

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Gravidity, parity and knee breadth at midlife: a population-based cohort study

Junno, Juho-Antti

2022-07-20

Junno , J-A , Keisu , A , Niinimäki , M , Niinimäki , J , Lehenkari , P & Oura , P 2022 , ' Gravidity, parity and knee breadth at midlife: a population-based cohort study ' , Scientific Reports , vol. 12 , no. 1 , 12415 . <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16231-1>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/346971>

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16231-1>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.



OPEN

Gravidity, parity and knee breadth at midlife: a population-based cohort study

Juho-Antti Junno^{1,2,3,4✉}, Asla Keisu^{1,2}, Maarit Niinimäki^{1,5,6}, Jaakko Niinimäki^{1,7}, Petri Lehenkari^{1,2} & Petteri Oura^{1,8,9,10}

Gestation increases the biomechanical loading of lower extremities. Gestational loading may influence anthropometrics of articular surfaces in similar means as bone diaphyseal properties. This study aimed to investigate whether gravidity (i.e. number of pregnancies) and parity (i.e. number of deliveries) is associated with knee breadth among middle-aged women. The study sample comprised 815 women from the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966. The median parity count of our sample was 2 and the median gravidity count 3. At the age of 46, questionnaires were used to enquire gravidity and parity, and posteroanterior knee radiographs were used to obtain two knee breadth parameters (tibial plateau mediolateral breadth (TPML) and femoral condylar mediolateral breadth (FCML)) as representatives of articular size. The associations of gravidity and parity with knee breadth were analyzed using general linear models with adjustments for height, weight, leisure-time physical activity, smoking, and education years. Individuals with osteoarthritic changes were excluded from our sample. The mean TPML in our sample was 70.3 mm and the mean FCML 71.6 mm respectively. In the fully adjusted models, gravidity and parity showed positive associations with knee breadth. Each pregnancy was associated with 0.11–0.14% larger knee breath ($p < 0.05$), and each delivery accounted for an increase of 0.20% in knee breadth ($p < 0.01$). Between-group comparisons showed that multiparous women had 0.68–1.01% larger knee breath than nulli- and primiparous women ($p < 0.05$). Pregnancies and deliveries seem to increase the mediolateral breadth of the knee. This increase is potentially associated with increased biomechanical loadings during gestation.

Gestation is associated with a physiological increase in body weight, changes in hormone status, and gait alterations^{1–4}. Voerman et al.⁵ reported that the median gestational weight gain in their study population was 14.0 kg. Gestation and lactation periods as well as first postnatal years in general thus significantly increase the biomechanical loading on the weight-bearing articular surfaces of a female. Biomechanical load combined with hormonal changes and gait alterations potentially stimulate the knee joint to alter its shape, size, and other properties.

Studies on the skeletal effects of gravidity and parity have mostly addressed bone mineral density (BMD) at various skeletal sites, but have not been able to demonstrate long-term effects on maternal BMD^{6,7}. However, gestation and lactation periods are found to be associated with short-term changes in bone mass⁸. These changes are often generated as the combined effect of biomechanical and hormonal alterations in the female body.

Generally, biomechanical loading has an effect on bone strength by influencing bone size and BMD (e.g. Ref.⁹). The importance of biomechanical loading for skeletal health is widely recognized (e.g. Ref.¹⁰). In light of the connection between loading, activity and bone geometry, weight-bearing joints could be assumed to be adaptable in a similar way to long bone shafts. However, factors that are associated with articular surface size are

¹Medical Research Center, Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ²Anatomy and Cell Biology, Cancer and Translational Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, P.O. Box 5000, 90014 Oulu, Finland. ³Department of Archaeology, Faculty of Humanities, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ⁴Archaeology, Faculty of Arts, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁵Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, PEDEGO Research Unit, Medical Research Center, Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ⁶Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland. ⁷Research Unit of Medical Imaging, Physics and Technology, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ⁸Center for Life Course Health Research, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland. ⁹Department of Forensic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ¹⁰Forensic Medicine Unit, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland. ✉email: juho-antti.junno@oulu.fi




Figure 1. Knee measurements. *FCML* mediolateral breadth of femoral condyles, *TPML* mediolateral breadth of tibial plateau. Diameter of the calibration disc: 30 mm.

yet to be completely understood. In fact, articular surface size is commonly understood to remain relatively stable throughout adulthood (e.g. Ref.¹¹). Major lifetime factors such as physical activity level seem to have minimal effect on joint size^{12,13}. Apart from effects of pathological changes such as osteoarthritis, which affects women more often than men. Estrogen has a crucial effect on bone homeostasis by directly affecting both osteoclasts and osteoblast¹⁴. During pregnancy the placenta is the primary source of estrogen biosynthesis and the estrogen levels are high, but after delivery and during lactation estrogen levels fall considerably. In postmenopausal women the prevalence of osteoarthritis increase, and the risk for knee replacement is higher among women with low estrogen levels¹⁵.

In the present study, we aimed to investigate whether gravidity (i.e. number of pregnancies) and parity (i.e. number of deliveries) were associated with knee size among middle-aged women. The material of the study was constituted by a large sample of Northern Finnish women at the age of 46 years. We hypothesized that gestational loading would potentially influence anthropometrics of articular surfaces by increasing the knee breadth. We believed that this specific sample could reveal the potential effects of gestation and lactation periods as it included a relatively high number of multiparous and grand multiparous women.

Materials and methods

Study population. This study utilized a subsample of prospective, population-based Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 (NFBC1966)¹⁶. Initially, NFBC1966 comprised pregnant women living in Northern Finland (i.e. the provinces of Oulu and Lapland) whose expected delivery dates fell between Jan 1 and Dec 31, 1966. The cohort included 12,068 mothers and 12,231 children, with a coverage of 96% of all births during 1966 in Northern Finland. Prospective data collection began in the 16th gestational week, and the NFBC1966 participants have been followed ever since. Broad questionnaires and clinical examinations have been used to gather information on the participants' health status and lifestyle habits.

At age of 46, a total of 5861 individuals responded to questionnaires and participated in clinical examinations. Of them, 1946 individuals residing in the Oulu region (100 km radius) underwent radiography of the knee joint. Of these, 1131 individuals were excluded due to (1) male sex, (2) missing reproductive or confounder data, (3) previous knee surgery, (4) bone pathologies in the radiographs (mostly osteoarthritic changes), or (5) technically inadequate radiographs. Thus, the final sample of this study comprised 815 women.

Knee measurements. Knee breadth measurements were taken from digital radiographs of the right knee joint (Fig. 1) by an author of the study (A.K.). A detailed description of the procedure has been given in a previous publication¹⁷. Radiographs were accessed and measured using neaView Radiology software version 2.31 (Neagen Oy, Oulu, Finland). Posteroanterior radiographs were utilized, with individuals positioned in fixed flexion view^{18,19}.

The following measurements were taken from each radiograph: (1) mediolateral breadth of the articular surface of the femoral condyles (FCML), and (2) mediolateral breadth of the articular surface of the tibial plateau (TPML). FCML was measured by drawing a line tangential to the inferiormost points of the femoral condyles; this line was transposed to the widest part between the femoral condyles. TPML was measured as close to the border of the tibial plateau as possible. Measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1 mm. The initial measurements were converted into true sizes with the help of a metal calibration disc of 30 mm in diameter attached on the participant's right leg. The technical error of measurement (TEM) and relative technical error

of measurement (rTEM) were reported by Keisu et al.¹⁷, and the repeatability was high for all the measurements (TEM 0.1–0.5 mm, rTEM 0.1–0.6%).

Reproductive history. In the 46-year follow-up questionnaire, women were asked about the number of deliveries, ectopic pregnancies, miscarriages and abortions they had undergone during their lifetime. As described in a previous publication²⁰, gravidity was calculated as the overall number of pregnancies, and parity as the number of deliveries of each woman. Women with no deliveries were classed as ‘nulliparous’, those with one delivery as ‘primiparous’, and those with a history of several deliveries were classed as ‘multiparous’. Those with ≥ 5 deliveries were classed as ‘grand multiparous’.

Confounders. In the clinical examination at the age of 46, a study nurse systematically measured the height and weight of each individual. Body mass index (BMI) in kg/m^2 was calculated as weight divided by height squared.

Education, smoking history and leisure-time physical activity were elicited in the 46-year follow-up questionnaire. Education years, a proxy for socioeconomic status, was determined by asking: ‘What is your basic education? (1) Less than 9 years of elementary school, (2) elementary school, (3) matriculation examination’; and ‘What is your vocational education? (1) None, (2) occupational course, (3) vocational school, (4) vocational college, (5) polytechnic, (6) university, (7) other, (8) unfinished course’. The responses were classed according to the Finnish education system as follows: < 9 years, 9–12 years, or > 12 years.

Smoking history was elicited using two questions: (1) ‘Have you ever smoked cigarettes (yes/no)?’ and (2) ‘Do you currently smoke (yes/no)?’. Individuals were classed as non-smokers, former smokers, or current smokers.

Leisure-time physical activity was elicited by asking: ‘How often do you participate in brisk physical activity/exercise [defined as causing at least some sweating and breathlessness] during your leisure time? (1) Daily, (2) 4–6 times a week, (3) 2–3 times a week, (4) Once a week, (5) 2–3 times a month, (6) Once a month or less often. The responses were regrouped as follows: < 1 times/week, 1–3 times/week, or ≥ 4 times/week.

Statistical analysis. SPSS software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) version 27, 64-bit edition was used for the statistical analyses. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Means with standard deviations (SDs), medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) and percentages with frequencies were used as descriptive statistics. Characteristics of the sample were presented before and after stratification by parity.

The associations of gravidity and parity with knee breadth (i.e. FCML and TPML in mm) were analyzed using general linear models. Beta coefficients, 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and P values were documented from the output. Models were first constructed without adjustments (unadjusted models), and then confounder variables were added (adjusted models). Gravidity and parity were modelled as continuous variables (where beta coefficients are interpreted relative to one pregnancy/delivery), and by comparing groups with each other (e.g. multiparous vs. others, where beta coefficients represent mean difference between groups).

Ethical considerations. The study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, with voluntary participation and signed informed consent. Sensitive details were replaced by anonymous identification codes. The Ethics Committee of the Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District approved the study.

Results

The sample consisted of 815 women whose characteristics are presented in Table 1. Most women were never-smokers, physically active several times per week, and had attended school 9–12 years. As regards reproductive history, most women had delivered at least twice, and the sample included 47 women who had delivered ≥ 5 times. Of the knee breadth parameters, mean FMCL was 71.6 mm among the full sample (71.7, 71.4, 71.7, and 72.2 mm among nulliparous, primiparous, multiparous and grand multiparous women, respectively). Mean TPML was 70.3 mm among the full sample (70.2, 69.8, 70.4, and 70.8 mm among nulliparous, primiparous, multiparous and grand multiparous women, respectively).

The associations of gravidity and parity with knee breadth are shown in Table 2. Scatter plots are shown in Fig. 2. The unadjusted analyses did not show significant associations between gravidity, parity and knee breadth. However, after incorporating adjustments in the models, gravidity and parity showed positive associations with knee breadth. When modelled linearly, each pregnancy was associated with 0.11–0.14% or 0.08–0.10 mm larger knee breadth ($p < 0.05$), and each delivery accounted for an increase of 0.20% or 0.14 mm in knee breadth ($p < 0.01$). Between-group comparisons showed that multiparous women had 0.68–1.01% or 0.49–0.71 mm larger knee breadth than nulli- and primiparous women ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Utilizing a population-based middle-aged cohort sample, we investigated whether gravidity and parity were associated with knee breadth. We detected a clear positive association between gravidity, parity and knee breadth, confirming our initial hypothesis. We believe that this is the first population-based study to address the association between reproductive history and knee dimensions. Our results suggest that obstetric factors such as increasing gestational load, gait alterations or hormonal influences may affect knee breadth. Other factors such as knee pathologies may also increase joint dimensions. However in this study we excluded individuals with osteoarthritis or other clear knee pathologies from our sample. The present work was a cross-sectional study and thus only enabled us to investigate the association between reproductive history and knee breadth.

	All women (n = 815)		Stratified by parity							
			Nulliparous (n = 115)		Primiparous (n = 119)		Multiparous (n = 581)		Grand multiparous (n = 47)	
Anthropometry										
Height ^a (cm)	164.8	5.7	165.9	6.1	165.1	6.1	164.5	5.5	165.0	5.0
Weight ^a (kg)	69.7	12.4	69.7	14.0	69.8	11.6	69.6	12.2	71.5	12.1
Body mass index ^a (kg/m ²)	25.7	4.4	25.4	5.1	25.6	4.2	25.7	4.4	26.4	5.0
Leisure-time physical activity										
< 1 time/week ^b	24.2	197	20.0	23	26.1	31	24.6	143	21.3	10
1–3 times/week ^b	59.0	481	56.5	65	57.1	68	59.9	348	66.0	31
≥ 4 times/week ^b	16.8	137	23.5	27	16.8	20	15.5	90	12.8	6
Smoking history										
Never-smoker ^b	59.1	482	60.9	70	47.9	57	61.1	355	74.5	35
Former smoker ^b	24.2	197	17.4	20	30.3	36	24.3	141	14.9	7
Current smoker ^b	16.7	136	21.7	25	21.8	26	14.6	85	10.6	5
Educational history										
< 9 years ^b	2.7	22	1.7	2	2.5	3	2.9	17	8.5	4
9–12 years ^b	69.1	563	66.1	76	75.6	90	68.3	397	66.0	31
> 12 years ^b	28.2	230	32.2	37	21.8	26	28.7	167	25.5	12
Knee breadth										
Femoral condylar mediolateral breadth ^a (mm)	71.6	3.3	71.7	3.4	71.4	3.7	71.7	3.3	72.2	3.1
Tibial plateau mediolateral breadth ^a (mm)	70.3	3.1	70.2	3.3	69.8	3.5	70.4	3.0	70.8	2.6
Reproductive history										
Gravidity count ^c	3	2–4	0	0–1	1	1–2	3	2–4	9	6–11
Parity count ^c	2	1–3	0	0–0	1	1–1	2	2–3	7	5–9
Nulliparous ^b	14.1	115	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Primiparous ^b	14.6	119	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Multiparous ^b	71.3	581	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Grand multiparous ^b	5.8	47	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Table 1. Main characteristics of the study population. ^aValues are means with standard deviations. ^bValues are percentages with frequencies. ^cValues are medians with interquartile ranges.

Predictor	Outcome: FCML (in mm)				Outcome: TPML (in mm)			
	Unadjusted beta (95% CI)	P	Adjusted beta ^a (95% CI)	P	Unadjusted beta (95% CI)	P	Adjusted beta ^a (95% CI)	P
Gravidity count ^b	0.05 (– 0.06; 0.15)	0.374	0.08 (0.01; 0.17)	0.045	0.06 (– 0.03; 0.16)	0.199	0.10 (0.02; 0.18)	0.014
Parity count ^b	0.10 (– 0.02; 0.23)	0.104	0.14 (0.04; 0.24)	0.008	0.11 (– 0.01; 0.23)	0.060	0.14 (0.04; 0.24)	0.005
Multiparous vs. others ^c	0.13 (– 0.37; 0.64)	0.605	0.49 (0.08; 0.91)	0.021	0.40 (– 0.07; 0.88)	0.098	0.71 (0.32; 1.10)	<0.001
Grand multiparous vs. others ^c	0.61 (– 0.37; 1.59)	0.224	0.53 (– 0.28; 1.34)	0.200	0.50 (– 0.43; 1.42)	0.290	0.40 (– 0.37; 1.17)	0.313
Multiparous vs. nulliparous ^c	– 0.04 (– 0.69; 0.62)	0.913	0.44 (– 0.11; 0.99)	0.119	0.18 (– 0.44; 0.79)	0.570	0.61 (0.09; 1.13)	0.022
Grand multiparous vs. nulliparous ^c	0.50 (– 0.62; 1.62)	0.380	1.04 (0.04; 2.03)	0.041	0.53 (– 0.55; 1.61)	0.332	0.91 (– 0.07; 1.88)	0.068

Table 2. Association between gravidity, parity and knee breadth dimensions among the study population. Beta coefficients from general linear models. Significant values are in bold. *CI* Confidence interval, *FCML* Femoral condylar mediolateral breadth, *P* P value, *TPML* Tibial plateau mediolateral breadth, *Vs.* versus. ^aAdjusted for height, weight, leisure-time physical activity, smoking, and education years. ^bModelled linearly as a continuous variable. Beta coefficients are interpreted relative to one pregnancy/delivery. ^cModelled as a binary variable. Beta coefficients represent mean difference between groups.

Gestation and lactation have clear effects on bone metabolism and structure²¹. During pregnancy the requirement for calcium intake is increased due to skeletal development of the fetus²². In lactation, additional calcium intake is necessary to produce breastmilk. According to recent meta-analysis, BMD is reduced during pregnancy and lactation, especially if the breastfeeding exceeds 6 months²³. However, most clinical and animal studies suggest that they have no long-term effects on bone strength²⁴. Studies about the effects of obstetric load on bone geometric properties in particular are sparse. Most of these studies are based on animal models (e.g. Ref.²⁵) and demonstrate decrease of the bone strength during lactation.




Figure 2. Scatter plots demonstrating the association between gravidity, parity, and knee breadth measurements.

Although parity has been positively associated with lumbar lordosis²⁶, our previous study among Northern Finnish women suggested that obstetric factors such as increased and altered biomechanical loads or hormonal factors did not seem to affect vertebral geometry²⁰. As such, the present association between gravidity, parity and knee breadth was clearly distinct in light of the previous findings. Potentially, as knee joint supports most of the body weight, the effects of biomechanical loading during gestation and lactation periods are more pronounced in the knee than the lumbar spine. Our finding could also be associated with the observed sex differences in the alignment of lower extremities²⁷.

In our study, all significant findings concerned the adjusted models, as adjustments appeared to augment effect sizes. This implies that the unadjusted models were subject to confounding effects. For example, obesity is known to negatively influence fertility but may also lead to larger bone size due to increased loading.

The main strength of this study was the relatively large sample size of 815 women. General characteristics of the sample indicate that they represent the typical Northern Finnish population^{20,28}. Importantly, a clear majority of the women had delivered at least twice, and up to 5% were grand multiparous. Other strengths were the homogeneous age as all were born in 1966 and thus secular trends did not confound our results. We also excluded individuals with pathologies in the knee and measured the knee dimensions from the radiographs with high reliability and low measurement error.

The study had several limitations. As the radiographs were taken in one timepoint at 46 years of age, we were unable to study the potential longitudinal change in knee breadth. The confounding effects of duration of lactation, hormonal factors, infertility or other comorbidities could not be fully ruled out. Also, we did not have data on menopausal status or use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) of the participants, but in the previous study 16% of women in Northern Finland Birth Cohort were menopausal or late perimenopausal and 22% of them (3% of the whole female cohort) were using HRT²⁹. These women were thus a minor group. No hormone measurements were available in the analyses, but knowing the average duration of pregnancy is 40 weeks, we can estimate that every pregnancy ending to delivery exposes women to excessive estrogen exposure, weight gain and changes in gait.

We conclude that gravidity and parity are associated with knee breadth among middle-aged women. Our findings suggest that gestation contributes to larger knee joint size, potentially via increased biomechanical loading. Future studies are needed to confirm the present findings, and to further characterize the roles of biomechanical, hormonal and gait changes during gestation and lactation in the context of skeletal response.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to confidential and ethical reasons but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Received: 7 February 2022; Accepted: 6 July 2022

Published online: 20 July 2022

References

1. Foti, T., Davids, J. R. & Bagley, A. A biomechanical analysis of gait during pregnancy. *J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am.* **82**, 625–632 (2000).
2. McCrory, J. L., Chambers, A. J., Daftary, A. & Redfern, M. S. The pregnant “waddle”: An evaluation of torso kinematics in pregnancy. *J. Biomech.* **47**, 2964–2968 (2014).
3. Abrams, B., Carmichael, S. & Selvin, S. Factors associated with the pattern of maternal weight gain during pregnancy. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **86**, 170–176 (1994).
4. Salari, P. & Abdollahi, M. The influence of pregnancy and lactation on maternal bone health: A systematic review. *J. Fam. Reprod. Health.* **8**, 135–148 (2014).
5. Voerman, E. *et al.* Maternal body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the risk of overweight and obesity across childhood: An individual participant data meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* **16**(2), e1002744 (2019).
6. Drinkwater, B. & Chesnut, C. Bone density changes during pregnancy and lactation in active women: A longitudinal study. *Bone Miner.* **14**, 153–160 (1991).
7. Möller, U. K. *et al.* Changes in bone mineral density and body composition during pregnancy and postpartum. A controlled cohort study. *Osteoporos Int.* **23**, 1213–1223 (2012).
8. Ward, K., Adams, J. & Mughal, M. Bone status during adolescence, pregnancy and lactation. *Curr. Opin. Obstet. Gynecol.* **17**, 435–439 (2005).
9. Havill, L. M., Mahaney, M. C., Binkley, L. T. & Specker, L. B. Effects of genes, sex, age, and activity on BMC, bone size, and areal and volumetric BMD. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **22**, 737–746 (2007).
10. Haapasalo, H. *et al.* Exercise-induced bone gain is due to enlargement in bone size without a change in volumetric bone density: A peripheral quantitative computed tomography study of the upper arms of male tennis players. *Bone* **27**, 351–357 (2000).
11. Ruff, C. B., Scott, W. W. & Liu, A. Y. Articular and diaphyseal remodeling of the proximal femur with changes in body mass in adults. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **86**, 397–413 (1991).
12. Lieberman, D. E., Devlin, M. J. & Pearson, O. M. Articular area responses to mechanical loading: effects of exercise, age, and skeletal location. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **116**, 266–277 (2001).
13. Trinkaus, E., Churchill, S. E. & Ruff, C. B. Postcranial robusticity in Homo. II: Humeral bilateral asymmetry and bone plasticity. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **93**, 1–34 (1994).
14. Nakamura, T. *et al.* Estrogen prevents bone loss via estrogen receptor α and induction of fas ligand in osteoclasts. *Cell* **130**, 811–823 (2007).
15. Hussain, S. *et al.* Incidence of total knee and hip replacement for osteoarthritis in relation to circulating sex steroid hormone concentrations in women. *Arthr. Rheumatol.* **66**, 2144–2151 (2014).
16. Nordström, T. *et al.* Cohort Profile: 46 years of follow-up of the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 (NFBC1966). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyab109> (2021).
17. Keisu, A. *et al.* The association between knee breadth and body mass: The Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 case study. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **170**, 196–206 (2019).
18. Kan, H. *et al.* Radiographic measurement of joint space width using the fixed flexion view in 1,102 knees of Japanese patients with osteoarthritis in comparison with the standing extended view. *Knee Surg. Relat. Res.* **29**, 63–68 (2017).
19. Niinimäki, T., Ojala, R., Niinimäki, J. & Leppilähti, J. The standing fixed flexion view detects narrowing of the joint space better than the standing extended view in patients with moderate osteoarthritis of the knee. *Acta Orthop.* **81**, 344–346 (2010).
20. Oura, P. *et al.* Gravidity, parity, and vertebral dimensions in the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966. *Spine* **43**(18), E1102–E1108 (2018).
21. Naylor, K. E., Iqbal, P., Fledelius, C., Fraser, R. B. & Eastell, R. The effect of pregnancy on bone density and bone turnover. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **15**, 129–137 (2000).
22. Seymour, J., Beck, K. & Conlon, C. Nutrition in pregnancy. *Obstet. Gynaecol. Reprod. Med.* **29**, 219–224 (2019).
23. Oboh, I., Coleman, C. & Cremona, A. The influence of lactation and its duration on bone mineral density in pregnancy and postpartum: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN.* **46**, 121–132 (2021).
24. Liu, X. S., Wang, L., de Bakker, C. M. J. & Lai, X. Mechanical regulation of the maternal skeleton during reproduction and lactation. *Curr. Osteoporos. Rep.* **17**, 375–386 (2019).
25. Vajda, E. G., Bowman, B. M. & Miller, S. C. Cancellous and cortical bone mechanical properties and tissue dynamics during pregnancy, lactation, and post-lactation in the rat. *Biol. Reprod.* **65**, 689–695 (2001).
26. Been, E. & Kalichman, L. Lumbar lordosis. *Spine J.* **14**, 87–97 (2014).
27. Katsumi, R. *et al.* Contribution of sex and body constitution to three-dimensional lower extremity alignment for healthy, elderly, non-obese humans in a Japanese population. *J. Exp. Orthop.* **22**, 32 (2018).
28. Saari, A. *et al.* New Finnish growth references for children and adolescents aged 0 to 20 years: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-length/height, and body mass index-for-age. *Ann. Med.* **43**, 235–248 (2011).
29. Lumme, J. *et al.* Early-onset climacterium is not associated with impaired vitamin D status: A population-based study. *Menopause* **28**, 899–908 (2021).

Acknowledgements

We thank all NFBC1966 members and researchers who participated in the 46-year follow-up. We also wish to acknowledge the work of the NFBC project center.

Author contributions

All authors wrote the main manuscript and reviewed it. Asla Keisu collected the knee data and Petteri Oura performed the analyses.

Funding

NFBC1966 received financial support from University of Oulu (Grant no. 24000692), Oulu University Hospital (Grant no. 24301140), and ERDF European Regional Development Fund (Grant no. 539/2010 A31592).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.-A.J.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022