

# Precarity and progression during a pandemic: preliminary findings from a study of early career academics' information behaviour during COVID-19

Rebekah Willson, Stephann Makri, Dana McKay and Philips Ayeni

## Abstract

**Introduction.** COVID-19 has increased research, teaching and administrative pressures for all academics and, by doing so, exacerbated inequalities experienced by early career academics (ECAs), who were already dealing with several sources of uncertainty in trying to establish their careers. This study sought to understand the experiences of ECAs during the pandemic.

**Methods.** We conducted semi-structured remote interviews with 18 ECAs (PhDs awarded in past 6 years), from a variety of countries; Canada, US, Australia, UK, New Zealand, and South Africa.

**Analysis.** Interviews were analysed using a reflexive inductive Thematic Analysis approach (Braun and Clarke, 2021).

**Results.** Preliminary findings demonstrate that the pandemic has disrupted information acquisition and sharing among ECAs. The increasing amount of incorrect and irrelevant information disseminated by universities, alongside the de-prioritisation of information that is particularly valued by ECAs (e.g., information related to professional development and career development) has led some ECAs to avoid information.

**Conclusions.** The COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated the precarious situations ECAs face. Universities need to acknowledge uncertainty, reduce information overload by providing relevant and useful information and provide useful information on and support for ECA career progression.

**Keywords:** information behaviour, COVID, uncertainty; higher education, information overload, information avoidance

## Introduction

In response to COVID-19, academics have had to change research plans on short notice, rapidly move teaching online, and support students facing difficult circumstances. In many countries, they have also been forced to work from home during *lockdown* periods, often while caring for family. For many, this has led to reduced productivity and knock-on mental health issues, including stress, anxiety, and depression. Professional (and personal) challenges presented by COVID-19 are exacerbating the inequalities that already exist within academia (e.g., Butler, 2020; Tabner, 2020). Many early career academics (ECAs) – postdocs, research fellows, and academic staff members – are already dealing with multiple sources of uncertainty, including job precarity, unpredictable finances, and insecure housing (e.g., University and College Union, 2019; Gottschalk and McEachern, 2010). Related to information behaviour and practices, they also have difficulty finding workplace information required to do their jobs (Willson, 2018; Willson and Julien, 2020). Information-related difficulties, when combined with the uncertain implications of lost research opportunities, deep university cuts, and rapid change, make this a particularly difficult time to be an ECA. Understanding the information-related challenges ECAs face can help us provide more suitable information to support them. However, much of our understanding of ECAs' information behaviour comes from anecdotal evidence and newspaper reports; empirical research is lacking.

## Literature review

Within information behaviour, there has been a long tradition of studying academics (e.g., Bronstein, 2007; Chu, 1999; Ellis, 1993; Given and Willson, 2018). While valuable, much of the research around academics' information behaviour has investigated how information is used during research and in search of formally published sources. Less understood are the information activities in which academics partake daily. However, there has been a shift to examining everyday information behaviour and practices in workplaces and daily lives, with increasing recognition of its importance (e.g., Lloyd, 2009; Nordsteien and Byström, 2018). A major challenge for ECAs, particularly those new in their jobs, is a lack of workplace information regarding their day-to-day activities (Willson, 2018) – information required to carry out their work. Workplace information can include formal policies and procedures (e.g., research codes of practice, classroom assessment policies), but also practical and logistical information (e.g., system login information, printer codes). The pandemic has made it more difficult for all academics to do their jobs, but ECAs are experiencing particular information-related challenges; for example, starting a new job during the pandemic, not being able to be physically at the university, and not having regular contact with colleagues can make it very difficult for ECAs to get necessary information.

Academia is an information-intensive field. During times of crisis, there is increased need for accurate, timely, and up-to-date information for effective decision-making and job performance (Lockyer, et al., 2021). Many universities have extended tenure clocks or made other temporary policy changes to help deal with uncertainty. While these changes may help, it is not clear what their consequences will be, and questions have been raised as to whether policies will have the desired effect, especially as COVID-19 is disproportionately impacting female academics' productivity (Flaherty, 2020). We do not yet know enough about the nature of the difficulties ECAs are experiencing because of the pandemic. A better understanding can inform the design of policy to address some of the inequalities ECAs face. This research investigates ECAs' experiences and their resulting information behaviour through the theoretical lens of uncertainty reduction (Kuhlthau, 1993).

Information is crucial during times of change (Willson, 2019), particularly when universities are making decisions that will directly impact ECAs' lives, professionally and personally. During a pandemic, uncertainty – about the current situation and the future – provides a useful theoretical approach for understanding ECAs' experiences related to the pandemic. Uncertainty can come from '*a lack of understanding, a gap in meaning, or a limited construct*' (Kuhlthau, 1993, p. 347) and has been successfully applied to understand information-related needs and activities (Wilson, 1999; Wilson, et al., 2002; Chowdhury, et al., 2011). However, uncertainty can also come from unstable, unresolved,

and ongoing situations – such as making career transitions (Willson, 2019), immigrating as a refugee (Lloyd, Pilerot, and Hultgren, 2017), or working during a pandemic (Lloyd and Hicks, 2021). The nature and impact of ongoing, unresolvable uncertainty makes it important to understand information behaviour and practices within personal and social contexts. ECAs experience high levels of this type of unresolvable uncertainty: where their next job will be, whether they will have job security, whether they will be living in the same place next year. These uncertainties are exacerbated by the pandemic, which is affecting university employment and work opportunities alike.

## **Research aims and questions**

This research aims to understand the information behaviour and practices of ECAs and the role of uncertainty in this behaviour, to inform best practices for supporting ECAs during and after the pandemic. The research questions are:

1. What are the information behaviour and practices of ECAs during COVID-19?
2. How is uncertainty influencing ECAs' information behaviour and practices?
3. What information is being provided (or not) to ECAs and how are they using it to make decisions?
4. How is this information communicated, found, and shared between universities and ECAs?

## **Methods**

### **Participants**

Participants were early career academics (ECAs), defined as working primarily in academia, on research-only, teaching-only, or research and teaching contracts, and who had completed their PhDs within the last six years. Eighteen academics were interviewed – 14 postdocs, three assistant professors/lecturers, and a research fellow – from Canada, United States, Australia, the UK, New Zealand, and South Africa. We stopped sampling when data saturation (significant overlap and no new key insights) was achieved. Ethical approval was obtained from McGill University.

### **Data collection and analysis**

Semi-structured interviews were conducted over Zoom and lasted approximately one hour. Questions focused on ECAs' broad experiences during the pandemic and associated information behaviour and uncertainty. For example, we asked about their *typical* working day during the pandemic, differences they noticed in their professional life since the pandemic, the impact of uncertainty, how and what information universities are communicating, and what support they are offering. (See interview guide in supplementary file.) Many of these conversations were emotional and difficult; we debriefed with participants afterward and provided support resources to ensure they were not unduly negatively affected by participation in this study. Interviews were transcribed, and transcripts were analysed using a reflexive inductive thematic analysis approach (Braun and Clark, 2021) and participants were assigned pseudonyms.

## **Preliminary findings and discussion**

### **Disruption to information acquisition and sharing**

The shift to virtual work meant that many ECAs experienced disruption to acquiring and sharing work-related information. One demonstration of this comes from Ariana, who explains it is more difficult to request informal advice from colleagues online, as *hallway conversations* are no longer possible:

*I could talk to people [work colleagues] but I will send them email like, 'Do you have time to talk to on Zoom later? Let's schedule Zoom meetings'. It's not the hallway kind of conversations that [you] just pop your colleague's office, 'Oh my God I just*

*got this student cheating, what should I do?’ I actually feel bad like [my spouse] takes the brunt of everything.*

-Ariana (Assistant Professor, US)

In virtual environments, contacting colleagues requires planning. There is no incidental contact, which can foster information exchange, making sharing information at work more challenging. While departmental or lab meetings may continue, informal networks – ‘*loose connection[s] of individuals who regularly interact with one another, who may or may not share interests*’ (Willson and Julien, 2020, p. 1) – are disrupted. Informal networks are key to supporting information acquisition, for example through asking and answering questions (Willson and Given, 2020), serendipitously encountering information through informal interactions (Erdelez and Makri, 2020), visiting information grounds at which information is likely to be shared (Fisher, et al., 2007), or receiving information by proxy (McKenzie, 2003). This disruption to informal networks served to obstruct ECAs’ information acquisition, which is particularly damaging as they often needed information about their jobs and university.

### **Inundated with (the wrong) information**

Many ECAs reported receiving a high volume of COVID-related communications from their universities, mainly via email. Universities often sent multiple messages that could become overwhelming, particularly as pandemic measures changed regularly. The frequency and amount of information shared could be overwhelming, as discussed by Zoe:

*[The University] definitely gave weekly COVID Monday emails every time there was a government change. Universities get quite a lot, so the night before, the night of, it was reassuring staff about, ‘We’re going to – the policy will come at this point,’ and as you can expect, there’s been a lot of communication, so it’s overwhelming. It’s easy to lose things or miss things. Sometimes things aren’t that clear.*

-Zoe (Postdoc, UK)

Furthermore, several ECAs found the information they were sent was not timely and/or not the information needed. Information received was often only of marginal relevance because it was too general (e.g., information on government policies); frequently ECAs needed more information about sustainable research funding, border openings, and when they could conduct research in person. This was information universities often did not yet know. The lack of relevant information led some ECAs to avoid university emails. This supports findings of previous research that some people avoid information to manage uncertainty (Sairanen and Savolainen, 2010) and in response to overload (Bawden and Robinson, 2009). ECAs were overwhelmed by information and demonstrated avoidance in response to those feelings, supporting previous work on academics’ information behaviour and practices during the pandemic (Lloyd and Hicks, 2021). Information avoidance is particularly concerning in a crisis situation, as it may prevent ECAs from receiving useful information (Soroya, et al., 2021) that could provide much-needed support.

### **Ongoing uncertainty makes it difficult to evaluate information utility**

ECAs receive information from many sources – the news, social media, their universities, colleagues, etc. However, COVID-19 has resulted in major aspects of their work and lives becoming and remaining uncertain. Examples include postponed job start dates, insecure funding, undetermined conferences (online vs. in person vs. hybrid), closed borders, etc. Ongoing uncertainty makes it difficult to evaluate information utility. For example, how useful is it to obtain information on funding opportunities if there is a question mark around continued funding during the pandemic?:

*How are those funding agencies going to resolve funding for science? ... I think that really scares me because a lot of the opportunities that I could apply to, for example, it would be based on European funding so. Yeah, that definitely scares me, and it*

*really increases the uncertainty because I don't think...there are estimates yet of how the pandemic is affecting science. I mean, there's estimates of, you know, broad economical changes, but I don't think the science part of it has been populated yet. I don't know if it can.*

-Evelyn (Postdoc, Canada)

Evelyn not only voices uncertainty, but also expresses this uncertainty in affective terms – demonstrating the anxiety of not knowing. This is consistent with recent research that found information overload is strongly associated with information anxiety (Soroya, et al., 2021). Many interviewees expressed strong emotions. Kuhlthau's (1993) previous work highlights the importance of affective aspects of information acquisition, including uncertainty. However, it suggests information acquisition serves to gradually reduce uncertainty. While that may be the case during discrete information search sessions, our findings highlight it is not necessarily the case for more generalised uncertainty associated with a pandemic. More information in a situation characterised by ongoing uncertainty is not always helpful.

## **Conclusion**

This study provides insight into the influence of uncertainty on the information behaviour and practices of ECAs; uncertainty disrupted information sharing and led to information overload and avoidance. By examining ongoing uncertainty, its affective dimension (particularly anxiety) was highlighted, as well as the failure of information to bring resolution. The ongoing uncertainty and precarity associated with being an ECA has been exacerbated during the pandemic. Based on our findings, we provide the following practical suggestions:

- Limit the number of mass emails sent by universities, focusing instead on quality of information provision to groups, such as ECAs, who are particularly impacted by uncertainty;
- Disseminate information tailored to specific academic units/communities, to promote community building, nurturing and cohesion; and
- Ensure ECAs have regular (formal and informal) contact with colleagues and opportunities to ask questions, express concerns and share their experiences.

Future research might examine the impact of prolonged uncertainty on ECAs and their information behaviour and how best to support them through effective information provision.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank McGill University for providing the Internal Social Sciences and Humanities Development Grant to support this work. We also thank our participants for their precious time and energy.

## **About the authors**

**Rebekah Willson** is an Assistant Professor in the School of Information Studies at McGill University. Her main area of research is in the information behaviour and practices of academics, as well as examining the information activities of individuals undergoing transitions, and the effects of casualisation on information work. She can be contacted at [rebekah.willson@mcgill.ca](mailto:rebekah.willson@mcgill.ca)

**Stephann Makri** is a Senior Lecturer in the Centre for Human-Computer Interaction Design at City, University of London. His research in Human-Information Interaction involves understanding how people find and engage with information and using this understanding to inform the design of digital information environments to create experiences that are engaging, enriching, exciting and fulfilling. He can be contacted at [stephann@city.ac.uk](mailto:stephann@city.ac.uk)

**Dana McKay** is a Senior Lecturer in Innovative Interactive Technologies at RMIT University in Melbourne, Australia. Dana's research focuses on information interaction and behaviour to increase equity, particularly around view change and misinformation. She can be contacted at [dana.mckay@rmit.edu.au](mailto:dana.mckay@rmit.edu.au)

**Philips Ayeni** is a PhD candidate in the School of Information Studies at McGill University. His research focuses on scholarly communication practices of academics, particularly focusing on ensuring equity of access to knowledge through opening access to research outputs. He can be contacted at [philips.ayeni@mail.mcgill.ca](mailto:philips.ayeni@mail.mcgill.ca)

## References

- Bawden, D., & Robinson, L. (2009). The dark side of information: overload, anxiety and other paradoxes and pathologies. *Journal of information science*, 35(2), 180-191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551508095781>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). One size fits all? What counts as quality practice in (reflexive) thematic analysis? *Qualitative research in psychology*, 18(3), 328-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238>
- Butler, S. (2020, April 6). Coronavirus lockdown to hit low-paid, young and women hardest, warns IFS. *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2020/apr/06/coronavirus-lockdown-to-hit-low-paid-young-and-women-hardest-warns-ifs> (Internet Archive)
- Bronstein, J. (2007). The role of the research phase in information seeking behaviour of Jewish studies scholars: A modification of Ellis's behavioural characteristics. *Information Research*, 12(3). <http://informationr.net/ir/12-3/paper318.html> (Internet Archive)
- Chowdhury, S., Gibb, F., & Landoni, M. (2011). Uncertainty in information seeking and retrieval: A study in an academic environment. *Information Processing & Management*, 47(2), 157-175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2010.09.006>
- Chu, C. M. (1999). Literary critics at work and their information needs: A research-phases model. *Library & Information Science Research*, 21(2), 247-273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-8188\(99\)00002-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-8188(99)00002-X)
- Ellis, D. (1993). Modelling the information-seeking patterns of academic researchers: A grounded theory approach. *The Library Quarterly*, 63(4), 469-486. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/4308867>
- Erdelez, S., & Makri, S. (2020). Information encountering re-encountered: A conceptual re-examination of serendipity in the context of information acquisition. *Journal of Documentation*, 76(3), 731-751. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-08-2019-0151>
- Flaherty, C. (2020, April 21). No room of one's own. *Inside Higher Ed*. <https://insidehighered.com/news/2020/04/21/early-journal-submission-data-suggest-covid-19-tanking-womens-research-productivity> (Internet Archive)
- Kuhlthau, C. C. (1993). A principle of uncertainty for information seeking. *Journal of Documentation*, 49(4), 339-355. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb026918>
- Fisher, K. E., Landry, C. F., & Naumer, C. (2007). Social spaces, casual interactions, meaningful exchanges: 'Information ground' characteristics based on the college student experience. *Information Research*, 12(2). <http://informationr.net/ir/12-2/paper291.html> (Internet Archive)
- Given, L. M., & Willson, R. (2018). Information technology and the humanities scholar: Documenting digital research practices. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 69(6), 807-819. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24008>
- Gottschalk, L., & McEachern, S. (2010). The frustrated career: Casual employment in higher education. *Australian Universities' Review*, 52(1), 37-50. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ877046.pdf> (Internet Archive)
- Lloyd, A. (2009). Informing practice: Information experiences of ambulance officers in training and on-road practice. *Journal of Documentation*, 65(3), 396-419. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410910952401>
- Lloyd, A. & Hicks, A. (2021). Saturation, acceleration and information pathologies: The conditions that influence the emergence of information literacy safeguarding practice in COVID-19-environments. *Journal of Documentation*. Advanced online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-08-2021-0162>
- Lloyd, A., Pilerot, O. and Hultgren, F. (2017), "The remaking of fractured landscapes: supporting refugees in transition (SpiRiT)", *Information Research*, 22(3). <http://InformationR.net/ir/21-1/paper764.html> (Internet Archive)

- Lockyer, B., Islam, S., Rahman, A., Dickerson, J., Pickett, K., Sheldon, T., Wright, J., McEachan, R., Sheard, L., & the Bradford Institute for Health Research Covid-19 Scientific Advisory Group. (2021). Understanding COVID-19 misinformation and vaccine hesitancy in context: Findings from a qualitative study involving citizens in Bradford, UK. *Health Expectations*, 24(4), 1158–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.13240>
- McKenzie, P. J. (2003). A model of information practices in accounts of everyday-life information seeking. *Journal of Documentation*, 59(1), 19–40. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00220410310457993>
- Nordsteien, A., & Byström, K. (2018). Transitions in workplace information practices and culture: The influence of newcomers on information use in healthcare. *Journal of Documentation*, 74(4), 827–843. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-07-2017-0116>
- Sairanen, A., & Savolainen, R. (2010). Avoiding health information in the context of uncertainty management. *Information Research*, 15(4). <http://InformationR.net/ir/15-4/paper443.html> (Internet Archive)
- Soroya, S. H., Farooq, A., Mahmood, K., Isoaho, J., & Zara, S. E. (2021). From information seeking to information avoidance: Understanding the health information behavior during a global health crisis. *Information processing & management*, 58(2), 102440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2020.102440>
- Tabner, I. T. (2020, April 8). Five ways coronavirus lockdowns increase inequality. *The Conversation*. <https://theconversation.com/five-ways-coronavirus-lockdowns-increase-inequality-135767> (Internet Archive)
- University and College Union. (2019). *Counting the costs of casualisation in higher education*. [https://www.ucu.org.uk/media/10336/Counting-the-costs-of-casualisation-in-higher-education-Jun-19/pdf/ucu\\_casualisation\\_in\\_HE\\_survey\\_report\\_Jun19.pdf](https://www.ucu.org.uk/media/10336/Counting-the-costs-of-casualisation-in-higher-education-Jun-19/pdf/ucu_casualisation_in_HE_survey_report_Jun19.pdf) (Internet Archive)
- Willson, R. (2018). “Systemic Managerial Constraints”: How universities influence the information behaviour of HSS early career academics. *Journal of Documentation*, 74(4), 862–879. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-07-2017-0111>
- Willson, R. (2019). Transitions Theory and liminality in information behaviour research: Applying new theories to examine the transition to early career academic. *Journal of Documentation*, 75(4), 838–856. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-12-2018-0207>
- Willson, R., & Given, L. M. (2020). “I’m in sheer survival mode”: Information behaviour and affective experiences of early career academics. *Library & Information Science Research*, 42(2), 101014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2020.101014>
- Willson, R. & Julien, H. (2020). Precarious academics: Information practices and challenges. In R. Chabot, G. Campbell, & L. Brown (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Canadian Association for Information Science – Diverging Trajectories in Information Science, the 48<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the Canadian Association for Information Science*. Online. [https://www.cais2020.ca/talk/precarious-academics/CAIS2020\\_paper24\\_Willson.pdf](https://www.cais2020.ca/talk/precarious-academics/CAIS2020_paper24_Willson.pdf) (Internet Archive)
- Wilson, T. D. (1999). Exploring models of information behaviour: the ‘uncertainty’ project. *Information Processing & Management*, 35(6), 839–849. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573\(99\)00029-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0306-4573(99)00029-1)
- Wilson, T. D., Ford, N., Ellis, D., Foster, A., & Spink, A. (2002). Information seeking and mediated searching. Part 2. Uncertainty and its correlates. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science & Technology*, 53(9), 704–715. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1002/asi.10082>