



https://helda.helsinki.fi

Association Between Anthropometric Indices and Nonanthropometric Components of Metabolic Syndrome in Saudi Adults

Al-Ahmadi, Jawaher

2022-05-13

Al-Ahmadi , J , Enani , S , Bahijri , S , Al-Raddadi , R , Jambi , H , Eldakhakhny , B , Borai , A , Ajabnoor , G & Tuomilehto , J 2022 , ' Association Between Anthropometric Indices and Nonanthropometric Components of Metabolic Syndrome in Saudi Adults ' , Journal of the Endocrine Society , vol. 6 , no. 6 . https://doi.org/10.1210/jendso/bvac055

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/344601 https://doi.org/10.1210/jendso/bvac055

cc_by_nc_nd publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.



Association Between Anthropometric Indices and Nonanthropometric Components of Metabolic Syndrome in Saudi Adults

Jawaher Al-Ahmadi,^{1,2,3} Sumia Enani,^{1,2,4,} Suhad Bahijri,^{1,2,5,} Rajaa Al-Raddadi,^{1,2,6} Hanan Jambi,^{1,4} Basmah Eldakhakhny,^{1,2,5,} Anwar Borai,^{1,7} Ghada Ajabnoor,^{1,2,5,} and Jaakko Tuomilehto^{1,8,9,}

¹Saudi Diabetes Research Group, King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 3270, Saudi Arabia ²Food, Nutrition and Lifestyle Research Unit, King Fahd for Medical Research Centre, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia ³Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 22252, Saudi Arabia ⁴Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Human Sciences and Design, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 3270, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Food and Nutrition, Faculty of Human Sciences and Design, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 3270, Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 22252, Saudi Arabia

⁶Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 22252, Saudi Arabia

⁷King Abdullah International Medical Research Center (KAIMRC), College of Medicine, King Saud Bin Abdulaziz, University for Health Sciences (KSAU-HS), Jeddah 22384, Saudi Arabia

⁸Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland; and

⁹Department of Public Health and Welfare, Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, FI-00271 Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence: Sumia Enani, PhD, Saudi Diabetes Research Group, King Fahd Medical Research Center, King Abdulaziz University, Al-Malae'b St, Jeddah 22252, Saudi Arabia. Email: senani@kau.edu.sa.

Abstract

Context: Waist circumference (WC) is used in screening for metabolic syndrome (MetS) based on its association with cardiometabolic risk. This might apply differently in ethnically different populations. Associations with other measures are also unclear.

Objective: This work aimed to investigate the association between neck circumference (NC), WC, WC:hip circumference, WC:height (WC:Ht), NC:Ht, fat percentage, body mass index (BMI), conicity index, abdominal volume index, and weight-adjusted waist index with nonanthropometric components of MetS in nondiabetic Saudi adults.

Methods: This cross-sectional study took place in public health centers in Jeddah, comprising 1365 Saudi adults (772 men and 593 women) aged 18 years or older not previously diagnosed with diabetes. Main outcome measures included the presence of 2 or more nonanthropometric components of the MetS were used to define clinical metabolic abnormality (CMA). The predictive ability of studied anthropometric indices for CMA was determined using the area under receiver operating characteristics (AUC) curve and binary logistic regression.

Results: A total of 157 men and 83 women had CMA. NC and NC:Ht had the highest predictive ability for CMA in men (odds ratio [OR]_{NC} = 1.79, P < .001 and $OR_{NC:Ht} = 1.68$, P < .001; AUC_{NC} = 0.69 [95% CI, 0.64-0.74] and AUC_{NC:Ht} = 0.69 [95% CI, 0.64-0.73]). In women, WC had the highest predictive ability $OR_{WC} = 1.81$, P < .001; AUC_{WC} = 0.75 [95% CI, 0.69-0.80]).

Conclusion: Upper-body anthropometric indicators that were associated with subcutaneous fat had the highest predictive ability for CMA in men whereas abdominal obesity indictors had the best predictive ability in women, suggesting that fat distribution might contribute to CMA in a sex-specific manner.

Key Words: metabolic syndrome, anthropometry, blood pressure, blood glucose, cholesterol, HDL

Abbreviations: AUC, area under receiver operating characteristic curve; AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; C index; conicity index; CMA, clinical metabolic abnormality; CVD, cardiovascular disease; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA_{1c}, glycated hemoglobin A_{1c}, HC, hip circumference; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Ht, height; KSA, kingdom of Saudi Arabia; MetS, metabolic syndrome; NC, neck circumference; OR, odds ratio; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; TGs, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference.

Metabolic syndrome (MetS), defined as a combination of any 3 risk factors, including abdominal obesity, dysglycemia, elevated blood pressure (BP), serum triglycerides (TGs), and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), is reported to increase the probability of developing cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [1-3]. The prevalence of MetS has been increasing globally, and it varies between 12% and 37% in Asian populations and 12% and 26% in European populations [4-6]. MetS is highly prevalent in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA) [7, 8]. Furthermore, there is an increasing prevalence of T2DM and CVD worldwide [9-11], and in the KSA in particular [12]. CVD is the number one cause of death both globally [11] and in the KSA [11, 13]. Therefore, it is imperative to identify individuals

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://

creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@ oup.com

Received: 14 January 2022. Editorial Decision: 29 March 2022. Corrected and Typeset: 13 May 2022

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Endocrine Society.

with metabolic abnormalities for the implementation of preventive strategies and to reduce the burden both of CVD and T2DM in the community.

The diagnosis of MetS requires invasive laboratory measurements to determine the plasma lipid profile and glycemic status. These measurements are difficult to standardize and costly, hence challenging to include in large-scale population screening. The beneficial effect of weight loss on the different components of MetS [14] has been shown to reduce all-cause and CVD mortality. In view of such various reports, the use of anthropometric indices as an important noninvasive method for diagnosing MetS has been suggested, and novel anthropometric measures have been introduced and compared with traditional ones [15-22].

Anthropometric indices such as waist circumference (WC) reflecting abdominal visceral adiposity are usually used by clinicians in the screening for MetS. The selection of WC as a tool to determine MetS was based on its association with cardiometabolic risk in the United States and Western European populations, who generally have a higher stature than, for instance, the Saudi population [2]. Using WC to screen for MetS in the Saudi population might have limitations since people with similar WC do not necessarily share similar health risks that may also depend on their height (Ht) [23]. For example, in a Japanese population, short men showed higher health risks than tall men who had similar WC in the moderately large WC group, suggesting that other metabolic indices such as WC:Ht might better reflect cardiometabolic health [23, 24]. In addition, subcutaneous adipose tissue mass has been showed to contribute both in an independent and synergistic way with visceral fat to the pathology of CVD in longitudinal studies [25, 26]. Thus, the simple measurement of WC to indicate visceral adiposity is not reflective of all risk predictions for CVD associated with anthropometric factors.

Therefore, we aimed to investigate the association between several anthropometric indices, traditional and novel, and the metabolic components of MetS, alone and combined, in a Saudi population. Since cutoff values for risk of metabolic dysregulation may vary according to sex and ethnicity [2, 27, 28], we also aimed at estimating cutoff values that best predict metabolic abnormalities for each measurement investigated.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Data Collection

Saudi adults (aged \geq 18 years) living in the city of Jeddah, not previously diagnosed with T2DM, were included in the study following a detailed protocol outlined earlier [29]. Individuals with previously diagnosed T2DM, cancer, renal or liver disease, CVD, gastrointestinal diseases requiring a special diet, physical or mental disabilities, and pregnant women were excluded. The Committee on Ethics of Human Research at the Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, and the Committee on Research Ethics at the Ministry of Health, KSA, approved the study.

In brief, a cross-sectional design was used aiming to recruit 1500 participants (750 men and 750 women) from randomly selected public health care centers by employing a stratified, 2-stage cluster sampling method [30]. A consent form was signed by recruited participants. Demographics, lifestyle variables, dietary habits, and personal medical and family history were obtained using a predesigned questionnaire based on factors associated with dysglycemia and other metabolic abnormalities found in other populations [31-42].

Participants were instructed to fast overnight for 10 to 14 hours, and fasting blood samples were collected to measure fasting plasma glucose (FPG), as well as serum TGs and HDL-C.

Anthropometric Indices

Anthropometric indices, (height, weight, WC, hip circumference [HC], and NC), and fat percentage [fat %]) as well as BP were measured using standardized equipment and techniques as outlined earlier [29]. Ht was measured bare footed to the nearest 0.5 cm using a stationary stadiometer. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.5 kg while wearing light street clothing using a portable calibrated scale (Omron BF511; OMRON Healthcare). WC was measured midway between the lowest rib and iliac crest to the nearest 0.5 cm. Weight and Ht measurements were used to calculate body mass index (BMI). Conicity index (C index), abdominal volume index (AVI), and weight-adjusted-waist index (WWI) were measured as follows [43-45]:

$$C - Index = \frac{WC (m)}{0.109 \sqrt{\frac{body weight (kg)}{beight (m)}}}$$
$$AVI = \frac{2 \times (WC)^2 + 0.7 \times (WC - HC)^2}{1000}$$
$$WWI = \frac{WC}{\sqrt{weight}}$$

Biochemical Assays

All samples (whole blood, serum, and plasma) were analyzed at the Clinical Chemistry Laboratory at National Guard Hospital in Jeddah. Total cholesterol, serum HDL-C, TG levels, and plasma glucose were measured by spectrophotometric methods according to the manufacturer's instructions using an Architect c8000 auto-analyzer (Abbott). Glycated hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) was measured with HbA_{1c} analyzer G8 (TOSOH Corporation). Another sample was collected 1 hour after ingestion of 50-g glucose solution (CASCO NERL Diagnostics) for estimating plasma glucose (1-hour oral glucose tolerance test) [46, 47].

Definition of Clinical Metabolic Abnormality (Nonanthropometric Components of Metabolic Syndrome)

Participants were considered to have a CMA in the presence of 2 or more of the following abnormalities: high TGs defined as TGs greater than or equal to 1.7 mmol/L (150 mg/dL) or taking drugs for hyperlipidemia; low HDL-C defined as HDL-C less than 1.0 mmol/L (40 mg/dL) for men, less than 1.3 mmol/L (50 mg/dL) for women, or taking drugs for hyperlipidemia; high BP defined as systolic BP greater than or equal to 130 and/or diastolic BP greater than or equal to 85 mm Hg and/or taking BP-lowering drugs; and high FPG defined as FPG greater than or equal to 5.5 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) [2].

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS statistics version 24.0 for Windows. Baseline characteristics were expressed as mean ± SDs. Demographic, clinical, anthropometric, and lifestyle variables of people with CMA were analyzed in comparison to those without CMA. An independent t test was used to compare factors with continuous variables between the 2 groups, while chi-square test or Fisher exact test, as appropriate, was used to compare categorical variables. After adjusting for age, partial correlation analysis was performed to evaluate the correlation between various anthropometric indicators and metabolic variables. Following adjustment for age, binary logistic regression was used for assessing association between anthropometric indicators and metabolic variables where z scores of anthropometric indicators were used. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and the area under the ROC curve (AUC) were used to assess the ability of anthropometric indicators to identify CMA and its components. Pairwise comparison of AUCs was used to assess AUC differences in association with CMA among anthropometric indicators. The optimal cutoff values for the identification of CMA and its 5 components were determined based on the nearest distance to corner in the ROC curve. A P value less than .05 (2-sided test) was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

A total of 1477 adults were recruited for the study. Complete data were obtained for 1365 people. Following biochemical measurements, 1125 people (615 men and 510 women) were found to have no CMA and 240 (157 men and 83 women) had CMA. The prevalence of CMA was 17.6% (95% CI, 15.6-19.7), 20.3% (95% CI, 17.6-23.3) in men and 14.0% (95% CI, 11.4-17.0) in women (Table 1). The most common CMA component in men was high BP (33.5%; 95% CI, 30.3-36.9) followed by high TGs (27.2%; 95% CI, 24.2-30.4), low HDL-C (15.5%; 95% CI, 13.1-18.2), and high FPG (8.5%; 95% CI, 6.7-10.6) (Fig. 1). In women, the most common component of CMA was low HDL-C (27.0%; 95% CI, 23.5-30.7) followed by high BP (21.2%; 95% CI, 18.1-24.7), high TGs (14.3%; 95% CI, 11.4-17.0), and high FPG (5.7%; 95% CI, 4.1-7.8) (see Fig. 1). Men had a significantly higher prevalence of CMA (P < .01), high TGs (P < .001), and high BP (P < .001) than women, who had a significantly lower prevalence of low HDL-C (P < .001) (see Fig. 1).

Association Between Clinical Metabolic Abnormality and Anthropometric Indices, Clinical, and Biochemical Characteristics

There were significant differences in the means of demographic and anthropometric indices between people with and without CMA. As expected, people with CMA had significantly higher means of age, BMI, weight, fat percentage, WC, and NC and HC, WC:HC, WC:Ht and NC:Ht ratios, C index, AVI, and WWI (all P < .001; see Table 1).

Partial Correlation and Regression Between Different Anthropometric Indices and Indices and Metabolic Variables

After adjusting for age, most anthropometric measures were significantly correlated with the metabolic components of CMA. The correlations of WC, NC, WC:Ht, NC:Ht, fat percentage, BMI, and AVI with metabolic abnormalities were stronger than those of WC:HC, C index, and WWI (Table 2).

Binary Logistic Regression Analysis of Anthropometric Indicators and Clinical Metabolic Abnormality

The binary logistic regression analysis with each anthropometric indicator and CMA showed that WC, NC, WC:HC, WC:Ht, NC:Ht, fat percentage, BMI, and AVI were independently correlated with CMA in men and WC, NC, WC:Ht, NC:Ht, fat percentage, BMI, C index, AVI, and WWI were independently correlated with CMA in women (Table 3). NC and NC:Ht had the highest odds ratio (OR) for CMA in men (NC OR = 1.79, P < .001 and NC:Ht OR = 1.68, P < .001; see Table 3), whereas in women, WC and BMI had the highest OR for CMA (WC OR = 1.81, P < .001 and BMI OR = 1.79, P < .001; see Table 3).

As for each of the CMA components, all measured anthropometric indices were associated with high BP both in men and women. WC, WC:Ht, and AVI had the highest OR for high BP in men (OR = 1.68, 1.64, and 1.66, respectively, P < .001 at least; see Table 3), whereas in women, WC and NC had the highest OR for high BP (OR = 1.72 and 1.76, respectively, P < .001 at least; see Table 3). WC, WC:Ht, and fat percentage had the highest OR for high FPG in men (OR = 1.5, 1.5, and 1.51, respectively, P < .01) (see Table 3), whereas in women, fat percentage and BMI had the highest OR for high FPG (OR = 2.3 and 1.8, respectively, P < .01). NC and NC:Ht had the highest OR for high TGs in men (OR = 1.63 and 1.45, respectively, P < .001), whereas in women, WC and fat percentage had the highest OR for high TGs (OR = 1.46and 1.53, respectively, P < .01). Fat percentage was the only anthropometric parameter associated with low HDL-C in men (OR = 1.4, P < .01), whereas in women, NC and BMI had the highest OR for low HDL-C (OR = 1.53 and 1.51, respectively, P < .001).

Diagnostic Ability of Anthropometric Indices for Clinical Metabolic Abnormality and its Components

NC and NC:Ht had the highest AUC to identify CMA in men (AUC_{NC}: 0.69 [95% CI, 0.64-0.74] and AUC_{NC:H}: 0.69 [95% CI, 0.64-0.73] (Table 4 and Fig. 2). However, these AUCs in identifying CMA were not significantly different from those of other measured anthropometric indicators (Table 5). WC, WC:Ht, and AVI had the best abilities to identify CMA in women (AUC_{WC}: 0.75 [95% CI, 0.69-0.80], AUC_{WC:Ht} 0.75 [95% CI, 0.70-0.80], and AUC_{AVI} 0.75 [95% CI, 0.64-0.80] (see Table 4). WC:HC, fat percentage, C index, and WWI had the lowest AUCs in identifying CMA in women; however, only WC:HC and WWI had a significantly lower AUC compared with WC (WC – WC:HC AUC difference: 0.09 [95% CI, 0.036-0.143], P < .001 and WC – WWI AUC difference: 0.059 [95% CI, 0.014-0.105], P < .001) (Table 5).

WC, WC:Ht, and AVI had the highest AUC to identify high BP in both sexes; in men, AUC_{wc}: 0.66 (95% CI, 0.62-0.71), AUC_{wc:Ht}: 0.67 (95% CI, 0.63-0.71), and (AVI AUC: 0.66 (95% CI, 0.62-0.70) and in women, AUC_{wc}: 0.71 (95% CI, 0.66-0.76), AUC_{wc:Ht}: 0.70 (95% CI, 0.65-0.76), and AUC_{AVI}: 0.71 (95% CI, 0.66-0.76) (see Table 4 and Fig. 2). However, these AUCs in identifying high BP were not significantly different from those of other measured anthropometric indicators (data not shown).

Table 1. Demographic, anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical characteristics of people with and without clinical metabolic abnormality

	Men		Women	
	CMA absent n = 615	CMA present n = 157	CMA absent n = 510	CMA present n = 83
	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD
Age, y	30 ± 10	37 ± 12^{b}	32 ± 11	43 ± 13^{b}
Height, cm	172 ± 6.8	172 ± 7.4	158 ± 6.5	157 ± 6.8
Weight, kg	79.5 ± 17.9	89.3 ± 18.7^{b}	66.8 ± 15.5	79.8 ± 18.1^{b}
WC, cm	95.2 ± 15.2	104.2 ± 14.7^{b}	86.4 ± 15.5	100.1 ± 14.4^{b}
HC, cm	106.1 ± 13.6	111.5 ± 12.9^{b}	104.0 ± 13.3	114.3 ± 14.0^{b}
NC, cm	39.1 ± 3.61	41.4 ± 3.7^{b}	33.3 ± 3.5	35.8 ± 3.3^{b}
WC:HC	0.90 ± 0.08	0.93 ± 0.07^{b}	0.83 ± 0.09	0.88 ± 0.08^{b}
WC:Ht	0.56 ± 0.09	0.61 ± 0.08^{b}	0.55 ± 0.10	0.64 ± 0.09^{b}
NC:Ht	0.228 ± 0.022	0.241 ± 0.021^{b}	0.212 ± 0.023	0.228 ± 0.022^{b}
Fat, %	26.2 ± 8.5	31.0 ± 7.3^{b}	39.09 ± 10.02	45.6 ± 8.35^{b}
BMI	27.0 ± 5.8	30.2 ± 5.5^{b}	26.6 ± 6.1	32.1 ± 6.5^{b}
C index	1.29 ± 0.11	1.33 ± 0.1^{b}	1.22 ± 0.12	1.3 ± 0.11^{b}
AVI	18.7 ± 6.1	22.2 ± 6.3^{b}	15.7 ± 5.6	20.7 ± 5.9^{b}
WWI	10.7 ± 0.9	11.1 ± 0.88^{b}	10.6 ± 1.11	11.3 ± 0.96^{b}
HbA ₁ , %	5.2 ± 0.4	5.7 ± 1.1^{b}	5.3 ± 0.4	5.5 ± 1.1^{b}
TC mmol/L	4.8 ± 0.9	5.1 ± 1.0^{b}	4.8 ± 0.9	5.2 ± 1.1^{a}
LDL-C, mmol/L	3.2 ± 0.9	3.5 ± 0.9^b	3.1 ± 0.8	3.4 ± 0.9^{b}

Data are presented as mean \pm SD. Differences in measurements between individuals with and without CMA was analyzed using the *t* test. Abbreviations: AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; C index, conicity index; CMA, clinical metabolic abnormality; HbA_{1e}, glycated hemoglobin A₁; HC, hip circumference; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NC, neck circumference; TC, total cholesterol; WC, waist circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index.

Significantly different between CMA groups ($P \le .01$).

^bSignificantly different between CMA groups ($P \le .001$).



Figure 1. The prevalence of nonanthropometric components of metabolic syndrome (MetS) in men and women in Saudi Arabia. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. Difference in prevalence between men and women was analyzed using the chi-square test. **Significant difference in prevalence between sexes ($P \le .01$) and *** ($P \le .001$). BP, blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

WC:HC and WC:Ht had the highest AUC to identify high FPG in men; $AUC_{WC:HC}$: 0.72 (95% CI, 0.66-0.77) and $AUC_{WC:Ht}$: 0.72 (95% CI, 0.66- 0.77) (see Table 4 and Fig. 2). In women, WC:Ht and fat percentage had the highest AUC to identify high FPG; $AUC_{WC:Ht}$: 0.75 (95% CI, 0.68-0.82) and

 $AUC_{fat \%}$: 0.74 (95% CI, 0.66- 0.81). These AUCs in identifying high FPG were not significantly different from those of other measured anthropometric indicators (data not shown).

NC and NC:Ht had the highest AUC to identify high TGs in men: AUC_{NC}: 0.67 (95% CI, 0.62-0.71) and AUC_{NCH}: 0.644

Table 2.	Partial	correlations	between	anthropome	etric indices	with	nonanthropom	netric cor	nponents d	of metabolic s	vndrome
----------	---------	--------------	---------	------------	---------------	------	--------------	------------	------------	----------------	---------

	Men				Women					
	SBP	DBP	HDL-C	TGs	FPG	SBP	DBP	HDL-C	TGs	FPG
WC	0.294	0.182°	-0.135°	0.107 ^b	0.089ª	0.228 ^c	0.193°	-0.102ª	0.147 ^b	0.105ª
NC	0.296 ^c	0.219 ^c	-0.074ª	0.214 ^c	-0.078ª	0.243°	0.21 ^c	-0.158°	0.161 ^c	0.036
WC:HC	0.19 ^c	0.141 ^c	-0.099 ^b	0.093ª	0.032	0.125 ^b	0.146 ^b	-0.037	0.101ª	0.082
WC:Ht	0.293°	0.164 ^c	-0.121 ^b	0.088ª	0.098 ^b	0.234°	0.178°	-0.09^{a}	0.156°	0.128 ^b
NC:Ht	0.289°	0.189 ^c	-0.051	0.183°	-0.06	0.25 ^c	0.191°	-0.137 ^b	0.174 ^c	0.071
Fat, %	0.238°	0.128°	-0.188°	0.074ª	0.203°	0.139 ^b	0.099ª	-0.095ª	0.104ª	0.145 ^b
BMI	0.295°	0.165°	-0.124 ^b	0.092ª	0.087ª	0.199°	0.15°	-0.138 ^b	0.113 ^b	0.111 ^b
C index	0.174°	0.119 ^b	-0.093ª	0.066	0.064	0.153°	0.136 ^b	-0.007	0.12 ^b	0.082
AVI	0.297 ^c	0.174°	-0.128°	0.094ª	0.094ª	0.229°	0.191°	-0.098ª	0.13 ^b	0.101ª
WWI	0.169°	0.101 ^b	-0.079^{a}	0.047	0.073ª	0.154	0.122 ^b	0.003	0.124 ^b	0.099ª

The partial correlation is adjusted for age. Bold indicates the strongest related anthropometric indices for different metabolic variables.

Abbreviations: AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; C index, conicity index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HC, hip circumference; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NC, neck circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TGs, triglycerides; WC, waist

circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index.

a Significant correlation ($P \le .05$). ^bSignificant correlation ($P \le .01$).

Significant correlation ($P \le .001$).

Table 3. Binary logistic regression analysis of anthropometric indices with clinical metabolic abnormality and nonanthropometric components of metabolic syndrome

	СМА	High BP	High FPG	High TGs	Low HDL-C
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Men					
WC	1.55 (1.28-1.87) ^c	1.68 (1.41-1.99) ^c	1.5 (1.15-1.95) ^b	1.35 (1.14-1.6) ^c	1.18 (0.96-1.45)
NC	1.79 (1.47-2.18) ^c	1.54 (1.31-1.81) ^c	1.49 (1.13-1.96) ^b	1.63 (1.37-1.94) ^c	1.1 (0.9-1.35)
WC:HC	1.33 (1.09-1.64) ^b	1.43 (1.2-1.71) ^c	1.42 (1.07-1.88) ^a	1.24 (1.03-1.49) ^a	1.24 (0.99-1.54)
WC:Ht	1.48 (1.23-1.8) ^c	1.64 (1.38-1.95) ^c	$1.5 (1.15 - 1.95)^{b}$	1.25 (1.05-1.49) ^a	1.17 (0.95-1.44)
NC:Ht	1.68 (1.38-2.05) ^c	1.48 (1.26-1.75) ^c	1.48 (1.13-1.94) ^b	1.45 (1.22-1.72) ^c	1.09 (0.89-1.34)
Fat, %	1.65 (1.35-2.02) ^c	1.56 (1.32-1.85) ^c	1.51 (1.14-2.02) ^b	1.23 (1.03-1.46) ^a	1.4 (1.13-1.73) ^b
BMI	1.57 (1.31-1.88) ^c	1.61 (1.37-1.9) ^c	1.47 (1.15-1.89) ^b	1.25 (1.06-1.47) ^b	1.18 (0.97-1.44)
C index	1.22 (0.99-1.49)	1.35 (1.14-1.61) ^c	1.33 (1-1.78)	1.24 (1.04-1.49) ^a	1.07 (0.86-1.33)
AVI	1.47 (1.23-1.77) ^c	1.66 (1.4-1.96) ^c	$1.4 (1.1-1.78)^{b}$	1.3 (1.1-1.53) ^b	1.15 (0.94-1.4)
WWI	1.16 (0.95-1.42)	1.32 (1.11-1.57) ^b	1.32 (0.99-1.76)	1.14 (0.95-1.37)	1.06 (0.85-1.32)
Women					
WC	1.81 (1.38-2.38) ^c	1.72 (1.36, 2.17) ^c	1.75 (1.21-2.52) ^b	1.46 (1.12-1.9) ^b	1.47 (1.19-1.81) ^c
NC	1.59 (1.25-2.02) ^c	1.76 (1.42-2.17) ^c	1.39 (1-1.94)	1.24 (0.97-1.57)	1.53 (1.26-1.85) ^c
WC:HC	1.27 (0.99-1.64)	1.32 (1.07-1.64) ^a	1.38 (0.98-1.95)	1.31 (1.02-1.68) ^a	1.19 (0.98-1.45)
WC:Ht	1.75 (1.34-2.28) ^c	1.64 (1.3-2.06) ^c	1.77 (1.23-2.54) ^b	1.43 (1.1-1.85) ^b	1.41 (1.14-1.73) ^b
NC:Ht	1.58 (1.24-2.01) ^c	1.68 (1.36-2.07) ^c	1.48 (1.06-2.06) ^a	1.23 (0.96-1.57)	1.45 (1.19-1.76) ^c
Fat, %	1.72 (1.26-2.34) ^b	1.31 (1.03-1.67) ^a	2.3 (1.39-3.79) ^b	1.53 (1.14-2.05) ^b	1.44 (1.16-1.79) ^c
BMI	1.79 (1.39-2.31) ^c	1.63 (1.31-2.02) ^c	1.8 (1.29-2.5) ^c	1.38 (1.08-1.77) ^a	1.51 (1.24-1.85) ^c
C index	1.38 (1.06-1.8) ^a	1.37 (1.1-1.71) ^b	1.38 (0.96-1.99)	1.27 (0.98-1.63)	1.12 (0.92-1.37)
AVI	1.67 (1.3-2.14) ^c	1.64 (1.32-2.04) ^c	1.6 (1.15-2.21) ^b	1.39 (1.09-1.77) ^b	1.43 (1.17-1.75) ^e
WWI	1.36 (1.04-1.77) ^a	1.32 (1.06-1.65) ^a	1.41 (0.98-2.03)	1.25 (0.96-1.61)	1.09 (0.89-1.33)

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jes/article/6/6/bvac055/6563394 by National Library of Health Sciences user on 13 June 2022

The binary logistic regression is adjusted for age. Bold indicates the strongest related anthropometric indices for different metabolic variables based on its calculated OR.

Abbreviations: AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; C index, conicity index; CMA, clinical metabolic abnormality; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HC, hip circumference; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NC, neck circumference; OR, odds ratio; TGs, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index.

^aSignificant correlation ($P \le .05$).

^bSignificant correlation $(P \le .01)$.

Significant correlation ($P \le .001$).

Table 4. Area under the receiver operating characteristic curve and its 95% CI for anthropometric indices with clinical metabolic abnormality and nonanthropometric components of metabolic syndrome

	СМА	High BP	High FPG	High TGs	Low HDL-C
	AUC (95% CI)				
Men					
WC, cm	0.684 (0.639-0.73) ^c	0.663 (0.621-0.705) ^c	0.708 (0.651-0.766) ^c	0.64 (0.596-0.683) ^c	0.614 (0.559-0.668) ^c
NC, cm	0.687 (0.64-0.735) ^c	0.637 (0.595-0.679) ^c	0.643 (0.57-0.716) ^c	0.665 (0.62-0.709) ^c	0.568 (0.511-0.625) ^a
WC:HC	0.669 (0.623-0.716)°	0.643 (0.602-0.685) ^c	0.717 (0.661-0.773) ^c	0.638 (0.596-0.681)°	0.631 (0.579-0.683) ^c
WC:Ht	0.685 (0.64-0.73) ^c	0.668 (0.627-0.71) ^c	0.719 (0.663-0.774) ^c	0.63 (0.587-0.673) ^c	0.623 (0.57-0.676) ^c
NC:Ht	0.686 (0.639-0.732)	0.644 (0.602-0.686) ^c	0.657 (0.585-0.729) ^c	0.644 (0.6-0.689) ^c	0.578 (0.523-0.632) ^b
Fat, %	0.666 (0.62-0.711) ^c	0.643 (0.601-0.685)°	0.651 (0.589-0.712) ^c	0.587 (0.543-0.631)°	0.621 (0.569-0.674) ^c
BMI	0.674 (0.629-0.718)°	0.654 (0.612,0.695) ^c	0.666 (0.606-0.726) ^c	0.605 (0.562-0.649)°	0.604 (0.55-0.657) ^c
C index	0.637 (0.589-0.686)°	0.629 (0.585-0.672) ^c	0.699 (0.642-0.757) ^c	0.622 (0.579-0.666) ^c	0.597 (0.542-0.651) ^b
AVI	0.683 (0.637-0.728)°	0.662 (0.621-0.704) ^c	0.705 (0.648-0.763) ^c	0.638 (0.595-0.681)°	0.612 (0.558-0.667) ^c
WWI	0.632 (0.584-0.679)°	0.627 (0.584-0.671) ^c	0.703 (0.644-0.762)	0.604 (0.56-0.647) ^c	0.602 (0.548-0.656) ^c
Women					
WC, cm	0.746 (0.694-0.799)	0.711 (0.658-0.764) ^c	0.732 (0.656-0.809) ^c	0.697 (0.642-0.752) ^c	0.611 (0.559-0.664) ^c
NC, cm	0.712 (0.655-0.769)°	0.695 (0.64-0.751) ^c	0.672 (0.579-0.765) ^b	0.649 (0.59-0.708) ^c	0.634 (0.58-0.687) ^c
WC:HC	0.657 (0.598-0.716)°	0.665 (0.613-0.718)°	0.683 (0.606-0.759) ^c	0.611 (0.548-0.673) ^b	0.563 (0.51-0.616) ^a
WC:Ht	0.75 (0.698-0.802) ^c	0.704 (0.65-0.758) ^c	0.747 (0.676-0.819)	0.702 (0.648-0.755)	0.606 (0.554-0.658) ^c
NC:Ht	0.708 (0.653-0.764)°	0.688 (0.633-0.744) ^c	0.69 (0.606-0.774) ^c	0.642 (0.582-0.701)°	0.618 (0.565-0.672) ^c
Fat, %	0.694 (0.634-0.755) ^c	0.63 (0.571-0.688) ^c	0.735 (0.658-0.813) ^c	0.674 (0.614-0.734) ^e	0.612 (0.558-0.665) ^c
BMI	0.729 (0.674-0.783) ^c	0.673 (0.617-0.729)°	0.73 (0.652-0.807) ^c	0.689 (0.631-0.746)°	0.63 (0.579-0.68) ^a
C index	0.689 (0.63-0.747) ^c	0.672 (0.619-0.725) ^c	0.673 (0.584-0.762) ^b	0.628 (0.567-0.689)°	0.56 (0.506-0.614) ^c
AVI	0.746 (0.693-0.799)	0.708 (0.655-0.761) ^c	0.73 (0.652-0.807) ^c	0.698 (0.643-0.753) ^c	0.611 (0.559-0.664) ^c
WWI	0.687 (0.628-0.746)°	0.664 (0.61-0.717) ^c	0.675 (0.583-0.767) ^c	$0.629 (0.567 - 0.691)^c$	0.554 (0.5-0.608)

The AUC and 95% CI were analyzed using anthropometric Z scores after controlling for age. Bold indicates the largest AUC for related anthropometric indices for different metabolic variables; however, this does not mean its value is significantly different from that of other anthropometric indices. Abbreviations: AUC, area under receiver operating characteristic curve; AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; C index, conicity index; CMA, clinical metabolic abnormality; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HC, hip circumference; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NC, neck circumference; TGs, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index.

^bSignificant correlation ($P \le .01$).

Significant correlation $(P \le .001)$.



Figure 2. The discriminative power of the anthropometric indices and indices for clinical metabolic abnormality (CMA) and its components using the receiver operating characteristic curve. AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; C-Index, conicity index; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HC, hip circumference; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Ht, height; NC, neck circumference; TG, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index.

(95% CI, 0.60-0.69) (see Table 4 and Fig. 2). In women, WC, WC:Ht, and AVI had the highest AUC to identify high TGs: AUC_{wC} : 0.70 (95% CI, 0.64-0.75), $AUC_{wC:Ht}$: 0.70 (95% CI,

0.65, 0.76), and AUC_{AVI}: 0.70 (95% CI, 0.64, 0.75). These AUCs in identifying high TGs were not significantly different from those of other measured anthropometric indicators (data not shown).

Table 5. Pairwise comparison for the using receiver operating characteristic curves for the identification of clinical metabolic abnormality

AUC difference (CI) P AUC difference (CI) P WC - NC -0.003 (-0.045 to 0.037) .89 0.035 (-0.023 to 0.092) .24 WC - WC-HC 0.015 (-0.023 to 0.057) .49 0.090 (0.036 to 0.143) .001 WC - WC-HC 0.001 (-0.015 to 0.015) .92 -0.004 (-0.02 to 0.012) .66 WC - NC-HT -0.001 (-0.015 to 0.015) .92 -0.004 (-0.017 to 0.052) .31 WC - Fat % 0.019 (-0.017 to 0.038) .45 0.018 (-0.017 to 0.052) .31 WC - AV1 0.003 (0.003) .02 0.001 (-0.017 to 0.059) .004 C - C-Index 0.046 (0.014 to 0.078) .004 0.059 (0.016 to 0.159) .01 NC - WCH 0.031 (-0.027 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (-0.038 to 0.022) .21 NC - WCH 0.002 (-0.021 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.022 to 0.024) .82 NC - Fat % 0.002 (-0.021 to 0.024) .88 -0.038 (-0.038 to 0.047) .52 NC - WTH 0.004 (-0.024 to 0.024) .58 -0.017 (-0.037 to 0.049) .56 NC - C-Index		Male		Female				
WC - NC -0.003 (-0.045 to 0.039) .89 0.035 (-0.023 to 0.092) .24 WC - WC-HC 0.015 (-0.028 to 0.057) .49 0.09 (0.035 to 0.143) .001 WC - WC-HC 0.001 (-0.015 to 0.014) .96 0.037 (-0.021 to 0.036) .21 WC - Far % 0.019 (-0.014 to 0.052) .27 0.052 (0.014 to 0.052) .31 WC - C-Index 0.046 (0.014 to 0.078) .005 0.038 (0.017 to 0.033) .53 WC - AVI 0.002 (10 co 0003) .02 0.001 (-0.001 to 0.033) .53 WC - WH 0.053 (0.016 to 0.089) .004 0.059 (0.014 to 0.126) .13 NC - WCHC 0.018 (-0.031 to 0.074) .88 -0.038 (-0.028 to 0.22) .21 NC - WCH 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.024) .88 -0.037 (-0.029) .65 NC - Far % 0.002 (-0.021 to 0.024) .88 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .56 NC - Far % 0.004 (-0.024 to 0.026) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .52 NC - AVI 0.005 (-0.035 to 0.047) .33 -0.034 (-0.037 to 0.03) .52 <t< th=""><th></th><th>AUC difference (CI)</th><th>Р</th><th>AUC difference (CI)</th><th>Р</th></t<>		AUC difference (CI)	Р	AUC difference (CI)	Р			
WC = WC:HC 0.015 (= 0.025 ro 0.057) 49 0.09 (0.036 to 0.143) 0.01 WC = WC:Ht 0.001 (= 0.013 to 0.015) 92 = 0.004 (= 0.02 to 0.012) .66 WC = NC:Ht = 0.001 (= 0.014 to 0.052) .27 0.052 (0.004 to 0.1) .03 WC = Fat% 0.014 (= 0.017 to 0.038) .45 0.018 (= 0.017 to 0.052) .31 WC = VC:HM 0.004 (= 0.021 to 0.033) .02 0.001 (= 0.0103) .03 WC = WH 0.038 (0.016 to 0.039) .040 0.058 (0.016 to 0.033) .33 WC = WH 0.004 (= 0.0421 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (= 0.018 to 0.072) .21 NC = NC:Ht 0.004 (= 0.0421 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (= 0.022 to 0.028) .82 NC = Tat% 0.022 (= 0.021 to 0.024) .88 -0.031 (= 0.027 to 0.024) .82 NC = AMI 0.004 (= 0.042 to 0.022) .58 -0.017 (= 0.037 to 0.04) .52 NC = AMI 0.004 (= 0.043 to 0.022) .58 -0.031 (= 0.047 to 0.013) .52 NC = AMI 0.004 (= 0.038 to 0.047) .88 -0.031 (= 0.046 to 0.050 .21	WC – NC	-0.003 (-0.045 to 0.039)	.89	0.035 (-0.023 to 0.092)	.24			
WC = WC:Hr 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) 9.2 -0.004 (-0.02 to 0.012) 6.6 WC = NC:Hr -0.001 (-0.046 to 0.044) 9.6 0.037 (-0.021 to 0.026) .21 WC = Fat % 0.019 (-0.014 to 0.052) .27 0.052 (0.004 to 0.13) .03 WC = Fat % 0.001 (-0.001 to 0.033) .02 0.001 (-0.001 to 0.003) .53 WC = C-Index 0.003 (0.016 to 0.089) .004 0.055 (0.016 to 0.105) .11 NC = WCH 0.003 (0.016 to 0.089) .044 0.005 (-0.028 to 0.023) .21 NC = WCH 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (-0.038 to 0.022) .21 NC = WCH 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049) .88 -0.033 (-0.028 to 0.023) .22 NC = NCH 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 -0.034 (-0.029 to 0.024) .52 NC = WI 0.002 (-0.03 to 0.071) .42 0.017 (-0.037 to 0.092) .52 NC = AWI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.049 to 0.027) .52 NC = AWI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.039 to 0.014) .30	WC – WC:HC	0.015 (-0.028 to 0.057)	.49	0.09 (0.036 to 0.143)	.001			
WC - NC:Hr -0.001 (-0.046 to 0.044) .96 0.037 (-0.021 to 0.096) .21 WC - Fat % 0.019 (-0.014 to 0.052) .27 0.052 (0.004 to 0.01) .03 WC - FMI 0.011 (-0.017 to 0.038) .45 0.018 (-0.017 to 0.032) .31 WC - C-Index 0.046 (0.014 to 0.078) .005 0.038 (0.017 to 0.033) .53 WC - WH 0.035 (0.016 to 0.089) .044 0.055 (0.016 to 0.126) .13 NC - WCHC 0.018 (-0.038 to 0.073) .53 0.005 (-0.028 to 0.126) .13 NC - WCHT 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 -0.038 (-0.078 to 0.028) .82 NC - StH 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 -0.034 (-0.028 to 0.028) .52 NC - FMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .52 NC - WCH 0.005 (0.002 to 0.011 .40 0.022 (-0.013 to 0.07) .52 NC - WCH 0.005 (0.002 to 0.017) .55 -0.052 (-0.118 to 0.041) .52 WCHC Far M 0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056) .89 -0.038 (-0.108 to 0.041) .30	WC – WC:Ht	0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)	.92	-0.004 (-0.02 to 0.012)	.66			
WC - Far % 0.019 (-0.014 to 0.052) 2.7 0.052 (0.004 to 0.1) .03 WC - BMI 0.011 (-0.017 to 0.038) .45 0.018 (-0.017 to 0.039) .005 WC - C-Index 0.046 (0.014 to 0.078) .005 0.058 (0.017 to 0.099) .005 WC - AVI 0.002 (0 to 0.03) .02 0.001 (-0.010 to 0.03) .53 WC - WT 0.033 (0.016 to 0.089) .004 0.055 (0.016 to 0.126) .13 NC - WC:HC 0.001 (-0.021 to 0.024) .88 0.0035 (-0.028 to 0.022) .21 NC - NC:HC 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.022 to 0.024) .82 NC - Far % 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.057 to 0.092) .65 NC - SMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.022) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .52 NC - WT 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.049 to 0.04) .25 NC - WWT 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .55 -0.052 (-0.118 to 0.014) .12 WC:HC - WCHt -0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026) .49 -0.0031 (-0.078 to 0.034) .30	WC – NC:Ht	-0.001 (-0.046 to 0.044)	.96	0.037 (-0.021 to 0.096)	.21			
WC - BMI 0.011 (-0.017 to 0.038) .45 0.018 (-0.017 to 0.052) .31 WC - C-Index 0.046 (0.014 to 0.078) .005 0.058 (0.017 to 0.092) .005 WC - AVI 0.033 (0.016 to 0.089) .004 0.055 (-0.016 to 0.126) .13 NC - WCHC 0.018 (-0.038 to 0.073) .53 0.055 (-0.016 to 0.126) .13 NC - WCHT 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 -0.038 (-0.097 to 0.022) .21 NC - NCHT 0.002 (-0.03 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.057 to 0.092) .65 NC - BMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.022) .58 -0.017 (-0.075 to 0.092) .52 NC - MVI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.092 to 0.024) .52 NC - WWI 0.056 (0.002 to 0.11) .04 0.022 (-0.021 to 0.12) .22 WCHC - NCHt -0.016 (-0.056 to 0.056) .99 -0.033 (-0.0140 to 0.014) .12 WCHC - CHt -0.016 (-0.056 to 0.056) .90 -0.033 (-0.0140 to 0.016) .90 WCHC - NCHt -0.016 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.038 (-0.0170 to 0.017) .21 <	WC – Fat %	0.019 (-0.014 to 0.052)	.27	0.052 (0.004 to 0.1)	.03			
WC - C-Index 0.046 (0.014 to 0.078) 0.053 (0.017 to 0.099) 0.053 (0.017 to 0.003) 0.35 WC - AVI 0.002 (0 to 0.003) 0.02 0.001 (to 0.003) 0.31 NC - WCH 0.053 (0.015 to 0.089) 0.04 0.0559 (0.014 to 0.105) 0.11 NC - WCH 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (-0.028) .82 NC - NCH 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 -0.037 (-0.027 to 0.028) .82 NC - Fat % 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.037 to 0.092) .65 NC - C-Index 0.049 (-0.001 to 0.1) .06 0.024 (-0.049 to 0.022) .52 NC - AVI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.049 to 0.022) .25 NC - WCH -0.014 (-0.044 to 0.026) .49 -0.035 (-0.118 to 0.014) .12 WCHC - WCH -0.014 (-0.044 to 0.026) .49 -0.035 (-0.118 to 0.014) .12 WCHC - NCH -0.014 (-0.047 to 0.035) .55 -0.052 (-0.118 to 0.014) .30 WCHC - WWI -0.031 (-0.077 to 0.049) .88 -0.072 (-0.138 to -0.064) .31	WC – BMI	0.011 (-0.017 to 0.038)	.45	0.018 (-0.017 to 0.052)	.31			
WC - AVI 0.002 (0 to 0.003) .02 0.001 (-0.001 to 0.003) .53 WC - WWI 0.053 (0.016 to 0.089) .004 0.059 (0.014 to 0.105) .01 NC - WC:HC 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (-0.038 (-0.032) .21 NC - WC:HT 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.027 to 0.028) .82 NC - Fat' 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.007 (-0.037 to 0.04) .65 NC - Fat' 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .83 -0.034 (-0.037 to 0.04) .56 NC - Fat' 0.049 (-0.001 to 0.1) .06 0.024 (-0.049 to 0.024) .25 NC - AVI 0.005 (-0.035 to 0.0477 .83 -0.034 (-0.092 to 0.024) .25 NC - WWI 0.056 (0.002 to 0.11) .04 0.025 (-0.013 to 0.14 .012 WC:HC - NC:Ht -0.014 (-0.059 to 0.037) .55 -0.032 (-0.013 to 0.049) .30 WC:HC - Tat '% 0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056) .89 -0.033 (-0.077 to 0.03) .002 WC:HC - Tat '% 0.004 (-0.057 to 0.033) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .002 <td>WC – C–Index</td> <td>0.046 (0.014 to 0.078)</td> <td>.005</td> <td>0.058 (0.017 to 0.099)</td> <td>.005</td>	WC – C–Index	0.046 (0.014 to 0.078)	.005	0.058 (0.017 to 0.099)	.005			
WC - WWI 0.053 (0.016 to 0.089) .004 0.059 (0.014 to 0.105) .01 NC - WC:HC 0.018 (-0.033 to 0.073) .53 0.055 (-0.016 to 0.126) .13 NC - WC:Ht 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 -0.038 (-0.037 to 0.022) .21 NC - NC.Ht 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.022 to 0.028) .82 NC - Fat % 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.073 to 0.024) .56 NC - SMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.024) .52 NC - AVI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.031 (-0.022 to 0.024) .52 WC:HC - WC:Ht -0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026) .49 -0.033 (-0.014 to -0.042)* <.001	WC – AVI	0.002 (0 to 0.003)	.02	0.001 (-0.001 to 0.003)	.53			
NC - WC:HC 0.018 (-0.038 to 0.073) .53 0.055 (-0.016 to 0.126) .13 NC - WC:Ht 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (-0.028 to 0.022) .21 NC - NC:Ht 0.002 (-0.024 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.022 to 0.024) .82 NC - Fat % 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.057 to 0.092) .65 NC - EMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .25 NC - AV1 0.055 (0.002 to 0.11) .06 0.024 (-0.049 to 0.097) .52 NC - WV1 0.055 (0.002 to 0.11) .04 0.025 (-0.014 to -0.042) <0.01	WC – WWI	0.053 (0.016 to 0.089)	.004	0.059 (0.014 to 0.105)	.01			
NC - WC.Hr 0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049) .88 -0.038 (-0.098 to 0.022) .21 NC - NC.Hr 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.028 to 0.029) .65 NC - BMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.092) .65 NC - CarMex 0.049 (-0.01 to 0.1) .06 0.024 (-0.049 to 0.027) .52 NC - AVI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.049 to 0.027) .65 NC - WVI 0.056 (0.002 to 0.11) .04 0.025 (-0.014 to -0.024) .25 NC - WVI -0.016 (-0.059 to 0.037) .55 -0.032 (-0.118 to 0.014) .12 WC:HC - NC:Ht -0.014 (-0.057 to 0.037) .55 -0.032 (-0.118 to 0.014) .30 WC:HC - Far % 0.004 (-0.057 to 0.033) .56 -0.038 (-0.019 to 0.033) .001 WC:HC - WWI -0.031 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.039 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HC - WWI 0.038 (0.001 to 0.075) .05 -0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:H - NC:Ht -0.013 (-0.051 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.012) .20<	NC – WC:HC	0.018 (-0.038 to 0.073)	.53	0.055 (-0.016 to 0.126)	.13			
NC - NC:Ht 0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024) .88 0.003 (-0.022 to 0.028) .82 NC - Fat % 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.057 to 0.092) .65 NC - BMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.044) .56 NC - C-Index 0.049 (-0.001 to 0.1) .06 0.024 (-0.092 to 0.024) .25 NC - WI 0.056 (0.002 to 0.11) .04 0.025 (-0.051 to 0.1) .52 NC - NC:Ht -0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026) .49 -0.038 (-0.014 to -0.042)* <.001	NC – WC:Ht	0.004 (-0.042 to 0.049)	.88	-0.038 (-0.098 to 0.022)	.21			
NC - Fat % 0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074) .42 0.017 (-0.057 to 0.092) .65 NC - FMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .56 NC - FMI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.092 to 0.024) .25 NC - WWI 0.056 (0.002 to 0.11) .04 0.025 (-0.051 to 0.1) .52 WC:HC - WC:Ht -0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026) .49 -0.038 (-0.042) <.001	NC – NC:Ht	0.002 (-0.02 to 0.024)	.88	0.003 (-0.022 to 0.028)	.82			
NC - BMI 0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062) .58 -0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04) .56 NC - C-Index 0.049 (-0.001 to 0.1) .06 0.0224 (-0.049 to 0.097) .52 NC - AVI 0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047) .83 -0.034 (-0.022 to 0.024) .25 NC - WVI 0.005 (-0.021 to 0.1) .44 0.025 (-0.051 to 0.1) .52 WCHC - NC:Ht -0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026) .49 -0.033 (-0.144 to -0.042)* <.001	NC – Fat %	0.022 (-0.031 to 0.074)	.42	0.017 (-0.057 to 0.092)	.65			
NC - C-Index $0.049 (-0.001 \text{ to } 0.1)$ 0.66 $0.024 (-0.049 \text{ to } 0.097)$ 52 NC - AVI $0.005 (-0.038 \text{ to } 0.047)$ 83 $-0.034 (-0.092 \text{ to } 0.024)$ 255 NC - WWI $0.056 (0.002 \text{ to } 0.11)$ 0.44 $0.0025 (-0.014 \text{ to } 0.024)$ 2.51 WC:HC - WC:Ht $-0.016 (-0.069 \text{ to } 0.037)$ $.55$ $-0.032 (-0.144 \text{ to } -0.042)^2$ $<.0011$ WC:HC - NC:Ht $-0.016 (-0.069 \text{ to } 0.037)$ $.55$ $-0.032 (-0.198 \text{ to } 0.034)$ $.30$ WC:HC - C index $0.031 (-0.006 \text{ to } 0.069)$ $.89$ $-0.031 (-0.078 \text{ to } 0.016)$ $.93$ WC:HC - AVI $-0.013 (-0.057 \text{ to } 0.03)$ $.56$ $-0.089 (-0.144 \text{ to } -0.033)$ $.002$ WC:HT - WWI $0.038 (0.001 \text{ to } 0.075)$ $.05$ $-0.03 (-0.077 \text{ to } 0.017)$ $.21$ WC:Ht - NC:Ht $-0.022 (-0.044 \text{ to } 0.038)$ $.48$ $0.021 (-0.014 \text{ to } 0.056)$ $.24$ WC:Ht - NC:Ht $-0.022 (-0.041 \text{ to } 0.051)$ $.28$ $0.056 (0.029 \text{ to } 0.012)$ $.02$ WC:Ht - WWI $0.01 (-0.018 \text{ to } 0.051)$ $.89$ -0	NC – BMI	0.014 (-0.034 to 0.062)	.58	-0.017 (-0.073 to 0.04)	.56			
NC - AVI $0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047)$ $.83$ $-0.034 (-0.092 to 0.024)$ $.25$ NC - WW1 $0.056 (0.002 to 0.11)$ 0.44 $0.025 (-0.051 to 0.1)$ $.52$ WC:HC - WC:Ht $-0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026)$ $.49$ $-0.093 (-0.144 to -0.042)^{\prime}$ $<.001$ WC:HC - NC:Ht $-0.016 (-0.069 to 0.037)$ $.55$ $-0.052 (-0.118 to 0.014)$ $.12$ WC:HC - NC:Ht $-0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056)$ $.89$ $-0.038 (-0.109 to 0.034)$ $.30$ WC:HC - BMI $-0.004 (-0.057 to 0.049)$ $.88$ $-0.072 (-0.138 to -0.066)$ $.03$ WC:HC - AVI $-0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03)$ $.56$ $-0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033)$ $.002$ WC:HC - WW1 $0.038 (0.001 to 0.075)$ $.05$ $-0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017)$ $.21$ WC:Ht - NC:Ht $-0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04)$ $.93$ $0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096)$ $.15$ WC:Ht - E MMI $0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051)$ $.28$ $0.056 (0.009 to 0.102)$ $.02$ WC:Ht - C index $0.041 (-0.018 to 0.038)$ $.48$ $0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056)$ $.24$ WC:Ht - E index $0.041 (-0.013 to 0.015)$ $.88$ $0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021)$ $.61$ WC:Ht - Fat % $0.002 (-0.032 to 0.072)$ $.45$ $0.014 (-0.075 to 0.036)$ $.49$ NC:Ht - BMI $0.012 (-0.035 to 0.06)$ $.62$ $-0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036)$ $.49$ NC:Ht - Fat % $0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)$ $.88$ $0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021)$ $.61$ WC:Ht - C index $0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097)$ $.06$ $0.021 (-0.049 to 0.012)$ $.22$ <tr< td=""><td>NC – C–Index</td><td>0.049 (-0.001 to 0.1)</td><td>.06</td><td>0.024 (-0.049 to 0.097)</td><td>.52</td></tr<>	NC – C–Index	0.049 (-0.001 to 0.1)	.06	0.024 (-0.049 to 0.097)	.52			
NC - WWI0.056 (0.002 to 0.11).040.025 (-0.051 to 0.1).52WC:HC - WC:Ht-0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026).49-0.093 (-0.144 to -0.042)*<.001	NC – AVI	0.005 (-0.038 to 0.047)	.83	-0.034 (-0.092 to 0.024)	.25			
WC:HC - WC:Ht -0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026) .49 -0.093 (-0.144 to -0.042)* <.001 WC:HC - NC:Ht -0.016 (-0.069 to 0.037) .55 -0.052 (-0.118 to 0.014) .12 WC:HC - Fat % 0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056) .89 -0.038 (-0.109 to 0.034) .30 WC:HC - C index 0.031 (-0.075 to 0.049) .88 -0.072 (-0.138 to -0.006) .19 WC:HC - C index 0.031 (-0.075 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .002 WC:HC - AVI -0.013 (-0.075 to 0.03) .56 -0.039 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HT - NC:Ht -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096) .15 WC:HT - Fat % 0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.102) .02 WC:Ht - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - AVI 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .88 0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021) .61 WC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.022 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.055 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht - AVI 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .88 0.	NC – WWI	0.056 (0.002 to 0.11)	.04	0.025 (-0.051 to 0.1)	.52			
WC:HC - NC:Ht -0.016 (-0.069 to 0.037) .55 -0.052 (-0.118 to 0.014) .12 WC:HC - Fat % 0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056) .89 -0.038 (-0.109 to 0.034) .30 WC:HC - BMI -0.004 (-0.057 to 0.049) .88 -0.072 (-0.138 to -0.006) .03 WC:HC - C index 0.031 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .002 WC:HC - AVI -0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .022 WC:HC - WWI 0.038 (0.001 to 0.075) .05 -0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HT - NC:Ht -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096) .15 WC:HT - Fat % 0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.102) .02 WC:HT - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:HT - WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .001 0.063 (0.024 to 0.021) .61 WC:HT - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht - BMI 0.012 (-0.036 to 0.021 .62 -0.02 (-0.075 to	WC:HC – WC:Ht	-0.014 (-0.054 to 0.026)	.49	-0.093 (-0.144 to -0.042) ^a	<.001			
WC:HC - Fat % 0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056) .89 -0.038 (-0.109 to 0.034) .30 WC:HC - BMI -0.004 (-0.057 to 0.049) .88 -0.072 (-0.138 to -0.006) .03 WC:HC - C index 0.031 (-0.066 to 0.069) .10 -0.031 (-0.078 to 0.016) .19 WC:HC - AVI -0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .002 WC:HC - WWI 0.038 (0.001 to 0.075) .05 -0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HT - NC:Ht -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.056) .24 WC:HT - EMI 0.011 (-0.018 to 0.038) .48 0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056) .24 WC:HT - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:HT - AVI 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .88 0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021) .61 WC:HT - WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .001 0.063 (0.024 to 0.102)' .001 NC:Ht - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.019 to 0.021) .61 WC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - FAT 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.	WC:HC – NC:Ht	-0.016 (-0.069 to 0.037)	.55	-0.052 (-0.118 to 0.014)	.12			
WC:HC - BMI -0.004 (-0.057 to 0.049) .88 -0.072 (-0.138 to -0.006) .03 WC:HC - C index 0.031 (-0.006 to 0.069) .10 -0.031 (-0.078 to 0.016) .19 WC:HC - AVI -0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .002 WC:HC - WWI 0.038 (0.001 to 0.075) .05 -0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HT - NC:Hr -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096) .15 WC:HT - Fat % 0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.102) .02 WC:Ht - E MMI 0.01 (-0.013 to 0.053) .48 0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056) .24 WC:Ht - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .001 0.063 (0.024 to 0.102)' .001 NC:Ht - WWI 0.054 (0.036 to 0.02) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.038) .7 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.022 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.020 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to	WC:HC- Fat %	0.004 (-0.049 to 0.056)	.89	-0.038 (-0.109 to 0.034)	.30			
WC:HC - C index 0.031 (-0.006 to 0.069) .10 -0.031 (-0.078 to 0.014) .19 WC:HC - AVI -0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033) .002 WC:HC - WWI 0.038 (0.001 to 0.075) .05 -0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HT - NC:Ht -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096) .15 WC:HT - BMI 0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.102) .02 WC:Ht - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - WWI 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .88 0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021) .61 WC:Ht - BMI 0.001 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.046 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - BMI -0.036 (0.036 to 0.02	WC:HC – BMI	-0.004 (-0.057 to 0.049)	.88	-0.072 (-0.138 to -0.006)	.03			
WC:HC - AVI -0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03) .56 -0.089 (-0.144 to -0.03) .002 WC:HC - WWI 0.038 (0.001 to 0.075) .05 -0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017) .21 WC:HT - NC:Ht -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096) .15 WC:HT - Fat % 0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.102) .02 WC:Ht - E Index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - C Index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .48 0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021) .61 WC:Ht - WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .001 0.063 (0.024 to 0.102)* .001 NC:Ht - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht - C Index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht - AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .56 NC:Ht - WWI 0.034 (-0.036 to 0.021)	WC:HC – C index	0.031 (-0.006 to 0.069)	.10	-0.031 (-0.078 to 0.016)	.19			
WC:HC - WWI $0.038\ (0.001\ to\ 0.075)$ $.05\$ $-0.03\ (-0.077\ to\ 0.017)$ $.21\$ WC:Ht - NC:Ht $-0.002\ (-0.044\ to\ 0.04)$ $.93\$ $0.041\ (-0.014\ to\ 0.096)$ $.15\$ WC:Ht - Fat % $0.018\ (-0.015\ to\ 0.051)$ $.28\$ $0.056\ (0.009\ to\ 0.102)$ $.02\$ WC:Ht - C index $0.045\ (0.014\ to\ 0.077)$ $.005\$ $0.062\ (0.023\ to\ 0.101)$ $.002\$ WC:Ht - WII $0.001\ (-0.013\ to\ 0.015)$ $.88\$ $0.004\ (-0.012\ to\ 0.021)$ $.61\$ WC:Ht - WWI $0.052\ (0.021\ to\ 0.083)$ $.001\$ $0.063\ (0.024\ to\ 0.102)^2$ $.001\$ NC:Ht - Fat % $0.02\ (-0.032\ to\ 0.072)$ $.45\$ $0.014\ (-0.075\ to\ 0.088)$ $.7\$ NC:Ht - BMI $0.012\ (-0.036\ to\ 0.06)$ $.62\$ $-0.02\ (-0.075\ to\ 0.036)$ $.49\$ NC:Ht - C index $0.047\ (-0.002\ to\ 0.097)$ $.06\$ $0.021\ (-0.049\ to\ 0.021)$ $.22\$ NC:Ht - BMI $0.012\ (-0.036\ to\ 0.02)$ $.62\$ $-0.02\ (-0.075\ to\ 0.036)$ $.49\$ NC:Ht - VWI $0.003\ (-0.042\ to\ 0.097)$ $.06\$ $0.021\ (-0.049\ to\ 0.02)$ $.22\$ NC:Ht - WWI $0.05\ (0.006\ to\ 0.102)$ $.33\$ $-0.036\ (-0.064\ to\ 0.077)$ $.86\$ Fat % - BMI $-0.03\ (-0.035\ to\ 0.016)$ $.31\$ $-0.031\ (-0.077\ to\ 0.008)$ $.12\$ Fat % - BMI $-0.036\ to\ 0.021\$ $.33\$ $-0.036\ (-0.064\ to\ 0.077)$ $.86\$ Fat % - AVI $-0.017\ (-0.05\ to\ 0.016)$ $.31\$ $-0.031\ (-0.077\ to\ 0.008)$ $.12\$ BMI - C index $0.035\ ($	WC:HC – AVI	-0.013 (-0.057 to 0.03)	.56	-0.089 (-0.144 to -0.033)	.002			
WC:Ht - NC:Ht -0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04) .93 0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096) .15 WC:Ht - Fat % 0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051) .28 0.056 (0.009 to 0.102) .02 WC:Ht - BMI 0.01 (-0.018 to 0.038) .48 0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056) .24 WC:Ht - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - AVI 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .88 0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021) .61 WC:Ht - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht - BMI 0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06) .62 -0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036) .49 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht - WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - G index 0.033 (-0.018 to 0.089) <td>WC:HC – WWI</td> <td>0.038 (0.001 to 0.075)</td> <td>.05</td> <td>-0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017)</td> <td>.21</td>	WC:HC – WWI	0.038 (0.001 to 0.075)	.05	-0.03 (-0.077 to 0.017)	.21			
WC:Ht- Fat % $0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051)$ $.28$ $0.056 (0.009 to 0.102)$ $.02$ WC:Ht - BMI $0.01 (-0.018 to 0.038)$ $.48$ $0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056)$ $.24$ WC:Ht - C index $0.045 (0.014 to 0.077)$ $.005$ $0.062 (0.023 to 0.101)$ $.002$ WC:Ht - AVI $0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)$ $.88$ $0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021)$ $.61$ WC:Ht - WWI $0.052 (0.021 to 0.083)$ $.001$ $0.063 (0.024 to 0.102)^{a}$ $.001$ NC:Ht - BMI $0.012 (-0.032 to 0.072)$ $.45$ $0.014 (-0.075 to 0.036)$ $.49$ NC:Ht - C index $0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097)$ $.06$ $0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091)$ $.56$ NC:Ht - AVI $0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048)$ $.9$ $-0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022)$ $.22$ NC:Ht - WWI $0.054 (0.006 to 0.102)$ $.03$ $0.022 (-0.075 to 0.008)$ $.12$ Fat % - C index $0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079)$ $.3$ $0.006 (-0.064 to 0.09)$ $.53$ Fat % - C index $0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079)$ $.3$ $0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077)$ $.86$ Fat % - AVI $-0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016)$ $.31$ $-0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004)$ $.04$ Fat % - AWI $0.034 (-0.018 to 0.089)$ $.19$ $0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109)$ $.25$ BMI - C index $0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089)$ $.19$ $0.041 (-0.028 to 0.111)$ $.24$ C index $0.035 (-0.018 to 0.018)$ $.52$ $-0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017)$ $.33$ BMI - AVI $-0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012)$ $.008$ $-0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016)$ $.007$ C index -	WC:Ht – NC:Ht	-0.002 (-0.044 to 0.04)	.93	0.041 (-0.014 to 0.096)	.15			
WC:Ht - BMI 0.01 (-0.018 to 0.038) .48 0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056) .24 WC:Ht - C index 0.045 (0.014 to 0.077) .005 0.062 (0.023 to 0.101) .002 WC:Ht - AVI 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .88 0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021) .61 WC:Ht - WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .001 0.063 (0.024 to 0.102)* .001 NC:Ht - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht - BMI 0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06) .62 -0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036) .49 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht - WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - AVI 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089)	WC:Ht– Fat %	0.018 (-0.015 to 0.051)	.28	0.056 (0.009 to 0.102)	.02			
WC:Ht - C index $0.045 (0.014 \text{ to } 0.077)$ 0.05 $0.062 (0.023 \text{ to } 0.101)$ 0.02 WC:Ht - AVI $0.001 (-0.013 \text{ to } 0.015)$ 88 $0.004 (-0.012 \text{ to } 0.021)$ 61 WC:Ht - WWI $0.052 (0.021 \text{ to } 0.083)$ 001 $0.063 (0.024 \text{ to } 0.102)^{\mu}$ 001 NC:Ht - Fat % $0.02 (-0.032 \text{ to } 0.072)$ 45 $0.014 (-0.059 \text{ to } 0.088)$ $.7$ NC:Ht - BMI $0.012 (-0.036 \text{ to } 0.06)$ $.62$ $-0.02 (-0.075 \text{ to } 0.036)$ $.49$ NC:Ht - C index $0.047 (-0.002 \text{ to } 0.097)$ $.06$ $0.021 (-0.049 \text{ to } 0.091)$ $.56$ NC:Ht - AVI $0.003 (-0.042 \text{ to } 0.097)$ $.06$ $0.022 (-0.046 \text{ to } 0.022)$ $.22$ NC:Ht - AVI $0.036 (-0.026 \text{ to } 0.102)$ $.03$ $0.022 (-0.046 \text{ to } 0.09)$ $.53$ Fat % - BMI $-0.008 (-0.036 \text{ to } 0.02)$ $.58$ $-0.034 (-0.077 \text{ to } 0.008)$ $.12$ Fat % - C index $0.027 (-0.024 \text{ to } 0.079)$ $.3$ $0.006 (-0.064 \text{ to } 0.077)$ $.86$ Fat % - AVI $-0.017 (-0.05 \text{ to } 0.016)$ $.31$ $-0.051 (-0.099 \text{ to } -0.004)$ $.04$ Fat % - AVI $0.034 (-0.018 \text{ to } 0.089)$ $.19$ $0.041 (-0.028 \text{ to } 0.17)$ $.33$ BMI - C index $0.035 (-0.018 \text{ to } 0.089)$ $.19$ $0.041 (-0.028 \text{ to } 0.17)$ $.33$ BMI - WWI $0.042 (-0.012 \text{ to } 0.096)$ $.13$ $0.042 (-0.028 \text{ to } 0.111)$ $.24$ C index - AVI $-0.044 (-0.077 \text{ to } -0.012)$ $.008$ $-0.058 (-0.099 \text{ to } -0.016)$ $.007$	WC:Ht – BMI	0.01 (-0.018 to 0.038)	.48	0.021 (-0.014 to 0.056)	.24			
WC:Ht - AVI $0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)$.88 $0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021)$.61WC:Ht - WWI $0.052 (0.021 to 0.083)$.001 $0.063 (0.024 to 0.102)^a$.001NC:Ht - Fat % $0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072)$.45 $0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088)$.7NC:Ht - BMI $0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06)$.62 $-0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036)$.49NC:Ht - C index $0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097)$.06 $0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091)$.56NC:Ht - AVI $0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048)$.9 $-0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022)$.22NC:Ht - WWI $0.054 (0.006 to 0.102)$.03 $0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09)$.53Fat % - BMI $-0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02)$.58 $-0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008)$.12Fat % - C index $0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079)$.3 $0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077)$.86Fat % - AVI $-0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016)$.31 $-0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004)$.04Fat % - WWI $0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089)$.19 $0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109)$.25BMI - C index $0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089)$.19 $0.041 (-0.028 to 0.11)$.24C index - AVI $-0.004 (-0.077 to -0.012)$.008 $-0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016)$.007C index - AVI $0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02)$.33 $0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)$.89AVI - WWI $0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02)$.33 $0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)$.89	WC:Ht – C index	0.045 (0.014 to 0.077)	.005	0.062 (0.023 to 0.101)	.002			
WC:Ht – WWI 0.052 (0.021 to 0.083) .001 0.063 (0.024 to 0.102) ^a .001 NC:Ht – Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088) .7 NC:Ht – BMI 0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06) .62 -0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036) .49 NC:Ht – C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht – AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht – WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % – BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % – C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % – AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % – WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI – C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI – C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.096) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI – C index 0.036 to 0.018) <	WC:Ht – AVI	0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)	.88	0.004 (-0.012 to 0.021)	.61			
NC:Ht - Fat % 0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072) .45 0.014 (-0.059 to 0.08) .7 NC:Ht - BMI 0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06) .62 -0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036) .49 NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht - WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % - BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012)	WC:Ht – WWI	0.052 (0.021 to 0.083)	.001	$0.063 (0.024 \text{ to } 0.102)^{a}$.001			
NC:Ht – BMI 0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06) .62 -0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036) .49 NC:Ht – C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht – AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht – WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % – BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % – C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % – AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % – WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI – C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI – AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI – WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index – AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index – AVI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02)	NC:Ht – Fat %	0.02 (-0.032 to 0.072)	.45	0.014 (-0.059 to 0.088)	.7			
NC:Ht - C index 0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097) .06 0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091) .56 NC:Ht - AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht - WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % - BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02)	NC:Ht – BMI	0.012 (-0.036 to 0.06)	.62	-0.02 (-0.075 to 0.036)	.49			
NC:Ht – AVI 0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048) .9 -0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022) .22 NC:Ht – WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % – BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % – C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % – AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % – WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI – C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI – AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI – C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.110) .24 C index – AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index – WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI – WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	NC:Ht – C index	0.047 (-0.002 to 0.097)	.06	0.021 (-0.049 to 0.091)	.56			
NC:Ht – WWI 0.054 (0.006 to 0.102) .03 0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09) .53 Fat % – BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % – C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % – AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % – WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI – C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI – AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI – AVI -0.009 (-0.026 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI – WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index – AVI -0.004 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index – WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI – WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	NC:Ht – AVI	0.003 (-0.042 to 0.048)	.9	-0.037 (-0.096 to 0.022)	.22			
Fat % - BMI -0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02) .58 -0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008) .12 Fat % - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.007 (-0.007 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	NC:Ht – WWI	0.054 (0.006 to 0.102)	.03	0.022 (-0.046 to 0.09)	.53			
Fat % - C index 0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079) .3 0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077) .86 Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.007 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	Fat % – BMI	-0.008 (-0.036 to 0.02)	.58	-0.034 (-0.077 to 0.008)	.12			
Fat % - AVI -0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016) .31 -0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004) .04 Fat % - WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.007 (-0.007 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	Fat % – C index	0.027 (-0.024 to 0.079)	.3	0.006 (-0.064 to 0.077)	.86			
Fat % - WWI 0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086) .2 0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079) .84 BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.004 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	Fat % – AVI	-0.017 (-0.05 to 0.016)	.31	-0.051 (-0.099 to -0.004)	.04			
BMI - C index 0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089) .19 0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109) .25 BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	Fat % – WWI	0.034 (-0.018 to 0.086)	.2.	0.007 (-0.064 to 0.079)	.84			
BMI - AVI -0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018) .52 -0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017) .33 BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	BMI – C index	0.035 (-0.018 to 0.089)	.19	0.041 (-0.028 to 0.109)	.2.5			
BMI - WWI 0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096) .13 0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111) .24 C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	BMI – AVI	-0.009 (-0.036 to 0.018)	.52	-0.017 (-0.051 to 0.017)	.23			
C index - AVI -0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012) .008 -0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016) .007 C index - WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI - WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	BMI – WWI	0.042 (-0.012 to 0.096)	.13	0.042 (-0.028 to 0.111)	.33			
C index – WWI 0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02) .33 0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015) .89 AVI – WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	C index – AVI	-0.044 (-0.077 to -0.012)	.008	-0.058 (-0.099 to -0.016)	.2.			
AVI – WWI 0.051 (0.014 to 0.088) .007 0.059 (0.012 to 0.105) .01	C index – WWI	0.007 (-0.007 to 0.02)	.33	0.001 (-0.013 to 0.015)	.89			
	AVI – WWI	0.051 (0.014 to 0.088)	.007	0.059 (0.012 to 0.105)	.01			

Abbreviations: AUC, area under receiver operating characteristic curve; AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; C index, conicity index; HC, hip circumference; Ht, height; NC, neck circumference; WC, waist circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index. *a*Significantly different after Bonferroni correction of *P* value for multiple comparisons (*P* < .001).

WC:HC and WC:Ht had the highest AUC to identify low HDL-C in men: AUC_{WC:HC}: 0.63 (95% CI, 0.58-0.68) and AUC_{WC:Ht}: 0.62 (95% CI, 0.57, 0.68) (see Table 4 and Fig. 2).

In women, NC and NC:Ht had the highest AUC to identify low HDL-C; AUC_{NC}: 0.63 (95% CI, 0.58-0.69) and AUC_{NC:Ht}: 0.62 (95% CI, 0.57-0.67). These AUCs in identifying low

HDL-C were not significantly different from those of other measured anthropometric indicators (data not shown).

Optimal Cutoff Value of Anthropometric Indicators for the Identification of Clinical Metabolic Abnormality

To detect a CMA, the optimal cutoff value of each anthropometric indicator was for WC (97.5 for men and 89.5 for women), NC (39.8 for men and 33.8 for women), WC:HC (0.93 for men and 0.84 for women), WC:Ht (0.58 for men and 0.59 for women), NC:Ht (0.23 for men and 0.21 for women), fat percentage (29.1 for men and 42.1 for women), BMI (27.9 for men and 28.3 for women), C index (1.31 for men and 1.26 for women), AVI (19.1 for men and 16.3 for women), and WWI (10.8 for men and 10.9 for women) (Table 6). The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and positive and negative likelihood ratios are presented in Table 6.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study among people older than 18 years from Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, who were not previously diagnosed with T2DM, the prevalence of CMA was 17.6% with a sex difference: 20.3% in men and 14% in women. Several anthropometric measurements and indices including WC, NC, WC:HC, WC:Ht, NC:Ht, fat percentage, BMI, C index, AVI, and WWI were investigated for their predictive abilities of CMA. These anthropometric indices were significantly associated with elevated FPG, BP, TGs, and low HDL-C in both sexes, similar to findings from previous studies [48, 49]; however, with such a high number of people participating in the study, these correlations are not considered particularly strong, as expected from correlations between biological parameters. After adjusting for age, NC and NC:Ht had the best abilities to identify CMA in men, whereas WC, WC:Ht, and AVI had the best abilities to identify CMA in women. This may suggest that fat distribution might contribute to CMA in a sex-specific manner. The difference in body fat distribution between men and women is well known. Nevertheless, the diagnostic abilities of WC, NC, WC:Ht, NC:Ht, BMI, and AVI for CMA were similar both in men and women.

Previous studies investigating the prediction of MetS with anthropometric indices and markers in the Saudi population included markers such as BMI for general obesity and WC for abdominal obesity, but did not include markers of upperbody subcutaneous adiposity or body shape [50]. Our study explored and compared the predictive ability of several anthropometric parameters and indices for the association with CMA including parameters that are reflective both of abdominal and upper-body subcutaneous adiposity and body shape.

NC has been accepted as a marker for subcutaneous fat adiposity [25, 26]. Its utility as a screening tool for MetS or CMA has been explored in different populations [21, 22, 26, 51, 52]. A previous study in an Asian Indian population indicated that NC and NC:Ht are good predictors of MetS and CVD risk factors after adjustment for age, and that NC:Ht was a better predictor of cardiometabolic risk than NC [51]. In our study, NC and NC:Ht were the best predictors of CMA in men. A previous study in an elderly Chinese population reported that WC and NC were independent predictors of MetS; NC was a stronger predictor of MetS than WC in both sexes [22]. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis indicated that

NC is a good predictor of MetS with a sensitivity and specificity greater than 65% [53]. This meta-analysis reported that both race and sex contributed to the association between NC and cardiometabolic risk; NC had a stronger association with FPG in Asians compared with other populations, and had a stronger correlation with LDL-C levels in men compared with women [53]. In the Framingham Heart Study, NC was associated with CVD risk factors irrespective of BMI [26]. It was specifically associated with systolic BP and diastolic BP in men only, and with TGs and FPG in women only, and with insulin, proinsulin, and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance and inversely associated with HDL-C both in men and women after adjustment for accumulation of visceral adipose tissue.

In the present study, the strong association between NC and CMA was mainly attributed to lipids with its association with high TGs in men and low HDL-C in women. Similarly, NC has been shown to correlate directly with TGs and inversely with HDL-C independently of BMI, WC, and sex in a White population [54]. It has been proposed that upper-body subcutaneous fat has a reduced capability to take up and deposit circulating TGs, which might explain the association between high NC and elevated TGs in men [54-56].

It is well established that WC contributes to the risk of CMA through elevating hepatic free fatty acid delivery that results from the lipolysis of visceral adipose tissue [55-57]. However, unlike abdominal obesity, the mechanisms by which neck adiposity contributes to cardiometabolic risk is not well identified. Upper-body subcutaneous fat has a higher rate of lipolysis and its contribution to released systemic free fatty acid exceeds that of visceral fat, especially in obese people. This might explain the stronger ability of NC in predicting CMA and MetS compared with WC in some populations [55] and in men in our study. The elevated plasma free fatty acid level might contribute to CMA as it can increase insulin resistance and the production of very-low-density lipoprotein and TGs [58, 59]. This suggests that both upper-body subcutaneous and visceral fat contribute to the pathology of MetS and that more emphasis needs to be placed on upperbody obesity in addition to central obesity in screening for risk of CMA, especially in men. This will be better quantified by imaging modality, which was not an option in our study.

Our study demonstrated that among Saudi individuals, an NC of 39.8 cm in men and 33.8 cm in women were the best predictors for identifying CMA, with a sensitivity of 75.5% and 73.4% and a specificity of 55.0% and 58.5%, respectively. These are close to the 39 cm and 35 cm cutoffs for MetS suggested by findings in a Turkish population [52]. The optimal cutoff points of NC for MetS in a Chinese population were 37 to 38 cm in men and 33 to 35 cm in women [22, 60] and in South Asian Indians 35 cm in men and 31.3 cm in women [51]. The sensitivity and specificity in the previous studies for NC cutoff values were in keeping with our findings. The difference in NC cutoff points between our study and previous studies might be due to the difference in mean NC among populations as a result of variations in body composition or differences in the criteria of determining MetS and CMA [22, 51, 52, 60].

Among other obesity- and visceral adiposity-related anthropometric indicators, the markers for visceral adiposity WC:Ht, WC, and AVI were the best CMA predictors, particularly in women followed by BMI, NC, and NC:Ht. Fat percentage, WC:HC, C index, and WWI had the lowest

Table 6. Optimal cutoff values and sensitivity and specificity for the identification of clinical metabolic abnormality

CMA	Male						Female							
	Cutoff point	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	PLR	NLR	Cutoff point	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	PLR	NLR
WC	97.5	0.669	0.624	0.312	0.881	1.779	0.562	89.5	0.747	0.606	0.243	0.934	1.896	0.527
NC	39.8	0.755	0.550	0.299	0.898	1.678	0.596	33.8	0.734	0.585	0.230	0.929	1.769	0.565
WC:HC	0.93	0.642	0.649	0.318	0.877	1.829	0.547	0.84	0.734	0.548	0.216	0.924	1.624	0.616
WC:Ht	0.58	0.662	0.639	0.318	0.881	1.834	0.545	0.59	0.696	0.690	0.275	0.931	2.245	0.445
NC:Ht	0.23	0.682	0.609	0.308	0.883	1.744	0.573	0.21	0.722	0.604	0.236	0.928	1.823	0.548
Fat, %	29.1	0.589	0.651	0.301	0.862	1.688	0.593	42.1	0.722	0.582	0.226	0.925	1.727	0.579
BMI	27.9	0.623	0.607	0.288	0.863	1.585	0.631	28.3	0.658	0.675	0.255	0.921	2.025	0.494
C index	1.31	0.662	0.592	0.292	0.873	1.623	0.616	1.26	0.671	0.627	0.233	0.918	1.799	0.556
AVI	19.1	0.669	0.621	0.310	0.880	1.765	0.567	16.3	0.759	0.610	0.248	0.937	1.946	0.514
WWI	10.8	0.682	0.570	0.288	0.876	1.586	0.630	10.9	0.671	0.636	0.238	0.920	1.843	0.542
BP														
WC	98.5	0.590	0.689	0.488	0.770	1.897	0.527	87.5	0.733	0.568	0.323	0.883	1.697	0.589
NC	39.3	0.679	0.570	0.443	0.779	1.579	0.633	34.5	0.625	0.721	0.387	0.872	2.240	0.446
WC:HC	0.91	0.663	0.562	0.432	0.768	1.514	0.661	0.86	0.617	0.638	0.324	0.855	1.704	0.587
WC:Ht	0.58	0.614	0.675	0.487	0.777	1.889	0.529	0.59	0.617	0.704	0.370	0.867	2.084	0.480
NC:Ht	0.23	0.643	0.596	0.445	0.768	1.592	0.628	0.22	0.617	0.685	0.356	0.864	1.959	0.511
Fat, %	29.1	0.546	0.677	0.460	0.748	1.690	0.592	42.1	0.608	0.580	0.290	0.840	1.448	0.691
BMI	28.3	0.562	0.693	0.479	0.759	1.831	0.546	28.3	0.583	0.692	0.348	0.855	1.893	0.528
C index	1.31	0.602	0.612	0.438	0.754	1.552	0.645	1.26	0.642	0.648	0.339	0.865	1.824	0.548
AVI	19.2	0.610	0.661	0.475	0.771	1.799	0.556	15.2	0.767	0.545	0.322	0.893	1.686	0.593
WWI	10.8	0.647	0.598	0.447	0.771	1.609	0.621	10.8	0.675	0.610	0.328	0.870	1.731	0.578
FPG														
WC	97.5	0.746	0.591	0.145	0.962	1.824	0.548	95.5	0.656	0.719	0.127	0.971	2.335	0.428
NC	41.8	0.556	0.727	0.159	0.946	2.037	0.491	35.5	0.563	0.747	0.122	0.965	2.225	0.449
WC:HC	0.93	0.730	0.619	0.151	0.961	1.916	0.522	0.86	0.656	0.598	0.092	0.965	1.632	0.613
WC:Ht	0.59	0.714	0.665	0.166	0.962	2.131	0.469	0.60	0.688	0.694	0.123	0.973	2.248	0.445
NC:Ht	0.24	0.540	0.731	0.157	0.945	2.007	0.498	0.22	0.719	0.604	0.102	0.972	1.816	0.551
Fat, %	29.4	0.587	0.622	0.126	0.942	1.553	0.644	47.1	0.688	0.768	0.156	0.975	2.966	0.337
BMI	27.7	0.651	0.575	0.125	0.947	1.532	0.653	29.6	0.688	0.704	0.127	0.973	2.324	0.430
C index	1.31	0.825	0.572	0.152	0.972	1.928	0.519	1.28	0.625	0.674	0.107	0.966	1.917	0.522
AVI	19.16	0.746	0.588	0.144	0.961	1.811	0.552	18.5	0.656	0.721	0.128	0.971	2.351	0.425
WWI	11.0	0.714	0.644	0.157	0.960	2.006	0.499	11.3	0.563	0.735	0.117	0.964	2.125	0.471
TGs														
WC	97.5	0.582	0.619	0.361	0.800	1.528	0.655	88.8	0.769	0.581	0.234	0.938	1.835	0.545
NC	40.8	0.572	0.681	0.399	0.811	1.793	0.558	34.5	0.551	0.677	0.221	0.900	1.706	0.586
WC:HC	0.93	0.572	0.650	0.377	0.804	1.634	0.612	0.84	0.641	0.532	0.186	0.899	1.370	0.730
WC:Ht	0.56	0.682	0.545	0.357	0.822	1.499	0.667	0.57	0.744	0.618	0.245	0.935	1.948	0.513
NC:Ht	0.23	0.662	0.602	0.381	0.828	1.663	0.601	0.21	0.705	0.547	0.206	0.918	1.556	0.643
Fat, %	29.4	0.483	0.639	0.331	0.770	1.338	0.747	42.1	0.731	0.583	0.226	0.929	1.753	0.570
BMI	26.2	0.667	0.477	0.321	0.795	1.275	0.784	28.3	0.628	0.665	0.238	0.915	1.875	0.533
C index	1.29	0.682	0.525	0.347	0.817	1.436	0.696	1.26	0.564	0.609	0.194	0.893	1.442	0.693
AVI	19.1	0.587	0.617	0.362	0.801	1.533	0.652	16.5	0.731	0.622	0.244	0.933	1.934	0.517
WWI	10.8	0.612	0.567	0.343	0.798	1.413	0.708	10.8	0.628	0.605	0.209	0.907	1.590	0.629
HDL-C														
WC	98.5	0.560	0.624	0.216	0.885	1.489	0.671	87.5	0.633	0.553	0.349	0.799	1.416	0.706
NC	40.8	0.500	0.634	0.201	0.873	1.366	0.732	33.8	0.627	0.601	0.373	0.810	1.571	0.636
WC:HC	0.93	0.586	0.623	0.223	0.891	1.554	0.643	0.85	0.540	0.566	0.320	0.765	1.244	0.804
WC:Ht	0.56	0.698	0.518	0.211	0.903	1.448	0.691	0.55	0.653	0.525	0.342	0.800	1.375	0.727
NC:Ht	0.23	0.638	0.510	0.194	0.884	1.302	0.768	0.21	0.600	0.616	0.372	0.803	1.563	0.640

СМА	Male Female													
	Cutoff point	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	PLR	NLR	Cutoff point	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	PLR	NLR
Fat, %	29.1	0.543	0.629	0.213	0.882	1.464	0.683	41.7	0.640	0.548	0.349	0.801	1.416	0.706
BMI	26.1	0.716	0.465	0.198	0.899	1.338	0.747	26.5	0.653	0.540	0.350	0.804	1.420	0.704
C index	1.31	0.621	0.570	0.211	0.891	1.444	0.692	1.20	0.707	0.444	0.325	0.800	1.272	0.786
AVI	20.1	0.534	0.667	0.229	0.886	1.604	0.624	15.6	0.640	0.556	0.353	0.803	1.441	0.694
WWI	10.7	0.698	0.522	0.212	0.903	1.460	0.685	10.6	0.620	0.518	0.328	0.783	1.286	0.777

Bold values are the cutoff points determined by ROC curve.

Abbreviations: AVI, abdominal volume index; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; C index, conicity index; CMA, clinical metabolic abnormality; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HC, hip circumference; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NC, neck circumference; NLR, negative likelihood ratio; NPV, negative predictive value; PLR, positive likelihood ratio; PPV, positive predictive value; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; TGs, triglycerides; WC, waist circumference; WWI, weight-adjusted waist index.

predictive ability in both sexes. A previous study that compared the discriminative ability of WC, BMI, and WC:HC in identifying MetS reported that WC:HC was the weakest compared with WC and BMI in both sexes in people of different ages and ethnicities [61].

The optimal cutoff points for WC, WC:Ht, and BMI were 97.5 cm, 0.58, and 27.9 for men and 89.5 cm, 0.59, and 28.3 for women, respectively. These cutoff points are higher than the previously suggested WC and BMI cutoff points for CMA in the Saudi population, which were 92 cm and 25 for men and 87 cm and 28 for women, respectively [50], probably due to differences in study inclusion criteria. In the previous Saudi study, nondiabetic and diabetic people were included, which resulted in a high prevalence of more than 40% of MetS. The population included in our study, in which the CMA prevalence was 17.6%, had not previously been diagnosed with T2DM.

The optimal cutoff points for explored anthropometric indices had a relatively high sensitivity and negative predictive value in predicting CMA; however, they had a low positive predictive value and positive likelihood ratio, which is typical in cross-sectional studies. Therefore, they cannot be used solely to select individuals for further testing. Combinations of 2 or more anthropometric indices might improve the accuracy of the prediction of the CMA. This will require further analysis using more sophisticated statistical approaches such as artificial intelligence and machine learning to illustrate the complicated relations between predicting anthropometric factors for MetS that we plan to conduct in the future.

The limitation of our study was its cross-sectional design, which does not allow determining causality. However, this is the first study in a Saudi population to investigate the predictive ability of traditional as well as several novel anthropometric indices of CMA and to determine their cutoff points.

In conclusion, anthropometric indices that had the best ability to identify a CMA after adjusting for age were NC and NC:Ht in men and WC, WC:Ht, and AVI in women, with no significant difference between these indices. Thus, body fat distribution might contribute to CMA in a sex-specific manner. WC:HC, C index, and WWI had the lowest abilities to predict a CMA.

Financial Support

This work was supported by King Abdulaziz University (grant No. 2-140-1434-HiCi).

Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Data Availability

The data sets analyzed for this study can be found at the King Abdulaziz University repository at http://www.kau.edu.sa/ GetFile.aspx?id=310042&fn=AnthropometricandMetSdata availabilitycheck.rar.

References

- Lam DW, LeRoith D. Metabolic syndrome. MDText.com Inc; 2019. Accessed August 12, 2021. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK278936/
- 2. Alberti K, Eckel RH, Grundy SM, *et al.* Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome. *Circulation*. 2009;120(16):1640-1645.
- Lakka HM, Laaksonen DE, Lakka TA, et al. The metabolic syndrome and total and cardiovascular disease mortality in middleaged men. J Am Med Assoc. 2002;288(21):2709-2716.
- Ranasinghe P, Mathangasinghe Y, Jayawardena R, Hills AP, Misra A. Prevalence and trends of metabolic syndrome among adults in the Asia-pacific region: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(1):101.
- 5. Hu G, Lindström J, Jousilahti P, *et al.* The increasing prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Finnish men and women over a decade. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2008;93(3):832-836.
- Hu G, Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J, Balkau B, Borch-Johnsen K, Pyorala K; DECODE Study Group. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in nondiabetic European men and women. *Arch Intern Med*. 2004;164(10):1066-1076.
- Bahijri SM, Al Raddadi RM, Jambi H, Alaama MNA, Ferns G. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in an apparently healthy, normotensive and non-diabetic population in Saudi Arabia by two definitions: implications for local practice. *Open J Endocr Metab Dis*. 2013;3(1):18-24.
- Al-Rubeaan K, Bawazeer N, Al Farsi Y, *et al.* Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Saudi Arabia—a cross sectional study. *BMC Endocr Disord.* 2018;18(1):16.
- 9. Lin X, Xu Y, Pan X, *et al.* Global, regional, and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: an analysis from 1990 to 2025. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):14790.
- Roth GA, Mensah GA, Johnson CO, et al; GBD-NHLBI-JACC Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases Writing Group. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990-2019: update from the GBD 2019 study. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2020;76(25):2982-3021.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Published June 11, 2021. Accessed December 22, 2021. https://www. who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds)

- Bahijri SM, Jambi HA, Al Raddadi RM, Ferns G, Tuomilehto J. The prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes in the adult population of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia—a community-based survey. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(4):e0152559.
- Aljefree N, Ahmed F. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease and associated risk factors among adult population in the Gulf Region: a systematic review. *Adv Public Heal*. 2015;2015:1-23.
- 14. Ilanne-Parikka P, Eriksson JG, Lindström J, *et al*; Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. Effect of lifestyle intervention on the occurrence of metabolic syndrome and its components in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(4):805-807.
- Suliga E, Ciesla E, Głuszek-Osuch M, Rogula T, Głuszek S, Kozieł D. The usefulness of anthropometric indices to identify the risk of metabolic syndrome. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(11):2598.
- 16. Sardinha LB, Santos DA, Silva AM, Grøntved A, Andersen LB, Ekelund U. A comparison between BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio for identifying cardio-metabolic risk in children and adolescents. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(2):e0149351.
- 17. Wang F, Chen Y, Chang Y, Sun G, Sun Y. New anthropometric indices or old ones: which perform better in estimating cardiovascular risks in Chinese adults. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2018;18(1):14.
- Zhang J, Zhu W, Qiu L, Huang L, Fang L. Sex- and agespecific optimal anthropometric indices as screening tools for metabolic syndrome in Chinese adults. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2018;2018:1067603.
- Anchuelo AC, Martínez-Larrad MT, Serrano-García Í, Pérez CF, Serrano-Ríos M. Body fat anthropometric indexes: which of those identify better high cardiovascular risk subjects? A comparative study in Spanish population. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(5):e0216877.
- 20. Stefanescu A, Revilla L, Lopez T, Sanchez SE, Williams MA, Gelaye B. Using A Body Shape Index (ABSI) and Body Roundness Index (BRI) to predict risk of metabolic syndrome in Peruvian adults. J Int Med Res. 2020;48(1):300060519848854.
- 21. Stabe C, Vasques ACJ, Lima MMO, et al. Neck circumference as a simple tool for identifying the metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance: results from the Brazilian Metabolic Syndrome Study. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2013;78(6):874-881.
- 22. Yan Q, Sun D, Li X, et al. Neck circumference is a valuable tool for identifying metabolic syndrome and obesity in Chinese elder subjects: a community-based study. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2014;30(1):69-76.
- Hsieh SD, Yoshinaga H. Do people with similar waist circumference share similar health risks irrespective of height? *Tohoku J Exp Med.* 1999;188(1):55-60.
- 24. Tuomilehto J. Tall is beautiful and heart-healthy? *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31(14):1674-1676.
- 25. Sjöström CD, Lissner L, Sjöström L. Relationships between changes in body composition and changes in cardiovascular risk factors: the SOS Intervention Study. Swedish Obese Subjects. Obes Res. 1997;5(6):519-530.
- Preis SR, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, *et al.* Neck circumference as a novel measure of cardiometabolic risk: the Framingham Heart Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(8):3701-3710.
- Huxley R, Mendis S, Zheleznyakov E, Reddy S, Chan J. Body mass index, waist circumference and waist:hip ratio as predictors of cardiovascular risk—a review of the literature. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2010;64(1):16-22.
- 28. Matsha TE, Kengne AP, Yako YY, Hon GM, Hassan MS, Erasmus RT. Optimal waist-to-height ratio values for cardiometabolic risk screening in an ethnically diverse sample of South African urban and rural school boys and girls. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(8):e71133.
- Bahijri S, Al-Raddadi R, Ajabnoor G, *et al.* Dysglycemia risk score in Saudi Arabia: a tool to identify people at high future risk of developing type 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Investig.* 2020;11(4):844-855.
- 30. Sedgwick P. Stratified cluster sampling. BMJ. 2013;347:f7016.
- Eriksson KF, Lindgärde F. Prevention of type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus by diet and physical exercise. The 6-year Malmö feasibility study. *Diabetologia*. 1991;34(12):891-898.

- 32. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, *et al*; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(6):393-403.
- 33. Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, et al. Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance: The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care. 1997;20(4):537-544.
- 34. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V; Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme (IDPP). The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). *Diabetologia*. 2006;49(2):289-297.
- 35. Saaristo T, Moilanen L, Korpi-Hyövälti E, et al. Lifestyle intervention for prevention of type 2 diabetes in primary health care: one-year follow-up of the Finnish National Diabetes Prevention Program (FIN-D2D). Diabetes Care. 2010;33(10):2146-2151.
- 36. Saito T, Watanabe M, Nishida J, et al; Zensharen Study for Prevention of Lifestyle Diseases Group. Lifestyle modification and prevention of type 2 diabetes in overweight Japanese with impaired fasting glucose levels: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171(15):1352-1360.
- 37. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, et al; Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med. 2001;344(18):1343-1350.
- Hypertension in Diabetes Study (HDS): II Increased risk of cardiovascular complications in hypertensive type 2 diabetic patients. J Hypertens. 1993;11(3):319-325.
- Lindström J, Tuomilehto J. The Diabetes Risk Score: a practical tool to predict type 2 diabetes risk. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;26(3):725-731.
- Kaczorowski J, Robinson C, Nerenberg K. Development of the CANRISK questionnaire to screen for prediabetes and undiagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Can J Diabetes*. 2009;33(4):381-385.
- 41. Makrilakis K, Liatis S, Grammatikou S, et al. Validation of the Finnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC) questionnaire for screening for undiagnosed type 2 diabetes, dysglycaemia and the metabolic syndrome in Greece. *Diabetes Metab.* 2011;37(2):144-151.
- 42. Al-Lawati JA, Tuomilehto J. Diabetes risk score in Oman: a tool to identify prevalent type 2 diabetes among Arabs of the Middle East. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2007;77(3):438-444.
- 43. Guerrero-Romero F, Rodríguez-Morán M. Abdominal volume index. An anthropometry-based index for estimation of obesity is strongly related to impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Arch Med Res.* 2003;34(5):428-432.
- 44. Park Y, Kim NH, Kwon TY, Kim SG. A novel adiposity index as an integrated predictor of cardiometabolic disease morbidity and mortality. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:16753.
- Valdez R. A simple model-based index of abdominal adiposity. J Clin Epidemiol. 1991;44(9):955-956.
- Phillips LS, Ziemer DC, Kolm P, et al. Glucose challenge test screening for prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52(9):1798-1807.
- Pareek M, Bhatt DL, Nielsen ML, et al. Enhanced predictive capability of a 1-hour oral glucose tolerance test: a prospective population-based cohort study. Diabetes Care. 2018;41(1):171-177.
- Wu L, Zhu W, Qiao Q, Huang L, Li Y, Chen L. Novel and traditional anthropometric indices for identifying metabolic syndrome in non-overweight/obese adults. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. 2021;18:3.
- 49. Wang H, Liu A, Zhao T, *et al.* Comparison of anthropometric indices for predicting the risk of metabolic syndrome and its components in Chinese adults: a prospective, longitudinal study. *BMJ Open.* 2017;7(9):e016062.
- Al-Rubean K, Youssef AM, Al Farsi Y, *et al*. Anthropometric cutoff values for predicting metabolic syndrome in a Saudi community: from the SAUDI-DM study. *Ann Saudi Med*. 2017;37(1):21-30.
- Selvan C, Dutta D, Thukral A, *et al.* Neck height ratio is an important predictor of metabolic syndrome among Asian Indians. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;20(6):831-837.

- 52. Onat A, Hergenç G, Yüksel H, *et al.* Neck circumference as a measure of central obesity: Associations with metabolic syndrome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome beyond waist circumference. *Clin Nutr.* 2009;28(1):46-51.
- 53. Ataie-Jafari A, Namazi N, Djalalinia S, et al. Neck circumference and its association with cardiometabolic risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2018;10:72.
- 54. Vallianou NG, Evangelopoulos AA, Bountziouka V, et al. Neck circumference is correlated with triglycerides and inversely related with HDL cholesterol beyond BMI and waist circumference. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2013;29(1):90-97.
- 55. Nielsen S, Guo ZK, Johnson CM, Hensrud DD, Jensen MD. Splanchnic lipolysis in human obesity. J Clin Invest. 2004;113(11):1582-1588.
- 56. Jensen MD.Role of body fat distribution and the metabolic complications of obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;93(11 Suppl 1):S57-S63.

- Jensen MD. Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ: implications of its distribution on free fatty acid metabolism. *Eur Hear J Suppl.* 2006;8(Suppl B):B13-B19.
- Kelley DE, Mokan M, Simoneau JA, Mandarino LJ. Interaction between glucose and free fatty acid metabolism in human skeletal muscle. J Clin Invest. 1993;92(1):91-98.
- 59. Kissebah AH, Alfarsi S, Adams PW, Wynn V. Role of insulin resistance in adipose tissue and liver in the pathogenesis of endogenous hypertriglyceridaemia in man. *Diabetologia*. 1976;12(6):563-571.
- Zhou JY, Ge H, Zhu MF, et al. Neck circumference as an independent predictive contributor to cardio-metabolic syndrome. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2013;12:76.
- 61. Cheong KC, Ghazali SM, Hock LK, et al. The discriminative ability of waist circumference, body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio in identifying metabolic syndrome: variations by age, sex and race. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Clin Res Rev.* 2015;9(2):74-78.