

OPTIMAL TUNING OF CONTROLLER PARAMETERS FOR A MAGNETIC
LEVITATION SYSTEM USING
RADIAL BASIS BASED NEURAL NETWORK METAMODELING APPROACH

ABDUALRHMAN DAW MOHAMMED ABDALHADI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechatronics & Automatic Control)

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father and mother who have been very supportive in ensuring that I come this far and continually encouraging me to aspire for more. It is also dedicated to all my siblings who were always there to encourage me when the going got tough and supported me through-out.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr. Herman wahid, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship, advices, and motivation.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for my master study. Librarians at UTM, special thanks to my parents for their motivation and prayers.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

ABSTRACT

The Magnetic Levitation System (MLS) is a challenging nonlinear mechatronic system in which an electromagnetic force required to suspend an object (metal sphere) in the air. The electromagnetic force is very sensitive to the noise, which can create acceleration forces on the metal sphere, causing the sphere to move into the unbalanced region. Maglev's benefits the industry, and the system has reduced power consumption, has increased power efficiency, and reduced maintenance cost. The typical applications for Maglev's Power Generation, for example, wind turbine, Maglev's trains, and Medical Device (magnetically suspended Artificial Heart Pump). This project presents a comparative assessment of controllers for the magnetic levitation system and the way of optimally tune of the PID parameter. The magnetic levitation system divided into two types, attractive and repulsive, in this project attractive type has been chosen. The analysis will be performed after finding the state space model of magnetic levitation system, and simulation will be performed using MATLAB Simulink. The optimal tuning based PID controller will offer a transient response with better overshoot and rise time than the standard optimization methods. For the trained networks, metamodel radial basis function networks perform more robustly and tolerantly than the gradient descent method even when dealing with noised input data set. The simulation output using the radial basis based metamodel approach showed an overshoot of 9.34% and rise time 9.84ms, which is better than the gradient descent and conventional PID methods.

ABSTRAK

Magnetic Levitation System (MLS) adalah sistem mekatronik nonlinier yang mencabar di mana daya elektromagnetik yang diperlukan untuk menggantung objek (sfera logam) di udara. Daya elektromagnetik sangat sensitif terhadap kebisingan, yang dapat membuat daya pecutan pada sfera logam, menyebabkan sfera bergerak ke wilayah yang tidak seimbang. Maglev memberi keuntungan kepada industri, dan sistemnya telah mengurangkan penggunaan kuasa, meningkatkan kecekapan kuasa, dan mengurangkan kos penyelenggaraan. Aplikasi khas untuk Penjanaan Kuasa Maglev, misalnya, turbin angin, kereta api Maglev, dan Peranti Perubatan (Pam Jantung Buatan yang digantung secara magnetis). Projek ini menyajikan penilaian perbandingan pengawal untuk sistem levitasi magnetik dan cara penyesuaian parameter PID secara optimum. Sistem levitasi magnetik terbahagi kepada dua jenis, menarik dan menjijikkan, dalam projek ini jenis menarik telah dipilih. Analisis akan dilakukan setelah mencari model ruang sistem sistem levitasi magnetik, dan simulasi akan dilakukan menggunakan MATLAB Simulink. Pengawal PID berasaskan penalaan yang optimum akan menawarkan tindak balas sementara dengan lebih masa dan masa kenaikan yang lebih baik daripada kaedah pengoptimuman standard. Untuk rangkaian terlatih, rangkaian fungsi asas radial metamodel berkinerja lebih mantap dan bertoleransi daripada kaedah penurunan gradien walaupun berhadapan dengan set data input berisik. Output simulasi menggunakan pendekatan metamodel berasaskan radial menunjukkan kelebihan 9.34% dan masa kenaikan 9.84ms, yang lebih baik daripada kaedah kecerunan dan kaedah PID konvensional.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Project Objectives	2
1.4	Scope of the Project	3
1.5	Organization of The Report	3
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) Control	4
2.2.1	Proportional Control	5
2.2.2	Integral Term	5
2.2.3	Derivative Term	6
2.2.4	PI Controller	6
2.2.5	PID Controller	7
2.3	Classical PID Tuning	8

2.3.1	Cohen Coon Technique	8
2.3.2	Ziegler Nichols technique	9
2.4	Computational and Intelligent PID Tuning Technique	10
2.4.1	Radial Basis Function Neural Network	10
2.4.2	Fuzzy Logic Based PID Tuning	11
2.4.3	Particle Swarm Optimization	13
2.4.4	Gradient Descent Optimization	14
2.5	Summary of Literature Review	16
2.6	Research Gaps	18
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	System Description	20
3.3	Model discription	20
3.3.1	Electromechanical Dynamics Modeling	20
3.3.2	Mechanical Modeling	21
3.3.3	Deferential Equation of Motion	21
3.3.4	State-Space Model	22
3.3.5	Linearization of the System	23
3.3.6	Transfer Function Model	25
3.4	Controller Design	28
3.5	Metamodel Based Radial Basis Function	30
3.6	Metamodel Approach and Optimization Mechanism	33
3.7	3D Design Solid Works and SIMSCAPE Multibody (MATLAB)	34
3.8	Design Specifications	35
3.9	Summary of Methodology	37
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	38
4.1	Results	38
4.1.1	PID Conventional Method	39
4.1.2	Gradient Descent Based PID Tuning	40
4.1.3	RBF Metamodel Based PID Tuning	41

4.1.4	Maglev System on SIMSCAPE Simulation	44
4.2	Magnetic Levitation System tests	47
4.3	Summary of Results	48
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	49
5.1	Summary	49
5.2	Future Work	49
REFERENCES		51
Appendices A – B		54 - 61

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Results of PSO Based PID Tuning	14
Table 2.2	Conventional Analog And Digital Optimized PID	15
Table 3.1	Physical Parameter of Maglev System	24
Table 3.2	Ziegler Nichols Based PID Parameters	29
Table 4.1	MLS Response Using PID Toolbox	39
Table 4.2	Output of GD Based PID Tuning	40
Table 4.3	System Output Characteristics	42
Table 4.4	Comparison of Tuning Methods	43
Table 4.5	SIMSCAPE Output Response	46

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	P-Controller Diagram [8]	5
Figure 2.2	Block Diagram of Parallel PID Controller [15]	7
Figure 2.3	Ziegler-Nichols Method Response [16]	9
Figure 2.4	RBF Based PID Tuning Diagram [17]	10
Figure 2.5	Architecture of Radial Basis Function [17]	11
Figure 2.6	Block Diagram of FLC Based PID Controller [25]	12
Figure 2.7	Conventional PID Vs. PSO Based PID Tuning [26]	13
Figure 3.1	Chapter Three Flow Diagram	19
Figure 3.2	General Structure of The Maglev System	20
Figure 3.3	Free Body Diagram of MLS	21
Figure 3.4	Steady-State Current Respect To Time	26
Figure 3.5	Maglev Structure of PID With Z_N	28
Figure 3.7	Structure Diagram of The RBF Method	30
Figure 3.8	Flow Chart of Metamodel Approach	33
Figure 3.9	Solid Works 3D Design	35
Figure 3.10	Steel Ball Specification	35
Figure 3.11	Maglev System Dimensions	36
Figure 3.12	Inductor Design in MATLAB SIMSCAPE	36
Figure 3.13	Base of Maglev System	37
Figure 4.1	MLS Open Loop Block Diagram	38
Figure 4.2	MLS Open Loop Response	38
Figure 4.3	MATLAB Tool vs. Coded PID Controller	39
Figure 4.4	Output Response PID Toolbox	40
Figure 4.5	Response of GD Based PID Tuning	41
Figure 4.6	Response of Metamodel Based PID Tuning	41

Figure 4.7	Output Response from PID Error	42
Figure 4.8	Simulink Block for Three Tuning Methods	43
Figure 4.9	PID & Meta RBF & GD Output Response	44
Figure 4.10	SIMSCAPE Block Diagram	45
Figure 4.11	SIMSCAPE And MLS Response	45
Figure 4.12	Maglev 3D Animation	46
Figure 4.13	White Noise System Response	47
Figure 4.14	Pulse Disturbance and System Performance	48

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
RBF		Radial basis Function
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
MLS	-	Magnetic Levitation System
FLC	-	Fuzzy Logic Controller
CDM	-	Coefficient Diagram Method
LQR		Linear Quadratic Regulator

LIST OF SYMBOLS

η	-	Learning rate
α	-	Momentum factor
F	-	Force
v	-	Velocity
p	-	Power
U	-	Stored Energy

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A:	Gantt Chart	54
Appendix B:	MATLAB Codes	56

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

Magnetic levitation systems have described for a decade as a revolutionary means of travel in science fiction. In (1726), Jonathan Swift has described the magnetic levitation system for the first time. Also, in (1842) an English clergyman called Samuel Earnshaw described the importance of Maglev and its limitation, he shows that the system of Maglev has instability issues where the force between the static magnets and the contactless levitated part was impossible to be stable. The free levitated part has unstable displacement in at least one direction. [1]

Not just engineering and industry fields are concerned with the magnetic levitation system., but also the medical and natural fields have used Maglev in many applications. In 2010 a group of researchers from the university of and Rice University had developed a three-dimensional tumour model related to magnetic levitation. They have had injected the cancer cells with magnetic iron oxide and gold nanoparticles. Moreover, by installing a coin size magnet near the infected area, they have successfully lifted the cells.[2]

Recently, magnetic levitation systems have been appreciated for removing mechanical contact friction, reduce maintenance costs and achieve high-precision positioning. MLS system is also commonly used in various applications, such as high-speed trains, magnetic bearing systems, vibration insulation systems, wind tunnel lifting and photolithographic measures. Reduce operating costs and achieve high precision performance. They are therefore widely used in a variety of fields, such as high-speed trains, vibration insulation systems, magnetic bearings, stepper photolithography, and wind turbine.[3]

Magnet levitation techniques can be classified into two types: Electro Dynamic Suspension (EDS) and Electro Magnetic Suspension (EMS). EDS systems are often known as "repulsive levitation." Superconductivity magnets [4] or permanent magnets [5] provide corresponding levitation sources. Nevertheless, it is difficult to activate the repulsive magnet poles at the low speed of superconductivity magnets, so they are usually used in a high-speed passenger train. The EDS magnetic levitation force is partly stable and allows a high clearance. Nevertheless, the magnetic materials manufacturing process is more complicated and expensive compared to the EMS system. Attractive levitation refers to the EMS system; inherently, the magnetic levitation force is unstable, thus controlling the system is much harder than the EDS system. The process and cost of manufacturing of EMS are lower than EDS, but additional electricity is required to maintain levitation height.

1.2 Problem Statement

Maglev system (MLS) referred to electromechanical systems and used electromagnetic field to levitate an object in a space with no human assistance. Over the years, engineers and researchers have been paying great attention to stabilize the magnetic levitation system. The characteristics maglev system is extremely nonlinear. It is unstable and considerable uncertainty. For the magnetic levitation system has a high nonlinear open-loop instability, due to the relationship between the magnetic force and ferromagnetic material.

“Proportional Integral and Derivative” (PID). for so many years it has been used in industry field. PID controllers has been utilized since 1890s for controller design.[6] until today the industrial field still uses PID controllers with other different optimization techniques. The PID controller will stabilize the system, although the control performance of the system is limited due to the fixed controller parameters.

1.3 Project Objectives

The project aims to achieve the best results and overcome the limitation of the review papers, the specific objectives are as follows:

- To obtain a mathematical model of a maglev system in state space and transfer function form.
- To design a PID controller and stabilize the system performance.
- To develop a PID optimal tuning approach using metamodel based radial basis function neural network.
- To verify the effectiveness of the tuning approach for maglev system with SIMSCAPE multibody.

1.4 Scope of the Project

The following describe the scope of the project:

1. The mathematical model respect to Transfer function and state-space forms are used to describe the system model.
2. The controllers are to be designed based on PID and optimal tuning using neural network (metamodel radial base function).
3. MATLAB software will be used to implement the controllers to test their performance.
4. Solid works will be used to design the 3D diagram of magnetic levitation system and simulated using MATLAB and **SIMSCAPE Multibody** plugin.
5. The Metamodeling approach will be used to optimize the system parameters.

1.5 Organization of The Report

The project report prearranged as follows. Chapter two discusses and assesses the efficiency of the previous design controllers used for the maglev system and describes the basis of the study. Chapter three discuss the modeling of the magnetic levitation system and methodology used to design the Radial Basis Function based PID with metamodel approach. While chapter four and five presents the project results and conclusion.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Yaghoubi, "The Most Important Maglev Applications", *Journal of Engineering*, vol. 2013, pp. 1-19, 2013.
- [2] G. Souza et al., "Three-dimensional tissue culture based on magnetic cell levitation", *Nature Nanotechnology*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 291-296, 2010.
- [3] R. Wai and J. Lee, "Robust Levitation Control for Linear Maglev Rail System Using Fuzzy Neural Network," in *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 4-14, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.1109/TCST.2008.908205.
- [4] M. Ono, S. Koga, and H. Ohtsuki, "Japan's superconducting Maglev train," *IEEE Instrum. Meas. Mag.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 9–15, Mar. 2002.
- [5] C. M. Huang, J. Y. Yen, and M. S. Chen, "Adaptive nonlinear control of repulsive Maglev suspension systems," *Contr. Engin. Practice*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 1357–1367, Dec. 2000.
- [6] H.O. Bansal, R. Sharma, P.R. Shreeraman., *PID Controller Tuning Techniques: A Review*, *Journal of Control Engineering and Technology*, 2 (4) (2012), pp. 168-176
- [7] A. K. Sukede and J. Arora, "Auto tuning of PID controller," 2015 International Conference on Industrial Instrumentation and Control (ICIC), Pune, 2015, pp. 1459-1462, doi: 10.1109/IIC.2015.7150979.
- [8] K. J. Åström and T. Hägglund, *Automatic tuning of PID controllers*. Instrument Society of America (ISA), 1988
- [9] Somasundaram, S., and P. K. Bhaba. "A New CDM PI–PD Control strategy for unstable processes." *International Journal of Engineering Simulation* 10.1 (2009): 19-24.
- [10] Oku, Daniel & Obot, Enobong. (2019).” Comparative Study Of PD, PI and PID Controllers For Control Of A Single Joint System In Robots”. 10.9790/1813-0709025154.
- [11] G. H. Cohen, and G. A. Coon, "Theoretical considerations of retarded control," *Transactions of ASME*, vol. 75, pp. 827- 834, 1953.

- [12] Sen, Rajat & Pati, Chinmoy & Dutta, Samik & Sen, Ranjan. (2014). Comparison Between Three Tuning Methods of PID Control for High Precision Positioning Stage. MAPAN. 30. 65-70. 10.1007/s12647-014-0123z.
- [13] B. Meenakshipriya and K. Kalpana, Modelling and control of ball and beam system using Coefficient Diagram Method (CDM) based PID controller, vol. 3, no. PART 1. IFAC, 2014
- [14] C. S. Soh, C. Bi and K. C. Chua, "Direct PID Tuning for Spindle Motor Systems," AsiaPacific Magnetic Recording Conference, Singapore, pp. 1-2, Nov-Dec.2006.
- [15] Bansal, Hari & Sharma, Rajamayyoor & Ponpathirkootam, Shreeraman. (2012). PID Controller Tuning Techniques: A Review. 2. 168-176.
- [16] Kushwah, Manoj & Patra, Ashis. (2014). PID Controller Tuning using Ziegler-Nichols Method for Speed Control of DC Motor.
- [17] Zhao, J. Zhong and J. Fan, "Position Control of a Pneumatic Muscle Actuator Using RBF Neural Network Tuned PID Controller", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2015, pp. 1-16, 2015.
- [18] Yu Meng, Zou Zhiyun, Ren Fujian, Pan Yusong and Gai Xijie, "Application of adaptive PID based on RBF neural networks in temperature control," Proceeding of the 11th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation, Shenyang, 2014, pp. 4302-4306, doi: 10.1109/WCICA.2014.7053436.
- [19] K. Xiangsong, C. Xurui and G. Jiansheng, "PID Controller Design Based on Radial Basis Function Neural Networks for the Steam Generator Level Control", Cybernetics and Information Technologies, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 15-26, 2016.
- [20] Jiang-Jiang Wang, Chun-Fa Zhang and You-Yin Jing, "Self-adaptive RBF neural network PID control in exhaust temperature of micro gas turbine," 2008 International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics, Kunming, 2008, pp. 2131-2136, doi: 10.1109/ICMLC.2008.4620758.
- [21] Elanayar V T SS, Shin YC. Radial basis function neural network for approximation and estimation of nonlinear stochastic dynamic systems. IEEE Trans Neural Netw. 1994 ;5(4):594-603. doi:10.1109/72.298229.
- [22] R. Wai and J. Lee, "Robust Levitation Control for Linear Maglev Rail System Using Fuzzy Neural Network," in IEEE Transactions on Control Systems

- Technology, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 4-14, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.1109/TCST.2008.908205.
- [23] H. An and J. Chen, "The Magnetic Levitation Ball Position Control with Fuzzy Neural Network Based on Particle Swarm Algorithm," 2018 37th Chinese Control Conference (CCC), Wuhan, 2018, pp. 2788-2793, doi: 10.23919/ChiCC.2018.8483692.
- [24] A. M. Benomair and M. O. Tokhi, "Control of single axis magnetic levitation system using fuzzy logic control," 2015 Science and Information Conference (SAI), London, 2015, pp. 514-518, doi: 10.1109/SAI.2015.7237191.
- [25] Cdn.intechopen.com, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://cdn.intechopen.com/pdfs/34221.pdf>.
- [26] Akihiro Oi et al., "Development of PSO-based PID tuning method," 2008 International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems, Seoul, 2008, pp. 1917-1920, doi: 10.1109/ICCAS.2008.4694410.
- [27] S. Nema and P. K. Padhy, "PSO based PID tuning TITO process," 2012 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, Coimbatore, 2012, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICCIC.2012.6510215.
- [28] S. M. G. Kumar, J. Deepak and R. K. Anoop, "PSO based tuning of a PID controller for a High-performance drilling machine," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 1, no. 19, pp. 12-18, 2010.
- [29] Chih-Cheng Kao, Chin-Wen Chuang, Rong-Fong Fung, "The self-tuning PID control in a slider–crank mechanism system by applying Particle Swarm Optimization Approach," Mechatronics, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 513-522, 2006.
- [30] Chong Chee Soon & Ghazali, Rozaimi & Jaafar, Hazriq Izzuan & Hussien, Sharifah. (2015). PID controller tuning optimization using gradient descent technique for an electro-hydraulic servo system. Jurnal Teknologi. 77. 10.11113/jt.v77.6605.
- [31] B. Alagoz, G. Kavuran, A. Ates and C. Yeroglu, "Reference-shaping adaptive control by using gradient descent optimizers", PLOS ONE, vol. 12, no. 11, p. e0188527, 2017. Available: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188527 [Accessed 25 June 2020].
- [32] M. Seddiqe and S. Ray, "Application of SDGM to Digital PID and Performance Comparison with Analog PID Controller", International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering, pp. 634-639, 2011.