## Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Oren, Aharon, Göker, Markus and Sutcliffe, Iain (2022) New Phylum Names Harmonize Prokaryotic Nomenclature. mBio. e01479-22. ISSN 2150-7511 (In Press)

Published by: American Society for Microbiology

URL: https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01479-22 < https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01479-22 >

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: https://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/50235/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <a href="http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html">http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html</a>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)









## **New Phylum Names Harmonize Prokaryotic Nomenclature**

O Aharon Oren, Markus Göker, Alain C. Sutcliffe, on behalf of the Executive Board of the International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes

<sup>a</sup>The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, The Institute of Life Sciences, Edmond J. Safra Campus – Givat Ram, Jerusalem, Israel <sup>b</sup>Leibniz Institute DSMZ – German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany <sup>c</sup>Northumbria University, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom

**KEYWORDS** nomenclature, *Bacteria*, *Archaea*, International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes

we are pleased that Panda et al. (1) applaud the work of the International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes (ICSP) in bringing consistency to phylum names by voting in 2021 to include the rank of phylum in the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes (ICNP) (2). We here respond to the alleged pitfalls of the proposed nomenclatural system for naming phyla under the rules of the ICNP (3).

Most validly published phylum names (4) differ from the earlier ones that lack standing in nomenclature. This does not contravene Principle 1 of the ICNP, which only applies to validly published names (Principle 7). Panda et al. (1) describe ICSP's argument that name changes may only be problematic in the short term as "an entirely flippant viewpoint (p. 2)." We refute this interpretation: our response (5) to the paper by Lloyd and Tahon (6) was a serious and pragmatic assessment of the situation given the comparatively short time most colloquial names have been in use versus the "long future" that awaits the correctly formed phylum names.

Panda et al. (1) contend that the ICSP position that ("the community still decides which [names] to adopt") is an "ambiguity ... [that] ... will add more confusion, as there is no uniform way to proceed (p. 2)." We instead suggest that the recent changes to the Rules of the ICNP now (for the first time) provide a "uniform way" to name phyla (2, 7) and hope that the wider community recognizes this by choosing to use the validly published (correct) names.

Panda et al. (1) wondered why phyla were named *Pseudomonadota* and *Aquificota*, and not *Pseudomonasota* and *Aquifexota*, and claimed that suffixes have been haphazardly appended to genus names to name phyla. The proposal of these names (4) was not an action of the ICSP, but the relevant rules of the ICNP (2) were followed correctly: to name higher taxa, the appropriate suffix is added to the stem of the name of the type genus (Rule 9). The stem of Greek or Latin nouns or adjectives is generally found in the genitive case (8, 9). Concerning the question whether "the foremost determined type genus" is "a reliable representative for its respective taxon" (p. 4): Rule 15 states that a nomenclatural type is not necessarily the most typical or representative element of the taxon. The type genus selected does not have to be the first described genus contained in the phylum, but authors are encouraged to respect priority by considering this (Rule 22). Panda et al. (1) suggested renaming some phyla and proposed names such as *Proteobacteriota* and *Firmicuteota*. However, such names contravene Rule 8 as they are not based on the name of a designated type genus.

The answer to the question by Panda et al. whether classes such as *Alphaproteobacteria* will be renamed *Alphapseudomonadota* is negative. Admittedly, there are inconsistencies in the naming of classes. However, the ICSP is already addressing retroactivity of Rule 8 to resolve the issue in its ongoing revision of the ICNP (10), and further proposals on how to

Editor Igor B. Zhulin, The Ohio State University
Copyright © 2022 Oren et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0

Address correspondence to Aharon Oren, aharon.oren@mail.huji.ac.il.

The authors declare no conflict of interest. For the author reply, see https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02323-22.

Letter to the Editor mBio

deal with the current inconsistencies will be submitted by its editorial board for consideration by the ICSP after finalization of the 2022 revision of the ICNP.

Finally, Panda et al. (1) complained about lack of appropriate quality control for newly proposed names. Experts evaluate every new name of prokaryotic taxa submitted to the International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology (IJSEM). Publication of names that contravene the Rules of the ICNP seldom occurs. Validation of the name *Myxococcus llanfairpwllgwyngyllgogerychwyrndrobwllllantysiliogogogochensis* could not be denied, as Recommendation 6 (1) ("Avoid names or epithets that are very long or difficult to pronounce") is not a Rule.

The ICSP encourages researchers to inform themselves in time about the rules of nomenclature (3) and about proposals to modify them (7, 11), to participate in ICSP debates (10), and to propose changes to the ICNP following the process defined in the ICSP statutes (12). For example, if Panda et al. believe archaeal phyla should have the suffix –archaeota, then a formal proposal to this effect could be made.

## **REFERENCES**

- Panda A, Islam ST, Sharma G. 2022. Harmonizing prokaryotic nomenclature: fixing the fuss over phylum name flipping. mBio 13:e00970-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00970-22.
- Oren A, Arahal DR, Rosselló-Móra R, Sutcliffe IC, Moore ERB. 2021. Emendation of Rules 5b, 8, 15 and 22 of the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes to include the rank of phylum. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 71:e004851. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004851.
- Parker CT, Tindall BJ, Garrity GM. 2019. International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes: prokaryotic code (2008 revision). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 69:S1–S111. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000778.
- Oren A, Garrity GM. 2021. Valid publication of the names of forty-two phyla of prokaryotes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 71:e005056. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/ijsem.0.005056.
- Sutcliffe IC, Arahal DR, Göker M, Oren A. 2022. ICSP response to "Science depends on nomenclature, but nomenclature is not science." Nat Rev Microbiol 20:249–250. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00706-z.
- Lloyd KG, Tahon G. 2022. Science depends on nomenclature, but nomenclature is not science. Nat Rev Microbiol 20:123–124. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00684-2.
- Whitman WB, Oren A, Chuvochina M, da Costa MS, Garrity GM, Rainey FA, Rossello-Mora R, Schink B, Sutcliffe I, Trujillo ME, Ventura S. 2018. Proposal of

- the suffix -ota to denote phyla: addendum to "Proposal to Include the Rank of Phylum in the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes." Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 68:967–969. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002593.
- 8. MacAdoo TO. 1993. Nomenclatural literacy, p 339–358. *In* Goodfellow M, O'Donnell AG (ed), Handbook of new bacterial systematics. Academic Press, London, England.
- Trüper HG. 1999. How to name a prokaryote? Etymological considerations, proposals and practical advice in prokaryote nomenclature. FEMS Microbiol Rev 23:231–249. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1999.tb00397.x.
- Oren A, Arahal DR, Rosselló-Móra R, Sutcliffe IC, Moore ERB. 2021. Public discussion on a proposed revision of the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 71:e004918. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/ijsem.0.004918.
- Oren A, da Costa MS, Garrity GM, Rainey FA, Rosselló-Móra R, Schink B, Sutcliffe I, Trujillo ME, Whitman WB. 2015. Proposal to include the rank of phylum in the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:4284–4287. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.000664.
- Whitman WB, Bull CT, Busse HJ, Fournier PE, Oren A, Ventura S. 2019. Request for revision of the Statutes of the International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 69:584–593. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003117.