

RELIABILITY IN INDIVIDUAL IDENTIFICATION BY SKULL-PHOTO SUPERIMPOSITION AND MORPHOLOGICAL CATEGORIZATION OF FACE PHOTOGRAPHS IN MALAYSIAN MALES REPRESENTING THE THREE MAJOR RACES

by

SEE SIM LEE

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Forensic Science)

April 2011

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my most sincere gratitude to my respectful supervisor, PM Dr. P. T. Jayaprakash, for his endless guidance, advice, encouragement and valuable suggestion throughout the completion of this thesis.

My heartiest gratitude to Dr. Nik Fakhuruddin Nik Hassan, my course coordinator, for his help and support in finishing my study from beginning and all my lecturers for the advice and support they gave.

Besides, special thank to my friend, Zeila Mohamed, for involving and aiding in laboratory works, and my senior, Natassha Hashim, who did peer observation in this project to evaluate the inter-observer variation and advised me in my writing. Thanks as well to all my supportive friends for their companionship throughout these four years of studying together.

My sincere acknowledgements are due to the USM for the RU Grant (No.: 1001/PPSK/813011) that supported the fabrication of the computer-aided video superimposition device utilized for carrying the 60 superimposition examination during this research.

Last but not least, my warmest appreciation to my beloved family for their love, patience, understanding and constant support throughout the four years of study period.

CONTENTS

	Pages
CERTIFICATE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
CONTENTS	iv-vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix-x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
ABTRACT	xii-xiii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1-5
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6-14
CHAPTER 3: OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	
3.1 Objectives	15
3.2 Hypothesis	15
CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGY	
4.1 Instrument	16-17
4.2 Sample	18
4.3 Procedure	
4.3.1 Non Metric Racial Cranial Traits	18

iv

4.3.2 Craniometric Indices	18-19
4.3.3 Cephaloscopic Observations of the Face Photographs	19
4.3.4 Craniofacial Morphanalyis of Skull E and Face Photographs	19
4.3.5 Comparison between Cranial Image of Skull E and Frontal View	
Facial Images	
4.3.5.1 'Life-size' Enlargement of Cranial and Facial Images	19-22
4.3.5.2 Positioning	23
4.3.5.3 Observations	23
4.3.6 Comparison between Cranial Image of Skull E and Lateral View	
Facial Images	
4.3.6.1 'Life-size' Enlargement of Cranial and Facial Images	24
4.3.6.2 Positioning	24
4.3.6.3 Observations	25
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS	
5.1 Non Metric Racial Cranial Traits	26
5.2 Craniometric Indices	27-28
5.3 Craniofacial Morphanalyis of Skull E and Face Photographs	29-56
5.4 Skull-photo Superimposition of Cranial Image of Skull E and Facial	
Images	

5.4.1 Cranial Image of Skull E and Frontal View Facial Images	57-69
5.4.2 Cranial Images of Skull E and Lateral View Facial Images	70-71
5.4.3 Skull-photo Superimposition Performed by Adjusting The Scale	72-74
of The Photo and Skull for Due Tissue Thickness Allowance	
(Bilge et al., 2003) without Considering Anatomical	
Relationship	

CHPATER 6: DISCUSSION

6.1 Identification in Forensic Anthropology: 'directed' and 'open' cases	75-76
6.2 Skull-photo Superimposition Method	77-78
6.3 Skull-photo Video Superimposition Method	78-79
6.4 Skull-photo Superimposition: Malaysian Scenario	79-80
6.5 Relevance of Skull-photo Superimposition for Malaysia	80-81
6.6 Reliability of Identification Using Superimposition Method	81-82
6.7 Research by Austin-Smith and Maples (1994) vs. this Research	83-89
6.8 The Results of this Research	89-92
6.9 Limitations of this Research	92

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS

vi

93

APPENDICES

98-119

LIST OF TABLES

 5.2 Result of craniometric assessment 5.3.1 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Malay samples (MA-ME) 5.3.2 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Malay samples (MF-MJ) 5.3.3 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Chinese samples (CA-CE) 5.3.4 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Chinese samples (CA-CE) 5.3.5 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs) based on traits 	Table		Pages
 5.3.1 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 29-32 Malay samples (MA-ME) 5.3.2 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 33-36 Malay samples (MF-MJ) 5.3.3 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 37-46 Chinese samples (CA-CE) 5.3.4 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 41-46 Chinese samples (CF-CJ) 5.3.5 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 45-48 Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 49-52 Indian samples (IF-IJ) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs) based on traits 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on 71 	5.1	Result of non metric racial cranial trait assessment	26
 Malay samples (MA-ME) 5.3.2 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Malay samples (MF-MJ) 5.3.3 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for MI-ME (Minese samples (CA-CE)) 5.3.4 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for MI-ME (Minese samples (CF-CJ)) 5.3.5 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for MI-ME (Minese samples (IA-IE)) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for MI-ME (Minese samples (IF-IJ)) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs (MI-ME) (MI-ME) 5.4.1 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on MI-ME (Minese SIA) 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on MI-ME (Minese SIA) 	5.2	Result of craniometric assessment	27-28
Malay samples (MF-MJ) 5.3.3 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Chinese samples (CA-CE) 5.3.4 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Chinese samples (CF-CJ) 5.3.5 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs) based on traits 5.4.1 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on traits	5.3.1		29-32
Chinese samples (CA-CE) 5.3.4 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 41-44 Chinese samples (CF-CJ) 5.3.5 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 45-43 Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 49-52 Indian samples (IF-IJ) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs 5.4.1 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on traits 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on 71	5.3.2		33-36
 Chinese samples (CF-CJ) 5.3.5 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 45-44 Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 49-52 Indian samples (IF-IJ) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs 56 5.4.1 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on 69 traits 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on 71 	5.3.3		37-40
Indian samples (IA-IE) 5.3.6 Craniofacial morphanalysis of skull E with face photographs for 49-52 Indian samples (IF-IJ) 5.3.7 Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs 5.4.1 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on traits 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on 71	5.3.4		41-44
Indian samples (IF-IJ)5.3.7Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs565.4.1Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on traits695.4.2Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on71	5.3.5		45-48
 5.4.1 Summary for exclusions (frontal view face photographs) based on 69 traits 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on 71 	5.3.6		49-52
traits 5.4.2 Summary for exclusions (lateral view face photographs) based on 71	5.3.7	Summary of the ear morphology in 30 face photographs	56
	5.4.1		69
	5.4.2	•	71

viii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Caption	Pages
4.1	Components of CAVSID	17
4.3.5.1	Skull E illustrating Whitnall's tubercles and porion	21
5.3.1	Comparison between traits face photograph IF (a) and skull E (b)	53
	during craniofacial morphanalysis	
5.3.2	Comparison between traits on face photograph CB (a) and skull E (b)	54
	during craniofacial morphanalysis	
5.3.3	The correlation or non-correlation between the ear morphology in the	55
	face photograph and the morphology of mastoid region in the skull	
	assessed during craniofacial morphanalysis	
5.4.1.1	Sample IA with gnathion protruding out of the chin	57-58
5.4.1.2	Sample CF with gnathion along the chin with absence of tissue	59-60
	thickness	
5.4.1.3	Exclusion of sample CC illustrating the non concordant of chin and	61-62
	gnathion	
5.4.1.4	Exclusion of sample CI illustrating asymmetry in tissue thickness	63-64
	outline and non-correlation of Whitnall's tubercles and ectocanthi	
5.4.1.5	Exclusion of sample MC illustrating asymmetry in tissue thickness	65-66
	outline and unacceptable distance between Whitnall's tubercle and	
	ectocanthus	

ix

5.4.1.6	Exlcusion of sample CA based on unusual tissue thickness	67-68
5.4.1.7	Exclusion of sample CD based on unusual tissue thickness	69
5.4.2	Exclusion of sample MA based on protruding gnathion	70
5.4.3.1	Superimposition sample CF performed by adjusting the scale of the	72-73
	photo and skull for due tissue thickness allowance (Bilge et al., 2003)	
	without considering anatomical relationship - perception of match	
	with skull E appearing as acceptable	
5.4.3.2	Superimposition sample CH performed by adjusting the scale of the	74
	photo and skull for due tissue thickness allowance (Bilge et al., 2003)	

without considering anatomical relationship - perception of match

with skull E appearing as acceptable

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Pages
1	Non metric racial cranial traits	98
2	Craniometric indices of skull E	99-100
3	Cephaloscopic observations in the face photographs	101-103
4	Craniofacial morphanalysis (skull 'E' with the faces)	104-106
5	Criteria for assessing the match during superimposition: front	107-108
	view	
6	Criteria for assessing the match during superimposition: lateral	109-110
	view	
7	Consolidated observation on the exclusionary and inclusionary	111-113
	traits scored among the three skulls superimposed with faces of	
	Malay samples for frontal view	
8	Consolidated observation on the exclusionary and inclusionary	14-116
	traits scored among the three skulls superimposed with faces of	
	Chinese samples for frontal view	
9	Consolidated observation on the exclusionary and inclusionary	117-119
	traits scored among the three skulls superimposed with faces of	
	Indian samples for frontal view	

xi

ABSTRACT

Skull-photo superimposition is a scientific method for suggesting identification of unidentified human remains. It acquires especial relevance for countries where dental records are not regularly available for identifying the unidentified dead bodies, e.g. India and Southeast Asian countries that includes Malaysia. A research on the reliability of skull-photo superimposition method conducted in 1994 utilizing Caucasoid skulls and face photographs indicated approximately 10% possible wrong match. Moreover, the equipments used during that research were those available at that time. The reliability of skull-photo superimposition using Malaysian samples deserved to be studied. In this research, a single male skull assessed as Asiatic and 30 face photographs of males, ten each belonging to the three major races in Malaysia, namely Malays, Chinese and Indian, were used. Each male face was represented in two views, frontal and lateral views. Thus, a total number of 60 superimpositions were conducted. The video superimposition device utilized is the state of the art kind which is far advanced compared to the device used during the 1994 research. Furthermore, the relative enlargement of the face photograph was obtained using the distance between Whitnall's tubercles which assured imposing a condition; it was not done in the earlier research. The superimposed images were examined for the match using the guidelines which included studies of the profile flow in addition to landmark correlations. The result indicated that all the 30 male face photographs could be excluded and there was no wrong match among them. Besides, the traits enabling exclusion were studied. Imposing inter-Whitnall's tubercular distance as an enlargement parameter indicated non-correlation at the level of the gnathion in 23 of the samples. This is explainable as due to the longer total facial height in the skull. Asymmetry in superimposed images enabled excluding 5 of the samples in which the skull

length and face length were comparable. Non-correlation in tissue thickness excluded 2 samples. It is shown that imposing an anatomical parameter for obtaining the face enlargement and application of state of the art equipment and methodology led to increase in the reliability of identification using skull-photo superimposition method. In Malaysia, superimposition-based evidence has been accepted in the courts although the expert was from a foreign country. The findings of this research indicate the scope for popularizing skull-photo superimposition as a regular method for identifying the unidentified dead bodies in Malaysia.