REVIEW

Embracing new-generation 'omics' tools to improve drought tolerance in cereal and food-legume crops

B. SINGH[1]*, A. BOHRA[2]*, S. MISHRA[1], R. JOSHI[3], and S. PANDEY[4]

National Research Centre on Plant Biotechnology, New Delhi 110012, India[1]
Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur 208024, India[2]
International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, 110067 New Delhi, India[3]
Rain Forest Research Institute, Jorhat 785001, India[4]

Abstract

Drought stress presents a considerable threat to the global crop production. As a dominant source of vegetarian diet, cereals and grain-legumes remain crucial to meeting the growing dietary demands worldwide. Therefore, breeding cultivars of these staple crops with enhanced drought tolerance stands to be one of the most sustainable solutions to enhance food production in changing climate. Given the context, a more focused survey of environment-defined germplasm sets is imperative to comprehend such adaptive traits. In parallel, uncovering the genetic architecture and the molecular networks that collectively contribute towards drought tolerance is urgently required through rationally combining large-scale genomics, proteomics, and metabolomics data. Also, attention needs to be directed to reasonably quantify the epistatic as well as environmental influences, thereby warranting deployment of analyses like meta-quantitative trait loci (QTL) that encompass multiple environments and diverse genetic backgrounds. Further, innovative techniques like genomic selection (GS) and genome wide association study (GWAS) would help to capture the quantitative variation underlying drought tolerance. Equally importantly, integration of physiological traits-based techniques with ever-evolving 'omics' technologies and the new-generation phenotyping platforms will be of immense importance in advancing our existing knowledge about the genetically-complex and poorly-understood phenomena, such as plant drought response, and a deeper understanding would likely to provide a great impetus to the progress of crop breeding for drought tolerance.

Additional key words: genetic mapping, genomics, metabolomics, molecular markers, phenotyping, proteomics, quantitative trait loci.

Introduction

Most of the crops are vulnerable to drought and experience yield losses up to 50 % or even more (Bray *et al*. 2000). Given the increasing severity of global warming and changing climate, the frequency of global drought is projected to expand beyond 20 % by the end of this century, especially in the regions like South America and Central and Western Europe (Prudhomme *et al.* 2014). Similarly, an alarming proliferation in the water-stressed areas is expected to occur worldwide by 2030, which would influence 50 countries collectively harbouring almost three billion people (Postel 1999, Graham and Vance 2003).

––––––––

Drought is a complex phenomenon characterized by an intricate interplay of limiting water availability, lower rainfall, reducing ground water level, and increasing temperature. Measuring and addressing drought stress in crop plants by the conventional breeding efforts is greatly constrained by the low heritability and complex genetic make-up of drought tolerance, and profound environmental interactions (Asfaw et al. 2012, Cabrera-Bosquet et al. 2012, Hill et al. 2013, Jha et al. 2014). In view of the global food security, it is urgently required to appraise the impact of drought and to recognize the significance of climate changes to crop productivity (Mishra and Singh 2010). Furthermore, in order to offer crop-based sustainable answers to the increasing menace of food insecurity and undernourishment, cereals and grain legumes play an increasingly important role as these crops constitute the major proportion of the vegetarian diets, particularly in the developing nations (Graham and Vance 2003, Bohra et al. 2015). Cereals, such as rice, are characterized by extensive water requirement, therefore lower availability of water exerts greater impacts upon the crop yield (O'Toole 1999). Concerning water scarcity that leads to almost 70 % losses in crop yields globally as described by Boyer (1982), millet, however, survive at comparatively less amount of available water (http://www.fao.org/docrep/u3160e/u3160e04.htm).

Similar to the extent of yield-losses recorded in cereal crops, substantial yield reductions were reported in grain legume crops owing to their susceptibility to drought stress. For instance, drought stress was reported to cause up to a 50 % yield loss in several legume crops including soybean (Guttikonda et al. 2014), common bean (Castañeda-Saucedo et al. 2014), chickpea (Varshney et al. 2014), and cowpea (Ahmed and Suliman 2010).

In concern to addressing the drought-stress in crop plants, development of superior genotypes with enhanced stress tolerance and wider adaptability remains the simple, economically-attractive, and climate-friendly strategy (Jha et al. 2014). Here, we review the progress made so far towards resolving the genetic makeup of drought tolerance and implications of new-generation tools/technologies for breeding tolerant cultivars in important cereal and food-legume crops. Eventually, we offer a perspective on the enhanced and efficient use of these rapidly-evolving 'omics' tools and technologies in crop improvement in order to deal with the ever-increasing severity of drought stress worldwide.

Drought tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular insights

The susceptibility of a crop to drought relies largely on the particular growth stage and its water requirement at that time. For instance, flowering and fruit setting stages are generally considered critically vulnerable to drought-stressed conditions. In rice, Pantuwan et al. (2002) reported the reproductive period as the most receptive phase to drought stress, and Ekanayake et al. (1989) concluded that the deterioration in rice yield is due to a marked reduction in fertility as well as in the number of spikelets.

In view of the consideration that drought should be fundamentally measured as moisture stress, Jones (2007) noticed that soil moisture retained in the crop root zone actually supports crop growth. Intrinsically, plants respond to a lower soil moisture or water stress in a very complex fashion that entails various adaptation strategies including a range of molecular, physiological, and biochemical alterations. As an innate capacity to survive under water stressed situations, the expressions of a number of the responsive genes are noticeably altered (Anjum et al. 2011). Water stress in plants is often caused by simultaneously occurring low water availability in soil and a high transpiration rate, and can be ameliorated by increasing water uptake and decreasing stomatal conductance (Jones 1999, Lawlor and Cornic 2002).

Visible symptoms and attributes contributing to drought tolerance include rapid leaf rolling, stay green ability, rapid stomatal closure, and increased root length, water use efficiency (WUE), epicuticular wax content, photochemical quenching, photoinhibition resistance, osmotic adjustment, and membrane stability (Collins et al. 2008, Khazaei et al. 2013). The initial visible symptom and one of prominent survival mechanisms against drought stress is leaf rolling which reduces the transpiration rate and canopy temperature thereby improves WUE (Townley-Smith and Hurd 1979, Clarke 1986). The plants retaining a higher relative water content (RWC) under water deficit conditions tend to be less vulnerable to drought stress, and hence sustain normal growth and yield (Lazacano-Ferrat and Lovat 1999, Costa Franca et al. 2000, Beltrano et al. 2006). Another parameter that merits a careful consideration is the length of roots being in the direct contact with soil, and face immediate effects of reduced soil moisture content. Xiong et al. (2006) noted a significant inhibition in the development of lateral roots after imposing drought stress, and they asserted that the observed changes constitute the adaptive mechanism to the stress. In addition, a series of biochemical changes occur in response to drought stress, which involves an enhanced accumulation of osmolytes, reactive oxygen species (ROS), antioxidants, and anti-oxidative enzymes (Kholová et al. 2011). Moreover, the osmolytes (proline, glutamate, glycine-betaine, and sugar alcohols) play large roles in maintaining membrane stability and enzyme activity under a low water potential (Ashraf and Foolad 2007, Shao et al. 2008, Du et al. 2014, Khattab et al. 2014).

Deciphering drought tolerance using new-generation 'omics' tools and technologies

As a compelling supplement to the recent phenomenal scientific and technological progress in genomics, an ever-advancing comprehension of the physiological mechanisms underlying the drought responses would definitely help to accelerate the progress of genetic improvement of crop drought tolerance. The complexity of drought syndrome can only be tackled with a holistic approach that incorporated a detailed physiological dissection of a crop concerning drought avoidance and tolerance traits. In parallel, optimization of the agronomic practices is also required, which certainly will lead to improved conservation and utilization of soil moisture, and enhanced adaptability of crop genotypes to the changing environment (Harb *et al.* 2010, Seiler *et al.* 2011, Padmalatha *et al.* 2012). The most imperative science materialized in recent years is 'omics' science which is defined as a suite of approaches like genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, ionomics and plays a vital role in crop improvement by facilitating the identification of genes, proteins, and metabolites associated with drought tolerance and also by characterizing their functions (Zargar *et al.* 2011). An interactive study involving 'omics' allows us to predict the function of genes, proteins and metabolites, and eventually to assess the changes in plants induced by given environmental conditions (Adam 2008, Baginsky *et al.* 2010).

Transcriptomics: Owing to its complex nature, drought involves an intricate network of multiple signalling pathways with a vigorous cross-talk. Therefore, it is necessary to decipher the key genes influencing underlying pathways and to establish their functional connectivity to varying drought severity and also to different growth stages of a crop that undergoes drought stress (Siopongco *et al.* 2006). Gene expression analysis enables access to responsive genes expressed under stress, however, a carefully designed experimental validation is further required to obtain an accurate and stage-specific gene expression (Gimeno *et al.* 2014). In the post-genomics era, functional genomics has been brought to the next level, and massive efforts were undertaken in different crops to reveal differential expression patterns of genes involved in drought stress signalling pathways by means of semi quantitative RT-PCR, real-time PCR, microarray technologies, serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE), massively parallel signature sequencing (MPSS), and more recently by applying advanced genome-wide transcriptome analysis using next generation sequencing (NGS)-based RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Kathiresana *et al.* 2006, Neves-Borges *et al.* 2012, Shiriga *et al.* 2014). The limited number of assayed genes set a major limit to semi-quantitative and real-time PCR assays, whereas the use of microarray and whole genome transcriptome analyses permit the construction of a comprehensive landscape of gene expression and also provides a functional genomic framework for a precise and rapid identification of candidates involved. Given its remarkably enhanced capacity in capturing novel transcripts, splicing models, allele-specific expression, and splicing junctions, the more recently introduced RNA-seq technique has widespread applications in genome wide expression profiling (Malone and Oliver 2011). These techniques have been extensively applied for interrogating expression patterns in rice (Minh-Thu *et al.* 2013), maize (Kakumanu *et al.* 2012), sorghum (Dugas *et al.* 2011), and many other important crops with the aim to decipher some novel genes. A recent expression study using quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) in four rice cultivars showing varying drought tolerance revealed a set of 46 candidate genes strongly influenced by genotype × environment (G × E) interactions (Degenkolbe *et al.* 2013). In a similar manner, the microarray-based analysis of rice panicles of a drought stressed plant showed up-regulation of a series of stress-induced genes, such as GTP-binding protein 3, cellulose synthase-6, heat shock cognate protein, DNA repair protein, zinc finger protein, actin depolymerizing factor, and pectin esterase (Kathiresana *et al.* 2006).

A more recent study in wheat categorized the entire set of genes and noticed the intricate network involving a number of genes influencing multiple pathways (Reddy *et al.* 2014). In this study, transcriptomes of two different winter wheat cultivars TAM 111 and TAM 112 were investigated under water-deficit conditions, and 474 transcripts are specific to TAM 111, 1 540 to TAM 112, and 1 657 are common to both (Reddy *et al.* 2014). Similarly, Minh-Thu *et al.* (2013) reported a significant change in the expression of 10 537 genes by conducting a transcriptomics study of rice roots and leaves under water stress. More recently, Wu *et al.* (2014) identified a total of 9 298 drought-responsive genes in common bean by employing RNA-seq to drought tolerant and susceptible genotypes and further validated the differentially expressed genes through real-time qPCR. Large-scale RNA-seq data can be effectively combined with linkage mapping and GWAS to finely resolve a quantitative variation underlying the trait-of-interest (Ingvarsson and Street 2011, Majewski and Pastinen 2011, Cubillos *et al.* 2012, Huang and Han 2014). However, it was also noted that the genes expressed under stressed conditions do not necessarily participate in imparting tolerance against drought, but provide an ideological view about the involvement of drought-related genes and relevant signalling components. Like other traits, drought tolerance is also regulated at transcriptional level, and transcription factors have been targeted for their subsequent use in crop improvement strategies.

The prospects of transcriptomics study for crop improvement involves the discovery of causative genes and the associated pathways which further assist for gene cloning and characterization, and the development of large-scale genic markers. For instance, the development

of drought-responsive expressed sequence tags (ESTs) in chickpea and a further analysis revealed a set of 177 novel EST derived simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for breeding applications (Varshney *et al.* 2009). The gene-based or functional markers thus developed from ESTs and transcriptomic data facilitate direct landing to the gene-of-interest. In wheat, Kadam *et al.* (2012) analyzed transcriptome with the objective to identify drought-responsive genes using the parents and two extreme phenotypic bulks from a RIL population. They noted a differential expression of five candidate genes (within the QTL containing region) between the two extreme bulks as well as the parents.

Proteomics: The application of proteome-wide profiling approaches in characterizing plant phenotypes is gradually coming to focus (Knight and Knight 2001). Major techniques used for expression analysis and sequence analysis in proteomics are polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), two dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (2-DE), and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). Also, liquid chromatography (LC)-based proteome analysis is becoming increasingly popular (Komatsu *et al.* 2013). In recent years, a remarkable progress in interrogating proteomes in drought-responsive crop plants has shown a considerable impact on identification and characterization of differential responses of plants facing stresses. Additionally, Ansong *et al.* (2008) has discussed an increasingly important role of proteomics in genome annotation and gene prediction. Despite recent advancements made in the field of protein expression analysis that enabled the examination of changes in protein expression (Koller *et al.* 2002), the number of crop proteome profiles has been limited (Komatsu *et al.* 2013). The examples of proteome studies in major crops under drought stress include rice (Salekdeh *et al.* 2002), maize (Tai *et al.* 2011), wheat (Ford *et al.* 2011, Jiang *et al.* 2012, Ye *et al.* 2013), and barley (Rollins *et al.* 2013). With the introduction of the state-of-the-art tools and techniques, the proteomics is emerging as an appealing research field, however, the full potential of proteomic approach with respect to a drought-induced proteome remains to be explored (Jorrín *et al.* 2007).

As illustrated by Kosová *et al.* (2014a), enabling access to protein-based markers enormously expands the scope of "omics"-assisted breeding for crop improvement. Proteomics is likely to advance the knowledge of plant breeders, thereby assisting them to investigate stress tolerance mechanisms and to develop drought tolerant cultivars (Eldakak *et al.* 2013). Recently, Ghosh and Xu (2014) discovered some specific signatures in a root proteome under different abiotic stresses. Different expressions of proteins under drought stress in different crops and even in different cultivars of the same species suggest different mechanisms of tolerance.

Reports published to date have documented differential expressions of proteins under drought stress.

For instance, by imposing a PEG-induced drought stress to wheat, a set of 21 proteins was reported to undergo changes with 15 proteins being up-regulated and the remaining 6 proteins down-regulated (Ye *et al.* 2013). Likewise, differentially expressed proteins were identified in two drought tolerant wheat cultivars (KDML105 and NSG19), which was related to phytochrome A signalling, DNA repair, and superoxide dismutase in the cv. KDML105. On the other hand, proteins relating to cell/DNA repair and stomatal activities were observed to be over-expressed in the cv. NSG19 (Maksup *et al.* 2012). The differentially expressed proteins in a QTL-pyramided line under drought-stress at seedling stage include peroxiredoxin, ribonuclease, putative chitinase, and positionally, these proteins co-located with the three QTL-containing regions (Xiong *et al.* 2010). A comparative proteomic analysis of drought-responsive proteins of the two wheat genotypes during grain filling led to the detection of a total of 122 protein spots that are considered to be associated with multiple pathways (Jiang *et al.* 2012). In rice, the application of MALDI-MS or ESI-MS/MS facilitated the discovery of 16 drought-responsive proteins of which S-like RNase homolog, an actin-depolymerizing factor and activase of ribulose-bis-phosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) show up-regulation. Conversely, an isoflavone reductase-like protein was noted to be down-regulated (Salekdeh *et al.* 2002). More recently, Hossain and Komatsu (2014) have exhaustively reviewed proteome studies conducted in soybean so far particularly from the context of drought and flood. Similarly, Kosová *et al.* (2014b) have highlighted the role of a late embryogenesis abundant II protein dehydrin under various abiotic stresses in common wheat, durum wheat, and barley.

Metabolomics is defined as the systematic study of unique chemical fingerprints of a system, and it has recently emerged as a potential tool to reinforce the functional genomics framework (Tyagi *et al.* 2010). To accelerate the trait-based analysis of a complex biochemical process, metabolic profiling along with NGS techniques, transcriptomics and proteomics, help in elaborating our existing knowledge and reveal the underlying cellular biochemical events across diverse conditions (Fernie and Schauer 2008). Wen *et al.* (2014) suggested that examination of metabolite variation in a diversified panel offers a prospective strategy to distinguish genetic factors involved in the manifestation of various metabolic traits. Concurrent with the growing establishment of high-throughput genotyping and sequencing systems, novel large-scale breeding methods like genomic selection (GS) are introduced to harness the unexplored quantitative variation in an unprecedented manner. Given the context, thus it becomes imperative to develop a renewed focus on whole genome metabolome selection (Adamski 2012). An efficient integration of GS with a metabolic selection might offer a more lucid landscape comprising networks of genes/QTLs and

metabolites under drought conditions. Notwithstanding the phenomenal expansions in plant genomics research, the cellular metabolism of plants under stress remains largely unclear. Perhaps, the major reason attributed to this lag is a constant change in the metabolic profile of plants under different stresses experienced at various growth stages. Under drought stress, plant metabolic processes alter in a range of ways including the production of compatible solutes (*e.g.*, proline, glycine betaine, sugar alcohols, and polyamines) that are able to stabilize a hydration shell of proteins, the structure and function of macromolecules, and a pressure potential by osmotic adjustment, to remove the excess of ROS and re-establish cellular redox balance (Bartels and Sunkar 2005, Janska *et al.* 2010). A total of 31 compounds including abscisic acid (ABA), cytokinin, and some phenylpropanoids are produced in maize xylem sap when plants are exposed to drought stress (Alvarez *et al.* 2008). In recent years, attempts have been made to characterize metabolites produced under drought stress both *in vivo* and *in silico*, which included flux-based modelling and analyses of cell metabolism in rice (Lakshmanan *et al.* 2013), grapevine (Hochberg *et al.* 2013), soybean (Silvente *et al.* 2012), pea (Charlton *et al.* 2008), and sorghum (Pavli *et al.* 2013). A comparative metabolite profiling across different species sheds a new light on the fundamental metabolic pathways (Sanchez *et al.* 2012).

The metabolite profiling of a given mapping population can be combined with the genetic linkage maps to obtain greater insights into the genetic makeup of complex traits, thereby rendering metabolomics particularly relevant to crop breeding (Hill *et al.* 2013). For example, Wentzell *et al.* (2007) elucidated the complex regulatory mechanisms of glucosinolates pathway through integrating expression QTL data with metabolic QTLs. Similarly, Hill *et al.* (2013) identified multiple metabolite QTLs in wheat under drought stress and pinpointed some genomic segments that controlled both agronomic traits and specific metabolites. Lisec *et al.* (2011) used a metabolomics-based genetic evaluation system for hybrids through investigating the inheritance pattern of metabolites in hybrids and inbred lines. Metabolomics techniques in conjunction with other "omics" approaches are envisaged to underpin characterization of cultivars and identification of genes, gene function, and functional markers, which will eventually contribute towards increasing the efficiency of the marker assisted breeding programs (Andersen and Lubberstedt 2003, Varshney *et al.* 2005).

Coupling crop breeding and advanced molecular technologies for improving drought tolerance

Given the ever-increasing scientific and technological developments in the field of plant genomics, notable genomic resources were made public not only in major crop species but also in the minor crops of regional importance (Bohra 2013, Bohra *et al.* 2014a,b). In particular, the enormous genomic resources can be accessed through public databases like ESTs for abiotic stress-tolerant species are indexed at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) dbEST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/dbEST/). Information pertaining to DNA markers, genetic maps, and transcriptome assemblies is made community-accessible in various crops including rice (http://archive.gramene.org/markers/microsat/), maize (http://www.panzea.org/lit/data_sets.html), soybean (http://soybase.org/), pigeonpea (http://webapp.cabgrid.res.in/pigeonpea/ database1.html; http://webapp.cabgrid.res.in/ pigeonpea/), chickpea (http://cicarmisatdb.icrisat.org/; http://www. nipgr.res.in/ctdb.html), and sorghum (Taramino *et. al.* 1997, Ramu *et al.* 2009, Yonemaru *et al.* 2009 and http://www. gramene.org/). Likewise, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/) and many other valuable genomic resources were established and noticeably enhance the efficiency of crop improvement programs. These genomic tools/techniques are extensively used in diverse genetic applications including QTL mapping, marker-assisted backcrossing (MABC), and QTL/gene pyramiding, marker assisted recurrent selection (MARS), and GS. However, the most relevant tools are DNA markers that represent multi-utility resources employed in different plant breeding activities like germplasm characterization, phylogenetic analysis, determining seed purity, identification of true F_1s, and more importantly, the MAS and its modern extensions like MABC, MARS, and GS (Collard and Mackil 2008). Assimilating genomics tools to conventional breeding offers new ways to hasten the improvement progress *via* the identification and mapping of quantitative traits (QTs), thus creating unprecedented opportunities for MAS, map-based QTL cloning, and targeted genetic manipulation using reverse genetics technologies. In the following section, we discuss QTL mapping and association genetics that constitute the 'Training or development phase' of crop improvement program (Nakaya and Isobe 2012), accompanied by a brief summary on the introgression of the newly-identified genes/QTLs in crops selected herein.

Development phase: generating appropriate molecular tools for breeding applications: The genomic regions associated with the traits showing continuous phenotypic variations are referred to QTLs (Kearsey and Farquhar 1998). Technically, QTL mapping requires a genetic linkage map to establish a connection between the marker genotype and the phenotype-of-interest (single marker analysis, interval mapping, and composite interval mapping) and leads to the detection of genomic regions associated with the QTs (McCouch and Doerge 1995, Mohan *et al.* 1997). Taken the context of drought, the essential prerequisites to map a robust QTL

417

are *1*) an accurate and precise phenotyping, *2*) stages of stress imposed, and *3*) the relevant component traits evaluated. Different kinds of segregating populations including F_2, near isogenic line (NIL), recombinant inbred line (RIL), and double haploid (DH) were created to uncover the genomic regions contributing to tolerance against drought. For example, imposing water stress to DH population derived from rice lines (CT9993-5-10-1-M and IR62266-42-6-2), Babu *et al.* (2003) detected 47 QTLs for yield, biomass, phenology, *etc.*, which explains up to 59 % of the variation. Likewise, several researchers have evaluated drought stress tolerance at germination, vegetative, and reproductive stages, and identified QTLs across different crops including rice (Teng *et al.* 2001, Fujino *et al.* 2004, Cheng *et al.* 2008, Ji *et al.* 2009, Wang *et al.* 2011, Mardani *et al.* 2013, Sasaki *et al.* 2013), barley (Mano and Takeda 1997, Guo *et al.* 2008), soybean (Ikeda *et al.* 2009), *etc*. At the seedling stage, five stable QTLs for drought related traits were reported under PEG-induced stress in rice (Srividhya *et al.* 2011). In a similar fashion, a total of 14 QTLs were reported for chlorophyll-related traits in barley (including one common QTL for chlorophyll content) under well-watered and drought-stressed conditions (Guo *et al.* 2008). Several QTLs controlling a range of drought relevant traits were obtained across various crop species like 22 QTLs for leaf, canopy and root related traits in rice (Gomez *et al.* 2010), 33 QTLs for root traits in advanced backcross wheat lines (Ibrahim *et al.* 2012), and 44 QTLs for eleven traits in barley introgression lines (Honsdorf *et al.* 2014). More recently, Barakat *et al*. (2013) reported QTLs that governed up to 73 % of the phenotypic variation in leaf senescence under water-stress. By analyzing a RIL population in cowpea, Muchero *et al.* (2009) mapped 12 QTLs that control drought tolerance both at seedling and maturity stages. In a similar way, Varshney *et al.* (2014) reported occurrence of 45 main-effect QTLs for drought tolerance by analyzing two RIL populations in chickpea. Importantly, a substantial fraction of the entire phenotypic variation was explained by the epistatic QTLs. More recently, the application of genotyping by sequencing (GBS) in a RIL population (ICC 4958 × ICC 1882) led to the integration of 49 SNP markers in the "hot spot" QTL regions that span 14 cM of the chickpea genome. Further, conversion of these SNPs to a cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) and derived CAPS (dCAPS) transforms them into easy-to-use PCR-based markers for a molecular breeding purpose (Jaganathan *et al.* 2015). Some of the recently identified drought relevant QTLs are presented in Table 1 Suppl.

It is important to note here that complex QTs like drought need to be analysed using a meta-QTL approach that rests on the premise of connecting multiple QTL data from numerous populations screened across diverse environments/locations. For example, a meta-QTL analysis in maize enables the localization of seven genomic regions that contain QTLs showing constitutive as well as adaptive expressions (Almeida *et al.* 2013).

Another comprehensive meta-QTL analysis in maize unfolded a set of 68 meta-QTLs that influence grain yield in water-limiting and well watered environments (Semagn *et al.* 2013). However, further attempts to find QTLs effective to multiple populations (up to six) substantially reduce the number of consistent meta-QTLs to four (Semagn *et al.* 2013). Earlier, Hao *et al.* (2010) analyzed QTL data from 12 different maize populations, and importantly, several consensus QTLs of adaptive as well as constitutive nature recovere and are successfully aligned with the candidate genes underlying drought tolerance.

A more recent study undertaken in wheat by Acuña-Galindo *et al.* (2015) revealed 64 meta-QTLs for drought and heat stress in wheat, of which 20 meta-QTLs exclusively controll drought tolerance. Similarly, Almeida *et al.* (2014) observed, through investigating meta-QTLs across three mapping populations, a candidate genomic region in maize that harbours a variety of drought tolerance-relevant traits. Strikingly, these studies deliver defined genomic segments, *i.e.*, the "hot spot" regions, which contain robust QTLs explaining phenotypic variation to a considerably large extent. Similarly, Courtois *et al.* (2009) detected genomic "hot spots" in rice for root architecture by applying a meta-QTL approach, and constructed a community-based web resource allowing access to a large number of root-related QTLs extracted from multiple published studies.

Association mapping (AM): The AM detects QTLs across a diverse panel by evaluating the patterns of genome-wide linkage disequilibrium (LD), and the association between the genomic variants and the relevant phenotypes. LD is the non-random association of alleles at two loci (Mackay and Powell 2007). The choice of LD mapping in terms of time and space is driven by the fact that it does not rely on creating experimental populations derived from controlled crossing, hence a genetically distinct set of population or exotic germplasm may be used for the purpose (Mitchell-Olds 2010). Additionally, AM bestows several advantages over bi-parental QTL mapping including enhanced genetic resolution, reduced research time, and a greater number of alleles and traits (Yu and Buckler 2006, Rafalski 2010, Huang and Han 2014). In AM, however, remedies proposed to address the issue of "false positives" (arising from inherent genetic structures in natural populations) in turn raise the number of "false negatives" (Bergelson and Roux 2010, Mitchell-Olds 2010, Rafalski 2010, Ingvarsson and Street 2011, Huang and Han 2014). To control spurious associations, individuals are first assigned into defined subgroups, and then kinship (K) is estimated by using a set of unlinked markers having a neutral background and a genome wide distribution (Yu and Buckler 2006). More recently, a high-throughput phenotyping and genome wide SNP markers were combined for detecting significant marker-trait associations (MTAs) in introgressed barley lines (Honsdorf *et al.* 2014) and japonica rice accessions (Courtois *et al.* 2013). A genome-wide association study

performed across 185 cultivated and 38 wild accessions led to the discovery of a few QTLs related to drought tolerance in barley (Varshney *et al.* 2012). By using 98 SSR markers, Sakhi *et al.* (2013) applied AM in sorghum under drought stress conditions and resolved 9 significant QTLs associated with drought-related traits explaining up to 57.5 % of the total phenotypic variation (Table 1). More recently, GWAS as well as candidate gene-based association mapping were conducted across a panel of 300 chickpea accessions using 1 872 markers, and consequently, noteworthy MTAs were detected for drought and related traits (Thudi *et al.* 2014).

Marker-assisted selection: The MAS represents a rapid and precise molecular breeding technique that rests on the premise that superior individuals can be precisely isolated on the basis of the genotype at particular marker loci. In practice, MAS can be exercised in various ways, *e.g.*, the marker-assisted evaluation of breeding material, MABC, pyramiding, early generation selection, and combined MAS (Collard and Mackil 2008). Fortunately, molecular markers have many more applications beyond this scheme. To execute MAS more efficiently, DNA markers must have some key features like a greater reliability, quantity and quality of DNA required, the ease of technical procedure to assay, a high level of DNA polymorphism, and a low cost of assay designing (Mackill and Ni 2001, Mohler and Singrun 2004). Notably, Toenniessen *et al.* (2003) recorded a greater efficacy and accuracy of MAS over conventional plant breeding. Genome wide distributed DNA markers enable exploration of thousands of genomic regions across a comprehensive crop germplasm (Ashraf 2010) and will have profound impacts on breeding temperate and tropical crops (Dwivedi *et al.* 2003).

Marker-assisted backcrossing: Holland (2004) has described three different types of MABC used for foreground selection (Tanksley 1983), recombinant selection, and background selection (Young and Tanksley 1989). In the foreground selection, the genotype of markers linked to a target gene or QTL can be used either in combination with a phenotype or to replace screening for the target gene or QTL, particularly for useful traits that are generally measured through laborious and time-consuming phenotype screening procedures (Hospital and Charcosset 1997). In recombinant selection, back crossed progenies are selected with the target gene and recombination events between the target locus and linked flanking markers. The fundamental idea that underlies recombinant selection is to reduce the size of donor chromosome segment at target locus (Collard and Mackill 2008) and to reduce the chances of continuing linkage drags (Hospital 2005). In background selection, the amount of genome of recurrent parent recovered is identified through markers unlinked to target locus.

MABC has been crucial to address drought tolerance in various crops through remarkably improving the precision of selecting desirable recombinants. A number of QTLs were identified for drought and related traits in most crops, but only a few have been recommended for MABC. MABC is a widely accepted strategy to transfer drought tolerant traits, and a notable empirical evidence is available in important food crops like wheat (Elouafi and Nachit 2004, Ameen *et al.* 2013), rice (Mackill *et al.* 1999, Shen *et al.* 2001, Bernier *et al.* 2007), maize (Bolaños and Edmeades 1996, Ribaut and Ragot 2007), chickpea (Thudi *et al.* 2013, Varshney *et al.* 2014), and pearl millet (Hash *et al.* 2003, Serraj *et al.* 2005). Examples also include four QTLs for deeper roots (Shen *et al.* 2001), four QTLs for root thickness and root length (Steele *et al.* 2006), and QTL (*qtl12.1*) related to yield under drought (Bernier *et al.* 2007) in rice, QTL Deeper Rooting 1 (DRO1) for root growth (Uga *et al.* 2013), and QTL (root-ABA1) for root traits and leaf ABA in maize (Giuliani *et al.* 2005, Landi *et al.* 2005). Demonstrating the fast-track introgression using MABC approach, Varshney *et al.* (2013a) have recently transferred a "hot-spot" genomic region (located on LG 4) from ICC 4958 (donor) to JG 11 (recurrent) in chickpea. The "hot-spot" genomic region encompasses a number of significant QTLs governing a broad range of root and drought relevant traits.

Marker assisted gene pyramiding: Pyramiding aims to assemble multiples genes or QTLs into a single genotype (Ashikari and Matsuoka 2006). Pyramiding and introgression of multiple genes/QTLs affecting the same phenotypic trait remains a daunting challenge due to complexity in phenotypic selection methods, and more often, condition is exacerbated owing to epistatic interactions. Large scale genotyping facilities revolutionized MAS in breeding system by considerably reducing the span of breeding cycles and facilitating gene pyramiding (Xu and Crouch 2008). Gene/QTLs pyramiding can be achieved through either of the following schemes: multiple-parent crossing or complex crossing, backcrossing, and recurrent selection. Marker-assisted pyramiding multiple QTLs will be a promising approach to enhance the stability of crops under stress (Richardson *et al.* 2006). The success of marker assisted gene pyramiding depends on multiple factors like the number of gene/QTLs involved, the distance between the QTLs, the number of parents involved or required, MTAs, and the relative cost. The effects of multiple-QTLs have been manifested in a number of crops like wheat, barley, soybean, groundnut, and chickpea (Richardson *et al.* 2006, Li *et al.* 2010, Ravi *et al.* 2011, Wang *et al.* 2012, Varshney *et al.* 2014). To the best of our knowledge, only limited reports were published to date pertaining to pyramiding drought and drought-related QTLs.

Marker assisted recurrent selection: Given the complex inheritance, inter-loci interactions and a strong genotype × environment (G × E) influence, the MABC program might not stand very productive for developing crops tolerant to abiotic stresses (Ribaut and Ragot 2007).

To overcome the limitations routinely faced in the MABC scheme, MARS approach that identifies individuals carrying the most significant marker-effects in each selection cycle was invented (Bernardo 2008). Introduced as a marker-aided modification to the conventional recurrent selection method, MARS represents a molecular breeding scheme that enables a substantial increase in the frequency of several beneficial alleles with additive and small individual effects (Bernardo and Charcosset 2006, Bohra 2013). Simulation and empirical examples of MARS are available for many crops including maize (Semagn *et al.* 2015, http://www.generationcp.org/research/research-initiatives/maize), wheat (Charmet *et al.* 2001), sorghum (Abdallah *et al.* 2009), rice (Grenier *et al.* 2012), soybean (Eathington *et al.* 2007), and chickpea (Varshney *et al.* 2013b). As a logical extension of the bi-allelic MARS, a more sophisticated multi-allelic MARS scheme was proposed by Ribaut and Ragot (2007) to efficiently gather multiple alleles into a single inbred line.

Genomic-wide predictions (GWPs): GS is a new-generation molecular breeding method in which genome wide markers are required so that almost all favourable QTLs, particularly small-effect QTLs influencing the trait, could be efficiently captured (Meuwissen *et al.* 2001, Goddard and Hayes 2007, Cabrera-Bosquet *et al.* 2012). As a prerequisite, high-density genotyping assays are to be in place to exercise GS for crop improvement. According to Nakaya and Isobe (2012), GS is executed in two phases: a training phase that aims to train GS models using individuals scored both genotypically and phenotypically, followed by a breeding phase involving selection from the breeding population. The breeding population does not require any phenotypic recording, nevertheless, the population is assayed with high-density DNA markers (Heffner *et al.* 2009, Cabrera-Bosquet *et al.* 2012). The estimated genomic breeding values (GEBVs) constitute the basis for the selection of superior individuals from the breeding population (Goddard and Hayes 2007, Nakaya and Isobe 2012). GS holds a greater relevance in cases where the phenotyping of a trait-of-

interest (like stress adaptation) demands extensive field screening, cost, and labour (Cabrera-Bosquet *et al.* 2012). Though GS is a reliable and powerful tool, it remains computationally challenging and also entails large-scale and accurate phenotypic screens and an appropriate choice of GEBV prediction methods so that the GEBV estimates can be achieved with the utmost accuracy (Heffner *et al.* 2009). Practical implications of GS for improving drought related traits were discussed in several crops like maize (Ziyomo and Bernardo 2012, Zhao *et al.* 2012), soybean (Shu *et al.* 2013), *etc.* More recently, Semagn *et al.* (2015) have reviewed the progress in developing stress-resilient maize using novel breeding techniques including MARS and GS. Concerning grain yield under drought stress, Beyene *et al.* (2015) recently performed a GWP-based selection across eight bi-parental populations in maize and reported 2- to 4-fold superiority of GS over the traditional (phenotype-based) pedigree method. Evident from an increasing number of simulation and experimental results obtained across different crops, the substantially increased genetic gain per unit time in GS offers compelling opportunities for crop breeders to adopt such genome wide improvement schemes to expeditiously develop stress resilient and high yielding genotypes (Beyene *et al.* 2015, Semagn *et al.* 2015). An elaborated scheme aiming at the quicker availability of resilient cultivars by combining crop breeding with modern "omics" technologies is presented in Fig. 1 Suppl.

As emphasized by Jonas and Koning (2013), technical requirements of GS including the introduction of G × E modeling are to be carefully attended to grasp the full potential of GS scheme in crop breeding. Comparing the prediction accuracy of GE and non GE models in maize bi-parental populations, Zhang *et al.* (2015) observed greater accuracies with GE models, particularly in the case of complex traits. Similarly, bearing in mind the representative environment that encompasses the relevant limiting factors (biotic as well as abiotic), a cautiously created target population of environment (TPE) might greatly aid in measuring the genotype stability (Heslot *et al.* 2015).

Transgenic approaches for genetically manipulating drought tolerance

Diverse sets of genes and metabolites controlling drought related traits have been identified through genomic approaches. As a result of a complex signalling network operating under drought stress, plants overproduce various proteins [transcription factors (TFs), enzymes, molecular chaperones, and other functional proteins] and other metabolites (Song *et al.* 2014). Transgenic strategies to reduce the impact of drought stress involve the over-expression of proteins (TFs and enzymes) and/or over-production of some metabolites. Variety of genes has been genetically manipulated to date in order to validate their functions and roles under drought stress in crops using over-expression or suppression through transgenic

technologies. Multiple families of TFs are considered to be involved in imparting drought tolerance. The role of TF family members, such as dehydration responsive element-binding factor (DREB), basic leucine zipper (bZIP), zinc-finger proteins, and NAM-ATAF-CUC2 (NAC) have already been identified and validated through transgenic technology. These are being used as promising candidates for incorporating drought tolerance in crops, hence are used for the development of transgenic drought tolerant crops (Ariel *et al.* 2007, Anbazhagan *et al.* 2015). The over-expression of bZIP family TFs, ABA-responsive element-binding proteins/factors (AREBs/ABFs) AREB1/ABF2, ABF3, or

AREB2/ABF4 enhances ABA sensitivity and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis* (Fujita *et al.* 2005, Oh *et al.* 2009). Likewise, the over-expression of CBF3/DREB1A and ABF3 of *Arabidopsis* under the maize ubiquitin promoter (Ubi1P) in transgenic rice increases drought tolerance in rice seedlings showing delayed leaf rolling and wilting under stress (Oh *et al.* 2005).

Concluding remarks and future outlook

Keeping in view the relevance of enhancing drought tolerance in crop plants, a noteworthy progress has been achieved, and an increasing focus is being placed towards accelerating the pace of breeding drought-tolerant cultivars. In the context, large-scale genomics tools particularly based on NGS technologies have emerged as potential additions to conventional breeding (see Bohra *et al.* 2014 a,b). To this end, an increasing number of sequenced crop genomes offer a set of computationally-predicted drought responsive genes to which follow-up studies could be specifically concentrated. For instance, pigeonpea and soybean genomes are predicted to harbour 111 and 109 drought-responsive genes, respectively (see Varshney *et al.* 2012). In addition, ever-accumulating whole genome sequencing and re-sequencing data are likely to shed a new light into the complex and poorly-understood genetic architecture of drought tolerance. Recent examples include a resequencing attempt in maize that intends to reveal SNPs and candidate genes associated with drought tolerance through resequencing whole genomes of 15 inbreds (Xu *et al.* 2014).

Applied recently in barley by Honsdorf *et al.* (2014), the next generation phenotyping assays, such as "The Plant Accelerator", would assist greatly in the precise genetic dissection of drought tolerance. With dramatically evolving genotyping and phenotyping systems and concurrent developments in analytical softwares, refined QTL techniques like meta-QTL mapping that encompasses multiple environments and diverse genetic backgrounds are urgently required to be undertaken (Swamy *et al*. 2011, Almeida *et al.* 2014). Additionally, as it has been demonstrated recently in faba bean, new ways are being provided to enable a more focused interrogation of the environment-defined germplasm sets accompanied by an expeditious incorporation of the newly discovered resilient donors/alleles in genetic improvement schemes (Khazaei *et al.* 2013). It is a well-established fact that even after discovering tolerant genotypes, it remains a daunting task to precisely introgress these beneficial traits into diverse genetic backgrounds; consequently, integrated genomics, physiological, and breeding approaches are imperative to breed stress resilient crops in today's changing climate and shifting agricultural scenario (Mir *et al.* 2013).

Furthermore, the success of network-oriented crop improvement projects also hinges on the regular deposition of the genotyping and phenotypic information/data arising from multiple investigations to readily-accessible global platforms (Ingvarsson and Street 2011, Fridman and Zamir 2012). The new insights thus gained will certainly guide the research community in choosing and optimizing the appropriate breeding protocols (Fridman and Zamir 2012). We hope that with the help of enabling technologies and methodological improvements, tolerant genotypes could be bred with an improved precision and a greater efficiency. And subsequently, the desirable segregants/recombinants could be increasingly recovered at a rate that would not otherwise be possible to attain through routine conventional means.

Combining "omics" tools with breeding activities incorporates drought tolerance in crop genotypes. The Fig. 1 Suppl. illustrates the rational integration of a range of "omics" assays to efficiently examine and utilize the trait variation. The trait-linked DNA markers/QTLs or GWPs can be used to select the drought tolerant candidates. Once the tolerant genotype is identified, it can either be used directly for a large-scale commercial cultivation or re-introduced as donor to the next cycle.

References

Abdallah, A.A., Ali, A.M., Geiger, H.H., Parzies, H.K.: Marker-assisted recurrent selection for increased out crossing in caudatum-race *Sorghum*. - In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Applied Biotechnology. Pp. 4. Khartoum 2009.

Acuña-Galindo, M.A., Mason, R.E., Subramanian, N.K., Hays, D.B. Meta-analysis of wheat QTL regions associated with adaptation to drought and heat stress. - Crop Sci. **55**: 477-492, 2015.

Adam, J.: Transcriptome: connecting the genome to gene function. - Nature Educ. **1**: 1, 2008.

Adamski, J.: Genome-wide association studies with metabolomics. - Genome Medicine **4**: 34, 2012.

Ahmed, F.E., Suliman, A.S.H.: Effect of water stress applied at different stages of growth on seed yield and water-use efficiency of cowpea. - Agr. Biol. J. N. Amer. **1**: 534-540, 2010.

Almeida, G.D., Makumbi, D., Magorokosho, C., Nair, S., Borém, A., Ribaut, J.M., Bänziger, M., Prasanna, B.M., Crossa, J., Babu, R.: QTL mapping in three tropical maize populations reveals a set of constitutive and adaptive genomic regions for drought tolerance. - Theor. appl. Genet. **126**: 583-600, 2013.

Almeida, G.D., Nair, S., Borém, A., Cairns, J., Trachsel, S., Ribaut, J.M., Babu, R.: Molecular mapping across three populations reveals a QTL hotspot region on chromosome 3 for secondary traits associated with drought tolerance in tropical maize. - Mol. Breed. **34**: 701-715, 2014.

Alvarez, S., Marsh, E.L., Schroeder, S.G., Schachtman, D.P.: Metabolomic and proteomic changes in the xylem sap of maize under drought. - Plant Cell Environ. **31**: 325-340, 2008.

Ameen, T.E.: Molecular markers for drought tolerance in bread wheat. - Afr. J. Biotechnol. **12**: 3148-3152, 2013.

Anbazhagan, K., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Vadez, V., Dumbala, S.R., Kishor, P.B., Sharma, K.K.: *DREB1A* overexpression in transgenic chickpea alters key traits influencing plant water budget across water regimes. - Plant Cell Rep. **34**: 199-210, 2015.

Andersen, J.R., Lübberstedt, T.: Functional markers in plants. - Trends Plant Sci. **8**: 554-560, 2003.

Anjum, S.A., Xie, X., Wang, L.C., Saleem, M.F., Man, C., Lei, W.: Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. - Afr. J. agr. Res. **6**: 2026-2032, 2011.

Ansong, C., Purvine, S.O., Adkins, J.N., Lipton, M.S., Smith R. D.: Proteogenomics: needs and roles to be filled by proteomics in genome annotation. - Brief. Funct. Genomics Proteomics **7**: 50-62, 2008.

Ariel, F.D., Manavella, P.A., Dezar, C.A., Chan, R.L.: The true story of the HD-Zip family. - Trends Plant Sci. **12**: 419-426, 2007.

Asfaw, A., Blair, M.W., Struik, P.: Multienvironment quantitative trait loci analysis of photosynthate acquisition, accumulation, and remobilization traits in common bean under drought stress. - Genes Genomes Genet. **5**: 579-595, 2012.

Ashikari, M., Matsuoka, M.: Identification, isolation and pyramiding of quantitative trait loci for rice breeding. - Trends Plant Sci. **11**: 344-350, 2006.

Ashraf, M.: Inducing drought tolerance in plants: recent advances. - Biotechnol. Adv. **28**: 169-183, 2010.

Ashraf, M., Foolad, M.R.: Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. - Environ. exp. Bot. **59**: 206-216, 2007.

Babu, C.R., Nguyen, B.D., Chamarerk, V., Shanmugasundaram, P., Chezhian, P., Juyaprakash, P., Ganesh, S.K., Palchamy, A., Sadasivam, S., Sarkarung, S., Wade, L.J., Nguyen, T.H.: Genetic analysis of drought resistance in rice by molecular markers: association between secondary traits and field performance. - Crop Sci. **43**: 1457-1469, 2003.

Baginsky, S., Hennig, L., Zimmermann, P., Gruissem, W.: Gene expression analysis, proteomics, and network discovery. - Plant Physiol. **152**: 402-410, 2010.

Barakat, M.N., Wahiba, L.E., Milad, S.I.: Molecular mapping of QTLs for wheat flag leaf senescence under water stress. - Biol. Plant. **57**: 79-84, 2013.

Bartels, D., Sunkar, R.: Drought and salt tolerance in plants. - Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. **24**: 23-58, 2005.

Beltrano, J., Ronco, M.G., Arango, A.C.: Soil drying and rewatering applied at three grain developmental stages affect differentially growth and grain protein deposition in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). - Braz. J. Plant Physiol. **18**: 341-350, 2006.

Bergelson, J., Roux, F.: Towards identifying genes underlying ecologically relevant traits in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. - Nat. Rev. Genet. **11**: 867-879, 2010.

Bernardo, R.: Molecular markers and selection for complex traits in plants: learning from the last 20 years. - Crop Sci. **48**: 1649-166, 2008.

Bernardo, R., Charcosset, A.: Usefulness of gene information in marker-assisted recurrent selection: a simulation appraisal. - Crop Sci. **46**:614-621, 2006.

Bernier, J., Kumar, A., Venuprasad, R., Spaner, D., Atlin, G.: A large-effect QTL for grain yield under reproductive-stage drought stress in upland rice. - Crop Sci. **47**: 507-518, 2007.

Beyene, Y., Semagn, K., Mugo, S., Tarekegne, A., Babu, R., Meisel, B., Sehabiague, P., Makumbi, D., Magorokosho, C., Oikehm S., Gakunga, J., Vargas, M., Olsen, M., Prasanna, B.M., Banziger, M., Crossa, J.: Genetic gains in grain yield through genomic selection in eight bi-parental maize populations under drought stress. - Crop. Sci. **55**: 154-163, 2015.

Bohra, A., Sahrawat, K.L., Kumar, S., Joshi, R., Parihar, A.K., Singh, U., Singh, D., Singh, N.P.: Genetics- and genomics-based interventions for nutritional enhancement of grain legume crops: status and outlook. - J. appl. Genet. **56**: 151-161, 2015.

Bohra, A.: Emerging paradigms in genomics-based crop improvement. - Sci. World J. **585467**: 17, 2013.

Bohra, A., Jha, U.C., Kavi Kishor, P.B., Pandey, S., Singh, N.P.: Genomics and molecular breeding in lesser explored pulse crops: current trends and future opportunities. - Biotechnol. Adv. **32**: 1410-1428, 2014a.

Bohra, A., Pandey, M.K., Jha, U.C., Singh, B., Singh, I.P., Datta, D., Chaturvedi, S.K., Nadarajan, N., Varshney, R. K.: Genomics-assisted breeding in four major pulse crops of developing countries: present status and prospects. - Theor. appl. Genet. **127**: 1263-1291, 2014b.

Bolaños, J., Edmeades, G.O.: The importance of the anthesis-silking interval in breeding for drought tolerance in tropical maize. - Field Crop. Res. **48**: 65-80, 1996.

Boyer, J.S.: Plant productivity and environment. - Science **218**: 443-448, 1982.

Bray, E.A., Bailey-Serres, J., Weretilnyk, E.: Responses to abiotic stresses. - In Gruissem, W., Buchannan, B., Jones, R. (ed.): Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Plants. Pp. 1158-1249. American Society of Plant Physiologists. Rockville 2000.

Cabrera-Bosquet, L., Crossa, J., Von Zitzewitz, J., Dolors Serret, M., Araus, J.L.: High-throughput phenotyping and genomic selection: the frontiers of crop breeding converge. - J. Intergr. Plant Biol. **54**: 312-320, 2012.

Castañeda-Saucedo, M.C., Córdova-Téllez, L., Tapia-Campos, E., Delgado-Alvarado, A., González-Hernández, V.A., Santacruz-Varela, A., Loza-Tavera, H., García-de-los-Santos, G., Vargas-Suárez, M.: Dehydrins patterns in common bean exposed to drought and watered conditions. - Rev. Fitotec. Mex. **37**: 59-68, 2014.

Charlton, A.J., Donarski, J.A., Harrison, M., Jones, S.A., Godward, J., Oehlschlager, S., Arques, J.L., Ambrose, M., Chinoy, C., Mullineaux, P.M., Domoney, C.: Responses of the pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) leaf metabolome to drought stress assessed by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. - Metabolomics **4**: 312-327, 2008.

Charmet, G., Robert, N., Perretant, M.R., Gay, G., Sourdille, P., Groos, C., Bernard, S., Bernard M.: Marker assisted recurrent selection for cumulating QTLs for bread-making related traits. - Euphytica **119**: 89-93, 2001.

Cheng, H.T., Hua, J., Xue, D.W., Guo, L.B., Zeng, D.L., Zang, G.H., Qian, Q.: Mapping of QTL underlying tolerance to alkali at germination and early seedling stages in rice. - Acta agron. sin. **34**: 1719-1727, 2008.

Clarke, J.M.: Effect of leaf rolling on leaf water loss in *Triticum* spp. - Can. J. Plant. Sci. **66**: 885-891, 1986.

Collard, B.C.Y., Mackill, D.J.: Marker-assisted selection: an approach for precision plant breeding in the twenty-first century. - Phil. trans. roy. Soc. B. Biol. Sci. **363**: 557-572,

2008.

Collins, N.C., Tardieu, F., Tuberosa, R.: Quantitative trait loci and crop performance under abiotic stress: where do we stand? - Plant Physiol. **147**: 469-486, 2008.

Costa Franca, M.G., Pham-Thi, A.T., Pimentel, C., Pereyra Rossiello, R.O., Zuily-Fodil, Y., Laffray, D.: Differences in growth and water relations among *Phaseolus vulgaris* cultivars in response to induced drought stress. - Environ. exp. Bot. **43**: 227-237, 2000.

Courtois, B., Ahmadi, N., Khowaja, F., Price, A., Rami, J.-F., Frouin, J., Hamelin, C., Ruiz, M.: Rice root genetic architecture: meta-analysis from a drought QTL database. - Rice **2**: 115-128, 2009.

Courtois, B., Audebert, A., Dardou, A., Roques, S., Herrera, T.G., Droc, G., Frouin, J., Rouan, L., Gozé, E., Kilian, A., Ahmadi, N., Dingkuhn, M.: Genome-wide association mapping of root traits in a japonica rice panel. - PLoS One **8**: e78037, 2013.

Cubillos, F.A., Coustham, V., Loudet, O.: Lessons from eQTL mapping studies: non-coding regions and their role behind natural phenotypic variation in plants. - Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **15**: 192-198, 2012.

Degenkolbe, T., Do, P.T., Kopka, J., Zuther, E., Hincha, D.K., Kohl, K.I.: Identification of drought tolerance markers in a diverse population of rice cultivars by expression and metabolite profiling. - PLoS One **8**: e63637, 2013.

Du, F., Shi, H., Zhang, X., Xu, X.: Responses of reactive oxygen scavenging enzymes, proline and malondialdehyde to water deficits among six secondary successional seral species in Loess plateau. - PLoS One **9**: e98872, 2014.

Dugas, D., Monaco, M., Olsen, A., Klein, R., Kumari, S., Ware, D., Klein, P. E.: Functional annotation of the transcriptome of *Sorghum bicolor* in response to osmotic stress and abscisic acid. - BMC Genomics **12**: 514, 2011.

Dwivedi, S.L., Crouch, J.H., Nigam, S.N., Ferguson, M.E., Paterson, A.H.: Molecular breeding of groundnut for enhanced productivity and food security in the semi-arid tropics: opportunities and challenges. - Adv. Agron. **80**: 154-201, 2003.

Eathington, S.R., Crosbie, T.M., Edwards, M.D., Reiter, R.S., Bull, J.K.: Molecular markers in a commercial breeding program. - Crop Sci. **2007** (Suppl.): S154, 2007.

Ekanayake, I.J., Datta, S.K., Steponkus, P.L.: Spikelet sterility and flowering response of rice to water stress at anthesis. - Ann. Bot. **63**: 257-264, 1989.

Eldakak, M., Milad, S.I.M., Nawar, A.I., Rohila, J.S.: Proteomics: a biotechnology tool for crop improvement. - Front. Plant Sci. **4**: 35, 2013.

Elouafi, I., Nachit, M.M.: A genetic linkage map of the durum wheat × *Triticum dicoccoides* backcross population based on SSRs and AFLP markers, and QTL analysis for milling traits. - Theor. appl. Genet. **108**: 401-413, 2004.

Fernie, A.R., Schauer N.: Metabolomics-assisted breeding: a viable option for crop improvement? - Trends Genet. **25**: 39-48, 2008.

Ford, K.L., Cassin, A., Bacic, A.: Quantitative proteomic analysis of wheat cultivars with differing drought stress tolerance. - Front. Plant Sci. **2**: 44, 2011.

Fridman. E., Zamir, D.: Next-generation education in crop genetics. - Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **15**: 218-223, 2012.

Fujino, K., Sekiguchi, H., Sato, T., Kiuchi, H., Nonoue, Y., Takeuchi, Y., Ando, T., Lin, S.Y., Yano, M.: Mapping of quantitative trait loci controlling low-temperature germinability in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). - Theor. appl. Genet. **108**: 794-799, 2004.

Fujita, Y., Fujita, M., Satoh, R., Maruyama, K., Parvez, M.M., Seki, M., Hiratsu, K., Ohme-Takagi, M., Shinozaki, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K.: AREB1 is a transcription activator of novel ABRE-dependent ABA signaling that enhances drought stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. - Plant Cell **17**: 3470-3488, 2005.

Ghosh, D., Xu, J.: Abiotic stress responses in plant roots: a proteomics perspective. - Front. Plant Sci. **54**: 6, 2014.

Gimeno, J., Eattock, N., Deynze, A.V., Blumwald, E.: Selection and validation of reference genes for gene expression analysis in switchgrass (*Panicum Virgatum*) using quantitative real-time RT-PCR. - PLoS One **9**: e91474, 2014.

Giuliani, S., Sanguineti, M.C., Tuberosa, R., Bellotti, M., Salvi, S., Landi, P.: Root-ABA1, a major constitutive QTL, affects maize root architecture and leaf ABA concentration at different water regimes. - J. exp. Bot. **56**: 3061–3070, 2005.

Goddard, M.E., Hayes, B.J.: Genomic selection. - J. Anim. Breed. Genet. **12**: 323-330, 2007.

Gomez, S.M., Boopathi, N.M., Kumar, S.S., Ramasubramanian, T., Chengsong, Z., Jeyaprakash, P., Senthil, A., Babu, R.C.: Molecular mapping and location of QTLs for drought-resistance traits in indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) lines adapted to target environments. - Acta Physiol. Plant **32**: 355-364, 2010.

Graham, P.H., Vance, C.P.: Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. - Plant Physiol. **131**: 872-877, 2003.

Grenier, C., Chatel, M.H., Ospina, Y., Cao, T., Guimaraes, E.P., Martinez, C.P., Tohme, J., Courtois, B., Ahmadi, N.: Population improvement through recurrent selection in rice. Prospects for maker assisted recurrent selection and genome-wide selection. - In: Plant and Animal Genome. XX Conference. Pp. W011. San Diego 2012.

Guo, P., Baum, M., Varshney, R., Graner, A., Grando, S., Ceccarelli, S.: QTLs for chlorophyll and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in barley under post-flowering drought. - Euphytica **163**: 203-214, 2008.

Guttikonda, S.K., Valliyodan, B., Neelakandan, A.K., Tran, L.S., Kumar, R., Quach, T.N., Voothuluru, P., Gutierrez-Gonzalez, J.J., Aldrich, D.L., Pallardy, S.G., Sharp, R.E., Ho, T.H., Nguyen, H.T.: Overexpression of AtDREB1D transcription factor improves drought tolerance in soybean. - Mol. Biol. Rep. **41**: 7995-8008, 2014.

Hao, Z., Li, X., Liu, X., Xie, C., Li, M., Zhang, D., Zhang, S.: Meta-analysis of constitutive and adaptive QTL for drought tolerance in maize. - Euphytica **174**: 165-177, 2010.

Harb, A., Krishnan, A., Ambavaram, M.M.R., Pereira, A.: Molecular and physiological analysis of drought stress in *Arabidopsis* reveals early responses leading to acclimation in plant growth. - Plant Physiol. **154**: 1254-1271, 2010.

Hash, C.T., Bhasker, R.A.G., Lindup, S., Sharma, A., Beniwal, C.R., Folkertsma, R.T., Mahalakshmi, V., Zerbini, E., Blümmel, M.: Opportunities for marker-assisted selection (MAS) to improve the feed quality of crop residues in pearl millet and sorghum. - Field Crops Res. **84**: 79-88, 2003.

Heffner, E.L., Sorrells, M.E., Jannink, J.L.: Genomic selection for crop improvement. - Crop Sci. **49**: 1-12, 2009.

Heslot, N., Jannink, J.L., Sorrells, M.E.: Perspectives for genomic selection applications and research in plants. - Crop Sci. **55**: 1-12, 2015.

Hill, C.B., Taylor, J.D., Edwards, J., Mather, D., Bacic, A., Langridge, P., Roessner, U.: Whole-genome mapping of agronomic and metabolic traits to identify novel quantitative trait loci in bread wheat grown in a water-limited

423

environment. - Plant Physiol. **162**: 1266-1281, 2013.

Hochberg, U., Degu, A., Toubiana, D., Gendler, T., Nikoloski, Z., Rachmilevitch, S., Fait, A.: Metabolite profiling and network analysis reveal coordinated changes in grapevine water stress response. - BMC Plant Biol. **13**: 184, 2013.

Holland, J.B.: Implementation of molecular markers for quantitative traits in breeding programs - challenges and opportunities. New directions for a diverse planet. - In: Proceedings of the 4th International Crop Science Congress. Regional Institute, Gosford 2004 (www. cropscience. org. au/icsc2004).

Honsdorf, N., March, T.J., Berger, B., Tester, M., Pillen, K.: High-throughput phenotyping to detect drought tolerance QTL in wild barley introgression lines. - PLoS One **9**: e97047, 2014.

Hospital, F.: Selection in backcross programmes. - Phil. trans. roy. Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci. **360**: 1503-1511, 2005.

Hospital, F., Charcosset, A.: Marker-assisted introgression of quantitative trait loci. - Genetics **147**: 1469-1485, 1997.

Hossain, Z., Komatsu, S.: Potentiality of soybean proteomics in untying the mechanism of flood and drought stress tolerance. - Proteomes **2**: 107-127, 2014.

Huang, X., Han, B.: Natural variations and genome-wide association studies in crop plants. - Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. **65**: 531-551, 2014.

Ibrahim, S.E., Schubert, A., Pillen, K., Léon, J.: Comparison of QTLs for drought tolerance traits between two advanced backcross populations of spring wheat. - Int. J. agr. Sci. **2**: 216-227, 2012.

Ikeda, T., Ohnishi, S., Senda, M., Miyoshi, T., Ishimoto, M., Kitamura, K., Funatsuki, H.: A novel major quantitative trait locus controlling seed development at low temperature in soybean (*Glycine max*). - Theor. appl. Genet. **118**: 1477-1488, 2009.

Ingvarsson, P.K., Street, N.R.: Association genetics of complex traits in plants. -New Phytol. **189**: 909-922, 2011.

Jaganathan, D., Thudi, M., Kale, S., Azam, S., Roorkiwal, M., Gaur, P.M., Kishor, P.B., Nguyen, H., Sutton, T., Varshney, R.K.: Genotyping-by-sequencing based intra-specific genetic map refines a "QTL-hotspot" region for drought tolerance in chickpea. - Mol. Genet. Genomics **290**: 559-571, 2015.

Janska, A., Marsi, K.P., Zelenkova, S., Ovesna, J.: Cold stress and acclimation – what is important for metabolic adjustment? - Plant Biol. **12**: 395-405, 2010.

Jha, U.C., Chaturvedi, S.K., Bohra, A., Basu, P.S., Khan, M.S., Barh, D.: Abiotic stresses, constraints and improvement strategies in chickpea. - Plant Breed. **133**: 163-178, 2014.

Ji, S.L., Jiang, L., Wang, Y.H., Zhang, W.W., Liu, X.L., Liu, S.J., Liu, S.J., Chen, L.M., Zhai, H.Q., Wan, J.M.: Quantitative trait loci mapping and stability for low temperature germination ability of rice. - Plant Breed. **128**: 387-392, 2009.

Jiang, S.S., Liang, X.N., Li, X., Wang, S.L., Lv, D.W., Ma, C.Y., Li, X.H., Ma, W.J., Yan, Y.M.: Wheat drought-responsive grain proteome analysis by linear and nonlinear 2-DE and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. - Int. J. mol. Sci. **13**: 16065-16083, 2012.

Jonas, E., Koning, D.J.: Does genomic selection have a future in plant breeding? - Trends Biotechnol. **31**: 497-504, 2013.

Jones, H.G.: Use of thermography for quantitative studies of spatial and temporal variation of stomatal conductance over leaf surfaces. - Plant Cell Environ. **22**: 1043-1055, 1999.

Jones, H.G.: Monitoring plant and soil water status: established and novel methods revisited and their relevance to studies of drought tolerance. - J. exp. Bot. **58**: 119-130, 2007.

Jorrín, J.V., Maldonado A.M., Castillejo, M.A.: Plant proteome analysis: A 2006 update. - Proteomics **7**: 2947-2962, 2007.

Kadam, S., Singh, K., Shukla, S., Goel, S., Vikram, P., Pawar, V., Gaikwad, K., Chopra, R.K., Singh, N.K.: Genomic associations for drought tolerance on the short arm of wheat chromosome 4B. - Funct. Integr. Genomics **12**: 447-464, 2012.

Kakumanu, A., Ambavaram, M.M., Klumas, C., Krishnan, A., Batlang, U., Myers, E., Grene, R., Pereira, A.: Effects of drought on gene expression in maize reproductive and leaf meristem tissue revealed by RNA-seq. - Plant Physiol. **160**: 846-867, 2012.

Kathiresana, A., Lafittea, H.R., Chena, J., Mansuetoa, L., Bruskiewicha, B.J.: Gene expression microarrays and their application in drought stress research. - Field Crops Res. **97**: 101-110, 2006.

Kearsey, M.J., Farquhar, A.G.L.: QTL analysis in plants: where are we now? - Heredity **80**: 137-142, 1998.

Khattab, H.I., Eman, M.A., Eman, M.M., Helal, N.M., Mohamed, M.R.: Effect of selenium and silicon on transcription factors *NAC5* and *DREB2A* involved in drought-responsive gene expression in rice. - Biol. Plant. **58**: 265-273, 2014.

Khazaei, H., O'Sullivan, D.M., Sillanpää, M.J., Stoddard, F.L.: Use of synteny to identify candidate genes underlying QTL controlling stomatal traits in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). - Theor. appl. Genet. **127**: 2371-2385, 2014.

Khazaei, H., Street, K., Bari, A., Mackay, M., Stoddard, F.L.: The FIGS (Focused Identification of Germplasm Strategy) approach identifies traits related to drought adaptation in *Vicia faba* genetic resources. - PLoS One **8**: e63107, 2013.

Kholová, J., Hash, T., Kočová, M., Vadez, V.: Does a terminal drought tolerance QTL contribute to differences in ROS scavenging enzymes and photosynthetic pigments in pearl millet exposed to drought? - Environ. exp. Bot. **71**: 99-106, 2011.

Knight, H., Knight, M.R.: Abiotic stress signalling pathways: specificity and cross-talk. - Trends Plant Sci. **6**: 262-267, 2001.

Koller, A., Washburn, M.P., Lange, B.M., Andon, N.L., Deciu, C., Haynes, P.A., Hays, L., Schieltz, D., Ulaszek, R., Wei, J., Wolters, D., Yates, J.R.: Proteomic survey of metabolic pathways in rice. - Proc. nat. Acad. Sci. USA **99**: 11969-11974, 2002.

Komatsu, S., Mock, H.P., Yang, P., Svensson, B.: Application of proteomics for improving crop protection/artificial regulation. - Front. Plant Sci. **4**: 522, 2013.

Kosová, K., Vítámvás, P., Prášil, I.T.: Proteomics of stress responses in wheat and barley – earch for potential protein markers of stress tolerance. - Front. Plant Sci. **5**: 711. 2014a.

Kosová, K., Vítámvás, P., Prášil, I.T.: Wheat and barley dehydrins under cold, drought, and salinity – what can LEA-II proteins tell us about plant stress response? - Front. Plant Sci. **5**: 343, 2014b.

Lakshmanan, M., Zhang, Z., Mohanty, B., Kwon, J.Y., Choi, H.Y., Nam, H.J., Kim, D.L., Lee, D.Y.: Elucidating rice cell metabolism under flooding and drought stresses using flux-based modeling and analysis. - Plant Physiol. **162**: 2140-2150, 2013.

Landi, P., Sanguineti, M.C., Salvi, S., Giuliani, S., Bellotti, M., Maccaferri, M., Conti, S., Tuberosa, R.: Validation and characterization of a major QTL affecting leaf ABA concentration in maize. - Mol. Breed. **15**: 291-303, 2005.

Lawlor, D.W., Cornic, G.: Photosynthetic carbon assimilation

and associated metabolism in relation to water deficits in higher plants. - Plant Cell Environ. **25**: 275-294, 2002.

Lazacano-Ferrat, I., Lovat, C.J.: Relationship between relative water content, nitrogen pools, and growth of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and *P. acutifoolius* A. Gray during water deficit. - Crop Sci. **39**: 467-475, 1999.

Li, X., Han, Y., Teng, W., Zhang, S., Yu, K., Poysa, V., Anderson, T., Ding, J., Li, W.: Pyramided QTL underlying tolerance to *Phytophthora* root rot in mega-environment from soybean cultivar 'Conrad' and 'Hefeng 25'. -Theor. appl. Genet. **121**: 651-658, 2010.

Lisec, J., Römisch-Margl, L., Nikoloski, Z., Piepho, H.P., Giavalisco, P., Selbig, J., Gierl, A., Willmitzer, L.: Corn hybrids display lower metabolite variability and complex metabolite inheritance patterns. - Plant J. **68**: 326-336, 2011.

Mackay, I., Powell, W.: Methods for linkage disequilibrium mapping in crops. - Trends Plant Sci. **12**: 57-63, 2007.

Mackill, D.J., Nguyen, H.T., Zhan, J.: Use of molecular markers in plant improvement programs for rainfed lowland rice. - Field Crops Res. **64**: 177-185, 1999.

Mackill, D.J., Ni, J.: Molecular mapping and marker assisted selection for major-gene traits in rice. - In Khush, G.S., Brar, D.S., Hardy, B. (ed.): Rice Genetics. Vol. IV. Pp. 137-151. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños 2001.

Majewski, J., Pastinen, T.: The study of eQTL variations by RNA-seq: from SNPs to phenotypes. - Trends Genet. **27**: 72-79, 2011.

Maksup, S., Roytraku, S., Supaibulwatana, K.: Proteome and transcriptional responses in three contrasting indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. *indica*) under water stress. - In: CU-MUSC Graduate Forum in Plant Biotechnology 2012.

Malone, J.H., Oliver, B.: Microarrays, deep sequencing and the true measure of the transcriptome. - BMC Biol. **9**: 34, 2011.

Mano, Y., Takeda, K.: Mapping quantitative trait loci for salt tolerance at germination and the seedling stage in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L). - Euphytica **94**: 263-272, 1997.

Mardani, Z., Rabiei, B., Sabouri, H., Sabouri, A.: Mapping of QTLs for germination characteristics under non-stress and drought stress in rice. - Rice Sci. **20**: 391-399, 2013.

McCouch, S.R., Doerge, R.W.: QTL mapping in rice. - Trends Genet. **11**: 482-487, 1995.

Meuwissen, T.H.E., Hayes, B.J., Goddard, M.E.: Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. - Genetics **157**: 1819-1829, 2001.

Minh-Thu, P.T., Hwang, D.J., Jeon, J.S., Nahm, B.H., Kim, Y.K.: Transcriptome analysis of leaf and root of rice seedling to acute dehydration. - Rice **6**: 38, 2013.

Mir, R.R., Zaman-Allah, M., Sreenivasulu, N., Trethowan, R., Varshney, R.K.: Integrated genomics, physiology and breeding approaches for improving drought tolerance in crops. - Theor. appl. Genet. **125**: 625-645, 2012.

Mishra, A.K., Singh, V.P.: A review of drought concepts. - J. Hydrol. **391**: 202-216, 2010.

Mitchell-Olds, T.: Complex-trait analysis in plants. - Genome Biol. **11**: 113, 2010.

Mohan, M., Nair, S., Bhagwat, A., Krishna, T.G., Yano, M., Bhatia, C.R., Sasaki, T.: Genome mapping, molecular markers and marker-assisted selection in crop plants. - Mol. Breed. **3**: 87-103, 1997.

Mohler, V., Singrun, C.: General considerations: marker-assisted selection. - In: Lörz, H., Wenzel, G. (ed.): Molecular Marker Systems in Plant Breeding and Crop Improvement (Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry. Vol. 55). Pp. 305-317. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg 2004.

Muchero, W., Ehlers, J.D., Close, T.J., Roberts, P.A.: Mapping QTL for drought stress-induced premature senescence and maturity in cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. - Theor. appl. Genet. **118**: 849-863, 2009.

Nakaya, A., Isobe, S.N.: Will genomic selection be a practical method for plant breeding? - Ann. Bot. **110**: 1303-1316, 2012.

Neves-Borges, A.C., Guimarães-Dias, F., Cruz, F., Mesquita, R.O., Nepomuceno, A.L., Romano, E., Loureiro, M.E., Grossi-de-Sá, M.F., Ferreira, M.A.: Expression pattern of drought stress marker genes in soybean roots under two water deficit systems. - Genet. mol. Biol. **35**: 212-221, 2012.

Oh, S.J., Kim, Y.S., Kwon, C., Park, H.K., Jeong, J.S., Kim, J.K.: Overexpression of the transcription factor AP37 in rice improves grain yield under drought conditions. - Plant Physiol. **150**: 1368-1379, 2009.

Oh, S.J., Song, S.I., Kim, Y.S., Jang, H.J., Kim, S.Y., Kim, M., Kim, Y.K., Nahm, B.H., Kim, J.K.: *Arabidopsis* CBF3/DREB1A and ABF3 in transgenic rice increased tolerance to abiotic stress without stunting growth. - Plant Physiol. **138**: 341-351, 2005.

O'Toole, J.C.: Molecular approaches for the genetic improvement of cereals for stable production in water limited environments. - In: Ribaut, J.M., Poland, D. (ed.): A Strategic Planning Workshop. CIMMYT, El Batan 1999.

Padmalatha, K.V., Dhandapani, G., Kanakachari, M., Kumar, S., Dass, A., Patil, D.P., Rajamani, V., Kumar, K., Pathak, R., Rawat, B., Leelavathi, S., Reddy, P.S., Jain, N., Powar, K.N., Hiremath, V., Katageri, I.S., Reddy, M.K., Solanke, A.U., Reddy, V.S., Kumar P.A.: Genome-wide transcriptomic analysis of cotton under drought stress reveals significant down-regulation of genes and pathways involved in fibre elongation and up-regulation of defense responsive genes. - Plant mol. Biol. **78**: 223-246, 2012.

Pantuwan, G., Fukai, S., Cooper, M., Rajatasereekul, S., O'Toole, J.C.: Yield response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L) genotypes to different types of drought under rainfed lowlands. Part1. Grain yield and yield components. - Field Crops Res. **73**: 153-168, 2002.

Pavli, O.I., Vlachos, C.E., Kalloniati, C., Flemetakis, E., Skaracis, G.N.: Metabolite profiling reveals the effect of drought on sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) metabolism. - Plant Omics J. **6**: 371-376, 2013.

Postel, S.: Redesigning irrigated agriculture. - In: Brown, L.R., Flavin, C., French, H. (ed.): State of the World, 2000. Pp. 39-58. W.W. Norton and Co, New York 1999.

Prudhomme, C., Giuntoli, I., Robinson, E.L., Clark, D.B., Arnell, N.W., Dankers, R., Fekete, B.M., Franssen, W., Gerten, D., Gosling, S.N., Hagemann, S., Hannah, D.M., Kim, H., Masaki, Y., Satoh, Y., Stacke, T., Wada, Y., Wisser, D.: Hydrological droughts in the 21st century: hotspots and uncertainties from a global multimodel ensemble experiment. - Proc. nat. Acad. Sci. USA **111**: 3262-3267, 2014.

Rafalski, J.A.: Association genetics in crop improvement. - Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **13**: 174-180, 2010.

Ramu, P., Kassahun, B., Senthilvel, S., Ashok Kumar, C., Jayashree, B., Folkertsma, R.T., Ananda Reddy L., Kuruvinashetti, M.S., Haussmann, B.I.G., Hash, C.T.: Exploiting rice-sorghum synteny for targeted development of EST-SSRs to enrich the sorghum genetic linkage map. - Theor. appl. Genet. **119**: 1193-1204, 2009.

Ravi, K., Vadez, V., Isobe, S., Mir, R.R., Guo, Y., Nigam, S.N., Gowda, M.V.C., Radhakrishnan, T., Bertioli, D.J., Knapp,

S.J., Varshney, R.K.: Identification of several small main-effect QTLs and a large number of epistatic QTLs for drought tolerance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). - Theor. appl. Genet. **122**: 1119-1132, 2011.

Reddy, S.K., Liua, S., Rudda, J.C., Xuea, Q., Paytonb, P., Finlaysonc, S.A., Mahanb, J., Akhunovad, A., Holaluc, S.V., Lue, N.: Physiology and transcriptomics of water-deficit stress responses in wheat cultivars TAM 111 and TAM 112. - J. Plant Physiol. **171**: 1289-1298, 2014.

Rehman, A., Malhotra, R., Bett, K., Tar'an, B., Bueckert, R., Warkentin, T.: Mapping QTL associated with traits affecting grain yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under terminal drought stress. - Crop Sci. **51**: 450-463, 2011.

Ribaut, J.M., Ragot, M.: Marker-assisted selection to improve drought adaptation in maize: the backcross approach, perspectives, limitations, and alternatives. - J. exp. Bot. **58**: 351-360, 2007.

Richardson, K.L., Vales, M.I., Kling, J.G., Mundt, C.C., Hayes, P.M.: Pyramiding and dissecting disease resistance QTL to barley stripe rust. - Theor. appl. Genet. **113**: 485-495, 2006.

Rollins, J.A., Habte, E., Templer, S.E., Colby, T., Schmidt, J., Von Korff, M.: Leaf proteome alterations in the context of physiological and morphological responses to drought and heat stress in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). - J. exp. Bot. **64**: 3201-3212, 2013.

Sakhi, S., Shehzad, T., Rehman, S., Okuno, K.: Mapping the QTLs underlying drought stress at developmental stage of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) by association analysis. - Euphytica **193**: 433-450, 2013.

Salekdeh, G.H., Siopongco, J., Wade, L.J., Ghareyazie, B., Bennett, J.: A proteomic approach to analysing drought- and salt-responsiveness in rice. - Field Crops Res. **76**: 199-219, 2002.

Sanchez, D.H., Schwabe, F., Erban, A., Udvardi, M.K., Kopka, J.: Comparative metabolomics of drought acclimation in model and forage legumes. - Plant Cell Environ. **35**: 136-149, 2012.

Sasaki, K., Kazama, Y., Chae, Y., Sato, T.: Confirmation of novel quantitative trait loci for seed dormancy at different ripening stages in rice. - Rice Sci. **20**: 207-212, 2013.

Seiler, C., Harshavardhan, V.T., Rajesh, K., Reddy, P.S., Strickert, M., Rolletschek, H., Scholz, U., Wobus, U., Sreenivasulu, N.: ABA biosynthesis and degradation contributing to ABA homeostasis during barley seed development under control and terminal drought-stress conditions. - J. exp. Bot. **62**: 2615-2632, 2011.

Semagn, K., Beyene, Y., Babu, R., Nair, S., Gowda, M., Das, B., Tarekegne, A., Mugo, S., Mahuku, G., Worku, M., Warburton, M.L., Olsen, M., Prasanna, B.M.: QTL mapping and molecular breeding for developing stress resilient maize for sub-Saharan Africa. - Crop Sci. **5**: 1-11, 2015.

Semagn, K., Beyene, Y., Warburton, M., Tarekegne, A., Mugo, S., Meisel, B., Sehabiague, P. Prasanna, B.M.: Meta-analyses of QTL for grain yield and anthesis silking interval in 18 maize populations evaluated under water-stressed and well-watered environments. - BMC Genomics **14**: 313, 2013.

Serraj, R., Hash, C.T., Rizvi, S.M., Sharma, A., Yadav, R.S., Bidinger, F.R.: Recent advances in marker-assisted selection for drought tolerance in pearl millet. - Plant Prod. Sci. **8**: 334-337, 2005.

Shao, H., Chu, L., Shao, M., Jaleel, C.A., Hong-mei, M.: Higher plant antioxidants and redox signaling under environmental stresses. - C.R. Biol. **331**: 433-441, 2008.

Shen, L., Courtois, B., McNally, K.L., Robin, S., Li, Z.:

Evaluation of near-isogenic lines of rice introgressed with QTLs for root depth through marker-aided selection. - Theor. appl. Genet. **103**: 427-437, 2001.

Shiriga, K., Sharma, R., Kumar, K., Yadav, S.K., Hossain, F., Thirunavukkarasu, N.: Genome-wide identification and expression pattern of drought-responsive members of the NAC family in maize. - Meta Gene **2**: 407-417, 2014.

Shu, Y.J., Yu, D.S., Wang, D., Bai, X., Zhu, Y.M., Guo, C.H.: Genomic selection of seed weight based on low-density SCAR markers in soybean. - Genet. mol. Res. **12**: 2178-2188, 2013.

Silvente, S., Sobolev, A.P., Lara, M.: Metabolite adjustments in drought tolerant and sensitive soybean genotypes in response to water stress. - PLoS One **7**: e38554, 2012.

Siopongco, J.D.L.C., Yamauchi, A., Salekdeh, H., Bennett, J., Wade, L. J.: Growth and water use response of doubled haploid rice lines to drought and rewatering during the vegetative stage. - Plant Prod. Sci. **9**: 141-151, 2006.

Song, Z.-Z., Yang, S.-Y., Zuo, J., Su, Y.-H.: Over-expression of *ApKUP3* enhances potassium nutrition and drought tolerance in transgenic rice. - Biol. Plant. **58**: 649-658, 2014.

Srividhya, A., Vemireddy, L.R., Sridhar, S., Jayaprada, M., Ramanarao, P.V., Hariprasad, A.S., Reddy, H.K., Anuradha, G., Siddiq, E.: Molecular mapping of QTLs for yield and its components under two water supply conditions in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). - J. Crop Sci. Biotechnol. **14**: 45-56, 2011.

Steele, K.A., Price, A.H., Shashidhar, H.E., Witcombe, R.: Marker-assisted selection to introgress rice QTLs controlling root traits into an Indian upland rice variety. - Theor. appl. Genet. **112**: 208-215, 2006.

Swamy, B.P.M., Vikram, P., Dixit, S., Ahmed, H., Kumar, A.: Meta-analysis of grain yield QTL identified during agricultural drought in grasses showed consensus. - BMC Genomics **12**: 319, 2011.

Tai, F.J., Yuan, Z.L., Wu, X.L., Zhao, P.F., Hu, X.L., Wang, W.: Identification of membrane proteins in maize leaves, altered in expression under drought stress through polyethylene glycol treatment. - Plant Omics J. **4**: 250-256, 2011.

Tanksley, S.D.: Molecular markers in plant breeding. - Plant mol. Biol. Rep. **1**: 1-3, 1983.

Taramino, G., Tarchini, R., Ferrario, S., Lee, M., Pe', M.E.: Characterization and mapping of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in *Sorghum bicolour*. - Theor. Appl. Genet. **95**: 66-72, 1997.

Teng, S.H., Zeng, D.L., Qian, Q., Kunihifo, Y., Huang, D.N., Zhu, L.H.: QTL analysis of rice low temperature germinability. - Chin. Sci. Bull. **46**: 1081-1083, 2001.

Thudi, M., Gaur, P.M., Krishnamurthy, L., Mir, R.R., Kudapa, H., Fikre, A., Kimurto, P., Tripathi, S., Soren, K.R., Mulwa, R., Bharadwaj, C., Datta, S., Chaturvedi, S.K., Varshney, R.K.: Genomics-assisted breeding for drought tolerance in chickpea. - Funct. Plant Biol. **41**: 1178-1190, 2013.

Thudi, M., Upadhyaya, H.D., Rathore, A., Gaur, P.M., Krishnamurthy, L., Roorkiwal, M., Nayak, S.N., Chaturvedi, S.K., Basu, P.S., Gangarao, N.V., Fikre, A., Kimurto, P., Sharma, P.C., Sheshashayee, M.S., Tobita, S., Kashiwagi, J., Ito, O., Killian, A., Varshney, R.K.: Genetic dissection of drought and heat tolerance in chickpea through genome-wide and candidate gene-based association mapping approaches. - PLoS One **9**: e96758, 2014.

Toenniessen, G.H., O'Toole, J.C., De, V.J.: Advances in plant biotechnology and its adoption in developing countries. -

Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **6**: 191-198, 2003.

Townley-Smith, T.F., Hurd, E.A.: Testing and selecting for drought resistance in wheat. - In: Mussell, H., Staples, R.C. (ed.): Stress Physiology in Crop Plants. Pp. 447-464. John Wiley and Sons, New York 1979.

Tyagi, S., Raghvendra, Singh U., Kalra, T., Munja, K.: Applications of metabolomics – a systematic study of the unique chemical fingerprints: an overview. - Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res. **3**: 83-86, 2010.

Uga, Y., Okuno, K., Yano, M.: Dro1, a major QTL involved in deep rooting of rice under upland field conditions. - J. exp. Bot. **62**: 2485-2494, 2011.

Uga Y., Yamamoto, E., Kanno, N., Kawai, S., Mizubayashi, T., Fukuoka, S.: A major QTL controlling deep rooting on rice chromosome 4. - Sci. Rep. **3**: 3040, 2013.

Varshney, R.K., Gaur, P.M., Chamarthi, S.K., Krishnamurthy, L., Tripathi, S., Kashiwagi, J., Samineni, S., Singh, V.K., Thudi, M., Jaganathan, D.: Fast-track introgression of "QTL-hotspot" for root traits and other drought tolerance traits in JG 11, an elite and leading variety of chickpea. - Plant Genome **6**: 3, 2013a.

Varshney, R.K., Hiremath, P.J., Lekha, P., Kashiwagi, J., Balaji, J., Deokar, A.A., Vadez, V., Xiao, Y., Srinivasan, R., Gaur, P.M., Siddique, K.H.M., Town, C.D., Hoisington, D.A.: A comprehensive resource of drought- and salinity-responsive ESTs for gene discovery and marker development in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). - BMC Genomics **10**: 523, 2009.

Varshney, R.K., Murali Mohan, S., Gaur, P.M. Gangarao, N.V., Pandey, M.K., Bohra, A., Sawargaonkar, S.L., Chitikineni, A., Kimurto, P.K., Janila, P., Saxena, K.B., Fikre, A., Sharma, M., Rathore, A., Pratap, A., Tripathi, S., Datta, S., Chaturvedi, S.K., Mallikarjuna, N., Anuradha, G., Babbar, A., Choudhary, A.K., Mhase, M.B., Bharadwaj, Ch., Mannur, D.M., Harer, P.N., Guo, B., Liang, X., Nadarajan, N., Gowda, C.L.: Achievements and prospects of genomics-assisted breeding in three legume crops of the semi-arid tropics. - Biotechnol. Adv. **31**: 1120-1134, 2013b.

Varshney, R.K., Paulo, M.J., Grando, S., Van Eeuwijk, F.A., Keizer, L.C.P., Guo, P., Ceccarelli, S., Kilian, A., Baum, M., Graner, A.: Genome wide association analyses for drought tolerance related traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). - Field Crops Res. **126**: 171-180, 2012.

Varshney, R.K., Sigmund, R., Börner, A., Korzun, V., Stein, N., Sorrells, M.E., Langridge, P., Graner, A.: Interspecific transferability and comparative mapping of barley EST-SSR markers in wheat, rye and rice. - Plant Sci. **168**: 195-202, 2005.

Varshney, R.K., Thudi, M., Nayak, S.N., Gaur, P.M., Kashiwagi, J., Krishnamurthy, L., Jaganathan, D., Koppolu, J., Bohra, A., Tripathi, S., Rathore, A., Jukanti, A.K., Jayalakshmi, V., Vemula, A., Singh, S.J., Yasin, M., Sheshshayee, M.S., Viswanatha, K.P.: Genetic dissection of drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). - Theor. appl. Genet. **127**: 445-462, 2014.

Vikram, P., Mallikarjuna Swamy, B.P., Dixit, S., Helal, U.A., Cruz, M.T.S., Singh, A.K., Kumar, A.: qDTY1.1, a major QTL for rice grain yield under reproductive-stage drought stress with a consistent effect in multiple elite genetic backgrounds. - BMC Genetics **12**: 89, 2011.

Wang, P., Xing, Y., Li, Z., Yu, S.: Improving rice yield and quality by QTL pyramiding. - Mol. Breed. **29**: 903-913, 2012.

Wang, Y., Zhang, Q., Zheng, T., Cui, Y., Zhang, W., Xu, J., Li, Z.: Drought-tolerance QTLs commonly detected in two sets of reciprocal introgression lines in rice. - Crop Pasture Sci. **65**: 171-184, 2014.

Wang, Z.F., Wang, J.F., Bao, Y.M., Wu, Y.Y., Zhang, H.S.: Quantitative trait loci controlling rice seed germination under salt stress. - Euphytica **178**: 297-307, 2011.

Welcker, C., Boussuge, B., Bencivenni, C., Ribaut, J.M., Tardieu, F.: Are source and sink strengths genetically linked in maize plants subjected to water deficit? A QTL study of the responses of leaf growth and of anthesis-silking interval to water deficit. - J. exp. Bot. **58**: 339-349, 2007.

Wen, W., Li, D., Li, X., Gao, Y., Li, W., Li, H., Liu, J., Liu, H., Chen, W., Luo, J., Yan, J.: Metabolome-based genome-wide association study of maize kernel leads to novel biochemical insights. - Nat. Commun. **5**: 3438, 2014.

Wentzell, A.M., Rowe, H.C., Hansen, B.G., Ticconi, C., Halkier, B.A., Kliebenstein, D.J.: Linking metabolic QTLs with network and cis eQTLs controlling biosynthetic pathways. - PLoS Genet. **3**: e162, 2007.

Wójcik-Jagła, M., Rapacz, M., Tyrka, M., Kościelniak, J., Crissy, K., Żmuda, K.: Comparative QTL analysis of early short-time drought tolerance on Polish fodder and malting spring barleys. - Theor. appl. Genet. **126**: 3021-3034, 2013.

Wu, J., Wang, L., Li, L., Wang, S.: *De novo* assembly of the common bean transcriptome using short reads for the discovery of drought-responsive genes. - PLoS One **9**: e109262, 2014.

Xiong, J.H., Fu, B.Y., Xu, H.X., Li, Y.S.: Proteomic analysis of PEG-simulated drought stress responsive proteins of rice leaves using a pyramiding rice line at the seedling stage. - Bot. Stud. **51**: 137-145, 2010.

Xiong, L., Wang, R.G., Mao, G., Koczan, J.M.: Identification of drought tolerance determinants by genetic analysis of root response to drought stress and abscisic acid. - Plant Physiol. **142**: 1065-1074, 2006.

Xu, J., Yuan, Y., Xu, Y., Zhang, G., Guo, X., Wu, F., Wang, Q., Rong, T., Pan, G., Cao, M., Tang, Q., Gao, S., Liu, Y., Wang, J., Lan, H., Lu, Y.: Identification of candidate genes for drought tolerance by whole-genome resequencing in maize. - BMC Plant Biol. **14**: 83, 2014.

Xu. Y., Crouch, J.H.: Marker-Assisted Selection in Plant Breeding: From Publications to Practice. - Crop Sci. **48**: 391–407, 2008.

Yang, W., Wang, M., Yue, A., Wu, J., Li, S., Li, G., Du, W.: QTLs and epistasis for drought-tolerant physiological index in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) across different environments. - Caryologia **67**: 72-78, 2014.

Ye, J., Wang, S., Zhang, F., Xie, D., Yao, Y.: Proteomic analysis of leaves of different wheat genotypes subjected to PEG 6000 stress and rewatering. - Plant Omics J. **6**: 286-294, 2013.

Yonemaru, J., Ando, T., Mizubayashi, T., Kasuga, S., Matsumoto, T., Yano, M.: Development of genome-wide simple sequence repeat markers using whole-genome shotgun sequences of Ssrghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). - DNA Res. **16**: 187-193, 2009.

Young, N.D., Tanksley, S.D.: Restriction fragment length polymorphism maps and the concept of graphical genotypes. - Theor. appl. Genet. **77**: 95-101, 1989.

Yu, J.M., Buckler, E.S.: Genetic association mapping and genome organization of maize. - Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. **17**: 155-160, 2006.

Zargar, S.M., Nazir, M., Cho, K., Kim, D.W., Jones, O.A.H., Sarkar, A., Agrawal, S.B., Shibato, J., Kubo, A., Jwa, N.S., Agrawal, G.K., Rakwal, R.: Impact of climatic changes on crop agriculture: OMICS for sustainability and next

427

generation crops. - In: Noureddine, B. (ed): Sustainable Agriculture and New Bio-Technologies. Pp. 453-477. Taylor and Francis, CRC Press, London 2010.

Zhang, X., Pérez-Rodríguez, P., Semagn, K., Beyene, Y., Babu, R., López-Cruz, M.A., San Vicente, F., Olsen, M., Buckler, E., Jannink, J.L., Prasanna, B.M., Crossa, J.: Genomic prediction in biparental tropical maize populations in water-stressed and well-watered environments using low-density and GBS SNPs. - Heredity **114**: 291-299, 2015.

Zhao, Y., Gowda, M., Lium, W., Würschum, T., Maurer, H.P., Longin, F.H., Ranc, N., Reif, J.C. Accuracy of genomic selection in European maize elite breeding populations. - Theor. appl. Genet. **124**: 769-776, 2012.

Ziyomo, C., Bernardo, R.: Drought tolerance in maize: indirect selection through secondary traits versus genome wide selection. - Crop Sci. **53**: 1269-1275, 2012.