



Hyams, C., Begier, E., Garcia Gonzalez, M., Southern, J., Campling, J., Gray, S., Oliver, J. L., Gessner, B., & Finn, A. (2022). Incidence of acute lower respiratory tract disease hospitalisations, including pneumonia, among adults in Bristol, UK, 2019, estimated using both a prospective and retrospective methodology. *BMJ Open*, *12*(6), [e058857]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057464

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record License (if available): CC BY
Link to published version (if available): 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057464

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via BMJ at |http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057464 .Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

BMJ Open Incidence of acute lower respiratory tract disease hospitalisations, including pneumonia, among adults in Bristol, UK, 2019, estimated using both a prospective and retrospective methodology

Catherine Hyams , , , Elizabeth Begier , , Maria Garcia Gonzalez, , Jo Southern, James Campling, Sharon Gray, Jennifer Oliver, , Bradford D Gessner , Adam Finn^{2,4}

To cite: Hyams C, Begier E, Garcia Gonzalez M. et al. Incidence of acute lower respiratory tract disease hospitalisations, including pneumonia, among adults in Bristol, UK, 2019, estimated using both a prospective and retrospective methodology. BMJ Open 2022:12:e057464. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-057464

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-057464).

Received 18 September 2021 Accepted 06 June 2022



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Catherine Hyams: catherine.hyams@bristol.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objectives To determine the disease burden of acute lower respiratory tract disease (aLRTD) and its subsets (pneumonia, lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) and heart failure) in hospitalised adults in Bristol, UK. Setting Single-centre, secondary care hospital, Bristol,

Design We estimated aLRTD hospitalisations incidence in adults (≥18 years) in Bristol, UK, using two approaches. First, retrospective International Classification of Diseases 10th revision (ICD-10) code analysis (first five positions/ hospitalisation) identified aLRTD events over a 12-month period (March 2018 to February 2019). Second, during a 21-day prospective review (19 August 2019 to 9 September 2019), aLRTD admissions were identified. categorised by diagnosis and subsequently annualised. Hospital catchment denominators were calculated using linked general practice and hospitalisation data, with each practice's denominator contribution calculated based on practice population and per cent of the practices' hospitalisations admitted to the study hospital.

Participants Prospective review: 1322 adults screened; 410 identified with aLRTD. Retrospective review: 7727 adult admissions.

Primary and secondary outcome measures The incidence of aLRTD and its subsets in the adult population of Southmead Hospital, Bristol UK.

Results Based on ICD-10 code analysis, annual incidences per 100 000 population were: aLRTD, 1901; pneumonia, 591; LRTI, 739; heart failure, 402. aLRTD incidence was highest among those ≥65 years: 65-74 (3684 per 100 000 adults), 75-84 (6962 per 100 000 adults) and ≥85 (11 430 per 100 000 adults). During the prospective review, 410/1322 (31%) hospitalised adults had aLRTD signs/symptoms and annualised incidences closely replicated retrospective analysis results. Conclusions The aLRTD disease burden was high,

increasing sharply with age. The aLRTD incidence is probably higher than estimated previously due to criteria specifying respiratory-specific symptoms or radiological

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ We used two analytical methods at the same site over a comparable period, to calculate incidence using both prospective and retrospective approaches.
- ⇒ The case burden of acute lower respiratory tract disease (aLRTD) and its subgroups was predefined and included patients with atypical presentations.
- ⇒ We calculated incidence using a denominator derived from general practitioner records, providing increased accuracy compared with population calculations based on census data.
- ⇒ This was a single-centre study, with a predominantly Caucasian cohort; therefore, the findings might not be generalisable to other populations.
- ⇒ The International Classification of Diseases 10th revision coding data analysis was limited to codes within the first five positions, and therefore may have excluded some cases where other diagnoses were placed higher in the diagnostic coding hierarchy.

change, usage of only the first diagnosis code and mismatch between case count sources and population denominators. This may have significant consequences for healthcare planning, including usage of current and future vaccinations against respiratory infection.

INTRODUCTION

Acute lower respiratory tract disease (aLRTD) encompasses pneumonia, nonpneumonic lower respiratory tract infection (NP-LRTI), acute bronchitis, exacerbation of underlying respiratory diseases (including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)) and acute heart failure (HF) events resulting in respiratory symptoms (eg, breathlessness). Before



the COVID-19 pandemic, European healthcare costs for pneumonia alone in adults were estimated at €10 billion annually, including €5.7 billion for inpatient care. Pneumonia incidence in Europe varies by country and intracountry region, age, socioeconomic status and gender '-4'; however, in all studies pneumonia incidence in adults increases sharply with age. Pneumonia affects an estimated 0.5%-1% of UK adults each year. Overall LRTI incidence is considerably higher with ~15% of UK adults aged ≥65 years experiencing an event each year. While HF is not typically clinically included as an acute respiratory illness, HF with respiratory symptoms may be caused by respiratory viral infection, such as respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), either acutely or 3–4 weeks after the primary infection.

However, aLRTD incidence may be considerably higher than previously reported, given that published literature has documented several reasons why previous estimates may have been erroneously low. Estimates of aLRTD based on pneumonia defined as radiologically demonstrated alveolar infiltrates, may underestimate true disease burden as chest radiography (CXR) is an imperfect goldstandard. 10 11 Immunosuppressed, elderly or dehydrated patients are likely to be under-represented if respiratory infection is defined by radiologically demonstrated changes. 10 11 Microbiological investigations for pneumonia are undertaken variably and identify a causative pathogen in 50% of cases at most 12 13; hence, the disease is probably under-reported when confirmed microbiological diagnosis is required. Furthermore, RSV infection has recently been recognised as an important respiratory pathogen later in life, 9 with severe disease occurring in patient groups in whom the diagnosis is likely to be under-recognised (eg, the elderly or those with underlying cardiac conditions).8 Studies of clinical coding data are retrospective and subject to recognised limitations associated with this methodology. 14 15 Older patients with pneumonia often have atypical presenting signs and symptoms, which may lead to missed or incorrect admission diagnoses. 16 Pneumonia may occur secondary to, or be an underlying cause of, the main presenting report, particularly in patients with cerebrovascular accidents, HF, COPD exacerbations or altered consciousness levels.¹⁷ In these scenarios, pneumonia may not be the primary hospitalisation diagnosis code and may not even be coded as an associated diagnosis.

There are many studies examining the incidence of acute respiratory illness in children; however, data on respiratory illness in adults in the UK is lacking. Given the paucity of data supporting accurate aLRTD incidence rates and its disease subsets in adults, we undertook to assess aLRTD incidence by two approaches (retrospective and prospective) in Bristol, UK, seeking to determine the disease burden of hospitalised aLRTD and its subgroups more accurately.

METHODS Study design

This study was conducted at a large secondary care institution in UK (North Bristol NHS Trust) with specialist respiratory services (interstitial lung disease, pleural disease). Two approaches were undertaken to estimate aLRTD incidence: (1) 'retrospective analysis' of aLRTD International Classification of Diseases 10th revision (ICD-10) diagnostic codes for an entire year; and, (2) 21-day observational 'prospective review' of aLRTD hospital admissions.

Patient and public involvement

No patient involved.

Retrospective analysis


For the retrospective analysis, all adult inpatient admissions (≥18 years) obtained from Hospital Episode Statistic to the study hospital during March 2018 to February 2019 with aLRTD ICD-10 diagnostic codes (online supplemental data 1) in any of the first five positions were identified and categorised into aLRTD subgroups: pneumonia, NP-LRTI, other lower respiratory tract disease (LRTD) and HF (online supplemental data 2). A mutually exclusive hierarchy was used (pneumonia, NP-LRTI, then other LRTD) although HF diagnoses could co-occur with other categories. 'Other LRTD' included acute respiratory events that could not definitively be placed in another category. Only the first five ICD-10 codes were available for analysis.

Prospective review

Adult patients (≥18 years) resident within Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) referred to the acute medical unit (AMU) at North Bristol NHS Trust during 19 August 2019 to 9 September 2019 were included in an audit on acute respiratory illness. This time period was selected because it was felt to represent a period when there were an average number of adults hospitalised with aLRTD. A respiratory physician (CH) reviewed presenting features and investigation results for each admitted patient to determine whether aLRTD was present. Further medical record review was undertaken if patients had: new/worsening breathlessness, cough or sputum production; new or worsening peripheral oedema; pleurisy; clinical examination findings consistent with respiratory infection or HF; or, fever attributable to suspected respiratory infection. Patients with non-respiratory diagnoses were excluded. There were no patient refusals for either approach.

Prospective Review Outcome measures

aLRTD was considered confirmed in individuals with: new/worsening respiratory symptoms, with or without fever; sepsis, delirium or raised inflammatory markers attributable to likely respiratory infection in admitting clinical team's opinion; radiological change in keeping with infection (eg, consolidation); and/or final diagnosis of NP-LRTI, pneumonia or infective exacerbation of a chronic respiratory condition. A pneumonia diagnosis



For both retrospective and prospective studies, pneumonia included both community and healthcare setting acquired cases; although, the prospective review only captured admitting diagnoses and pneumonias occurring later during hospitalisation were not included.

Incidence calculations

Annual incidence per 100 000 persons was calculated for both retrospective and prospective studies. Case counts from prospective review were annualised (ie, case counts by diagnosis and overall were divided by the percentage of annual admissions for these diagnosis groups that occurred in this 21-day period in the retrospective analysis).

Incidence denominators

To calculate appropriate population denominators for incidence calculations, aLRTD hospital admission event data were linked to aggregated general practitioner (GP) practice patient registration data within the NHS Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire CCG for 2017–2019. Only 4% of patients sought care at North Bristol NHS Trust hospital from outside these local CCGs, despite presence of specialist respiratory services. In the UK, GP registration is available free of charge for all, regardless of residential status. For GP practices within these same CCGs, the proportion of their aLRTD admissions occurring at North Bristol NHS Trust was multiplied by their patient registration count in 2019 by age group, to get each practice's contribution to the denominator (eg, if 50% aLRTD admissions were at North Bristol among persons 50-64 years, the practice would contribute half of their patients 50-64 years to the denominator). Further details of this methodology have been described previously. 18

Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics were tabulated by aLRTD diagnosis. Categorical variables were presented as counts with percentages. Continuous data are presented with means and SD if normally distributed and medians and IQR if not normally distributed. Patient groups difference were evaluated using the Friedman test with Wilcoxon signedrank test.

RESULTS

Retrospective analysis

Over a 12-month period, we identified 7727 hospital admissions for aLRTD: 3005 NP-LRTI admissions, 2402 pneumonia, 1633 HF and 1071 other LRTD (table 1). The aLRTD admissions were lowest in March and April and highest December through February (figure 1A), overall and for all aLRTD subgroups (p<0.05) (figure 1B-D). Overall, 28.1% (2244) cases were identified as being potentially hospital-acquired infection based on co-occurring ICD-10 code 'Y95 Nosocomial Infection'.

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of patients admitted with acute lower respiratory tract disease for 1-year International Classification of Diseases 10th revision code retrospective analysis and 21-day prospective review period - 2018-2019

Characteristic	Pneumonia		NP-LRTI		Heart failure)	Other LRTD	All LRTD	
Study	Prospective review	Retrospective analysis	Prospective review	Retrospective analysis	Prospective review	Retrospective analysis	Retrospective review only	Prospective review	Retrospective analysis
N	152	2402	188	3005	77	1633	1071	410	7727
Gender, females	61 (40)	1078 (45)	99 (53)	1482 (49)	39 (51)	731 (45)	489 (46)	194 (47)	3780 (49)
Age									
Median (IQR), years	80 (67–86)	81 (66–88)	70 (46–87)	69 (45–87)	87 (72–90)	87 (70–90)	74 (53–82)	80 (64–88)	81 (65–90)
18–24	4 (3)	72 (3)	9 (5)	151 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	26 (2)	13 (3)	249 (3)
25–34	2 (2)	48 (2)	12 (6)	183 (6)	0 (0)	3 (0)	33 (3)	14 (3)	267 (3)
35–44	6 (4)	97 (4)	14 (7)	209 (7)	2 (3)	10 (1)	59 (6)	22 (5)	375 (5)
45–54	8 (5)	118 (5)	11 (6)	183 (6)	0 (0)	22 (1)	112 (10)	19 (5)	435 (6)
55–64	18 (12)	293 (12)	19 (10)	305 (10)	8 (10)	158 (10)	210 (20)	45 (11)	966 (13)
65–74	34 (22)	501 (21)	32 (17)	549 (18)	10 (15)	199 (12)	223 (21)	75 (18)	1472 (19)
75–84	44 (28)	667 (28)	40 (21)	621 (21)	20 (30)	498 (31)	205 (19)	100 (24)	1991 (26)
≥85	38 (26)	606 (25)	51 (27)	704 (23)	37 (55)	742 (45)	203 (19)	123 (30)	2255 (29)




Figure 1 The aLRTD admissions identified by retrospective International Classification of Diseases 10th revision (ICD-10) diagnostic code analysis at North Bristol National Health Service Trust—UK 2018–2019. Monthly number of patients admitted, based on ICD-10 coding analysis, with (A) all acute lower respiratory tract disease (aLRTD) (black bars), (B) pneumonia (slashed bars), (C) non-pneumonic lower respiratory tract infection (NP-LRTI) (white bars), (D) other LRTD (cross-hash bars) and (E) heart failure (grey bars).

Prospective review

Among 1322 eligible adult patients referred to AMU over the 21-day review period (figure 2), 410 patients had signs or symptoms of aLRTD: 188 (46%) NP-LRTI, 152 (37%) pneumonia and 77 (19%) HF. Seven patients had both decompensated HF and a respiratory infection at hospital admission. On admission, >10% of patients with aLRTD did not have respiratory symptoms: 16 (11%) pneumonia, 25 (13%) NP-LRTI and 18 (14%) HF (table 2).

Almost all adults admitted with aLRTD underwent routine biochemistry, haematology and radiological investigation (99.9%, n=409). In contrast, only 150 (37%) patients with aLRTD had microbiological testing performed: blood cultures (n=149, 36%) and urine cultures (n=143, 35%). Pneumonia patients more commonly underwent microbiological investigation than patients with NP-LRTI (p<0.05) with highest disparity in rates of sputum culture, urinary antigens and respiratory viral PCR (table 2). All patients with cardiac failure who underwent microbiological investigation had concomitant respiratory infection (table 2). Overall, a microbiological diagnosis was found in 11 (3%) cases, highlighting




Figure 2 Flow diagram of the prospective review. AMU, acute medical unit; HF, heart failure; LRTD, lower respiratory tract disease; NP-LRTI, non-pneumonic lower respiratory tract infection.

the low frequency of definitive pathogen identification in aLRTD. Younger patients underwent microbiological testing more frequently than the elderly for all aLRTD categories (table 2).

Disease incidence

Retrospective analysis yielded an overall aLRTD incidence of 1901 per 100 000. Disease incidence rose with increasing age (table 3), both overall and for all disease subgroups; incidences per 100 000 among adults aged ≥85 years were: 11 430 (aLRTD), 6116 (NP-LRTI), 4215 (pneumonia) and 4005 (HF). Overall, 28.1% aLRTD hospitalisations also included an ICD-10 discharge code for 'nosocomial infection', suggesting the aLRTD event or other infection was hospital-acquired. For pneumonia 25.3% had the nosocomial infection code. If all of these were related to the pneumonia diagnosis, an estimated residual 1794 events would have been community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) (annual incidence 441/100 000 adults). Among older age categories, where the incidence of aLRTD was highest, NP-LRTI incidence was similar to pneumonia incidence, with an approximate 1:1 ratio of NP-LRTI to pneumonia cases. However, among adults under age 50 years, there were approximately twice as many NP-LRTI cases observed as pneumonia cases. Incidence calculations using annualised prospective review results were broadly comparable with retrospective analysis of ICD-10 data (table 3).

DISCUSSION

This is the first UK study to assess aLRTD incidence comprehensively. We conducted an analysis of 12 months of hospital admissions by ICD-10 diagnosis code data and a 21-day prospective review at a large academic hospital in South West England. With both approaches, we found



Table 2 Clinical characteristics and investigations of patients admitted with acute lower respiratory tract disease over 21-day prospective review period in August to September 2020

Characteristic	Pneumonia, n=152 (%)	NP-LRTI, n=188 (%)	Heart failure, n=77 (%)	All LRTD, n=410 (%)
GP	56 (37)	72 (39)	30 (39)	158 (39)
A&E department	93 (61)	100 (54)	45 (58)	238 (58)
Transfer from another unit	2 (1)	13 (7)	0 (0)	15 (4)
Other	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (3)	4 (1)
Referral source				
Typical features*	136 (89)	163 (87)	63 (82)	355 (87)
Atypical features	16 (11)	25 (13)	14 (18)	55 (13)
Collapse/falls	11 (7)	12 (6)	0 (0)	23 (6)
Confusion	0 (0)	7 (4)	4 (5)	10 (2)
Drowsiness	1 (1)	1 (1)	2 (3)	4 (1)
Off legs/generally unwell	5 (3)	5 (3)	8 (10)	18 (4)
LRTD signs and symptoms on r	referral to AMU			
Biochemistry	152 (100)	185 (99)	77 (100)	419 (100)
Haematology	152 (100)	185 (99)	77 (100)	419 (100)
Radiology	152 (100)	185 (99)	77 (100)	419 (100)
Investigations performed				
Testing by age group				
All patients	79/152 (52)†	77/188 (41)	11/77 (14)	167 (41)
18–24	3/4 (75)	6/9 (66)	0/0 (0)	9/13 (69)
25–34	0/0 (0)	6/12 (50)	0/0 (0)	6/12 (50)
35–44	5/6 (83)	10/14 (71)	2/2 (100)	17/22 (77)
45–54	6/8 (75)	6/11 (55)	0/0 (0)	13/19 (68)
55–64	11/18 (61)	12/19 (63)	5/8 (63)	31/45 (69)
65–74	15/34 (44)	12/32 (38)	1/10 (10)	28/75 (37)
75–84	21/43 (49)	10/40 (25)	2/20 (10)	33/100 (33)
≥85	18/39 (46)	15/51 (19)	1/37 (3)	34/124 (27)
Test performed				
Blood culture	79 (52)	70 (37)	5 (6)	150 (37)
Urine culture	66 (43)	77 (41)	11 (14)	150 (37)
Sputum culture	27 (18)†	7 (4)	2 (3)	35 (9)
BinaxNOW Pn UAT	29 (19)†	6 (3)	0 (0)	35 (9)
Respiratory virus PCR	16 (11)†	11 (6)	1 (1)	28 (7)
Pleural fluid culture	3 (2)	0 (0)	1 (1)	4 (1)

^{*}Typical symptoms included cough, breathlessness, increased or discoloured sputum production, wheeze, pleurisy, peripheral oedema, haemoptysis, reduced exercise tolerance and/or fever.

A&E, accident and emergency department; AMU, acute medical unit; BTS, British Thoracic Society; GP, general practitioner; LRTD, lower respiratory tract disease; NICE, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; NP-LRTI, non-pneumonic lower respiratory tract infection; Pn UAT, pneumococcal urinary antigen test.

a high annual incidence of aLRTD (>1700 per 100 000; 1.7%), pneumonia ($\sim 0.6\%$), NP-LRTI without pneumonia (> 0.7%) and HF (> 0.4%). Incidences increased sharply in a non-linear manner as age increased above 65 years for all aLRTD categories. These results suggest rates are probably significantly higher than previous disease estimates from the UK (table 4) but comparable with

many results globally, ¹⁹ ²⁰ with important consequences for healthcare resources. For example, a recent review highlighted that pneumonia incidences ranged from 1000 to 2500 per 100 000 (1%–2.5%) among persons aged 65–74 years in Spain, Germany, France, Japan and the USA, which are comparable to the >1250 per 100 000 (1.3%) reported here. Some of the potential sources of

[‡]BinaxNOW Pn UAT was only performed in accordance with NICE/BTS guidelines.

Table 3 Incidence of aLRTD resulting in hospital admission based on prospective and retrospective approaches by age group and condition. North Bristol National Health Service Trust—UK 2018–2019

	Age groups					
	All adults	18–49 years	50-64 years	65-74 years	75-84 years	≥85 years
Population in 2018	406 481	226 920	91 534	45 705	29 487	12 835
Retrospective analysis of a year's	CD-10 codes					
Annual cases—N (row %)						
All aLRTD	7727	1130 (14)	1103 (14)	1684 (22)	2053 (27)	1757 (23)
Pneumonia	2402	264 (11)	288 (12)	589 (25)	720 (30)	541 (22)
NP-LRTI	3005	576 (19)	410 (14)	572 (19)	662 (22)	785 (26)
Other LRTD	1071	246 (23)	268 (25)	226 (21)	200 (19)	131 (12)
Heart failure	1633	48 (3)	189 (12)	397 (24)	485 (30)	514 (31)
NP-LRTI/pneumonia ratio	1.3	2.2	1.4	1.0	0.9	1.5
Incidence (per 100 000)						
All aLRTD	1901	497	1205	3684	6962	13 689
Pneumonia	591	116	315	1289	2442	4215
NP-LRTI	739	254	448	1252	2245	6116
Other LRTD	263	108	293	494	678	1021
Heart failure	402	21	206	869	1645	4005
21-day prospective review (annual	ised)					
Annualised cases—N (row %)						
All aLRTD	7885	1038	962	1692	2231	1962
Pneumonia	2621	224	397	776	690	534
NP-LRTI	3857	796	531	653	1061	816
Heart failure	2000	51	205	308	641	795
NP-LRTI/pneumonia ratio	1.5	3.6	1.3	0.8	1.5	1.5
Incidence (per 100 000)						
All aLRTD	1940	458	1050	3703	7565	15 283
Pneumonia	645	99	433	1698	2339	4164
NP-LRTI	944	351	580	1429	3599	6360
Heart failure	492	23	224	673	2174	6193

Pneumonia category includes community and healthcare acquired. For retrospective ICD-10 based cohort, the following mutually exclusive hierarchy was used to define pneumonia, LRTI and other LRTD; heart failure event could overlap with other categories.

underestimation for other UK incidence studies (table 4) include: case source and denominator mismatch; use of first position in ICD-code analysis only; inclusion of enrolled subjects in consent-based prospective studies only; requiring specific symptoms and chest X-ray confirmation in order to be classified as pneumonia/aLRTD; and, lastly, the rising incidence of aLRTD.

Comparison with published literature

No studies have reported aLRTD incidence comprehensively in UK hospitalised patients within the last 20 years. However, eight publications report incidence of ≥1 aLRTD subgroup. Seven publications reported CAP incidence (three from Nottingham, UK). For pneumonia, our incidence estimates were three to fourfold higher

than other UK inpatient incidence estimates (table 4) but comparable to estimates from other countries. ¹⁹ ²⁰ Only two UK studies from approximately 20 years ago reported NP-LRTI incidence (one with both CAP and NP-LRTIs; table 4), and only one provided an inpatient estimate. ²¹ NP-LRTI incidence was approximately twofold lower than that calculated here, taking into account inclusion of CAP and other NP-LRTI in their estimates. ²¹ ²² The one UK study reporting HF incidence had methodological differences (ie, inclusion of outpatients and limiting to initial HF diagnosis) and estimates could not be compared. ²³ Close examination of the existing literature methods yielded multiple sources for potential underestimation.

^{&#}x27;Other LRTD' contains LRTD events that could not definitively be placed in one of the other respiratory disease categories.

aLRTD, acute lower respiratory tract disease; HF, Heart Failure; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases 10th revision; LRTD, lower respiratory tract disease; LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; NP-LRTI, non-pneumonic lower respiratory tract infection; pro-NT BNP, N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide.

Study Study years Study	Table 4	iterature revie	ew of acute lo	Literature review of acute lower respiratory tract disc	ot disease incidence	ease incidence in hospitalised adults, UK	, CK				
Page	Study	Study years	Location (facility)		Case definition*	Key inclusion	Denominator source	Overall incidence	Age breakdown (years)		Comments
Confirmed Treatment only 218 Chickel signator Chickel States Chickel Chickel States Chickel Chickel States Chickel Chickel States Chick	Community-a	cquired pneumo	onia								
Stouthfried Pears Sylinging With Pear Pear Pear Pears Pear Pear	Current study		Bristol			Hospital-acquired	Based on number of	648	18–49	116	Retrospective
Processor Proc			(Southmead Hospital)	years		pneumonia (HAP) included	persons ≥18 years registered in referring GP		50-64	315	analysis includes first five positions.
1897-2014 Mile and East Impatients only 216 Inclusion circle and 2012-2008 Mile Apart Politicity and 2012-2009 Mile Apart Mile and East Impatients only 216 Inclusion circle and 2012-2014 Mile Apart Moltingham Mile Molt					in keeping with		practices. For practices		65-74	1289	
Page 2002-2009 Hull and East Impatients only > 16 Decision chefter (DC-10 occored mark) Page 2002-2009 Hull and East Impatients only > 16 Decision chefter (DC-10 occored mark) Page 2002-2009 Hull and East Impatients only > 16 Decision chefter (DC-10 occored mark) Page 2002-2004 Hull and East Impatients only > 16 Decision chefter (DC-10 occored mark) Page 2002-2014 Pa					infection (prospective review portion).		with split referral patterns, number adjusted for per	591	75-84	2442	
1997-2011 UK Both 2-56 Codes provided First Alph Included Michaer propulation 143 (2002) 2-65 543-751					AND Retrospective ICD-10 code analysis (first five positions): J12- J18, J85 and J86.		cent of admissions that came to Southmead.		>85	4215	
Total	Elston 2012,	2002-2009	Hull and East			HAP included	Mid-year population	143 (2002) -	15–64	48.8–84.1	Fewer ICD-10
1997–2011 UK Both 2-65 Read and IOD-10 Inpatients and years codes, nowled:	Epidemiol Infect ²⁶		Yorkshire Hospitals‡	years			estimates for Hull (city) and EroY (Surrounding County) from Office for National Statistics.	207 (2009)		543–781	codes included than other analyses; Y95 nosocomial infection included.
Top-14 T	Millet 2013, J		UK			HAP excluded	Mid-year UK population	662	69-59	281	Incidence estimates
First diagnosis orde First diagnosis code First diagnosis ord	Olin Epidemio						estimates from Office for National Statistics.		70–74	431	converted to per 100 000 person-years.
Total Control Contro					For ICD-10, used				75–79	694	
Mothagham Inpatients only ≥16 Inclusion criteria: one HAP excluded Mid-year estimates for the suggestive of or more symptom Cough, increasing Cough, incre					for first episode of				80-84 years	1205	
Notlingham Inpatients only ≥16 Inclusion criteria: one HAP excluded Mid-year estimates for the ges, and the part of the ges, and the g					nospitalisation only.				85–89	2184	
Nortingham Inpatients only ≥16 Inclusion criteria: one HAP excluded Mid-year estimates for the g6.3 16-49 27.3 (two large Years Criteria: one HAP excluded Criteria Criteria: one HAP excluded Criteria Cri									>30	4194	
Continue of the continue of	Pick 2020,	2013–2014	Nottingham				Mid-year estimates for the	96.3	16–49	27.3	Only consented/
cough, increasing dysphoea, sputum production and fever), with evidence of acute infiltrates population data stratified fever) 65–74 181.3 fever), with evidence of acute infiltrates of acute infiltrates 75–84 400.6 consistent with respiratory infection on admission and treated for a diagnosis of CAP. Exclusion criteria: hospitalisation within 10 days of index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia. 158.4 16–49 29.9	Horaxs		(two large university hospitals)	years			from the Office for National Statistics, including local		50–64	80.2	enrolled subjects included in estimates.
production and fever), with evidence of acute infiltrates consistent with respiratory infection on admission and treated for a diagnosis of CAP. Exclusion criteria: hospitalisation within 10 days of index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					cough, increasing dysphoea, sputum		population data stratified by age group.		65–74	181.3	Required CXR
consistent with respiratory infection on admission radiography, and treated for a diagnosis of index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					production and fever), with evidence		-		75–84	400.6	confirmation but not all LRT patients had CXR.
respiratory infection on admission radiography, and treated for a diagnosis of CAP Exclusion criteria: hospitalisation within 10 days of index admission, a diagnosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					consistent with				>85	707.5	Census-derived
radiography, and treated for a diagnosis of CAP. Exclusion criteria: hospitalisation within 10 days of index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.		2017–2018			respiratory infection on admission			158.4	16-49	29.9	denominator that
adiagnosis of CAP. Exclusion criteria: hospitalisation within 10 days of index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					radiography, and treated for a				50–64	146.9	matched catchment area.
hospitalisation within 10 days of index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					diagnosis of CAP. Exclusion criteria:				65-74	310.4	Required specific
index admission, a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					hospitalisation				75–84	559.5	symptoms and evidence of
a diagnosis of tuberculosis or post-obstructive pneumonia.					index admission,				>85	1522.6	treatment and
		2013–2018			a diagnosis of tuberculosis or			120.4	1	1	may not have had this information
					post-obstructive pneumonia.						documented.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057464 on 15 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on July 22, 2022 by guest. Protected by copyright.

Continued

Table 4 C	Continued										
Study	Study years	Location (facility)	Event setting	Age	Case definition*	Key inclusion	Denominator source	Overall incidence	Age breakdown (years)	Incidence per 100 000 by age†	Comments
Thorrington 2019, BMC Med	2004–2005	England	Inpatients only	≥65 years	ICD-10 codes (first position only): J18 (pneumonia of unspecified causative organism).	HAP included	Mid-year population estimates for England for 2004–2015 from Office for National Statistics.	A	>65	1787	Incidence is per 100 000 person-years. Fewer ICD-10 codes included than other analyses.
Trotter 2008, EID	2004-2005	England	Inpatients only	≥65 years	ICD-10 codes (first position only): J12–J18.	HAP included	Mid-year population estimates for England for 1997–2004 from the Office for National Statistics.	M	65-74 75-84 ≥85 65-74 75-84 ≥85	263 684 1599 355 877 2218	Incidence estimates converted to 100 000 population.
Lower respira	Lower respiratory tract infection	tion				Pneumonia					
Current study	2018–2019	Bristol (Southmead Hospital)	Inpatients only	≥18 years	Clinical signs/ symptoms of heart failure or elevated	Excludes all pneumonia	Based on number of persons ≥18 years registered in referring GP	802	18–49	254 448	
					pro-NT BNP or		practices. For practices		65-74	1252	
					radiological change. AND		with split referral patterns, number adjusted for per	739	75–84	2442	
					Retrospective ICD-10 code analysis: J09, J10, J11; J20, J21, J22, J40, J41, J42, J44, J45 and J46.		cent of admissions that came to Southmead.		>885	6116	
Lovering	1994–1996	Bristol	Inpatients only		LRTI episodes from	Includes community-	No information on	623	16–39	151	Incidence converted
Microbiol and		(Southmead Hospital)		years	four groups by ICD 9/10 codes: (1) CAP;	acquired pneumonia	denominator provided.		40–49	175	to per 100 000 population.
Infection					(z) cnest infection or acute exacerbation in				50-59	294	Study involved single
					presence of asthma;				69-09	1086	 hospital and no mention of source
					acute exacerbation in				62-02	2135	of denominator
					presence of COPD; or (4) bronchitis with no radiological evidence of pneumonia or pre-existing respiratory disease, such as COPD or asthma. No specified codes provided.	HAP excluded			>79	3141	mentioned.
											- (

Supplementary Standary years Reality Supplementary S	Table 4 C	Continued										
UK Beath ±55 Read and CD-10.0 includes community. Mich-set VLD-20.0 includes community. Mich-set VLD-20.0 includes community. Page 12.293 G5-49 9221 Outpatients and years codes provided For codes included a code for regarding and provided For community. codes provided For CD-10 used first and code for regarding and provided For CD-10 used first and code for community-acquired in the code for code and provided in the code for community-acquired in the code for code and provided in the code for code in the code in	Study	Study years	Location (facility)	Event setting	Age	Case definition*	Key inclusion	Denominator source	Overall incidence	Age breakdown (years)	Incidence per 100 000 by age†	
Propertients and years Codes in page Propertient Propertients Propertient Propertients Propertient	Millet 2013, J		UK	Both		Read and ICD-10	Includes community-	Mid-year UK population	12 293	69-59	9221	Incidence converted
CD-10, Used first CD-1	Clin Epidemio	_		inpatients and		codes; no specified	acquired pneumonia	estimates from Office		70-74	10 740	to per 100 000
Birticol Impatients only 218 Clinical signs				outpatients		codes provided. For ICD-10, used first		for National Statistics. (Patients were not		75–79	12 607	person-years.
HAP excluded Interseption of the control of the						diagnosis code for		considered at risk for		80-84	15 137	
HAP excluded Illines-spisiode, during HAP excluded Illines-spisiode, during HAP excluded Illines-spisiode, during HES hospitalisation or Crit days after any HES hospitalisation or Crit days are a well of the person in the critical signs All or first episode Based on number of person Partospisal provided Par						only.		LRTI during an LRTI		85–89	18 791	
Bristor Inpatients only 218 Clinical signs/ Hospital Properties							HAP excluded	illness-episode, during an HES hospitalisation, or for 14 days after any HES hospitalisation or CPRD hospital code. This person-time was excluded		06 Al	26 287	
Bristol Inpatients only ≥18 Clinical signs/ All Based on number of 328 18-49 21 (Southmead Packsted	Heart failure						All or first episode					
Southmead Years Symptoms of heart Registered in referring GP	Current study		Bristol			Clinical signs/	All	Based on number of	328	18-49	21	
And December Productices Paractices			(Southmead Hospital)		years	symptoms of heart failure or elevated		persons ≥18 years registered in referring GP		50-64	206	
AND number adjusted for per came to Southmead. 402 75–84 1645 Code analysis: 110; 100 and to admissions that came to Southmead. 285 4005 1130; 1132; 103; 1130; 1132; 104 1130; 1132; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 104 1130; 1130; 1130; 114 1130; 11						pro-NT BNP or radiological change.		practices. For practices with split referral patterns,		65–74	869	
Retrospective ICD-10 Came to Southmead. 285 4005 130; I132; I50. 130; I32; I260, I50 and Each registry, and ONS national death registry, and						AND		number adjusted for per	402	75–84	1645	
UK Both ≥55 Four sources of EHR First episode at 55 years or Not reported. Not inpatients and years were linked: CPRD older counted outpatients and years necords, primary care records, HES secondary care hospital charges, Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP) disease registry, and ONS national death registry. HES (CD-10 codes: Heart failure: 1110, 1130, 1131, 1121, Individuals were excluded if they presented a history of HE before their index date in CPRD, HES or MINAP.						Retrospective ICD-10 code analysis: 1110; 1130; 1132; 150.		came to Southmead.		>85	4005	
were linked: OPRD older counted primary care records, outpatients and years outpatients and years outpatients and point of the care records, primary care records, primary care records, may be primary care records, may be condary care hospital charges, myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (Myocardial Ischaemia Audit Project (Male 110, I130, and ONS national death registry, and ONS national death registry, and ONS national death registry. In 22, 1260, I50 and Ischaemia National Ischaemia Nation	Uijl 2019, Eur	J 2000-2010	UK	Both		Four sources of EHR	First episode at 55 years or	Not reported.	Not	55-64, M	360	Incidence converted
a 65–74, Male 1360 65–74, 920 Female 3440 >75, Male 2800	Heart Fail≅			inpatients and outpatients		were linked: CPRD primary care records, HES secondary care	older counted		Reported	55–64, Female	190	to per 100 000 person-years.
65–74, 920 Female 75, Male 3440 >75, Female 2800						hospital charges,				65-74, Male	1360	Included first
>75, Male 3440 >75, Female 2800						Myocardiai ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP)				65–74, Female	920	 episode of HF (inpatient or outpatient) at age
>75, Female 2800						disease registry,				>75, Male	3440	55 and up, so
						and CNDs national death registry. HES ICD-10 codes. Hart failure: 1110, 1130, 1132, 1260, 150 and 121. Individuals were excluded if they presented a history of HF before their index date in CPRD, HES or MINAP.				>75, Female	2800	repeat episodes not included.

Sonly the most recent incidence estimates from the Nottingham CAP study were included.

CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CPRD, Clinical Practice Research Datalink; CXR, chest radiography; EHR, Electronic Health Record; GP, general practitioner; HES, Hospital Episode Statistic; CAP, chronial practical practitioner; HES, Hospital Episode Statistic; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases 10th revision; LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; ONS, Office of National Statistics; pro-NT BNP, N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide. *Add text names for all listed ICD codes in this footnote or appendix.

For the current study, only age-group estimates from the retrospective analysis were included in this table, but these were closely comparable to the annualised incidence estimates based on the prospective review. See table 3 for full results.

Fincleded hospitals were Hull Royal Infirmary, Castle Hill Hospital, Princess Royal Hospital, Scarborough District General Hospital, Bridged Hill Hospital, Royal Infirmary, Castle Hill Hospital, Princess Royal Hospital, Scarborough District General Hospital.

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-057464 on 15 June 2022. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on July 22, 2022 by guest. Protected by copyright.

First, for incidence studies that were not countrywide, identifying an appropriate denominator is challenging. Like many other inpatient settings worldwide, UK hospitals' catchment areas for acute treatment are principally driven by geography, but the proportion of any area's residents expected to use the hospital becomes less clear as distance from the hospital increases because catchment areas and populations of different hospitals may overlap. Defining hospital catchment populations based solely on census data cannot account for this variability. Including all geographical areas using the hospital to any extent results in population denominator overestimation and underestimated incidence. Here, we addressed this by calculating population denominators based on hospital utilisation behaviour from referring general practices.

Second, other studies used fewer codes in their ICD code analysis: all limited their analyses to events where the diagnostic code was in the first position (table 4; case definition column), potentially excluding admissions in which pneumonia/NP-LRTI complicated other underlying respiratory diseases, including COPD and asthma. Limiting to first position has been shown to reduce sensitivity for pneumonia events by about 30% (66%–72% sensitive). ^{22 24} Conversely, the recent British Thoracic Society (BTS) audit on CAP found ~27% of pneumonia events identified by ICD code (J12–18) had no new CXR infiltrates. ⁶ Even accounting for this potential over coding practice, our estimates remain well above other published UK estimates.

Third, for other prospective studies, exclusion of events where patients did not consent to participation or were not identified by study surveillance processes (often conducted predominately during business hours) can introduce underestimation. Further, other prospective pneumonia studies specifically required documentation of specific symptoms, radiological findings and treatments, potentially excluding those without these features documented in medical records. In our prospective review, approximately 11% did not display typical signs and symptoms of pneumonia and could have been excluded by that requirement. Requiring CXR confirmation has been shown to reduce incidence estimates for pneumonia, although all pneumonia events in our prospective review were radiologically confirmed.

Fourth, trends over time may also contribute to our estimates being higher than previous reports. Our study's estimates are recent, and rising incidence of pneumonia has been documented in all studies that have reported such trends. ^{25–27}

Finally, this study included, in part, hospital-acquired pneumonias (HAP), which were excluded from estimates calculated in some other studies (table 4). The retrospective analysis may have included more nosocomial infection than the prospective review, as the latter was focused on evaluation of patients at admission for aLRTD and would not have reliably captured events that developed during hospitalisation. 25.3% pneumonia events included a nosocomial infection code, but this code could relate to any nosocomial infection during that hospitalisation.

If all these cases were assumed to be HAP, our estimates CAP incidence would still be well above prior UK estimates: 441/100 000 (≥18 years).

While not impacting all-cause aLRTD incidence estimates discussed above, during the prospective review, we found low rates of microbial investigation which prevented us from generating pathogen-specific incidence estimates. Only 52% of patients with radiologicallyconfirmed pneumonia underwent microbiological testing during hospitalisation, with even lower rates in other aLRTD subgroups (41% NP-LRTI and 14% HF). Microbiological testing occurred less frequently as age increased, particularly in patients with NP-LRTI. It is possible that, because aLRTD hospitalisations are substantially more common among older persons, less aetiological investigation is performed. Furthermore, clinicians may elect to treat elderly patients with a more pragmatic and less invasive approach. Management guidelines do not require specific pathogen identification to inform treatment choice. Presence only of atypical features on presentation (in this series, 13% NP-LRTI and 11% pneumonia cases) may also reduce the likelihood of timely microbiological testing. Low rates of microbiological testing, and consequently of confirmed microbiological diagnosis, may represent a source of underestimation of pathogen-specific disease incidence in patient groups (ie, testing bias), particularly in elderly patient groups.

Strengths and limitations of this study

This study has many strengths. First, this study used two analytical methods at the same site over a comparable period, to calculate incidence using both prospective and retrospective approaches. Second, the case burden of aLRTD and its subgroups was pre-defined and included patients with atypical presentations but with clinical and/or radiological diagnoses, who may otherwise have been excluded from analysis. Additionally, we calculated incidence using a denominator derived from GP records, providing increased accuracy compared with population calculations based on census data.

However, the study also had some limitations. This was a single-centre study, with a predominantly Caucasian cohort; therefore, the findings might not be generalisable to other populations both within the UK and in other countries. Different healthcare systems may affect patient treatment preference, and as the National Health Service provides care which is free at the point of access, the hospitalisation rates seen in this study may be different than those in fee or insurance based healthcare systems. Similarly, physician treatment preferences may affect hospitalisation rates, and we have not explored these in this analysis. The ICD-10 coding data analysis was limited to codes within the first five positions, and therefore may have excluded some cases where other diagnoses were placed higher in the diagnostic coding hierarchy. Furthermore, we could not determine how many cases of the 28.1% ICD-10 cases also coded with



nosocomial infection had hospital-acquired respiratory infection rather than other nosocomial infections.

Although the denominator used to calculate incidence was derived from GP records, this was still an estimate as there is no precisely defined denominator hospital catchment. We were unable to exclude patients from outside the local CCGs in the retrospective analysis, due to the way ICD-10 data were obtained. However, these patients were excluded from the prospective review and the incidence calculated was comparable, suggesting any effect that patients attending North Bristol NHS Trust from outside the local CCGs have on incidence estimates is minimal. This may be because any effect of travelling or healthseeking behaviour is bi-directional: while some patients admitted to Southmead hospital were from outside the local area, it is also true that patients with aLRTD within the relevant CCGs would have been admitted to other hospitals. We also acknowledge the 21-day prospective review period was relatively short, not repeated, and may not be fully representative of clinical practice and cases throughout the year. This study was conducted before the emergence of COVID-19, and we think these data will be useful in one of two-ways in the context of COVID-19: (1) either COVID-19 will become endemic, and the data will reflect the first year before a new normal or (2) COVID-19 will abate and it will provide an anchor for understanding incidence during a respiratory viral pandemic.

In conclusion, we found similarly high estimates of LRTD incidence using two different approaches, and these estimates were higher than those obtained previously in the UK. Determining if there is a real increase in incidence, or if this estimate is larger due to more accurate methodology including a more accurate denominator will require ongoing comprehensive surveillance. Nonetheless, combining all types of LRTD highlights the high burden for this important and potentially life-threatening disease group. Incidence assessments require close assessments of potential areas of under ascertainment, including unidentified or unenrolled cases in prospective studies, reduced positions or number of ICD-10 codes included for retrospective studies, and population denominator mismatch for all study types. Our prospective review findings highlight the need to consider atypical clinical presentations for pneumonia and the lack of routine microbiological investigation in many patients with aLRTD required for pathogen-specific aLRTD incidence calculation. Future research should include a fully prospective assessment of aLRTD incidence with comprehensive diagnostic testing across multiple respiratory seasons, to ensure accurate capture of all aLRTD events, particularly in the elderly. Such research should be undertaken given the high and rising aLRTD burden to enable appropriate healthcare planning and identification of interventions which may reduce disease burden.

Author affiliations

¹Academic Respiratory Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ²Bristol Vaccine Centre, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ³Global Medical and Scientific Affairs, Pfizer Inc, New York City, New York, USA

⁴Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁵Academic Respiratory Unit, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, UK

⁶Vaccines Medical Affairs, Pfizer Ltd, Tadworth, UK

⁷Schools of Cellular and Molecular Medicine and Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁸Vaccines Medical Development, Scientific and Clinical Affairs, Pfizer Inc, Collegeville, Pennsylvania, USA

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge the assistance of Qi Yan, PhD (Pfizer) who provided indispensable medical writing and literature review support for this manuscript and Harvey Walsh Health who performed the hospital denominator calculation used here. For the denominator analysis, Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) data were re-used with the permission of NHS Digital via Harvey Walsh Limited.

Contributors CH, EB, MGG, JS, BDG and AF generated the research questions and analysis plan. CH, EB, MGG, JS, JC, SG and BDG undertook and contributed to the data analysis. AF oversaw the research and data collection which was undertaken by CH and MGG. All authors contributed to the preparation of the manuscript. CH is the guarantor for this study and accepts full responsibility for the study conduct, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish.

Funding CH was funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (NIHR Academic Clinical Fellowship (ACF-2015-25-002)). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. The remainder of the study funding was from Pfizer (WI255886-1).

Competing interests EB, JS, JC, SG and BDG are full-time employees of Pfizer Vaccines and hold stock or stock options. CH is the Principal Investigator of the Avon CAP study (ISRCTN:17354061) which is an investigator-led University of Bristol study funded by Pfizer and has previously received support from the NIHR in an Academic Clinical Fellowship. AF is a member of the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization (JCVI) and chair of the WHO European Technical Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (ETAGE) committee. In addition to receiving funding from Pfizer as Chief Investigator of this study, he leads another project investigating transmission of respiratory bacteria in families jointly funded by Pfizer and the Gates Foundation. The other authors have no relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study was approved by North Bristol NHS Trust Research Audit Ethics Committee (CA52218). This work was conducted as part of an audit evaluating the patients admitted to Southmead Hospital with signs and symptoms of respiratory disease. Members of the clinical care team undertook the data collection, and only anonymised data was reviewed by research team members who were not part of the clinical care team.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available. No additional data available due to the confidential and sensitive nature of the data in this study.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Catherine Hyams http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3923-1773 Elizabeth Begier http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1287-5416



Bradford D Gessner http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6216-7194

REFERENCES

- 1 Gibson GJ, Loddenkemper R, Lundbäck B, et al. Respiratory health and disease in Europe: the new European lung white book. Eur Respir J 2013;42:559–63.
- 2 Cillóniz C, Polverino E, Ewig S, et al. Impact of age and comorbidity on cause and outcome in community-acquired pneumonia. Chest 2013:144:999–1007
- 3 Torres A, Cillóniz C, Blasi F, et al. Burden of pneumococcal community-acquired pneumonia in adults across Europe: a literature review. Respir Med 2018:137:6–13.
- 4 European Lung White Book. Pneumonia. Available: https://www.erswhitebook.org/chapters/acute-lower-respiratory-infections/pneumonia/#:~:text=pneumonia%20(VAP).-,Incidence,000%20cases%20are%20expected%20annually [Accessed 25 Apr 2021].
- 5 British Lung Foundation. Pneumonia statistics. Available: https://statistics.blf.org.uk/pneumonia [Accessed 25 Apr 2021].
- 6 Lim W, Lawrence H. National audit report: adult community acquired pneumonia audit 2018-2019. National audit period: 1 December 2018 – 31 January 2019. *British Thoracic Society* 2019.
- 7 Millett ERC, Quint JK, Smeeth L, et al. Incidence of community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections and pneumonia among older adults in the United Kingdom: a population-based study. PLoS One 2013;8:e75131.
- 8 Branche AR, Falsey AR. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in older adults: an under-recognized problem. *Drugs Aging* 2015;32:261–9.
- 9 Falsey AR, Walsh EE. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in elderly adults. *Drugs Aging* 2005;22:577–87.
- 10 Maughan BC, Asselin N, Carey JL, et al. False-Negative chest radiographs in emergency department diagnosis of pneumonia. R I Med J 2014;97:20–3.
- 11 Self WH, Courtney DM, McNaughton CD, et al. High discordance of chest X-ray and computed tomography for detection of pulmonary opacities in ED patients: implications for diagnosing pneumonia. Am J Emerg Med 2013;31:401–5.
- 12 Cillóniz C, Ewig S, Polverino E, et al. Microbial aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia and its relation to severity. *Thorax* 2011;66:340–6.
- 13 Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG, et al. Community-Acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization among U.S. adults. N Engl J Med 2015;373:415–27.

- 14 Mainor AJ, Morden NE, Smith J, et al. Icd-10 coding will challenge researchers: caution and collaboration may reduce measurement error and improve comparability over time. Med Care 2019;57:e42-6.
- 15 Burns EM, Rigby E, Mamidanna R, et al. Systematic review of discharge coding accuracy. J Public Health 2012;34:138–48.
- 16 Henig O, Kaye KS. Bacterial pneumonia in older adults. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2017;31:689–713.
- 17 Fine MJ, Smith MA, Carson CA, et al. Prognosis and outcomes of patients with community-acquired pneumonia. A meta-analysis. JAMA 1996:275:134–41.
- 18 Campling J, Begier E, Vyse A, et al. A novel approach to calculate disease incidence for hospital-based health events in England. The Lancet 2021;398:S30.
- 9 Chalmers J, Campling J, Ellsbury G, et al. Community-Acquired pneumonia in the United Kingdom: a call to action. *Pneumonia* 2017;9:15.
- 20 Shi T, Denouel A, Tietjen AK, et al. Global and regional burden of hospital admissions for pneumonia in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Infect Dis 2020;222:S570–6.
- 21 Lovering AM, MacGowan AP, Anderson P, et al. Epidemiology and resource utilization for patients hospitalized for lower respiratory tract infection. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001;7:666–70.
- Yu O, Nelson JC, Bounds L, et al. Classification algorithms to improve the accuracy of identifying patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia using administrative data. *Epidemiol Infect* 2011;139:1296–306.
- 23 Uijl A, Koudstaal S, Direk K, et al. Risk factors for incident heart failure in age- and sex-specific strata: a population-based cohort using linked electronic health records. Eur J Heart Fail 2019;21:1197–206.
- 24 van de Garde EMW, Oosterheert JJ, Bonten M, et al. International classification of diseases codes showed modest sensitivity for detecting community-acquired pneumonia. J Clin Epidemiol 2007;60:834–8.
- 25 Pick H, Daniel P, Rodrigo C, et al. Pneumococcal serotype trends, surveillance and risk factors in UK adult pneumonia, 2013-18. *Thorax* 2020;75:38–49.
- 26 Elston JWT, Santaniello-Newton A, Meigh JA, et al. Increasing incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease and pneumonia despite improved vaccination uptake: surveillance in Hull and East Yorkshire, UK, 2002-2009. Epidemiol Infect 2012;140:1252–66.
- 27 Thorrington D, Andrews N, Stowe J, et al. Elucidating the impact of the pneumococcal conjugate vaccine programme on pneumonia, sepsis and otitis media hospital admissions in England using a composite control. BMC Med 2018;16:13.

Supplementary Data 1: ICD-10 codes used for patient identification for Retrospective Analysis ${\bf r}$

ICD- 10 code	Definition	Pneumonia	NP-LRTI	HF	Other aLRTD
J09	Influenza due to identified zoonotic or pandemic influenza virus		X		
J10	Influenza due to identified seasonal influenza virus		X		
J11	Influenza, virus not identified		X		
J12	Viral pneumonia, not elsewhere classified	X			
J13	Pneumonia due to <i>Streptococcus</i> pneumoniae	X			
J14	Pneumonia due to <i>Haemophilus</i> influenzae	X			
J15	Bacterial pneumonia, not elsewhere classified	X			
J16	Pneumonia due to other infectious organisms, not elsewhere classified	X			
J17	Pneumonia in diseases classified elsewhere	X			
J18	Pneumonia, organism unspecified	X			
J20	Acute bronchitis		X		
J21	Acute bronchiolitis		X		
J22	Unspecified acute lower respiratory infection		X		
J40	Bronchitis, not specified as acute or chronic		X		
J41	Simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis		X		
J42	Unspecified chronic bronchitis		X		
J43	Emphysema				X
J44	Other chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (including J44.0 chronic		J44.0 only		X (J44.0)

	obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection)			
J45	Asthma			X
J46	Status asthmaticus			X
J47	Bronchiectasis			X
J85	Abscess of lung and mediastinum	X		
J86	Pyothorax	X		
J90	Pleural effusion, not elsewhere classified			X
J91	Pleural effusion in conditions classified elsewhere			X
J95	Postprocedural respiratory disorders, not elsewhere classified			X
J96	Respiratory failure, not elsewhere classified			X
J98	Other respiratory disorders			X
J99	Respiratory disorders in diseases classified elsewhere			X
I110	Hypertensive heart disease with heart failure		X	
1130	Hypertensive heart and chronic kidney disease with heart failure and stage 1 through stage 4 chronic kidney disease		X	
I132	Hypertensive heart and chronic kidney disease with heart failure and with stage 5 chronic kidney disease, or end stage renal disease		X	
I50	Heart failure		X	

Supplementary Data 2: Case Definitions

Condition	Definition	Reference
Acute Lower Respiratory Tract Disease (aLRTD)	Acute lower respiratory tract disease (aLRTD) encompasses pneumonia, non-pneumonic lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI), acute bronchitis, exacerbation of underlying respiratory disease including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and cardiac failure with respiratory symptoms.	
	Pneumothorax, pulmonary embolism, progression or new diagnosis of primary or secondary lung malignancy were excluded from aLRTD.	
Pneumonia	Pneumonia was defined as infection affecting the airways (below the level of the larynx), with either: (1) an acute illness with radiographic shadowing which was at least segmental or present in more than one lobe and was not known to be previously present or due to other causes (2) in the absence of radiological investigation, clinical confirmation of pneumonic disease in the opinion of the treating physician	Lim WS, Baudouin SV, George RC, <i>et al</i> ; Pneumonia Guidelines Committee of the BTS Standards of Care Committee. BTS guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in adults: update 2009. Thorax. 2009 Oct;64 Suppl 3:iii1-55.
Non-Radiologically Proven Lower Respiratory Tract Infection (NP-LRTI)	An infection that affects the airways (below the level of the larynx) including the trachea and alveoli, with neither the presence of radiological change nor a clinical diagnosis of pneumonia from the treating physician, i.e. non-pneumonic infection in the lungs.	Anderson W, Winter J. Managing LRTI in adults in the community. Practitioner. 2009 Nov;253(1723):21-5, 2-3. PMID: 20043506.
Cardiac/Heart Failure (HF)	A clinical syndrome with symptoms and/or signs caused by a structural and/or functional cardiac abnormality and corroborated by elevated natriuretic peptide levels and or objective evidence of pulmonary or systemic congestion.	Bozkurt, Biykem et al.Universal Definition and Classification of Heart Failure. Journal of Cardiac Failure, Volume 27, Issue 4, 387 – 413.
Other aLRTD	aLRTD which was neither pneumonia, NP-LRTI nor HF was classified as 'Other aLRTD'. This therefore includes non-infective exacerbations of chronic respiratory disease such as asthma, COPD and bronchiectasis	