

Addressing nutrient shortfalls in 1–5 year old Irish children using diet modeling:**Development of a protocol for use in country-specific population health**

Oonagh C. Lyons^{1,2}, Maeve A. Kerr², Helene McNulty², Fiona Ward³, Janette Walton⁴, M. Barbara E. Livingstone², Breige A. McNulty⁵, Laura Kehoe⁶, Pamela A. Byrne¹, Ita Saul³, Mary A. T. Flynn^{1,2}

Author Affiliations: ¹Food Safety Authority of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland (OCL, PAB, MATF) and ²Nutrition Innovation Centre for Food and Health, School of Biomedical Sciences, Ulster University, Coleraine, Northern Ireland, UK (OCL, MAK, HM, MBEL and MATF) and ³Children's Health Ireland at Crumlin, Dublin, Ireland (FW and IS) and ⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Munster Technological University, Cork, Ireland (JW), and ⁵UCD Institute of Food and Health, School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland (BAM) and ⁶School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland (LK).

Corresponding Author: Professor Mary Flynn, Chief Specialist Public Health Nutrition, Food Safety Authority of Ireland, Dublin, Ireland.

Tel: +353 87 258 2720, E-mail: mflynn@fsai.ie

Short running head: Addressing nutrient shortfalls in 1–5 year olds

Sources of Funding: This research did not receive any external funding.

Data share statement: Data described in the manuscript, code book, and analytic code will be made available upon request, pending application and approval from the IUNA Data Access committee.

Abbreviations used: AI, adequate intake; AMDR, acceptable macronutrient distribution range; AR, average requirement; BMR, basal metabolic rate; DFE, dietary folate equivalents;

DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; DRV, dietary reference value; DYC, drink for young children with added nutrients; EAR, estimated average requirement; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FBDG, food-based dietary guidelines; FUF, follow-up formula; HIC, high-income countries; IOM, Institute of Medicine; LMIC, low- and middle-income countries; NDNS, National Diet and Nutrition Survey; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; NPNS, National Pre-School Nutrition Survey; PRI, population reference intake; RDA, recommended dietary allowance; RI, reference intake; UK, United Kingdom; UL, tolerable upper level; URs, under-reporters; WHO, World Health Organization

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Background:** Dietary habits formed in early childhood can track into later life with
3 important impacts on health. Food-based dietary guidelines (FBDG) may have a role in
4 improving population health but are lacking for young children.

5 **Objective:** To establish a protocol for addressing nutrient shortfalls in 1-5-y-old children (12-
6 60 months) using diet modeling in a population-based sample.

7 **Design:** Secondary analysis of the 2010-2011 Irish National Pre-School Nutrition Survey
8 data (n 500) was conducted to identify typical food consumption patterns in 1-5-y-olds.
9 Nutrient intakes were assessed against dietary reference values (European Food Safety
10 Authority [EFSA] and Institute of Medicine [IOM]). To address nutrient shortfalls using diet
11 modeling, 4-day food patterns were developed to assess different milk-feeding scenarios
12 (human milk, whole or low-fat cow's milk and fortified milks) within energy requirement
13 ranges aligned with World Health Organization (WHO) growth standards. FBDG to address
14 nutrient shortfalls were established based on 120 food patterns.

15 **Results:** Current mean dietary intakes for the majority of 1-5-y-olds failed to meet reference
16 values (EFSA) for vitamin D ($\leq 100\%$), vitamin E ($\leq 88\%$), docosahexaenoic/eicosapentaenoic
17 acid (DHA+EPA; IOM; $\leq 82\%$) and fiber ($\leq 63\%$), while free sugars intakes exceeded
18 recommendations of $<10\%$ energy (E) for 48% of 1-3-y-olds and 75% of 4-5-y-olds. 'Human
19 milk + Cow's milk' was the only milk-feeding scenario modeled that predicted sufficient
20 DHA+EPA among 1-3-y-olds. Vitamin D shortfalls were not correctable in any milk-feeding
21 scenario, even with supplementation ($5 \mu\text{g/d}$), apart from the 'Follow-up Formula + Fortified
22 drink' scenario in 1-3-y-olds (albeit free sugars intakes were estimated at $12\%E$ compared to
23 $\leq 5\%E$ provided by other scenarios). Iron and vitamin E shortfalls were most prevalent in
24 scenarios for 1-3-y-olds at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ growth percentile.

25 **Conclusions:** Using WHO growth standards and international reference values, this study
26 provides a protocol for addressing nutrient shortfalls among 1-5-y-olds, which could be
27 applied in country-specific population health.

28

29 **Keywords:** Nutrient shortfalls; Young children; Food-based dietary guidelines; Diet
30 modeling; WHO growth standards; Food patterns

31 INTRODUCTION

32 Early childhood represents a window of developmental plasticity whereby the
33 achievement of optimal nutrition and growth is considered paramount for maintaining health
34 and reducing mortality throughout the life-course (1, 2). Malnutrition during early childhood
35 is associated not only with serious adverse health outcomes for the child (2, 3), but also with
36 an increased risk of developing diet-related non-communicable diseases in later-life (4, 5).
37 Malnutrition in children under 5 years typically manifests as micronutrient deficiency both in
38 low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) (4) and high-income countries (HIC) including
39 Ireland (6). This is further complicated, irrespective of region, by excessive or inadequate
40 energy intake, leading to overweight or underweight, wasting and stunting, respectively (3,
41 4). The World Health Organization (WHO) growth standards characterize how children under
42 5 years should achieve optimal growth and provide a yardstick for the identification of
43 malnutrition (7, 8). Growth monitoring, an intrinsic aspect of pediatric care representing
44 substantial healthcare investment worldwide (8), can identify populations most in need of
45 interventions (3), but countries also have a critical need for nutrition information (9).

46 Best practice for young child feeding aims to prevent diet-related non-communicable
47 diseases, which highlights the importance of achieving adequacy for some nutrients while
48 limiting others (10). This includes ensuring sufficient intakes of long-chain polyunsaturated
49 fatty acids, namely docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) (11) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (12,
50 13), iron and vitamin D (14), whilst limiting saturated fat (11, 12) and free sugars intakes
51 (defined as monosaccharides and disaccharides added to foods/beverages by the manufacturer
52 or consumer, and sugars naturally present in honey, fruit juices and fruit juice concentrates)
53 (15). While certain nutrient deficiencies are more prevalent in LMIC (14), iron, vitamin D,
54 DHA and EPA remain nutrients of public health concern worldwide (13, 16-20). Nutrient
55 adequacy needs to be considered within age-related energy requirements in order to support

56 optimal growth and to avoid overweight or stunting (4). Dietary reference values (DRVs) are
57 used globally to identify nutritional shortfalls, but tend to vary considerably across different
58 regions, thus leading to different estimations of inadequacy for given nutrients between
59 countries (21).

60 Over 100 countries worldwide have published food-based dietary guidelines (FBDG)
61 but few have addressed the specific nutritional requirements of 1–5 y old children (22). In
62 this age group, a key challenge is the provision of sufficient nutrient intakes within the
63 context of energy requirements for optimal growth and development (4); this is particularly
64 critical during the transition from a predominantly milk-based to food-based diet (23). In the
65 majority of countries, however, FBDG for 1–5 y olds are combined with older children,
66 adolescents, and in some cases, even adults (22). Recognizing the importance of optimal
67 nutrition in young children, the 2020–2025 Dietary Guidelines for Americans were recently
68 updated to include birth to 24 months (24), as informed by national survey data (NHANES).

69 Given that dietary habits formed during early childhood can track into later life with
70 impacts on lifelong health (1), FBDG specifically tailored to addressing nutrient shortfalls in
71 children <5 years are urgently needed. Diet modeling offers a robust approach for the
72 development of such guidelines (25), as previously shown in Australia (26) and more recently
73 in America (24). Therefore, this study aimed to establish a protocol for addressing nutrient
74 shortfalls in 1–5 y old children based on diet modeling in an Irish population-based sample.

75

76 **METHODS**

77 **Study sample**

78 The 2010–2011 Irish National Pre-school Nutrition Survey (NPNS) is a nationally
79 representative cross-sectional survey conducted to examine habitual food and drink
80 consumption, health and lifestyle characteristics and body weight status in pre-school

81 children living in the Republic of Ireland (6). A detailed description of the methodology has
82 been reported elsewhere (6). Briefly, 500 children aged 12–59 months were recruited from
83 ‘Eumom’ (an Irish pregnancy and parenting resource) or randomly selected from childcare
84 facilities in selected locations, representing age, gender, urban/rural location and socio-
85 demographics. Of note, although the NPNS sample contained a higher proportion of children
86 of professional workers and a lower proportion of children of semi-skilled and unskilled
87 workers than the national population, there were no significant differences observed across
88 social class categories for food and nutrient intakes or body weight in the sample. An
89 information letter was sent to the primary caregiver (i.e. parent/guardian of each child).
90 Participation was dependent on the prospective child ‘opting in’. The survey was completed
91 by the caregiver with assistance from a trained researcher. The present study was conducted
92 in accordance with guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and ethical approval
93 was obtained from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the Cork Teaching Hospitals,
94 University College Cork [Ref: ECM 4 (a) 06/07/10]. Written informed consent was obtained
95 from the parent/guardian of each child prior to their participation in the survey.

96 **Collection and analysis of dietary intake data**

97 The NPNS study design involved weighed food records completed by the caregiver
98 over a consecutive 4-day period, including one weekend day. For this purpose, a trained
99 researcher made three home visits to the child and caregiver: an initial training visit to
100 demonstrate how to complete the food diary and use the weighing scales; a second visit 24–
101 36h into the recording period to review the diary, check for completeness and clarify details
102 regarding specific food descriptors and quantities; and a final visit 1 or 2 days after the
103 recording period to check the recording from the final days and to collect the diary.
104 Caregivers recorded detailed information on the amount, type and brand of foods, beverages
105 and nutritional supplements consumed by the child over the 4-days and, where applicable, the

106 cooking methods used, the packaging size and type, details of recipes and leftover foods. In
107 addition, caregivers recorded the time of each eating or drinking occasion, the definition of
108 each eating or drinking occasion and where the meals or snacks were prepared (6).

109 Dietary intakes were assessed using WISP[®] (Tinuviel Software, Anglesey, UK),
110 following customization of the database to additionally include composite dish recipes,
111 nutritional supplements, fortified foods, infant specific products and commonly consumed
112 generic Irish foods (6).

113 **Diet modeling**

114 For the purposes of the current study, typical nutrient intakes of the NPNS children
115 were firstly compared with regional DRVs (11, 12) in order to identify the proportion of Irish
116 children with nutrient shortfalls. Secondary analysis of the NPNS was subsequently
117 conducted to identify foods consumed by $\geq 10\%$ of children and typical patterns of
118 consumption (i.e. breakfast, lunch, dinner and snacks) for use in the diet modeling. The
119 protocol for addressing nutrient shortfalls in 1–5 y old children using diet modeling is
120 outlined in **Figure 1**. Where a nutrient shortfall emerged for $\geq 10\%$ of children, the key food
121 sources of that nutrient were identified and predicted intakes were assessed in the diet
122 modeling.

123 *General approach to diet modeling*

124 Diet modeling was conducted for boys and girls in six age groups (1 y (12 months),
125 1.5 y (18 months), 2 y (24 months), 3 y (36 months), 4 y (48 months) and 5 y (60 months)) to
126 address nutrient shortfalls and to assess different milk-feeding scenarios within the range of
127 energy requirements determined by reference body weights and lengths/heights using the
128 WHO growth standards (0.4th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 99.6th percentile) (7). Four-day food
129 patterns were modeled following best practice guidelines for young child feeding (10) and
130 guiding principles for developing FBDG (22). Specifically, the food patterns provided:

131 predominantly human milk to age 2 years; minimal fat with a progressive reduction in
132 saturated fat as age increased; free sugar intakes <10% energy (E) or <5%E (15); no added
133 salt or foods considered high in salt and no processed meats (27, 28). The 4-day food
134 patterns, which aimed to provide sufficient macro- and micronutrients within energy
135 requirements, were modeled using the commonly consumed foods and patterns of
136 consumption, as identified from the NPNS.

137 Each 4-day food pattern was modeled to provide the estimated energy requirement for
138 each age, calculated using the Henry equation (29). Body weight and length/height (at the
139 same percentile level) for all body sizes was determined by using WHO growth standards (7)
140 and the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) recommended physical activity levels (30).
141 The 4-day food patterns were assessed for nutritional sufficiency using the following EFSA
142 DRVs (11); the Population Reference Intake (PRI) for protein; the Recommended Intake (RI)
143 for total fat and carbohydrate; saturated fat as low as possible; the Adequate Intake (AI) for
144 DHA, fiber, vitamins D, E, B12 and iodine, and the Average Requirement (AR) for vitamins
145 A, C, B6 and folate, riboflavin, calcium, iron and zinc. The EFSA Recommended Dietary
146 Allowance (RDA) and Tolerable Upper Level (UL) values, where available, were also
147 considered to improve assessment of adequacy (nutrient intakes relative to the RDA) and
148 safety (nutrient intakes relative to the UL). The Institute of Medicine (IOM) (12) Acceptable
149 Macronutrient Distribution Range (AMDR) was used to assess sufficiency of DHA+EPA.
150 Where feasible, nutritional sufficiency of the 4-day food patterns was assessed against
151 equivalent IOM DRVs for comparative purposes. Available information on seasonal
152 differences in the proportions of children with serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D of <30 or <50
153 nmol/L (17, 31) was used to explore the impact of skin synthesis of vitamin D due to
154 inadvertent sunlight exposure.

155 *Milk-feeding scenarios*

156 The main milk-feeding scenario used for diet modeling followed best practice, where
157 predominantly human milk was given up to age 2 years (human milk (~440 mL/day) alone
158 for ≥ 1 – < 1.5 y olds and human milk (~170 mL/day) in combination with whole cow's milk
159 (~245 mL/day) for ≥ 1.5 – ≤ 2 y olds). The composition of the human milk used in the diet
160 modeling is outlined in **Supplementary Table 1** (32). In line with common milk-feeding
161 practices, low-fat cow's milk was given from age 2 years (> 2 – ≤ 5 y olds; ~245 mL/day).
162 After the 4-day food patterns were finalized, these milks were substituted with other
163 commonly used milks to assess the impact of different milk-feeding practices on nutrient
164 intakes. The substitute milks were: whole cow's milk (≥ 1 – ≤ 5 years); whole cow's milk
165 fortified with vitamin D (≥ 1 – ≤ 5 years); low-fat cow's milk (1.5% fat; ≥ 2 – ≤ 5 years); low-fat
166 cow's milk fortified with vitamin D (1.5% fat; ≥ 2 – ≤ 5 years); Follow-Up Formula (FUF; ≥ 1 –
167 < 1.5 years; ~440 mL/day) and Drink for Young Children with added nutrients (DYC;
168 Fortified drink; ≥ 1.5 – ≤ 3 years; ~330 mL/day). In relation to FUF and DYC, an average
169 nutrient content of a variety of these products, available on the Irish market, was calculated
170 and used in the modeling. A daily average intake of 550 mL milk, provided as a mixture of
171 milk, cheese and yogurt (where 200mL milk \approx 30 g cheese or 125 g yogurt), was modeled
172 across all 4-day food patterns.

173 *Assessing nutritional sufficiency*

174 The 4-day food patterns developed were inputted, and the nutrient content assessed,
175 using nutrition analysis software (Nutritics Research Edition v5.61), based on robust food
176 composition data (32). Where a nutrient shortfall emerged, alternative food patterns
177 providing sufficient intakes were examined to identify key food contributors. These foods
178 were used to re-model the food patterns with nutrient shortfalls on an iterative basis to
179 improve predicted intakes, within the constraints of best practice guidelines for young child
180 feeding (10) and guiding principles for developing FBDG (22). The iterative amendments to

181 the food patterns formed the main basis of the nutrient-driven FBDG developed to address
182 nutrient shortfalls. The protocol established to develop such FBDG in a global context is
183 outlined in **Supplementary Figure 1**. After the non-vegetarian food patterns were finalized,
184 lacto-ovo vegetarian patterns were modeled by replacing the meat, fish and poultry with
185 appropriate vegetarian alternatives (eggs, cheese, beans, lentils, tofu), on the main milk-
186 feeding scenario, and adjusted as necessary to meet nutrient targets. The assumptions used for
187 bioavailability of iron (10%), zinc (30%) and calcium (45% for 1–3 years; 30% for >3 years)
188 were derived from EFSA, where no differences are applied for vegetarians (11).

189 For validation purposes and to confirm that the foods identified for use in the diet
190 modeling based on the NPNS were still commonly consumed foods (i.e. considering that the
191 NPNS was carried out in 2010/2011), a *post-hoc* secondary analysis was undertaken of more
192 recent British data from the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) of 1.5–5 y old
193 children; 2014/2015 and 2015/2016; *n* 405 (33). This was considered a suitable approach for
194 validation purposes, given that dietary intakes in the UK and Ireland are known to be similar.
195 Identification of under-reporters (URs) in the NPNS cohort has previously been described by
196 Kehoe *et al.* (20). In summary, basal metabolic rate (BMR) was predicted for each participant
197 from standard equations using body weight (kg) and height (m). Minimum energy intake cut-
198 off points, calculated as multiples of BMR (ratio of energy intake to BMR <1.28) (34), were
199 used to identify URs (24% of total sample). URs were not excluded from the current analysis.

200 **Statistical analysis**

201 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social
202 Sciences (SPSS) software (Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). The NPNS data were
203 analyzed for current daily dietary intakes, and to identify the proportion of children not
204 meeting DRV values and percentage contribution of food groups to intakes of those nutrients
205 where a shortfall was identified in $\geq 10\%$ of children. In order to assess the prevalence of

206 inadequate intakes, the EAR cut-point method was applied and the distribution of intakes was
207 considered by using the mean intake of the 4-day (including one weekend day) food diaries.
208 Differences in predicted daily nutrient intakes from modeling different milk-feeding
209 scenarios were assessed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) after adjustment for age, with
210 Bonferroni post-hoc tests. For normalization purposes, variables were transformed before
211 analysis, as appropriate. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

212

213 **RESULTS**

214 **Current dietary intakes**

215 Reported daily nutrient intakes from the NPNS are outlined in **Table 1**. The EFSA
216 and IOM DRVs used for assessing nutritional sufficiency are outlined in **Table 2**, along with
217 the proportions of children with nutrient intake shortfalls (additional details are provided in
218 **Supplementary Table 2**). The majority of children failed to meet the DRVs (EFSA AI) for
219 vitamin D (98% of 1–3 y olds; 100% of 4–5 y olds), vitamin E (84% of 1–3 y olds; 88% of
220 4–5 y olds), DHA+EPA (80% of 1–3 y olds; 82% of 4–5 y olds; IOM AMDR) and fiber
221 (63% of 4–5 y olds), while free sugar intakes exceeded WHO recommendations of <10%E
222 for 48% of 1–3 y olds and 75% of 4–5 y olds (Table 2). Although iron intake shortfalls
223 (EFSA AR) were identified in smaller proportions of 1–3 y olds (18%) and 4–5 y olds (6%)
224 (Table 2), the main food sources of iron in both groups included high-sugar fortified
225 breakfast cereals and processed meats (**Table 3**), consumed by 49% and 83% of the children,
226 respectively (data not shown). The main food groups contributing to nutrients where a
227 shortfall was identified for $\geq 10\%$ of children (Table 3) were fish and fish dishes
228 (DHA+EPA); vegetables and vegetable dishes (vitamin A); milks (including fortified;
229 vitamin D, calcium, zinc and iodine); fruit and fruit juices (vitamin E); and fortified breakfast
230 cereals (folate and iron) (additional details are provided in **Supplementary Table 3**).

231 Overweight (BMI $>91^{\text{st}} \leq 98^{\text{th}}$ percentile; boys 17%, girls 16%) and obesity (BMI $>98^{\text{th}}$
232 percentile; boys 8%, girls 5%) were prevalent in this population, while underweight (BMI
233 $<2^{\text{nd}}$ percentile) was uncommon (boys 1%, girls 0%; data not shown) (6).

234 **Predicted dietary intakes from diet modeling**

235 Diet modeling resulted in a total of 640 4-day food patterns which were revised, on a
236 trial and error basis, as necessary to form 120 finalized 4-day food patterns (60 4-day non-
237 vegetarian and 60 4-day lacto-ovo vegetarian). The food patterns were deemed finalized
238 when the energy and the majority of nutrient requirements were met, within the constraints of
239 best practice guidelines for young child feeding (10) and guiding principles for developing
240 FBDG (22). The finalized food patterns were based on the main milk-feeding scenario of
241 predominantly human milk up to and including age 2 and low fat cow's milk from age 2.

242 Predicted macronutrient intakes are outlined for $\geq 1\text{--}\leq 3$ y olds (**Table 4**) and $\geq 4\text{--}\leq 5$ y
243 olds (**Table 5**). For $\geq 1\text{--}\leq 3$ y olds, the non-vegetarian 'Human milk + Cow's milk' scenario
244 provided significantly more DHA compared with cow's milk alone and while not quite
245 reaching the EFSA AI for DHA, did achieve the IOM AMDR for DHA+EPA. For $\geq 4\text{--}\leq 5$ y
246 olds, no milk-feeding scenario met the IOM AMDR for DHA+EPA. The EFSA AI for fiber
247 was met by $\geq 1\text{--}\leq 3$ y olds on low fat cow's milk and on FUF and DYC (**Table 4**), and by $\geq 4\text{--}$
248 ≤ 5 y olds (**Table 5**). Free sugar intakes from the 'Follow-up Formula + Fortified drink'
249 scenario exceeded the WHO limit of $<10\%E$, while intakes from all other milk-feeding
250 scenarios for $\geq 1\text{--}\leq 3$ y olds were at or below the limit of $<5\%E$ and, at $6\%E$, just above this
251 limit for $\geq 4\text{--}\leq 5$ y olds.

252 Predicted vitamin A, folate and calcium intakes were sufficient (relative to EFSA AR)
253 for all scenarios (**Table 4** and **Table 5**). With the exception of the fortified cow's milk
254 feeding scenarios, shortfalls in predicted vitamin E intakes (EFSA AI) were evident in all
255 other scenarios (**Table 4** and **Table 5**), with the greatest shortfalls observed in $\geq 1\text{--}\leq 3$ y olds

256 at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ percentile growth level. Shortfalls in predicted iodine and zinc intakes (EFSA AI and
257 AR, respectively) were evident only in 1 y olds modeled on human milk, especially those at
258 $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ percentile growth level (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Predicted micronutrient intakes in
259 no scenario modeled exceeded relevant EFSA ULs (data not shown).

260 Exploration of available information indicates that vitamin D deficiency in this age
261 group almost disappears in summer months (17, 31). Shortfalls in predicted vitamin D intakes
262 (EFSA AI) were evident in the main milk-feeding scenario (**Figure 2 plot B**). Inclusion of a
263 daily 5 μg vitamin D supplement increased predicted vitamin D intakes (**Figure 2 plot C**).
264 While the EFSA AI was not achieved this was deemed sufficient considering inadvertent skin
265 synthesis among children in this age group in Ireland (17, 31). Among ≥ 1 – ≤ 3 y olds, vitamin
266 D shortfalls (EFSA AI) were not correctable, even with supplementation (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$), apart from
267 in the ‘Follow-up Formula + Fortified drink’ scenario (albeit free sugars intakes were
268 estimated at 12%E vs $\leq 5\%$ E provided by other scenarios) (**Table 4**). In the case of ≥ 4 – ≤ 5 y
269 olds, even with supplementation (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$), no milk-feeding scenario corrected the vitamin D
270 shortfalls (EFSA AI) (**Table 5**).

271 Shortfalls in predicted iron intakes (relative to the EFSA AR value), modeled to
272 exclude high-sugar fortified breakfast cereals and processed meat, were evident in ≥ 1 – ≤ 3 y
273 olds (**Figure 3 plot B**). Including 30 g of unprocessed red meat 2 out of the 4 days modeled
274 (translating into 3 d/week) and 20–30 g of low-sugar iron-fortified breakfast cereals (<18 g
275 sugar/100 g; ≥ 12 mg iron/100 g) 3 out of the 4 days modeled (translating into 5 d/week),
276 resolved iron intake shortfalls (EFSA AR) in ≥ 1 – ≤ 3 y olds, except those at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ percentile
277 growth level (**Figure 3 plot C**). For these children, an additional 4 mg of iron as either an
278 iron-fortified milk (FUF or DYK; **Table 4**) or a supplement (data not shown), resulted in
279 sufficient iron intakes (EFSA AR). Of note, iron intakes in ≥ 1 – ≤ 3 y olds modeled on the
280 ‘Follow-up Formula + Fortified drink’ scenario (**Table 4**) and all scenarios modeled for ≥ 4 –

281 ≤ 5 y olds (**Table 5**) achieved the EFSA RDA value (7 mg). The lacto-ovo vegetarian scenario
282 provided comparable intakes of iron for ≥ 1 – ≤ 3 y olds (**Table 4**) and significantly higher
283 intakes for ≥ 4 – ≤ 5 y olds (**Table 5**).

284 **Nutrient-driven FBDG for Irish children**

285 From the diet modeling described here, the following FBDG were formulated to
286 address nutrient shortfalls in 1–5 y olds in Ireland:

- 287 • Prolonged breastfeeding to age 2 years is optimal for providing DHA+EPA;
- 288 • Low-fat cow's milk can be used from 2 years due to the lower content of saturated fat
289 but similar contribution to other nutrient intakes compared to whole cow's milk;
- 290 • Non-vegetarian and lacto-ovo vegetarian food intake patterns are generally comparable
291 in their nutritional contribution, except in the case of DHA+EPA which is limited in
292 vegetarian diets. Furthermore, given the well-recognised poor bioavailability of iron
293 from plant sources, a low-dose iron supplement may be advisable for children consuming
294 vegetarian diets;
- 295 • A low-dose vitamin D supplement should be recommended for all 1–5 y olds.

296

297 **DISCUSSION**

298 Assessment of dietary intakes in this representative sample of Irish children revealed
299 shortfalls in DHA+EPA, vitamin D and vitamin E, relative to current DRVs. Additionally,
300 high proportions of children had sub-optimal dietary fiber intakes, while free sugars intakes
301 exceeded WHO recommendations. Using best practice international guidelines, we identified
302 intervention scenarios to correct shortfalls in intakes of key nutrients, albeit vitamin D
303 shortfalls were generally not correctable, even with supplementation at a dose of 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$. The
304 current findings also reinforce the critical role of breastfeeding to 2 years in providing
305 sufficient DHA and EPA.

306 Breastfeeding is essential for protecting against infant infection and mortality (10),
307 particularly in LMIC, but less evidence exists on the benefits of breastfeeding beyond 1 year
308 in HIC (35). Of note, breastfeeding to 2 years was the only milk-feeding scenario modeled in
309 this study that provided sufficient DHA and EPA intakes. Given that DHA is essential for
310 visual and cognitive development in young children (11-13), the shortfalls in DHA intakes
311 identified here in Irish children, in common with other HIC (13), is of concern. Breastfeeding
312 beyond 4–6 months is generally an atypical practice in HIC (35, 36), however the current
313 findings show clear benefits of breastfeeding to 2 years, to some extent validating in a
314 national context the benefits of international recommendations. This study also shows the
315 importance of fish for DHA+EPA intakes, although this was included only once in each 4-
316 day pattern, in line with Irish healthy eating advice which limits oily fish to once per week
317 owing to concerns regarding potential exposure to contaminants. To address widespread
318 DHA and EPA shortfalls, especially among vegetarians, supplements (13) or fortified foods
319 (37) could also be recommended, but further research is needed to assess the effectiveness of
320 these approaches on childhood nutrition and growth (13). The smallest breastfed children (1 y
321 olds at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ percentile growth level) had shortfalls in predicted intakes of iodine and zinc,
322 presumably owing to the absence of cow's milk, a major iodine source in Ireland (38) where
323 no iodized salt policy exists, and the low zinc content of human milk beyond 6 months
324 postpartum (39). The current findings thus not only show the benefits for DHA+EPA intakes
325 of breastfeeding for longer periods, but also highlight those children at greatest risk of iodine
326 and zinc shortfalls owing to small size who could be identified through child growth
327 monitoring.

328 The provision of sufficient vitamin D through foods in this study was particularly
329 challenging, as shown elsewhere (19, 40). In Ireland, just 29% of children under 5 years
330 consume vitamin D-fortified foods, whereas only 20% consume vitamin D supplements (17).

331 The effectiveness of micronutrient-fortified young-child formula products in improving
332 intake and status of vitamin D has been previously reported in the current cohort of Irish
333 children (20) and in other European, and New Zealand and Australian, children (41, 42). It is
334 noteworthy that current requirements for vitamin D (the EFSA AI and IOM EAR) assume no
335 skin synthesis of vitamin D from sunlight exposure (11, 43). Irrespective of dietary intakes,
336 however, inadequate vitamin D status in children under 5 years in Ireland was previously
337 reported to disappear in summer months (17), a seasonal variation that has also been
338 observed in Danish children (44), emphasizing the importance of skin synthesis.

339 As in other HIC (19, 45, 46), this study highlights fortified cereals, meat, meat
340 products and DYC as key food sources of iron in the diets of young children. Although
341 current dietary iron intakes were found to be generally sufficient, certain foods contributing
342 to iron (high-sugar iron-fortified cereals and processed meat) were not aligned with best
343 practice guidelines. Diet modeling, which excluded all high-sugar cereals and processed
344 meat, thus resulted in shortfalls in predicted iron intakes in 1–3 y olds. Iron intake shortfalls
345 in young children are common in HIC, estimated to affect 26% of 12–23 month olds (18) and
346 10% of 2–5 y olds (45), and deficiency can be exacerbated by enteropathogenic infection in
347 LMIC (47). This is of concern as iron deficiency anemia in young children can impair
348 cognitive development (48). Additionally, whilst the current results show that lacto-ovo
349 vegetarian and non-vegetarian diets can provide comparable iron intakes, the bioavailability
350 of non-heme iron (i.e. that from plant-based foods) is known to be considerably lower than
351 that of heme iron from a meat-based diet (11, 12). In the current study, shortfalls in predicted
352 iron intakes among 1–3 y olds were addressed by FUF and DYC or an iron supplement;
353 approaches shown to be effective elsewhere (41, 42, 49). Given concerns regarding potential
354 adverse effects of iron supplementation, however, targeting only children identified at risk
355 (1–3 y olds $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ percentile level) and using a low-dose supplement, seems prudent (47, 49).

356 The findings in the current study that the smaller children (1–3 y olds at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$
357 percentile growth level) are more at risk of nutritional shortfalls, suggests that DRVs for this
358 age group should perhaps be derived on a per kg body weight basis rather than by age. In
359 Ireland (6), as in other HIC (19, 40, 50), intakes of saturated fat and free sugars exceed
360 recommendations in this age group. In this study, energy requirements related to body size in
361 the children prompted the use of lower fat foods in the diet modeling. Nevertheless, predicted
362 saturated fat intakes remained high, indicating the challenges of achieving low saturated fat
363 intakes in young children. Notably, the more stringent free sugars target of $<5\%E$ (15) was
364 shown in this study to be achievable except within the ‘Follow-up Formula + Fortified drink’
365 milk-feeding scenario, perhaps detracting to some extent from benefits provided by these
366 milks in terms of micronutrient intakes.

367 Many different approaches for developing FBDG exist, such as single- or multi-
368 objective optimization modeling, food pattern modeling and a combination of these (51). In
369 the current study, nutrient shortfalls were addressed by developing FBDG in the context of
370 energy requirements related to body size. By identifying nutrient shortfalls in this way, our
371 protocol could be used to inform appropriate dietary interventions at the time of routine
372 growth monitoring, which would simultaneously address obesity risk and nutrient deficiency,
373 i.e. the double-burden of malnutrition (4). There is growing consensus that such interventions
374 are needed among young children to reduce the long-term risks associated with diet-related
375 non-communicable diseases (4, 5, 10). The training of health workers in assessment of child
376 growth using WHO standards (8) could be extended to include FBDG, to be developed by
377 applying this protocol to child feeding practices specific to their countries. This would enable
378 health staff to identify and intervene in children at particular nutritional risk related to
379 specific growth parameters and local foods. By enabling trained health workers to provide
380 more specific dietary guidance, use of this protocol could address concerns regarding the lack

381 of nutrition information provided at the time of growth assessment (9). For example, in the
382 current context, such interventions among Irish children could address the higher risk of
383 vitamin E and iron shortfalls predicted in 1–3 y olds at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ growth percentile, and shortfalls
384 in iodine and zinc in predominantly human milk-fed 1 y olds at $\leq 25^{\text{th}}$ growth percentile.

385 The limitations of the current study are acknowledged. Our protocol used the widest
386 WHO growth range (0.4th–99.6th percentile), although the lower extreme has limited
387 applicability for healthy children in Ireland. Also, whilst the FBDG developed here to address
388 nutrient shortfalls are designed to accompany growth monitoring, they are based on patterns
389 where weight and linear growth are aligned and need to be developed further to cover the
390 commonly encountered growth issues of over- or under-nutrition. The serious limitations to
391 the use of estimated human milk data in this as in other similar studies must also be
392 acknowledged. The human milk data modelled in the current study were based on estimated
393 UK average intakes (32). However, inconsistencies in protocols used to collect national data
394 on human milk consumption, as well as differences in maternal micronutrient status, can
395 cause substantial variation in the data (52, 53). Of note, the iodine content of human milk
396 used in this study, while similar to values used by the UK and EFSA, are much lower than the
397 values used by IOM to set recommendations (53), possibly owing to higher use of iodized
398 salt in the United States and Canada. In addition, the limited evidence available for
399 establishing DRVs for this age group (11, 12) is challenging and the proportions of children
400 shown here to have nutrient shortfalls were dependent on the DRV applied (i.e. EFSA or
401 IOM). Also, while the predicted intakes in the modeled scenarios aimed to meet the AR or AI
402 values (depending on the nutrient), the use of the RDA value as the intake goal would result
403 in higher proportions of children with predicted nutrient shortfalls. Finally, although the
404 current study protocol was developed using representative and comprehensive dietary intake
405 data (6), the performance of the protocol using more limited dietary data (as likely to be the

406 case in LMIC), needs to be tested. The main strength of the study was the availability of
407 dietary survey data from a nationally representative cohort of Irish pre-school children,
408 collected by robust methodology involving weighed food records over a consecutive 4-day
409 period, including one weekend day. Also, the approach used to address nutrient shortfalls,
410 based on WHO growth standards representing optimal growth for children internationally,
411 enabled assessment of various milk-feeding scenarios in alignment with prevailing food and
412 cultural habits by using local, commonly consumed, age-appropriate foods. Notably, our
413 protocol accommodates international best practice guidelines for young child feeding to
414 prevent diet-related chronic disease.

415 In conclusion, this study is one of the first to establish a protocol for addressing
416 nutrient shortfalls among children ≥ 1 and ≤ 5 y based on national dietary intake data and in
417 alignment with WHO growth standards. Notably, the nutrient-driven FBDG established from
418 this protocol have formed the scientific basis to underpin the development of healthy eating
419 guidelines for 1–5 y old children in Ireland (54). The protocol presented here, although based
420 on Irish data, incorporates international best practice and is applicable for addressing nutrient
421 shortfalls for children elsewhere in country-specific population health.

422

423 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** Oonagh C. Lyons, Maeve A. Kerr, Helene McNulty, Fiona
424 Ward, Janette Walton, M. Barbara E. Livingstone, Breige A. McNulty, Laura Kehoe, Pamela
425 A. Byrne, Ita Saul and Mary A. T. Flynn have no conflicts of interest to declare.

426

427 **Authors' Contributions were as follows:** MATF, MAK and HM planned and designed the
428 research and provided supervision to OCL. OCL was primarily responsible for analyzing the
429 data and conducting the diet modeling, with advisory inputs from MATF and IS; FW, MBEL
430 and PAB advised on the protocol development. JW, BAM and LK provided access to the

431 NPNS database and advised on data analysis. OCL wrote the initial draft of the manuscript,
432 and MATF, MAK and HM had primary responsibility for the final content. All authors
433 contributed revisions to improve the scientific content and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Hochberg Z, Feil R, Constancia M, Fraga M, Junien C, Carel JC, Boileau P, Le Bouc Y, Deal CL, Lillycrop K, *et al.* Child health, developmental plasticity, and epigenetic programming. *Endocr Rev.* 2011;32(2):159-224.
2. World Health Organization. Children: reducing mortality 2019. Internet: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/children-reducing-mortality> (accessed February 2021).
3. Local Burden of Disease Child Growth Failure Collaborators. Mapping child growth failure across low- and middle-income countries. *Nature.* 2020;577(7789):231-4.
4. Hawkes C, Ruel MT, Salm L, Sinclair B, Branca F. Double-duty actions: seizing programme and policy opportunities to address malnutrition in all its forms. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10218):142-55.
5. Victora CG, Adair L, Fall C, Hallal PC, Martorell R, Richter L, Sachdev HS. Maternal and child undernutrition: consequences for adult health and human capital. *Lancet.* 2008;371(9609):340-57.
6. Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance (IUNA). National Pre-School Nutrition Survey. Main survey report. Dublin; 2012.
7. World Health Organization. The WHO Child Growth Standards. Internet: <https://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/en/> (accessed March 2019).
8. de Onis M, Onyango A, Borghi E, Siyam A, Blössner M, Lutter C. Worldwide implementation of the WHO Child Growth Standards. *Public Health Nutr.* 2012;15(9):1603-10.
9. Ashworth A, Shrimpton R, Jamil K. Growth monitoring and promotion: review of evidence of impact. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2008;4(s1):86-117.

10. World Health Organization & UNICEF. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
11. European Food Safety Authority. Dietary Reference Values for nutrients Summary report. EFSA Supporting Publications. 2017;14(12):e15121E.
12. Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes: The Essential Guide to Nutrient Requirements. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2006. 1344 p.
13. Ryan AS, Astwood JD, Gautier S, Kuratko CN, Nelson EB, Salem N, Jr. Effects of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on neurodevelopment in childhood: a review of human studies. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*. 2010;82(4-6):305-14.
14. Bailey RL, West KP, Jr., Black RE. The epidemiology of global micronutrient deficiencies. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2015;66 Suppl 2:22-33.
15. World Health Organization. Guideline: sugars intake for adults and children. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015.
16. European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies. Scientific Opinion on nutrient requirements and dietary intakes of infants and young children in the European Union. *EFSA Journal*. 2013;11(10):3408.
17. Ni Chaoimh C, McCarthy EK, Hourihane JO, Kenny LC, Irvine AD, Murray DM, Kiely ME. Low vitamin D deficiency in Irish toddlers despite northerly latitude and a high prevalence of inadequate intakes. *Eur J Nutr*. 2018;57(2):783-94.
18. Hamner HC, Perrine CG, Scanlon KS. Usual Intake of Key Minerals among Children in the Second Year of Life, NHANES 2003-2012. *Nutrients*. 2016;8(8):468.
19. Eldridge AL, Catellier DJ, Hampton JC, Dwyer JT, Bailey RL. Trends in Mean Nutrient Intakes of US Infants, Toddlers, and Young Children from 3 Feeding Infants and Toddlers Studies (FITS). *J Nutr*. 2019;149(7):1230-7.

20. Kehoe L, Walton J, McNulty BA, Nugent AP, Flynn A. Dietary strategies for achieving adequate vitamin D and iron intakes in young children in Ireland. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 2017;30(4):405-16.
21. Allen LH, Carriquiry AL, Murphy SP. Perspective: Proposed Harmonized Nutrient Reference Values for Populations. *Adv Nutr.* 2019;11(3):469-83.
22. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Food-based dietary guidelines 2017. Internet: <http://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/home/en/> (accessed April 2019).
23. Roess AA, Jacquier EF, Catellier DJ, Carvalho R, Lutes AC, Anater AS, Dietz WH. Food Consumption Patterns of Infants and Toddlers: Findings from the Feeding Infants and Toddlers Study (FITS) 2016. *J Nutr.* 2018;148:1525S-35S.
24. U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2020-2025. December 2020.
25. Buttriss JL, Briend A, Darmon N, Ferguson EL, Maillot M, Lluch A. Diet modelling: How it can inform the development of dietary recommendations and public health policy. *Nutr Bull.* 2014;39(1):115-25.
26. Dieticians Association of Australia. A modelling system to inform the revision of the Australian Guide to Healthy Eating. Canberra: National Health and Medical Research Council; 2011.
27. World Cancer Research Fund & American Institute for Cancer Research. Diet, Nutrition, Physical Activity and Cancer: a Global Perspective. London: World Cancer Research Fund International; 2018.
28. World Health Organization. Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases : report of a joint WHO/FAO expert consultation, Geneva, 28 January - 1 February 2002. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.

29. Henry CJ. Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development of new equations. *Public Health Nutr.* 2005;8(7a):1133-52.
30. European Food Safety Authority Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies. Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for energy. *EFSA Journal.* 2013;11(1):3005.
31. McVey MK, Geraghty AA, O'Brien EC, Kilbane MT, Crowley RK, Twomey PJ, McKenna MJ, McAuliffe FM. An exploratory analysis of associations of diet, sun exposure, and body composition with 25OHD at five years of age: Findings from the ROLO Kids Study. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2019;188:111-6.
32. McCance RA, Widdowson EM. McCance and Widdowson's *The Composition of Foods* Cambridge, United Kingdom: Royal Society Of Chemistry; 2015. Internet: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/composition-of-foods-integrated-dataset-cofid>. Human milk data was based on the following two sources: Department of Health and Social Security (1977) *The composition of mature human milk. Report on Health and Social Subjects No 12*, HMSO, London and Fatty acids from Institute of Brain Chemistry, FA 12-839.
33. Bates B, Lennox A, Prentice A, Bates C, Page P, Nicholson S, & Swan G. *National Diet and Nutrition Survey results from years 1, 2, 3 and 4 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009–2011/2012)*. London; Public Health England: Food Standards Agency; 2014.
34. Torun B, Davies PS, Livingstone MB, Paolisso M, Sackett R, Spurr GB. Energy requirements and dietary energy recommendations for children and adolescents 1 to 18 years old. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 1996;50 Suppl 1:S37-80; discussion S-1.

35. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, França GV, Horton S, Krasevec J, Murch S, Sankar MJ, Walker N, Rollins NC. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10017):475-90.
36. Scott J, Ahwong E, Devenish G, Ha D, Do L. Determinants of Continued Breastfeeding at 12 and 24 Months: Results of an Australian Cohort Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(20).
37. Rahmawaty S, Lyons-Wall P, Charlton K, Batterham M, Meyer BJ. Effect of replacing bread, egg, milk, and yogurt with equivalent ω -3 enriched foods on ω -3 LCPUFA intake of Australian children. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)*. 2014;30(11-12):1337-43.
38. Hennessy A, Ni Chaoimh C, McCarthy EK, Kingston C, Irvine AD, Hourihane JO, Kenny LC, Murray DM, Kiely M. Variation in iodine food composition data has a major impact on estimates of iodine intake in young children. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2018;72(3):410-9.
39. Brown KH, Engle-Stone R, Krebs NF, Peerson JM. Dietary intervention strategies to enhance zinc nutrition: promotion and support of breastfeeding for infants and young children. *Food Nutr Bull*. 2009;30(1 Suppl):S144-S71.
40. Bailey RL, Catellier DJ, Jun S, Dwyer JT, Jacquier EF, Anater AS, Eldridge AL. Total Usual Nutrient Intakes of US Children (Under 48 Months): Findings from the Feeding Infants and Toddlers Study (FITS) 2016. *J Nutr*. 2018;148(9S):1557S-66S.
41. Akkermans MD, Eussen SR, van der Horst-Graat JM, van Elburg RM, van Goudoever JB, Brus F. A micronutrient-fortified young-child formula improves the iron and vitamin D status of healthy young European children: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2017;105(2):391-9.

42. Lovell AL, Davies PSW, Hill RJ, Milne T, Matsuyama M, Jiang Y, Chen RX, Wouldes TA, Heath A-LM, Grant CC, *et al.* Compared with Cow Milk, a Growing-Up Milk Increases Vitamin D and Iron Status in Healthy Children at 2 Years of Age: The Growing-Up Milk-Lite (GUMLi) Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Nutr.* 2018;148(10):1570-9.
43. Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2011. 1132 p.
44. Mortensen C, Damsgaard CT, Hauger H, Ritz C, Lanham-New SA, Smith TJ, Hennessy Á, Dowling K, Cashman KD, Kiely M, *et al.* Estimation of the dietary requirement for vitamin D in white children aged 4-8 y: a randomized, controlled, dose-response trial. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2016;104(5):1310-7.
45. Atkins LA, McNaughton SA, Spence AC, Szymlek-Gay EA. Adequacy of iron intakes and socio-demographic factors associated with iron intakes of Australian pre-schoolers. *Eur J Nutr.* 2020;59(1):175-84.
46. Chouraqui J-P, Tavoularis G, Turck D, Ferry C, Feillet F. Mineral and vitamin intake of infants and young children: the Nutri-Bébé 2013 survey. *Eur J Nutr.* 2020;59(6):2463-80.
47. Paganini D, Zimmermann MB. The effects of iron fortification and supplementation on the gut microbiome and diarrhea in infants and children: a review. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2017;106(Suppl 6):1688s-93s.
48. Lozoff B, Georgieff MK. Iron deficiency and brain development. *Semin Pediatr Neurol.* 2006;13(3):158-65.
49. Pasricha S-R, Hayes E, Kalumba K, Biggs B-A. Effect of daily iron supplementation on health in children aged 4-23 months: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2013;1(2):e77-e86.

50. Wang Y, Guglielmo D, Welsh JA. Consumption of sugars, saturated fat, and sodium among US children from infancy through preschool age, NHANES 2009-2014. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2018;108(4):868-77.
51. Mariotti F, Havard S, Morise A, Nadaud P, Sirot V, Wetzler S, Margaritis I. Perspective: Modeling Healthy Eating Patterns for Food-Based Dietary Guidelines- Scientific Concepts, Methodological Processes, Limitations, and Lessons. *Adv Nutr.* 2021;12(3):590-9.
52. Dror DK, Allen LH. Overview of Nutrients in Human Milk. *Advances in nutrition (Bethesda, Md).* 2018;9(suppl_1):278S-94S.
53. Allen LH, Donohue JA, Dror DK. Limitations of the Evidence Base Used to Set Recommended Nutrient Intakes for Infants and Lactating Women. *Advances in nutrition (Bethesda, Md).* 2018;9(suppl_1):295S-312S.
54. Healthy Ireland. Healthy Eating Guidelines for 1 to 4 year olds and Children's Food Pyramid Rationale 2020. Internet:
<https://www.gov.ie/pdf/?file=https://assets.gov.ie/89301/6fe3db22-a2d1-487a-ac24-ff449fe8ae02.pdf#page=null> (accessed March 2021).

TABLE 1Daily dietary intakes in 1–5 year old children from the Irish National Pre-school Nutrition Survey¹

Age category ²	Boys		Girls	
	12–47 mo (<i>n</i> 188)	48–60 mo (<i>n</i> 63)	12–47 mo (<i>n</i> 188)	48–60 mo (<i>n</i> 61)
Age (months)	29.2 (10.4)	51.7 (3.2)	29.2 (9.9)	51.9 (3.5)
Energy (kJ)	4743 (1160)	5483 (1014)	4428 (883)	5138 (985)
Energy (kcal)	1130 (276)	1304 (241)	1054 (211)	1222 (234)
Protein (g)	42.1 (11.7)	48.1 (9.4)	40.8 (9.7)	45.8 (11.5)
Protein (g/kg BW)	3.0 (0.9)	2.7 (0.6)	3.0 (0.8)	2.5 (0.5)
Total Fat (g)	41 (13)	46 (12)	39 (11)	43 (11)
Total Fat (% Energy)	33 (6)	32 (5)	33 (5)	32 (5)
Saturated Fat (% Energy)	15 (3)	14 (3)	15 (3)	14 (3)
DHA (mg)	37 (59)	48 (74)	40 (59)	36 (51)
DHA+EPA (mg)	72 (99)	94 (191)	74 (116)	63 (76)
Carbohydrate (g)	148 (39)	177 (41)	137 (29)	164 (38)
Carbohydrate (% Energy)	52 (6)	54 (6)	52 (6)	54 (5)
Free Sugar (% Energy)	11 (6)	14 (5)	10 (6)	14 (5)
Fiber (g)	11.3 (4.1)	13.1 (4.1)	11.3 (3.5)	12.4 (3.6)
Micronutrients				
Vitamin A (µg)	716 (464)	652 (513)	687 (564)	649 (339)
Vitamin D (µg)	4.0 (4.6)	3.4 (2.9)	3.7 (3.5)	3.0 (2.3)
Vitamin E (mg)	6.5 (8.5)	6.2 (3.4)	5.9 (4.9)	6.3 (5.4)
Vitamin C (mg)	80 (58)	96 (58)	84 (45)	92 (47)
Folate (µg DFE)	221 (123)	228 (102)	219 (133)	236 (149)
Vitamin B12 (µg)	4.1 (2.2)	4.3 (2.2)	4.0 (2.0)	3.7 (1.4)
Vitamin B6 (mg)	1.4 (0.7)	1.6 (0.6)	1.4 (0.6)	1.4 (0.5)
Riboflavin (mg)	1.6 (0.7)	1.6 (0.5)	1.5 (0.5)	1.4 (0.5)
Calcium (mg)	801 (313)	775 (211)	762 (254)	720 (252)
Iron (mg)	7.4 (3.4)	8.5 (3.2)	7.1 (3.1)	7.1 (2.0)
Zinc (mg)	5.4 (2.0)	5.6 (1.5)	5.2 (1.7)	5.3 (1.6)
Iodine (µg)	169 (91)	146 (58)	156 (80)	135 (63)

¹Data obtained from the Irish National Pre-School Nutrition Survey (2010–2011) (6). Data are expressed as mean (SD).

²Age groups according to those used by the European Food Safety Authority (11) and the Institute of Medicine (12) dietary reference values.

Abbreviations: BW, body weight; DFE, dietary folate equivalents calculated as follows: natural folate (μg) + [folic acid from fortified foods (μg) $\times 1.7$]; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; mo, months

TABLE 2Proportion of Irish children with daily dietary intakes falling outside regional dietary reference values¹

	12–47 months			48–60 months		
	Current intakes (<i>n</i> 376)	Dietary reference values		Current intakes (<i>n</i> 124)	Dietary reference values	
		EFSA ^{2,3}	IOM ^{2,4}		EFSA ^{2,3}	IOM ^{2,4}
Energy (kJ)	4586 (1041)	3167–4753	3217–5786	5313 (1011)	5807–6180	6117–6473
Energy (kcal)	1092 (248)	757–1136	769–1383	1264 (240)	1388–1477	1462–1547
DHA ⁵ (mg)	39 (59)			42 (64)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI	329 (88)	100		-	N/A	
DHA+EPA (mg)	73 (108)			79 (147)		
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM AMDR	300 (80)		70	102 (82)		90
Free Sugar ⁶ (% Energy)	10 (6)			14 (5)		
<i>n</i> (%) above 10% Energy	181 (48)			93 (75)		
<i>n</i> (%) above 5% Energy	303 (81)			124 (100)		
Fiber (g)	11.3 (3.8)			12.8 (3.9)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI	154 (41)	10		78 (63)	14	
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM AI	363 (97)		19	123 (99)		25
Micronutrients						
Vitamin A (µg)	701 (516)			650 (434)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	19 (5)	205		11 (9)	245	
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	20 (5)		210	14 (11)		275
Vitamin D (µg)	3.9 (4.1)			3.2 (2.6)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI	368 (98)	15		124 (100)	15	
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	345 (92)		10	119 (96)		10
Vitamin E (mg)	6.2 (6.9)			6.2 (4.5)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI ⁷	246 (65)	6		109 (88)	9	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI ⁸	314 (84)	9				
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	209 (56)		5	72 (58)		6
Vitamin C (mg)	82 (52)			94 (53)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	6 (2)	15		3 (2)	25	
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	3 (1)		13	2 (2)		22
Folate (µg DFE)	220 (128)			232 (127)		
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	19 (5)	90		2 (2)	110	

<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	50 (13)	120	35 (28)	160
Vitamin B12 (µg)	4.0 (2.1)		4.0 (1.8)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI	24 (6)	1.5	4 (3)	1.5
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	0 (0)	0.7	2 (2)	1.0
Vitamin B6 (mg)	1.4 (0.6)		1.5 (0.6)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	0 (0)	0.5	0 (0)	0.6
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	0 (0)	0.4	0 (0)	0.5
Riboflavin (mg)	1.6 (0.6)		1.5 (0.5)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	2 (1)	0.5	0 (0)	0.6
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	0 (0)	0.4	0 (0)	0.5
Calcium (mg)	782 (285)		748 (233)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	14 (4)	390	51 (41)	680
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	56 (15)	500	77 (62)	800
Iron (mg)	7.3 (3.3)		7.8 (2.7)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	68 (18)	5.0	7 (6)	5.0
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	9 (2)	3.0	0 (0)	4.1
Zinc (mg)	5.3 (1.8)		5.5 (1.5)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AR	55 (15)	3.6	36 (29)	4.6
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	5 (1)	2.5	16 (13)	4.0
Iodine (µg)	163 (86)		140 (60)	
<i>n</i> (%) below EFSA AI	78 (21)	90	25 (20)	90
<i>n</i> (%) below IOM EAR	42 (11)	65	8 (7)	65

¹Data obtained from the Irish National Pre-School Nutrition Survey (6). Data are expressed as mean (SD), except where stated otherwise.

²Dietary reference values (DRVs) from both the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (11) and the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (12) were explored for macronutrients and micronutrients.

³DRV for energy calculated from EFSA recommendations (11), applying the weight range according to WHO growth standards (0.4th – 99.6th) (7).

⁴DRV for energy calculated from IOM recommendations (12), applying the weight range according to WHO growth standards (0.4th – 99.6th) (7).

⁵EFSA AI for DHA only applies to children ≥ 1 – ≤ 1.5 years. There is no EFSA AI for DHA for >1.5 – ≤ 5 years.

⁶Free sugars limits of $<10\%$ energy and $<5\%$ energy were derived from WHO guidelines (15).

⁷EFSA AI for vitamin E for 1–2 year olds is 6 mg/day.

⁸EFSA AI for vitamin E for 3 year olds is 9 mg/day.

Abbreviations: AI, adequate intake; AMDR, acceptable macronutrient distribution range; AR, average requirement; DFE, dietary folate equivalents calculated as follows: natural folate (µg) + [folic acid from fortified foods (µg) x 1.7]; DRV, dietary reference value; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EAR, estimated

average requirement; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; IOM, Institute of Medicine; N/A, not applicable.

TABLE 3Main food sources of key nutrients in 1–5 year old children (12–60 months)¹

Key nutrient	Food group ²	Percentage contribution to nutrient intake
DHA	Fish and fish dishes	30
	Total meat and meat products	27
	<i>Fresh meat</i> ³	22
	<i>Processed meat</i> ⁴	5
	Yogurt and cheeses	14
	Egg and egg dishes	12
DHA + EPA	Fish and fish dishes	34
	Total meat and meat products	20
	<i>Fresh meat</i> ³	17
	<i>Processed meat</i> ⁴	3
	Egg and egg dishes	11
Vitamin A	Vegetables and vegetable dishes	25
	Milks	22
	Total meat and meat products	12
	<i>Fresh meat</i> ³	10
	<i>Processed meat</i> ⁴	2
	Yogurt and cheeses	10
Vitamin D	Milks (fortified)	28
	Total meat and meat products	16
	<i>Fresh meat</i> ³	6
	<i>Processed meat</i> ⁴	10
	Yogurt and cheeses	11
	Nutritional supplements	10
Vitamin E	Fruit and fruit juices	17
	Milks (mainly fortified)	11
Dietary Folate Equivalents	Fortified breakfast cereals	26
	<i>Low-sugar</i> ⁵	18
	<i>High-sugar</i> ⁶	8
	Fruit and fruit juices	16
	Milks	14
Calcium	Milks	42
	Yogurt and cheeses	18
	Bread and rolls	10
Iron	Fortified breakfast cereals	31
	<i>Low-sugar</i> ⁵	21
	<i>High-sugar</i> ⁶	10
	Bread and rolls	12
	Total meat and meat products	11
	<i>Fresh meat</i> ³	7
	<i>Processed meat</i> ⁴	4

Zinc	Milks	26
	Total meat and meat products	23
	<i>Fresh meat</i> ³	15
	<i>Processed meat</i> ⁴	8
Iodine	Milks	65
	Yogurt and cheeses	10

¹Data obtained from the Irish National Pre-School Nutrition Survey (*n* 500) (6).

²The food groups listed are those providing $\geq 10\%$ to dietary intakes for a given nutrient.

³Fresh meat includes poultry, beef, veal, lamb and pork.

⁴Processed meat includes bacon and ham, burgers (beef and pork), sausages, meat pies and pastries and meat products.

⁵Providing < 18 g sugar/100 g.

⁶Providing ≥ 18 g sugar/100 g.

Abbreviations: DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid.

TABLE 4

Predicted daily intakes of key nutrients for 1–3 year old (12–36 months) children arising from modeling of different milk-feeding scenarios

	Non-vegetarian milk feeding scenarios				Lacto-ovo vegetarian scenario	<i>P</i> value ⁶
	Human milk + Cow's Milk ¹	Whole Cow's Milk ²	Low-fat Cow's Milk ³	Follow-Up Formula + Fortified drink ⁴	Human milk + Cow's Milk ⁵	
Age (years)	1.8 (1.5, 2.0)	1.8 (1.5, 2.0)	2.5 (2.0, 3.0)	1.8 (1.5, 2.0)	1.8 (1.5, 2.0)	
Energy (kJ)	3964 (3483, 4222) ^a	3849 (3301, 4171) ^a	4273 (3710, 4722) ^a	3858 (3399, 4418) ^a	3957 (3486, 4240) ^a	0.728
Macronutrients						
Protein (g/kg BW)	3.6 (3.3, 3.7) ^{ac}	4.0 (3.8, 4.2) ^b	3.9 (3.6, 4.5) ^{ab}	3.4 (3.2, 3.7) ^{ac}	3.2 (2.9, 3.5) ^c	<0.001
Total Fat (% Energy)	36 (34, 38) ^a	36 (34, 37) ^a	29 (28, 31) ^b	33 (31, 35) ^b	36 (35, 37) ^a	<0.001
Saturated Fat (% Energy)	17 (16, 19) ^a	18 (18, 20) ^b	13 (12, 15) ^{ac}	14 (13, 15) ^c	17 (16, 18) ^a	<0.001
DHA (mg)	97 (72, 144) ^a	6 (4, 113) ^b	-	24 (21, 125) ^{ab}	63 (44, 93) ^{ab}	<0.001
DHA+EPA (mg)	83 (50, 171) ^a	54 (7, 171) ^a	54 (7, 171) ^a	36 (35, 182) ^a	25 (0, 50) ^a	0.567
Carbohydrate (% Energy)	46 (45, 48) ^a	44 (42, 46) ^b	52 (49, 53) ^{ac}	49 (48, 51) ^c	47 (46, 49) ^{ac}	<0.001
Total Sugar ⁷ (% Energy)	23 (21, 25) ^a	20 (19, 22) ^b	24 (22, 26) ^{ab}	25 (23, 26) ^a	24 (22, 25) ^a	<0.001
Free Sugar ⁷ (% Energy)	4 (4, 5) ^a	4 (4, 5) ^a	5 (4, 6) ^a	12 (11, 14) ^b	3 (3, 4) ^a	<0.001
Fiber (g)	8.9 (7.8, 11.5) ^a	9.0 (7.8, 11.5) ^a	12.1 (9.2, 14.4) ^{ab}	10.6 (9.6, 12.8) ^b	8.9 (8.0, 11.5) ^a	0.005
Micronutrients						
Vitamin A (µg)	592 (533, 687) ^a	573 (486, 663) ^a	561 (443, 591) ^a	644 (559, 704) ^a	422 (395, 472) ^b	<0.001
Vitamin D ⁸ (µg)	6.8 (6.5, 7.0) ^a	6.8 (6.5, 6.9) ^a	7.2 (6.8, 7.8) ^a	17.2 (16.1, 20.0) ^b	6.7 (6.6, 7.0) ^a	<0.001
Vitamin E (mg)	2.9 (2.7, 3.1) ^a	2.4 (1.9, 2.9) ^b	2.8 (2.3, 3.0) ^{ab}	5.2 (4.6, 5.9) ^c	2.9 (2.4, 3.1) ^a	<0.001
Folate (µg DFE)	151 (143, 162) ^a	160 (151, 170) ^a	144 (123, 154) ^a	194 (187, 203) ^b	156 (147, 164) ^a	<0.001

Calcium (mg)	663 (618, 756) ^a	836 (773, 863) ^b	853 (780, 915) ^{bc}	742 (662, 810) ^{ab}	709 (656, 762) ^{ac}	<0.001
Iron (mg)	5.8 (5.4, 6.0) ^a	5.7 (5.3, 6.0) ^a	6.0 (5.7, 6.6) ^a	8.9 (8.2, 9.2) ^b	6.2 (5.7, 6.5) ^a	<0.001
Zinc (mg)	4.6 (4.2, 5.4) ^a	5.0 (4.7, 5.6) ^a	5.4 (4.8, 5.7) ^{ac}	5.8 (5.4, 6.1) ^b	4.2 (3.8, 4.6) ^c	<0.001
Iodine (µg)	113 (105, 132) ^a	157 (147, 167) ^b	144 (137, 170) ^b	117 (100, 122) ^a	123 (95, 136) ^a	<0.001

Data are expressed as median (95% CI).

Dietary modeling conducted for different milk-feeding scenarios informed by international best practice (as regards salt, fat, free sugars and processed meat) and to provide energy intakes in alignment with the WHO growth range (7) and address dietary shortfalls. Five food pattern scenarios were modeled based on predominant milk source (including four different non-vegetarian milk-feeding scenarios and one lacto-ovo vegetarian scenario) as follows:

¹Human milk + cow's milk: modeled on human milk alone (≥ 1 – < 1.5 years; ~440 mL/day; 10 percentile levels) or human milk in combination with unfortified whole cow's milk (≥ 1.5 – ≤ 2 years; ~170 mL/day human milk and ~245 mL/day unfortified whole cow's milk; 20 percentile levels) or unfortified low-fat cow's milk alone (> 2 – ≤ 3 years; ~195 mL/day; 10 percentile levels) based on 376 children from the National Pre-school Nutrition Survey (NPNS) (6).

²Whole cow's milk: modeled on unfortified whole cow's milk (≥ 1 – ≤ 3 years; 40 percentile levels) based on 376 children from the NPNS (6). Whole cow's milk fortified with vitamin D was also modeled with the only notable difference being a significantly higher amount of vitamin D (data not shown).

³Low-fat cow's milk: modeled on unfortified low-fat cow's milk (≥ 2 – ≤ 3 years; 20 percentile levels) based on 250 children from the NPNS (6). EFSA DHA AI applies to children ≥ 1 – ≤ 1.5 years; no DHA data are shown for this scenario as this milk is only recommended for children ≥ 2 years. Low fat cow's milk fortified with vitamin D was also modeled with the only notable difference being a significantly higher amount of vitamin D (data not shown).

⁴Follow-Up Formula + Fortified drink: modeled on Follow-Up Formula products (≥ 1 – < 1.5 years; ~440 mL/day; 10 percentile levels) or Drink for Young Children with added nutrients products (≥ 1.5 – ≤ 3 years; ~330 mL/day; 30 percentile levels) based on 376 children from the NPNS (6).

⁵Human milk + cow's milk: modeled on the same milks as human milk + cow's milk (footnote 1), but meat, poultry and fish were replaced with vegetarian alternatives.

⁶ $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. Differences between groups were analysed by ANCOVA adjusting for age, with Bonferroni post-hoc tests. Different superscript letters within a row denote statistically significant differences between any two values, whereas the same letters indicate no significant difference.

⁷There is no recommended daily intake for total sugars because, as well as including sugars naturally present in staple foods such as milk and fruit, total sugar also includes free sugars. Daily intakes of free sugars should be limited where possible to $< 5\%$ energy and not exceed 10% energy (15).

⁸Predicted vitamin D intakes include a daily 5 µg vitamin D supplement.

Abbreviations: BW, body weight; DFE, dietary folate equivalents calculated as follows: natural folate (μg) + [folic acid from fortified foods (μg) x1.7]; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid.

TABLE 5

Predicted daily intakes of key nutrients for 4–5 year old (48–60 months) children arising from modeling of different milk-feeding scenarios

	Non-vegetarian milk feeding scenarios				Lacto-ovo vegetarian scenario	<i>P</i> value ⁶
	Low-fat Cow's Milk ¹	Whole Cow's Milk ²	Fortified Low-fat Cow's Milk ³	Fortified Whole Cow's Milk ⁴	Low-fat Cow's Milk ⁵	
Age (years)	4.5 (4.0, 5.0)	4.5 (4.0, 5.0)	4.5 (4.0, 5.0)	4.5 (4.0, 5.0)	4.5 (4.0, 5.0)	
Energy (kJ)	5838 (5544, 6205) ^a	6102 (5776, 6503) ^a	5838 (5544, 6224) ^a	5992 (5560, 6273) ^a	5850 (5579, 6211) ^a	0.717
Macronutrients						
Protein (g/kg BW)	3.6 (3.4, 4.0) ^a	3.6 (3.4, 4.0) ^a	3.6 (3.4, 4.0) ^a	3.6 (3.4, 4.0) ^a	3.4 (3.2, 3.5) ^a	0.138
Total Fat (% Energy)	27 (26, 29) ^a	31 (29, 32) ^b	27 (26, 29) ^a	31 (29, 32) ^b	29 (27, 30) ^{ab}	<0.001
Saturated Fat (% Energy)	13 (12, 14) ^a	15 (14, 16) ^b	13 (11, 14) ^a	15 (14, 16) ^b	13 (12, 13) ^a	<0.001
DHA+EPA (mg)	83 (10, 203) ^a	83 (10, 203) ^a	83 (10, 203) ^a	83 (10, 203) ^a	0 (0, 0) ^b	<0.001
Carbohydrate (% Energy)	54 (53, 56) ^a	52 (50, 54) ^b	55 (53, 57) ^a	52 (51, 54) ^b	55 (54, 56) ^a	<0.001
Total Sugar ⁷ (% Energy)	29 (28, 31) ^{ab}	28 (26, 29) ^a	30 (28, 31) ^{ab}	28 (26, 29) ^a	29 (28, 31) ^b	0.001
Free Sugar ⁷ (% Energy)	6 (5, 7) ^a	6 (5, 7) ^a	6 (5, 7) ^a	6 (5, 7) ^a	6 (5, 6) ^a	0.707
Fiber (g)	18.6 (17.0, 21.1) ^a	18.6 (17.0, 21.1) ^a	18.6 (17.0, 21.1) ^a	18.6 (17.0, 21.1) ^a	19.1 (16.4, 19.8) ^a	0.999
Micronutrients						
Vitamin A (µg)	600 (533, 790) ^{ab}	659 (605, 849) ^b	605 (533, 790) ^{ab}	659 (605, 849) ^b	499 (428, 570) ^a	0.001
Vitamin D ⁸ (µg)	7.6 (7.2, 9.0) ^{ad}	7.3 (7.0, 8.7) ^a	11.3 (10.5, 12.6) ^b	14.2 (13.8, 15.4) ^c	8.4 (8.3, 9.1) ^d	<0.001
Vitamin E (mg)	4.4 (3.8, 4.8) ^a	4.5 (3.9, 4.9) ^a	12.7 (10.3, 13.1) ^b	11.1 (9.1, 11.7) ^b	4.5 (3.9, 5.4) ^a	<0.001
Folate (µg DFE)	218 (203, 236) ^a	243 (230, 263) ^b	593 (524, 612) ^c	447 (389, 461) ^d	227 (214, 248) ^{ab}	<0.001
Calcium (mg)	1092 (1065, 1203) ^a	1092 (1065, 1203) ^a	1148 (1107, 1259) ^{ab}	1243 (1185, 1363) ^b	1138 (1079, 1271) ^{ab}	0.002

Iron (mg)	8.9 (8.3, 9.4) ^a	8.9 (8.3, 9.4) ^a	8.9 (8.3, 9.4) ^a	8.9 (8.3, 9.4) ^a	9.5 (9.4, 9.8) ^b	0.005
Zinc (mg)	7.3 (6.8, 7.9) ^{ab}	7.6 (7.2, 8.3) ^a	7.3 (6.8, 7.9) ^{ab}	7.6 (7.2, 8.3) ^a	6.6 (6.3, 7.4) ^b	<0.001
Iodine (µg)	218 (187, 237) ^a	222 (190, 240) ^a	218 (187, 237) ^a	222 (190, 240) ^a	233 (201, 242) ^a	0.346

Data are expressed as median (95% CI).

Dietary modeling conducted for different milk-feeding scenarios informed by international best practice (as regards salt, fat, free sugars and processed meat) and to provide energy intakes in alignment with the WHO growth range (7) and address dietary shortfalls. Five food pattern scenarios were modeled based on predominant milk source (including four different non-vegetarian milk-feeding scenarios and one lacto-ovo vegetarian scenario) as follows:

¹Low-fat cow's milk: modeled on unfortified low-fat cow's milk (≥ 4 – ≤ 5 years; 20 percentile levels) based on 124 children from the National Pre-school Nutrition Survey (NPNS) (6).

²Whole cow's milk: modeled on unfortified whole cow's milk (≥ 4 – ≤ 5 years; 20 percentile levels) based on 124 children from the NPNS (6).

³Fortified low-fat cow's milk: modeled on low-fat cow's milk fortified with vitamin D (≥ 4 – ≤ 5 years; 20 percentile levels) based on 124 children from the NPNS (6).

⁴Fortified whole cow's milk: modeled on whole cow's milk fortified with vitamin D (≥ 4 – ≤ 5 years; 20 percentile levels) based on 124 children from the NPNS (6).

⁵Low-fat cow's milk: modeled on the same milk as low-fat cow's milk (footnote 1), but meat, poultry and fish were replaced with vegetarian alternatives.

⁶ $P < 0.05$ was considered significant. Differences between groups were analysed by ANCOVA adjusting for age, with Bonferroni post-hoc tests.

Different superscript letters within a row denote statistically significant differences between any two values, whereas the same letters indicate no significant difference.

⁷There is no recommended daily intake for total sugars because, as well as including sugars naturally present in staple foods such as milk and fruit, total sugar also includes free sugars. Daily intakes of free sugars should be limited where possible to <5% energy and not exceed 10% energy (15).

⁸Predicted vitamin D intakes include a daily 5 µg vitamin D supplement.

Abbreviations: BW, body weight; DFE, dietary folate equivalents calculated as follows: natural folate (µg) + [folic acid from fortified foods (µg) x 1.7]; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid.

FIGURE 1. Protocol for addressing nutrient shortfalls in 1–5 year old (12–60 months) children using diet modeling in a population-based sample.

Abbreviations: DRVs, dietary reference values; NPNS, National Pre-school Nutrition Survey; UK, United Kingdom

FIGURE 2. Diet modeling to address vitamin D shortfalls in 1–5 year old (12–60 months) children.

A. Current mean vitamin D intakes ($\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$)

B. Predicted mean vitamin D intakes ($\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) based on main milk-feeding scenario

(predominantly human milk up to and including age 2 [human milk (~ 440 mL/day) alone for ≥ 1 – < 1.5 y olds and human milk (~ 170 mL/day) in combination with whole cow's milk (~ 245 mL/day) for ≥ 1.5 – ≤ 2 y olds] and low-fat cow's milk from age 2 [~ 295 mL/day]) excluding all high-sugar cereals and processed meats

C. Predicted mean vitamin D intakes ($\mu\text{g}/\text{d}$) as for B, with the addition of a daily 5 μg vitamin D supplement

¹For details of current dietary intakes, see Tables 1 and 2.

Abbreviations: AI, adequate intake; EAR, estimated average requirement; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; IOM, Institute of Medicine

FIGURE 3. Diet modeling to address iron shortfalls in 1–5 year old (12–60 months) children.

A. Current mean iron intakes (mg/d) including high-sugar (≥ 18 g/100 g) iron-fortified cereals and processed meat, consumed by 49% and 83% of 1–5 year olds, respectively.

B. Predicted mean iron intakes (mg/d) based on main milk-feeding scenario (predominantly human milk up to and including age 2 [human milk (~ 440 mL/day) alone for ≥ 1 – < 1.5 y olds

and human milk (~170 mL/day) in combination with whole cow's milk (~245 mL/day) for ≥ 1.5 – ≤ 2 y olds] and low-fat cow's milk from age 2 [~ 295 mL/day]) and excluding all high-sugar cereals and processed meat

C. Predicted mean iron intakes (mg/d) as for B, but with the addition of low-sugar iron-fortified (< 18 g sugar/100 g; ≥ 12 mg iron/100g) cereals 5 d/week and unprocessed red meat 3 d/week

¹For details of current dietary intakes see Tables 1 and 2, and for main food contributors see Table 3.

Abbreviations: AR, average requirement; EAR, estimated average requirement; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; IOM, Institute of Medicine