

Diversity of deep-sea echinoderms from Costa Rica

Juan J. Alvarado^{1*}, José L. Chacón-Monge², Juan C. Azofeifa-Solano², Jorge Cortés²

¹Escuela de Biología, Center for Research in Marine Sciences and Limnology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica, ²Center for Research in Marine Sciences and Limnology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Submitted to Journal:
Frontiers in Marine Science

Specialty Section:
Deep-Sea Environments and Ecology

Article type:
Original Research Article

Manuscript ID:
918878

Received on:
12 Apr 2022

Revised on:
12 Jun 2022

Journal website link:
www.frontiersin.org

In review

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

The authors contribute in equal proportions to the elaboration of the work, both in the search for information, analysis, elaboration of figures, and writing.

Keywords

Central America, Eastern Tropical Pacific, Caribbean, last frontier, New records, Ophiuroidea, Holothuroidea

Abstract

Word count: 238

Echinoderms are a highly diverse group and one of the most conspicuous in the deep sea, playing ecological key roles. We present a review about the history of expeditions and studies on deep-sea echinoderms in Costa Rica, including an updated list of species. We used literature and information gathered from the databases of the California Academy of Sciences, the Benthic Invertebrate Collection of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, the National Museum of Natural History, the Museum of Comparative Zoology and the Museo de Zoología from the Universidad de Costa Rica. A total of 124 taxa (76 confirmed species) have been collected from the Costa Rican deep sea, 112 found in the Pacific Ocean, 13 in the Caribbean Sea, and one species shared between the two basins. We report 22 new records for the Eastern Tropical Pacific, 46 for Central American waters, and 58 for Costa Rica. The most specious group was Ophiuroidea with 37 taxa, followed by Holothuroidea (34 taxa), Asteroidea (23 taxa), Echinoidea (17 taxa), and Crinoidea (11 taxa). The highest number of species (64) was found between 800 m and 1200 m depth. Only two species were found deeper than 3200 m. Further efforts on identification will be required for a better comprehension of the diversity of deep-sea echinoderms. Limited research has been done regarding the biology and ecology of deep-sea echinoderms in Costa Rica, so additional approaches will be necessary to understand their ecological functions.

Contribution to the field

Dear editors, For us it is a pleasure to submit a second version of our work. In this version we include the comments of the two reviewers. The observations they made us greatly enriched the work. We hope that this new version is suitable for Frontiers and has your approval. Best regards

Funding statement

The work did not have any source of financing.

Ethics statements

Studies involving animal subjects

Generated Statement: No animal studies are presented in this manuscript.

Studies involving human subjects

Generated Statement: No human studies are presented in this manuscript.

Inclusion of identifiable human data

Generated Statement: No potentially identifiable human images or data is presented in this study.

Data availability statement

Generated Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Diversity of deep-sea echinoderms from Costa Rica

Juan José Alvarado^{1,2,3}, José Leonardo Chacón-Monge^{1,2}, Juan Carlos Azofeifa-Solano^{1,3} & Jorge Cortés^{1,2,3}

1. Centro de Investigación en Ciencias del Mar y Limología (CIMAR), Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501-2060 San Pedro de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica; juan.alvarado@ucr.ac.cr; jose.chaconmonge@ucr.ac.cr; eazofeifa2@gmail.com; jorge.cortes@ucr.ac.cr
2. Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501-2060 San Pedro de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica.
3. Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ecología Tropical (CIBET), Universidad de Costa Rica, 11501-2060 San Pedro de Montes de Oca, San José, Costa Rica.

Orcid: JJA = 0000-0002-2620-9115, JLCM = 0000-0002-9754-1254, JCAS = 0000-0002-2105-1056, JC = 0000-0001-7004-8649

Abstract: Echinoderms are a highly diverse group and one of the most conspicuous in the deep sea, playing ecological key roles. We present a review about the history of expeditions and studies on deep-sea echinoderms in Costa Rica, including an updated list of species. We used literature and information gathered from the databases of the California Academy of Sciences, the Benthic Invertebrate Collection of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, the National Museum of Natural History, the Museum of Comparative Zoology and the Museo de Zoología from the Universidad de Costa Rica. A total of 124 taxa (75 confirmed species) have been collected from the Costa Rican deep sea, 112 found in the Pacific Ocean, 13 in the Caribbean Sea, and one species shared between the two basins. We report 22 new records for the Eastern Tropical Pacific, 46 for Central American waters, and 58 for Costa Rica. The most specious group was Ophiuroidea with 37 taxa, followed by Holothuroidea (34 taxa), Asteroidea (23 taxa), Echinoidea (17 taxa), and Crinoidea (11 taxa). The highest number of species (64) was found between 800 m and 1200 m depth. Only two species were found deeper than 3200 m. Further efforts on identification will be required for a better comprehension of the diversity of deep-sea echinoderms. Limited research has been done regarding the biology and ecology of deep-sea echinoderms in Costa Rica, so additional approaches will be necessary to understand their ecological functions.

36 **Key words:** Central America, Eastern Tropical Pacific, Caribbean, last frontier, New
37 Records, Ophiuroidea, Holothuroidea.

38

39 **Introduction**

40

41 Costa Rica is located in the Central American Isthmus facing the Caribbean Sea and
42 the Pacific Ocean, it has an oceanic island 500 km offshore (Isla del Coco), and a marine
43 extension covering 572,877 km², that is more than 11-fold its land area, making it the largest
44 country on Central America (Cortés, 2008; Cortés 2016a, b, c). Recent studies estimated that
45 over 60% of the total area of the country is below 2,000 m depth, and over one-third below
46 3,000 m (Cortés and Benavides-Varela, in prep.). Eastern Tropical Pacific (ETP) deep waters
47 (below 200 m) constitute a particularly important ecosystem in Costa Rica, since they
48 represent about 90% of the whole territory and the last frontier in the international scientific
49 agendas (Cortés, 2019; Rojas-Jiménez et al., 2020; Azofeifa-Solano and Cortés, 2021).

50 Few explorations have been done on the Costa Rican Caribbean (Alvarado et al.,
51 2013; Cortés, 2016c; Cambronero-Solano et al., 2019). The continental shelf is relatively
52 narrow, with a total area of 2,310 km², and an Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of 28,064
53 km² (Cortés, 2016c; Cortés and Benavides-Varela, in prep.). In the nearshore area, there are
54 mangrove forests, seagrass beds, coral reefs, rocky shores, and sandy beaches, further
55 offshore the bottom is overall sandy, but muddy near river mouths, also some shallow
56 calcareous mounds have been observed (Cortés, 2016c).

57 The Costa Rican Pacific area is much larger than the Caribbean, covering 544,813
58 km², hosting a greater variety of deep-sea environments (Cortés, 2016a, b). There are
59 mesophotic ecosystems (Cortés, 2019), methane seeps (Sahling et al., 2008; Levin et al.,
60 2012, 2015), seamounts (Auscavitch, 2020), deep areas of fluid discharge (Wheat et al.,
61 2019), extensive abyssal plains (Agassiz, 1898; Townsend, 1901) and a large deep pelagic
62 region. The extensive Coco Submarine Volcanic Range (CSV, also called Cocos Ridge)
63 has been explored too (Neuhaus, 2004; Alvarado-Induni, 2021).

64 For Costa Rica, there have been registered ~306 echinoderm species (Alvarado et al.,
65 2017; Cambronero-Solano et al., 2019; Chacón-Monge et al., 2021), of the 420 reported
66 species for Central America (Alvarado and Fabregat-Malé, 2021). Most of them inhabit

67 shallow water or intertidal environments, mainly related to coral and rocky reefs, sand, and
68 mudflats (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). Most collecting efforts have been carried out on Coco,
69 Caño, and Murciélago islands in the Pacific Ocean, while on the Caribbean it has been
70 between Punta Cahuita and Punta Mona (Alvarado et al., 2017; Chacón-Monge et al., 2021).
71 However, the real status of the deep-sea echinoderm's fauna has not been evaluated. Deep-
72 water biodiversity assessments have always been a challenge due to the complexity and cost
73 in logistic operations (Costello and Chaudhary, 2017). In this paper, we undertook the task
74 of compiling the available information in the literature and museum collections about deep-
75 sea echinoderms collected in Costa Rica. In this way, we are aiming to have a better
76 understanding of the deep-sea fauna contribution to the total echinoderm diversity in Costa
77 Rican waters. Likewise, to be able to identify areas or groups that require a greater sampling
78 effort for future collections and research.

79

80 **Material and Methods**

81

82 To compile the list of deep-sea echinoderms collected in Costa Rica, a literature
83 search was carried out to corroborate records, ecological investigations, and further aspects
84 of deep-sea Costa Rican echinoderms. In addition, the following databases of biological
85 collections were visited: 1) California Academy of Sciences (CAS:
86 <http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/izg/>); 2) Benthic Invertebrate Collection,
87 Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO: [https://scripps.ucsd.edu/benthic-invertebrate-](https://scripps.ucsd.edu/benthic-invertebrate-collection)
88 [collection](https://scripps.ucsd.edu/benthic-invertebrate-collection)); 3) National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (NMNH:
89 <http://collections.nmnh.si.edu/>); 4) Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University
90 (MCZ: <https://mcz.harvard.edu/database>; and 5) Museo de Zoología, Universidad de Costa
91 Rica (MZUCR). ~~We use the term morphospecies to indicate a group of biological organisms
92 whose members differ from all other groups in some aspect of their form and structure but
93 are so similar among themselves that they are lumped together for the purposes of analysis
94 (www.oxfordreference.com).~~

95 A list of deep-water echinoderms collected in Costa Rican waters was elaborated
96 (Table 1), [following the phylogenetic order for the five classes of Echinodermata according](#)
97 [to the World Register of Marine Species \(WoRMS, WoRMS Editorial Board 2022\)](#),

98 including the taxonomy, location, depth, status as new record for the country or Central
99 America, the reported depth range (if available), and the references. A map of the Costa Rican
100 Exclusive Economic Zones was constructed using ArcGIS Desktop 10.8, plotting the
101 location, species richness, and abundance of specimens (Fig. 1). We followed the definition
102 for the deep sea as oceanic waters and seabed below 200 m depth (UNESCO, 2009).

103 The biogeographic affinity of the echinoderm species was estimated based on the
104 Ocean Biodiversity Information System (www.obis.org) and ~~WoRMS~~the World Register of
105 ~~Marine Species~~ (WoRMS Editorial Board 2022www.marinespecies.org). We used the
106 classification of marine biogeographic realms proposed by Costello et al. (2017). We found
107 biogeographic affinities for only 120 species. Based on this affinity, a presence/absence
108 matrix was developed, and a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix was made. A Non-metric Multi-
109 Dimensional Scaling was elaborated, and we overlay vectors using a Pearson correlation
110 based on the realms indicated by the Similarity Percentages - species contributions analysis
111 (SIMPER). These analyzes were performed in PRIMER 7.0.

112

113 **Results**

114

115 A total of 124 taxa of deep-sea echinoderms have been collected in Costa Rica, 75
116 identified to species level with certainty (Tables 1, 2), the remaining 49 representing
117 morphospecies (i.e. morphologically similar individuals). There are 112 taxa for the Pacific
118 Ocean and 13 for the Caribbean Sea, with only one shared species between the two basins
119 (the holothuroid *Benthodytes sanguinolenta*). We found 22 new reports for the Eastern
120 Tropical Pacific, 46 for Central America, and 58 for Costa Rica (57 on the Pacific Ocean and
121 one in the Caribbean Sea) (Table 1). With this, we reached a total of 364 species of
122 echinoderms for Costa Rica, 78% of the total species registered in Central America (466).
123 34% of the echinoderm species of Costa Rica are deep-sea species.

124 In the Costa Rican deep sea, the most diverse class is Ophiuroidea with 37 taxa and
125 22 confirmed species, all from the Pacific (Table 2), with the families Ophiacanthidae and
126 Ophiopyrgidae as the most speciose (five taxa each). The Holothuroidea is the second in
127 richness, with 35 taxa in 19 species, the Synallactidae had most of the taxa (five), followed
128 by Laetmogonidae and Deimatidae (four each). Asteroidea and Echinoidea have 24 and 18

129 taxa, respectively (14 and 15 confirmed species, respectively). For the asteroids, the families
130 Goniasteridae and Astropectinidae were the richest (six taxa each). While Echinothutiridae
131 and Aspidodiadematidae were the most speciose families in Echinoidea (three taxa each).
132 Finally, Crinoidea have 10 taxa and five confirmed species, where Hyocrinidae is the richest
133 family (three genera) (Table 1, 2).

134 According to their distribution and diversity (Fig. 1), the greatest collecting effort has
135 been around the CSV, Isla del Coco, the seamount subduction zone, and along the
136 continental margin ($9^{\circ}4'26.09''-8^{\circ}36'37.88''\text{N}$ and $85^{\circ}14'11.77''-84^{\circ}17'050''\text{W}$) on the
137 Pacific side, and in front of the Port of Limón ($10^{\circ}4'35.77''\text{N}-82^{\circ}54'9.38''\text{W}$) on the
138 Caribbean coast. Other areas of the country have been scarcely sampled, like the extensive
139 abyssal plains of the Pacific and most of the EEZ in the Caribbean (Fig. 1).

140 The greatest number of taxa by depth range (Fig. 2) was found between 800 and 1200
141 m with 64 taxa, followed by 52 and 51 taxa between 1600-2000 m and 1200-1600 m,
142 respectively. The holothuroid *Benthoodytes sanguinolenta* and the ophiuroid *Ophiosphalma*
143 *glabrum* were the species with the largest bathymetric distribution range (385-3453 m and
144 1157-3400 m, respectively), and the only two species found deeper than 3200 m. One species
145 of ophiuroid, *Ophiacantha similis*, had a shallower deep range than previously reported,
146 while the holothuroids, *Psolus* aff. *diomedae*, *Benthoodytes sanguinolenta*, *Oloughlinius*
147 *macdonaldi*, and the echinoid, *Clypeaster euclastus*, had deeper depth ranges than previously
148 reported (Table 1).

149 Most taxa of deep-sea echinoderms collected in Costa Rica are vouchered in the
150 collections at SIO (73 morphospecies) and the NMNH (35 morphospecies) (Fig. 3).
151 Otherwise, the MZUCR and MCZ have six taxa and three species respectively. No reports
152 were found in the CAS database. Additionally, we found 49 records in the literature (Table
153 1), mostly all deposited in museum collections, except for the echinoderms reported by
154 Cambronero et al. (2019) for the Caribbean Sea.

155 About 78% of the morphospecies present in the Costa Rican deep sea have a
156 biogeographic affinity with the Eastern Tropical Pacific realm (Table 3), 23 species are
157 endemic to this region (Table 1). Other regions with higher biogeographic affinity are the
158 North Pacific (40%), followed by Tropical Indo-Pacific & coastal Indian Ocean (36%),
159 Western Tropical Atlantic (35%), offshore Western Pacific and South Australia with 33%

160 both. By class, most of the species have an ETP affinity, there are also several species that
161 can be found in other regions (Table 3, Fig. 4).

162

163 **Discussion**

164

165 *Historical perspectives*

166 The Costa Rican coastal areas have been relatively well studied, but not so the deep
167 regions (Cortés, 2009; Cortés, 2016a, b, c). In the Caribbean, few studies have been carried
168 out, and only two publications mentioned echinoderms collected below 200 m depth (Voss,
169 1971; Cambroner-Solano et al., 2019). In 1971, the R/V John Elliot Pillsbury, cruised to
170 Central America, sampling off the Costa Rican Caribbean coast at two deep stations (Voss,
171 1971). Voss (1971) reported “small ophiuroids” (Ophiuroidea) and three “large sea biscuits”
172 (Echinoidea: Spatangoida) from a “gray muddy bottom”.

173 In 2011, the R/V Miguel Oliver, under the Central America Fisheries and Aquaculture
174 Organization (OSPESCA), trawled into two areas of the Costa Rican Caribbean. Trawls
175 ranged from 385 to 1,481 m and found six new echinoderm reports for Costa Rica
176 (Cambroner-Solano et al., 2019). Holothuroids made up 99% of the total biomass, mainly
177 comprised of *Benthodytes sanguinolenta* (60%) (Cambroner-Solano et al., 2019).

178 The deep waters of the Costa Rican Pacific were first studied in the late 19th century,
179 when the United States Fisheries Committee visited the area with the steamer USS Albatross,
180 with Alexander Agassiz as Chief Scientist (Agassiz, 1898, 1904; Townsend, 1901). They
181 sampled near Isla del Coco between February and March 1891 and carried dredging and
182 trawling on abyssal plains at 12 stations (#3362-3373), ranging from 95 m to 3433 m
183 (Azofeifa-Solano and Cortés, 2021). From the material collected during the Albatross
184 expeditions, Ludwig (1894, 1905), Hartlaub (1895), Agassiz (1898, 1904), Lütken and
185 Mortensen (1899), and Clark (1917) described many Central American deep-sea
186 echinoderms (Alvarado et al., 2013). Ludwig (1894) worked on Holothuroidea and recorded
187 11 species from Costa Rican deep waters. Hartlaub (1895) reported one comatulid Crinoidean
188 species. In the preliminary report on the Echini, Agassiz (1898) registered five Costa Rican
189 deep-sea urchin species, later he added one species (Agassiz, 1904). Lütken and Mortensen

190 (1899) worked on Ophiuroidea and reported eight species from Costa Rican deep sea. Finally,
191 Ludwig (1905) reported 14 deep-sea species of Asteroidea for Costa Rican deep sea.

192 In 1925, during the oceanographic expedition of the Zoological Society of New York,
193 with the yacht *Arcturus* led by William Beebe, deep-sea stars were collected from one station
194 close to Isla del Coco (Fisher, 1928).

195 In 1973, the Scripps Institution of Oceanography realized the first expedition to the
196 Eastern Pacific (EP) with the R/V *Agassiz*, in which some sample stations included deep-sea
197 echinoderms (Luke, 1982; Cortés, 2009). In 1986, a submersible was used at Isla del Coco,
198 the Johnson Sea-Link I of Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute (HBOI), as part of the
199 SeaPharm Project (a pharmaceutical prospecting expedition). Six dives were done ranging
200 from 105 to 785 m (Cortés, 2008). The crinoid *Calamocrinus diomedae* was collected
201 southeast Isla del Coco at 714 m deep and cataloged in the collections of the HBIO (Roux,
202 2004). Starting in 2007 a three-person submarine, *DeepSee*, able to reach 450 m has been
203 used regularly at Isla del Coco. Echinoderms and other taxonomic groups have been video
204 recorded and/or collected (Cortés, 2008; Cortés and Blum, 2008).

205 Since the 1990's the methane seeps along the Pacific margin have drawn attention,
206 mainly from a geological point of view, but also there are some images of deep-water
207 echinoderms available (Sahling et al., 2008). The methane seeps off the Central Pacific coast
208 of Costa Rica have been studied using the Human Operated Vehicle (HOV) *Alvin* in 2009-
209 2010, led by Lisa A. Levin, and again in 2017-2018, led by Erik E. Cordes, this last one also
210 included the exploration of several seamounts farther offshore. Echinoderms were collected
211 between 974-1866 m depth, most of the specimens were deposited at SIO.

212 Based on the 2009-2010 expeditions, Levin et al. (2012) described “hydrothermal
213 seep ecosystems” hosting high densities of ophiuroids (Ophiuridae). Levin et al. (2015)
214 demonstrated the role of authigenic carbonate rocks, providing a unique habitat and food
215 resources for macrofaunal assemblages at seep sites on the Costa Rican margin (400-1850
216 m). The presence of high densities of ophiuroids is strongly related to overlying water's
217 hydrography. Based on those collections, Summers et al. (2014) described species of
218 Myzostomida (Annelida) which are obligate associates, mostly of echinoderms. One of them,
219 *Pulvinomyzostomum inaki* Summers & Rouse, 2014, was collected on the crinoid *Antedon*
220 sp., at Jaco Scarp in 2009.

221 In 2019 an expedition led by Erik E. Cordes visited the methane seeps and more
222 seamounts using the ROV SuBastian onboard the R/V Falkor. Echinoderms were video
223 recorded and collected. Selig et al. (2019), reported aggregations of *Pelagothuria* sp. in deep
224 sea regions of minimum oxygen concentration, based on data from R/V Falkor that includes
225 observations from Costa Rica.

226

227 *Deep-sea echinoderm fauna*

228 According to the compiled information, the main component of the known deep sea
229 echinoderm fauna in Costa Rica inhabits from the bathyal to the abyssal zone (200-3000 m
230 depth). This view could be biased by the exploration approaches, the scientific historic
231 background and the relative representativeness of the deep sea total area covered (particularly
232 scarce in the Caribbean) and their proportional size, but depicts the biogeographic predicted
233 pattern (Sibuet, 1985; Mah and Blake, 2012; Stöhr, et al., 2012; Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2013).
234 It is important to recognize that the taxa list reported in this study was obtained from literature
235 and zoological museum databases, in which taxa are classified at the genus level or identified
236 with affinities towards a species. Therefore, further taxonomic efforts are required.

237 For Crinoids, the genus *Antendon* is reported in the Costa Rican deep sea, but the only
238 species listed for the ETP is *A. bifida* from Chile (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). Similarly, the
239 only *Psathyrometra* reported before was *P. bigradata*, from Panama, Galapagos and Chile
240 (Solís-Marín et al., 2013), but now there is also *P. fragilis* from Costa Rica. For
241 *Calamocrinus*, the only species reported is *Calamocrinus diomedae*, from Panama and
242 Galapagos, while *Hyocrinus foelli* is also the only species reported for the genus, in Mexico
243 (Solís-Marín et al., 2013).

244 In Asteroidea, at museum collections we found *Thrissacanthias* sp., being *T.*
245 *penicillatus* the only species reported for Mexico and Peru (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). In other
246 genera, the situation is more complex, as there are different possible species. *Pseudarchaster*
247 has five species in the ETP: *P. discus*, *P. pectinifer*, *P. pulcher*, *P. pusilus*, and *P. verrilli*,
248 scattered from Mexico to Peru. For *Patiria* two species have been identified, *P. chilensis*
249 (Chile-Pascua) and *P. miniata* (Mexico-Revillagigedo), but with bathymetric distributions
250 below 40 m and 300 m respectively, while our specimen was found at 950 m. *Ceramaster*
251 (Fig. 5) has three species (*C. grenadensis*, *C. patagonicus* and *C. leptoceramus*) while

252 *Henricia* has nine, all with overlapping bathymetric ranges but with geographically isolated
253 records (USA, Mexico, Galapagos, Peru and Chile) (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). *Evoplosoma*
254 *claguei* is the only reported species for this genus in Mexico, while *Hippasteria* has two, *H.*
255 *phrygiana* and *H. falklandica*, both from deep waters, but with different geographic
256 distribution, one is present in the Mexican Pacific waters while the other is from Chile (Solís-
257 Marín et al., 2013). Finally, the family Caymanostellidae has been registered for the first time
258 in the ETP. The genus *Belyaevostella* has two recognized species, while the genus
259 *Caymanostella* has five. *Belyaevostella hyugaensis* was described from samples collected on
260 sunken wood from the Southern of Japan (Fujita et al., 1994), while *Belyaevostella hispida*
261 have been described from deep sea Indo-Malaysian region (Aziz and Jangoux, 1984),
262 otherwise *Caymanostella* spp. are widely distributed (Table 3).

263 For Ophiuroidea, *Ophiocreas* is a new report for Central America and has at least 16
264 species described. *Asteroschema* (Fig. 5) and *Gorgonocephalus* have two species reported
265 for the ETP (Solís-Marín et al., 2013); *A. rubrum* from Pascua (below 731 m) and *A. sblaeve*
266 from the USA (1235 m), Guatemala, Panama (1271 m), and Costa Rica (1119-1281 m); *G.*
267 *chilensis* was registered from Chile (4-900 m) while *G. diomedea* was found in Panama
268 (1271 m). The worldwide distributed *Ophiacantha* has 25 species present in the ETP, but
269 only *O. phragma* is registered for Costa Rica, at Isla del Coco (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). For
270 *Ophiochondrus*, only *O. stelliger* is reported for Chile, between 73-439 m, while our
271 specimen was collected between 1005-1008 m, and for *Ophiambix* there are about six species
272 and is a genus widely distributed (Table 3). *Ophiomitra* has 11 valid species and *Ophiotreta*
273 17 (Stöhr et al., 2022), both genera are widely distributed (Table 3). Ophioscolecidae is a
274 new family for the ETP, where the widely distributed genus *Ophiolycus* has three species
275 (Stöhr et al., 2022). *Ophiura* has 16 species from shallow to deep sea on the ETP, two of
276 them have been identified from Isla del Coco (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). In the family
277 Ophiopyrgidae, both *Ophiuroglypha* and *Stegophiura* are widely distributed genera (Table
278 3), which have about 19 recognized species each (Stöhr et al., 2022), only one registered at
279 Isla del Coco for *Ophiuroglypha* (Solís-Marín et al., 2013) while *Stegophiura* has been found
280 in USA and Mexico. *Ophiomusa* is the unique genus for the family Ophiomusaidae, but it
281 has about 50 valid and widely distributed species (Table 3). Finally, *Ophiolepis* has five
282 species reported from the ETP nevertheless, only *O. crassa*, reach deeps beyond 200 m.

283 In holothuroids, *Chiridota pisanii* has been the unique species found in the deep sea
284 for the genus in the ETP, but *Psolus* is represented by eight species at wide depths ranges. *P.*
285 *digitatus* has been listed for Panama, *P. diomedae* is reported for Mexico, Isla del Coco,
286 Panama, and Galapagos, while *P. squamatus* for Mexico and Chile (Solís-Marín et al., 2013).
287 For the genus *Achlyonice*, the only species reported for the ETP is *A. ecalcareia*, while
288 *Peniagone* has seven deep sea species at the ETP. *P. vitrea* has the largest extension range
289 including Isla del Coco, *P. papillata* is also presented in Panama, but others are from
290 Galapagos, Ecuador and Peru (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). The family Laetmogonidae is
291 represented with three genera, *Benthogone* is widely distributed and has three accepted
292 species (Table 3). The genus *Pannychia* has two species; *P. taylorae* was described from the
293 Indian Ocean (O'Loughlin et al., 2013), while *P. moseleyi* has been found in Mexico, Panama,
294 Galapagos and Peru. *Psychronaetes hanseni* is monospecific for its genus and was described
295 from the Eastern Central Pacific Ocean (Pawson, 1983). Synallactidae is represented in two
296 genera, *Bathyploetes natans* has been found in the Caribbean deep sea from Costa Rica but
297 also in other countries in Latin America at both Pacific and Atlantic basins and also there
298 have been another three species registered for the ETP (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). *Synallactes*
299 has three species reported for Panama, Colombia, Malpelo, Galapagos, Ecuador and Peru, *S.*
300 *chuni* has been reported from West Pacific (Table 3). *Hadalothuria* and *Hansenothuria* are
301 monospecific genera. *Hadalothuria wolffi* was described from the hadal zone (>6000 m;
302 Hansen, 1956) however, our specimen was found on the mesopelagic zone (~1000 m),
303 whereas *Hansenothuria benti* was described from the Tropical Western Atlantic (Miller and
304 Pawson, 1989). Gephyrothuriidae is a new family in the ETP represented by the genus
305 *Paroriza*, which has four recognized species, only *P. prouhoi* has been reported from Chile.
306 Finally, the genus *Pseudostichopus* registered three species at deep sea in ETP, *P. mcdonaldi*
307 and *P. mollis* were found at Isla del Coco, but the second is more widely distributed (Solís-
308 Marín et al., 2013).

309 In the case of echinoids, the echinothuriids *Tromikosoma* and *Plesiadiadema* have
310 two species in the region each, and for both they have been previously listed from ETP at
311 similar depths (Solís-Marín et al., 2013). *Tromikosoma hispidum* and *P. horridum* were
312 reported for Isla del Coco and Costa Rica (Solís-Marín et al., 2013).

313

314 *Regional comparison*

315 In Latin America, most echinoderm species were found only in one or two
316 bathymetric intervals, Ophiuroidea was dominant from 200 to 2000 m at Pacific and West-
317 Atlantic basins, but Asteroidea and Holothuroidea (respectively) where dominant from 2000
318 to 6000 m (Pérez-Ruzafa et al., 2013). For Asteroidea as also as Ophiuroidea, it has been
319 suggested a highly conservative morphology in deep sea species and recent molecular
320 analysis are suggesting cryptic and species complexes, thus deep sea and especially abyssal
321 echinoderm diversity is considered underestimated (Mah and Blake, 2012; Stöhr et al., 2012).

322 Diversity information of deep-sea echinoderm fauna through recent research is scarce
323 (Pawson, 1982; Stöhr and Segonzac, 2005; Mecho et al., 2014; Moles et al., 2015; Calero et
324 al., 2017; Mironov et al., 2018; Setyastuti and Wirawati, 2018; Stöhr and O'Hara, 2021).
325 Strong progress has been made, especially for Colombia, Chile, Brazil, Argentina and
326 Mexico (González et al., 2002; Borrero-Pérez et al., 2003, 2012, 2019, 2020; Benavides-
327 Serrato and Borrero-Pérez, 2010; Campos et al., 2010; Manso, 2010; Massin and Hendrickx,
328 2011; Hendrickx et al., 2014; Solís-Marín et al., 2014; Martínez et al., 2014, 2015, 2017,
329 2019, 2020; Martínez, 2016; Martinez and Penchaszadeh, 2017 ; Conejeros-Vargas et al.,
330 2017; Rivadeneira et al., 2017, 2020; Luna-Cruz and Hendrickx, 2018, 2020, 2021; Flores et
331 al., 2019, 2021; Pertossi et al., 2019; Catalán et al., 2020).

332 The deep sea of the Colombian Caribbean has been extensively studied (González et
333 al., 2002; Borrero-Pérez et al., 2003, 2012, 2019; Benavides-Serrato and Borrero-Pérez,
334 2010; Dueñas et al., 2021). For the Southern Colombian Caribbean region, 16 species of sea
335 cucumbers are found between 596 and 2566 m (Borrero-Pérez et al., 2020), of which only
336 four have been reported for the Costa Rican Caribbean (*B. sanguinolenta*, *Benthothuria*
337 *funebria*, *Deima validum validum*, and *Paroriza pallens*). For the SeaFlower Biosphere
338 Reserve area (Borrero-Pérez et al., 2019), a region immediately adjacent to the exclusive
339 economic zone of Costa Rica, they found 111 deep-sea echinoderm species, that represents
340 10 times more species than those reported for the Costa Rican Caribbean (Cambronero et al.,
341 2019). Dueñas et al. (2021) reported the presence at cold-seep communities in the Colombian
342 Caribbean between 2300 and 3300 m including the sea star family, Solasteridae, and two sea
343 cucumbers, *Chiridota cf. heheva* and *Pseudostichopus* sp. This indicates a high potential for
344 research and discovery of new reports for our waters.

345 In the ETP, Stöhr and O'Hara (2021) report 17 species of ophiuroids from waters
346 deeper than 400 m as part of the Danish Galathea II Expedition, at stations in Nicaragua and
347 Panama. Of these 17 species, only three are reported in Costa Rican waters in our review
348 (*Astrodia plana*, *Ophiosphalma glabrum*, and *Ophiura flagellata*), which indicates a high
349 potential for an increase in the number of ophiuroids in our region. Manso (2010) reports the
350 presence of 15 species of brittle stars in Chile, of which only three are present in our list
351 (*Gorgonocephalus chilensis*, *Ophiolimna bairdi* and *Ophiomusium lymani*). According to
352 Stöhr et al. (2012), globally, the greatest diversity of ophiuroids occurs in shallow waters
353 between 0 and 200 m deep, with 1313 species. Between 200 and 3500 m they indicate that
354 there are 1297 species. For the ETP, they mention that the greatest diversity occurs between
355 200 and 3500 m with 111 species, while for shallow waters only 92 species. For the abyssal
356 and hadal zone they indicate 28 and one species, respectively. For the Western Atlantic, the
357 species richness of brittle stars is quite similar between the platform (217) and the bathyal
358 zone (229), and only 16 species for the abyssal zone.

359 Perhaps the country in the region that has the most complete evaluation of its deep-
360 sea fauna is Mexico (Solís-Marín et al., 2014). This country has 348 species of echinoderms
361 that inhabit deep waters, which corresponds to 54.4% of the total species reported for the
362 country. At the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico they have been listed 111 and 103 deep sea
363 species respectively (Crinoidea 25, Asteroidea 39, Ophiuroidea 100, Holothuroidea 9,
364 Echinoidea 41) but sharing some species, while for the Pacific coast a total of 188 species
365 are included (Crinoidea 3, Asteroidea 63, Ophiuroidea 61, Holothuroidea 34, Echinoidea 26)
366 (Solís-Marín et al., 2014; Conejeros-Vargas et al. 2017). For the west coast of the Baja
367 California Peninsula, Luna-Cruz and Hendrickx (2021) indicate the presence of 18 species
368 of sea cucumbers between 554 m and 2082 m depth. Probably many of the widespread West-
369 Atlantic or Pacific deep sea Asteroidea and Ophiuroidea present in Mexico are also found in
370 Costa Rica (Pawson et al., 2015; Conejeros-Vargas et al. 2017; Luna-Cruz and Hendrickx
371 2021). In Costa Rica, the deep fauna corresponds to 33% of the total diversity of echinoderms,
372 while the Mexican coast and its economic exclusive zone are much larger than those of Costa
373 Rica, and the sampling effort has been greater due to the presence of several oceanographic
374 vessels such as the R/V Puma or R/V Justo Sierra of the National Autonomous University of
375 Mexico, among others.

376

377 **Threats for conservation**

378 The deep sea is under increasing pressure from exploration and extraction activities
379 during the last decades, fueled by modern technological advances, depletion of terrestrial and
380 shallow-water resources, growing global population with rising demands for food, energy,
381 and raw materials (Ramírez-Llodra et al., 2011; Norse et al., 2012; Hefferman, 2019), climate
382 change (Levin and Le Bris 2015; Sweetman et al., 2017), and ocean acidification (Solís-
383 Marín et al., 2014). Deep-sea species and ecosystems are more vulnerable than its shallow-
384 water counterparts, due to the life-history traits of most deep-sea species such as slow growth,
385 delayed maturity, extended longevities, and slow colonization (Clark et al., 2006; Cheung et
386 al., 2007). In addition, recovery of deep-sea habitats is slow, studies have found that
387 extraction impacts (scars on the sea bottom) are still visible after 26 years (1989-2015), while
388 some organisms found prior to the extraction have not returned (e.g., sponges, soft corals, or
389 sea anemones) (Hefferman, 2019).

390 Costa Rica has prohibited oil, gas and mineral exploration and exploitation in the sea
391 until 2050 through the government moratorium decree 36693-MINAET (MINAET, 2010).
392 Nonetheless, the debate on whether Costa Rica should or should not exploit the deep-sea
393 mineral resources has been increasing in media articles and social media recently. The only
394 known fishing activity that exploited resources deeper than 200 m in Costa Rica was shrimp
395 trawling. The target species were the kolibri shrimp (*Solenocera agassizii*), the northern
396 nylon shrimp (*Heterocarpus vicarius*), and to a less extent the three-spined nylon shrimp
397 (*Heterocarpus affinis*) (Wehrtmann and Nielsen-Muñoz, 2009). Data from scientific surveys
398 indicated a decreasing deep-sea shrimp catch while bycatch was increasing (Wehrtmann and
399 Nielsen-Muñoz, 2009; Wehrtmann et al., 2012). These surveys did not record any
400 echinoderm (I. Wehrtmann per. comm.). The shrimp trawling is currently prohibited in Costa
401 Rica (Sala Constitucional, 2013), however, there has been recent and continuous efforts to
402 re-activate this activity. Finally, litter has also been observed in Costa Rican deep-sea waters
403 around Isla del Coco, composed mainly of plastics and lost fishing gear (Naranjo-Elizondo
404 and Cortés, 2018), and in much deeper areas in several locations (J. Cortés per. obs.).

405

406 **Future perspectives**

407 The current knowledge on deep-sea echinoderms is limited and more research is
408 needed. Publishing diversity records with taxonomic precision contributes to improve future
409 efforts in research and management and contributes to assess future impacts on the marine
410 ecosystems (Worm et al., 2006; Costello et al., 2013).

411 Deep-sea research is costly and requires highly specialized vessels, equipment, and
412 trained scientists. These issues preclude research of deep-sea habitats in most developing and
413 undeveloped countries. Companies will continue to be important allies in the exploration of
414 the deep sea, including in Costa Rica (Brewin et al., 2007; Wehrtmann and Nielsen-Muñoz,
415 2009). Further collaborations with international institutions will be necessary to advance our
416 knowledge on deep-sea echinoderms.

417 There is no doubt that the deep sea plays a unique and outstanding role on sustaining
418 the health and functioning of the oceans (Sweetman et al., 2017). Deep-sea ecosystems
419 provide habitat provision for commercial species (e.g., tuna, large bill fishes), nutrient
420 cycling, heat absorption, trophic and diversity support services, and carbon sequestration, all
421 of which are vital ecological processes to maintain diversity and humanity (Thurber et al.,
422 2014). Van Dover (2011) suggest that having a coherent conservation, management, and
423 mitigation framework for the deep sea is necessary before undergoing deep-sea resources
424 exploitation. We call for further active action and advocacy for working towards science-
425 based management conservation of the deep sea in Costa Rica, following the precautionary
426 principles since the impacts on the deep sea could be irreversible at human timescale (Roberts,
427 2002; Waller et al., 2007; Hefferman, 2019).

428

429 **Acknowledgments**

430

431 We thank the University of Costa Rica for supporting basic research in all topics, and
432 especially the Vicerrectoría de Investigación and the logistic support of the Center for
433 Research in Marine Science and Limnology (CIMAR). We are grateful to the Undersea
434 Hunter Group for their support and access to their submarine DeepSee, and all the videos.
435 We greatly appreciate the invitation by Erik Cordes, Lisa Levin, Victoria Orphan, Greg
436 Rouse, and Shana Goffredi, to participate in deep-sea expeditions off the Pacific coast of
437 Costa Rica. We thank the crew and staff of the R/V Atlantis and the HOV Alvin, and the

438 crew and staff of the R/V Falkor and the ROV SuBastian. We are thankful to Odalisca Breedy,
439 Beatriz Naranjo and Charlotte Seid for their collaboration in deep-sea research. We
440 appreciate the help with picture identifications by Rebeca Granja-Fernández, Francisco A.
441 Solis-Marin, Tania Pineda-Enríquez, Carlos A. Conejeros-Vargas and Arturo Angulo.

442

443 **References**

444

445 Agassiz, A. 1898. Reports on the dredging operations off the west coast of Central America
446 to the Galápagos, to the west coast of Mexico, and in the Gulf of California, in charge
447 of Alexander Agassiz, carried on by the U.S. Fish Commission steamer “Albatross”,
448 Lieut. Commander Z.L. Tanner U.S.N., commanding. XXIII. Preliminary report on the
449 echini. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Harvard Coll. 32, 71-86.

450 Agassiz, A. 1904. Reports on an exploration off the west coast of Mexico, Central and south
451 America, and off Galapagos Islands, in charge of Alexander Agassiz, by the U.S. Fish
452 Commission Steamer “Albatross”, during 1891, Lieut. Commander Z.L. Tanner, U.S.
453 Commanding. XXXII. The Panamic deep sea echini. Mem. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Harvard
454 Coll. 31, 1-246.

455 Alvarado, J. J. Fabregat-Malé, S. 2021. Echinoderm research perspectives: A Central
456 American bibliometric review. UNED Res. Jour., 13, e3535.
457 <https://doi.org/10.22458/urj.v13i2.3535>

458 Alvarado, J.J., Barraza, E., Sancho-Mejía, T. 2013. Chapter 3: Central America
459 Echinoderms: diversity, ecology and future perspectives. In: Alvarado, J.J., Solís-
460 Marín, F.A. (Eds.), Echinoderm Research and Diversity in Latin America. Springer,
461 Berlin, p. 67-106. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-009-0032-5>

462 Alvarado, J.J., Chacón-Monge, J.L., Solís-Marín, F.A., Pineda-Enríquez, T., Caballero-
463 Ochoa, A.A., Solano-Rivera, S., Romero-Chaves, R. 2017. Echinodermos del Museo
464 de Zoología de la Universidad de Costa Rica. Rev. Biol. Trop. 65 (Supl. 1), S272-
465 S287. <https://doi.org/10.15517/RBT.V65I1-1.31695>

466 Alvarado-Induni, G.E. 2021. Costa Rica y sus volcanes. Ed. Universidad de Costa Rica, San
467 José, Costa Rica. ISBN 978-9968-46-776-6

468 Auscavitch, S.R. 2020. Deep-sea Coral Biogeography and Community Structure in Tropical
469 Seamount Environments. PhD Dissertation, Temple University, Philadelphia,
470 Pennsylvania, USA.

471 Azofeifa-Solano, J.C., Cortés, J. 2021. Chapter 24: Diversity and biology of deep-water
472 crustaceans in Costa Rica. In: Hendrickx, M.E. (Ed.), Deep-Sea Pycnogonids and
473 Crustaceans of the Americas. Springer Nature, Switzerland, p. 633-658.
474 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58410-8_24

475 Aziz, A., Jangoux, M. 1984. Description de quatre nouvelles especes d'asterides profonds
476 (Echinodermata) de la region Indo-Malaise. Indo-Malayan Zool., 2, 187-194.

477 Benavides-Serrato, M., Borrero-Pérez, G.H. 2010. Echinodermos de la plataforma y la franja
478 superior del talud continental del Caribe colombiano. In: Invemar (Eds), Biodiversidad
479 del margen continental del Caribe colombiano. Serie de Publicaciones Especiales,
480 INVEMAR 20, 255-266.

481 Borrero-Pérez, G.H., Benavides-Serrato, M., Solano, O.D., Navas, G.R. 2003. Equinoideos
482 (Echinodermata: Echinoidea) colectados en la franja superior del talud continental del

- 483 Caribe Colombiano. Bol. Invest. Cost. Mar. 30, 133-166.
 484 <https://doi.org/10.25268/bimc.invemar.2002.31.0.289>
- 485 Borrero-Pérez, G.H., Benavides-Serrato, M., Diaz-Sánchez, C.M. 2012. Equinodermos del
 486 Caribe colombiano II: Echinoidea y Holothuroidea. Ser. Publ. Esp. INVEMAR 30, 1-
 487 250.
- 488 Borrero-Pérez, G.H., Benavides-Serrato, M., Campos, N.H., Galeano-Galeano, E., Gavio, B.,
 489 Medina, J., Abril-Howard, A. 2019. Echinoderms of the Seaflower Biosphere Reserve:
 490 state of knowledge and new findings. Front. Mar. Sci. 6, 188.
 491 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00188>
- 492 Borrero-Pérez, G.H., Dueñas, L.F., León, J., Puentes, V. 2020. Deep-sea holothurians
 493 (Echinodermata, Holothuroidea) from the Colombian Southern Caribbean Sea. Check
 494 List 16, 535-551. <https://doi.org/10.11646/checklist.16.3.535>
- 495 Brewin, P.E., Stocks, K.I., Menezes, G. 2007. A history of seamount research. In: Pitcher,
 496 T.J., Morato, T., Hart, P.J.B., Clark, M.R., Haggan, N., Santos, R.S. (Eds.),
 497 Seamounts: Ecology, Conservation and Management. Blackwell, Oxford, p 41–61.
 498 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470691953.ch3>
- 499 Calero, B., Ramil, F., Ramos, A. 2017. Echinoderms of the Mauritanian Deep-Sea Waters.
 500 In: Ramos, A., Ramil, F., Sanz, J.L. (Eds), Deep-Sea Ecosystems Off Mauritania.
 501 Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1023-5_12
- 502 Cambronero-Solano, S., Benavides, R., Solís-Marín, F.A., Alvarado, J.J. 2019. New reports
 503 of echinoderms on the Caribbean continental slope of Central America. Zoosymposia
 504 15, 5-12. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zoosymposia.15.1.3>
- 505 Campos, L.S., Moura, R.B., Veríssimo, I., Curbelo-Fernandez, M.P., Cavalcanti, G.H, Brasil,
 506 A.C.S. 2010. ROV imaging of deep-sea echinoderms from the Brazilian continental
 507 margin, Southwest Atlantic, In: Harris, L.G, Böttger, S.A., Walker, C.W, Lesser, M.P.
 508 (Eds.). Echinoderms: Durham. Proc. of the 12th Int. Echinoderm Conf. Balkema,
 509 Leiden, p. 147-152.
- 510 Catalán, J., Merino-Yunnissi, C., Martínez, A., Sellanes, J., Ibáñez, C.M. 2020. Nuevos
 511 registros de crinoideos (Echinodermata: Crinoidea) en el talud continental de Chile.
 512 Rev. Biol. Mar. Oceanogr. 55, 68-72. <https://doi.org/10.22370/rbmo.2020.55.1.2395>
- 513 Chacón-Monge, J.L., Azofeifa-Solano, J.C., Alvarado, J.J., Cortés, J. 2021. Área de
 514 Conservación Guanacaste echinoderms, North Pacific of Costa Rica. Rev. Biol. Trop.
 515 69 (Suppl. 1), S487-S500. <https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v69iSuppl.1.46391>
- 516 Cheung, W.W.L., Watson, R., Morato, T., Pitcher, T.J., Pauly, D. 2007. Intrinsic
 517 vulnerability in the global fish catch. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 333, 1-12.
 518 <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps333001>
- 519 Clark, H.L. 1917. Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the tropical Pacific in
 520 charge of Alexander Agassiz, on the U.S. Fish Commission Steamer “Albatross” from
 521 August 1899 to March 1900, commander Jefferson F. Mooser, U.S.N Commanding.
 522 XVIII. Reports on the scientific results of the expedition to the tropical Pacific in
 523 charge of Alexander Agassiz, on the U.S. Fish Commission Steamer “Albatross” from
 524 October 1904 to March 1905, Lieut. Commander L.M. Garret, U.S.N. Commanding.
 525 Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Harvard Coll. 61, 429-466.
- 526 Clark, M.R., Tittensor, D., Rogers, A.D., Brewin, P., Schlacher, T., Rowden, A., Stocks, K.,
 527 Consalvey, M. 2006. Seamounts, Deep-Sea Corals and Fisheries: Vulnerability of
 528 Deep-Sea Corals to Fishing on Seamounts Beyond Areas of National Jurisdiction.
 529 UNEP-WCMC/UNEP Regional Seas, Cambridge. ISBN: 978-92-807-2778-4

530 Conejeros-Vargas, C.A., Solís-Marín, F.A., Laguarda-Figueras, A. 2017. Equinoideos de
531 mar profundo (Echinodermata: Echinoidea) del Pacífico mexicano. *Rev. Biol. Trop.*
532 65(Suppl. 1), S244-S252. <https://doi.org/10.15517/RBT.V65I1-1.31692>

533 Cortés, J. 2008. Historia de la investigación marina de la Isla del Coco, Costa Rica. *Rev. Biol.*
534 *Trop.* 56(Suppl 2), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.15517/RBT.V56I2.26934>

535 Cortés, J. 2009. A history of marine biodiversity scientific research in Costa Rica. In:
536 Wehrtmann, I.S., Cortés, J. (Eds.), *Marine Biodiversity of Costa Rica*, Central
537 America. Springer, Dordrecht, p. 47-80. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8278-8_2

538

539 Cortés, J. 2016a. The Pacific coastal and marine ecosystems. In: M. Kappelle (Ed.), *Costa*
540 *Rican Ecosystems*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, p. 97-138.
541 <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226121642.003.0005>

542 Cortés, J. 2016b. Isla del Coco: Coastal and marine ecosystems. In: M. Kappelle (Ed.), *Costa*
543 *Rican Ecosystems*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, p. 162-191.
544 <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226121642.003.0007>

545 Cortés, J. 2016c. The Caribbean coastal and marine ecosystems. In: M. Kappelle (Ed.), *Costa*
546 *Rican Ecosystems*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, p. 591-617.
547 <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226121642.003.0017>

548 Cortés, J. 2019. Isla del Coco, Costa Rica, Eastern Tropical Pacific. In: Loya, Y., Puglise,
549 K.A., Bridge, T.C.L. (Eds.), *Mesophotic Coral Ecosystems. Coral Reefs of the World*
550 12. Springer Nature, Switzerland, p. 465-474. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92735-0_26)
551 [92735-0_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92735-0_26)

552 Cortés, J., Blum, S. 2008. Life to 450 m depth at Isla del Coco, Costa Rica. *Rev. Biol. Trop.*
553 56 (Suppl. 2), 189-206. <https://doi.org/10.15517/RBT.V56I2.27018>

554 Costello, M.J., Chaudhary, C. 2017. Marine biodiversity, biogeography, deep-sea gradients,
555 and conservation. *Curr. Biol.* 27, R511–R527.
556 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.04.060>

557 Costello, M.J., Michener, W.K., Gahegan, M., Zhang, Z.Q., Bourne, P.E. 2013. Biodiversity
558 data should be published, cited, and peer reviewed. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 28, 454-461.
559 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.05.002>

560 Costello, M.J, Tsai, P., Wong, P.S., Cheung, A.K.L., Basher, Z., Chaudhary, C. 2017. Marine
561 biogeographic realms and species endemism. *Nat. Comm.* 8, 1057.
562 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01121-2>

563 Dueñas, L.F., Puentes, V., León, J., Herrera, S. 2021. Fauna associated with cold seeps in the
564 deep Colombian Caribbean. *Deep-Sea Research I* 173 (2021) 103552.
565 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2021.103552>

566 Fisher, W.K. 1928. Sea stars from the Arcturus Oceanographic Expedition. *Zool.* 8, 487-493.

567 Flores, J.N., Brogger, M.I., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2019. Reproduction and development of the
568 brooding sea urchin *Austrocidaris canaliculata* from deep-sea off Argentina. *Deep Sea*
569 *Res. I: Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 143, 35-42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.11.012>

570 Flores, J.N., Penchaszadeh, P.E., Brogger, M.I. 2021. Heart urchins from the depths:
571 *Corparva lyrida* gen. et sp. nov. (Palaeotropidae), and new records for the
572 southwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 69 (Suppl. 1), S14-S34.
573 <https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v69iSuppl.1.46320>

574 Fujita, T., Stampanato, S., Jangoux, M. 1994. *Belyaevostella hyugaensis*, a new species of
575 deep-sea asteroid (Asteroidea, Caymanostellidae) found on a sunken wood from off
576 Southern Japan. *Bull. Nat. Sci. Mus. Tokyo A*, 20, 183-188.

- 577 González, G., Dunia, N., Solano, P., Oscar, D., Navas, S. 2002. Equinodermos colectados
578 por la expedición CIOH-INVEMAR-Smithsonian desde Cartagena hasta el Golfo de
579 Urabá, Caribe Colombiano. Bol. Invest. Mar. Cost. 31, 85-132.
- 580 Hansen, B. 1956. Holothurioidea from depths exceeding 6000 meters. Galathea Rep, 2, 33-
581 54.
- 582 Hartlaub, C. 1895. Reports on the dredging operations off the west coasts of Central America
583 to the Galapagos, to the west coast of Mexico, and in the Gulf of California, in charge
584 of Alexander Agassiz, carried on by the U.S. Fish Commission steamer "Albatross",
585 during 1891, Lieut. Commander Z.L. Tanner, U.S.N., commanding. XVIII. Die
586 Comatuliden. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Harvard Coll. 27 (4), 127-152.
- 587 Hefferman, O. 2019. Deep-sea dilemma. Nature 571, 465-468.
- 588 Hendrickx, M.E., Pawson, D., Mejía-Mercado, B.E., Ayón-Parente, M., Hinojosa-Corona, A.
589 2014. Registros de especies de Holothuroidea (Echinodermata). In: Mejía-Mercado,
590 B.E., Hinojosa-Corona, A., Hendrickx, M.E. (Eds.), Explorando el Mar Profundo del
591 Golfo de California 2008-2014. CICESE, p. 177-191.
- 592 Levin, L.A., Le Bris, N. 2015. The deep ocean under climate change. Science 350, 766-768.
593 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad0126>
- 594 Levin, L.A., Orphan, V.J., Rouse, G.W., Rathburn, A.E., Ussler, W., Cook, G.S., Goffredi,
595 S.K., Perez, E.M., Waren, A., Grupe, B.M., Chadwick, G., Strickrott, B. 2012. A
596 hydrothermal seep on the Costa Rica margin: middle ground in a continuum of
597 reducing ecosystems. Proc. R. Soc. B 279, 2580-2588.
598 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0205>
- 599 Levin, L.A., Mendoza, G.F., Grupe, B.M., Gonzalez, J.P., Jellison, B., Rouse, G.W., Thurber,
600 A.R. & Warén, A. 2015. Biodiversity on the rocks: macrofauna inhabiting authigenic
601 carbonate at Costa Rica methane seeps. PLoS ONE 10, e0136129.
602 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131080>
- 603 Ludwig, H. 1894. Reports on an exploration off the west coast of Mexico, Central America
604 and South America, and off the Galápagos Islands, in charge of Alexander Agassiz,
605 by the U.S. Fish Commission steamer "Albatross", during 1891. XII. The
606 Holothurioidea. Mem. Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Harvard Coll. 17 (3), 1-183.
- 607 Ludwig, H. 1905. Reports on the exploration off the west coasts of Mexico, Central and
608 South America, and off the Galapagos Islands, in charge of Alexander Agassiz by the
609 U.S. Fish Commission Steamer "Albatross," during 1891, Lieut.-Commander Z.L.
610 Tanner, U.S.N., Commanding. XXXV. Reports on the scientific results of the
611 expedition to the tropical Pacific in charge of Alexander Agassiz, by the U.S. Fish
612 Commission Steamer "Albatross", from August, 1899, to March, 1900, Commander
613 Jefferson F. Moser, U.S. N., Commanding. VII. Asteroidea. Mem. Mus. Comp. Zoöl.,
614 Harvard Coll. 32, 1-291.
- 615 Luke, R. 1982. Catalog of the Benthic Invertebrate Collections of the Scripps Institution of
616 Oceanography. Echinodermata. Scripps Institution of Oceanography. Ref. Ser. 82-5.
- 617 Luna-Cruz, A.K., Hendrickx, M.E. 2018. New records of *Synallactes virgulosolida* Massin
618 & Hendrickx, 2010 (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) from the eastern Pacific. Zootaxa
619 4444, 491–500. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4444.4.9>
- 620 Luna-Cruz, A.K., Hendrickx, M.E. 2020. Rediscovery of the deep-water species
621 *Ypsilocucumis californiae* Massin & Hendrickx, 2011 (Echinodermata; Holothuroidea;
622 Ypsilothuriidae) in western Mexico. Zootaxa 4742, 139–148.
623 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4742.1.9>

- 624 Luna-Cruz, A.K., Hendrickx, M.E. 2021. Deep-water holothuroids (Echinodermata,
625 Holothuroidea) collected off the west coast of Baja California peninsula, Mexico
626 during the TALUD cruises. *Geomare Zool.* 3, 31-40.
- 627 Lütken, C.F., T. Mortensen. 1899. Reports on an exploration off the west coast of Mexico,
628 Central America and South America, and off the Galápagos Islands, in charge of
629 Alexander Agassiz by U.S. Fish Commission Steamer "Albatross", during 1891,
630 Lieut.-Commander Z.L. Tanner, U.S.N., Commanding. XXV. The Ophiuridae. *Mem.*
631 *Mus. Comp. Zoöl., Harvard Coll.* 23 (2), 93-208.
- 632 Mah, C.L., Blake, D.B. 2012. Global diversity and phylogeny of the Asteroidea
633 (Echinodermata). *PLoS ONE*, 7, e35644. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035644>
- 634 Manso, C.L.C. 2010. Deep-water Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata) from off Chile in the Eastern
635 South Pacific. *Biota Neotrop.* 10 (2), 185-199. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1676-06032010000200023>
- 637 Martínez, M.I. 2016. The sea cucumber *Psolus patagonicus* Ekman 1925 (Echinodermata:
638 Holothuroidea) from the southwestern Atlantic, redescription of the holotype and new
639 synonym. *Rev. Mus. Arg. Cien. Nat.* 18, 237-241.
640 <https://doi.org/10.22179/REVMACN.18.474>
- 641 Martínez, M.I., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2017. A new species of brooding Psolidae
642 (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) from deep-sea off Argentina, Southwestern Atlantic
643 Ocean. *Deep-Sea Res. Part II*, 146, 13-17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.05.007>
- 644 Martínez, M.I., Solís-Marín, F.A., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2014. *Benthodytes violeta*, a new
645 species of a deep-sea holothuroid (Elasipodida: Psychropotidae) from Mar del Plata
646 Canyon (south-western Atlantic Ocean). *Zootaxa* 3760, 89-95.
647 <http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3760.1.6>
- 648 Martínez, M.I., Arribas, L.P., Berecochea, J.J., Brogger, M.I., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2015.
649 Echinoderm diversity in the Southwestern Atlantic. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 63 (Suppl. 2), 115-
650 120. <https://doi.org/10.15517/RBT.V63I2.23132>
- 651 Martínez, M.I., Solís-Marín, F.A., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2019. First report of *Paelopatides*
652 (Synallactida, Synallactidae) for the SW Atlantic, with description of a new species
653 from the deep-sea off Argentina. *Zool. Anzeiger* 278, 21e27.
654 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2018.10.010>
- 655 Martínez, M.I., Alba-Posse, E.J., Lauretta, D., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2020. Reproductive
656 features in the sea cucumber *Pentactella perrieri* (Ekman, 1927) (Holothuroidea:
657 Cucumariidae): a brooding hermaphrodite species from the southwestern Atlantic
658 Ocean. *Pol. Biol.* 43, 1383-1389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-020-02715-1>
- 659 Massin, C., Hendrickx, M.E. 2011. Deep-water Holothuroidea (Echinodermata) collected
660 during the TALUD cruises off the Pacific coast of Mexico, with the description of two
661 new species. *Rev. Mex. Biodiv.* 82, 413-443.
662 <https://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2011.2.476>
- 663 Mecho, A., Billett, D.S.M., Ramírez-Llodra, E., Aguzzi, J., Tyler, P.A., Company, J.B. 2014.
664 First records, rediscovery and compilation of deep-sea echinoderms in the middle and
665 lower continental slope of the Mediterranean Sea. *Sci. Mar.* 78, 281-302.
666 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3989/scimar.03983.30C>
- 667 MINAET. 2010. Se decreta Moratoria en Minería. Decreto N°35982-MINAET. *Gaceta N°*
668 90. San José, Costa Rica.
- 669 Miller, J.E., Pawson, D.L. 1989. *Hansenothuria benti*, new genus, new species
670 (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea) from the tropical western Atlantic: a bathyal,

671 epibenthic holothurian with swimming abilities. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash., 102, 977-
672 986.

673 Mironov, A.N., Minina, K.V., Dilmana, A.B., Smirnovb, I.S. 2018. Deep-sea echinoderms
674 of the Sea of Okhotsk. Deep-Sea Res. Part II 154, 342-357.
675 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.10.003>

676 Moles, J., Figuerola, B., Campanya-Llovet, N., Monleón-Getino, T., Taboada, S., Avila, C.
677 2015. Distribution patterns in Antarctic and Subantarctic echinoderms. Pol. Biol, 38,
678 799-813. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-014-1640-5>

679 Naranjo-Elizondo, B., Cortés, J. 2018. Observations of litter deposited in the deep waters of
680 Isla del Coco National Park, Eastern Tropical Pacific. Front. Mar. Sci. 5, 91.
681 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00091>

682 Neuhaus, B. 2004. Description of *Campyloderes* cf. *vanhoeffeni* (Kinorhyncha,
683 Cyclorhagida) from the Central American East Pacific deep with a review of the
684 genus. Meiofauna Mar. 13, 3-20.

685 Norse, E.A., Brooke, S., Cheung, W.W.L., Clark, M.R., Ekeland, I., Froese, R., Gjerde, K.M.,
686 Haedrich, R.L., Heppell, S.S., Morato, T., Morgan, L.E., Pauly, D., Sumaila, R.U.,
687 Watson, R. 2012. Sustainability of deep-sea fisheries. Mar. Pol. 36, 307-320.
688 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2011.06.008>

689 O'Loughlin, P.M., Mackenzie, M., VandenSpiegel, D. 2013. New sea cucumber species from
690 the seamounts on the Southwest Indian Ocean Ridge (Echinodermata: Holothuroidea:
691 Aspidochirotida, Elaspodida, Dendrochirotida). Mem. Mus. Victoria, 70, 37–50.

692 Pawson, D. L., 1982. Deep-sea echinoderms in the Tongue of the Ocean, Bahama Islands: a
693 survey, using the research submersible Alvin. Aust. Mus. Mem. 16: 129-145.
694 <https://doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1967.16.1982.362>

695 Pawson, D.L. 1983. *Psychronaetes hansenii*, a new genus and species of elaspodan sea
696 cucumber from the eastern central Pacific (Echinodermata: Holothurioidea). Proc.
697 Biol. Soc. Wash., 96, 154-159.

698 Pawson, D.L., Nizinski, M., Lewis, C., Pawson, D. 2015. Deep-sea echinoids and
699 holothurians (Echinodermata) near cold seeps and coral communities in the northern
700 Gulf of Mexico. Bull. Mar. Sci., 91, 167–204. <https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2014.1064>

701 Pérez-Ruzafa, A., Alvarado, J.J. Solís-Marín, F.A., Hernández, J.C., Morata, A., Marcos, C.,
702 Abreu-Pérez, M., Aguilera, O., Alió, J., Bacallado-Aránega, J.J., Barraza, E.,
703 Benavides-Serrato, M., Benítez-Villalobos, F., Betancourt-Fernández, L., Borges,
704 M., Brandt, M., Brogger, M.I., Borrero-Pérez, G.H., Buitrón-Sánchez, B.E., Campos,
705 L.S., Cantera, J.R., Clemente, S., Cohen-Renfijo, M., Coppard, S.E., Costa-Lotufo,
706 L.V., del Valle-García, R., Díaz de Vivar, M.E., Díaz-Martínez, J.P., Díaz, Y., Durán-
707 González, A. Epherra, L., Escolar, M., Francisco, V., Freire, C.A., García-Arrarás,
708 J.E., Gil, D.G., Guarderas, P., Hadel, V.F., Hearn, A., Hernández-Delgado, E.A.,
709 Herrera-Moreno, A., Herrero-Pérezrul, M.D., Hooker, Y., Honey-Escandón, M.B.I.,
710 Lodeiros, C., Luzuriaga, M., Manso, C.L.C., Martín, A., Martínez, M.L., Martínez,
711 S., Moro-Abad, L., Mutschke, E., Navarro, J.C., Neira, R., Noriega, N., Palleiro-
712 Nayar, J. S., Pérez, A. F., Prieto-Ríos, E., Reyes, J., Rodríguez-Barreras, R., Rubilar,
713 T., Sancho-Mejías, T.I., Sangil, C., Silva, J.R.M.C., Sonnenholzner, J.I., Ventura,
714 C.R.R., Y., C.G., Tuya, F., & Williams, S.M. (2013). Appendix. In: Alvarado, J.J.,
715 Solís-Marín, F.A. (Eds.), Echinoderm Research and Diversity in Latin America.
716 Springer, Berlin, p. 543-654.

- 717 Pertossi, R.M., Brogger, M.I., Penchaszadeh, P.E., Martinez, M.I. 2019. Reproduction and
718 developmental stages in the crinoid *Isometra vivipara* Mortensen, 1917 from the
719 southwestern Atlantic. *Pol. Biol.* 42, 807-816. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02477-5)
720 [02477-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-019-02477-5)
- 721 Ramírez-Llodra, E.R., Tyler, P.A., Baker, M.C., Bergstad, O.A., Clark, M.R., Escobar, E.,
722 Levin, L.A., Menot, L., Rowden, A.A., Smith, C.R., Van Dover, C.L. 2011 Man and
723 the last great wilderness: human impact on the deep sea. *PLoS ONE* 6, e22588.
724 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022588>
- 725 Rivadeneira, P.R., Brogger, M.I., Penchaszadeh, P.E. 2017. Aboral brooding in the deep
726 water sea star *Ctenodiscus australis* Lütken, 1871 (Asteroidea) from the Southwestern
727 Atlantic. *Deep-Sea Res. Part I: Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 123, 105-109.
728 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2017.03.011>
- 729 Rivadeneira, P.R., Martinez, M.I., Penchaszadeh, P.E., Brogger, M.I. 2020. Reproduction
730 and description of a new genus and species of deep-sea asteriid sea star
731 (Echinodermata; Asteroidea) from the southwestern Atlantic. *Deep Sea Res. Part I:*
732 *Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 163, 0967-0637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2020.103348>
- 733 Roberts, C.M. 2002. Deep impact: the rising toll of fishing in the deep sea. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*
734 17, 242-245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(02\)02492-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02492-8)
- 735 Rojas-Jiménez, K., Grossart, H.P., Cordes, E., Cortés, J. 2020. Fungal communities in
736 sediments along a depth gradient in the eastern tropical Pacific. *Front. Microbiol.* 11,
737 575207. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.575207>
- 738 Roux, M. 2004. New Hyocrinid crinoids (Echinodermata) from submersible investigations
739 in the Pacific Ocean. *Pac. Sci.* 58, 597-613. <https://doi.org/10.1353/psc.2004.0042>
- 740 Sahling, H., Masson, D.G., Ranero, C.R., Hühnerbach, V., Weinrebe, W., Klauke, I., Bürk,
741 D., Brückmann, W., Suess, E. 2008. Fluid seepage at the continental margin offshore
742 Costa Rica and southern Nicaragua. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9(5), 1-22.
743 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GC001978>
- 744 Sala Constitucional. 2013. Resolución N° 10540-2013 del 7 de agosto de 2013. San José,
745 Costa Rica.
- 746 Selig, G.M., Netburn, A.N., Malik, M. 2019. Distributions of the pelagic holothurian
747 *Pelagothuria* in the Central Pacific Ocean as observed by remotely-operated vehicle
748 surveys. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 6, 684. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00684>
- 749 Setyastuti, A., Wirawati, I. 2018. The Banda Sea: a hotspot of deep-sea echinoderms diversity
750 in Indonesia. *IOP Conf. Series: Earth Environ. Sci.* 184, 012003
751 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/184/1/012003>
- 752 Sibuet, M. 1985. Quantitative distribution of echinoderms (Holothuroidea, Asteroidea,
753 Ophiuroidea, Echinoidea) in relation to organic matter in the sediment, in deep sea
754 basins of the Atlantic Ocean. In: Keegan, B.F. & O'Connor, B.D.S. (Eds.),
755 Echinodermata. Proceedings of the Fifth International Echinoderm Conference,
756 Galway, 24-29.
- 757 Solís-Marín, F.A., Laguarda-Figueras, A., Durán-González, A., Vázquez-Bader, A.R.,
758 Gracia-Gasca, A. 2014. Biodiversidad de los equinodermos (Echinodermata) del mar
759 profundo mexicano. In: Low-Pfeng, A., Peters, E. (Eds.), *La frontera final: el océano*
760 *profundo*. INECC, México, p. 207-246.
- 761 Solís-Marín, F.A., Alvarado, J.J., Abreu-Pérez, M., Aguilera, O., Alió, J., Bacallado-Aránega,
762 J.J., Barraza, E., Benavides-Serrato, M., Benítez-Villalobos, F., Betancourt-
763 Fernández, L., Borges, M., Brandt, M., Brogger, M.I., Helena Borrero-Pérez, G.,

764 Buitrón-Sánchez, B.E., Campos, L.S., Cantera, J., Clemente, S., Cohen-Renfijo, M.,
765 Coppard, S., Costa-Lotufó, L.V., del Valle-García, R., Díaz, Y., Díaz de Vivar, M.E.,
766 Díaz-Martínez, J.P., Durán-González, A., Epherra, L., Escolar, M., Francisco, V.,
767 Freire, C.A., García-Arrarás, J.E., Gil, D.G., Guarderas, P., Hadel, V.F., Hearn, A.,
768 Hernández, J.C., Hernández-Delgado, E.A., Herrera-Moreno, A., Herrero-Pérezrull,
769 M.D., Hooker, Y., Honey-Escandón, M.B.I., Lodeiros, C., Luzuriaga, M., Manso,
770 C.L.C., Martín, A., Martínez, M.I., Martínez, S., Moro-Abad, L., Mutschke, E.,
771 Navarro, J.C., Neira, R., Noriega, N., Palleiro-Nayar, J.S., Pérez, A.F., Pérez-Ruzafa,
772 A., Prieto-Rios, E., Reyes, J., Rodríguez, R., Rubilar, T., Sancho-Mejia, T., Sangil,
773 C., Silva, J.R.M.C., Sonnenholzner, J.I., Ventura, C.R., Tablado, A., Tavares, Y.,
774 Tiago, C.G., Tuya, F., Williams, S.M. (2013). Appendix. In: Alvarado, J.J., Solís-
775 Marín, F.A. (Eds.), *Echinoderm Research and Diversity in Latin America*. Springer,
776 Berlin, p. 543-654.

777 Stöhr, S., Segonzac, M. 2005. Deep-sea ophiuroids (Echinodermata) from reducing and non-
778 reducing environments in the North Atlantic Ocean. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K.* 85, 383-
779 402. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315405011318h>

780 Stöhr, S., O'Hara, T.D. 2021. Deep-sea Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata) from the Danish
781 Galathea II Expedition, 1950–52, with taxonomic revisions. *Zootaxa*, 4963, 505-529.
782 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4963.3.6>

783 Stöhr, S., O'Hara, T.D., Thuy, B. 2012. Global diversity of brittle stars (Echinodermata:
784 Ophiuroidea). *PLoS ONE* 7, e31940. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031940>

785 Stöhr, S., O'Hara, T., Thuy, B. 2022. World Ophiuroidea Database. Accessed through: World
786 Register of Marine Species at:
787 <https://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=123598> on 2022-04-08

788 Summers, M.M., Al-Hakim, I.I., Rouse, G.W. 2014. Turbo-taxonomy: 21 new species of
789 Myzostomida (Annelida). *Zootaxa* 3873, 301-344.
790 <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3873.4.1>

791 Sweetman, A.K., Thurber, A.R., Smith, C.R., Levin, L.A., Mora, C., Wei, C.L., Gooday, A.J.,
792 Jones, D.O.B., Rex, M., Yasuhara, M., Ingels, J., Ruhl, H.A., Frieder, C.A., Danovaro,
793 R., Würzberg, L., Baco, A., Grupe, B.M., Pasulka, A., Meye, K.S., Dunlop, K.M.,
794 Henry, L.A., Roberts, J.M. 2017. Major impacts of climate change on deep-sea
795 benthic ecosystems. *Elementa Sci. Anthrop.* 5, 4.
796 <https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.203>

797 Thurber, A.R., Sweetman, A.K., Narayanaswamy, B.E., Jones, D.O.B., Ingels, J., Hansman,
798 R.L. 2014. Ecosystem functions and services in the deep sea. *Biogeosciences* 11,
799 3941-3963. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3941-2014>

800 Townsend, C.H. 1901. Dredging and other records of the United States Fish Commission
801 Steamer “Albatross”, with bibliography relative to the work of the vessel. *U.S. Fish.*
802 *Comm. Rep.* 1900, 387-562.

803 UNESCO. 2009. Global Open Oceans and Deep Seabed (GOODS) – biogeographic
804 classification. *IOC Technical Series*, Vol. 84. UNESCO-IOC, Paris.

805 Van Dover, C. 2011. Tighten regulations on deep-sea mining. *Nature* 470, 31-33.
806 <https://doi.org/10.1038/470031a>

807 Voss, G.L. 1971. Narrative of RV John Elliot Pillsbury Cruise P-7101: Central America,
808 January 20-February 5, 1971. Miami, Florida: School of Marine and Atmospheric
809 Science, University of Miami.

- 810 Waller, R., Watling, L., Auster, P., Shank, T. 2007. Anthropogenic impacts on the Corner
811 Rise seamounts, north-west Atlantic Ocean. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* 87, 1075-107.
812 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315407057785>
- 813 Wehrtmann, I.S., Nielsen-Muñoz, V. 2009. The deepwater fishery along the Pacific coast of
814 Costa Rica, Central America. *Lat. Amer. J. Aquat. Res.* 37, 543-554.
815 <https://doi.org/10.3856/vol37-issue3-fulltext-19>
- 816 Wehrtmann, I.S., Arana, P.M., Barriga, E., Gracia, A., Pezzuto, P.R. 2012. Deep-water
817 shrimp fisheries in Latin America: a review. *Lat. Amer. J. Aquat. Res.* 40, 497-535.
818 <https://doi.org/10.3856/vol40-issue3-fulltext-2>
- 819 Wheat, C.G., Hartwell, A.M., McManus, J., Fisher, A.T., Orcutt, B.N., Schlicht, L.E.M.,
820 Niedenzu, S., Bach, W. 2019. Geology and fluid discharge at Dorado Outcrop, a low
821 temperature ridge-flank hydrothermal system. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 20, 487-
822 504. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC007933>
- 823 Worm, B., Barbier, E.B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, J.E., Folke, C., Halpern, B.S., Watson, R.
824 2006. Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. *Science* 314, 787-
825 790. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132294>
- 826 WoRMS Editorial Board. 2022. World Register of Marine Species. Available from
827 <https://www.marinespecies.org>. doi: <https://doi.org/10.14284/170>
- 828

829 Table 1. Taxonomic list of deep-sea echinoderms from Costa Rican waters. CR: Costa Rica; CA: Central America; P: Pacific; C:
830 Caribbean; PC: Pacific and Caribbean; n.d: no data; ETP: Eastern Tropical Pacific; CAS: California Academy of Sciences; SIO: Scripps
831 Institution of Oceanography; NMHN: National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution; MCZ: Museum of Comparative
832 Zoology, Harvard University; MZUCR: Museo de Zoología, Universidad de Costa Rica. + = deeper depth range; * = shallower depth
833 range. ε: Eastern Tropical Pacific endemic.
834

Class	Order	Family	Scientific name	P	C	PC	Depth range Costa Rica (m)	CR new report	CA new report	Reported depth range (Solís-Marín et al. 2013)	References
Crinoidea	Comatulida	Antedonidae	<i>cf. Antedon</i> sp.	X			1246-1390	X	X	n.d.	SIO; Summers et al., 2014
			<i>Fariometra parvula</i>	X			1788-1789			n.d	Hartlaub, 1895; A.H. Clark, 1917
		Bathycrinidae	<i>Bathycrinus mendeleevi</i>	X			1371	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
		Bourgueticrinidae	<i>Bourgueticrinus</i> sp.	X			3031	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
		Comasteridae	<i>Neocomatella pulchella</i>		X		1095 ⁺			3-695	Cambroneró et al., 2019
		Thalassometridae	<i>Thalassometra agassizii</i>	X			1030-1180			596-1429	SIO
		Zenometridae	<i>Psathyrometra fragilis?</i>	X			644-1908	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
	<i>Psathyrometra</i> sp.		X			644-1148	X	X	n.d	SIO	
	Hyocrinida	Hyocrinidae	<i>Calamocrinus diomedae</i>	X			714-2209 ⁺	X		717-1431	SIO; Roux, 2004
			<i>Calamocrinus</i> sp.	X			1158	X	X	717-1158	SIO
<i>Hyocrinus</i> sp.			X			1087-1807	X	X	n.d.	SIO	
Asteroidea	Spinulosida	<i>Echinasteridae</i>	<i>Henricia</i> sp.	X			1071	X	X	0-2001	SIO
	Paxillosida	Astropectinidae	<i>Astropecten benthophilus</i> ε	X			1408			1408	USNM; MCZ; Ludwig, 1905
			<i>Leptychaster inermis</i>	X			1143-1408			732-1593	Ludwig, 1905; Fisher, 1928
			<i>Persephonaster armiger</i>	X			1951			n.d., ETP new report	Ludwig, 1905

Class	Order	Family	Scientific name	P	C	PC	Depth range Costa Rica (m)	CR new report	CA new report	Reported depth range (Solis-Marin et al. 2013)	References		
Ophiuroidea			<i>Tethyaster canaliculatus</i> ε	X			1908 ⁺	X		23-300	SIO		
			<i>Thrissacanthias penicillatus</i>	X			1000	X	X	55-1503	SIO		
			<i>Thrissacanthias</i> sp.	X			1119-1281	X	X	n.d.	MZUCR		
		Porcellanasteridae	<i>Porcellanaster ceruleus</i>	X			1408-2149				1158-6035	USNM; Ludwig, 1905	
			<i>Eremicaster pacificus</i>	X			2149				1463-5780	USNM; Ludwig, 1905	
		Pseudarchasteridae	<i>Pseudarchaster</i> spp.	X			1119-1281	X			98-3575	MZUCR	
		Notomyotida	Benthopectinidae	<i>Cheiraster (Cheiraster) planus</i>		X		485-596				226-1339	Cambroner et al., 2019
				<i>Pectinaster agassizi</i>	X			1789-1951				790-2323	USNM; Ludwig, 1905
				<i>Benthopecten acanthonotus</i>	X			1157-1454	X			1800-1936	SIO
				<i>Benthopecten spinuliger</i> ε	X			1789-1847				1618-2323	USNM; Ludwig, 1905
	Valvatida	Asterinidae?	<i>cf. Patiria</i>	X			950	X	X		n.d.	SIO	
			<i>Bathyceramaster elegans</i> ε	X			1789	X	X		1789, ETP new report	USNM; Ludwig, 1905	
		Goniasteridae	<i>cf. Ceramaster</i> sp.	X			910-1000	X	X		n.d.	SIO	
			<i>Evoplosoma claguei?</i>	X			1097	X	X		n.d.	SIO	
			<i>cf. Hippasteria cf. phrygiana</i>	X			910 ⁺	X	X		0-400	SIO	
			<i>Nymphaster diomedae</i> ε	X			1000-1408				702-1810	SIO; USNM; Ludwig, 1905; Fisher, 1928	
			<i>Pillsburiaster ernesti</i> ε	X			2149				2149	USNM; Ludwig, 1905	
	Velatida	Caymanostellidae	<i>Belyaevostella</i> sp.	X			967-1005	X	X		n.d., ETP new report	SIO	
			<i>Caymanostella</i> sp.	X			974-1887	X	X		n.d., ETP new report	SIO	
	Euryalida	Asteronychidae	<i>Astrodia plana</i> ε	X			1807-2109	X			717-3058	SIO	
<i>Asteroschema</i> sp.			X			1097-1854	X			350-1271	SIO		
Euryalidae		<i>Asteroschema sublaeve</i>	X			1119-1281	X			1271	MZUCR		
		<i>Ophiocreas</i> sp.	X			1097-1886 ⁺	X	X		n.d.	SIO		

Class	Order	Family	Scientific name	P	C	PC	Depth range Costa Rica (m)	CR new report	CA new report	Reported depth range (Solis-Marin et al. 2013)	References
		Gorgonocephalidae	<i>Gorgonocephalus</i> cf. <i>chilensis</i>	X			665	X	X	4-900	SIO
			<i>Gorgonocephalus diomedea</i>	X			1009	X		1271	SIO
			<i>Gorgonocephalus</i> sp.	X			1004-1040			n.d.	SIO
	Ophiacanthida	Ophiacanthidae	<i>Ophiacantha quadrispina</i>	X			156-273			183-549	MZUCR
			<i>Ophiacantha similis</i>	X			130-1180*			717-1097	SIO
			<i>Ophiacantha</i> sp.	X			606-1908			0-5203	SIO
			<i>Ophiochondrus</i> sp.	X			967-1751	X	X	n.d.	SIO
			<i>Ophiambix</i> sp.	X			988-2091	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
			<i>Ophiomitra</i> sp.	X			741-745	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
		Ophiotomidae	<i>Ophiotoma paucispina</i>	X			2149			1643-4082	Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
			<i>Ophiotreta</i> sp.	X			1009	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
	Ophioscolecida	Ophioscolecidae	<i>Ophiolycus</i> sp.?	X			997-998	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
	Ophioleucida	Ophioleucidae	<i>Ophioleuce gracilis</i>	X			1751-1908	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
	Ophiurida	Ophiuridae	<i>Ophiocten hastatum</i>	X			1157-1847			1159-2877	USNM; SIO; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
			<i>Ophiura flagellata</i>	X			1662			128-2014	SIO
			<i>Ophiura</i> sp.	X			1000-1866			n.d.	SIO
			<i>Ophiura (Ophiura) nana</i>	X			1650			1650	USNM; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
		Ophiopyrgidae	<i>Amphiophiura abcisa</i>	X			245			245-3714	USNM; MCZ; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
			<i>Amphiophiura paucisquama</i> ε	X			820			n.d.	MZUCR
			<i>Ophiuroglypha irrorata irrorata</i>	X			1951-2149			405-5869	USNM; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
			<i>Ophiuroglypha</i> sp.	X			974-1866			0-5869	SIO
			<i>Stegophiura</i> sp.	X			1791-1800	X	X	n.d.	SIO
Ophiomusaidae		<i>Ophiomusa faceta</i> ?	X			1009	X	X	n.d.	SIO	
		<i>Ophiomusa lymani</i>	X			1408-2149			51-2906	USNM; SIO; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899	

Class	Order	Family	Scientific name	P	C	PC	Depth range Costa Rica (m)	CR new report	CA new report	Reported depth range (Solis-Marin et al. 2013)	References
		Ophiosthalmidae	<i>Ophiosthalma glabrum</i>	X			1157-3400			878-5203	USNM; SIO; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
		Amphilepididae	<i>Amphilepis patens</i>	X			1157-1454	X		304-4087	SIO
	Amphilepida	Amphiuridae	<i>Amphiura koreae</i>	X			1157-1454	X	X	n.d.	SIO
			<i>Amphiura serpentina</i>	X			1157-1454	X		770-1865	SIO
			<i>Amphiura seminuda</i>	X			1157-1454	X	X	9-4096	SIO
		Ophiothamniidae	<i>Histampica duplicata</i>	X			156-256			125-2870	MZUCR
		Hemieuryalidae	<i>Ophiozonella alba</i> ϵ	X			1408-2149			1408-2487	USNM; Lütken & Mortensen, 1899
		Ophiolepididae	<i>Ophiolepis</i> sp.	X			1157-1454	X		0-230.	SIO
Ophiacanthidae	<i>Ophiolimna bairdi</i>	X			1157-1454	X	X	n.d.	SIO		
Holothuroidea	Apodida	Chiridotidae	<i>Chiridota?</i>	X			1408			n.d.	SIO
	Dendrochiroidea	Cucumariidae	<i>Abyssocucumis abyssorum</i>	X			2149			3241-4000	USNM; Ludwig, 1894
		Psolidae	<i>Psolus</i> aff. <i>diomedaeae</i> ϵ	X			1157-1454 ⁺			13-302	USNM; SIO
		Ypsilothuriidae	<i>Ypsilothuria bitentaculata</i>	X			974-1866			255-4082	SIO
	Elasipodida	Elpidiidae	<i>Achlyonice</i> sp.	X			1886-1869	X	X	n.d.	SIO
			cf. <i>Peniagone</i> sp.	X			1859-1868	X	X	n.d.	SIO
			<i>Peniagone vitrea</i>	X			1789-2149			1160-4507	USNM; Ludwig, 1894
		Laetmogonidae	<i>Benthogone?</i> sp.	X			1854-1886			n.d., ETP new report	SIO
			<i>Pannychia</i> sp.	X			924-1908			n.d.	SIO
			<i>Pannychia moseleyi</i>	X			1408			199-2599	USNM; Ludwig, 1894
			<i>Psychronaetes</i> sp.	X			1097-1982	X	X	3852-4289	SIO
		Pelagothuriidae	<i>Pelagothuria natatrix</i> ϵ	X			982-1650			0-4505	SIO; Ludwig, 1894; Selig et al. 2019
	Psychropotidae	<i>Benthodytes sanguinolenta</i>	X	X	X	385-3453 ⁺			P=978-2323; C=914-3100	USNM; SIO; Ludwig, 1894; Cambroner et al., 2019	
	Holothuriida	Mesothuriidae	<i>Mesothuria multipes</i> ϵ	X			2149			725-4064	USNM; Ludwig, 1894
<i>Zygothuria lactea</i>				X		704-1292			484-5100	Cambroner et al., 2019	

Class	Order	Family	Scientific name	P	C	PC	Depth range Costa Rica (m)	CR new report	CA new report	Reported depth range (Solis-Marín et al. 2013)	References	
		Caudinidae		X			1782			0-2850	SIO	
	Molpadida	Molpadiidae	<i>Molpadia granulata</i> ϵ	X			2690			2690-5869	Ludwig, 1894	
			<i>Molpadia musculus</i>	X			1951-2149			37-6134	USNM	
	Synallactida	Deimatidae	<i>Deima validum pacificum</i>	X			1789-2149			1618-2487	USNM; Ludwig, 1894	
			<i>Deima validum validum</i>		X		1017-1300			914-2780	Cambroneró et al., 2019	
			<i>Orphnurgus vitreus</i>	X			1847	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	USNM	
			<i>Oneirophanta setigera</i>	X			2149	X	X	3667-4088	USNM; Ludwig, 1894	
		Synallactidae	<i>Bathyplores natans</i>		X			496-1308			210-1644	Cambroneró et al., 2019
			<i>Bathyplores</i> sp.		X			677-1908			n.d.	USNM; SIO
			<i>Oloughlinius macdonaldi</i> ϵ	X				2149 ⁺	X	X	1644	USNM; Ludwig, 1894
	<i>Synallactes</i> cf. <i>chuni</i>		X				1000	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO	
	<i>Synallactes</i> sp.	X				910-1065			n.d.	SIO		
	Perciculida	<i>incertae sedis</i>	<i>Benthothuria funebris</i>		X		1225			n.d.	Cambroneró et al., 2019	
			cf. <i>Hadalothuria</i> sp.	X			1065	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO	
			<i>Hansenothuria</i> sp.		X			742-1481			n.d.	Cambroneró et al., 2019
		Gephyrothuriidae	<i>Paroriza pallens</i>		X			385-1481			n.d.	Cambroneró et al., 2019
			<i>Paroriza</i> sp.		X			987-1046	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	USNM
		Pseudostichopodidae	<i>Pseudostichopus mollis</i>	X				245-1951			100-5203	USNM, Ludwig, 1894
			<i>Pseudostichopus peripatus</i>	X				1789			1158-3667	USNM
	<i>Pseudostichopus</i> sp.		X				1951			n.d.	USNM	
Echinoidea	Cidaroida	Cidaridae	<i>Centrocidaris doederleini</i> ϵ	X			265			87-550	SIO; Cortés & Blum, 2008	
		Histiocidaridae	<i>Histiocidaris variabilis</i>	X			571	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO	
	Camarodonta	Strongylocentrotidae?		X			1782	X	X	0-1200	SIO	
	Echinothurioida	Echinothuriidae	<i>Araeosoma leptaleum</i>	X			964-1271	X	X	740-1046	SIO	
			<i>Tromikosoma</i> cf. <i>tenue</i>	X			2067	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO	

Class	Order	Family	Scientific name	P	C	PC	Depth range Costa Rica (m)	CR new report	CA new report	Reported depth range (Solis-Marin et al. 2013)	References
			<i>Tromikosoma hispidum</i>	X			2067-2149			1820-3375	SIO; USNM; A. Agassiz, 1904
	Aspidodiadem atoida	Aspidodiademataidae	<i>Aspidodiadema hawaiiense</i>	X			1003	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
<i>Plesiodiadema?</i>			X			1807-2109			n.d.	SIO	
<i>Plesiodiadema horridum</i> ε			X			1650-2149			1625-3381	USNM, A. Agassiz, 1898; 1904	
	Pedinoida	Pedinidae	<i>Caenopedina hawaiiensis</i>	X			758-2209	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	SIO
			<i>Caenopedina diomedea</i>	X			759-966	X		723-850	SIO
	Salenioida	Saleniidae	<i>Salenocidaris miliaris</i>	X			2149			1159-3376	A. Agassiz, 1898; 1904
	Clypeasteroida	Clypeasteridae	<i>Clypeaster euclastus</i>		X		1050 ⁺			36-530	Cambroner et al .,2019
		Asterostomatidae	<i>Phrissocystis aculeata</i>	X			1951	X	X	n.d., ETP new report	USNM; A. Agassiz, 1898; 1904
	Spatangoida	Brissidae	<i>Brissopsis elongata</i>		X		302-329			3-270	USNM
		Loveniidae	<i>Araeolampas hastata</i> ε	X			1847			1785-3376	MCZ; A. Agassiz, 1898; 1904
		Macropneustidae	<i>Argopatus aculeata</i> ε	X			1951			1952	A. Agassiz, 1898
		Aeropsidae	<i>Aeropsis fulva</i>	X			2149			1455-5200	A. Agassiz, 1898
			TOTAL	112	13	1		58	46		

836 Table 2. Number of deep-sea echinoderms taxa at different taxonomic levels from Costa
 837 Rican waters.

838

Class	Orders	Families	Genus	Confirmed species	Morphospecies	Pacific	Caribbean
Crinoidea	2	7	9	5	10	10	1
Asteroidea	5	8	21	14	24	23	1
Ophiuroidea	6	17	25	22	37	37	0
Holothuroidea	7	15	25	19	35	27	9
Echinoidea	8	13	13	15	18	16	2
Total	28	60	93	75	124	112	13

839

Class	Orders	Families	Genus	Species	Morphospecies	Caribbean	Pacific
Crinoidea	2	7	9	5	5	1	10
Asteroidea	5	8	21	14	10	1	23
Ophiuroidea	6	17	25	22	15	0	37
Holothuroidea	7	15	25	19	16	9	27
Echinoidea	8	13	13	15	3	2	16
Total	28	60	93	75	49	13	112

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886

Table 3. Biogeographic distribution of Costa Rican deep sea echinoderms species based on the Ocean Biodiversity Information System (www.obis.org) and the World Register of Marine Species (www.marinespecies.org), and according to the marine biogeographic realms proposed by Costello et al (2017). Realms: 1) Inner Baltic Sea; 2) Black Sea; 3) NE Atlantic; 4) Norwegian Sea; 5) Mediterranean; 6) Artic; 7) North Pacific; 8) North American Boreal; 9) Mid-Tropical North Pacific Ocean; 10) South-east Pacific; 11) Tropical W Atlantic; 12) Tropical E Pacific; 13) Tropical Indo-Pacific and Coastal Indian Ocean; 14) Red Sea; 15) Tasman Sea and SW Pacific; 16) Tropical Australia and Coral Sea; 17) Mid South Tropical Pacific; 18) Offshore and NW Atlantic; 19) Offshore Indian Ocean; 20) Offshore W Pacific; 21) Offshore S Atlantic; 22) Offshore mid-E Pacific; 23) Tropical E Atlantic; 24) Argentina; 25) Chile; 26) South Australia; 27) South Africa; 28) New Zealand; 29) North West Pacific; 30) Southern Ocean ; n.d.: no data.

Class	Species	Biogeographic distribution
Crinoidea	cf. <i>Antedon</i> sp.	4,3,5,9,11,13,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,23,28,30
	<i>Fariometra parvula</i>	12
	<i>Bathycrinus mendeleevi</i>	13
	<i>Bourgueticrinus</i> sp.	n.d.
	<i>Neocomatella pulchella</i>	11
	<i>Thalassometra agassizii</i>	12
	<i>Psathyrometra fragilis?</i>	13
	<i>Psathyrometra</i> sp.	7,9,10,12,17,20,26,28
	<i>Calamocrinus diomedae</i>	12,26
	<i>Calamocrinus</i> sp.	12,26
	<i>Hyocrinus</i> sp.	7,12,22
	Asteroidea	<i>Henricia</i> sp.
<i>Astropecten benthophilus</i>		12
<i>Leptychaster inermis</i>		7,12
<i>Persephonaster armiger</i>		19
<i>Tethyaster canaliculatus</i>		12
<i>Thrissacanthias penicillatus</i>		7,12,20
<i>Thrissacanthias</i> sp.		7,12,20
<i>Porcellanaster ceruleus</i>		3,11,12,13,16,17,18,20,23,26,28
<i>Eremicaster pacificus</i>		7,12,13,17
<i>Pseudarchaster</i> spp.		worldwide
<i>Cheiraster (Cheiraster) planus</i>		11
<i>Pectinaster agassizi</i>		7,12
<i>Benthopecten acanthonotus</i>		7,12

Class	Species	Biogeographic distribution
	<i>Benthopecten spinuliger</i>	12
	cf. <i>Patiria</i>	7,10,12,20,29
	<i>Bathyceramaster elegans</i>	12
	cf. <i>Ceramaster</i> sp.	worldwide
	<i>Evoplosoma claguei?</i>	7,12
	cf. <i>Hippasteria</i> cf. <i>phrygiana</i>	3,4,7,10,11,12,18,20,21,24,28,29,30
	<i>Nymphaster diomedea</i>	12
	<i>Pillsburiaster ernesti</i>	12
	<i>Crossaster borealis?</i>	7,12
	<i>Belyaevostella</i> sp.	16
	<i>Caymanostella</i> sp.	7,12,28,11,13,16,26
Ophiuroidea	<i>Astrodia plana</i>	12
	<i>Asteroschema</i> sp.	7,10,11,12,13,15,16,18,25,28
	<i>Asteroschema sublaeve</i>	7,12
	<i>Ophiocreas</i> sp.	11,13,15,16,17,21,26,27,28
	<i>Gorgonocephalus</i> cf. <i>chilensis</i>	24,25,28,30
	<i>Gorgonocephalus diomedea</i>	12
	<i>Gorgonocephalus</i> sp.	7,6,11,12,13,16,18,20,21,22,24,25,28,29
	<i>Ophiacantha quadrispina</i>	12
	<i>Ophiacantha similis</i>	12
	<i>Ophiacantha</i> sp.	worldwide
	<i>Ophiochondrus</i> sp.	5,11,16,21,24,30
	<i>Ophiambix</i> sp.	11,13,18,20,22,28
	<i>Ophiomitra</i> sp.	3,11,12,13,16,18,20
	<i>Ophiotoma paucispina</i>	12
	<i>Ophiotreta</i> sp.	11,12,13,15,16,17,19,20,22,23,26,28,29
	<i>Ophiolycus</i> sp.?	3,4,13,16,24,26,28,30,4,3
	<i>Ophioleuce gracilis</i>	n.d
	<i>Ophiocten hastatum</i>	3,7,11,12,18,20,21,26,28,30
	<i>Ophiura flagellata</i>	7,12,13,16,20,26,27,28,30
	<i>Ophiura</i> sp.	worldwide
	<i>Ophiura (Ophiura) nana</i>	12
	<i>Amphiophiura abcisa</i>	10,12
	<i>Amphiophiura paucisquama</i>	12
	<i>Ophiuroglypha irrorata irrorata</i>	3,7,10,11,12,16,18,19,20,22,26,27,28
	<i>Ophiuroglypha</i> sp.	worldwide
	<i>Stegophiura</i> sp.	3,4,7,8,12,13,16,17,18,20,25,26,28,29
	<i>Ophiomusa faceta?</i>	13
<i>Ophiomusa lymani</i>	3,7,8,11,12,13,15,16,20,22,23,26,27,28	


Class	Species	Biogeographic distribution
	<i>Ophiosphalma glabrum</i>	7,10,12,13,15,16,22,28
	<i>Amphilepis patens</i>	7,12,20
	<i>Amphiura koreae</i>	20,29
	<i>Amphiura serpentina</i>	7,12
	<i>Amphiura seminuda</i>	10,12
	<i>Histampica duplicata</i>	3,9,11,12,13,15,16,17,18,28
	<i>Ophiozonella alba</i>	12
	<i>Ophiolepis</i> sp.	3,9,11,12,13,15,16,17,21,23,27,28
	<i>Ophiolimna bairdi</i>	3,7,12,11,16,18,20,26,28
	<i>Ophiomitra</i> sp.	11,12,13,16,18,20
Holothuroidea	<i>Chiridota?</i>	worldwide
	<i>Abyssoecumis abyssorum</i>	3,7,12,18,25,26,28,30
	<i>Psolus</i> aff. <i>diomedaeae</i>	12
	<i>Ypsilothuria bitentaculata</i>	3,5,7,10,12,13,16,18,20,21,25,26,28
	<i>Achlyonice</i> sp.	3,12,20,21,26,28,30
	cf. <i>Peniagone</i> sp.	3,7,10,12,16,18,19,20,22,23,26,28,30
	<i>Peniagone vitrea</i>	7,12,22,26,28
	<i>Benthogone?</i> sp.	3,13,16,18,21,27,28
	<i>Pannychia</i> sp.	6,7,9,10,12,13,20,26,28,30
	<i>Pannychia moseleyi</i>	6,7,9,10,12,13,20,26,28
	<i>Psychronaetes</i> sp.	11,12,22
	<i>Pelagothuria natatrix</i>	12
	<i>Benthodytes sanguinolenta</i>	11,12
	<i>Mesothuria multipes</i>	12
	<i>Zygothuria lactea</i>	3,11,18
	<i>Molpadia granulata</i>	12
	<i>Molpadia musculus</i>	3,4,5,6,7,8,11,12,13,16,18,21,23,26,28,30
	<i>Deima validum pacificum</i>	n.d.
	<i>Deima validum validum</i>	3,11,12,13,18,22,23,26,27,28
	<i>Orphnurgus vitreus</i>	9,12,13
	<i>Oneirophanta setigera</i>	12,17,22,28
	<i>Bathyploetes natans</i>	3,4,9,11,12,13,18,21,26,28
	<i>Bathyploetes</i> sp.	3,4,7,9,10,12,13,16,17,18,20,21,24,25,26,28,30
	<i>Oloughlinius macdonaldi</i>	12
	<i>Synallactes</i> cf. <i>chuni</i>	20
	<i>Synallactes</i> sp.	3,7,10,11,12,13,20,21,22,24,26,27,28
	<i>Benthothuria funebris</i>	3,8,11,18
cf. <i>Hadalothuria</i> sp.	13,20	

Class	Species	Biogeographic distribution
	<i>Hansenothuria</i> sp.	11,12
	<i>Paroriza pallens</i>	3,18,21,27
	<i>Paroriza</i> sp.	3,5,11,13,18,21,22
	<i>Pseudostichopus mollis</i>	7,10,12,13,25,26,28,30
	<i>Pseudostichopus peripatus</i>	3,7,9,11,12,13,18,20,21,22,26,28,30
	<i>Pseudostichopus</i> sp.	3,7,9,10,11,12,13,20,22,23,26,27,28,30
Echinoidea	<i>Centrocidaris doederleini</i>	12
	<i>Histocidaris variabilis</i>	9
	<i>Araeosoma leptaleum</i>	7,12
	<i>Tromikosoma</i> cf. <i>tenue</i>	7,13,15,20
	<i>Tromikosoma hispidum</i>	10,12
	<i>Aspidodiadema hawaiiense</i>	9
	<i>Plesiadiadema?</i>	11,12,13,21,22,26,27
	<i>Plesiadiadema horridum</i>	12
	<i>Caenopedina hawaiiensis</i>	9,28
	<i>Caenopedina diomedae</i>	7,12
	<i>Salenocidaris militaris</i>	13
	<i>Clypeaster euclastus</i>	11
	<i>Argopatagus aculeata</i>	12
	<i>Brissopsis elongata</i>	11
	<i>Araeolampas hastata</i>	12
	<i>Argopatagus aculeata</i>	12
<i>Aeropsis fulva</i>	7,10,12,20	

887


888

889



890

891 Figure 1. Sampling localities of deep-sea echinoderms recorded on museum collections
 892 (Scripps Institution of Oceanography, National Museum of Natural History of Smithsonian
 893 Institution, Museum of Comparative Zoology of Harvard University, Museo de Zoología
 894 Universidad de Costa Rica) from the Costa Rican Economic Exclusive Zone.




895
896
897

Figure 2. Bathymetric variation in deep sea echinoderm species richness by class.

In review

898



899

900 Figure 3. Number of echinoderms species registered by museum collection or literature for
901 Costa Rica deep waters. Scripps Oceanographic Institution (SIO); National Museum of
902 Natural History, Smithsonian Institution (NMNH); Museum of Comparative Zoology,
903 Harvard University (MCZ); Museo de Zoología, Universidad de Costa Rica (MZUCR).


904

905

906

907

908



909

910 Figure 4. A Non-metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling based on a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix
 911 of the presence/absence of the biogeographic affinities of Costa Rica deep sea echinoderms.
 912 Vectors indicated the greatest contributions of the realms based on the SIMPER analysis.
 913 Number is blue refer to the marine biogeographic realms proposed by Costello et al (2017)
 914 in table 3.

915

916

917

918



919
 920 Figure 5. Costa Rican deep-sea echinoderms. A) Antedonidae sp.; B) Antedonidae sp.; C)
 921 *Ceramaster* sp.; D) *Astroschema* sp.; E) *Ophiacantha* sp. and the fish *Lophiodes caularis*
 922 (Lophiidae); F) *Synallactida* sp.; G) *Psychropotidae* sp.; H) *Peniagone* sp.; I) *Caenopedina*
 923 sp.; J) *Aspidodiadema* sp. Images were taken by the HOV Alvin and ROV SuBastian onboard
 924 of the Costa Rica expeditions.

Figure 1.JPEG




Figure 2.TIF




Figure 3.TIF




Figure 4.TIF




Figure 5.JPG

