

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Papers in Natural Resources

Natural Resources, School of

---

2022

## Hurricanes Substantially Reduce the Nutrients in Tropical Forested Watersheds in Puerto Rico

Jiamei Sun

Xinyuan Wei

Yu Zhou

Catherine Chan

Jiaojiao Diao

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/natrespapers>




Part of the [Natural Resources and Conservation Commons](#), [Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons](#), and the [Other Environmental Sciences Commons](#)

---

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Natural Resources, School of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Papers in Natural Resources by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

## Article

# Hurricanes Substantially Reduce the Nutrients in Tropical Forested Watersheds in Puerto Rico

Jiamei Sun <sup>1,\*</sup> , Xinyuan Wei <sup>2,\*</sup> , Yu Zhou <sup>3</sup> , Catherine Chan <sup>4</sup> and Jiaojiao Diao <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Vegetation and Environmental Change, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, China

<sup>2</sup> Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

<sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Geography, Clark University, Worcester, MA 01610, USA; yuzhou2@clarku.edu

<sup>4</sup> School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA; cchan5@huskers.unl.edu

<sup>5</sup> College of Forestry, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China; diaojiaojiao@163.com

\* Correspondence: sunjiamei@ibcas.ac.cn (J.S.); xwei4@buffalo.edu (X.W.)

**Abstract:** Because nutrients including nitrogen and phosphorus are generally limited in tropical forest ecosystems in Puerto Rico, a quantitative understanding of the nutrient budget at a watershed scale is required to assess vegetation growth and predict forest carbon dynamics. Hurricanes are the most frequent disturbance in Puerto Rico and play an important role in regulating lateral nitrogen and phosphorus exports from the forested watershed. In this study, we selected seven watersheds in Puerto Rico to examine the immediate and lagged effects of hurricanes on nitrogen and phosphorus exports. Our results suggest that immediate surges of heavy precipitation associated with hurricanes accelerate nitrogen and phosphorus exports as much as  $297 \pm 113$  and  $306 \pm 70$  times than the long-term average, respectively. In addition, we estimated that it requires approximately one year for post-hurricane riverine nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations to recover to pre-hurricane levels. During the recovery period, the riverine nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations are  $30 \pm 6\%$  and  $28 \pm 5\%$  higher than the pre-hurricane concentrations on average.

**Keywords:** hurricane; nitrogen; phosphorus; surface flow; tropical forest; watershed



**Citation:** Sun, J.; Wei, X.; Zhou, Y.; Chan, C.; Diao, J. Hurricanes Substantially Reduce the Nutrients in Tropical Forested Watersheds in Puerto Rico. *Forests* **2022**, *13*, 71. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f13010071>

Academic Editors: Ettore D'Andrea, Giorgio Matteucci, Negar Rezaie and Achim Bräuning

Received: 22 October 2021

Accepted: 2 January 2022

Published: 4 January 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Field measurements indicate tropical forest ecosystems in Puerto Rico are generally characterized by lack of nutrients including nitrogen and phosphorus to net primary productivity [1–5]. In a forested watershed, soil nitrogen and phosphorus can be moved by the surface runoff from soils to inland waters, then transported by the streamflow, and finally exported from the watershed through the outlet [6,7]. Because exports of nitrogen and phosphorus from forested watersheds substantially strip nutrients from soils and affect input-output budgets, there has been increasing attention on its role in affecting vegetation growth, forest management, carbon storage in forests, and acidifying inland waters [8–10].

Tropical forests in Puerto Rico are undergoing constant hurricane disturbances, which are considered agents of ecosystem structuring through direct defoliation as well as drivers of terrestrial-aquatic nitrogen and phosphorus transport [11,12]. The surges of heavy precipitation associated with hurricanes immediately increase surface runoff, which can directly move the soil nitrogen and phosphorus to inland waters [13,14]. In addition, the wind storm associated with hurricanes introduces the defoliation and transfer of nitrogen and phosphorus from canopy leaves to the forest floor [15,16], and the litterfall pulses contain detached green leaves with more nitrogen and phosphorus than senescent leaves and twigs with lower contents than green leaves [17]. The post-hurricane decomposition of nitrogen- and phosphorus- rich litterfall results in high soil nitrogen and phosphorus contents, and thus can lead to greater load to inland waters [18,19]. Therefore, a better quantification of


the immediate and lagged hurricane effects on terrestrial–aquatic nitrogen and phosphorus fluxes is required to assess available soil nutrients, develop forest management strategies, and model the forest ecosystem [20,21].

Given the increasing frequency and severity of hurricanes in Puerto Rico [22] and their significant effects on soil nitrogen and phosphorous contents [23,24], a quantitative understanding of the immediate and lagged effects of hurricanes on riverine nitrogen and phosphorous exports from tropical forest ecosystems is required for assessing the nutrient budget. In this project, we analyzed the trajectories of pre-, during-, and post-hurricane nitrogen and phosphorus exports from seven tropical forested watersheds in Puerto Rico to assess (1) the immediate magnitudes of hurricanes on the lateral nitrogen and phosphorous loadings from soils to inland waters, and (2) the time period required for nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations to recover to pre-hurricane levels. We hypothesized that as a result of hurricane impacts, the nitrogen and phosphorus exports would increase immediately and post-hurricane riverine concentrations increasing temporarily, then return to pre-hurricane levels.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Area, Discharge, Nitrogen, and Phosphorous Data

In this study, seven watersheds in Puerto Rico with more than 70% tropical forest cover and less than 1% inland water cover were selected (Figure 1, Table 1). The geographic data including major surface flow as well as watershed boundary and outlet location of each selected watershed were provided by the USGS Watershed Boundary Dataset (WBD; <https://www.usgs.gov/core-science-systems/ngp/national-hydrography>, accessed on 2 January 2022). The seven watersheds used in this study ranged from 22 km<sup>2</sup> (Rio Grande) to 244 km<sup>2</sup> (Anasco).



**Figure 1.** The geographic data including major surface flow as well as watershed boundary and outlet location of the seven analyzed watersheds in Puerto Rico.

To estimate the pre-, during-, and post-hurricane daily riverine nitrogen (nitrogen, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, and organic nitrogen) and phosphorus concentrations at the watershed outlet, the long-term measurements provided by the US Geological Survey (USGS) National Water Information System (NWIS; <https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/>, accessed on 2 January 2022) were used. Four criteria were used to select these seven watersheds: (1) the riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations had more than 50 records in different days; (2) the nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations were measured more than ten times during the post-hurricane year; (3) the daily discharges were all recorded; (4) the watershed experienced at least one hurricane that can greatly affect the watershed. The criteria (1), (2), and (3) were required to build the model, which can estimate the daily riverine nitrogen

and phosphorous concentrations, and the criterion (4) was used to observe major hurricane effects on the nitrogen and phosphorous exports (see Section 2.3).


**Table 1.** The name, US Geological Survey (USGS) site number, outlet location, watershed size, forest area as well as the number of recorded nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations and their time periods (N = nitrogen, P = phosphorus) of each watershed.

Watershed	USGS Site Number	Outlet Location		Size (km <sup>2</sup> )	Forest Area (%)	Number of Records		Data Period	
		Latitude	Longitude			N	P	N	P
Anasco	50144000	18°17'03"	67°03'03"	244	89	70	116	1986–2004	1986–2016
Fajardo	50071000	18°17'56"	65°41'38"	39	70	93	126	1986–2004	1986–2012
Hormigueros	50136400	18°09'36"	67°05'09"	47	91	89	121	1986–2004	1986–2012
Patillas	50092000	18°02'03"	66°01'57"	47	77	91	145	1986–2004	1986–2018
Ponce	50114000	18°04'22"	66°34'53"	46	89	50	71	1996–2004	1996–2019
Rio Grande	50063800	18°21'37"	65°48'50"	22	92	93	156	1986–2004	1986–2019
Utuaado	50028000	18°18'02"	66°46'59"	48	85	89	150	1986–2004	1986–2019

## 2.2. Land Cover, Hurricane, and Leaf Area Index Data

To characterize the forest cover and inland water area of each watershed, we used the landcover data National Land Cover Database 2016 (NLCD2016) [25], which was provided by the Multi-Resolution Land Characteristics (MRLC) Consortium (<https://www.mrlc.gov/>, accessed on 2 January 2022) (Figure 1). NLCD2016 indicated that these seven watersheds were covered by mixed forest. The hurricane data was provided by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The major hurricanes that impacted these watersheds were included in this study (Figure 2). In this study, the immediate effect of a hurricane was defined as the effect of the hurricane on nitrogen and phosphorous exports during the hurricane period. The start date of a hurricane period was the day when the watershed started to experience the heavy precipitation (daily total precipitation > 100-fold long-term average) and the end date was the day when the heavy precipitation ended.

The pre- and post- hurricane Leaf Area Index (LAI) of each watershed was obtained from MOD15A2H Version 6 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) dataset (<https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/products/>, accessed on 2 January 2022). The LAI data has a spatial resolution of 500 m × 500 m for every 8 days from 2000 to present. We calculated the spatially averaged grid LAI of each watershed to represent its LAI.



**Figure 2.** Timeline of these hurricanes and affected watersheds (note that the date of a hurricane is the day when the watershed started to experience heavy precipitation).

## 2.3. Riverine Nitrogen and Phosphorus Exports Estimate

We could not base our long-term dynamics analysis of riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations directly on lab-based measurements recorded by USGS. This is

because of the limited records and sample bias of the available data [26]. Using the limited measurements, Hirsch et al. [26] proposed the Weighted Regressions on Times, Discharges, and Season model (WRTDS, Equation (1)), which has been widely used to estimate the long-term surface water-quality (e.g., riverine nitrogen, phosphorous, and dissolved organic carbon concentrations) [27–30].

$$\ln(C) = \theta + \alpha \ln(Q) + \delta \cos(2\pi t_d) + \gamma \sin(2\pi t_m) + \beta t_y + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$


where  $C$  is the riverine nitrogen or phosphorous concentration (mg/L) at daily scale,  $Q$  is the total daily discharge (L). A measurement is taken in date  $t$ ,  $t_d$  represents the order of the day (i.e., 1, 2, 3 ... 365 or 366),  $t_m$  represents the order of month (i.e., 1, 2, 3 ... 12),  $t_y$  represents the order of the year (i.e., 1, 2, 3 ...  $T$ ). Parameters  $\theta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  are fitted coefficients.  $\varepsilon$  is the unexplained variation.

However, the instant and lagged effects of hurricanes on riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations are not represented in the original WRTDS model. The relationship between recorded discharges and nitrogen or phosphorous concentrations suggested that the nitrogen or phosphorous concentrations initially increases with the increasing discharge, then starts to decrease and become stable (Figure A1). To model this pattern, we used thresholds to limit the estimated nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations. In addition, because the recorded post-hurricane riverine nitrogen or phosphorous concentrations are log-normal distributed (Figure 3), the lagged effects were modeled with a log-normal model (Equation (2)). Therefore, the riverine nitrogen or phosphorous concentrations under hurricane disturbance was modeled by the WRTDS-hurricane model (Equation (3)).

$$HF = \frac{e^{-\frac{(\tau(TSLH-\mu))^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{\sigma\pi}} \quad (2)$$

$$\ln(C_H) = \ln(C) + HF \quad (3)$$

where  $HF$  is the hurricane effects on nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations,  $TSLH$  is the time since the last hurricane,  $\tau$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\sigma$  are fitted coefficients. The total daily nitrogen or phosphorus export from a watershed was calculated as the product of daily total discharge and daily nitrogen or phosphorus concentration.




**Figure 3.** The patterns of post-hurricane riverine nitrogen (a) and phosphorous (b) concentrations based on the seven direct measurements.

We used a rotation estimation method to estimate these fitted coefficients. First, 30% of the total nitrogen or phosphorous measurements were randomly removed, and the rest 70% records were applied to calculate each fitted coefficient. Secondly, we repeated 100 times of the first process, and thus we estimated 100 times of each fitted coefficient. Third, the mean value of these 100 estimates was calculated as the value of this fitted coefficient. Then, the WRTDS-hurricane model of a watershed was parameterized and applied to estimate the time-series of daily riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations, respectively (Figure 4). The total daily nitrogen or phosphorus export was calculated as the product of daily concentration and total discharge.

The absolute difference index (AD, %, Equation (4)) [31] was used to assess the performance of the WRTDS-hurricane model, which can evaluate the difference between recorded riverine nitrogen or phosphorus concentration and estimated concentration for a given day.

$$AD = \frac{abs(Con_e - Con_r)}{C_r} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where  $AD$  is the absolute difference (%),  $Con_e$  is the estimated nitrogen or phosphorous concentration,  $Con_r$  is the recorded concentration for the same day. A higher  $AD$  indicates a lower similarity.




**Figure 4.** An example of the estimated and recorded riverine nitrogen (a) and phosphorus (b) concentrations of the Anasco watershed as well as the total nitrogen (c) and phosphorus (d) exports at a monthly scale (Con = concentration, N = nitrogen, P = phosphorus).

#### 2.4. Post-Hurricane Nutrient Concentrations Analysis

For a watershed, to examine the instant hurricane effects, we compared the total nitrogen or phosphorous export during the hurricane period with the long-term average export in the same period (Figure 5c,d). To determine the length required for the post-hurricane riverine nitrogen or phosphorous concentration to recover to the pre-hurricane level, we initially calculated the long-term average daily nitrogen or phosphorous concentration,

which was the average concentration calculated from the estimate in a given day of every year in the analyzed period. Then, we compared their post-hurricane time series with the long-term average daily estimates (Figure 5a,b). Finally, we used the stable status decision method moving average mean stable decision method [32,33] to measure the length of recovery period for nitrogen or phosphorous concentration. The length of recovery period was the period from the end day of the hurricane to when the moving average concentration of 30-day (~ one month): (1) was below the higher boundary and above the lower boundary of the 95% confidence interval of the long-term average level of daily concentration, and (2) was lower than the higher threshold and higher than the lower threshold in the subsequent 30 days (~ one month) (Figure 5a,b).



**Figure 5.** An example of the pre-, during, and post-hurricane (Otto) riverine nitrogen (a) and phosphorous (b) concentrations in Ponce watershed as well as total nitrogen (c) and phosphorus (d) exports. (TSLH is the time since the last hurricane).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Estimated Nitrogen and Phosphorous Exports

The average daily pre-hurricane riverine nitrogen concentrations recorded by the USGS of the seven watersheds was  $0.67 \pm 0.35$  mg/L, which was similar to the estimated average daily concentration ( $0.67 \pm 0.36$  mg/L) (Table 2). The recorded nitrogen concentration had a minimum of  $0.27 \pm 0.32$  mg/L in the Patillas and a maximum of  $1.11 \pm 0.31$  mg/L in the Utuado. The estimated nitrogen concentrations of the same two watersheds ranged from  $0.26 \pm 0.22$  mg/L to  $1.11 \pm 0.31$  mg/L. The mean absolute difference (AD, see Section 2.3) of nitrogen concentration across these seven watersheds was  $9 \pm 4\%$ .

**Table 2.** A summary of the recorded and estimated nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations as well as statistical indexes (N = nitrogen, P = phosphorus, AD = absolute difference (see Section 2.3)).

Watershed	N Concentration (mg N/L)			P Concentration ( $10^{-1}$ mg P/L)			N Export (kg N/km <sup>2</sup> /Day)		P Export (kg P/km <sup>2</sup> /Day)	
	Record	Estimate	AD	Record	Estimate	AD	Record	Estimate	Record	Estimate
Anasco	1.09 ± 0.46	1.01 ± 0.40	7%	0.62 ± 0.33	0.61 ± 0.22	2%	5.57	5.16	0.33	0.32
Fajardo	0.49 ± 0.28	0.41 ± 0.29	16%	0.23 ± 0.49	0.24 ± 0.40	4%	2.42	2.02	0.16	0.17
Hormigueros	0.98 ± 0.33	0.96 ± 0.25	2%	0.39 ± 0.05	0.36 ± 0.03	8%	3.44	3.37	0.13	0.12
Patillas	0.27 ± 0.32	0.26 ± 0.22	7%	0.27 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.06	19%	1.17	1.26	0.11	0.09
Ponce	0.45 ± 0.13	0.48 ± 0.16	7%	0.22 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.07	9%	0.99	1.05	0.05	0.05
Rio Grande	0.29 ± 0.17	0.28 ± 0.11	3%	0.21 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.07	14%	2.57	2.48	0.20	0.18
Utואdo	1.11 ± 0.31	1.08 ± 0.17	3%	0.34 ± 0.03	0.31 ± 0.08	9%	3.58	3.49	0.12	0.11
Mean	0.67 ± 0.35	0.67 ± 0.36	9 ± 4%	0.32 ± 0.13	0.32 ± 0.16	10 ± 4%	2.82	2.69	0.16	0.15

The recorded phosphorous concentrations ranged from  $0.021 \pm 0.002$  mg/L in the Rio Grande to  $0.062 \pm 0.033$  mg/L in Anasco. The estimated phosphorous concentrations of the same two watersheds ranged from  $0.019 \pm 0.007$  mg/L to  $0.061 \pm 0.022$  mg/L. The average daily riverine phosphorous concentration recorded by the USGS of the seven watersheds was  $0.032 \pm 0.013$  mg/L, which was similar to the estimate ( $0.032 \pm 0.016$  mg/L) (Table 2). The mean AD of phosphorous concentration across these seven watersheds was  $10 \pm 4\%$ . Therefore, comparisons of the riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentration estimates using the improved WRTDS-hurricane model had good agreement with the USGS records.


The recorded nitrogen export from the watershed was ranged from 0.99 kg N/km<sup>2</sup>/day (Ponce) to 5.57 kg N/km<sup>2</sup>/day (Anasco) with a mean of 2.82 kg N/km<sup>2</sup>/day, which was similar to the model estimated 2.69 kg N/km<sup>2</sup>/day. The recorded phosphorous export had a minimum of 0.05 kg P/km<sup>2</sup>/day from Ponce watershed and a maximum of 0.33 kg P/km<sup>2</sup>/day from Anasco watershed. The mean of recorded phosphorous export from these seven watersheds was 0.16 kg P/km<sup>2</sup>/day, which was similar to the estimated 0.15 kg P/km<sup>2</sup>/day.

The  $R^2$  between estimated nitrogen concentrations and records of these seven watersheds had a minimum value of 0.50 (Fajardo) and a maximum value of 0.66 (Ponce) with a mean of 0.56 (Figure 6). The  $R^2$  between estimated phosphorous concentrations and records of these seven watersheds ranged from 0.40 (Patillas) to 0.59 (Fajard) with a mean of 0.56 (Figure 6). Therefore, the estimated nitrogen or phosphorous concentrations were similar to recorded measurements.

### 3.2. Hurricane Effects on Nitrogen and Phosphorus Exports

Compared with the long-term average, the immediate increase of nitrogen concentration during each hurricane ranged from 121 to 564 times and had a mean of  $297 \pm 113$  in the seven watersheds (Table 3), while the immediate increase of phosphorous concentration had a minimum increase of 204 and maximum of 401 times with a mean of  $306 \pm 70$ . The summarized results suggest that daily riverine nitrogen concentrations required a period ranged from 301 to 509 days with a mean of  $340 \pm 79$  days to recover to the pre-hurricane or long-term average level, while the time required for phosphorus concentration ranged from 201 to 466 days with a mean of  $369 \pm 77$  days. The average daily nitrogen concentration increased  $30 \pm 6\%$  (ranged from 20 to 39) and  $28 \pm 5\%$  (ranged from 19 to 36) for phosphorus during the recovery period.






**Figure 6.** The  $R^2$  between recorded measurements and estimates of the seven watersheds.

**Table 3.** The immediate increase of total nitrogen and phosphorous exports during the hurricane (times), their recovery time period (days), and the average increase of their concentrations over the recovery period.

Watershed	Hurricane	Instant Increase (Times)		Recovery Period (Days)		Increase (%)	
		N	P	N	P	N	P
Anasco	Georges	293	321	387	466	36	31
Fajardo	Hugo	403	391	316	387	34	33
Hormigueros	Georges	233	397	309	403	21	21
Patillas	Georges	263	231	321	385	39	28
	Kyle	392	286	364	323	32	21
	Irene	308	259	209	201	28	36
	Maria	232	251	336	461	31	33
Ponce	Otto	326	388	256	262	21	30
	Maria	564	401	509	397	33	29
Rio Grande	Maria	281	301	307	444	36	27
Utuaado	Georges	152	204	301	317	31	19
	Maria	121	236	461	384	20	26
Mean		297 ± 113	306 ± 70	340 ± 79	369 ± 77	30 ± 6	28 ± 5

#### 4. Discussion


The results suggest that hurricanes can rapidly and significantly increase total riverine nitrogen and phosphorous exports as much as  $297 \pm 113$  and  $306 \pm 70$  times, respectively. This is because of the substantial surface runoff caused by the heavy precipitation, which directly moves a large amount of nitrogen and phosphorus from soils to inland waters, and finally delivers them out of the watershed [34]. In addition, there is a strong relationship between the increasing times of discharge (compared with the long-term average during the same period) and increasing times of nitrogen export (Figure 7a) as well as phosphorus export (Figure 7b). Chen, et al. [35] also suggested that the increase of total phosphorous export from a watershed is positively correlated with the discharge.



**Figure 7.** The relationships between increasing times of discharge (compared with the long-term average during the same period) and increasing times of nitrogen export (a) as well as phosphorus export (b).

Our results suggest that the riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations increase and persist over a period of  $340 \pm 79$  and  $369 \pm 77$  days, respectively. This is because

hurricanes can significantly cause soil nitrogen and phosphorous loss. First, defoliation substantially increases the input of leaves and branches to the forest floor (Figure 8), which can rapidly release nitrogen and phosphorus during decomposition [36,37]. Second, the high mortality rate caused by hurricane disturbance can temporarily reduce the uptake of nutrients by trees as vegetation regrows [38]. Therefore, there are more nitrogen and phosphorous in the soil. With the reduction of readily leachable nitrogen and phosphorous as well as the increasing uptake of nutrients by vegetation, the reduction of soil nitrogen and phosphorus will result in decreasing of riverine nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations to pre-hurricane levels. McDowell, et al. [39] conclude that the nitrate concentration in a stream is elevated for about 18 months after the hurricane. McDowell and Liptzin [38] report that a large pulse of nitrate concentration in stream water can last approximately 18 months after the hurricane. Our results are shorter than their estimated period, which can be attributed to the intensity of hurricanes and land cover types [38,40]. During the recovery period, compared with the long-term average, our results suggest that the total nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations are increased  $30 \pm 6\%$  and  $28 \pm 5\%$ , respectively. Schaefer et al. [24] concluded that the nitrate concentration in the post-hurricane year was 34% higher than pre-hurricane, which is similar to our results.



**Figure 8.** The pre-, during-, and post-hurricane leaf area index (LAI) of the four watersheds: Patillas (a), Ponce (b), Rio Grande (c), and Utuado (d) as well as the long-term average 8-day LAI and the decrease of post-hurricane LAI ( $\Delta$ ).

Another important input of nitrogen and phosphorus to tropical forest ecosystems is atmospheric deposition [41], which potentially increases the soil nitrogen and phosphorus [42]. However, the long-term records of nitrogen and phosphorus depositions provided by National Atmospheric Deposition Program [43] suggest there is no obvious increase of nitrogen and phosphorus depositions across the period of 1985–2019 in Puerto Rico. Thus, the changes of riverine nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations are not the result of depositions. In inland waters within a watershed, a portion of the nitrogen and phosphorous can be buried as sediment, but the remaining will be delivered out of the watershed [44,45]. Because the extent of water bodies in these seven watersheds are less than 1% (Figure 1), the biogeochemical processes related to nitrogen and phosphorous transportation in aquatic systems can be ignored in this study. In addition, McDowell and Liptzin [38] suggested that forest canopy and forest floor processes greatly affect the response of the stream nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations to hurricanes rather than in-stream processes. Forest ecosystems are different in the ability of nitrogen fixation and densities of nitrogen and phosphorous, therefore forest ecosystems are different in their contents of nitrogen and phosphorous, which can affect the nitrogen and phosphorous exports. In this study, the tree species data is not available, which limits further analysis of the tree species influence.

## 5. Conclusions

Overall, hurricanes immediately accelerate the lateral nitrogen and phosphorus exports and increase their concentrations over a post-hurricane period. To better manage the forest and characterize the dynamics of soil nutrients in a tropical forested watershed, the hurricane effects on the lateral exports of nutrients should be considered. In addition, we estimate that the riverine nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations require approximately a year to recover to pre-hurricane levels.

**Author Contributions:** J.S. and X.W. contributed equally to this paper. Conceptualization, J.S. and X.W.; methodology, J.S., X.W. and Y.Z.; formal analysis, J.S. and X.W.; data curation, J.S. and X.W.; writing—original draft preparation, J.S. and X.W.; writing—review and editing, J.S., X.W., Y.Z., C.C. and J.D.; supervision, J.S.; project administration, J.S.; funding acquisition, J.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 42007051).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.


**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The WRTDS-hurricane model can be accessed in GitHub (<https://github.com/xinyuanwylb19/WRTDS-Hurricane.git>, accessed on 25 July 2021).

**Acknowledgments:** We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China for helping with publication costs.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Appendix A



**Figure A1.** The relationship between recorded discharges and nitrogen (a) or phosphorus (b) concentration in the watershed Anasco.

## References

1. Templer, P.H.; Silver, W.L.; Pett-Ridge, J.; DeAngelis, K.M.; Firestone, M.K. Plant and microbial controls on nitrogen retention and loss in a humid tropical forest. *Ecology* **2008**, *89*, 3030–3040. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Cusack, D.F.; Silver, W.; McDowell, W.H. Biological nitrogen fixation in two tropical forests: Ecosystem-level patterns and effects of nitrogen fertilization. *Ecosystems* **2009**, *12*, 1299–1315. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Cusack, D.F.; Silver, W.L.; Torn, M.S.; McDowell, W.H. Effects of nitrogen additions on above-and belowground carbon dynamics in two tropical forests. *Biogeochemistry* **2011**, *104*, 203–225. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Wardle, D.A.; Walker, L.R.; Bardgett, R.D. Ecosystem properties and forest decline in contrasting long-term chronosequences. *Science* **2004**, *305*, 509–513. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Pett-Ridge, J.C. Contributions of dust to phosphorus cycling in tropical forests of the Luquillo Mountains, Puerto Rico. *Biogeochemistry* **2009**, *94*, 63–80. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Kroeze, C.; Bouwman, L.; Seitzinger, S. Modeling global nutrient export from watersheds. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain.* **2012**, *4*, 195–202. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Seitzinger, S.P.; Harrison, J.A.; Dumont, E.; Beusen, A.H.; Bouwman, A. Sources and delivery of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus to the coastal zone: An overview of Global Nutrient Export from Watersheds (NEWS) models and their application. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2005**, *19*, GB4S01. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Nakayama, T. Development of an advanced eco-hydrologic and biogeochemical coupling model aimed at clarifying the missing role of inland water in the global biogeochemical cycle. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **2017**, *122*, 966–988. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Sigua, G.C.; Tweedale, W.A. Watershed scale assessment of nitrogen and phosphorus loadings in the Indian River Lagoon basin, Florida. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2003**, *67*, 363–372. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Lovett, G.M.; Weathers, K.C.; Arthur, M.A. Control of nitrogen loss from forested watersheds by soil carbon: Nitrogen ratio and tree species composition. *Ecosystems* **2002**, *5*, 0712–0718. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Heartsill Scalley, T.; Scatena, F.N.; Lugo, A.E.; Moya, S.; Estrada Ruiz, C.R. Changes in structure, composition, and nutrients during 15 yr of hurricane-induced succession in a subtropical wet forest in Puerto Rico. *Biotropica* **2010**, *42*, 455–463. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Scholl, M.A.; Bassiouni, M.; Torres-Sánchez, A.J. Drought stress and hurricane defoliation influence mountain clouds and moisture recycling in a tropical forest. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2021646118. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Santos, R.; Fernandes, L.S.; Pereira, M.; Cortes, R.; Pacheco, F. A framework model for investigating the export of phosphorus to surface waters in forested watersheds: Implications to management. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *536*, 295–305. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Chen, S.; Lu, Y.; Dash, P.; Das, P.; Li, J.; Capps, K.; Majidzadeh, H.; Elliott, M. Hurricane pulses: Small watershed exports of dissolved nutrients and organic matter during large storms in the Southeastern USA. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *689*, 232–244. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Silver, W.L.; Scatena, F.N.; Johnson, A.H.; Siccama, T.G.; Watt, F. At what temporal scales does disturbance affect belowground nutrient pools? *Biotropica* **1996**, *118*, 441–457. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Jaramillo, V.J.; Martínez-Yrizar, A.; Maass, M.; Nava-Mendoza, M.; Castañeda-Gómez, L.; Ahedo-Hernández, R.; Araiza, S.; Verduzco, A. Hurricane impact on biogeochemical processes in a tropical dry forest in western Mexico. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2018**, *426*, 72–80. [[CrossRef](#)]

17. Powers, J.S.; Montgomery, R.A.; Adair, E.C.; Brearley, F.Q.; DeWalt, S.J.; Castanho, C.T.; Chave, J.; Deinert, E.; Ganzhorn, J.U.; Gilbert, M.E. Decomposition in tropical forests: A pan-tropical study of the effects of litter type, litter placement and mesofaunal exclusion across a precipitation gradient. *J. Ecol.* **2009**, *97*, 801–811. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Fellman, J.B.; Hood, E.; D'Amore, D.V.; Edwards, R.T. Streamflow variability controls N and P export and speciation from Alaskan coastal temperate rainforest watersheds. *Biogeochemistry* **2021**, *152*, 253–270. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. García-Palacios, P.; Shaw, E.A.; Wall, D.H.; Hättenschwiler, S. Temporal dynamics of biotic and abiotic drivers of litter decomposition. *Ecol. Lett.* **2016**, *19*, 554–563. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. de Wit, H.A.; Lepistö, A.; Marttila, H.; Wenng, H.; Bechmann, M.; Blicher-Mathiesen, G.; Eklöf, K.; Futter, M.; Kortelainen, P.; Kronvang, B. Land-use dominates climate controls on nitrogen and phosphorus export from managed and natural Nordic headwater catchments. *Hydrol. Process.* **2020**, *34*, 4831–4850. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Bonan, G.B. Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. *Science* **2008**, *320*, 1444–1449. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Knutson, T.; Camargo, S.J.; Chan, J.C.; Emanuel, K.; Ho, C.-H.; Kossin, J.; Mohapatra, M.; Satoh, M.; Sugi, M.; Walsh, K. Tropical cyclones and climate change assessment: Part II: Projected response to anthropogenic warming. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* **2020**, *101*, E303–E322. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Paerl, H.W.; Crosswell, J.R.; Van Dam, B.; Hall, N.S.; Rossignol, K.L.; Osburn, C.L.; Hounshell, A.G.; Sloup, R.S.; Harding, L.W. Two decades of tropical cyclone impacts on North Carolina's estuarine carbon, nutrient and phytoplankton dynamics: Implications for biogeochemical cycling and water quality in a stormier world. *Biogeochemistry* **2018**, *141*, 307–332. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Schaefer, D.A.; McDowell, W.H.; Scatena, F.N.; Asbury, C.E. Effects of hurricane disturbance on stream water concentrations and fluxes in eight tropical forest watersheds of the Luquillo Experimental Forest, Puerto Rico. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **2000**, *16*, 189–207. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Yang, L.; Jin, S.; Danielson, P.; Homer, C.; Gass, L.; Bender, S.M.; Case, A.; Costello, C.; Dewitz, J.; Fry, J. A new generation of the United States National Land Cover Database: Requirements, research priorities, design, and implementation strategies. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* **2018**, *146*, 108–123. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Hirsch, R.M.; Moyer, D.L.; Archfield, S.A. Weighted regressions on time, discharge, and season (WRTDS), with an application to Chesapeake Bay river inputs 1. *J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.* **2010**, *46*, 857–880. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Wei, X.; Hayes, D.J.; Fernandez, I.; Zhao, J.; Fraver, S.; Chan, C.; Diao, J. Identifying key environmental factors explaining temporal patterns of DOC export from watersheds in the conterminous United States. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **2021**, *126*, e2020JG005813. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Stets, E.G.; Sprague, L.A.; Oelsner, G.P.; Johnson, H.M.; Murphy, J.C.; Ryberg, K.; Vecchia, A.V.; Zuellig, R.E.; Falcone, J.A.; Riskin, M.L. Landscape drivers of dynamic change in water quality of US rivers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54*, 4336–4343. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Newcomer, M.E.; Bouskill, N.J.; Wainwright, H.; Maavara, T.; Arora, B.; Siirila-Woodburn, E.R.; Dwivedi, D.; Williams, K.H.; Steefel, C.; Hubbard, S.S. Hysteresis patterns of watershed nitrogen retention and loss over the past 50 years in United States hydrological basins. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2021**, *35*, e2020GB006777. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Wei, X.; Hayes, D.J.; Fernandez, I.; Fraver, S.; Zhao, J.; Weiskittel, A. Climate and atmospheric deposition drive the inter-annual variability and long-term trend of dissolved organic carbon flux in the conterminous United States. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *771*, 145448. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Wei, X.; Larsen, C.P. Methods to Detect Edge Effected Reductions in Fire Frequency in Simulated Forest Landscapes. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* **2019**, *8*, 277. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Wei, X.; Hayes, D.J.; Fernandez, I. Fire reduces riverine DOC concentration draining a watershed and alters post-fire DOC recovery patterns. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2021**, *16*, 024022. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Wei, X.; Larsen, C. Assessing the Minimum Number of Time Since Last Fire Sample-Points Required to Estimate the Fire Cycle: Influences of Fire Rotation Length and Study Area Scale. *Forests* **2018**, *9*, 708. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Lugo, A.E. Visible and invisible effects of hurricanes on forest ecosystems: An international review. *Austral Ecol.* **2008**, *33*, 368–398. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Chen, N.; Wu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Hong, H. Phosphorus export during storm events from a human perturbed watershed, southeast China: Implications for coastal ecology. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2015**, *166*, 178–188. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Montano, N.M.; Sandoval-Pérez, A.L.; García-Oliva, F.; Larsen, J.; Gavito, M.E. Microbial activity in contrasting conditions of soil C and N availability in a tropical dry forest. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **2009**, *25*, 401–413. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Lodge, D.J.; Scatena, F.N.; Asbury, C.; Sanchez, M. Fine litterfall and related nutrient inputs resulting from Hurricane Hugo in subtropical wet and lower montane rain forests of Puerto Rico. *Biotropica* **1991**, *23*, 336–342. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. McDowell, W.H.; Liptzin, D. Linking soils and streams: Response of soil solution chemistry to simulated hurricane disturbance mirrors stream chemistry following a severe hurricane. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2014**, *332*, 56–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. McDowell, W.H.; Brereton, R.L.; Scatena, F.N.; Shanley, J.B.; Brokaw, N.V.; Lugo, A.E. Interactions between lithology and biology drive the long-term response of stream chemistry to major hurricanes in a tropical landscape. *Biogeochemistry* **2013**, *116*, 175–186. [[CrossRef](#)]

40. Dijkstra, F.A.; Carrillo, Y.; Aspinwall, M.J.; Maier, C.; Canarini, A.; Tahaei, H.; Choat, B.; Tissue, D.T. Water, nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiencies of four tree species in response to variable water and nutrient supply. *Plant Soil* **2016**, *406*, 187–199. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Wang, R.; Goll, D.; Balkanski, Y.; Hauglustaine, D.; Boucher, O.; Ciais, P.; Janssens, I.; Penuelas, J.; Guenet, B.; Sardans, J. Global forest carbon uptake due to nitrogen and phosphorus deposition from 1850 to 2100. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2017**, *23*, 4854–4872. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Soderberg, K.; Compton, J.S. Dust as a nutrient source for fynbos ecosystems, South Africa. *Ecosystems* **2007**, *10*, 550–561. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. NADP Program Office. *Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene*; 465 Henry Mall; NADP Program Office: Madison, WI, USA, 2019.
44. Dalu, T.; Wasserman, R.J.; Magoro, M.L.; Froneman, P.W.; Weyl, O.L. River nutrient water and sediment measurements inform on nutrient retention, with implications for eutrophication. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *684*, 296–302. [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Eelsey-Quirk, T.; Graham, S.A.; Mendelsohn, I.A.; Snedden, G.; Day, J.W.; Twilley, R.; Shaffer, G.; Sharp, L.; Pahl, J.; Lane, R. Mississippi river sediment diversions and coastal wetland sustainability: Synthesis of responses to freshwater, sediment, and nutrient inputs. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2019**, *221*, 170–183. [[CrossRef](#)]