

PLANT LESION BOUNDARY DELINEATION USING LIGHTWEIGHT DEEP  
LEARNING WITH TWEAKING MECHANISM

ANG WEI JIEH

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Computer & Microelectronic Systems)

School of Electrical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

## **DEDICATION**

This project report is dedicated to my family, who have supported me unconditionally throughout the process. They have taught me the value of hard work and unceasingly encourage me to strive towards excellence.

This is also for my siblings who have motivated me mentally and cognitively to be prepared for the erratic challenges.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In preparing this project report, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main project report supervisor, Dr Musa Mohd Mokji for encouragement, judgement, advices and motivation. I am also very thankful to his PhD student, Aliyu Muhammed Abdu for guiding me during the progress of development. Without their continual support and interest, this project report would not have been the same as presented here.

Next, I cherish the valuable feedback and thought from my panel of examiners Dr Ismahani Ismail, Dr Shahidatul Sadiyah Abdul Manan and Dr Usman Ullah Sheikh during the presentation phase.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistances to me at various occasions. Their opinions and knowledge are pivotal to the project report. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Last but not least, I am grateful to all my family members.

## ABSTRACT

Ever since the dawn of agriculture, the devastating consequences of plant disease inevitably impacted the crop cultivation quantitatively and qualitatively. One of the plant disease incidents happened in 2007 in Georgia which lead to a \$539.74 million loss in the total revenue. Intuitively, it is essential to tackle the disease outbreaks as early as possible to diagnose the underlying cause. The detection and classification of diseases carried out by the plant pathologists are subjected to cognitive error. To alleviate direct human intervention, machine learning is undoubtedly the key to avert this downfall. Over the years, numerous neural networks have been proposed to improve the existing state-of-art. Nevertheless, minimal works have been done on segmenting the region of the disease from the leaf. On the other hand, one of the inherent issues in machine learning is “What is the optimal configuration for the network to gain the highest performance?”. Many researchers are probing, but no single solution can cater to all the models built for different purposes. The concept of fine-tuning is a critical step which generally left out of discussion due to divergence in solution. Hence, the first objective is to build a semantic segmentation network that create a salient map image tracking the boundary of the disease. The second objective is to regularize and optimize the built network to identify the optimal configuration. SegNet’s fully convolutional architecture with transfer learning is chosen as the semantic segmentation network. A total of 1000 early and late blights of potato and tomato samples from PlantVillage are fed to the model. To capture the best network, optimizers such as SGD, RMSProp and Adam are benchmarked with regularization techniques such as adaptive learning rate, dropout layer and weight & bias rates re-initialization. Afterwards, hyperparameters such as mini-batch, initial learning rate, momentum, gradient, L2 regularization, number of samples and number of epochs are tuned progressively. Throughout the tweaking process, the global accuracy and mean IoU have increased from 86.96% and 50.72% to 93.86% and 60.24% respectively. In addition, the comparison between SegNet and FCN has proven that the former architecture is lightweight and powerful in delineating the boundary of plant lesion. With the delineated lesion’s boundary, the manifestation along the leaf surface can be traced and appraised for pathological anatomy.

## ABSTRAK

Sejak zaman pertanian awal, akibat buruk daripada penyakit tanaman telah mempengaruhi penanaman tanaman secara kuantitatif dan kualitatif. Salah satu insiden penyakit tanaman berlaku pada tahun 2007 di Georgia yang mengakibatkan kerugian keseluruhan \$539.74 juta. Secara intuitif, mencegah wabak secepat mungkin adalah penting untuk mendiagnosis masalahnya. Pengesanan dan klasifikasi penyakit yang dilakukan oleh ahli patologi tumbuhan dipengaruhi oleh kesalahan kognitif. Untuk mengurangkan kesilapan manusia, pembelajaran mesin merupakan kunci mengelakkan kelemahan ini. Selama bertahun, banyak rangkaian neural telah dicadangkan untuk memperbaiki kaedah sedia ada. Walaubagaimanapun, hanya sedikit kerja yang telah dilakukan untuk mengsegmentasikan kawasan penyakit dari daun. Selain itu, salah satu masalah yang wujud dalam pembelajaran mesin adalah “Apakah konfigurasi optimum untuk sesuatu rangkaian memperoleh prestasi tertinggi?”. Banyak penyelidikan telah dijalankan tetapi tiada satu penyelesaian boleh memenuhi setiap model yang dibina untuk tujuan berbeza. Konsep talaan-halus adalah langkah kritikal yang biasanya diabaikan kerana perbezaan dalam penyelesaian. Oleh itu, objektif pertama adalah untuk membina rangkaian segmentasi semantik untuk membina imej peta kecerunan. Objektif kedua adalah “regularize” dan “optimize” rangkaian untuk mendapatkan konfigurasi yang optimum. Rangkaian Neural Konvolusi (CNN) dengan kaedah pembelajaran di SegNet telah dibina dengan 1000 imej penyakit “early blight” dan “late blight” kentang dan tomato daripada set data PlantVillage. Untuk mendapatkan rangkaian yang terbaik, optimizers seperti SGD, RMSProp dan Adam telah dilaksanakan teknik “regularization” seperti “adaptive learning rate”, “dropout layer” dan “weight & bias rates re-initialization”. Selepas itu, hiperparameters seperti “mini-batch”, “initial learning rate”, “momentum”, “gradient”, “L2 regularization”, “number of samples” dan “number of epochs” telah disesuaikan. Pada akhir talaan-halus, ketepatan dan mean IoU meningkat daripada 86.96% dan 50.72% kepada 93.86% dan 60.24%. Selain itu, perbandingan antara SegNet dan FCN menunjukkan bahawa SegNet yang lebih ringan dan berkuasa dalam sempadan segmentasi. Dengan peta kecerunan, corak setiap manifestasi penyakit di permukaan daun dijejaki untuk pemahanan yang lebih baik berdasarkan anatomi pathologi.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Problem Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Research Objectives	3
	1.4 Scopes of the Study	3
	1.5 Outline of Project Report	3
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Overview	5
	2.2 Plant Disease Model	5
	2.2.1 Introduction to Convolutional Neural Network	5
	2.2.2 Convolutional Neural Network Architecture	6
	2.2.3 Introduction to SegNet	7
	2.2.4 SegNet Architecture	8
	2.2.5 Handcrafted Features Based Plant Disease Models	9
	2.2.6 Deep Learning Based Plant Disease Models	11

2.3	Network Configuration	15
	2.3.1 Regularization	15
	2.3.2 Optimization	18
2.4	Summary of Literature Review	20
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1	Flow of Project	21
3.2	Project Methodology	22
3.3	Sample Preparation	23
	3.3.1 Sample Labelling	23
3.4	Network Development	24
	3.4.1 Training Algorithm	24
	3.4.2 Transfer Learning	25
	3.4.3 Testing Algorithm	26
	3.4.4 Performance Metrics	26
3.5	Network Tuning	28
	3.5.1 Top-Level Mechanism	28
	3.5.2 Regularization	29
	3.5.3 Optimization	31
	3.5.4 Network Configuration	33
3.6	Summary of Methodology	33
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1	Leaf Samples	35
4.2	Optimizer Characteristics	36
	4.2.1 SGD	36
	4.2.2 RMSProp	37
	4.2.3 Adam	39
4.3	Regularization	40
	4.3.1 Piecewise Learning Rate	40
	4.3.2 Dropout Layer	41
	4.3.3 Weight & Bias Factors	42
	4.3.4 Optimizer Analysis	43

4.3.5	Cross Effect	44
4.3.6	Regularization Analysis	45
4.4	Optimization	45
4.4.1	MiniBatchSize	46
4.4.2	InitialLearnRate	46
4.4.3	SquaredGradientDecayFactor	47
4.4.4	GradientDecayFactor	48
4.4.5	L2Regularization	48
4.4.6	Number of Samples	49
4.4.7	MaxEpochs	50
4.4.8	Optimization Analysis	51
4.5	Comparative Analysis	52
4.6	Output of Segmentation Networks	53
4.7	Summary of Results and Discussion	54
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1	Conclusion	55
5.2	Recommendations	56
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>57</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 3.1	Initial Hyperparameters	29
Table 4.1	Preliminary SGD Performance	37
Table 4.2	Preliminary RMSProp Performance	38
Table 4.3	Preliminary Adam Performance	39
Table 4.4	Effect of Piecewise Learning Rate	40
Table 4.5	Effect of Dropout Layer	41
Table 4.6	Effect of Weight & Bias Factors	42
Table 4.7	Cross Effect on Adam	44
Table 4.8	Tuning of MiniBatchSize	46
Table 4.9	Tuning of InitialLearnRate	46
Table 4.10	Tuning of SquaredGradientDecayFactor	47
Table 4.11	Tuning of GradientDecayFactor	48
Table 4.12	Tuning of L2Regularization	48
Table 4.13	Tuning of Number of Samples	49
Table 4.14	Tuning of MaxEpochs	50
Table 4.15	Benchmark of Models' Performance	52

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Convolutional Neural Network Architecture [19]	6
Figure 2.2	SegNet Architecture [24]	8
Figure 2.3	Phalaenopsis Disease Detection [29]	9
Figure 2.4	Citrus Canker Detection [31]	10
Figure 2.5	Deep Learning Methodology [32]	11
Figure 2.6	Inspired AlexNet Architecture [34]	12
Figure 2.7	Inspired U-Net Architecture & Samples [36]	13
Figure 2.8	Augmentation Plant Samples [38]	15
Figure 2.9	Dropout Layer's Training Error [39]	16
Figure 2.10	Learning Rate's Effect [43]	17
Figure 2.11	Model Recognition Accuracy [47]	18
Figure 3.1	Flow Chart of Project	21
Figure 3.2	Flow of Sample Preparation	23
Figure 3.3	Output of Gradient Map Algorithm	24
Figure 3.4	Flow of Network Development	24
Figure 3.5	VGG16 SegNet Architecture	25
Figure 3.6	Output of SegNet	26
Figure 3.7	Metrics Evaluation	26
Figure 3.8	Flow of Network Tuning	28
Figure 3.9	Regularization Techniques	29
Figure 3.10	Optimization Techniques	32
Figure 3.11	Design of Network	33
Figure 4.1	Collected Plant Disease Samples	35
Figure 4.2	SGD Network	36

Figure 4.3	RMSProp Network	37
Figure 4.4	Adam Network	39
Figure 4.5	Regularization on Optimizers	43
Figure 4.6	Regularization on Adam	45
Figure 4.7	Optimization on Adam	51
Figure 4.8	Segmented Output of Models	53

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CNN	-	Convolutional Neural Network
RGB	-	Red Green Blue
HSV	-	Hue Saturation Value
MLP	-	Multilayer Perceptron
ReLU	-	Rectified Linear Unit
MNIST	-	Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology Database
DNN	-	Deep Neural Network
VGG	-	Visual Geometry Group
FCN	-	Fully Convolutional Network
BPNN	-	Back-propagation Neural Network
AET	-	Adjustable Exponential Transform
GLCM	-	Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix
CCD	-	Charged-coupled Device
HSI	-	Hue Saturation Intensity
RIA	-	Ratio of Infected Area
RCA	-	Rust Color Index
LBPH	-	Local Binary Pattern Hue
GWT	-	Gabor Wavelet Transform
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
DIGITS	-	Deep Learning GPU Training System
DCNN	-	Deep Convolutional Neural Network
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
GBDT	-	Gradient Boosting Decision Tree
CIFAR	-	Canadian Institute for Advanced Research
SGD	-	Stochastic Gradient Descent
RMSProp	-	Root Mean Square Propagation
Adam	-	Adaptive Moment Estimation
GPU/CPU	-	Graphics/Central Processing Unit
API	-	Application Programming Interface

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Summary of Optimizers Researches	63
Appendix B	Summary of Plant Disease Researches	64
Appendix C	Summary of Network Configuration Researches	65
Appendix D	Summary of Hyperparameters Researches	66
Appendix E	Summary of Hyperparameters Algorithm	67
Appendix F	Gradient Map Code	68
Appendix G	Renaming Code	70
Appendix H	Resizing Code	71
Appendix I	GrounthTruth Code	72
Appendix J	Training Code	73
Appendix K	Sanity Check Code	75
Appendix L	Evaluation Code	77
Appendix M	Custom Acronym	78

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Problem Background

For decades, the agricultural sector plays a critical role in the national economy in developed countries, the US and China. In the US, it is estimated to produce around \$330 billion per year, accounting both agricultural and livestock [1]. For developing countries such as Nigerian, a comparative analysis was conducted to realize that the contribution of agriculture outweighed both petroleum and manufacturing sectors [2, 3]. A study in the US [4] claimed that there is a need to raise food production by almost 70% by 2050 to cater for 9 billion population. Nevertheless, [5] demonstrated that the food supply decreases on an average of 40% annually despite the enforced protection against infectious diseases. In a small farm, the farmer can easily yield 80% to 100% loss due to pests and diseases. In 2007, a statistic report in Georgia [6] showed that the plant disease has contributed to \$539.74 million losses which were 11.03% of the total revenue.

*Phytophthora infestans* is a microorganism that brings early and late blights to the essential horticulture commodities such as potato and tomato. One of the infamous late blight disease of potato incident occurred in 1845-1849 [7], the main crop potatoes were destroyed due to the outbreak of plant disease in Ireland. The resulted from Great Famine leads to a million of deceases and another million of emigrations. *Phytophthora infestans* rapidly became pandemic and remains as one of the most intractable plant diseases today. In consequence, it is crucial to prevent history from repeating itself. To enable the early treatment of early and late blights, early detection of such diseases is important so that the root of causes can be removed before wide-spreading.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The obsolete technique practiced for early detection was through naked eye observation. [8] criticized this manual method to identify leaf spot diseases is highly stochastic, time-consuming and insensible in a large farm. A paper summarized the traditional techniques in capturing the plant disease up to 2015 [9]: Direct detection method utilizes the serological to analyze the pathogens; Indirect detection method identifies the external parameters of infected plants; Portable sensors to detect the analytes electrically or chemically. The work in [10] outlined the advantage of deep learning against the traditional technique such as the image-based samples, low-cost real-time application and surrounding-adaptive. As the classification networks have hit the bottleneck due to the inability to identify the location, size, shape and color of the disease region, segmentation of disease symptom from leaf becomes one of the key focus. A few studies [11, 12] in plant science claimed that the leaf area and color are the potential parameters to reflect the plant health and physiological process. Rather than the whole region of disease, the boundary of the disease does impart essential information for the pathologist to study. [13] facilitated the segmentation process by converting RGB into HSV, this effectively eliminates the issue of different illuminations.

The existing segmentation networks are computational exhaustive due to the massive memory consumption during the up-sampling stage in the decoder. The consequence is a redundant network training phase and subpar adaptivity in a portable device. In this work, the input image will be segmented into disease boundary and non-disease boundary through the lightweight deep learning to provide more constructive information and ease the diseases' classification process. Moreover, an exhausting endeavour exists in deep learning is to find the optimum configuration for a network designed for a specific purpose. [14, 15] claimed that the efficiency could be further improved by fine-tuning CNN for plant identification. Therefore, regularization and optimization techniques (tweaking mechanism) will be applied to the designed deep neural network to identify the best configuration to achieve the highest accuracy with a limited number of samples.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this project are:

- To build a lightweight semantic segmentation network using deep learning architecture to detect early and late blights on potato and tomato.
- To output a salient map image which outlined the size and shape of the disease boundary.
- To study the effect of network's accuracy using regularization and optimization techniques.

### **1.4 Scopes of the Study**

The scopes of this project are:

- To segment the leaf image into 2 classes: 'foreground' and 'background' to extract the salient area.
- To prepare the dataset for segmentation using the leaf image from PlantVillage online database.
- To design the network using SegNet architecture through transfer learning using MATLAB R2019a.
- To implement the training model using a personal laptop with Intel Core I7 8<sup>th</sup> Gen and a NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1060.

### **1.5 Outline of Project Report**

This project report is broken down into 5 chapters: Introduction; Literature Review; Research Methodology; Results and Discussion; Conclusion and Recommendations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hatfield, J., Takle, G., Grotjahn, R., Holden, P., Izaurralde, R. C., Mader, T., Marshall, E., and Liverman, D. Ch. 6: Agriculture. Climate change impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment, 150–174. 2014.
- [2] Umaru, Aminu, and A. A. Zubairu. An empirical analysis of the contribution of agriculture and petroleum sector to the growth and development of the Nigerian economy from 1960-2010, *International Journal of Social Science and Education*.2(4): 12. 2012.
- [3] Suleiman, G. P., and Aminu, U. Analysis of the contribution of the three key sectors (agriculture, petroleum and manufacturing) of the Nigerian economy. Faculty of Management Sciences Usman Danfodiyo University, Sokoto. *Journal of Management Studies*, 3. 2010.
- [4] Hughes, D. P., and Salathé, M. An open access repository of images on plant health to enable the development of mobile disease diagnostics. 2015.
- [5] Oerke, E. C. Crop losses to pests. *J. Agric. Sci.* 144, 31–43. Kemerait, R. 2007. Georgia plant disease loss estimates. *Coop. Ext. Serv. Bull.* 41-10, University of Georgia, Athens. 2006.
- [6] Kemerait, R. Georgia plant disease loss estimates. *Coop. Ext. Serv. Bull.* 41-10, University of Georgia, Athens. 2007.
- [7] Al-Sadi, A. M. Impact of plant diseases on human health. *International Journal of Nutrition, Pharmacology, Neurological Diseases* 2017; 7(2), 21. 2017.
- [8] Weizheng S, Yachun W, Zhanliang C, and Hongda W. Grading method of leaf spot disease based on image processing. In 2008 international conference on computer science and software engineering. Wuhan: IEEE; 491-494. 2008.
- [9] Y. Fang, R. P. Ramasamy. Current and prospective methods for plant disease detection. *Biosensors (Basel)*, 5, pp. 537-561. 2015.
- [10] Fuentes, A., Yoon, S., Kim, S. C., and Park, D. S. A robust deep-learning-based detector for real-time tomato plant diseases and pest recognition. *Sensors* 17, 2022. 2017.

- [11] Murakami, P. F., Tuner, M. R., Berg, A. K. V. D., and Schaberg, P. G. An instructional guide for leaf color analysis using digital imaging software. 2005.
- [12] O'Neal, M. E., Landis, D. A., and Issacs, R. An inexpensive, accurate method for measuring leaf area and defoliation through digital image analysis. *Journal of Economy Entomology*, 95(6). 2002.
- [13] Clement, A., Verfaillie, T., Lormel, C., and Jaloux, B. A new colour vision system to quantify automatically foliar discolouration caused by insect pests feeding on leaf cells. *Biosystems Engineering*, 133:128-140. 2015.
- [14] Reyes, A. K., Caicedo, J. C., and Camargo, J. E. Fine-tuning deep convolutional networks for plant recognition. Toulouse: CLEF (Working Notes). 2015.
- [15] W. Kong et al. "Effect of automatic hyperparameter tuning for residential load forecasting via deep learning," 2017 Australasian Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC), Melbourne, VIC, pp. 1-6. 2017.
- [16] Y Chen, L Xu, K Liu, et al. Event extraction via dynamic multi-pooling convolutional neural networks. In Proc. of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers), pages 167–176, Beijing, China. 2015.
- [17] Thien Huu Nguyen and Ralph Grishman. Relation extraction: Perspective from convolutional neural networks. In Proceedings of NAACL Workshop on Vector Space Modeling for NLP. 2015.
- [18] E. Medina, M. R. Petraglia, J. G. R. C. Gomes, and A. Petraglia. Comparison of CNN and MLP classifiers for algae detection in underwater pipelines. In 2017 Seventh International Conference on Image Processing Theory, Tools and Applications (IPTA), pp. 1–6. 2017.
- [19] González-Hernández, F., Zatarain-Cabada, R., Barrón-Estrada, M.L., and Rodríguez-Rangel, H. Recognition of learning-centered emotions using a convolutional neural network. *J. Intell. Fuzzy Syst.* 2017.
- [20] S. Albawi, T. Mohammed, and S. Al-Zawi. Understanding of a convolutional neural network. In Proceedings of ICET, Turkey. 2017.
- [21] H. Chung, S. J. Lee, and J. G. Park. Deep neural network using trainable activation functions. In Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2016 International Joint Conference on, pp. 348–352. 2016.

- [22] Kaymak, Ç., and Uçar, A. A brief survey and an application of semantic image segmentation for autonomous driving. In *Handbook of Deep Learning Applications*, 161-200. Springer, Cham. 2019.
- [23] Jimenez, G., and Racoceanu, D. Deep learning for semantic segmentation versus classification in computational pathology: Application to mitosis analysis in breast cancer grading. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 7 145. 2019.
- [24] Badrinarayanan V., Kendall, A., and Cipolla, R. SegNet: a deep convolutional encoder-decoder architecture for image segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. 2017.
- [25] K. Simonyan, and A. Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. In *ICLR*. 2015.
- [26] J. Long, E. Shelhamer, and T. Darrell. Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation. In *CVPR*. 2015.
- [27] C. Liang-Chieh, G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, and A. Yuille. Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets and fully connected crfs. In *ICLR*. 2015.
- [28] H. Noh, S. Hong, and B. Han. Learning deconvolution network for semantic segmentation. In *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Comput. Vis.*, pp. 1520–1528. 2015.
- [29] Huang, K.Y. Application of artificial neural network for detecting Phalaenopsis seedling diseases using color and texture features. *Computers and Electronics Agriculture*, 57: 3-11. 2007.
- [30] Di Cui, Qin Zhang, Minzan Li, Glen L. Hartman, and Youfu Zhao. Image processing methods for quantitatively detecting soybean rust from multispectral images. Published by Elsevier Ltd, *Biosystems Engineering* 107, pp. 186-193. 2010.
- [31] Zhang, M., and Meng, Q. Automatic citrus canker detection from leaf images captured in field. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 32 (15), 2036–2046. 2011.
- [32] Brahimi, M., Boukhalifa, K., and Moussaoui, A. Deep learning for tomato diseases: classification and symptoms visualization. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 31(4), 299–315. 2017.
- [33] Nachtigall, L.G., Araujo, R.M., and Nachtigall, G.R. Classification of apple tree disorders using convolutional neural networks. In: 2016 IEEE 28th

- International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI), pp. 472–476. 2016.
- [34] Lu, Y., Yi, S. J., Zeng, N. Y., Liu, Y., and Zhang, Y. Identification of rice diseases using deep convolutional neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, 267, 378–384. 2017.
- [35] Ferentinos, K.P. Deep learning models for plant disease detection and diagnosis. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 145, 311–318. 2018.
- [36] Lin, K., Gong, L, Huang, Y., Liu, C., and Pan, J. Deep learning-based segmentation and quantification of cucumber powdery mildew using convolutional neural network. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 155. 2019.
- [37] L. Perez., and J. Wang. The effectiveness of data augmentation in image classification using deep learning. 2017.
- [38] Pawara, P., Okafor, E., Schomaker, L., and Wiering, M. Data augmentation for plant classification. *International conference on advanced concepts for intelligent vision systems, Antwerp* (pp. 615–626). 2017.
- [39] H. Wu and X. Gu. Towards dropout training for convolutional neural networks. *Neural Networks*, 71:1–10. 2015.
- [40] A. Kendall, V. Badrinarayanan, and R. Cipolla. Bayesian segnet: Model uncertainty in deep convolutional encoder-decoder architectures for scene understanding. 2017.
- [41] Dahl, G. E., Sainath, T. N., and Hinton, G. E. Improving deep neural networks for LVCSR using rectified linear units and dropout. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 8609–8613. IEEE. 2013.
- [42] U. Rafi, J. Gall, and B. Leibe. An efficient convolutional network for human pose estimation. In *ECCV*. 2016.
- [43] Mishkin D, Sergievskiy N, and Matas J. Systematic evaluation of CNN advances on the ImageNet. 2016.
- [44] H.-W. Ng, V. D. Nguyen, V. Vonikakis, and S. Winkler. Deep learning for emotion recognition on small datasets using transfer learning. In *proceedings of the 2015 ACM on International Conference on Multimodal Interaction*, pp. 443–449, ACM. 2015.

- [45] A. Karpathy, G. Toderici, S. Shetty, T. Leung, R. Sukthankar, and L. Fei-Fei. Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks. In Proc. CVPR. 2014.
- [46] Sun. S., Cao. Z., Zhu. H., and Zhao, J. A survey of optimization methods from a machine learning perspective. CoRR. 2019.
- [47] Zhang K., Wu Q., Liu A., and Meng X. Can deep learning identify tomato leaf disease? Adv. Multimedia. 2018.
- [48] Schratz, P., Muenchow, J., Iturritxa, E., Richter, J., and Brenning, A. Hyperparameter tuning and performance assessment of statistical and machine-learning algorithms using spatial data. Ecological Modelling, 406, 109 – 120. 2019.
- [49] G. E. Hinton. A practical guide to training restricted Boltzmann machines. Tech. Rep. UTML TR 2010-003, Dept. Comput. Sci., Univ. Toronto. 2010.
- [50] Y. Bengio. Practical recommendations for gradient-based training of deep architectures. Neural Networks: Tricks of the Trade, K.-R. Müller, G. Montavon, and G.B. Orr, eds., Springer. 2013.
- [51] A. M. Abdu, M. Mokji, U. U. Sheikh, and K. Khalil. Automatic disease symptoms segmentation optimized for dissimilarity feature extraction in digital photographs for plant leaves. 2019.