis, the adjusted cumulative incidence of all-cause mortality, heart failure, stroke, or myocardial infarction at 20 years was 15.0% and 1.4% in the heart disease and comparison groups, respectively (Figure S5). The unadjusted rate of the primary outcome and the frequency of the component events, stratified by principal cardiac diagnosis, are provided in Table S6.

Maternal heart disease was associated with an elevated hazard for the primary composite outcome or cardiac procedure even after adjustment for the statistically significant covariates such as cardiac complications during pregnancy and maternal age (Table 3). Similarly, the elevated hazard for incident hypertension and diabetes mellitus associated with maternal heart disease was after adjustment for statistically significant covariates including obstetric complications during pregnancy, baby born with congenital heart disease, maternal age, low residential income area, and nulliparity. The inverse relationship between fiscal year of birth and outcomes can be attributed to the shorter follow-up time for women with more recent births.

After the index birth, there were additional births in 48.6% of the heart disease group and 42.9% of the comparison group, with a median time of 2.9 years between the index and subsequent birth in both groups (Table 1). There was no significant relationship between number of subsequent births and the primary outcome (Table 3). The hazard of having a primary outcome was increased during the time of subsequent pregnancy (Table 3). However, only 11.4% of the primary outcomes in the heart disease group occurred during subsequent pregnancies (none in the comparison group); these events were either heart failure, atrial fibrillation, or arrhythmia. Similarly, 2.4% of cardiac therapeutic procedures performed in the heart disease group (none in the comparison group) were in relation to subsequent pregnancies.

Table 4 provides a summary of pregnancy risk groups stratified by maternal cardiac lesions. The proportion of the heart disease group at intermediate-to-high risk for cardiovascular complications during their index pregnancy was 42%, 29%, and 30%, corresponding to CARPREG risk score >1, CARPREG II risk score >4, and WHO class III or IV (estimated cardiovascular pregnancy risk of >27%, >22%, and ≥19%, respectively). Women at intermediate-to-high pregnancy risk were also at the highest risk of experiencing a primary outcome during follow-up (Figures 3 and 4). This finding was consistent regardless of the pregnancy risk classification tool that was used (Table S7, Figures 3A, 3C, and 4A). The adjusted cumulative incidence of

				Maternal	Cardiovascular Risk		
		CARP	REG Risk Score*	CARP	REG II Risk Score <sup>†</sup>	WHO	Classification <sup>‡,§</sup>
Maternal Cardiac Lesion	Total No.	Low Risk n (%)	Intermediate-to-High Risk n (%)	Low Risk n (%)	Intermediate-to-High Risk n (%)	Low Risk n (%)	Intermediate-to-High Risk n (%)
Congenital	399	334 (83.7)	65 (16.3)	365 (91.5)	34 (8.5)	283 (77.3)	83 (22.7)
Cardiomyopathy	112	36 (32.1)	76 (67.9)	42 (37.5)	70 (62.5)	47 (42.0)	65 (58.0)
Left sided valve	312	161 (51.6)	151 (48.4)	230 (73.7)	82 (26.3)	173 (72.4)	66 (27.6)
Isolated arrhythmia	118	0	118 (100)	34 (28.8)	84 (71.2)	69 (71.9)	27 (28.1)
Ischemic	26	21–25	1-5	14 (53.8)	12 (46.2)	6-10	1-51
Other	47	35-40	10–15	40 (85.1)	7 (14.9)	5-10	5-10
Total	1014 <sup>§</sup>	591 (58.3%)	423 (41.7%)	725 (71.5)	289 (28.5)	586 (70.2)	249 (29.8)

Principal Cardiac Lesion in Heart Disease Group and Maternal Cardiovascular Risk at Time of Index Pregnancy fable 4.

CARPREG indicates Canadian Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy study; and WHO, World Health Organization

intermediate to high risk = ≥22% CARPREG Risk Score: low risk=5%, intermediate-to-high risk = ≥25% 15%. CARPREG II Risk Score: low risk=5% to

|||-|||). class I, II, 19% (WHO . Q low risk=2.5% Modified WHO:

intermediate-to-high risk = ≥19% (WHO as cardiac droups : for WHO Total patients n=835

esions in 179 patients could not be classified into a WHO risk group class III or IV). privacy policy Sciences' **Clinical Evaluative** because of Institute for Exact numbers not provided a primary outcome at 20 years was 50.6%, 51.0%, and 53.6% when intermediate-to-high pregnancy risk groups were defined using CARPREG, CARPREG II, and WHO classification tools, respectively. While the risk of a primary outcome was elevated during the early years of follow-up in both pregnancy risk groups, women in the intermediate-to-high pregnancy risk group had the highest risk (Figures 3B, 3D, and 4B). When the data were reanalyzed using separate WHO classes, the results were similar to when the WHO classes were combined into high and low-tointermediate categories. The adjusted cumulative incidence of a primary outcome in women in WHO classes III and IV was 52.8% to 54.2% at 20 years, compared with 12.5% for women in WHO class I. In contrast, the comparison group's corresponding cumulative incidence was 2.1% (Figure S6).

## DISCUSSION

In this large study of women with heart disease, adverse cardiovascular events occurred in ≈1 in 3 women during the 20 years following pregnancy, representing a 20-fold increase in risk when compared with a matched group of women without heart disease. Furthermore, many women with heart disease required therapeutic cardiac procedures during this same period. Women with heart disease were also more likely to develop new hypertension or diabetes mellitus when compared with women without heart disease. Risk stratification tools used to predict cardiovascular complications in pregnant women with heart disease, such as the CARPREG risk score or the WHO classification, were also helpful in identifying those women at highest risk of long-term cardiovascular complications.

The hemodynamic and metabolic changes associated with pregnancy are responsible for the higher frequency of maternal and feto-neonatal complications reported in pregnant women with preexisting heart disease compared with pregnant women without heart disease.<sup>3,5–8</sup> Whether these pregnancy changes affect long-term outcomes in women with preexisting heart disease has not been systematically examined. Prior studies examining outcomes after pregnancy in women with heart disease have been limited, reporting on only small numbers of women, following for relatively short time intervals after pregnancy, or lacking comparison groups.<sup>39-42</sup> Combining patient level and administrative data allowed us to match and adjust for confounding factors and capture outcome events over a prolonged period of follow-up.<sup>13,15,35–37</sup> The high rate of occurrence of adverse cardiovascular events in women with heart disease, when they were still relatively young (age 40-50 years), highlights the significant long-term burden of cardiovascular disease in this



Figure 3. Pregnancy risk groups: adjusted time-to-event curves for primary outcome and hazard ratios.

Adjusted cumulative incidence of primary outcome and 95% CIs as a function of maternal cardiovascular risk during index pregnancy. Incidence rates are separated into low pregnancy risk heart disease group vs intermediate-to-high pregnancy risk heart disease group, as defined by the CARPREG (Canadian Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy) risk score (**A**),<sup>3</sup> and the modified World Health Organization classification system (**C**).<sup>14</sup> Numbers at risk were obtained from unadjusted cumulative incidence curves. Comparison group denotes matched community comparison group. Instantaneous hazard ratios (point estimates and 95% CIs) for the low (in blue) and intermediate-to-high (in red) pregnancy risk groups corresponding to the CARPREG risk score (**B**) and WHO (**D**) risk classification are shown (comparison group=referent).

population. The high rates of long-term cardiovascular events in these young women with heart disease may represent the natural history of their underlying cardiac disease. It is also possible that the hemodynamic stress of pregnancy adversely affects cardiac structure and function in women with preexisting heart disease differently than women without heart disease.<sup>43</sup> We have reported that women with heart disease have an exaggerated increase in B-type natriuretic peptide during pregnancy, likely as a result of ventricular distention.<sup>44</sup> Incomplete return of cardiac structure and function back to the prepregnancy state may be a partial reason for our observation that the risk of cardiac outcome was the highest in the earlier years of follow-up.

To our knowledge, this study was the first to examine long-term major cardiovascular adverse events and cardiovascular risk factors in women with preexisting heart disease. Previous population studies in women without heart disease have demonstrated the relationship between obstetric complications, such as gestational hypertension, maternal placental syndrome, cesarean delivery, and congenital heart disease in the offspring,<sup>13,15,35–37</sup> and subsequent long-term

cardiovascular outcomes in the mother. In our current study, even after adjusting for the above-mentioned risk factors, women with heart disease were still more likely to develop new hypertension or diabetes mellitus than women without heart disease. Their higher longterm atherosclerotic risk is suggested by the higher rate of myocardial infarction or stroke in the heart disease group. Pregnancy-related hypercoagulability, inflammatory activity, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia are more pronounced in women with gestational hypertension, with endothelial dysfunction thought to be the link between gestational hypertension, placental disorders, and late atherosclerotic events.13,15,35-37 Since pregnant women with heart disease are already at elevated risk for noncardiac pregnancy complications,<sup>8</sup> it is possible that maternal heart disease may further elevate their propensity for hypertension or insulin resistance. While the mechanisms underlying our study findings will require further investigation, the combination of preexisting heart disease and increased risk for atherosclerotic risk factors is an unfavorable combination and points to the need for continuing postpartum surveillance and risk factor modification in this group of women.

We and others have derived and validated classification methods to predict the risk of maternal cardiovascular complications in pregnant women with heart disease.<sup>9</sup> Our study was the first to demonstrate that previously derived pregnancy risk assessment tools to predict maternal cardiovascular complications in pregnant women with heart disease, 3,4,14 can be expanded and used for risk stratification of long-term cardiovascular outcomes. When applying our study results, it is important to note that CARPREG risk scores incorporate history of heart failure, arrhythmia, and stroke before the index pregnancy and is independent of the primary outcomes, which are measured after the index pregnancy. Furthermore, in calculating the risk of primary outcomes in relationship to CARPREG and WHO risk categories, we also adjusted for cardiovascular events during pregnancy. As the long-term cardiovascular risk in low-risk groups such as WHO class I was higher than matched comparison groups, our study findings are a reminder that "low risk" does not mean "no risk." Our study findings simplify risk assessment for the clinician who can identify pregnancy-related and long-term cardiovascular risk with 1 risk assessment tool. Importantly, this ability to risk stratify longterm risk was consistently observed with the 3 different pregnancy risk classification tools that were evaluated. In addition, the above-mentioned risk assessment tools include the wide spectrum of maternal heart disease seen in women of childbearing age.

The strength of this study was the combined use of patient-level and administrative data. The use of

patient-level data enabled the characterization of the pregnancy risk profile of the women with heart disease. The use of administrative healthcare databases enabled us to identify a comparable group of women without heart disease from the Ontario population, as well as determining outcomes without loss of follow-up. By using birth records from Ontario, Canada's most populous province, we were able to identify a comparison group that was similar to the heart disease group on key parameters other than maternal heart disease. As we used validated administrative databases that captured both ambulatory encounters and hospitalization, we are able to provide a more accurate determination of the frequency of late cardiovascular outcomes. This research approach also allowed for adjustment for the effects of subsequent pregnancies on outcomes. Our study was not designed to address whether pregnancy accelerates clinical or lesion progression in women with heart disease, 40-42 as it would be difficult to identify a comparable group of women with heart disease who never underwent pregnancy. A population-based study from Canada reported that 80% of women of childbearing age with congenital heart disease have at least 1 pregnancy, with absolute number and rates of pregnancy increasing with time.<sup>2</sup> In addition to the progressive decline in the number of women with heart disease who do not undergo pregnancy, women with heart disease who did not undergo pregnancy may differ from women with heart disease who underwent pregnancy in demographics,



## Figure 4. CARPREG (Canadian Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy) II adjusted time-to-event curves for primary outcome and hazard ratios.

**A**, Adjusted cumulative incidence of primary outcome (with 95% CIs) as a function of maternal cardiovascular risk during index pregnancy in the low pregnancy and intermediate-to-high (Int-High) pregnancy risk heart disease groups, as defined by the CARPREG II risk score.<sup>4</sup> Comparison group denotes matched community comparison group. No at risk denotes number at risk from unadjusted cumulative incidence curves. **B**, Instantaneous hazard ratios (with 95% CIs) of primary outcome (matched community group=referent) as a function of time, in the low (in blue) and intermediate-to-high (in red) risk groups corresponding to the CARPREG II risk score.

11

Long-Term Outcomes After Pregnancy

comorbidities, or prognosis. Our study results are applicable only to women with pregnancies that progress beyond 20 weeks and women who survived beyond the postpartum period. However, we have previously reported that 96% of pregnancies in women with heart disease progressed beyond 20 weeks.<sup>4</sup> There were <6 maternal deaths during the index pregnancy in this study. The proportion of mortality from cardiovascular causes may be underreported, as cause of death is based on certificates of death. While we were not able to determine the role of postpregnancy care in determining outcomes, our analyses adjusted for baseline demographics and socioeconomic status. However, the generalizability of our study findings was optimized by the determination of outcomes using standardized hospital admissions and ambulatory visit databases, including a large study group with a spectrum of cardiac lesions and pregnancy risks, and conducted in women who have universal access to health care.

## CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

Following pregnancy, women with heart disease are at high risk for adverse long-term cardiovascular outcomes including new hypertension or diabetes mellitus. Our findings highlight the importance of ongoing surveillance and risk factor modification in these young women after pregnancy. Current tools for cardiovascular risk assessment during pregnancy can also be used to risk stratify for long-term cardiovascular risk after pregnancy.

### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Received February 3, 2021; accepted April 14, 2021.

#### Affiliations

Division of Cardiology, University of Toronto Pregnancy and Heart Disease Program, Toronto, Canada (S.C.S., C.K.S.); Maternal Cardiology Program, Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, Ontario, Canada (S.C.S.); ICES, Toronto, Ontario, Canada (S.C.S., D.S.L., M.R., J.F., P.C.A.); Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Mount Sinai Hospital and University Health Network (S.C.S., D.S.L., C.K.S.), and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation (D.S.L., P.C.A.), University of Toronto, Canada.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was conducted at ICES, which is funded by an annual grant from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. Parts of this material are based on data and information compiled and provided by the Canadian Institute for Health Information and Cancer Care Ontario. The analyses. conclusions, opinions, and statements expressed herein are solely those of the authors and do not reflect those of the funding or data sources; no endorsement is intended or should be inferred.

#### Sources of Funding

This study was supported in part by grants from the Canadian Institute of Health Research (MOP 111139), Canadian Foundation of Innovation (X0910B90), and the Tiffin Trust. Dr Siu is supported in part by the Program in Experimental Medicine, Western University Department of Medicine. Dr Silversides is supported by the Miles S. Nadal Chair in Pregnancy and Heart

Disease, and Dr Lee is supported by the Ted Rogers Chair in Heart Function Outcomes. Dr Austin is supported by a Mid-Career Investigator Award from the Heart and Stroke Foundation. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

#### **Disclosures**

None

#### Supplementary Material

Tables S1-S7 Figures S1-S6

#### REFERENCES

- 1. ACOG practice bulletin no. 212: pregnancy and heart disease. Obstet Gynecol. 2019;133:e320-e356. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.00000 0000003497
- 2. Bottega N, Malhame I, Guo L, Ionescu-Ittu R, Therrien J, Marelli A. Secular trends in pregnancy rates, delivery outcomes, and related health care utilization among women with congenital heart disease. Congenit Heart Dis. 2019;14:735-744. DOI: 10.1111/chd.12811.
- 3. Siu SC, Sermer M, Colman JM, Alvarez AN, Mercier L-A, Morton BC, Kells CM, Bergin ML, Kiess MC, Marcotte F, et al. Prospective multicenter study of pregnancy outcomes in women with heart disease. Circulation. 2001;104:515-521. DOI: 10.1161/hc3001.093437.
- 4 Silversides CK, Grewal J, Mason J, Sermer M, Kiess M, Rychel V, Wald RM, Colman JM, Siu SC. Pregnancy outcomes in women with heart disease: the CARPREG II study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71:2419-2430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.02.076
- 5. Hameed AB, Lawton ES, McCain CL, Morton CH, Mitchell C, Main EK, Foster E. Pregnancy-related cardiovascular deaths in California: beyond peripartum cardiomyopathy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015;213:379.e1-379.e10. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2015.05.008.
- 6. Lima FV, Yang J, Xu J, Stergiopoulos K. National trends and in-hospital outcomes in pregnant women with heart disease in the United States. Am J Cardiol. 2017;119:1694–1700. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.02.003.
- 7. Opotowsky AR, Siddiqi OK, D'Souza B, Webb GD, Fernandes SM, Landzberg MJ. Maternal cardiovascular events during childbirth among women with congenital heart disease. Heart. 2012;98:145-151. DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2011-300828.
- Siu SC, Colman JM, Sorensen S, Smallhorn JF, Farine D, Amankwah KS, Spears JC, Sermer M. Adverse neonatal and cardiac outcomes are more common in pregnant women with heart disease. Circulation. 2002;105:2179-2184.
- 9. D'Souza RD, Silversides CK, Tomlinson GA, Siu SC. Assessing cardiac risk in pregnant women with heart disease: how risk scores are created and their role in clinical practice. Can J Cardiol. 2020;36:1011-1021. DOI: 10.1016/j.cjca.2020.02.079.
- 10. Davis MB, Walsh MN. Cardio-obstetrics. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2019;12:e005417. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOM ES.118.005417.
- 11. Elkayam U, Goland S, Pieper PG, Silverside CK. High-risk cardiac disease in pregnancy: part I. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:396-410. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.048.
- 12. Elkayam U, Goland S, Pieper PG, Silversides CK. High-risk cardiac disease in pregnancy: part II. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:502-516. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.05.050.
- 13. Mehta LS, Warnes CA, Bradley E, Burton T, Economy K, Mehran R, Safdar B, Sharma G, Wood M, Valente AM, et al. Cardiovascular considerations in caring for pregnant patients: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2020;141:e884-e903. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000772.
- 14. Regitz-Zagrosek V, Roos-Hesselink JW, Bauersachs J, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Cífková R, De Bonis M, lung B, Johnson MR, Kintscher U, Kranke P, et al. 2018 ESC guidelines for the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:3165-3241. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy340.
- Ray JG, Vermeulen MJ, Schull MJ, Redelmeier DA. Cardiovascular 15. health after maternal placental syndromes (CHAMPS): populationbased retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2005;366:1797-1803. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67726-4.

- Liu N, Farrugia MM, Vigod SN, Urquia ML, Ray JG. Intergenerational abortion tendency between mothers and teenage daughters: a population-based cohort study. *CMAJ*. 2018;190:E95–E102. DOI: 10.1503/cmaj.170595.
- Ray JG, Booth GL, Alter DA, Vermeulen MJ. Prognosis after maternal placental events and revascularization: PAMPER study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;214:106.e1–106.e14. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2015.08.021.
- Gershon AS, Wang C, Guan J, Vasilevska-Ristovska J, Cicutto L, To T. Identifying patients with physician-diagnosed asthma in health administrative databases. *Can Respir J.* 2009;16:183–188. DOI: 10.1155/2009/963098.
- Gershon AS, Wang C, Guan J, Vasilevska-Ristovska J, Cicutto L, To T. Identifying individuals with physician diagnosed COPD in health administrative databases. *COPD*. 2009;6:388–394. DOI: 10.1080/15412 550903140865.
- Guttmann A, Nakhla M, Henderson M, To T, Daneman D, Cauch-Dudek K, Wang X, Lam K, Hux J. Validation of a health administrative data algorithm for assessing the epidemiology of diabetes in Canadian children. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2010;11:122–128. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-5448.2009.00539.x.
- Hux JE, Ivis F, Flintoft V, Bica A. Diabetes in Ontario: determination of prevalence and incidence using a validated administrative data algorithm. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25:512–516. DOI: 10.2337/diacare.25.3.512.
- Kahane A, Park AL, Ray JG. Dysfunctional uterine activity in labour and premature adverse cardiac events: population-based cohort study. *Can J Cardiol.* 2018;34:45–51. DOI: 10.1016/j.cjca.2017.10.007.
- Ray JG, Park AL, Dzakpasu S, Dayan N, Deb-Rinker P, Luo W, Joseph KS. Prevalence of severe maternal morbidity and factors associated with maternal mortality in Ontario, Canada. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1:e184571. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.4571.
- 24. Tu JV, Naylor CD, Austin P. Temporal changes in the outcomes of acute myocardial infarction in Ontario, 1992–1996. *CMAJ*. 1999;161:1257–1261.
- Tu K, Campbell NR, Chen ZL, Cauch-Dudek KJ, McAlister FA. Accuracy of administrative databases in identifying patients with hypertension. *Open Med.* 2007;1:e18–e26.
- Shah BR, Chiu M, Amin S, Ramani M, Sadry S, Tu JV. Surname lists to identify South Asian and Chinese ethnicity from secondary data in Ontario, Canada: a validation study. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2010;10:42. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2288-10-42.
- Austin PC, Daly PA, Tu JV. A multicenter study of the coding accuracy of hospital discharge administrative data for patients admitted to cardiac care units in Ontario. *Am Heart J.* 2002;144:290–296. DOI: 10.1067/ mhj.2002.123839.
- Lipscombe LL, Hwee J, Webster L, Shah BR, Booth GL, Tu K. Identifying diabetes cases from administrative data: a population-based validation study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18:316. DOI: 10.1186/s1291 3-018-3148-0.
- Schultz SE, Rothwell DM, Chen Z, Tu K. Identifying cases of congestive heart failure from administrative data: a validation study using primary care patient records. *Chronic Dis Inj Can.* 2013;33:160–166. DOI: 10.24095/hpcdp.33.3.06.
- Tu K, Nieuwlaat R, Cheng SY, Wing L, Ivers N, Atzema CL, Healey JS, Dorian P. Identifying patients with atrial fibrillation in administrative data. *Can J Cardiol.* 2016;32:1561–1565. DOI: 10.1016/j.cjca.2016.06.006.

- 31. Hansen BB. The prognostic analogue of the propensity score. *Biometrika*. 2008;95:481–488. DOI: 10.1093/biomet/asn004.
- Austin PC. Statistical criteria for selecting the optimal number of untreated subjects matched to each treated subject when using many-to-one matching on the propensity score. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2010;172:1092–1097. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwq224.
- Robson S, Hunter S, Moore M, Dunlop W. Haemodynamic changes during the puerperium: a Doppler and M-mode echocardiographic study. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1987;94:1028–1039. DOI: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1987.tb02286.x
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987;40:373–383. DOI: 10.1016/0021-9681(87)90171-8.
- Auger N, Potter BJ, Bilodeau-Bertrand M, Paradis G. Long-term risk of cardiovascular disease in women who have had infants with heart defects. *Circulation*. 2018;137:2321–2331. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIO NAHA.117.030277.
- Alonso-Ventura V, Li Y, Pasupuleti V, Roman YM, Hernandez AV, Perez-Lopez FR. Effects of preeclampsia and eclampsia on maternal metabolic and biochemical outcomes in later life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism*. 2020;102:154012. DOI: 10.1016/j.metab ol.2019.154012.
- Galin S, Wainstock T, Sheiner E, Landau D, Walfisch A. Elective cesarean delivery and long-term cardiovascular morbidity in the offspring—a population-based cohort analysis. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2020;33:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2020.1797668
- Austin PC, Latouche A, Fine JP. A review of the use of time-varying covariates in the Fine-Gray subdistribution hazard competing risk regression model. *Stat Med.* 2020;39:103–113. DOI: 10.1002/sim.8399.
- Balint OH, Siu SC, Mason J, Grewal J, Wald R, Oechslin EN, Kovacs B, Sermer M, Colman JM, Silversides CK. Cardiac outcomes after pregnancy in women with congenital heart disease. *Heart*. 2010;96:1656– 1661. DOI: 10.1136/hrt.2010.202838.
- Grewal J, Siu SC, Ross HJ, Mason J, Balint OH, Sermer M, Colman JM, Silversides CK. Pregnancy outcomes in women with dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009;55:45–52. DOI: 10.1016/j. jacc.2009.08.036.
- Guedes A, Mercier LA, Leduc L, Berube L, Marcotte F, Dore A. Impact of pregnancy on the systemic right ventricle after a Mustard operation for transposition of the great arteries. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44:433– 437. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.04.037.
- Tzemos N, Silversides CK, Colman JM, Therrien J, Webb GD, Mason J, Cocoara E, Sermer M, Siu SC. Late cardiac outcomes after pregnancy in women with congenital aortic stenosis. *Am Heart J*. 2009;157:474– 480. DOI: 10.1016/j.ahj.2008.10.020.
- Kampman MAM, Balci A, Groen H, van Dijk APJ, Roos-Hesselink JW, van Melle JP, Sollie-Szarynska KM, Wajon EMCJ, Mulder BJM, van Veldhuisen DJ, et al. Cardiac function and cardiac events 1-year postpartum in women with congenital heart disease. *Am Heart J.* 2015;169:298–304. DOI: 10.1016/j.ahj.2014.11.010.
- Tanous D, Siu SC, Mason J, Greutmann M, Wald RM, Parker JD, Sermer M, Colman JM, Silversides CK. B-type natriuretic peptide in pregnant women with heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56:1247–1253. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.02.076.

# **Supplemental Material**

## Table S1. STROBE Statement.

	Item	Recommendation	Where
			Reported
Title and abstract	1	( <i>a</i> ) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Abstract
Introduction	1		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Introduction
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Introduction
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Methods
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Methods
Participants	6	( <i>a</i> ) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Methods
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	Methods

Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers.	Methods
		Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Tables S2-S5
Data sources/	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment	Methods
measurement		(measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Table S2
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Methods
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Methods
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which	Methods
		groupings were chosen and why	Tables S2-S5
Statistical methods	12	( <i>a</i> ) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Methods
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Methods
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Methods
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	N/A
		( <u>e</u> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A

N/A, not applicable

				Original Validation
			How the data were	Measures for ICES
Name of Data Base	Description	Type of data utilized	utilized in the study	Derived Databases
Canadian Institute for	Hospital discharge data since	• Dates of	Cohort identification	Not applicable
Health Information	1988	hospitalization	• Baseline	
Discharge Abstract		• Procedures	characteristics	
Database (DAD)		• Diagnoses	• Outcome	
		Comorbidities		
Ontario Mother-Baby	Linked DAD of inpatient	Diagnostic codes	Cohort identification	Not applicable
Linked Dataset	admission of mother and		• Outcome	
(MOMBABY)	baby since 1988			
Registered Persons	Demographic data of anyone	• Date of birth/death	Cohort identification	Not applicable
Database (RPDB)	who has received Ontario	• Sex	• Baseline	
	health care number since	• Geographic	characteristics	
	1990	information	• Outcome	

Table S2. Description of ICES Databases and Algorithms and their role in the current study.

		• Time period of		
		insurance		
		coverage		
Ontario Health	All reimbursement claims by	Diagnostic codes	Cohort identification	Not applicable
Insurance Plan (OHIP)	registered health care	• Procedure codes	• Baseline	
claims database	providers since 1991		characteristics	
			• Outcome	
Ontario Registrar	Cause of death (as recorded	Diagnostic codes	Outcome	Not applicable
General (ORGD)	on medical certificate of			
	death) since 1979			
Ontario Health care	Ontario health care	Index birth at acute	Baseline characteristics	Not applicable
institutions (INST)	institutions	care obstetric referral		
		center		
Ontario Cancer Registry	Registry of all Ontario	Record of patient as	Baseline characteristics	Not applicable
(OCR)	residents diagnosed with or	being in the registry		
	died from cancer except non-			
	melanoma skin cancer since			
	1964			

Canadian Institute for	Individual level data for	Diagnostic codes	Cohort identification	Not applicable
Health Information	institutional-based		• Baseline	
National Ambulatory	ambulatory care including		characteristics	
Care Reporting System	care provided in emergency			
(NACRS)	departments and out-patient			
	clinics since 2000, and day			
	surgeries since 1988			
Same Day Surgery	Database of same day	Procedural or	• Baseline	Not applicable
(SDS)	surgeries since 1991	diagnostic code	characteristics	
			Outcome	
Ethnicity dataset	Validated dataset to identify	Ethic Groups	Baseline characteristics	• Sensitivity 50% -
(ETHNIC) <sup>26</sup>	Chinese or South Asian			80%
	ethnicity based on surname			• Specificity 100%
Ontario Diabetes	Validated dataset of Ontario	Record of patient as	• Baseline	Sensitivity 90%
Database (ODD) <sup>21, 28</sup>	residents identified as having	being in the dataset	characteristics	• Specificity 99%
	diabetes mellitus since 1991		• Outcome	

Ontario Myocardial	Validated dataset of Ontario	Record of patient as	• Baseline	•	Sensitivity 89%
Infarction Database	residents identified as having	being in the dataset	characteristics	•	Specificity 93%
(OMID) <sup>27</sup>	myocardial infarction since		• Outcome		
	1992				
Ontario Hypertension	Validated dataset of Ontario	Record of patient as	• Baseline	•	Sensitivity 72%
database (HYPER) <sup>25</sup>	residents identified as having	being in the dataset	characteristics	•	Specificity 95%
	hypertension since 1988		Outcome		
Ontario Asthma	Validated dataset of Ontario	Record of patient as	Baseline	•	Sensitivity 81%
database (ASTHMA) <sup>18</sup>	residents diagnosed with	being in the dataset	characteristics	•	Specificity 90%
	asthma since 1991				
Ontario Chronic	Validated dataset of Ontario	Record of patient as	Baseline characteristics	•	Sensitivity 85%
obstructive pulmonary	residents 35 years or older	being in the dataset		•	Specificity 95%
disease database	diagnosed with COPD since				
(COPD) <sup>19</sup>	1991				
Ontario Congestive	Validated dataset of Ontario	Record of patient as	Covariate	•	Sensitivity 85%
Heart Failure database	residents 40 years or older	being in the dataset	• Outcome	•	Specificity 97%
(CHF) <sup>29</sup>	diagnosed with congestive				
	heart failure since 1988				

Any of: hospitalization or an			٠	Sensitivity 89%
emergency room code for AF			•	Specificity 99%
or 4 physician billing code				
for AF in 1 yr				
A ei	ny of: hospitalization or an mergency room code for AF a 4 physician billing code or AF in 1 yr	ny of: hospitalization or an mergency room code for AF r 4 physician billing code or AF in 1 yr	ny of: hospitalization or an mergency room code for AF r 4 physician billing code or AF in 1 yr	ny of: hospitalization or an       •         mergency room code for AF       •         r 4 physician billing code       •         or AF in 1 yr       •

### Table S3. Prognostic Risk Score Utilized for Matching.

- Group in which baseline model was derived: Women from Ontario that had a recorded birth during 1994 to 2015 period
- Dependent variable: Time to the first of any event during follow up including death, heart failure, myocardial infarction, stroke, arrhythmia, or atrial fibrillation.
- Independent variable (in binary format) at index birth
- i. Any comorbid condition (referent =no) : Chronic Hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, Pulmonary disease, Renal disease, Cancer, Non cancerous thyroid disease, Collagen vascular disease, Dyslipidemia, Obesity, Substance abuse, Cerebrovascular disease, or Peripheral vascular disease
- ii. Fertility therapy (referent =no)
- iii. Cesarean section (referent =no)
- iv. Ethnic (South Asian or Chinese vs other=referent)
- v. Multi fetal births (referent = no)
- vi. Delivery at tertiary obstetric center (referent = no)
- vii. Gestational diabetes during index pregnancy (referent = no)

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
Cohort	April 1, 1991 -	Births		MOMBABY*
entry	December 31, 2019			Cohort entry date = date
criterion				of index birth
Cohort		male, non-Ontario resident,		RPDB†
exclusion		or death within 6 months of		
criteria		index birth		
	Prior to cohort	Cardiac Diagnosis	391-398,402-429, 6738, 6740, 745-7474, 48, 49,	OHIP diagnostic codes‡
	entry date	(for community	(1HZ80, 1IJ50, 1IJ54, 1IJ55, 1IJ57, 1IJ76, 1IJ80,	390, 391, 394, 398, 402,
		comparison group	1IJ86, 1IK80, 1IK87, 1IL35, 2IL70, I01, I020,	410, 412, 413, 415, 426,
		only)	I05- I52, Q20- Q26, O903)	427, 428, 429, 745, 746,
				747
	Prior to cohort	Surgery or catheter	4702-4703, 4712- 4713, 4722- 4729, 4781 -	OHIP Fee Codes‡
	entry date (age at	intervention on cardiac	4784, 4791–4794, 5034, (1HJ, 1HM80, 1HN,	R729, R730,
	index birth)	valves, thoracic aorta, or	1HP71 - 1HP87, 1HR, 1HS, 1HT, 1HU, 1HV,	R736, R773,
		congenital cardiac lesions,	1HX, 1IA8, 1IB8, 1IC50,1IC80)	R774, R930,

Table S4. Variables used to define the cohort entry and exclusion criteria, as well as the study exposure, outcome, and adjustment variables.

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
		prior to cohort entry date		Z461, R733,
		(community comparison		R720, R724, R772, R728
		group only)		
	Prior to cohort	Coronary revascularization	480-483, (11J50, 11J55, 11J57, 11J76, 11J80)	
	entry date	(surgical or catheter; for		
		community comparison		
		group only)		
	Prior to cohort	Cardiac arrhythmia	496, 497, 498, (1HZ53, 1HH59, 1HB53, 1HD55,	
	entry date	intervention (pacemaker,	1HB55, 1HZ38, 1HZ55)	
		ablation, defibrillator) for		
		community comparison		
		group only)		
	Prior to cohort	Cardiac transplantation or	456, 495, (1HY85, 1HZ85, 1HP53)	
	entry date	cardiac assist device (for		
		community comparison		
		group only)		

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
Study	April 1, 1991 –	Death during follow up (>6		RPDB <sup>†</sup>
outcomes	December 31, 2019	mos after index birth)		ORGD§
	April 1, 1991 –	Cardiovascular death during		RPDB†
	December 31, 2019	follow up (>6 mos after		ORGD§
		index birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	New congestive heart failure	428, 5184, (I50, J81)	Ontario Congestive
	December 31, 2019	during follow up (>6 mos		Heart Failure database
		after index birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	New myocardial infarction	410, (I21)	Ontario Myocardial
	December 31, 2019	during follow up (>6 mos		Infarction Database
		after index birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	New Arrhythmia (any of	4260, 4270 – 4275, (I442, I46, I470 - I472, I479,	
	December 31, 2019	supraventricular or atrial	I48, I490)	
		tachycardia, atrial fibrillation		
		or flutter, ventricular		
		tachycardia, ventricular		

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
		fibrillation, paroxysmal		
		tachycardia, cardiac arrest,		
		complete heart block) during		
		follow up (>6 mos after		
		index birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	New Atrial fibrillation		Atrial fibrillation
	December 31, 2019	during follow up (>6 mos		algorithm
		after index birth)		• OHIP <sup>+</sup>
				• Canadian Institute for
				Health Information
				National Ambulatory
				Care Reporting System
	April 1, 1991 –	New stroke (central nervous	430 – 436, 3623, (I60 - I64, H34)	
	December 31, 2019	system hemorrhage,		
		thrombosis, or embolism)		

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
		during follow up (>6 months		
		after index birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	New Diabetes mellitus		Ontario Diabetes
	December 31, 2019	during follow up (>42 days		Database
		after birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	New Hypertension during		Ontario Hypertension
	December 31, 2019	follow-up (>90 days after		database
		birth)		
	April 1, 1991 –	Cardiovascular procedure	Same codes as above (listed under exclusion criter	ia for comparison group)
	December 31, 2019	during follow-up (6 months),	for: 1) Surgery or catheter intervention on cardiac	valves, thoracic aorta, or
		excluding 9 months	congenital cardiac lesions; 2) Coronary revasculari	zation; 3) Cardiac
		preceding subsequent births	arrhythmia intervention; 4) Cardiac transplantation	or cardiac assist device
Covariates	At date of cohort	Age, Income quintile,		RPDB <sup>†</sup> ; Canadian
	entry	Rurality, Residence within		Institute for Health
		Toronto Metropolitan Area,		Information Discharge
		fiscal year of cohort entry		Abstract Database

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
	At date of cohort	Ethnic group		Ethnicity dataset
	entry			
	Prior to date of	Hypertension		Ontario Hypertension
	cohort entry			database (exclude
				diagnosis within 150
				days prior to birth)
	Prior to date of	Diabetes mellitus		Ontario Diabetes
	cohort entry			Database (exclude
				diagnosis within 120
				days prior to birth and 90
				days after birth)
	Prior to date of	Cancer		Ontario Cancer Registry
	cohort entry			
	Prior to date of	Pulmonary disease		Ontario Asthma &
	cohort entry			Chronic obstructive

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
				pulmonary disease
				databases
	Within 3 years	Renal Disease	5845- 5849, 6693, 9585, 6343, 6353, 6363, 6373,	OHIP Diagnostic Codes‡
	prior to cohort		6383, 6393, 2504, 2741, 403, 404, 405, 4401,	403, 581, 585
	entry date		581- 583, 585- 588, 5900, 5937, 791, 7944,	
			(N17, O084, T795, O904, E1020, E1120, E1121,	
			M1039, I12, I13, I150, M310, N01, N03- N08,	
			N11- N12, N137- N139, N14- N16)	
	Within 3 years	Non Cancer Thyroid Disease	226, 242, 244, 245, (D34, E032- E035, E038-	OHIP Diagnostic Codes‡
	prior to cohort		E039, E05- E06)	226, 242, 244, 245
	entry date			
	Within 3 years	Collagen Vascular Diseases	7100- 7104, 7108- 7109, 7140- 7144, 7149,	OHIP Diagnostic
	prior to cohort		(M313, M318, M319- M320, M32- M35, M368,	Codes‡
	entry date		M05- M07)	710, 714, 720- 721, 739

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
	Within 3 years	Cerebrovascular disease	433-434, 436-437, 5011-5012, (G46, I63- I66,	OHIP Diagnostic Codes‡
	prior to cohort		I672, I678, 1JE57, 1JW57, 1JX57, 1JW76)	432, 436, 437
	entry date			
	Within 3 years	Peripheral vascular disease	4400, 4402, 444, 5018, 5028, 5038, 5124- 5126,	OHIP Diagnostic Code‡
	prior to cohort		5129, (1JM76, 1JX76, 1KA76, 1KE76, 1KG57,	443
	entry date		1KT76, 1ID76, 1KG76, 1KG87, I700, I702, I74)	
	Within 3 years	Fertility treatment	V261, V268, 8192, (Z311 - Z313, 1RM83)	OHIP Procedural code <sup>+</sup>
	prior to cohort			G334
	entry date			
	Within 3 years	Dyslipidemia	2720 -2725, (E78)	OHIP Diagnostic Code‡
	prior to cohort			272
	entry date			
	Within 3 years	Obesity	2780, (E66)	OHIP Diagnostic Code‡
	prior to cohort			278
	entry date			

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
	Within 3 years	Smoking or substance abuse	291-292, 2940, 303 – 305, 6483, 6555, 980, (F10	OHIP Diagnostic Codes‡
	prior to cohort		- F19, F55, G312, O354 -O355, T51, T652, Z720	291-292, 303 - 305
	entry date		- Z722)	
	Within 3 years	Any births (livebirths or		MOMBABY*
	prior to cohort	stillbirths) prior to index		
	entry date	birth		
	At cohort entry	Multi-fetal pregnancy	V311, V312, V321, V322, V341, V342, V351,	MOMBABY*:
	date (date of index		V352, V361, V362, V371, V372, V272, V273,	B_Multibirth or
	birth)		V274, V275, V276, V277, (Z372, Z373, Z374,	M_Multibirth
			Z375, Z376, Z377, Z3790, O30, O31)	
	At cohort entry	Delivery at tertiary obstetric		Ontario Health care
	date (date of index	centres		institutions
	birth)			
	From start of	Fetus or newborn with	745 – 747, (Q20 - Q26)	
	pregnancy until 1	congenital cardiac lesion		
	year post cohort			

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes	
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources
	entry date (date of			
	index birth)			
	At cohort entry	Caesarean delivery	86, (5MD60)	
	date (date of index			
	birth)			
	Within 9 months of	Cardiac event related	Same as above codes for outcomes (congestive	
	cohort entry date	admission (Heart failure,	heart failure, arrhythmia, stroke, or myocardial	
	(date of index	arrhythmia, stroke, or MI)	infarction)	
	birth), and up to 6	during index pregnancy		
	months after.			
	Within 9 months of	Obstetric event related	6408 - 6413, 6418 - 6419, 6567, 6442, 6581,	MOMBABY*
	cohort entry date	admission (antepartum	6440, 765, 666, 6420, 6424 - 6427, 6429, 6423,	Stillbirth or birth <37
	including hospital	bleed, preterm birth or	6565, 7649, 7680 - 7681, 6564, V271, V273 -	weeks gestation age
	stay related to	rupture membrane, post	V274, V276 - V277, (O2080, O2090, O365,	OHIP diagnostic code‡
	index birth	partum hemorrhage,	04381, 04410, 045, 046, 0431, 043801 -	642
		gestational hypertension/	O43819, O439, O60, O4201, O4211, P059, P072	

			Diagnostic or Procedural Codes		
Assessment	Timing	Parameter	ICD-9 or CCP (ICD-10 or CCI)	Other sources	
		preeclampsia, stillbirth, fetal	- P073, O72, O11, O13 - O16, P95, Z371, Z373 -		
		death, abruptio placenta,	Z374, Z376 -Z377, O364)		
		placental infarction, poor			
		fetal growth)			
	Within 9 months of	Gestational Diabetes	64800 - 64804, (O244, O248, O249)		
	cohort entry				
	After cohort entry	Subsequent births after index		MOMBABY*	
	date	birth			

ICD-9, International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision; CCP, /Canadian Classification of Diagnostic, Therapeutic, and Surgical Procedures;

ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision; CCI, Canadian Classification of Health Interventions, \* MOMBABY database;

†Registered Persons Database; ‡ Ontario Health Insurance Plan; § Ontario Registrar General

Name	Pr	edictors and Risk Score Point Value	Calculation of Risk Score	<b>Risk Groups and Predicted</b>
				Risk of Maternal
				Cardiovascular Events
				during Pregnancy and
				subsequent 6 months post
				delivery
	•	New York Heart Association class III or IV or cyanosis (1	Each predictor = 1 point	Score 0, event rate 5%
CARPREG		point)		Score 1, event rate 27%
	•	Systemic ventricular EF <40% (1 point)	Risk score = sum of points	Score >1, event rate 75%
	•	Left heart obstruction (1 point)		
	•	Cardiac event prior to current pregnancy (1 point)		
	•	Cardiac event prior to current pregnancy (3 points)	Weighted risk score	Score 0 to 1, event rate 5%
CARPREG II	•	Baseline New York Heart Association III/IV or Cyanosis (3	Risk score = sum of points	Score 2, event rate 10%
		points)		Score 3, event rate 15%
	•	Systemic ventricular EF <55% (2 points)		Score 4, event rate 22%
	•	Left heart obstruction (mitral valve area<2 cm <sup>2</sup> or aortic valve		Score $\geq$ 4, event rate 41%
		area<1.5 cm <sup>2</sup> , or peak left ventricular outflow tract		

Table S5. Summary of the 3 Methods to Predict Maternal Cardiovascular Risks in Pregnant Women with Heart Disease.

	gradient>30 mmHg) or a least moderate-severe mitral	
	regurgitation (2 points)	
	• Mechanical valve (3 points)	
	• Pulmonary hypertension (2 points)	
	• Coronary artery disease (2 points)	
	• High-risk aortopathy (2 points)	
	• No prior cardiac interventions (1 point)	
	• Late pregnancy assessment (1 point)	
WHO*	WHO class I *	Class I, event rate 2.5% - 5%
	• Small or mild pulmonary stenosis, patent ductus arteriosus,	Class II, event rate 5.7% -
	mitral valve prolapse	10.5%
	• Successfully repaired simple lesions (atrial or ventricular	Class II–III, event rate 10% -
	septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus, anomalous pulmonary	19%
	venous connection)	Class III, event rate 19% -
	• Atrial or ventricular ectopic beats, isolated	27%
	WHO class II *	Class IV, event rate 40% -
	• Unoperated atrial or ventricular septal defect	100%
	• Repaired tetralogy of Fallot	

Most arrhythmias (supraventricular arrhythmias)
• Turner syndrome without aortic dilation
WHO class II–III *
• Mild left ventricular impairment (EF>45%)
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
Native or tissue valvular heart disease not considered WHO I
or IV (mild mitral stenosis, moderate aortic stenosis)
• Marfan or other HTAD † syndrome without aortic dilatation
• Aorta <45 mm in association with bicuspid aortic valve
pathology
Repaired coarctation
Atrioventricular septal defect
WHO class III *
• Moderate left ventricular impairment (EF 30-45%)
Previous peripartum cardiomyopathy without residual left
ventricular impairment
Mechanical valve

• Systemic right ventricle with good or mildly decreased	
ventricular function	
• Fontan circulation if otherwise well and the cardiac condition	
uncomplicated	
Unrepaired cyanotic heart disease	
• Other complex congenital heart disease	
Moderate mitral stenosis	
Severe asymptomatic aortic stenosis	
• Moderate aortic dilation (40–45 mm in Marfan syndrome or	
other HTAD, $\dagger$ 45–50 mm in bicuspid aortic valve, Turner	
syndrome with aortic size index 20-25mm/m <sup>2</sup> , tetralogy of	
Fallot <50mm)	
Ventricular tachycardia	
WHO class IV*	
Pulmonary arterial hypertension	
• Severe systemic ventricular dysfunction (Ejection	
fraction<30% or New York Heart Association Functional class	
III-IV)	

•	Previous peripartum cardiomyopathy with any residual left
	ventricular impairment
•	Severe mitral stenosis
•	Severe symptomatic aortic stenosis
•	Systemic right ventricle with moderate or severely decreased
	ventricular function
•	Severe aortic dilatation (>45 mm in Marfan syndrome or other
	HTAD, † >50 mm in bicuspid aortic valve, Turner syndrome
	with aortic size index >25mm/m <sup>2</sup> , tetralogy of Fallot >50mm)
•	Vascular Ehlers-Danlos
•	Severe (re)coarctation
•	Fontan with any complication

\* modified World Health Organization; † heritable thoracic aortic disease

Maternal	Total	Frequency of	Unadjusted Rate (95%	Time to Primary	Fr	Frequency of Components of Primary				
Cardiac Lesion	No.	<b>Primary</b> Composite	CI) of Primary	Composite Outcome Vrs	Composite Outcome *					
		Outcome	1000 person-years	Median (IQR)	Death	HF	AF	Arr	Stroke	MI
Congenital	399	85	16.9 (13.7-20.8)	6.6 (2.7-13.5)	10	34	54	42	1-5 †	1-5 †
Cardiomyopathy	112	53	54.7 (42.1-71.1)	4.1 (1.8-8.8)	10	26	38	27	1-5 †	1-5 †
Left sided valve	312	88	21.4 (17.4-26.4)	6.5 (3.5-12.0)	14	43	66	43	12	1-5 †
Isolated arrhythmia	118	53	58.3 (45.0-75.7)	1.8 (0.9-4.9)	1-5 †	1-5 †	41	23	0	0
Ischemic	26	8	29.4 (14.9-58.3)	3.6 (2.4-4.9)	1-5 †	1-5 †	1-5 †	1-5 †	0	0
Other	47	11	24.2 (13.5-43.3)	3.0 (1.5-6.3)	1-5 †	7	1-5 †	1-5 †	0	0

Table S6. Principal Cardiac Lesion in Heart Disease Group, Time to Event, and Nature of Long-Term Events.

\*not mutually exclusive; † low counts suppressed as per ICES privacy policy; HF, heart failure; AF, atrial fibrillation, Arr, arrhythmia; MI,

myocardial infarction

	CARPREG *		CARPREG II *		WHO †	
Parameter	Hazard Ratio (95%	P value	Hazard Ratio (95%	P value	Hazard Ratio	P value
	CI)		CI)		(95% CI)	
Heart Disease Group: Low	11.4 (7.7 - 17.3) ‡	<0.001	15.0 (10.4 - 22.4) ‡	<0.001	16.4 (10.9 - 25.6) ‡	<0.001
Pregnancy Risk (Community	See Figure 3B for		See Figure S4B for		See Figure 3D for	
comparison group = referent)	instantaneous hazard		instantaneous hazard		instantaneous	
	ratios over time		ratios over time		hazard ratios over	
					time	
Heart Disease Group:	34.2 (23.7 - 51.0) ‡	<0.001	34.7 (23.6 - 52.3) ‡	<0.001	37.7 (24.9 - 59.4) ‡	<0.001
Intermediate to High Pregnancy	See Figure 3B for		See Figure S4B for		See Figure 3D for	
Risk (Community comparison	instantaneous hazard		instantaneous hazard		instantaneous	
group = referent)	ratios over time		ratios over time		hazard ratios over	
					time	
Obstetric complication during	1.3 (1.0-1.6)	0.069	1.2 (1.0-1.5)	0.12	1.1 (0.9-1.5)	0.32
index pregnancy						

Table S7. Adjusted Model fo	r Primary Outcome	<b>Using Pregnancy Risk</b>	Classification Systems.
-----------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------

Cardiac complication during	2.4 (1.7-3.4)	<0.001	2.5 (1.7-3.5)	<0.0001	2.8 (2.0-4.0)	<0.001
index pregnancy						
Baby born with congenital	1.6 (1.1-2.2)	0.017	1.4 (0.9-1.9)	0.12	1.4 (1.0-2.0)	0.058
cardiac lesion						
Number of subsequent	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	0.51	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	0.54	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	0.43
pregnancies						
Status of subsequent pregnancy	2.0 (1.3-3.0)	0.0031	2.0 (1.3-3.0)	0.0021	1.9 (1.2-2.9)	0.011
Charlson index score $\geq 1$	1.7 (1.1-2.5)	0.017	1.5 (1.0-2.3)	0.047	1.4 (0.8-2.2)	0.20
Age at index birth	1.04 (1.02-1.06)	0.0021	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	0.0033	1.04 (1.01-1.06)	0.0086
Low residential income area	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	0.92	1.04 (0.8-1.3)	0.75	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	0.72
Greater Toronto Metropolitan	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	0.52	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	0.75	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	0.53
Area residence						
Index birth is first pregnancy	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	0.39	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	0.36	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	0.20
Fiscal year of index birth	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	0.041	0.98 (0.96-1.00)	0.067	0.99 (0.96-1.01)	0.25

\* Canadian Cardiac Disease in Pregnancy Study; † modified World Health Organization classification; WHO; ‡ hazard ratio from analyses

assuming constant proportional hazard between heart disease and comparison groups

### Figure S1. Flow Chart Showing Cohort Formation.



Flow Chart showing formation of heart disease and community comparison groups.





Unadjusted cumulative incidence (with 95% confidence intervals) of primary outcome in heart disease and matched community comparison group (comparison group).



Figure S3. Unadjusted Time-to-Event Curves for Selected Secondary Outcomes.

Unadjusted cumulative incidence (with 95% confidence intervals) of heart failure (A), atrial fibrillation (B), therapeutic cardiac procedures (C), and new hypertension or diabetes mellitus (D), in heart disease and matched community comparison group (comparison group).

Figure S4. Unadjusted Time-to-Event Curves for Primary Outcome Stratified by Occurrence of Pregnancy complications.



Unadjusted comparison of outcome in heart disease and comparison groups as stratified by whether a pregnancy complication (cardiac or obstetric) occurred during the index pregnancy. The unadjusted rate of composite primary outcome (rate per 1000 person-years, 95%) was 37.2 (31.4-44.1), 20.5 (17.6-23.8), 1.5 (0.9-2.6), and 0.9 (0.6-1.5), corresponding to heart disease group with pregnancy complications (in brown), heart disease group without pregnancy complications (in purple), comparison group with pregnancy complications (in green).

Figure S5. Adjusted Time-to-Event Curve for Death, Heart Failure, Stroke, or myocardial infarction.



Adjusted Time-to-Event Curves for Death, Heart Failure, Stroke, Myocardial Infarction

Adjusted cumulative incidence of the primary outcome (all-cause mortality, heart failure, myocardial infarction, or stroke) with 95% confidence intervals in the heart disease group and matched comparison group. Numbers at risk were obtained from unadjusted cumulative incidence curves.

# Figure S6. Adjusted Time-to-Event Curve for Primary Outcomes separated by individual WHO Risk Groups.



Adjusted cumulative incidence of primary outcome and 95% confidence intervals as a function of maternal cardiovascular risk during index pregnancy. Incidence rates are separated into pregnancy risk groups according to the modified World Health Organization classification system (WHO). Numbers at risk were obtained from unadjusted cumulative incidence curves. Comparison group denotes matched community comparison group.