



# https://helda.helsinki.fi

# Mental Imagery in Early Psychosis

# Auvinen-Lintunen, Laura

2022-03-01

Auvinen-Lintunen, L, Ilonen, T, Kieseppä, T, Suvisaari, J & Lindgren, M 2022, 'Mental Imagery in Early Psychosis', Imagination, Cognition and Personality, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 299-322. https://doi.org/10.1177/02762366211021762

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/346693 https://doi.org/10.1177/02762366211021762

cc\_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

# Mental Imagery in Early Psychosis

Imagination, Cognition and Personality: Consciousness in Theory, Research, and Clinical Practice 2022, Vol. 41(3) 299–322 © The Author(s) 2021

Article reuse guidelines sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/02762366211021762 journals.sagepub.com/home/ica



Laura Auvinen-Lintunen<sup>1,2</sup>, Tuula Ilonen<sup>3</sup>, Tuula Kieseppä<sup>1,4</sup>, Jaana Suvisaari<sup>1</sup>, and Maija Lindgren<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

Dysfunction in mental imagery may contribute to the development of mental disorders. We studied the vividness and controllability of mental imagery in a sample of 42 individuals with recent-onset psychosis, using a cross sectional design. Contrary to earlier studies, the claim that mental imagery is enhanced and the controllability weak in psychotic disorder was not supported. Especially the negative and affective symptoms associated with low vividness, and the stronger the symptoms the patients had, the less vivid was their imagery. Anxiety and self-neglect were the best predictors of low vividness. Only an elevated mood associated with higher vividness. The cognitive performance of the participants did not associate significantly with imagery. Surprisingly, organic modality was reported to be the most vivid modality, whereas visual imagery was the least vivid. Understanding the role of mental imagery in early psychosis may help us to understand and treat these disorders better.

#### **Keywords**

vividness, controllability, psychosis disorder, negative symptom, anxiety, affective symptom

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

#### **Corresponding Author:**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mental Health, Public Health and Welfare, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology and Logopedics, University of Helsinki, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland

Laura Auvinen-Lintunen, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, PO Box 30, Helsinki 00271, Finland. Email: laura.auvinen-lintunen@helsinki.fi

There has been a long tradition of studying the relationship between mental imagery and mental disorders, such as psychotic disorders, anxiety and mood disorders, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Brewin et al., 1996; Bywaters et al., 2004; Galton, 1880; 1883; Hirsch & Holmes, 2007; Holmes & Mathews, 2010; Horowitz, 1995; Morina et al., 2011; Oertel et al., 2009; Sack et al., 2005), but the link has still remained controversial. 'Mental imagery' refers to perceptual experiences in the absence of any sensory stimulation, being internally generated from memory (Richardson, 1994). Mental images are characterized by their subjective resemblance to sensory impressions, as seeing with the "mind's eye" (Kosslyn et al., 1999) or hearing with the "mind's ear" (Kosslyn et al., 2001). Imagery can involve multiple sensory modalities, including bodily sensations and feelings, and can be aroused voluntarily or spontaneously. Imagining a familiar face or object, the smell of roses or the sound of an ambulance siren all depend on our mental imagery ability. According to the preference order of sensory modalities, visual and auditory images are usually experienced as being the most vivid, whereas olfactory and gustatory images are experienced as being the least vivid (Schifferstein, 2009).

As mental imagery is important in all cognitive processes (Kosslyn et al., 1995; Pearson et al., 2015), it is also important in psychopathology because of its powerful impact on emotion (Holmes et al., 2008; 2009; Holmes & Mathews, 2010). It has been found that mental images elicit stronger emotions compared to the representations in verbal form (Pearson et al., 2015). One possible explanation why imagery enhances emotion is due to responding as if events or stimuli were "real" (Holmes & Mathews, 2010; Kosslyn et al., 2001). Emotional imagery (f. ex. fearful and distressing images) will likely lead to more vivid imagery compared to neutral images (Bywaters et al., 2004; Lang, 1977, 1979). The assessment of individual differences in mental imagery (ability) across mental disorders can help in treatment planning as well as in diagnostic decision-making (Ji et al., 2019). The examination of imagery in early psychosis may be especially valuable as this phase is associated with later outcomes (Laing et al., 2016).

Traditionally, imagery ability has been assessed according to two fundamental characteristics, vividness and controllability (Pearson et al., 2013; Richardson, 1994). The *vividness* refers to the clarity and liveliness of the image simulating an actual perception (Marks, 1972; 1989; McKelvie, 1995). The *controllability* refers to the ability to intentionally transform or manipulate mental images in one's mind (Gordon, 1949; Kosslyn, 1994; Richardson, 1994). This means that persons have a capacity to shift their mental view of an object and they can view it from different positions. According to Gordon (1972), an adaptive mental imagery depends on it being controlled and voluntary.

Usually vivid and controlled imagery is the expected imagery type in healthy people (Richardson, 1972). Mental imagery ability can differ in its vividness and controllability according to personality types and mental disorders (Ji et al.,

2019; Richardson, 1994). Costello (1957), who was the first to empirically link the characteristics of vividness and controllability of imagery with personality factors and psychopathology, suggested that the type of imagery indicates the type of disorder to which an individual might be prone. In psychotic disorders and severe mood disorders, such as schizophrenia spectrum disorders and bipolar disorder, the vivid and uncontrolled imagery type has been found (Aleman et al., 2000; Benson & Park, 2013; Crespi et al., 2016; Ivins et al., 2014; Oertel et al., 2009; Pearson et al., 2013; Rasmussen & Parnas, 2015; Sack et al., 2005). In that case mental images are "especially vivid" and are characterized by intrusive and distressing (repetive) emotional images (Brewin et al., 2010; Holmes & Hackmann, 2004; Holmes & Mathews, 2010; Jones & Steel, 2012; Morina et al., 2011; Morrison et al., 2002; Schulze et al., 2013). Mental images can interfere with reality, so that the person is not able to differentiate internal or external experiences, true or imagined perceptions. Thus, imagery that is "too vivid" may contribute to the maintenance of psychotic symptoms, for example, hallucinations and delusions (Aynsworth et al., 2017; Morrison, 2001; Morrison et al., 2002; Winfield & Kamboj, 2010). On the other hand, Crespi et al. (2016) have demonstrated that, in an opposite effect to psychotic conditions, imagery vividness is decreased in autism spectrum conditions. Thus, both decreased and increased mental imagery may associate with psychiatric disorders. This is contrary to the previous claim by Harvey et al. (2004), conceptualizing mental imagery as a continuum, where at one end is normative processing and at the other end psychopathological processing.

In previous research, impaired mental imagery (low vividness of imagery) has been associated with depression and anxiety disorders (Bryant & Harvey, 1996; Holmes et al., 2008; Morina et al., 2011; Morrison et al., 2011; Zarrinpar et al., 2006). However, more recent studies have not found deficits in the ability to generate, manipulate, or recall images in depressive and anxiety disorders compared to matched healthy controls (Di Simplicio et al., 2016). In affective disorders, the impairment of mental imagery seems to associate with the emotional content, so imagining positive scenarios is less vivid while the vividness of negative imagery is elevated (Holmes et al., 2008; 2016; Ji et al., 2019; Morina et al., 2011; Pile & Lau, 2018; Weßlau & Steil, 2014; Wu et al., 2015). This may further maintain anxiety and depressive symptoms (Ji et al., 2019). In contrast, in bipolar disorder the high vividness appears to be associated to both negative and positive mental imagery (Holmes et al., 2008; O'Donnell et al., 2018).

The study results concerning the relationship between imagery vividness (low vs. high) and psychotic symptoms are contradictory. In the review article by Seal et al. (2004), no clear relationship between vivid auditory imagery and auditory verbal hallucinations were found in schizophrenia, except for in a few studies (for example, Böcker et al., 2000; Mintz & Alpert, 1972) that found that the patients with hallucinations showed a higher level of vividness of mental imagery, especially in the auditory modality. The opposite results of weak (not vivid)

auditory imagery in hallucinating subjects (Brett & Starker, 1977; Seitz & Molholm, 1947), or no differences in imagery vividness between patients with or without hallucinations (Chandiramani & Varma, 1987; Heilbrun et al., 1983; Slade, 1976; Starker & Jolin, 1982) have also been reported. Although auditory imagery has been found as the preferred imagery modality in individuals with hallucinations with schizophrenia (Aleman et al., 2002; Böcker et al., 2000; Heilbrun et al., 1983), also olfactory images have been found to occur relatively frequently and with greater sensory detail in people scoring highly on schizotypy or experiencing hallucinations or magical thinking (Kwapil et al., 1996; Mohr et al., 2002; Winfield & Kamboj, 2010). However, the study by Stevenson et al. (2011) did not find a relationship between olfactory imagery ability and olfactory hallucinations.

The studies by Sack et al. (2005) and the replicating study by Oertel et al. (2009) found a significantly higher vividness of mental imagery in schizophrenia patients, but there were no significant correlations between vividness and hallucinations, or any other psychotic symptoms. Because of this, they claimed that mental imagery vividness is not an effect of the patient's current psychopathological state or a predisposition towards psychopathology, but an independent symptom that might be a new trait marker of schizophrenia, maybe related to the genetic liability to develop schizophrenia. Also, the study by Ng et al. (2016) postulated that increased mental imagery susceptibility might be a trait risk factor for bipolar disorders instead of a state-like feature or an effect of the disorder. However, the latest studies have found that people who have a general ability to experience vivid mental imagery may be more vulnerable to developing psychotic-like symptoms in trauma and stress conditions compared with people with low imagery vividness (Morina et al., 2013). According to them, hallucinations depend on the level of vividness, in other words, high vividness will predispose one to psychotic symptoms like hallucinations or mood amplifications (Aynsworth et al., 2017; O'Donnell et al., 2018). To summarize, the literature is inconsistent on whether "vivid imagery" accounts for psychotic experiences.

The possible associations between imagery and cognitive abilities have also been studied (Oertel et al., 2009; Richardson, 1994). Intelligence measured by IQ-type tests has not been found to systematically associate with imagery ability, e.g. whether weak imagers are more or less intelligent than vivid imagers (Richardson, 1994).

The main purpose of the present study was to examine the mental imagery ability among individuals with recent-onset psychosis. As well as the previous studies, also our study focuses on mental imagery of non-emotional stimuli during a non-aroused state. We assessed the vividness and controllability of mental imagery as well as the preference order of sensory modalities of this group. We hypothesized that individuals with psychosis show high imagery vividness and low imagery controllability and that auditory imagery is the most preferred modality in this group.

Our second aim was to examine possible associations between mental imagery and clinical variables such as psychotic symptoms and cognitive performance.

# Methods

## Participants

The participants consisted of 42 individuals with recent-onset psychosis (female: 19; male: 23) one year after their first psychiatric treatment contact for psychosis. They were diagnosed with a schizophrenia spectrum psychotic disorder (66.7%, n = 28), psychotic mood disorders (bipolar disorder and major depression) (19.0%, n=8), psychotic disorder not otherwise specified (11.9%, n=5), and a brief psychotic disorder (2.4%, n=1). DSM-IV diagnostic assessment was done by a senior psychiatrist (JS) after the one-year follow-up using all available information including SCID interviews (performed by a research nurse or a psychologist) and a review of all lifetime medical records from both psychiatric and other treatment contacts (Keinänen et al., 2015). The participants were recruited from the in- and outpatients units of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa and the City of Helsinki and participated in the Helsinki Early Psychosis Study (Keinänen et al., 2015; Lindgren et al., 2017) between the years 2010 and 2016. Participation in the study was voluntary, and a written consent was provided by all the participants. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki with approval of the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa, and of the institutional review boards of the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, and the University of Helsinki.

## Measures

The shortened form of Betts' Questionnaire upon Mental Imagery. The vividness of mental imagery was assessed by the shortened form of Betts' Questionnaire upon Mental Imagery (QMI) by Sheehan (1967a), which is a revised version of a 150-item questionnaire constructed by Betts (1909). QMI measures a general ability to imagine across seven sensory modalities, i.e., visual, auditory, cutaneous (tactile), kinaesthetic (motor), gustatory (taste), olfactory (smell), and organic (bodily sensations) modalities. Sheehan's (1967a) shortened inventory contains 35 items, five items for each of the seven sensory modalities. The respondents are asked to imagine different kinds of sensory items (e.g., "hearing an ambulance siren," "the sensation of fatigue," or "smelling the scent of a rose"), and to rate their imagery vividness on a seven-point scale ranging from 1 (a "perfect image," i.e., "I perceive it perfectly clearly and vividly, as if it were an actual experience") to 7 ("no image at all", i.e., "I am thinking about it but I cannot imagine it"). Thus, high scores indicate less vivid imagery and low scores more vivid imagery. The total score is reached by adding up the number of points for each item. The scale is a reliable and valid method for measuring the general ability to imagine (Sheehan, 1967a; 1967b; White et al., 1977). There are no significant gender differences in any of seven sensory modalities of the Betts' QMI (Campos, 2014a, 2014b; Campos & Pérez-Fabello, 2005; McKelvie, 1995). The scale is an internally consistent and reliable inventory in both clinical and general population groups (see e.g., Campos & Pérez-Fabello, 2005; Oertel et al., 2009; Sack et al., 2005; Vella-Brodrick & MacRae, 2004; White et al., 1977). The means and standard deviations for QMI subscales for college students (Kihlstrom et al., 1991) were the following: visual: 11.6 (4.4); auditory: 12.58 (4.64); cutaneous: 12.04 (4.6); kinesthetic: 12.1 (4.6); gustatory: 12.7 (5.13); olfactory: 14.44 (5.49); organic: 10.83 (4.27). The mean value of 3 or less on the seven-point scale refers to at least moderately clear and vivid images. Of the college students, only 1-2% of the subjects reported a mean value of 6 or more, and the distribution was positively skewed (Kihlstrom et al., 1991).

The Controllability of Visual Imagery Questionnaire. The controllability of mental imagery was assessed by the Controllability of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (CVIQ; Richardson, 1969), which is the adapted version of the Gordon's Test of Visual Imagery Control (Gordon, 1949). The CVIQ is a self-report test that measures the ability to control and manipulate images. It consists of 12 items in which participants are asked to visualize a car in a certain scene and then asked to rate on a three-point scale whether they could imagine it in different colors, positions, and states of motion (scoring: yes = 2, Im unsure = 1, no = 0). Total scores range from 0 to 24, and high scores indicate better image control. The mean score for college students (Kihlstrom et al., 1991) was 16.7 (*SD*: 4.7). The Gordon test is a well-established, empirically validated measure of controllability of mental imagery (Pearson et al., 2013; Richardson, 1994).

The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale—Expanded. The clinical evaluation was done using the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale—Expanded (BPRS-E; Ventura et al., 1993), which is a widely used rating scale for assessing the type, severity, and change over time of psychiatric symptoms. Ratings are based on clinical observations and subjects' verbal report of symptoms during the interview. Each symptom is rated on a seven-point scale, ranging from 1 (*not present*) to 7 (*extremely severe*). With regard to severity, BPRS item scores of 3 or below have been defined as a *mild severity* (or *in remission*) (Andreasen et al., 2005). Symptom severity was rated based on the past seven days (current), and positive and disorganized symptoms were also rated from the worst period during the past year. Three domains (alogia, anhedonia-asociality, and avolition-apathy) of the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) (Andreasen, 1989) were also included. The total score was reached by adding up the sum of 24 items of the BPRS. The reality distortion sum score was calculated as the mean of current hallucinations and unusual thought content item scores. The negative symptom sum score was calculated as the sum of BPRS scores for blunted affect and the three SANS items. Remission was defined according to the criteria by Andreasen et al. (2005), based on current symptom severity at the time of the one-year interview.

*Neurocognitive performance, g factor.* Cognitive testing was administered by a psychologist at one year, comprising tests from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, the Wechsler Memory Scale, the Trail Making Test, Verbal Fluency, the Tapping Task, and the Continuous Performance Test (Identical Pairs). A single exploratory factor model of the neurocognitive variables was formed to summarize neurocognitive performance (Lindgren et al., 2020), and the factor scores for this *g* factor were used to investigate the possible associations between cognitive performance and imagery.

## Data Analyses

Mean, standard deviation, and range were calculated for the demographic characteristics, mental imagery (vividness and controllability), and the symptom variables. Gender and age differences were analyzed by the Mann-Whitney U test and chi-square test. The Spearman's rho test was used to investigate the relationships between the mental imagery (vividness and controllability), and symptoms and cognitive performance.

We also repeated these analyses excluding the participants with psychotic mood disorder in order to see whether the results would be the same among those with non-affective psychosis.

Finally, a linear regression model was performed in the whole participant group to investigate which symptoms best explained the vividness of mental imagery. The symptoms with significant correlations with total mental imagery vividness were entered into a stepwise linear model that predicted vividness. All statistical analyses were calculated with SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25, with the significance level of .05.

## Results

The means and standard deviations for the vividness and controllability of the mental imagery among the individuals with recent-onset psychosis are presented in Table 1. No significant gender and age differences were observed in imagery vividness nor in controllability of mental imagery. The total vividness score was 94.9. Most subjects reported experiencing at least moderately vivid images, corresponding to a mean value of 2.7 on the seven-point scale in most

Variables	Mean (SD)	(Min – Max)
Age (years)	27.05 (5.5)	(19–42)
Vividness $(n = 41)^{a}$		× /
Total QMI	94.93 (24.2)	(36–138)
Organic	11.78 (3.9)	(5–23)
Auditory	11.80 (4.2)	(5–25)
Kinesthetic	12.46 (4.6)	(5–24)
Cutaneous	12.80 (4.2)	(5–23)
Gustatory	13.63 (5.0)	(5–27)
Visual	16.22 (5.2)	(5–27)
Olfactory <sup>b</sup>	16.22 (4.8)	(5–28)
Controllability $(n = 42)^{c}$		
Total sum	20.14 (4.6)	(7–24)
Female	19.78 (4.1)	(11-24)
Male	20.42 (5.0)	(7–24)
Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS) $(n = 42)^d$		
Total I–24	31.54 (7.9)	(24–59)
Reality distortion	1.45 (0.9)	(1–6)
Negative psychotic symptoms	4.29 (4.0)	(0-13)
I. Somatic concern	1.17 (0.5)	(1–3)
2. Anxiety	2.26 (1.4)	(1–6)
3. Depression	1.67 (1.1)	(1-5)
4. Suicidality	1.24 (0.7)	(1-4)
5. Guilt	1.57 (0.8)	(1-4)
6. Hostility	1.19 (0.6)	(1-3)
7. Elevated mood	1.07 (0.3)	(1-3)
8. Grandiosity	1.07 (0.5)	(1-4)
9. Suspiciousness	1.80 (1.3)	(1-5)
10.Hallucinations	1.14 (0.8)	(1-6)
II. Unusual thought content	1.76 (1.3)	(1-6)
12. Bizarre behavior	1.14 (0.5)	(1-4)
13. Self–neglect	1.57 (0.8)	(1-3)
14. Disorientation	1.02 (0.2)	(1-2)
15. Conceptual disorganization	1.12 (0.5)	(1-4)
16. Blunted affect	1.71 (1.0)	(1-4)
17. Emotional withdrawal	1.24 (0.6)	(1-3)
18. Motor retardation	1.31 (0.6)	(1-3)
19. Tension	1.12 (0.4)	(1-3)
20. Uncooperativeness	1.12 (0.3)	(1-2)
21. Excitement	1.12 (0.5)	(1-3)
22. Distractibility	1.00 (0.0)	(1-1)
23. Motor hyperactivity	1.00 (0.0)	(1-1)
24. Mannerism and posturing	1.05 (0.2)	(1-2)

**Table 1.** Demographic Characteristics, Mental Imagery (Vividness and Controllability) and BPRS Variables in Psychosis Patients (N = 42, Female = 19, Male = 23).

<sup>a</sup>Scores can range for total between 35–245 and for individual modality between 5–35.  ${}^{\mathrm{b}}n = 40$ .

<sup>c</sup>Scores range between 0 and 24.

<sup>d</sup>Scores of the items range between 1 and 7.

modalities. Organic and auditory imagery were the most vivid (mean: 2.4), whereas visual and olfactory imagery (mean: 3.2) were the least vivid sensory modalities. The mean score for controllability was 20.1.

Correlations between the mental imagery and symptoms are provided in Table 2. Total mental imagery vividness (sum QMI) correlated significantly with current anxiety and motor retardation, and with guilty feelings and selfneglect related to the worst period during the preceding year. The correlations were positive, meaning that the more symptoms, the less vivid the imagery. The individual sensory modalities mainly correlated positively with different symptoms. The olfactory modality correlated negatively with elevated mood, meaning that the more elevated mood, the more vivid the imagery. The cutaneous (tactile) modality was the only modality with no significant correlations with symptoms.

The controllability of mental imagery correlated negatively with emotional withdrawal. This means that the higher emotional withdrawal associated with a weaker controllability.

The g factor did not correlate statistically significantly with the vividness of mental imagery but the association of higher cognitive performance with higher controllability approached significance (p = .054).

We then repeated the analyses excluding the eight individuals with a psychotic mood disorder. Among the 33 individuals with non-affective psychosis, the total vividness score was 97.0 and the controllability score was 19.8. The results concerning the preference order of the sensory modalities and the correlations between mental imagery and clinical variables were mainly identical compared to the results using the whole sample.

Finally, in a linear regression model, the symptoms with significant correlations with total mental imagery vividness (anxiety, self-neglect during the worst period, guilt during the worst period, motor retardation) were entered into a stepwise linear model that predicted the vividness of mental imagery. As gender, age, and cognitive performance were not significantly associated with vividness, we did not include these variables as covariates in the model. Anxiety and selfneglect remained the best predictors of low vividness (see Table 3).

### Discussion

The aim of the study was to examine the mental imagery ability among individuals with recent-onset psychosis one year after entering treatment, most of them diagnosed with schizophrenia spectrum disorder and psychotic mood disorders. We hypothesized that, compared to the general population, the imagery vividness of individuals with psychosis would be high and the imagery controllability low, and that auditory imagery would be the most preferred modality. The vividness total score was 94.9, compared with 85.3 in the normative data published earlier (Campos & Pérez-Fabello, 2005). Noting that high scores

				Vividnes	S				
	Total score	Visual	Olfactory	Gustatory	Auditory	Kinestetic	Cutaneous	Organic	Controllability
BPRS 1-24 sum	.23	01.	.03	.16	.33 (p=.039)	.28	.12	.34 (p=.032)	
Reality Distortion	.10	-  4	07	.07	.17	.16	Ξ.	.31 (p=.047)	60.
Current Negative symptoms	15	26	- 00	10	24	13	906	26	- 26
I. Somatic conc.	<u>е</u> . П.	EL.	.05	61.	07	02	22.	03	-03
current									
worst	.02	00.	16	.08	.05	00.	.03	.07	.06
2. Anxiety	.39 (p=.011)	60.	.21	.33 (p=.038)	.50 (p=.001)	.38 (p=.014)	.21	.51 ( <i>p</i> =.001)	24
3. Depression	.25	03	.27	.26	.32 (p=.045)	.20	.04	.23	16
4. Suicidality	00.	22	.07	.06	.04	.06	10	.15	02
5. Guilt current	61.	.05	.08	.13	.15	.25	.15	.21	09
worst	.32 (p=.042)	.19	.24	.27	.21	.40 ( <i>p</i> =.010)	.22	.28	06
6. Hostility	10.	.02	00.	05	00.	.22		.10	.02
7. Elevated mood	13	07	–.36 (p=.023)	18	- 10	01	20	05	00.
8. Grandiosity current	80.	.15	23	00.	=.	.23	05	.18	16
worst	.04	.05	22	02	.I4	.23	.02	.13	-01
9. Suspiciousness	.07	19	.04	.05	.08	.14	01.	.24	.26
current									
worst	<u>-04</u>	=.	<u>-0</u>	02	01	80.	.12	.28	.14
10. Hallucinations	.15	00.	.13	.07	.30	.27	.07	.14	00.
current									
worst	02	12	06	.02	.05	60.	00.	.09	.03
II. Unusual thought	60.	14	08	.06	.16	.15	Ξ.	.31 (p=.047)	.09
content current									
worst	.05	01	03	10.	.12	.23	60.	.16	.06
12. Bizarre behavior	.05	.06	12	00.	01	04	.05	Ξ.	.17
current									
worst	.14	.07	.05	.21	.18	.04	.05	.02	.12

Table 2. Spearman Correlations Between the Mental Imagery Vividness and Controllability and BPRS Symptoms and Cognitive Performance.

(continued)

-	Jed.
•	ntinu
(	3
¢	i
2	able

				Vividne	SSS				
	Total score	Visual	Olfactory	Gustatory	Auditory	Kinestetic	Cutaneous	Organic	Controllability
13. Self-neglect	.24	.39 (p=.013)	.05	80.	.22	.22	.21	.22	26
worst	.34 (p=.027)	.41 (p=.008)	60.	.28	.33 (p=.033)	.30	.26	.20	16
14. Disorientation	, l9 - I9	. 10	01	23	20	=	24	22	.17
<ol> <li>Conceptual</li> </ol>	04	.24	<u> </u>	14	06	.12	09	.03	Ξ.
disorganisation									
16. Blunted affect	.21	.34 (p=.031)	.07	.07	.25	.28	.14	.15	21
17. Emotional	.22	.32 (p=.043)	09	.05	61.	.33 (p=.035)	.16	.29	35 (p=.023)
withdrawal									
18. Motor retardation	.31 (p=.047)	.39 (p=.011)	01.	.14	.21	.39 (p=.012)	.29	.16	22
19. Tension	.07	.04	.16	.12	60.	17	. 10	04	01
20.	06	05	=.	.02	03	01	07	03	.16
Uncooperativeness									
21. Excitement	.07	.06	09	03	00.	.17	02	el.	24
22. Distractibility	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
23. Motor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
hyperactivity									
24. Mannerism and	19	26	21	08	04	12	- - - -	10	.08
posturing Comitive perfort	4	S	5		50	5	α	60	30
mance (G-factor)	Ē	70.	5	77:	6	71.	2	2	2

Significant correlations (<.05) are followed with  $p\mbox{-value}.$ 

Predictors in the model	β	Þ	R <sup>2</sup>
Model I			.136
BPRS2 anxiety	.397	.010	
Model 2			.205
BPRS2 anxiety	.319	.035	
BPRS13 self-neglect worst	.305	.043	

Table 3. Regression Model of Total Mental Imagery Vividness.

Standardized regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ), significance of the variable (p), and adjusted R<sup>2</sup> of the models.

indicate less vivid imagery, the vividness (according to normative data) was not high, as we had expected. Instead, it was very much like in the general population or even below. The controllability of imagery was strong: 20.1, compared with 16.7 among college students (Kihlstrom et al., 1991). Organic and auditory imagery were the most vivid modalities.

Our second aim was to examine possible associations between mental imagery and clinical symptoms. In our study, significant correlations were mainly with negative symptoms, blunted affect, motor retardation, emotional withdrawal, and self-neglect, and with depressive and anxiety symptoms including depression, anxiety, suicidality, and guilt. The stronger symptoms the patients had, the less vivid was their imagery. Only in elevated mood was the correlation reverse, meaning that a more elevated mood associated with more vivid mental imagery. According to a linear regression model, the best predictors of low vividness were anxiety and self-neglect, highlighting the significance of these clinical symptoms in the context of mental imagery.

The current study supported the previous research (f. ex., Holmes et al., 2008; Morina et al., 2011; Morrison et al., 2011) in that depression and anxiety associated with low vividness of imagery but did not support the expected relationship between the high vividness of imagery and positive psychotic symptoms. In previous research high vividness has usually been connected to positive symptoms like hallucinations (f. ex., Mintz & Alpert, 1972; Böcker et al., 2000; Morina et al., 2013), although findings on weak vividness in auditory imagery have also been published in schizophrenia populations (Brett & Starker, 1977; Seitz & Molholm, 1947). The reason for the few correlations with positive symptoms may be that 60% of the study sample was already in remission one year after entering treatment, according to the criteria suggested by Andreasen et al. (2005). In our study, negative and affective symptoms associated with low vividness, whereas an elevated mood (the manic-excitement factor) associated with higher vividness. The above-mentioned result supports the claim that individuals suffering from bipolar disorder show high spontaneous use of imagery (Holmes et al., 2011).

The controllability of mental imagery in people with psychosis was higher than in the normative data based on previous research. Adaptive mental imagery depends on it being controlled (Gordon, 1972) but not overcontrolled (Laor et al., 1999), like it seems to be in this patient group. According to Laor et al. (1999) high image control is associated with poor emotion regulation (or control). Perhaps high controllability may tell about a poor introspection ability more than good (adaptive) controllability. Poor insight has been stated to be a typical feature in schizophrenia (Erickson et al., 2011; Giugiario et al., 2012). On the other hand, our study result supports the claim that individuals with schizophrenia are able to manipulate and control mental representations in order to form mental images (Matthews et al., 2014). Thakkar and Park (2012) reported that schizophrenia patients are better than controls in a mental rotation test, which demands the manipulation of internal representations (mental images). One of the most striking findings was that organic modality was reported as the most vivid modality, whereas the visual imagery was the least vivid. The organic modality refers to imaging physical sensations such as fatigue, hunger or pain. The organic modality being experienced as the most vivid could be hypothesized to be associated with the somatic symptoms the individuals with psychosis typically experience. A study by Schifferstein (2009) found that the recency of the imagined activity would affect to the vividness of images. On the other hand, organic modality correlated significantly with the BPRS variables measuring all kinds of psychotic symptoms, as well as reality distortion and strong anxiety, possibly illustrating the severity of illness. It did not correlate with the somatic symptoms.

Visual imagery was the least vivid modality, whereas in healthy people it is usually the most preferred modality (Schifferstein, 2009). According to literature on patients experiencing hallucinations, auditory imagery has been found to be the preferred imagery modality compared with visual imagery (Aleman et al., 2000; Böcker et al., 2000). It has been noted that when the vividness of auditory imagery increases, then the vividness of visual imagery decreases. On the other hand, visual imagery in particular seems to be sensitive to anxiety and depression and their impoverishing effect, leading to alterations in mental imagery ability (Bryant & Harvey, 1996; Zago et al., 2011).

Based on previous literature, the weak vividness of imagery in the visual modality in individuals with psychotic disorder could be associated with the function of memory. Visual imagery is the most studied imagery modality and is suggested to be the most important modality for human beings in daily tasks as well as in cognitive skills (Pearson et al., 2015). Visual imagery has been considered important for the memory system, e.g., memory reliving in autobiographical memory (Brewer,1996; Rubin et al., 2003), as autobiographical memories typically take the form of visual images (Rubin, 2006; Tulving, 1984) and the more vivid the image, the stronger the memory retrieval. Thus, weak visual imagery vividness could dampen the ability to remember the past and plan the

future, which has been noted to decrease in psychopathology, mostly in respect to positive memories. Usually people remember positive memories in their lives, but related to psychopathology (after trauma or in depression) intrusive and negative images may come into the mind involuntarily (Walker et al., 2003).

Working memory deficits are a core feature of schizophrenia (Forbes et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2011; Lee & Park, 2005). It has been found that individuals with stronger visual mental imagery perform better in visual working memory tasks (Keogh & Pearson, 2011). It has been investigated whether mental imagery and working memory share the same mechanism (Albers et al., 2013; Keogh & Pearson, 2011), whether or not they are "one and the same," to use the words of Tong (2013). In every case, both of them represent and manipulate visual information. Thus, could weak visual imagery ability partially or fully explain poor working memory ability or the other way around? According to the preliminary studies by Baddeley and Andrade (2000), imagery vividness is dependent on working memory, i.e., in order to form a vivid image, one needs to maintain and manipulate visual information in the visuospatial sketchpad without disruption or interference. When the process of maintenance fails, then the vividness of images reduces. In our study, anxiety would be an explaining factor, interfering with the process of maintenance. In this study, we investigated cognitive performance on a general level using the g factor and did not study working memory separately. The g factor also included working memory tasks but was loaded most heavily on verbal learning tasks along with processing speed and executive functioning tasks (Lindgren et al., 2020). There was a trend approaching significance that controllability (not vividness) was also associated with cognitive performance, meaning that the better the neurocognitive performance, the better the ability to control imagery. In previous studies no systematic association between imagery ability and cognitive abilities has been found (Richardson, 1977; 1994). Oertel et al. (2009) also found that performance on cognitive tests is independent from the vividness of mental imagery.

#### Strengths and Weaknesses

We report results on mental imagery of non-emotional stimuli and the results do not tell about mental imagery regarding distressing stimuli or imagery in a distressed emotional state. The strength of our study is that both the vividness and controllability of mental imagery were assessed using well-validated methods. Broad clinical evaluation and cognitive assessment were done, and the sample included individuals with both affective and non-affective psychosis. Our results were practically the same when using the whole sample or just the non-affective subsample. Besides of this, the strength of our study was that we also took into account negative symptoms. Pearson et al. (2013) have criticized that mental imagery research of the individuals with schizophrenia has focused on the positive symptoms (f. ex., hallucinations) and the relationship with trait imagery, but not on the negative symptoms (blunted affect etc.).

The lack of a matched control group is a weakness in our study. Instead we compared our results with earlier published references to normative data, not directly comparable with our sample. Another limitation of this study is that the sample size is rather small for the number of variables and replication studies are thus needed. Symptom measures used may account for the differences in our findings compared to previous findings. Positive and negative symptom levels were only assessed using the BPRS interview and the issue of imagery among individuals with recent-onset psychosis should be further studied using also specific symptom scales. The discrepant findings from other studies were based largely on individuals with schizophrenia, whereas in our study sample, 2/3 was diagnosed with a schizophrenia spectrum psychotic disorder. This could be one reason for the different findings in our study compared to previous studies.

Also, assessing mental imagery ability using self-ratings questionnaires, there is a possibility that individuals may overestimate their imagery skills associated with social desirability (Allbutt et al., 2008; Di Vesta et al., 1971; Richardson, 1977). Therefore, other ways to measure mental imagery have been suggested (Pearson et al., 2013). For example, measuring imagery controllability, objective measures (visuospatial tasks) are recommended in addition to subjective methods like the CVIQ test (Lequerica et al., 2002). It is difficult to assess the reliability of the results on whether the subjects were really able to visualize and transform a given scenario. It has been stated that the CVIQ demands an ability for self-observation or insight (Richardson, 1972). However, the advantage of using the self-report test like CVIQ is that no gender differences have been found, contrary to the objective (the performance-based) tests (Campos, 2014b; Campos et al., 2004). In this study we did not analyze the effects of antipsychotic medication that most (83%) of the participants used. There are only a few studies on this topic. The study by Sack et al. (2005) did not find a significant influence of different antipsychotic medication on mental imagery vividness. Finally, our cross-sectional study does not inform us about whether mental imagery ability is a stable inter-individual trait that predicts the development and maintenance of mental disorders (Andrade et al., 2014; Pearson et al., 2013) or a state that depends on mental disorders. The inconsistent findings of the present study as well as the previous studies on this topic may also suggest that mental imagery is not a very relevant indicator of psychopathology of psychosis or a diagnostic marker.

#### Conclusion

Our findings do not support the claim (Böcker et al., 2000; Mintz & Alpert, 1972; Oertel et al., 2009; Sack et al., 2005) that mental imagery vividness is

enhanced in people with psychotic disorder, nor that controllability is weak. Traditionally, vivid imagery has been found to associate with hallucinations. In this recent-onset psychosis sample, we found relationships between mental imagery and clinical symptoms that were contrary to some previous studies (Oertel et al., 2009; Sack et al., 2005). We found that stronger negative symptoms, depressive symptoms and anxiety associated with lower imagery vividness. However, an elevated mood associated with higher imagery vividness. According to Laing et al. (2016), anxiety and depression have an impoverishing effect on positive imagery influencing negatively future-oriented behavior, which is clinically important in the early course of psychosis. Decreasing anxiety and negative symptoms may increase imagery vividness, especially visual imagery vividness, which is an important modality in everyday tasks as well as in many cognitive skills, planning the future, and success in therapeutic interventions and treatment.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Marjut Grainger, Sebastian Therman, and the whole of the Helsinki Early Psychosis Study group, as well as our participants.

#### **Author Contributions**

J. S. and T. K. are principal investigators in the Helsinki Early Psychosis Study and designed the original study protocol. L. A.-L. and M. L. participated in collecting the data, and J. S. was responsible for DSM-IV diagnoses. T. I. designed the focus of the study. L. A.-L. and M. L. undertook the statistical analysis. L. A.-L. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All the authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This study was funded by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for the project METSY—Neuroimaging platform for characterization of metabolic co-morbidities in psychotic disorders (#602478; J. S.), the Academy of Finland (#323035 and #278171; J. S.), the Finnish Cultural Foundation (J. S.), and the Sigrid Jusélius Foundation (J. S.). The funding organizations played no further part in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; or in the writing of the paper.

## **ORCID** iDs

Laura Auvinen-Lintunen (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0435-0089

Jaana Suvisaari ( https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7167-0990 Maija Lindgren ( https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9846-0416

#### References

- Albers, A. M., Kok, P., Toni, I., Dijkerman, H. C., & de Lange, F. P. (2013). Shared representations for working memory and mental imagery in early visual cortex. *Current Biology: CB*, 23(15), 1427–1431. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.065
- Aleman, A., de Haan, E. H. F., Böcker, K. B., Hijman, R., & Kahn, R. S. (2002). Hallucinations in schizophrenia: Imbalance between imagery and perception? *Schizophrenia Research*, 57(2-3), 315–316.
- Aleman, A., Nieuwenstein, M. R., Bocker, K. B., & de Haan, E. H. F. (2000). Mental imagery and perception in hallucination-prone individuals. *The Journal of Nervous* and Mental Disease, 188(12), 830–836.
- Allbutt, J., Ling, J., Heffernan, T. M., & Shafiullah, M. (2008). Self-report imagery questionnaire scores and subtypes of social-desirable responding. *Journal of Individual Differences*, 29(4), 181–188. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-0001.29.4.181
- Andrade, J., May, J., Deeprose, C., Baugh, S.-J., & Ganis, G. (2014). Assessing vividness of mental imagery: The Plymouth sensory imagery questionnaire. *British Journal of Psychology*, 105(4), 547–563.
- Andreasen, N. C. (1989). The Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS): Conceptual and theoretical foundations. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 155(S7), 49–52.
- Andreasen, N. C., Carpenter, W. T., Kane, J. M., Lasser, R. A., Marder, S. R., & Weinberger, D. R. (2005). Remission in schizophrenia: Proposed criteria and rationale for consensus. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162(3), 441–449.
- Aynsworth, C., Nemat, N., Collerton, D., Smailes, D., & Dudley, R. (2017). Reality monitoring performance and the role of visual imagery in visual hallucinations. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 97, 115–122.
- Baddeley, A. D., & Andrade, J. (2000). Working memory and the vividness of imagery. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 129(1), 126–145.
- Benson, T. L., & Park, S. (2013). Exceptional visuospatial imagery in schizophrenia; implications for madness and creativity. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7(756), 1–11.
- Brett, E. A., & Starker, S. (1977). Auditory imagery and hallucinations. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 164, 394–400.
- Betts, G. H. (1909). *The distribution and functions of mental imagery*. Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Brewer, W. F. (1996). What is recollective memory. ? In D. C. Rubin (Ed.), *Remembering our past: Studies in autobiographical memory* (pp. 19–66). Cambridge University Press.
- Brewin, C. R., Dalgleish, T., & Joseph, S. (1996). A dual representation theory of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Review*, 103(4), 670–617.
- Brewin, C. R., Gregory, J. D., Lipton, M., & Burgess, N. (2010). Intrusive images in psychological disorders: Characteristics, neural mechanisms, and treatment implications. *Psychological Review*, 117(1), 210–232.
- Bryant, R. A., & Harvey, A. G. (1996). Visual imagery in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 9(3), 613–619.

- Bywaters, M., Andrade, J., & Turpin, G. (2004). Determinants of the vividness of visual imagery: The effects of delayed recall, stimulus affect and individual differences. *Memory*, 12(4), 479–488.
- Böcker, K. B. E., Hijman, R., Kahn, R. S., & Haan, E. H. F. (2000). Perception, mental imagery and reality discrimination in hallucinating and non-hallucinating schizophrenic patients. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 39(4), 397–406.
- Campos, A., Pérez-Fabello, M. J., & Gómez-Juncal, R. (2004). Gender and age differences in measured and self-perceived imaging capacity. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37(7), 1383–1389. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2004.01.008
- Campos, A. (2014a). Correlations and sex differences in seven sensory modalities of imagery. North American Journal of Psychology, 16(3), 587–594.
- Campos, A. (2014b). Gender differences in imagery. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 59, 107–111.
- Campos, A., & Pérez-Fabello, M. J. (2005). The Spanish version of the Betts' questionnaire upon mental imagery. *Psychological Reports*, 96(1), 51–56. http://dx.doi.org/10. 2466/PR0.96.1.51-56
- Chandiramani, K., & Varma, V. K. (1987). Imagery in schizophrenic patients compared with normal controls. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, 60(4), 335–341.
- Costello, C. G. (1957). The control of visual imagery in mental disorder. Journal of Mental Sciences, 103, 840–849.
- Crespi, B., Leach, E., Dinsdale, N., Mokkonen, M., & Hurd, P. (2016). Imagination in human social cognition, autism, and psychotic–affective conditions. *Cognition*, 150, 181–199.
- Di Simplicio, M., Renner, F., & Blackwell, S. E. (2016). An investigation of mental imagery in bipolar disorder: Exploring "the mind's eye." *Bipolar Disorders*, 18(8), 669–683.
- Di Vesta, F. J., Ingersoll, G., & Sunshine, P. (1971). A factor analytic analysis of imagery tests. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 10(5), 471–479.
- Erickson, M., Jaafari, N., & Lysaker, P. (2011). Insight and negative symptoms as predictors of functioning in patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research*, 189(2), 161–165.
- Forbes, N. F., Carrick, L. A., McIntosh, A. M., & Lawrie, S. M. (2009). Working memory in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 39, 889–905.
- Galton, F. (1880). I. Statistics of mental imagery. Mind, os-V(19), 301-318.
- Galton, F. (1883). Inquiries into human faculty and its development. Macmillan.
- Giugiario, M., Crivelli, B., Mingrone, C., Montemagni, C., Scalese, M., Sigaudo, M., Rocca, G., & Rocca, P. (2012). Cognitive function and competitive employment in schizophrenia: Relative contribution of insight and psychopathology. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 47(4), 553–561.
- Gordon, R. (1949). An investigation into some of the factors that favour the formation of stereotyped images. *British Journal of Psychology*, *39*, 156–167.
- Gordon, R. (1972). A very private world. In P. W. Sheehan (Ed.), *The function and nature of imagery* (pp. 63–80). Academic Press.
- Harvey, A., Watkins, E., Mansell, W., & Shafran, R. (2004). Cognitive behavioural processes across psychological disorders: A transdiagnostic approach to research and treatment. Oxford University Press.

- Heilbrun, A. B., Blum, N., & Haas, M. (1983). Cognitive vulnerability to auditory hallucination. Preferred imagery mode and spatial location of sounds. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 143(3), 294–299.
- Hirsch, C. R., & Holmes, E. A. (2007). Mental imagery in anxiety disorders. *Psychiatry*, 6(4), 161–165.
- Holmes, E. A., Blackwell, S. E., Burnett Heyes, S., Renner, F., & Raes, F. (2016). Mental imagery in depression: Phenomenology, potential mechanisms, and treatment implications. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 12(1), 249–280. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-clinpsy-021815-092925
- Holmes, E. A., Deeprose, C., Fairburn, C. G., Wallace-Hadrill, S. M. A., Bonsall, M. B., Geddes, J. R., & Goodwin, G. M. (2011). Mood stability versus mood instability in bipolar disorder: A possible role for emotional mental imagery. *Behaviour Research* and Therapy, 49(10), 707–713.
- Holmes, E. A., & Hackmann, A. (2004). A healthy imagination? Editorial for the special issue of memory: Mental imagery and memory in psychopathology. *Memory*, 12(4), 387–388.
- Holmes, E. A., Lang, T. J., Moulds, M. L., & Steele, A. M. (2008). Prospective and positive mental imagery deficits in dysphoria. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 46(8), 976–981.
- Holmes, E. A., Lang, T. J., & Shah, D. M. (2009). Developing interpretation bias modification as a cognitive vaccine. For depressed mood: Imagining positive events makes you feel better than thinking about them verbally. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 118(1), 76–88.
- Holmes, E. A., & Mathews, A. (2010). Mental imagery in emotion and emotional disorders. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 349–362.
- Ivins, A., Di Simplicio, M., Close, H., Goodwin, G. M., & Holmes, E. (2014). Mental imagery in bipolar affective disorder versus unipolar depression: Investigating cognitions at times of 'positive' mood. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 166, 234–242.
- Horowitz, M. J. (1995). Image formation and psychotherapy. Jason Aronson.
- Ji, J. L., Kavanagh, D. J., Holmes, E. A., MacLeod, C., & Di Simplicio, M. (2019). Mental imagery in psychiatry: Conceptual & clinical implications. CNS Spectrums, 24, 114–126. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852918001487.
- Jones, V., & Steel, C. (2012). Schizotypal personality and vulnerability to involuntary autobiographical memories. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 43(3), 871–876.
- Kang, S. S., Sponheim, S. R., Chafee, M. V., & MacDonald, A. W. (2011). Disrupted functional connectivity for controlled visual processing as a basis for impaired spatial working memory in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia*, 49(10), 2836–2847. http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.06.009
- Keinänen, J., Mantere, O., Kieseppä, T., Mäntylä, T., Torniainen, M., Lindgren, M., Sundvall, J., & Suvisaari, J. (2015). Early insulin resistance predicts weight gain and waist circumference increase in first-episode psychosis – A one year follow-up study. *Schizophrenia Research*, 169(1-3), 458–463. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/arti cle/pii/S0920996415300451
- Keogh, R., & Pearson, J. (2011). Mental imagery and visual working memory. *PloS One*, 6(12), 1–8. DOI: 10. 1371/journal.pone.0029221.

- Kihlstrom, J. F., Glisky, M. L., Peterson, M. Q., Harvey, E. M., & Rose, P. M. (1991). Vividness and control of imagery: A psychometric analysis. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 15, 133–142.
- Kosslyn, S. M. (1994). Image and brain. Resolution of the imagery debate. MIT Press.
- Kosslyn, S. M., Behrmann, M., & Jeannerod, M. (1995). The cognitive neuroscience of mental imagery. *Neuropsychologia*, 33(11), 1335–1344.
- Kosslyn, S. M., Ganis, G., & Thompson, W. L. (2001). Neural foundations of imagery. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(9), 635–642.
- Kosslyn, S. M., Sukel, K. E., & Bly, B. M. (1999). Squinting with the mind's eye: Effects of stimulus resolution on imaginal and perceptual comparisons. *Memory & Cognition*, 27(2), 276–287.
- Kwapil, T. R., Chapman, J. P., Chapman, L. J., & Miller, M. B. (1996). Deviant olfactory experiences as indicators of risk for psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 22(2), 371–380.
- Laing, J., Morland, T., & Fornells-Ambrojo, M. (2016). The phenomenology and generation of positive mental imagery in early psychosis. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 23(6), 523–532.
- Lang, P. J. (1977). Imagery in therapy: An information processing analysis of fear. Behavior Therapy, 8(5), 862–886.
- Lang, P. J. (1979). A bio-informational theory of emotional imagery. *Psychophysiology*, *16*, 495–512.
- Laor, N., Wolmer, L., Wiener, Z., Weizman, R., Toren, P., & Ron, S. (1999). Image control and symptom expression in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Nervous* and Mental Disease, 187(11), 673–679.
- Lee, J., & Park, S. (2005). Working memory impairment in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 114(4), 599–611.
- Lequerica, A., Rapport, L., Axelrod, B. N., Telmet, K., & Whitman, R. D. (2002). Subjective and objective assessment methods of mental imagery control: Construct validation of self-report measures. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 24(8), 1103–1116.
- Lindgren, M., Birling, H., Kieseppä, T., & Tuulio-Henriksson, A. (2020). Is cognitive performance associated with anxiety and depression in first-episode psychosis? *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 263, 221–227. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 31818780
- Lindgren, M., Mäntylä, T., Rikandi, E., Torniainen-Holm, M., Morales-Muñoz, I., Kieseppä, T., Mantere, O., & Suvisaari, J. (2017). Childhood adversities and clinical symptomatology in first-episode psychosis. *Psychiatry Research*, 258, 374–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.08.070
- Marks, D. F. (1972). Individual differences in the vividness of visual imagery and their effects on function. In P.W. Sheehan (Ed.), *The function and nature of imagery* (pp. 83–108). Academic Press.
- Marks, D. F. (1989). Construct validity of the vividness of visual imagery questionnaire. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 69(2), 459–465. https://doi.org/10.2466/ pms.1989.69.2.459
- Matthews, N. L., Collins, K. P., Thakkar, K. N., & Park, S. (2014). Visuospatial imagery and working memory in schizophrenia. *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry*, 19(1), 17–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/13546805.2013.779577

- McKelvie, S. J. (1995). The VVIQ and beyond: Vividness and its measurement. Journal of Mental Imagery, 19(3-4), 197–252.
- Mintz, S., & Alpert, M. (1972). Imagery vividness, reality testing, and schizophrenic hallucinations. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 79(3), 310–316.
- Mohr, C., Hübener, F., & Laska, M. (2002). Deviant olfactory experiences, magical ideation, and olfactory sensitivity: A study with healthy German and Japanese subjects. *Psychiatry Research*, 111(1), 21–33.
- Morina, N., Deeprose, C., Pusowski, C., Schmid, M., & Holmes, E. A. (2011). Prospective mental imagery in patients with major depressive disorder or anxiety disorders. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 25(8), 1032–1037.
- Morina, N., Leibold, E., & Ehring, T. (2013). Vividness of general mental imagery is associated with the occurrence of intrusive memories. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 44(2), 221–226.
- Morrison, A. P. (2001). The interpretation of intrusions in psychosis: An integrative cognitive approach to psychotic symptoms. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 29(3), 257–276.
- Morrison, A. S., Amir, N., & Taylor, C. T. (2011). A behavioral index of imagery ability in social anxiety. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, *35*(4), 326–332.
- Morrison, A. P., Beck, A. T., Glentworth, D., Dunn, H., Reid, G. S., Larkin, W., & Williams, S. (2002). Imagery and psychotic symptoms: A preliminary investigation. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 40(9), 1053–1062.
- Ng, R. M. K., Burnett Heyes, S., McManus, F., Kennerley, H., & Holmes, E. A. (2016). Bipolar risk and mental imagery susceptibility in a representative sample of Chinese adults residing in the community. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 62(1), 94–102.
- O'Donnell, C., Di Simplicio, M., Brown, R., Holmes, E. A., & Burnett Heyes, S. (2018). The role of mental imagery in mood amplification: An investigation across subclinical features of bipolar disorders. *Cortex*, *105*, 104–117.
- Oertel, V., Rotarska-Jagiela, A., van den Ven, V., Haenschel, C., Grube, M., Stangier, U., Maurer, K., & Linden, D. E. J. (2009). Mental imagery vividness as a trait marker across the schizophrenia spectrum. *Psychiatry Research*, 167, 1–11. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.psychres.2007.12.008
- Pearson, D. G., Deeprose, C., Wallace-Hadrill, S. M. A., Heyes, S. B., & Holmes, E. A. (2013). Assessing mental imagery in clinical psychology: A review of imagery measures and a guiding framework. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33(1), 1–23. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cpr.2012.09.001.
- Pearson, J., Naselaris, T., Holmes, E. A., & Kosslyn, S. M. (2015). Mental imagery: Functional mechanisms and clinical applications. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 19(10), 590–602.
- Pile, V., & Lau, J. Y. F. (2018). Looking forward to the future: Impoverished vividness for positive prospective events characterises low mood *in* adolescence. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 238, 269–276.
- Rasmussen, A. R., & Parnas, J. (2015). Pathologies of imagination in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 131(3), 157–161.
- Richardson, A. (1969.) Mental Imagery. Routledge & Keagan Paul.
- Richardson, A. (1972). Voluntary control of the memory image. In P. W. Sheehan (Ed.), *The function and nature of imagery*, (pp. 109–129). Academic Press.

- Richardson, A. (1977). The meaning and measurement of memory imagery. British Journal of Psychology, 68(1), 29–43.
- Richardson, A. (1994). Individual differences in imaging: Their measurement, origins, and consequences. Baywood.
- Rubin, D. C. (2006). The basic-systems model of episodic memory. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 1(4), 277–311. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6916.2006. 00017.x.
- Rubin, D. C., Burt, C. D., & Fifield, S. J. (2003). Experimental manipulation of the phenomenology of memory. *Memory & Cognition*, 31(6), 877–886.
- Sack, A., van de Ven, V., Etschenberg, S., Schatz, D., & Linden, D. (2005). Enhanced vividness of mental imagery as a trait marker of schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 31(1), 97–104.
- Schifferstein, H. N. J. (2009). Comparing mental imagery across the sensory modalities. Imagination, Cognition and Personality, 28(4), 371–388.
- Schulze, K., Freeman, D., Green, C., & Kuipers, E. (2013). Intrusive mental imagery in patients with persecutory delusions. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 51(1), 7–14.
- Seal, M., Aleman, L., McCuire, A., & K, P. (2004). Compelling imagery, unanticipated speech and deceptive memory: Neurocognitive models of auditory verbal hallucinations in schizophrenia. *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry*, 9(1-2), 43–72.
- Seitz, P. E. D., & Molholm, H. B. (1947). Relation of mental imagery to hallucinations. Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry, 57(4), 469–480.
- Sheehan, P. W. (1967a). A shortened form of Betts' questionnaire upon mental imagery. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 23(3), 386–389.
- Sheehan, P. W. (1967b). Reliability of a short test of imagery. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 25, 744.
- Slade, P. D. (1976). An investigation of psychological factors involved in the predisposition to auditory hallucinations. *Psychological Medicine*, 6(1), 123–132.
- Starker, S., & Jolin, A. (1982). Imagery and hallucinations in schizophrenic patients. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 170, 448–451.
- Stevenson, R. J., Langdon, R., & McGuire, J. (2011). Olfactory hallucinations in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder: A phenomenological survey. *Psychiatry Research*, 185(3), 321–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.07.032
- Thakkar, K. N., & Park, S. (2012). Impaired passive maintenance and spared manipulation of internal representations in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 38(4), 787–795.
- Tong, F. (2013). Imagery and visual working memory: One and the same? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *17*(10), 489–490.
- Tulving, E. (1984). Précis of elements of episodic memory. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 7(2), 223–238.
- Vella-Brodrick, D. A., & MacRae, K. (2004). A review of mental imagery scales commonly used in sporting contexts. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 28(3), 121–148.
- Ventura, J., Lukoff, D., Nuechterlein, K. H., Liberman, R. P., & Green, M. F. (1993). Brief psychiatric rating scale (BPRS) expanded version: Scales, anchor points, and administration manual. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 3, 227–243.

- Walker, W. R., Skowronski, J. J., & Thompson, C. P. (2003). Life is pleasant and memory helps to keep it that way! *Review of General Psychology*, 7(2), 203–210.
- Weßlau, C., & Steil, R. (2014). Visual mental imagery in psychopathology Implications for the maintenance and treatment of depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 34(4), 273–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.03.001
- White, K. D., Sheehan, P. W., & Ashton, R. (1977). Imagery assessment: A survey of selfreport measures. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 1, 145–170.
- Winfield, H., & Kamboj, S. K. (2010). Schizotypy and mental time travel. Consciousness and Cognition, 19(1), 321–327.
- Wu, J. Q., Szpunar, K. K., Godovich, S. A., Schacter, D. L., & Hofmann, S. G. (2015). Episodic future thinking in generalized anxiety disorder. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 36, 1–8.
- Zago, S., Allegri, N., Cristoffanini, M., Ferrucci, R., Porta, M., & Priori, A. (2011). Is the Charcot and Bernard case (1883) of loss of visual imagery really based on neurological impairment? *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry*, 16(6), 481–504.
- Zarrinpar, A., Deldin, P., & Kosslyn, S. M. (2006). Effects of depression on sensory/ motor vs. central processing in visual mental imagery. *Cognition & Emotion*, 20(6), 737–758.

#### **Author Biographies**

Laura Auvinen-Lintunen, MA (psychology), PhD student. She is also trained as a psychotherapist (psychoanalytical). She has been working as a clinical psychologist for almost two decades in the forensic department in Helsinki University Hospital (HUH) and after that many years in Division of Psychoses and Forensic Psychiatry, Helsinki University Hospital (HUH) with the outpatients of psychotic disorders.

**Tuula Ilonen,** PhD, Adjunct Professor (emerita). Research on psychiatric disorders at the University of Turku.

**Tuula Kieseppä**, MD, PhD, an Adjunct Professor of Psychiatry, University of Helsinki. She is a Director of Division of Psychoses and Forensic Psychiatry, Helsinki University Hospital (HUH) since 2015. She is responsible for developing and organizing the treatment and rehabilitation of psychotic disorders in the area of HUH. She has been involved in severe mental disorder risk and follow-up research for about 20 years besides clinical psychiatry participating both in national and international projects (Hallahan et al. 2011). Since 2011 she has been clinical PI of the Helsinki First Episode Psychosis study at HUH together with prof. Jaana Suvisaari (THL) (Suvisaari et al. 2018), demonstrating that it is possible to enroll patients with first psychotic episode to a research project. 2016 - 2018 she was a principal coordinator of The Finnish SUPER study on genetic mechanisms of psychotic disorders at HUH (a part of the international Stanley Global Neuropsychiatric Genomics Initiative) organizing a collection of over 2000 patient samples.

**Jaana Suvisaari**, MD, PhD is research professor at the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare. Her research has two focuses: research on psychotic disorders, spanning from etiological and pathophysiological mechanisms to early detection, somatic comorbidities and outcome, and research on mental disorders and their risk factors, trajectories and treatment in the general population based on health questionnaire and interview surveys as well as nationwide health care registers.

**Maija Lindgren**, PhD (psychology), Adjunct Professor, works as a Research manager at the Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland. She does research in psychosis risk and first-episode psychosis.