

9-1-2021

Association of Baclofen With Falls and Fractures in Patients With CKD

Flory T. Muanda
ICES Western

Peter G. Blake
Division of Nephrology

Matthew A. Weir
ICES Western

Lavanya Bathini
ICES Western

Kianna Chauvin
Division of Nephrology

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub>

Citation of this paper:

Muanda, Flory T.; Blake, Peter G.; Weir, Matthew A.; Bathini, Lavanya; Chauvin, Kianna; Dixon, Stephanie N.; McArthur, Eric; and Sontrop, Jessica M., "Association of Baclofen With Falls and Fractures in Patients With CKD" (2021). *Paediatrics Publications*. 1582.
<https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/paedpub/1582>

Authors

Flory T. Muanda, Peter G. Blake, Matthew A. Weir, Lavanya Bathini, Kianna Chauvin, Stephanie N. Dixon, Eric McArthur, and Jessica M. Sontrop

Publication Information: © 2021 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online February 10, 2021 with doi 10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.05.006

References

1. Lebedev L, Sapojnikov M, Wechsler A, et al. Minimal change disease following the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2021;78(1):142-145.
2. Maas RJ, Gianotten S, van der Meijden WAG. An additional case of minimal change disease following the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2021;78(2):312.
3. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;383(27):2603-2615.
4. Sahin U, Muik A, Derhovanessian E, et al. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and TH1 T cell responses. *Nature.* 2020;586(7830):594-599.

RESEARCH LETTER

Association of Baclofen With Falls and Fractures in Patients With CKD



To the Editor:

Baclofen is a popular muscle relaxant that is eliminated primarily unchanged in the urine.¹ We recently reported a higher risk of encephalopathy in a cohort of 15,942 older adults with chronic kidney disease (CKD) who started baclofen at ≥ 20 versus < 20 mg/d; a higher risk was also observed in all baclofen users versus nonusers.² In another study of patients receiving dialysis, 1 in 14 were hospitalized with encephalopathy within 3 days of starting baclofen.³

In the present study, we analyzed the same cohort of 15,942 older adults with CKD not receiving dialysis,² and examined the 30-day risk of a hospital encounter with a fall, a fracture, or hypotension in patients newly prescribed baclofen at ≥ 20 versus < 20 mg/d. The data source, design, and methods were the same as in our prior report² and are described in Table S1.

Briefly, we analyzed linked administrative health care data housed at ICES in Ontario, Canada, where all residents aged ≥ 65 years have universal prescription drug coverage. The primary cohort included adults aged ≥ 66 (to ensure at least 1 year of drug coverage) who had an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 mL/min/1.73 m² and who were newly dispensed oral baclofen from an outpatient pharmacy between January 1, 2007, and March 1, 2018. Patients with evidence of baclofen use in the 180-day period before the prescription start date were excluded (this period was extended to 5 years in a sensitivity analysis).

The primary exposure was baclofen ≥ 20 mg/d (20 mg/d is the median dose reported in cases of baclofen toxicity, and the median dose prescribed during the study period [Table S2]).² To reduce the potential for indication bias, the prespecified reference group was baclofen < 20 mg/d.

In a secondary analysis, study outcomes in each group of baclofen users (≥ 20 and < 20 mg/d) were compared separately to nonusers (ie, patients with CKD not taking baclofen). Nonusers were randomly assigned a simulated baclofen start date that followed the same distribution of start dates as baclofen users.

Inverse probability of treatment weighting on the propensity score was used to balance comparison groups on 164 indicators of baseline health, including indications for

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Older Adults With CKD Newly Prescribed Baclofen in Ontario, Canada (2007-2018)

	Observed Data (N = 15,942)			Weighted Data (N = 19,387) ^a		
	Baclofen Dose		Std Diff ^b	Baclofen Dose		Std Diff ^b
	≥ 20 mg/d (n = 9,707)	< 20 mg/d (n = 6,235)		≥ 20 mg/d (n = 9,707)	< 20 mg/d (n = 9,680)	
Demographics						
Women	5,719 (58.9%)	3,980 (63.8%)	10%	5,719 (58.9%)	5,702 (58.9%)	2%
Men	3,988 (41.1%)	2,255 (36.2%)	10%	3,988 (41.1%)	3,978 (41.1%)	0%
Age, y	76.5 \pm 6.9	78.0 \pm 7.4	21%	76.5 \pm 6.9	76.5 \pm 8.8	0%
Residence						
Urban	8,870 (91.4%)	5,800 (93.0%)	6%	8,870 (91.4%)	8,845 (91.4%)	0%
Rural	837 (8.6%)	435 (7.0%)	6%	837 (8.6%)	835 (8.6%)	0%
Long-term care	210 (2.2%)	379 (6.1%)	20%	210 (2.2%)	217 (2.2%)	0%
Income quintile^c						
1 (lowest)	2,313 (23.8%)	1,508 (24.2%)	1%	2,313 (23.8%)	2,309 (23.9%)	0%
2	2,098 (21.6%)	1,345 (21.6%)	0%	2,098 (21.6%)	2,121 (21.9%)	1%
3 (middle)	2,010 (20.7%)	1,280 (20.5%)	0%	2,010 (20.7%)	1,988 (20.5%)	0%
4	1,893 (19.5%)	1,145 (18.4%)	3%	1,893 (19.5%)	1,871 (19.3%)	1%
5 (highest)	1,393 (14.4%)	957 (15.3%)	3%	1,393 (14.4%)	1,389 (14.4%)	0%

(Continued)

Table 1 (Cont'd). Baseline Characteristics of Older Adults With CKD Newly Prescribed Baclofen in Ontario, Canada (2007-2018)

	Observed Data (N = 15,942)			Weighted Data (N = 19,387) ^a		
	Baclofen Dose		Std Diff ^b	Baclofen Dose		Std Diff ^b
	≥20 mg/d (n = 9,707)	<20 mg/d (n = 6,235)		≥20 mg/d (n = 9,707)	<20 mg/d (n = 9,680)	
Kidney function						
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ^{2d}	47.5 ± 10.1	47.3 ± 10.2	2%	47.5 ± 10.1	47.5 ± 12.6	0%
eGFR category						
45-<60 mL/min/1.73 m ²	6,404 (66.0%)	4,085 (65.5%)	1%	6,404 (66.0%)	6,388 (66.0%)	0%
30-<45 mL/min/1.73 m ²	2,616 (26.9%)	1,682 (27.0%)	0%	2,616 (26.9%)	2,580 (26.7%)	0%
<30 mL/min/1.73 m ²	687 (7.1%)	468 (7.5%)	2%	687 (7.1%)	711 (7.4%)	1%
Baclofen prescriber						
General practitioner	8,433 (86.9%)	5,208 (83.5%)	1%	8,433 (86.9%)	8,399 (86.8%)	0%
Other	665 (6.9%)	533 (8.5%)	6%	665 (6.9%)	669 (6.9%)	0%
Missing	609 (6.3%)	494 (7.9%)	6%	609 (6.3%)	611 (6.3%)	0%
Comorbidities^f						
Anemia	2,667 (27.5%)	1,719 (27.6%)	0%	2,667 (27.5%)	2,548 (26.3%)	3%
Parkinson disease	197 (2.0%)	174 (2.8%)	5%	197 (2.0%)	198 (2.0%)	0%
Prior hypotension	190 (2.0%)	125 (2.0%)	0%	190 (2.0%)	190 (2.0%)	0%
Rheumatoid arthritis	636 (6.6%)	415 (6.7%)	0%	636 (6.6%)	640 (6.6%)	0%
Syncope	168 (1.7%)	112 (1.8%)	1%	168 (1.7%)	163 (1.7%)	0%
Ischemic stroke	191 (2.0%)	171 (2.7%)	5%	191 (2.0%)	195 (2.0%)	0%
Encephalopathy	352 (3.6%)	305 (4.9%)	6%	352 (3.6%)	346 (3.6%)	0%
Prior falls	371 (3.8%)	295 (4.7%)	4%	371 (3.8%)	366 (3.8%)	0%
Prior fractures	627 (6.5%)	458 (7.3%)	3%	627 (6.5%)	627 (6.5%)	0%
Hemorrhagic stroke	28 (0.3%)	24 (0.4%)	2%	28 (0.3%)	30 (0.3%)	0%
Acute urinary retention	210 (2.2%)	161 (2.6%)	3%	210 (2.2%)	199 (2.1%)	1%
Health care visits and tests^g						
Primary care visits	11.2 ± 9.6	11.8 ± 10.2	6%	11.2 ± 9.6	11.2 ± 11.4	0%
Emergency department visits	0.7 ± 1.4	0.7 ± 1.4	4%	0.7 ± 1.4	0.7 ± 1.9	1%
Serum creatinine tests	2.6 ± 2.2	2.7 ± 2.3	0%	2.6 ± 2.2	2.6 ± 2.9	0%
Medication use^f						
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	991 (10.2%)	750 (12.0%)	6%	991 (10.2%)	994 (10.3%)	0%
Benzodiazepines	1,897 (19.5%)	1,152 (18.5%)	3%	1,897 (19.5%)	1,885 (19.5%)	0%
Antipsychotics	376 (3.9%)	284 (4.6%)	3%	376 (3.9%)	378 (3.9%)	0%
Opioids	2,749 (28.3%)	1,594 (25.6%)	6%	2,749 (28.3%)	2,734 (28.2%)	0%
Anticonvulsants	435 (4.5%)	261 (4.2%)	1%	435 (4.5%)	451 (4.7%)	1%

Unless otherwise specified, baseline characteristics were assessed on the date the patient filled the baclofen prescription. Values given as count (percentage) or mean ± SD.

^aWeighted using inverse probability of exposure weighting based on propensity scores. The propensity score was estimated using multivariable logistic regression with 164 covariates chosen a priori.² Probabilities of treatment were determined separately for the primary and secondary analyses. Patients in the reference groups received a weight of [propensity score / (1 - propensity score)] and those in the exposed groups a weight of 1 (Table S1).^{4,6} This method produces a weighted pseudosample of patients in the reference group with the same distribution of measured covariates as the exposure group.^{4,5}

^bStandardized difference: difference between the groups divided by the pooled SD; a value greater than 10% is interpreted as a meaningful difference.

^cBased on average neighborhood income on the index date. Missing data on income quintile (0.3%) were recorded as the middle quintile.

^dThe most recent eGFR in the 7-365 days before the index date; eGFR calculated by CKD-EPI equation (Table S1); information on race was not available and all patients were assumed not to be of African-Canadian race (African-Canadians represented <5% of Ontario population in 2006).

^eBaseline comorbidities were assessed in the 5-year (comorbidities) or 1-year (total visits or tests) period before the prescription start date.

^fMedication use examined in the 120-day period before the prescription start date (the Ontario Drug Benefit program dispenses a maximum 100-day supply).

baclofen use.⁴⁻⁶ Weighting methods are described in notes to Table 1 and in Table S1.⁴⁻⁶ Weighted risk ratios (wRR) were obtained using modified Poisson regression,⁷ and weighted risk differences (wRD) using a binomial regression model with an identity link function. A pre-specified subgroup analysis by baseline eGFR (in 3 categories) was conducted for the most common outcome (hospitalization with a fall).

The primary analysis included 15,942 patients. Weighting resulted in 2 well-balanced groups (Table S3); selected characteristics are shown in Table 1. The majority of prescribing physicians were primary care physicians (86%); <1% were nephrologists (Table 1). Less than 5% of patients received the recommended starting dose of 7.5 or 5.5 mg/d (Tables S4 and S5). Starting baclofen at ≥20 versus <20 mg/d was associated with a higher risk of

Table 2. Risk of a Fall, Fracture, and Hypotension in Older Adults With CKD Newly Prescribed Baclofen at ≥ 20 Versus < 20 mg/d

Outcome: Hospital Encounter With	Observed No. of Patients, by Baclofen Dose		Weighted ^a No. of Patients, by Baclofen Dose		Risk Difference (95% CI)	Number Needed to Harm (95% CI)	Risk Ratio (95% CI)
	≥ 20 mg/d (n = 9,707)	< 20 mg/d (n = 6,235)	≥ 20 mg/d (n = 9,707)	< 20 mg/d (n = 9,680)			
Fall ^b	127 (1.31%)	65 (1.04%)	127 (1.31%)	92 (0.94%)	0.36% (0.03% to 0.70%)	278 (143-3333) ^c	1.38 (1.01-1.90)
Fracture ^d	36 (0.37%)	20 (0.32%)	36 (0.37%)	29 (0.30%)	0.07% (-0.12% to 0.26%)	Not available	1.23 (0.70-2.19)
Hypotension ^e	32 (0.33%)	6 (0.10%)	32 (0.33%)	10 (0.11%)	0.22% (0.08% to 0.37%)	456 (270-1250) ^f	3.06 (1.26-7.47)

Reference group: Baclofen dose < 20 mg/d.

^aInverse probability of treatment weighting on the propensity score was used to balance comparison groups on indicators of baseline health⁴⁻⁶; further details in [Table 1](#) and [Table S1](#). Weighted risk ratios were obtained using modified Poisson regression⁷; weighted risk differences, by using a binomial regression model with an identity link function.

^bPatient seen in the emergency department or admitted to the hospital with a fall diagnosis (ICD-10 code W00-W19).

^cFor every 278 patients prescribed baclofen at ≥ 20 vs < 20 mg/d in the outpatient setting, 1 patient was hospitalized or visited an emergency department with a fall within 30 days of starting baclofen.

^dIncludes major fractures of hip (ICD-10 code S720, S721; S722. Canadian Classification of Health Interventions [CCI] code 1VA73, 1VC73, 1VA74, 1VA53, 1VC74, 1VA80), pelvis (ICD-10 code S321, S322, S324, S323, S325, S327, S328), humerus (ICD-10 code S422), and radius (ICD-10 code S52; CCI code 1TV73, 1TV74, 1TV03; Ontario Health Insurance Program [OHIP] code F014, F022, F023, F025, F026, F028, F030, F032, F033, F046, F024, F027, F031, Z203).

^ePatient seen in the emergency department or admitted to the hospital with a hypotension diagnosis (ICD-10 code I95).

^fFor every 456 patients prescribed baclofen at ≥ 20 vs < 20 mg/d in the outpatient setting, 1 patient was hospitalized or visited an emergency department with hypotension within 30 days of starting baclofen.

hospitalization with a fall (wRR, 1.38 [95% CI, 1.01-1.90]; wRD, 0.36% [95% CI, 0.03%-0.70%]) and hypotension (wRR, 3.06 [95% CI, 1.26-7.47]; wRD, 0.22% [95% CI, 0.08%-0.37%]), but not fracture (wRR, 1.23 [95% CI, 0.70-2.19]; wRD, 0.07% [95% CI, -0.12% to 0.26%]) ([Table 2](#)). Results were consistent in 2 sensitivity analyses ([Tables S6](#) and [S7](#)). The association with falls was not significantly modified by baseline eGFR ([Fig S1](#)), acknowledging there was limited statistical power to detect it if it exists.

The secondary analysis included 15,942 baclofen users and 284,263 nonusers; characteristics of high-dose baclofen users versus nonusers and low-dose users versus nonusers are in [Tables S8](#) and [S9](#), respectively. Compared with nonusers, high-dose users had a higher risk of hospitalization with a fall, fracture, and hypotension; low-dose users had a higher risk of hospitalization with a fall, but not fracture or hypotension ([Table S10](#)).

In summary, older adults with CKD starting baclofen at ≥ 20 versus < 20 mg/d were at higher risk for hospitalization with a fall and hypotension; for every 278 patients prescribed baclofen at ≥ 20 versus < 20 mg/d, 1 was hospitalized with a fall. Although comparison groups were well balanced, residual confounding is still possible, and we did not account for dose changes in other medications such as opioids or benzodiazepines, which could influence study outcomes. Nonetheless, taken together the results of this study and others suggest that baclofen should be used with caution in older adults with CKD, and only at low doses.^{2,3} To better protect patients with CKD, the product

monograph should contain clear dose recommendations based on kidney function,¹ and computerized medication-order entry warnings with dosing prompts are also recommended.^{8,9}

Flory T. Muanda, MD, PhD, Peter G. Blake, MB, FRCPC, Matthew A. Weir, MD, MSc, Lavanya Bathini, MD, Kianna Chauvin, BSc, Stephanie N. Dixon, PhD, Eric McArthur, MSc, Jessica M. Sontrop, PhD, Louise Moist, MD, MSc, Richard B. Kim, MD, FRCPC, Amit X. Garg, MD, PhD

Supplementary Material

Supplementary File (PDF)

Figure S1; Tables S1-S10.

Article Information

Authors' Affiliations: ICES Western (FTM, MAW, LB, SND, EM, AXG), and the Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics (FTM, MAW, SND, JMS, LM, AXG), Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine (PGB, MAW, LB, KC, JMS, LM, AXG), and Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine (RBK), Western University, London ON, Canada.

Address for Correspondence: Flory T. Muanda, MD, PhD, ICES Western, Victoria Hospital, 800 Commissioners Rd, Room ELL-215, London, ON, Canada N6A 5W9. Email: Flory.Muanda-Tsobo@lhsc.on.ca

Authors' Contributions: Developed the initial concept and plan: FTM, PGB, AXG; performed initial literature review: FTM; provided input and approved the study and analysis plan: FTM, SND, EM, AXG; completed all statistical analyses: FTM; interpreted the results: all authors. Each author contributed important intellectual content during manuscript drafting or revision and agrees to be personally accountable for the individual's own contributions and to ensure that questions pertaining to the accuracy or integrity of

any portion of the work, even one in which the author was not directly involved, are appropriately investigated and resolved, including with documentation in the literature if appropriate.

Support: This study was supported by the ICES Western Site. ICES is funded by an annual grant from the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care (MOHLTC). Core funding for ICES Western is provided by the Academic Medical Organization of Southwestern Ontario (AMOSO), the Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry (SSMD), Western University, and the Lawson Health Research Institute (LHRI). The research was conducted by members of the ICES Kidney, Dialysis and Transplantation team, at the ICES Western facility, who are supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). Dr Muanda was supported by a CIHR and Mitacs postdoctoral award. Dr Garg was supported by the Dr Adam Linton Chair in Kidney Health Analytics and a CIHR Clinician Investigator Award. The study sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Financial Disclosure: Dr Moist reports receipt of personal fees (honoraria) from Otsuka and Janssen outside the submitted work. The other authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr Shayan Kassirian for his contribution to the literature review.

Disclaimer: Parts of this material are based on data and information compiled and provided by the Canadian Institutes of Health Information (CIHI). However, the analyses, conclusions, opinions, and statements expressed herein are those of the author, are not necessarily those of CIHI, and are independent from the funding sources. No endorsement by ICES, AMOSO, SSMD, LHRI, CIHR, or the MOHLTC is intended or should be inferred.

Peer Review: Received September 30, 2020. Evaluated by 2 external peer reviewers, with direct editorial input from a Statistics/Methods Editor, an Associate Editor, and the Editor-in-Chief. Accepted in revised form December 31, 2020.

Publication Information: © 2021 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. Published online February 10, 2021 with doi [10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.12.017)

References

1. Vlavonou R, Perreault MM, Barrière O, et al. Pharmacokinetic characterization of baclofen in patients with chronic kidney disease: dose adjustment recommendations. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2014;54(5):584-592.
2. Muanda FT, Weir MA, Bathini L, et al. Association of baclofen with encephalopathy in patients with chronic kidney disease. *JAMA*. 2019;9(2754806):17725.
3. Chauvin KJ, Blake PG, Garg AX, et al. Baclofen has a risk of encephalopathy in older adults receiving dialysis. *Kidney Int*. 2020;98(4):979-988.
4. Sato T, Matsuyama Y. Marginal structural models as a tool for standardization. *Epidemiology*. 2003;14(6):680-686.
5. Brookhart MA, Wyss R, Layton JB, Sturmer T. Propensity score methods for confounding control in nonexperimental research. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2013;6(5):604-611.
6. Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. *Multivariate Behav Res*. 2011;46(3):399-424.
7. Zou G. A modified poisson regression approach to prospective studies with binary data. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004;159(7):702-706.
8. Erler A, Beyer M, Petersen JJ, et al. How to improve drug dosing for patients with renal impairment in primary care - a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2012;13(91):1471-2296.
9. Tawadrous D, Shariff SZ, Haynes RB, Iansavichus AV, Jain AK, Garg AX. Use of clinical decision support systems for kidney-related drug prescribing: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2011;58(6):903-914.