

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

1-1-2022

Uric acid predicts recovery of left ventricular function and adverse events in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: Potential mechanistic insight from network analyses

Xiqiang Wang

Xiude Fan

Qihui Wu

Jing Liu

Linyan Wei

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4

Authors

Xiqiang Wang, Xiude Fan, Qihui Wu, Jing Liu, Linyan Wei, Dandan Yang, Xiang Bu, Xiaoxiang Liu, Aiqun Ma, Tomohiro Hayashi, Gongchang Guan, Yu Xiang, Shuang Shi, Junkui Wang, and Jiansong Fang



Uric Acid Predicts Recovery of Left Ventricular Function and Adverse Events in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: Potential Mechanistic Insight From Network Analyses

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Velmurugan Ganesan,
KMCH Research Foundation, India

Reviewed by:

Varadaraj Vasudevan,
Madurai Kamaraj University, India
Liu Ouyang,
Georgia State University,
United States

*Correspondence:

Shuang Shi
xianss1168@163.com
Junkui Wang
cardiowang@163.com
Jiansong Fang
fangjs@gzucm.edu.cn

†These authors have contributed
equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Cardiovascular Metabolism,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine

Received: 13 January 2022

Accepted: 07 March 2022

Published: 15 July 2022

Citation:

Wang X, Fan X, Wu Q, Liu J, Wei L,
Yang D, Bu X, Liu X, Ma A, Hayashi T,
Guan G, Xiang Y, Shi S, Wang J and
Fang J (2022) Uric Acid Predicts
Recovery of Left Ventricular Function
and Adverse Events in Heart Failure
With Reduced Ejection Fraction:
Potential Mechanistic Insight From
Network Analyses.
Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 9:853870.
doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.853870

Xiqiang Wang^{1†}, Xiude Fan^{2,3,4†}, Qihui Wu^{5,6†}, Jing Liu⁷, Linyan Wei⁸, Dandan Yang⁹,
Xiang Bu⁷, Xiaoxiang Liu¹, Aiqun Ma⁷, Tomohiro Hayashi¹⁰, Gongchang Guan¹, Yu Xiang¹,
Shuang Shi^{1*}, Junkui Wang^{1*†} and Jiansong Fang^{5*†}

¹ Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shaanxi Provincial People's Hospital, Xi'an, China, ² Department of Endocrinology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China, ³ Shandong Clinical Research Center of Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, Jinan, China, ⁴ Shandong Key Laboratory of Endocrinology and Lipid Metabolism, Jinan, China, ⁵ Science and Technology Innovation Center, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China, ⁶ Clinical Research Center, Hainan Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Haikou, China, ⁷ Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, ⁸ Department of General Practice, The Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China, ⁹ Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ¹⁰ Center for Cardiovascular Research, Cardiovascular Division, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, United States

Background and Aims: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) still carries a high risk for a sustained decrease in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) even with the optimal medical therapy. Currently, there is no effective tool to stratify these patients according to their recovery potential. We tested the hypothesis that uric acid (UA) could predict recovery of LVEF and prognosis of HFrEF patients and attempted to explore mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF.

Methods: HFrEF patients with hyperuricemia were selected from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) 2016–2018 database and our Xianyang prospective cohort study. Demographics, cardiac risk factors, and cardiovascular events were identified. Network-based analysis was utilized to examine the relationship between recovery of LVEF and hyperuricemia, and we further elucidated the underlying mechanisms for the impact of hyperuricemia on HFrEF.

Results: After adjusting confounding factors by propensity score matching, hyperuricemia was a determinant of HFrEF [OR 1.247 (1.172–1.328); $P < 0.001$] of NIS dataset. In Xianyang prospective cohort study, hyperuricemia is a significant and independent risk factor for all-cause death (adjusted HR 2.387, 95% CI 1.141–4.993; $P = 0.021$), heart failure readmission (adjusted HR 1.848, 95% CI 1.048–3.259; $P = 0.034$), and composite events (adjusted HR 1.706, 95% CI 1.001–2.906; $P = 0.049$) in HFrEF patients. UA value at baseline was negatively correlated to LVEF of follow-ups

($r = -0.19$; $P = 0.046$). Cutoff UA value of 312.5 $\mu\text{mmol/L}$ at baseline can work as a predictor of LVEF recovery during follow-up, with the sensitivity of 66.7%, the specificity of 35.1%, and the accuracy of 0.668 (95% CI, 0.561–0.775; $P = 0.006$). Moreover, gene overlap analysis and network proximity analysis demonstrated a strong correlation between HFrEF and Hyperuricemia.

Conclusion: Lower baseline UA value predicted the LVEF recovery and less long-term adverse events in HFrEF patients. Our results provide new insights into underlying mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF.

Keywords: uric acid, network analyses, heart failure with a reduced ejection fraction, recovery of left ventricular ejection fraction, heart failure with recovery ejection fraction

INTRODUCTION

Medical regimen can improve the clinical outcomes of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and lead to a reverse left ventricular (LV) remodeling in HFrEF patients (1, 2). However, the degree of reverse remodeling is extremely variable, some patients might not have any reverse remodeling with persistently reduced LV ejection fraction (LVEF) and heart failure phenotype, whereas other patients can have completely myocardial recovery with increased LVEF, decreased LV volume and mass (1–4). At present, clinical variables and validated clinical indices cannot accurately predict the direction or extent of LVEF recovery after treated with optimal pharmacologic therapy. Thus, the identification of potential responders to therapy vs. non-responders remains a major unmet need.

Uric acid (UA) is a metabolic decomposition product of purine nucleotides, the relationship between serum UA and cardiovascular diseases, especially for heart failure (HF), has received extensive attention during past few decades (5). Various studies have demonstrated that hyperuricemia was related with higher morbidity and mortality in chronic HF (6–8) and acute HF (9, 10). It was previously proposed that the higher UA in chronic HF is resulted from the up-regulation of the xanthine oxidase, which is an important enzyme for UA metabolism and oxygen free radicals production. Increased production of the oxygen free radicals can lead to impaired vascular function (11), oxidative metabolism dysfunction (12), and inflammatory cytokine activation (13). However, there is still a lack of UA cut-off value to predict LVEF recovery and long-term prognosis of HFrEF patients in clinical practice, and the mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF remains unclear.

Here, we hypothesize that UA is potentially associate with the recovery of LVEF, serves as an important predictor for the LVEF recovery and prognosis in HFrEF patients. To this end, we investigated the association of hyperuricemia and HFrEF using data from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database and our Xianyang prospective cohort study. Additionally, we performed gene overlap and protein-protein interaction network analyses to explore the underlying mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A detailed methods section, including **Supplementary Tables S1, S2**.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Study Participants Selected From NIS Database

Hyperuricemia was present in 0.74% ($n = 13,468$) of in-hospital admissions and it was more common in patients with HFrEF than the patients without HFrEF (0.2 vs. 0.07%; $P < 0.001$). HFrEF patients were older (69.49 vs. 57.29; $P < 0.001$) and less likely to be females (38.6 vs. 58.8%; $P < 0.0001$) compared with patients without HFrEF. Moreover, these HFrEF patients suffered more from most traditional cardiac risk factors, including obesity (15.3 vs. 17.3%; $P < 0.0001$), hyperlipidemia (51.8 vs. 31.3%; $P < 0.0001$), tobacco use (28.8 vs. 19.9%; $P < 0.0001$), peripheral vascular disease (2.9 vs. 1.5%; $P < 0.0001$), carotid artery disease (1.9 vs. 1.3%; $P < 0.0001$), prior myocardial infarction (19.5 vs. 4.8%; $P < 0.0001$), prior coronary aorta bypass graft (CABG) (26.9 vs. 7.9%; $P < 0.0001$). An increased in-hospital mortality (5.1 vs. 2.1%; $P < 0.0001$), length of hospital stay (6.37 vs. 4.66%; $P < 0.0001$) and total medical expenses (79,313.65 vs. 52,283.83; $P < 0.0001$) were observed in HFrEF patients compared to the patients without HFrEF (**Supplementary Table S4**).

Hyperuricemia Is Associated With the Risk of HFrEF and In-hospital Complications in NIS Database

Regression analysis demonstrated that hyperuricemia was a determinant of HFrEF [OR 2.138 (2.019–2.55); $P < 0.001$] (**Supplementary Figure S2**), and hyperuricemia remained strongly associated with HFrEF after adjusting for other confounding risk factors, including age, gender, race, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, obesity, tobacco use, coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, peripheral vascular diseases, carotid artery stenosis, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, stroke, prior percutaneous coronary intension (PCI) and prior CABG [OR 1.247 (1.172–1.328); $P < 0.001$] (**Figure 1**).

To determine whether hyperuricemia in HFrEF patients leads to higher risk of in-hospital mortality, acute organ failure




FIGURE 1 | Multivariable logistic analysis results of relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF in NIS database 2016–2018. PCI, Percutaneous coronary intervention.

and mechanical circulatory support, propensity score matching (PSM) was applied to reduce the bias due to confounding variables (Table 1). The results demonstrated that HFrEF patients with hyperuricemia presented with longer length of hospital stay (7.60 vs. 6.45; $P < 0.0001$), more acute respiratory failure (17.39 vs. 13.42%; $P = 0.006$), acute renal failure (67.59 vs. 36.70%; $P < 0.0001$), sudden cardiac arrest (1.75 vs. 0.79%; $P = 0.033$), ventilator use (7.94 vs. 3.57%; $P < 0.0001$), intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) implantation (1.43 vs. 0.32%; $P = 0.003$), and PCI (3.65 vs. 2.14%; $P = 0.024$). However, there was no significant difference in hospital mortality between HFrEF patients with and without hyperuricemia (4.37 vs. 5.65%; $P = 0.169$) (Table 1).

Hyperuricemia Associated With the Long-Term Outcomes of HFrEF Patients in the Xianyang Chronic Heart Failure Prospective Cohort

As shown in Supplementary Figure S5, a total of 102 patients met our inclusion criteria, with 86 patients (84.3%) in $UA \leq 420$ $\mu\text{mol/L}$ group and 16 patients (15.7%) in $UA > 420$ $\mu\text{mol/L}$ group. The average age of participants was 61.1 and 61.7% of them were male (Supplementary Table S5). Characteristics of the patients in this study were shown in Supplementary Table S5.

There were 102 patients followed up with a mean duration of 33.44 months. During that time, 26 patients (25.5%) died, 59 patients (57.84%) had remission. The $UA \geq 420$ $\mu\text{mol/L}$

group saw increased all-cause death rate (43.8 vs. 22.1%; $P = 0.068$), HF readmission (81.3 vs. 56.1%; $P = 0.06$) and composite outcomes events (87.5 vs. 65.1%; $P = 0.076$) (Supplementary Table S5).

Multivariate Cox regression analysis showed that HFrEF patients with hyperuricemia ($UA \geq 420$ $\mu\text{mol/L}$) had higher risks for all-cause death (adjusted HR 2.387, 95% CI 1.141–4.993; $P = 0.021$), HF readmission (adjusted HR 1.848, 95% CI 1.048–3.259; $P = 0.034$), and composite events (adjusted HR 1.706, 95% CI 1.001–2.906; $P = 0.049$) compared with HFrEF patients with normal serum UA (Figure 2).

Kaplan-Meier survival curves displayed different event free survival for all-cause death (HR = 2.39, log-rank $P = 0.049$, Figure 3A), readmission (HF = 2.19, log-rank $P = 0.013$, Figure 3B), and composite events (HR = 1.89, log-rank $P = 0.033$, Figure 3C) between HFrEF patients with and without hyperuricemia.

Hyperuricemia Is Associated With the Recovery of LVEF in HFrEF Patients in the Xianyang Chronic Heart Failure Prospective Cohort

In order to assess if hyperuricemia is related with the recovery of LVEF in HFrEF patients, we divided the patients into persistent HFrEF group (45, 44.1%) and heart failure with recovery ejection fraction (HFrecEF) group (57, 49%) according to their baseline LVEF and 1 year follow-up LVEF (Supplementary Figure S1). The baseline characteristics



TABLE 1 | Demographics and outcomes of patients with Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction, National Inpatient Sample (NIS) 2016–2018.

Characteristic	Unmatched groups			Propensity-matched groups		
	HFrEF without hyperuricemia (n = 865,772)	HFrEF with hyperuricemia (n = 1,302)	P-value	HFrEF without hyperuricemia (n = 1,259)	HFrEF with hyperuricemia (n = 1,259)	P-value
Age, yrs (mean ± SD)	69.49 ± 14.16	69.12 ± 14.16	0.342	68.10 ± 14.18	69.07 ± 14.16	0.085
Female, %	318,904 (36.8)	433 (33.3)	0.007	412 (32.72)	423 (33.60)	0.641
Race			<0.001			0.464
White, %	562,256 (66.9)	777 (61.2)		777 (61.72)	771 (61.24)	
African American, %	164,692 (19.6)	280 (22.0)		278 (22.08)	276 (21.92)	
Hispanic, %	69,547 (8.3)	116 (9.1)		106 (8.42)	116 (9.21)	
Asian/Pacific Islander, %	17,527 (2.1)	48 (3.8)		35 (2.78)	48 (3.81)	
Native American, %	4,751 (0.6)	6 (0.5)		9 (0.71)	6 (0.48)	
Other races, %	21,905 (2.6)	43 (3.4)		54 (4.29)	42 (3.34)	
Comorbidity						
Coagulopathy, %	25,481 (2.9)	52 (4.0)	0.025	53 (4.21)	50 (3.97)	0.763
Obesity, %	149,757 (17.3)	338 (26)	<0.001	312 (24.78)	325 (25.81)	0.551
Hypertension, %	117,078 (13.5)	84 (6.5)	<0.001	82 (6.51)	83 (6.59)	0.936
Hypothyroidism, %	128,087 (14.8)	220 (16.9)	0.033	208 (16.52)	214 (17.00)	0.749
Coronary artery disease, %	530,813 (61.3)	775 (59.5)	0.186	721 (57.27)	749 (59.49)	0.258
Atrial fibrillation, %	374,078 (43.2)	546 (41.9)	0.355	495 (39.32)	523 (41.54)	0.256
Diabetes mellitus, %	390,624 (45.1)	624 (47.9)	0.042	612 (48.61)	606 (48.13)	0.811
Cerebral infarction, %	24,196 (2.8)	21 (1.6)	0.01			
Peripheral vascular disease, %	24,679 (2.9)	38 (2.9)	0.883	27 (2.14)	37 (2.94)	0.205
Hypercholesterolemia, %	448,279 (51.8)	716 (55.0)	0.02	692 (54.96)	685 (54.41)	0.779
Alcohol use, %	40,648 (4.7)	50 (3.8)	0.145	50 (3.97)	48 (3.81)	0.837
Tobacco abuse, %	249,362 (28.8)	387 (29.7)	0.463	358 (28.44)	371 (29.47)	0.568
In-hospital mortality, %	44,085 (5.1)	56 (4.3)	0.195	70 (5.56)	55 (4.37)	0.169
Length of hospital stay, days	6.37 ± 7.72	7.61 ± 6.79	<0.001	6.45 ± 7.27	7.60 ± 6.74	<0.001
Total charges, US\$	79,306.03 ± 141,173.79	84,376.30 ± 118,821.790	0.197	87,196.87 ± 192,315.06	85,116.90 ± 119,822.18	0.745
Acute organ failure						
Acute respiratory failure, %	138,930 (16.0)	174 (13.4)	0.008	169 (13.42)	219 (17.39)	0.006
Acute renal failure, %	287,968 (33.3)	883 (67.8)	<0.001	462 (36.70)	851 (67.59)	<0.001
Acute hepatic failure, %	13,956 (1.6)	30 (2.3)	0.048	27 (2.14)	29 (2.30)	0.787
Acute pulmonary edema, %	4,420 (0.5)	8 (0.6)	0.599	7 (0.56)	8 (0.64)	0.796
Hemodialysis, %	58,169 (6.7)	121 (9.3)	<0.001			
Ventricular fibrillation, %	11,795 (1.4)	12 (0.9)	0.17	22 (1.75)	12 (0.95)	0.084
Cardiac shock, %	36,546 (4.2)	55 (4.2)	0.996	61 (4.85)	54 (4.29)	0.504
Sudden cardiac arrest, %	18,184 (2.1)	11 (0.8)	0.002	9.9 (0.79)	22 (1.75)	0.033
Cardio-pulmonary resuscitation, %	10,694 (1.2)	8 (0.6)	0.043	11 (0.87)	8 (0.64)	0.49
Ventilator use, %	64,044 (7.4)	46 (3.5)	<0.001	45 (3.57)	100 (7.94)	<0.001
Mechanical circulatory support						
LVAD, %	1,693 (0.2)	4 (0.4)	0.362	4 (0.32)	4 (0.32)	1
IABP, %	8,872 (1.0)	5 (0.4)	0.022	4 (0.32)	18 (1.43)	0.003
ECMO, %	1,344 (0.2)	0 (0)	0.155	5 (0.40)	0 (0.00)	0.062
PCI, %	39,174 (4.5)	27 (2.1)	<0.001	27 (2.14)	46 (3.65)	0.024

CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; LVAD, left ventricular assist device; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; MI, myocardial infarction; ECHO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

of the two groups were shown in **Table 2**. The persistent HFrEF group had higher UA (358.76 vs. 307.45; $P = 0.004$) than HFrecEF group. While no significant difference was

identified at baseline value of common echocardiographic parameters (**Supplementary Figure S3**) between two groups, HFrecEF group showed significantly increased LVEF and LVFS



and significantly decreased LV end-diastolic diameter (LVEDD), LV end-systolic diameter (LVESD), LV end-diastolic volume (LVEDV), LV end-systolic volume (LVESV) after 1 year evidence-dependent medical treatment. Similar with previous studies, our study demonstrated that the HFrecEF patients had

higher mortality compared with HFrecEF patients (HR = 2.31, log-rank $P = 0.037$, **Figure 4A**).

Our results displayed that initial UA value had no statistically significant correlation with LVEF at baseline ($r = -0.11$, $P = 0.261$; **Figure 4B**), but a significantly negative correlation with

TABLE 2 | Baseline characteristics of the persistent HFrEF and HFrecEF.

Characteristics	Total (n = 102)	Persistent HFrEF (n = 45)	HFrecEF (n = 57)	P-value
Demographics				
Age, years	61.13 ± 9.14	59.69 ± 8.493	62.26 ± 9.540	0.159
Male, %	63 (61.7)	34 (75.6)	29 (50.9)	0.011
BMI, kg/m ²	23.32 ± 3.37	24.09 ± 3.396	22.74 ± 3.267	0.685
Etiology, %				
Dilated cardiomyopathy	60 (58.8)	23 (51.1)	37 (64.9)	0.160
Other	42 (41.2)	22 (48.9)	20 (35.1)	
Medical history, %				
Hypertension	33 (32.35)	15 (33.3)	19 (33.3)	0.872
Diabetes mellitus	11 (10.8)	6 (13.3)	5 (8.7)	0.461
CAD	36 (35.3)	19 (42.2)	17 (29.8)	0.193
Smoking	54 (52.9)	29 (64.4)	25 (43.9)	0.039
Laboratory data				
Scr, umol/L	78.56 ± 15.51	80.73 ± 13.87	76.85 ± 16.61	0.210
BUN mmol/L	6.97 ± 2.11	6.51 ± 1.88	7.28 ± 2.22	0.084
UA, μmol/L	330.08 ± 89.62	358.76 ± 91.95	307.45 ± 81.60	0.004
eGFR, ml/min/1.73 m ²	71.70 ± 20.98	75.70 ± 20.35	68.54 ± 21.10	0.087
NT-proBNP, ng/L	2,563.47 ± 3,036.51	2,729.10 ± 3,253.85	2,432.69 ± 2,877.4	0.629
QRS, ms	126.26 ± 25.74	126.63 ± 25.21	126.04 ± 26.29	0.920
Echocardiographic data				
LV EDD, mm	71.44 ± 7.92	72.71 ± 6.95	70.44 ± 8.53	0.151
LV ESD, mm	59.75 ± 7.85	60.58 ± 6.69	59.09 ± 8.66	0.344
LVEF, %	31.56 ± 7.85	32.97 ± 4.49	30.44 ± 6.21	0.023
Follow-up time, months	33.44 ± 8.67	31.04 ± 8.33	35.33 ± 8.54	0.012
Medication use, %				
ACE inhibitor	89 (87.3)	41 (91.1)	48 (84.2)	0.299
ARB	6 (5.8)	1 (2.2)	5 (8.8)	0.163
β-blocker	91 (89.2)	39 (86.7)	52 (91.2)	0.461
Aldosterone receptor antagonist	80 (78.4)	37 (82.2)	43 (75.4)	0.408
NYHA, %				
I	17 (16.7)	9 (20.0)	8 (14.3)	
II	58 (56.9)	24 (53.3)	34 (60.7)	
III	23 (22.5)	10 (22.2)	13 (23.2)	
IV	3 (2.9)	2 (4.4)	1 (1.8)	
All-cause mortality	26 (25.5)	15 (33.3)	11 (19.3)	0.106
Rehospitalization	59 (60.2)	21 (50.0)	38 (67.9)	0.074
Composite outcomes events	70 (68.6)	27 (60.0)	43 (75.4)	0.095

BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; Scr, serum creatinine; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; UA, Uric Acid; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NT-pro BNP, N-terminal B-type natriuretic peptide; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.

Values are percent or means ± SD.

LVEF at follow-up ($r = -0.19$; $P = 0.046$, **Figure 4C**). And the receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC) showed that baseline UA cutoff of 312.5 μmol/L had a sensitivity of 66.7%, specificity of 35.1%, and accuracy of 0.668 (95% CI, 0.561–0.775; $P = 0.006$) for predicting the LVEF recovery during follow-up (**Figure 4D**).

Multi-logistic regression analysis (**Figure 4E**) revealed that the likelihood of LVEF recovery at follow-up decreased by 0.6% for each unit increase of baseline UA (OR = 0.994; 95% CI, 0.988–0.999; $P = 0.03$; **Figure 4E**).

Network-Based Validation of Significant Disease Relationship Between Hyperuricemia and HFrEF

We performed gene overlap analysis to identify the potential mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF. Gene sets from each HFrEF patients exhibited high correlation with gene sets from hyperuricemia ($P < 0.05$, **Figure 5A**). The largest number of overlapped genes were identified between hyperuricemia and HFrecEF_S30 ($n = 19$, $P = 3.17E-20$, Fisher's exact test), followed by hyperuricemia vs.





FIGURE 4 | The relationship between UA and left ventricle ejection fraction recovery in HFREF patient. **(A)** Kaplan-Meier survival plots for the all-cause death in persistently HFREF and HFrecEF patients. **(B)** The relationship between UA and LVEF at baseline LVEF. **(C)** The relationship between UA and LVEF at follow-up LVEF. **(D)** ROC curve for baseline UA and follow-up LVEF. **(E)** Multivariable logistic analysis results of relationship between UA and recovery of LVEF. BMI, body mass index; LVEF, left ventricle ejection fraction; UA, uric acid; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HFREF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; HFrecEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

HFREF_S40 ($n = 14$, $P = 6.76E-17$, Fisher's exact test) and Hyperuricemia vs. HFREF_S50 ($n = 13$, $P = 1.40E-19$, Fisher's exact test). The most common overlapped genes are:

GAA, UMOD, APOE, LEP, MTHFR, APOA1, XDH, CRP, IL10, INS, ALDH2, KCNQ1, ALB, PPARG, REN, GPT, ESR1, NLRP3, TNF. Moreover, the p -value of hyperuricemia vs.



HFrEF_S50 is 1.95E-18, with the overlapped gene number of 11.

We also found a strong correlation between hyperuricemia and HFrEF using network proximity approach, which proved the robustness of our result. As illustrated in **Figure 5B**, all the four hyperuricemia-HFrEF pairs show statistical significance (adjust $P < 0.05$). Taken together, these data verified the significant correlations between hyperuricemia and HFrEF diseases, which deserves further investigation.

Network-Based Elucidation of Underlying Mechanistic Relationship Between Hyperuricemia and HFrEF

To explore the potential mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF, we developed a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of 14 overlapped disease genes (HFrEF_S40) based on a comprehensive human protein interactome database (14). The PPI network contains 117 edges interacting with 65 HFrEF genes and 6 hyperuricemia genes (**Figure 6A**). Among them, ESR1 has the most frequent gene connections ($D = 36$), followed by ALB ($D = 24$) and APOA1 ($D = 11$), indicating their key roles in both hyperuricemia and HFrEF. We further performed KEGG pathway (**Figure 6B**) and gene oncology enrichment (**Figure 6C**) analysis based on the genes within the PPI network. We identified many upregulated biological processes that were associated with blood microparticle and hormone receptor binding, including reactive oxygen species metabolic process (**Figure 6C**).

DISCUSSION

UA, a product of the metabolic breakdown of purine nucleotides, serves as a predictor of morbidity and mortality in chronic HF (6–8), as well as acute HF (9, 10). However, the predictive value of UA for LVEF recovery and prognosis in HFrEF patients have not been evaluated by evidence-based medical regimen. In present study, we demonstrated that HFrEF patients with lower baseline UA were more likely to undergo subsequent recovery of LVEF. We also showed that the higher serum UA was related to higher risk for the all-cause mortality, readmission and combined all-cause death or readmission in HF patients. These results were independent of other established risk factors such as renal function, LVEF, diuretic use, age, and NYHA functional class.

Alberto et al. previously demonstrated that in patients with acute HFrEF, univariate analysis found that hyperuricemia were associated with heart failure hospitalization or death, however, after adjustment, hyperuricemia were not associated with heart failure hospitalization or death (15). Conversely, in patients with HFpEF, hyperuricemia was the only significant predictor of the primary end point both in univariate and multivariate analyses (15). Additionally, UA was inversely correlated with the left ventricular systolic function (16). In the present study, we demonstrated in chronic stable HFrEF patients the hyperuricemia was associated with heart readmission and death. We speculate that this difference may be due to the different study populations.

However, these associations were not evaluated in HFrecEF. Reverse cardiac remodeling and LVEF recovery after the evidence-based medical therapies has become a major goal of




FIGURE 6 | Underlying mechanisms exploration between Hyperuricemia and HFrEF. **(A)** A protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of overlapped genes. **(B)** KEGG pathways annotation results. **(C)** Biological process, cellular component and molecular function enrichment results. The label font size and node size are proportional to degree.

contemporary medical and device treatment (17). While optimal pharmacologic therapies can reverse the cardiac remodeling and improve clinical outcomes, the degree of reverse remodeling is extremely variable. Some patients might not have any reverse remodeling and suffer persistent LVEF reduction and heart failure phenotype after standard treatment (4, 18). HFrEF has a spectrum range of different cellular, molecular and anatomic changes, which means HFrEF patients can exhibit different process of reverse LV remodeling. Part of them have completely myocardial recovery with increased LVEF, decreased LV volume and mass, whereas others might not have any reverse remodeling with persistently reduced EF (19). Our results are consistent with this point of view. Furthermore, we demonstrate that HFrEF patients have a wide spectrum of UA values, which may cause by

tremendous interpatient heterogeneity of uric acid metabolism. The measurement of UA can help us to identify HFrEF patients with a potential possibility of LVEF recovery.

The mechanism by which UA affects the LVEF recovery and long-term prognosis of HFrEF patients remains unclear. Our gene network-based results revealed the strong correlation between HFrEF and hyperuricemia, and further mechanism exploration analysis indicated that disease-related genes were involved with multiple inflammation pathways (e.g., autophagy and TNF signaling pathway) and biological processes (e.g., reactive oxygen species metabolic process and hormone receptor). Accumulating evidence demonstrated that the management of urate-induced inflammasome or augmentation of autophagy may provide the novel effective therapies for

hyperuricemia (20). Moreover, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors could induce transcriptional reprogramming of cardiomyocytes and activate the housekeeping pathway of autophagy, thereby acting as neurohormonal antagonists in the treatment of HFrEF (21).

Our function enrichment analyses showed that reactive oxygen species metabolic process and hormone receptor play a vital role in HFrEF. The derivative of reactive oxygen metabolites (DROM) production in the coronary circulation had an association with HFrEF development, and the DROM measurements benefited for the risk stratification of HFrEF patients (22). Emerging *in vivo* studies implicated that continuous infusion of corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 2 (Crhr2) agonist could reduce LVEF in mice, suggesting that Crhr2 blockade is a potential therapeutic strategy for patients with chronic HF (23). Additionally, blockade of mineralocorticoid receptor (hormone receptor) was able to suppress elevated uric acid and glycogen synthase kinase-3 (24).

In the human body, UA is the end production of metabolic break up of purine nucleotides. Xanthine oxidase (XO) and xanthine dehydrogenase are two enzymes responsible for the UA metabolism and production. In chronic HF patients, UA metabolism is upregulated due to higher activity of XO and growing substrates of XO (25). Meanwhile, reactive oxygen species (ROS, one item of BPs) and the hydroxyl radical are produced. These free radicals further lead to decreased nitric oxide release and increase oxidative stress synthesis (26, 27). Consequently, inflammatory pathways (e.g., TNF signaling pathway) and production of cytokines are enhanced by the imbalance of oxidative stress and decreased nitric oxide (28). Reactive oxygen and cytokines could affect clinical characters by damaging the systolic and diastolic energetics, depleting adenosine triphosphate of the sarcoplasmic reticulum, and decreasing the anaerobic threshold (29).

However, our work still has several limitations. Firstly, the sample size of Xianyang Chronic Heart Failure Prospective Cohort was small and the conclusion should be interpreted in caution in the clinical practice. Secondly, this is a prospective cohort study, some unmeasured factors such as UA-lowering agents usage, insulin resistance, peripheral vascular disease, postmenopausal state, peripheral vascular disease might influence adverse outcomes. Thirdly, the predicted mechanistic associations between hyperuricemia and HFrEF need to be validated in appropriate model systems.

REFERENCES

1. Punnoose LR, Givertz MM, Lewis EF, Pratibhu P, Stevenson LW, Desai SA. Heart failure with recovered ejection fraction: a distinct clinical entity. *J Card Fail.* (2011) 17:527–32. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2011.03.005

CONCLUSION

In HFrEF patients, a lower UA predicts the recovery of LVEF during follow-up, whereas a higher UA can be used as a predictor of long-term adverse outcome events. Gene overlap and protein-protein interaction network analyses provided a potential mechanistic relationship between hyperuricemia and HFrEF. In summary, this study offers a comprehensive framework to explore disease relationship from population and network-based perspectives.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XW conceived the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. XF provided the data, analyzed the data, and revised the manuscript. QW and JF performed network analysis. LW, JL, XL, GG, DY, XB, YX, and TH revised the manuscript and reviewed the results. AM revised the manuscript and provided comments of this research. SS, JW and JF revised the manuscript and provided guidance for this study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

XW was funded by the Science and Technology Talents Support Program of Shaanxi Provincial People's Hospital (2021-JY-06), Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi Province (2022JQ-855), and DY was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (LQ20H020007).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcvm.2022.853870/full#supplementary-material>

2. Kim GH, Uriel N, Burkhoff D. Reverse remodelling and myocardial recovery in heart failure. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2018) 15:83–96. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2017.139
3. Mann DL, Barger PM, Burkhoff D. Myocardial recovery and the failing heart: myth, magic, or molecular target? *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2012) 60:2465–72. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.06.062

4. Okada M, Tanaka H, Matsumoto K, Ryo K, Kawai H, Hirata K. Subclinical myocardial dysfunction in patients with reverse-remodeled dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2012) 25:726–32. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2012.04.001
5. Feig DI, Kang DH, Johnson JR. Uric acid and cardiovascular risk. *N Engl J Med.* (2008) 359:1811–21. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra0800885
6. Wu AH, Ghali JK, Neuberger GW, O'Connor CM, Carson PE, Levy CW. Uric acid level and allopurinol use as risk markers of mortality and morbidity in systolic heart failure. *Am Heart J.* (2010) 160:928–33. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2010.08.006
7. Filippatos GS, Ahmed MI, Gladden JD, Mujib M, Aban IB, Love TE, et al. Hyperuricaemia, chronic kidney disease, and outcomes in heart failure: potential mechanistic insights from epidemiological data. *Eur Heart J.* (2011) 32:712–20. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehq473
8. Manzano L, Babalis D, Roughton M, Shibata M, Anker SD, S. Ghio, et al. Predictors of clinical outcomes in elderly patients with heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2011) 13:528–36. doi: 10.1093/eurjhf/hfr030
9. Malek F, Ostadal P, Parenica J, Jarkovsky J, Vitovec J, Widimsky P, et al. Uric acid, allopurinol therapy, and mortality in patients with acute heart failure—results of the Acute HEart FAilure Database registry. *J Crit Care.* (2012) 27:737.e11–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2012.03.011
10. Pascual-Figal DA, Hurtado-Martinez JA, Redondo B, Antolinos MJ, Ruiperez JA, Valdes M. Hyperuricaemia and long-term outcome after hospital discharge in acute heart failure patients. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2007) 9:518–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ejheart.2006.09.001
11. Doehner W, Rauchhaus M, Florea VG, Sharma R, Bolger AP, Davos CH, et al. Uric acid in cachectic and noncachectic patients with chronic heart failure: relationship to leg vascular resistance. *Am Heart J.* (2001) 141:792–9. doi: 10.1067/mhj.2001.114367
12. Leyva F, Chua TP, Godsland IF, Coats AJ, Anker DS. Loss of the normal coupling between the anaerobic threshold and insulin sensitivity in chronic heart failure. *Heart.* (1999) 82:348–51. doi: 10.1136/hrt.82.3.348
13. Leyva F, Anker SD, Godsland IF, Teixeira M, Hellewell PG, Kox WJ, et al. Uric acid in chronic heart failure: a marker of chronic inflammation. *Eur Heart J.* (1998) 19:1814–22. doi: 10.1053/euhj.1998.1188
14. Fang J, Wu Q, Ye F, Cai C, Xu L, Gu Y, et al. Network-based identification and experimental validation of drug candidates toward SARS-CoV-2 targeting virus-host interactome. *Front Genet.* (2021) 12:728960. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.728960
15. Palazzuoli A, Ruocco G, De Vivo O, Nuti R, McCullough AP. Prevalence of hyperuricemia in patients with acute heart failure with either reduced or preserved ejection fraction. *Am J Cardiol.* (2017) 120:1146–50. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.06.057
16. Amin A, Vakilian F, Maleki M. Serum uric acid levels correlate with filling pressures in systolic heart failure. *Congest Heart Fail.* (2011) 17:80–4. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7133.2010.00205.x
17. Konstam MA. Reliability of ventricular remodeling as a surrogate for use in conjunction with clinical outcomes in heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* (2005) 96:867–71. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.05.037
18. Kramer DG, Trikalinos TA, Kent DM, Antonopoulos GV, Konstam MA, Udelson EJ. Quantitative evaluation of drug or device effects on ventricular remodeling as predictors of therapeutic effects on mortality in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction: a meta-analytic approach. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2010) 56:392–406. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.05.011
19. Tayal U, Wage R, Newsome S, Manivarmane R, Izgi C, Muthumala A, et al. Predictors of left ventricular remodelling in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy - a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2020) 22:1160–70. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1734
20. Isaka Y, Takabatake Y, Takahashi A, Saitoh T, Yoshimori T. Hyperuricemia-induced inflammasome and kidney diseases. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* (2016) 31:890–6. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfv024
21. Packer M. Molecular, cellular, and clinical evidence that sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors act as neurohormonal antagonists when used for the treatment of chronic heart failure. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9:e016270. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.016270
22. Nishihara T, Tokitsu T, Sueta D, Oike F, Takae M, Fujisue K, et al. Clinical significance of reactive oxidative metabolites in patients with heart failure with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Card Fail.* (2021) 27:57–66. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2020.07.020
23. Tsuda T, Takefuji M, Wettschureck N, Kotani K, Morimoto R, Okumura T, et al. Corticotropin releasing hormone receptor 2 exacerbates chronic cardiac dysfunction. *J Exp Med.* (2017) 214:1877–88. doi: 10.1084/jem.20161924
24. Adeyanju OA, Michael OS, Soladoye AO, Olatunji AL. Blockade of mineralocorticoid receptor ameliorates oral contraceptive-induced insulin resistance by suppressing elevated uric acid and glycogen synthase kinase-3 instead of circulating mineralocorticoid. *Arch Physiol Biochem.* (2020) 126:225–34. doi: 10.1080/13813455.2018.1509220
25. Bakhtiarov ZA. Changes in xanthine oxidase activity in patients with circulatory failure. *Ter Arkh.* (1989) 61:68–9.
26. Leyva F, Anker S, Swan JW, Godsland IF, Wingrove CS, Chua TP, et al. Serum uric acid as an index of impaired oxidative metabolism in chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* (1997) 18:858–65. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.eurheartj.a015352
27. Hare JM, Johnson JR. Uric acid predicts clinical outcomes in heart failure: insights regarding the role of xanthine oxidase and uric acid in disease pathophysiology. *Circulation.* (2003) 107:1951–3. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000066420.36123.35
28. Ruggiero C, Cherubini A, Ble A, Bos AJ, Maggio M, Dixit VD, et al. Uric acid and inflammatory markers. *Eur Heart J.* (2006) 27:1174–81. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehi879
29. Cooper D, Stokes KY, Tailor A, Granger ND. Oxidative stress promotes blood cell-endothelial cell interactions in the microcirculation. *Cardiovasc Toxicol.* (2002) 2:165–80. doi: 10.1007/s12012-002-0002-7

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Wang, Fan, Wu, Liu, Wei, Yang, Bu, Liu, Ma, Hayashi, Guan, Xiang, Shi, Wang and Fang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.